REVIEW

Open Access



Collagen cross-linking: when and how? A review of the state of the art of the technique and new perspectives

Leonardo Mastropasqua

Abstract

Since the late 1990s corneal crosslinking (CXL) has been proposed as a new possibility to stop progression of keratoconus or secondary corneal ectasia, with the promising aim to prevent progressive visual loss due to the evolution of the pathology and to delay or avoid invasive surgical procedures such as corneal transplantation. The possibility of strengthening corneal tissue by means of a photochemical reaction of corneal collagen by the combined action of Riboflavin and ultraviolet A irradiation (UVA), radically modified the conservative management of progressive corneal ectasia. This is a review of the state of the art of CXL, reporting basic and clinical evidence. The paper describes basic principles, advantages and limits of different CXL techniques and possible future evolution of the procedure.

Keywords: Keratoconus, Ectasia, Collagen cross-linking, Transepithelial cross-linking, Collagen corneal cross-linking epithelium off, Collagen corneal cross-linking epithelium on, Transepithelial cross-linking with iontophoresis

Introduction

Corneal ectasia is a progressive corneal thinning associated with alterations of stromal collagen matrix resulting in irregular protrusion of the cornea. Primary forms include keratoconus, pellucid marginal degeneration and keratoglobus, while secondary forms are mainly related to refractive surgery [1].

Many studies on keratoconus epidemiology from different countries reported an incidence of 1.3 to 22.3 per 100 000 and a prevalence of 0.4 to 86 cases per 100 000 [2].

The incidence of corneal ectasia after refractive surgery is still unknown, but it has been estimated to be 0.04-0.6 % after laser in situ keratomileusis(LASIK) [3–5].

Post LASIK ectasia represents about 96 % of all secondary ectasias after refractive surgery, while 4 % are related to photorefractive keratectomy (PRK) surgery [6].

Keratoconus generally starts during the second decade of life with a variable rate of progression of corneal steepening that continues until the fourth decade, when the corneal shape generally becomes stable [7]. A conservative approach in the management of keratectasia initially involves spectacles and subsequently, contact lenses.

However, surgical intervention can be necessary when patients are contact lens intolerant or cannot achieve adequate vision correction. In patients with contact lens intolerance or poor visual recovery with contact lens use, implantation of intracorneal rings (ICRS) may improve the regularity of the corneal curvature, improving contact lens fitting and visual rehabilitation [8].

Alternatively, in advanced stages or in presence of central corneal scarring, corneal transplantation may represent the only viable treatment option [1]. In these cases the preferred procedure is deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty (DALK) or, alternatively, penetrating keratoplasty (PK).

Until recently, in the "pre-CXL era", all of the treatment options for corneal ectatic diseases were aimed at only overcoming refractive limitations and not to impeding the underlying physiopathology [9].

Corneal crosslinking has grown from an interesting concept to its introduction in clinical practice in the late 1990s when it radically modified conservative management of progressive corneal ectasia with the possibility of strengthening corneal tissue [10].



© 2015 Mastropasqua. **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Correspondence: mastropa@unich.it

Ophthalmology Department, Policlinico SS Annunziata, Center of Excellence and National High-Tech Center (CNAT) in Ophthalmology, University "G. d'Annunzio" of Chieti-Pescara, Via dei Vestini, 31 66100 Chieti, Italy

Review

Basic principles of corneal crosslinking

The primary aim of corneal crosslinking is to stop the progression of corneal ectasia. To obtain a strengthening of corneal tissue, the use of riboflavin is combined with ultraviolet A irradiation (UVA). Riboflavin plays the role of a photosensitizer in the photopolymerization process and when combined with UVA irradiation, increases the formation of intrafibrillar and interfibrillar carbonyl-based collagen covalent bonds through a molecular process that has still not been completely elucidated [1].

It was shown that during the early aerobic phase of the process of crosslinking, riboflavin molecules are excited to a single or triplet state and stromal proteins undergo a photosensitized oxidation via interaction with reactive oxygen species [11]. During the second anaerobic phase, when oxygen is depleted, corneal stroma interacts with reactive species of radical ions. This photochemical reaction results in an increase of corneal rigidity, of collagen fiber thickness and of resistance to enzymatic degradation, with consequent decrease of stromal swelling and permeability maximally, above all in the anterior stroma [12].

Basic research results

Currently, the photochemically induced effect of CXL in the cornea cannot be evidenced directly by staining methods or microscopic techniques. However, CXL induces several changes to collagen-containing tissue, from which indirect signs of the cross-linking effect can be deduced [9]. In fact, stress-strain measurements performed on human and porcine corneas documented an increased corneal rigidity after CXL treatment. The firming effect seems to be more evident in corneas with higher collagen content and in older tissue [13, 14]. Moreover, it has been reported that porcine crosslinked corneas showed a reduced tendency to swelling and hydration when compared to untreated controls [15]. Ex vivo studies on corneas of humans and rabbits indicated an increase of collagen fibers thickness after CXL treatment [16, 17]. Results of basic research studies showed that CXL procedure improve the corneal resistance to degradation processes mediated by pepsin, trypsin and collagenase with lengthening of the turnover time of the collagen [18].

Indications for CXL

Not every cornea with keratoconus needs to undergo crosslinking. The main aim of CXL is to stop the progression of corneal ectasia, consequently the best candidates for this treatment are patients suffering from primary or post refractive surgery ectasia with documented progression of the disease. Although the criteria to classify ectasia as progressive have not been defined, changes in refraction, uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA), best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA), and topographical parameters are to be included. To date, published clinical studies indicated that CXL was used in cases of progression over a well-defined time period. In many reports, progression was defined as an increase in Kmax of 1 diopter (D) in 1 year, or a change in either myopia and/or astigmatism ≥ 3 D in 6 months, a mean central K-reading change ≥ 1.5 D observed in three consecutive topographies in 6 months, or a mean central corneal thickness decrease ≥5 % in three consecutive tomographies in the previous 6 months. Contraindications to undergoing standard CXL treatment are the presence of corneal thickness of less than 400 microns, prior herpetic infection, severe cornea scarring or opacification, history of poor epithelial wound healing, severe ocular surface disease, history of immune disorders, and pregnancy/breast-feeding [19-21].

Standard procedure and clinical results

The standard Dresden protocol, as initially described by Wollensask et al. includes initial epithelial removal, the application of 0.1 % riboflavin solution for 30 min followed by 30 min of UVA irradiation with a wavelength of 370 nm and power of 3 mW/cm² (5.4 J/cm²) [22].

A list of publications reporting the clinical results of traditional CXL procedures is shown in Table 1 [23–65]. In the last few years, several prospective and retrospective studies with a considerable follow-up period documented the effectiveness of the standard procedure in halting the progression of primary and secondary corneal ectasia, and in many cases, with an improvement of visual performance and topographical indexes.

Most of the reports about clinical outcomes of standard epi-off CXL are prospective or retrospective case series. In the follow-up after treatment, the main parameters evaluated are the maximal keratometry (K_{max}) and the best corrected visual acuity (BCVA). The follow-up periods ranged between one and six years. All authors reported stabilization or flattening of corneal keratometry and stabilization or improvement of visual acuity after standard epi-off procedure.

The small number of randomized controlled trials may affect the interpretation of these results. However, the results reported by Wittig-Silva et al. (2015) of 100 eyes with a three-year follow-up constitute an important milestone that confirms the effectiveness of epi-off technique in stabilizing keratoconus progression [35].

Limits and complications of standard procedure *Treatment failure*

Treatment failure that occurs in 8.1-33.3 % of the cases is usually defined as continued progression with an increase in maximum K readings of 1.0 D over the preoperative value [66].

Table 1 Outcomes reported in literature for standard epi-off CXL procedures (2010–2015)

Author (Year)	Follow-up	Results			Type of Study	Number of Eyes	Indication		
		Kmax K1/K2		Mean BCV Keratometry					
Lang S et al. (2015) [23]	3 years	D			-	RCS	29	Keratoconus	
Poli M et al. (2015) [24]	6 years	S			I	PCS	36	Ectasia	
Di Bernardo M et al. (2015) [25]	2 years	D			I	PCS	57	Keratoconus	
McAnena L et al. (2015) [26]	3 years	S			I	RCS	25	Pediatric Keratoconus	
Sedaghat M et al. (2015) [27]	1 year	S		D	I	PCS	97	Keratoconus	
Khan WA (2015) [28]	3 years	D			I	PCS	71	Keratoconus	
Yildirim A et al. (2014) [29]	42 months	D			I	RCS	20	Post LASIK ectasia	
Kymionis GD et al. (2014) [30]	5 years		D		I	PCS	25	Keratoconus	
Kumar Kodavoor S (2014) [31]	1 year	S			I	RCS	24	Pediatric Keratoconus	
Viswanathan D et al. (2014) [32]	20 months		D		S	PCS	25	Pediatric Keratoconus	
Goldich I et al. (2014) [33]	3 years	S			S	PCS	17	Keratoconus	
Steinberg J et al. (2014) [34]	2 years	D			I	PCS	20	Keratoconus	
Wittig-Silva C et al. (2014) [35]	3 years	D			I	RCT	100	Keratoconus	
Elbaz U et al. (2014) [36]	1 year			S	S	PCS	9	Radial Keratotomy	
Ghanem RC et al. (2014) [37]	2 years	D			I	PCS	42	Keratoconus	
Toprak I et al. (2013) [38]	1 year	D			I	RCS	59	Keratoconus	
Hashemi H et al. (2013) [39]	5 years	D			I	PCS	40	Keratoconus	
Richoz O et al. (2013) [40]	2 years	D			I	RCS	26	Post LASIK/PRK ectasia	
lvarsen A et al. (2013) [41]	22 months	D			S	RCS	22	Keratoconus	
Legare ME et al. (2013) [42]	2 years	S			S	RCS	39	Keratoconus	
O'Brart (2013) [43]	4-6 years			S	S	RCS	30	Keratoconus	
Arora R et al. (2012) [44]	12 months				I	PCS	15	Pediatric Keratoconus	
Chatzis N et al. (2012) [45]	3 years	S			I	RCS	59	Pediatric Keratoconus	
Vinciguerra R et al. (2013) [46]	4 years	D			I	RCS	400	Keratoconus	
Viswanathan D et al. (2013) [47]	14 months	D			I	PIS	76	Keratoconus	
Poli M. et al. (2013) [48]	3 years	S			S	PC	55	primary and secondary ectasia	
Lamy R et al. (2013) [49]	2 years	D			I	PC	68	Keratoconus	
Kranitz K et al. (2012) [50]	1 year		D		I	PC	40	Keratoconus	
Guber I et al. (2013) [51]	1 year	S			I	PCS	33	Keratoconus	
Vinciguerra P et al. (2012) [52]	2 years		K1 S/ K2 D		I	PCS	40	Pediatric Keratoconus	
Caporossi A et al. (2012) [53]	3 years		D		I	PCS	152	Pediatric Keratoconus	
Goldich I et al. (2012) [54]	2 years	D			I	PCS	14	Keratoconus	
Asri D et al. (2011) [55]	1 year	S			S	PCS	142	Keratoconus	
Fuentes-Paez G et al. (2012) [56]	6 months			S	I	PCS	7	Ectassia post RK	
Kymionis GD et al. (2012) [57]	1 year			S	S	PCS	14	Keratoconus	
Koller T et al. (2011) [58]	1 year	D			S	PCS	151	Keratoconus	
Greenstain SA et al. (2011) [59]	1 year	D			S	RCT	71	Primary and secondary ectasia	
Raiskup F et al. (2011) [60]	1 year	S			S	RCS	32	Keratoconus	
Hersh P et al. (2011) [61]	1 year	S			I	RCT	71	Keratoconus	
Salgado JP et al. (2011) [62]	1 year	S			S	PCS	22	Post LASIK ectasia	

Caporossi A et al. (2010) [63]	4 years	D		Ι	PCS	44	Keratoconus
Vinciguerra P et al. (2009) [64]	2 years	D		Ι	PCS	28	Keratoconus
Vinciguerra P et al. (2010) [65]	1 year		D	Ι	PCS	13	Post LASIK/PRK ectasia

Table 1 Outcomes reported in literature for standard epi-off CXL procedures (2010–2015) (Continued)

S= Stabilized, D= Significantly decreased, I= Significantly improved, PCS= Prospective case series, RCS= Retrospective case series, RCT= Randomized controlled trial, PIS= Prospective interventional study, PC= Prospective comparative

Poli et al. recently reported a failure rate of 11 % during a follow-up period of six years. Keratoconus worsening was considered if patients presented an increase of more than 0.1 in logMAR uncorrected and best corrected visual acuity and/or an increase of keratometric values by more than 0.75 D during the follow-up [24].

After standard CXL procedure, corneal haze is a relatively common complication reported by 10-90 % of patients. However, to date the etiology and the natural course of clinical corneal haze after epi-off procedure has not been clearly defined [67, 68]. In vivo confocal microscopy showed an increased stromal reflectivity associated to edema and keratocyte activation mainly evident 3–6 months after treatment, while in the late postoperative period, anterior and intermediate stromal layers showed a reduction of cellular density and fibrosis of extracellular matrix [69].

Several cases of infective keratitis following CXL treatment have been described including bacterial, protozoal, herpetic, and fungal keratitis [70].

The rare serious adverse events following traditional CXL that have been reported included diffuse lamellar keratitis at LASIK interface, corneal melting and persistent corneal edema due to endothelial failure [71–73].

Introduction of epi-on technique

The diffusion process of riboflavin in the stroma is limited by corneal epithelial tight junctions [74, 75], but epithelial debridement is considered the cause of the most important complications after CXL treatment such as intraoperative and postoperative pain, infective keratitis and abnormal wound-healing response [76, 77]. Riboflavin penetration through the epithelium can be increased by different strategies such as changing the physicochemical properties of the riboflavin molecule by adding chemical enhancers in the riboflavin formulation [78] or performing a mechanical disruption of corneal epithelium [79]. An in vivo confocal microscopy study reported that by increasing the duration of riboflavin application up to two hours, the obtained depth of CXL effect is similar to that achieved with standard epi-off technique [80].

Although the complication rate in patients treated with transepithelial CXL was reported to be low [69], so was the effectiveness of this technique (Table 2) [81–89]. Thus, its utility is still a matter of debate.

Iontophoresis

A novel approach to enhance riboflavin penetration is based on iontophoresis, a non-invasive system aimed to enhance the delivery of charged molecules into tissues using a small electric current [90]. Riboflavin, in the formulation used for iontophoresis, is negatively charged. It has been shown that an iontophoresis imbibition lasting five minutes achieves a sufficient riboflavin concentration in the corneal stroma for CXL treatment, with the advantage of shortening the imbibition time while preserving epithelial integrity [9].

Numerous ex vivo studies confirmed the effectiveness of iontophoresis imbibition in obtaining an adequate riboflavin concentration into the stroma and the induction of important biomolecular and structural modifications of corneal tissue [90–92]. Ex vivo biomechanical studies on rabbit and human cadaveric corneas showed that transepithelial crosslinking with iontophoresis imbibition induced an increase of the biomechanical resistance of human cornea comparable to that obtained with the standard crosslinking procedure [93, 94].

Preliminary clinical results of iontophoresis assisted corneal CXL are promising. The technique halts keratoconus progression without significant complications (Table 3) [95–97] however, longer follow-up and studies with larger patient populations are needed to assess the real effectiveness of this technique.

Accelerated corneal crosslinking

Accelerated CXL was introduced in clinical practice in order to shorten the time required for a CXL procedure. This technique is based on the Bunsen-Roscoe law of photochemical reciprocity. That is, the same photochemical effect can be achieved with reducing the irradiation interval provided that the total energy level is kept constant by a corresponding increase in irradiation intensity [1]. Currently, commercially available ultrafast devices can achieve an irradiance intensity of 43 mW/ cm². Using this setting, a total treatment time of two minutes is required to achieve a standard Dresden protocol energy dose of 3.4 J or a radiant exposure of 5.4 J/cm² [1]. Several recent in vivo studies using different protocols showed the procedure to be safe and effective in stopping ectasia progression (Table 4) [98–105].

Comparative studies of the effectiveness of the different CXL procedures are described in Table 5 [106–115].

Author (Year)	Follow-up	Results			Design	Number of Eyes	Indication	
		Kmax Mean Keratometry		BCVA				
Lensiak SP et al. (2014) [81]	6 months	S		S	PCS	25	Keratoconous	
De Bernardo M et al. (2014) [82]	6 months	S		I	PCS	36	Keratoconus	
Khairy HA et al. (2014) [83]	1 year	D		S	PCS	32	Keratoconus	
Salman AG (2013) [84]	1 year	S		S	PC	22	Pediatric Keratoconus	
Caporossi A et al. (2013) [85]	2 years	W		S	PCS	26	Keratoconus	
Buzzonetti L et al. (2012) [86]	18 months		W	I	PCS	13	Pediatric Keratoconus	
Spadea L et al. (2012) [87]	1 year	D		I	PCS	16	Keratoconus	
Filippello M et al. (2012) [88]	18 months	D		I	PC	20	Keratoconus	
Leccisotti A et al. (2011) [89]	1 year	S		I	RCT	51	Keratoconus	

 Table 2 Outcomes reported in literature for epi-on CXL procedures (2010–2015)

S = Stabilized, D = Significantly decreased, I = Significantly improved, W = worsened, PCS = Prospective case series, RCT = Randomized controlled trial, PC = Prospective comparative

Surgical protocols reported are very different and unlikely comparable. Also, the follow-up periods are very limited. Therefore, it is very difficult to deduce reliable conclusions. It seems likely that transepithelial CXL, although associated with a lower complication rate, has a lower therapeutic effect than standard CXL and would be ideal for patients with thin corneas, uncooperative individuals, or those with uncertain documented progression. Iontophoresis assisted CXL is a promised technique that could obtain clinical effects similar to those obtainable with a standard technique while maintaining the advantages of epithelium preservation. However, while the basic research results are evident, clinical outcomes are still poor. Accelerated CXL seems to represent a valid strategy to shorten the long treatment time, however the extreme variability of the protocols proposed has not been supported by adequate safety assessment. Well-designed randomized controlled trials comparing traditional CXL and all the alternative procedures are required in order to establish which is the ideal protocol for obtaining the best clinical outcomes and complication profile.

Combined treatments

CXL and photorefractive keratectomy

Keratoconus has always been considered a contraindication for PRK. However, during the last few years, the idea of performing PRK in patients with stable keratoconus has been proposed. Consequently, the possibility of combining CXL and PRK was introduced in clinical practice [116–120]. Several clinical reports demonstrated stability in corneas that had undergone a combination of CXL and PRK, either sequentially or combined. Patients experienced improvement in spherical equivalent (SE), defocus equivalent, uncorrected and best corrected visual acuity, high order aberrations and Kmax with stabilization of keratoconus progression during a follow up period of 12–24 months [121–124].

The timing of the ablation treatment and CXL as well as the interval between the two procedures has become topics of discussion. It was reported that patients who underwent both PRK and CXL procedures in the same day obtained better clinical and topographical results with a lower rate of corneal haze, compared to patients treated sequentially [125]. This may be related to the unpredictable refractive outcomes when excimer ablation is performed on cross-linked tissue [126]. However, performing both procedures concurrently in the same day may cause an irregular healing process with the formation of persistent stromal haze, probably related to keratocyte activation, which permanently affects visual performance [127, 128].

CXL and intracorneal rings

Studies reported that CXL halts keratoconus progression, but the overall results in terms of visual rehabilitation were insufficient. On the other hand, intracorneal ring segments (ICRS) produced rapid and substantial improvements of visual parameters but do not stop

Table 3 Outcomes reported in literature for iontophoresis assisted corneal CXL procedures (2014–2015)

Author (Year)	Follow-up	Results		Туре	Number of Eyes	Indication
		Kmax	BCVA			
Buzzonetti L et al. (2015) [95]	15 months	S	I	PCS	14	Pediatric Keratoconus
Bikbova G et al. (2014) [96]	1 year	D	I	PCS	22	Keratoconus
Vinciguerra P et al. (2014) [97]	1 year	S	I	PCS	20	Keratoconus

S= Stabilized, D= Significantly decreased, I= Significantly improved, PCS= Prospective case series

Author (Year)	Tecnique	Follow-up	Results			Type	Number of Eyes	Indication	
			Kmax	K1/K2	BCVA				
Chan TC et al. (2015) [98]	Accelerated	1 year	S		S	PCS	25	Keratoconus	
Ozgurhan EB et al. (2015) [99]	Accelerated	1 year	R I PCS		PCS	34	Keratoconus		
Marino GK et al. (2015) [100]	Accelerated	2 years	S S		PCS	40	Post LASIK ectasia		
Waszczykowska A (2015) [101]	Accelerated	2 years	S S P		PCS	16	Keratoconus		
Ozgurhan EB et al. (2014) [102]	Accelerated	2 years		D	I	RCS	44	Pediatric Keratoconus	
Shetty R et al. (2014) [103]	Accelerated	2 years	-		I	PCS	18	Keratoconus	
Elbaz U et al. (2014) [104]	Accelerated	1 year	S S		S	PCS	16	Keratoconus	
Cinar Y et al. (2014) [105]	Accelerated	6 months	D I		PCS	23	Keratoconus		

 Table 4 Outcomes reported in literature for accelerated corneal CXL procedures (2014–2015)

S= Stabilized, D= Significantly decreased, I= Significantly improved, PCS= Prospective case series, RCS= Retrospective case series

progression. Theoretically, a combination of these two procedures can produce better results [1].

Several studies reported concordant results confirming that combining CXL and ICRS implantation improved uncorrected and best corrected visual acuity, refraction, and keratometry during variable follow-up periods (7–12 months) [129–131]. One study reported no difference in topographical or visual outcome after ICRS or ICRS combined with CXL. Thus, the real effect of the combined treatment to the keratoconus progression is still unclear [132].

Moreover, it was reported that after one or both ring explantations, the refractive effects may be stable or reversible while the topographic changes seems to be maintained [133]. Therefore, while collagen crosslinking can be performed before, in conjunction with, or after ICRS implantation, the ideal method for combining these two treatments is still undefined [1].

Author (Year)	Techniques compared	Follow-up	Results	Туре	Number of Eyes	Indication	
			Kmax	imax BCVA			
NG AL et al. (2015) [106]	Standard vs accelerated	1 year	Reduction Kmax in standard group	Improvement BCVA in standard CXL	CIS	26	Keratoconus
Shetty R et al. (2015) [107]	Standard vs Different Accelerated Protocols	1 year	Improvement Kmax in standard and accelerated group	Improvement BCVA in Standard and accelerated CXL	PR	138	Keratoconus
Rossi S et al. (2015) [108]	Standard vs TE	1 year	Improvement Kmax in standard and TE CXL	Improvement BCVA in standard and TE CXL	PC	20	Keratoconus
Brittingham et al. (2014) [109]	Standard vs accelerated	1 year	Stabilization K max in standard CXL		RCS	131	Keratoconus
Hashemian H et al. (2014) [110]	Standard vs Accelerated	15 months	Improvement Kmax in standard and accelerated CXL	Improvement BCVA in Standard and accelerated CXL	RCT	153	Keratoconus
Sherif AM (2014) [111]	Standard vs Accelerated	1 year	Stabilization Kmax	Improvement BCVA in Standard and accelerated CXL	RCT	25	Keratoconus
Stojanovic A et al. (2014) [112]	Standard vs TE	1 year	Stabilization Kmax for standard and TE CXL	Improvement BCVA in standard and TE CXL	RCT	40	Keratoconus
Tomita M et al. (2014) [113]	Standard vs Accelerated	1 year	Improvement Kmax in standard and accelerated CXL	Improvement BCVA in Standard and accelerated CXL	PC	48	Keratoconus
Cinar Y et al. (2014) [114]	Standard vs Accelerated	6 months	Improvement Kmax in standard and accelerated CXL	Improvement BCVA in Standard and accelerated CXL	PC	26	Keratoconus
Magli A et al. (2013) [115]	Standard vs TE	1 year	Improvement K max in standard and TE CXL	Improvement BCVA in standard and TE CXL	PC	37	Keratoconus

CIS= Comparative Interventional Study, PR= Prospective randomized, RCS= Retrospective case series, RCT= Randomized controlled trial, PC= Prospective comparative

Alternative uses of corneal crosslinking Infections

Crosslinking has an antimicrobial effect inherent to UV light interacting with riboflavin as the chromophore. In fact, UV irradiation is used as an antimicrobial procedure for disinfecting water, surfaces and air. It damages both the DNA and RNA of pathogens including bacteria and viruses, and renders them inactive [134].

Additionally, the photoactivated riboflavin seems to produce an antimicrobial effect. In fact, the use of riboflavin as a photosensitizer to inactivate pathogens in plasma, platelet, and red cell products has been described [135].

Due to its nucleic acid specificity and its limited tendency toward indiscriminate oxidation, riboflavin was hypothesized as a photosensitizer for the inactivation of pathogens in infective keratitis. It was reported that riboflavin activated by UVA showed an antimicrobial effect on agar plates inoculated with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Streptococcus pneumoniae,* and *Candida albicans.* The inhibition of microbial growth was significantly higher in plates treated with UVA activated riboflavin than in those treated with UVA light alone. However, riboflavin alone did not show any significant bactericidal effect [136].

The first reported use of CXL in infective keratitis was in 2008, when Iseli at al. reported healing 4 out of 5 cases of mycobacterial and fungal corneal melting unresponsive to conventional therapy, treated with the standard Dresden protocol [137]. In 2013, Alio et al. in a systematic review and meta-analysis reported similar results [138]. In 2014, Said et al. reported a large prospective clinical trial on infective keratitis comparing 21 eyes treated with CXL in addition to antimicrobial therapy in 19 eyes that received only antimicrobial therapy. They did not find a significant difference between both groups in terms of healing time and final visual acuity. Three patients treated with antimicrobial therapy alone experienced corneal perforation and one an infection relapse while no significant complications occurred in CXL group. The authors conclude that CXL could serve as a valuable adjuvant therapy and may reduce or avoid severe complications preventing the need for emergency keratoplasty [139].

Pseudophakic bullous keratopathy

In case of corneal edema due to endothelial failure, it has been shown that CXL effect increases corneal resistance to swelling processes. In fact CXL increases the interfiber collagen connections and it's difficult for stromal fluid to separate collagen lamellae and create a potential space for edema accumulation . Therefore, the use of corneal CXL was proposed as an alternative approach for the management of pseudophakic bullous keratopathy (PBK) with the aim to reduce ocular discomfort, improve visual acuity, and delay the need for keratoplasty [140].

Clinical studies evaluating the effectiveness of corneal CXL in the treatment of PBK reported a significant improvement in corneal transparency, corneal thickness, and ocular pain one month postoperatively. However, CXL did not seem to have a long-lasting effect over six months in decreasing pain and maintaining corneal transparency [141, 142].

Conclusions

At the light of this review we can conclude that there is still much to understand about the real modification of corneal collagen structure after the photochemical CXL reaction. Moreover the constant aim of basic and clinical research today is to identify the best strategies and combination of imbibition and irradiation that can lead to the better clinical efficacy together with the maximum safety of the treatment.

Abbreviations

CXL: Corneal crosslinking; DALK: Deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty; UVA: Ultraviolet A irradiation; UCVA: Uncorrected visual acuity; BCVA: Bestcorrected visual acuity; D: Diopter; PRK: Photorefractive keratectomy; SE: Spherical equivalent; ICRS: Intracorneal ring segments; PBK: Pseudophakic bullous keratopathy.

Competing interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Received: 8 September 2015 Accepted: 23 November 2015 Published online: 29 November 2015

References

- ASCRS Cornea Clinical Committee. Reshaping procedures for the surgical management of corneal ectasia. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2015;41:842–72.
- Gordon-Shaag A, Millodot M, Shneor E, Liu Y. The genetic and environmental factors for Keratoconus. Biomed Res Int. 2015;2015:795738. doi:10.1155/2015/795738.
- 3. Binder PS. Analysis of ectasia after laser in situ keratomileusis: risk factors. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2007;33:1530–8.
- Chen MC, Lee N, Bourla N, Hamilton DR. Corneal biomechanical measurements before and after laser in situ keratomileusis. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2008;34:1886–91.
- Kirwan C, O'Malley D, O'Keefe M. Corneal hysteresis and corneal resistance factor in keratectasia: finding using the Reichert ocular response analyzer. Ophtalmologica. 2008;222:334–7.
- Randleman JB, Woodward M, Lynn MJ, Stulting RD. Risk assessment for ectasia after corneal refractive surgery. Ophthalmology. 2008;115:37–50.
- Vazirani J, Basu S. Keratoconus: current perspectives. Clin Ophthalmol. 2013; 7:2019–30.
- Ertan A, Colin J. Intracorneal rings for keratoconus and keratectasia. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2007;33(7):1303–14.
- Raiskup F, Spoerl E. Corneal crosslinking with riboflavin and ultraviolet A. I. Principles. Ocul Surf. 2013;11:65–74.
- Randleman JB, Khandelwal SS, Hafezi F. Corneal cross-linking. Surv Ophthalmol. 2015;60(6):509–23. doi:10.1016/j.survophthal.2015.04.002.
- Kamaev P, Friedman MD, Sherr E, Muller D. Photochemical kinetics of corneal cross-linking with riboflavin. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2012;53: 2360–7.
- 12. Wollensak G. Crosslinking treatment of progressive keratoconus: new hope. Curr Opin Ophthalmol. 2006;17:356–60.
- Wollensak G, Spoerl E, Seiler T. Stress-strain measurements of human and porcine corneas after riboflavin-ultraviolet-A-induced cross-linking. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2003;29(9):1780–5.

- Kohlhaas M, Spoerl E, Schilde T, Unger G, Wittig C, Pillunat LE. Biomechanical evidence of the distribution of cross-links in corneas treated with riboflavin and ultraviolet A light. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2006;32(2):279–83.
- Wollensak G, Aurich H, Pham DT, Wirbelauer C. Hydration behavior of porcine cornea crosslinked with riboflavin and ultraviolet A. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2007;33(3):516–21.
- Wollensak G, Wilsch M, Spoerl E, Seiler T. Collagen fiber diameter in the rabbit cornea after collagen crosslinking by riboflavin/UVA. Cornea. 2004;23(5):503–7.
- Akhtar S, Almubrad T, Paladini I, Mencucci R. Keratoconus corneal architecture after riboflavin/ultraviolet A cross-linking: ultrastructural studies. Mol Vis. 2013;19:1526–37.
- Spoerl E, Wollensak G, Seiler T. Increased resistance of crosslinked cornea against enzymatic digestion. Curr Eye Res. 2004;29(1):35–40.
- Alhayek A, Lu PR. Corneal collagen crosslinking in keratoconus and other eye disease. Int J Ophthalmol. 2015;18(8):407–18.
- 20. Raiskup F, Spoerl E. Corneal crosslinking with riboflavin and ultraviolet A. Part II. Clinical indications and results. Ocul Surf. 2013;11:93–108.
- Vinciguerra P, Albe E, Trazza S, Rosetta P, Vinciguerra R, Seiler T, et al. Refractive, topographic, tomographic, and aberrometric analysis of keratoconic eyes undergoing corneal cross-linking. Ophthalmology. 2009; 116:369–78.
- Wollensak G, Spoerl E, Seiler T. Riboflavin/ultraviolet–a-induced collagen crosslinking for the treatment of keratoconus. Am J Ophthalmol. 2003; 135:620–7.
- Lang SJ, Messmer EM, Geerling G, Mackert MJ, Brunner T, Dollak S, et al. Prospective, randomized, double-blind trial to investigate the efficacy and safety of corneal cross-linking to halt the progression of keratoconus. BMC Ophthalmol. 2015;15:78.
- Poli M, Lefevre A, Auxenfans C, Burillon C. Corneal collagen cross-linking for the treatment of progressive Corneal Ectasia: 6-year prospective outcome in a French population. Am J Ophthalmol. 2015;160(4):654–62.
- De Bernardo M, Capasso L, Lanza M, Tortori A, laccarino S, Cennamo M, et al. Long-term results of corneal collagen crosslinking for progressive keratoconus. J Optom. 2015;8:180–6.
- McAnena L, O'Keefe M. Corneal collagen crosslinking in children with keratoconus. JAPOS. 2015;19:228–32.
- Sedaghat M, Bagheri M, Ghavami S, Bamdad S. Changes in corneal topography and biomechanical properties after collagen cross linking for keratoconus: 1-year results. Middle East Afr J Ophthalmol. 2015;22:212–9.
- Khan WA, Zaheer N, Khan S. Corneal collagen cross-linking for keratoconus: results of 3-year follow-up in Pakistani population. Can J Ophthalmol. 2015; 50:143–50.
- Yildirim A, Cakir H, Kara N, Uslu H, Gurler B, Ozgurhan EB, et al. Corneal collagen crosslinking for ectasia after laser in situ keratomileusis: long-term results. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2014;40:1591–6.
- Kymionis GD, Grentzelos MA, Liakopoulos DA, Paraskevopoulos TA, Klados NE, Tsoulnaras KI, et al. Long-term follow-up of corneal collagen crosslinking for keratoconus-the Cretan study. Cornea. 2014;33:1071–9.
- Kumar Kodavoor S, Arsiwala AZ, Ramamurthy D. One-year clinical study on efficacy of corneal cross-linking in Indian children with progressive keratoconus. Cornea. 2014;33:919–22.
- Viswanathan D, Kumar NL, Males JJ. Outcome of corneal collagen crosslinking for progressive keratoconus in paediatric patients. Biomed Res Int. 2014;2014:140461. doi:10.1155/2014/140461.
- Goldich Y, Barkana Y, Wussuku Lior O, Marcovich AL, Hirsh A, Avni I, et al. Corneal collagen cross-linking for the treatment of progressive keratoconus: 3-year prospective outcome. Can J Ophthalmol. 2014;49:54–9.
- Steinberg J, Ahmadiyar M, Rost A, Frings A, Filev F, Katz T, et al. Anterior and posterior corneal changes after crosslinking for keratoconus. Optom Vis Sci. 2014;91:178–86.
- Wittig-Silva C, Chan E, Islam FM, Wu T, Whiting M, Snibson GR. A randomized, controlled trial of corneal collagen cross-linking in progressive keratoconus: three-year results. Ophthalmology. 2014;121:812–21.
- Elbaz U, Yeung SN, Ziai S, Lichtinger AD, Zauberman NA, Goldich Y, et al. Collagen crosslinking after radial keratotomy. Cornea. 2014;33:131–6.
- Ghanem RC, Santhiago MR, Berti T, Netto MV, Ghanem VC. Topographic, corneal wavefront, and refractive outcomes 2 years after collagen crosslinking for progressive keratoconus. Cornea. 2014;33:43–8.
- Toprak I, Yildirim C. Effects of corneal collagen crosslinking on corneal topographic indices in patients with keratoconus. Eye Contact Lens. 2013;39:385–7.

- Hashemi H, Seyedian MA, Miraftab M, Fotouhi A, Asgari S. Corneal collagen cross-linking with riboflavin and ultraviolet a irradiation for keratoconus: long-term results. Ophthalmology. 2013;120:1515–20.
- Richoz O, Mavrakanas N, Pajic B, Hafezi F. Corneal collagen cross-linking for ectasia after LASIK and photorefractive keratectomy: long-term results. Ophthalmology. 2013;120:1354–9.
- 41. Ivarsen A, Hjortdal J. Collagen cross-linking for advanced progressive keratoconus. Cornea. 2013;32:903–6.
- Legare ME, Iovieno A, Yeung SN, Kim P, Lichtinger A, Hollands S, et al. Corneal collagen cross-linking using riboflavin and ultraviolet A for the treatment of mild to moderate keratoconus: 2-year follow-up. Can J Ophthalmol. 2013;48:63–8.
- O'Brart DP, Kwong TQ, Patel P, McDonald RJ, O'Brart NA. Long-term follow-up of riboflavin/ultraviolet A (370 nm) corneal collagen cross-linking to halt the progression of keratoconus. Br J Ophthalmol. 2013;97:433–7.
- 44. Arora R, Gupta D, Goyal JL, Jain P. Results of corneal collagen cross-linking in pediatric patients. J Refract Surg. 2012;28:759–62.
- Chatzis N, Hafezi F. Progression of keratoconus and efficacy of pediatric [corrected] corneal collagen cross-linking in children and adolescents. J Refract Surg. 2012;28:753–8.
- Vinciguerra R, Romano MR, Camesasca FI, Azzolini C, Trazza S, Morenghi E, et al. Corneal cross-linking as a treatment for keratoconus: four-year morphologic and clinical outcomes with respect to patient age. Ophthalmology. 2013;120:908–16.
- Viswanathan D, Males J. Prospective longitudinal study of corneal collagen cross-linking in progressive keratoconus. Clin Experiment Ophthalmol. 2013;41:531–6.
- Poli M, Cornut PL, Balmitgere T, Aptel F, Janin H, Burillon C. Prospective study of corneal collagen cross-linking efficacy and tolerance in the treatment of keratoconus and corneal ectasia: 3-year results. Cornea. 2013; 32:583–90.
- Lamy R, Netto CF, Reis RG, Procopio B, Porco TC, Stewart JM, et al. Effects of corneal cross-linking on contrast sensitivity, visual acuity, and corneal topography in patients with keratoconus. Cornea. 2013;32:591–6.
- Kránitz K, Kovács I, Miháltz K, Sándor GL, Knorz MC, Németh J, et al. Corneal changes in progressive keratoconus after cross-linking assessed by Scheimpflug camera. J Refract Surg. 2012;28:645–9.
- Guber J, Guber J, Kaufmann C, Bachmann LM, Thiel MA. Visual recovery after corneal crosslinking for keratoconus: a 1-year follow-up study. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2013;251:803–7.
- Vinciguerra P, Albé E, Frueh BE, Trazza S, Epstein D. Two-year corneal crosslinking results in patients younger than 18 years with documented progressive keratoconus. Am J Ophthalmol. 2012;154:520–6.
- Caporossi A, Mazzotta C, Baiocchi S, Caporossi T, Denaro R, Balestrazzi A. Riboflavin-UVA-induced corneal collagen cross-linking in pediatric patients. Cornea. 2012;31:227–31.
- 54. Goldich Y, Marcovich AL, Barkana Y, Mandel Y, Hirsh A, Morad Y, et al. Clinical and corneal biomechanical changes after collagen cross-linking with riboflavin and UV irradiation in patients with progressive keratoconus: results after 2 years of follow-up. Cornea. 2012;31:609–14.
- Asri D, Touboul D, Fournié P, Malet F, Garra C, Gallois A, et al. Corneal collagen crosslinking in progressive keratoconus: multicenter results from the French National Reference Center for Keratoconus. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2011;37:2137–43.
- Fuentes-Páez G, Castanera F, Gómez de Salazar-Martinez R, Salas JF, Izquierdo E. Corneal cross-linking in patients with radial keratotomy: shortterm follow-up. Cornea. 2012;31:232–5.
- Kymionis GD, Portaliou DM, Diakonis VF, Kounis GA, Panagopoulou SI, Grentzelos MA. Corneal collagen cross-linking with riboflavin and ultraviolet-A irradiation in patients with thin corneas. Am J Ophthalmol. 2012;153:24–8.
- Koller T, Pajic B, Vinciguerra P, Seiler T. Flattening of the cornea after collagen crosslinking for keratoconus. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2011;37:1488–92.
- Greenstein SA, Fry KL, Hersh PS. Corneal topography indices after corneal collagen crosslinking for keratoconus and corneal ectasia: one-year results. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2011;37:1282–90.
- 60. Raiskup F, Spoerl E. Corneal cross-linking with hypo-osmolar riboflavin solution in thin keratoconic corneas. Am J Ophthalmol. 2011;152:28–32.
- Hersh PS, Greenstein SA, Fry KL. Corneal collagen crosslinking for keratoconus and corneal ectasia: One-year results. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2011;37:149–60.

- Salgado JP, Khoramnia R, Lohmann CP, Winkler von Mohrenfels C. Corneal collagen crosslinking in post-LASIK keratectasia. Br J Ophthalmol. 2011;95:493–7.
- Caporossi A, Mazzotta C, Baiocchi S, Caporossi T. Long-term results of riboflavin ultraviolet a corneal collagen cross-linking for keratoconus in Italy: the Siena eye cross study. Am J Ophthalmol. 2010;149:585–93.
- Vinciguerra P, Albè E, Trazza S, Seiler T, Epstein D. Intraoperative and postoperative effects of corneal collagen cross-linking on progressive keratoconus. Arch Ophthalmol. 2009;127:1258–65.
- Vinciguerra P, Camesasca FI, Albè E, Trazza S. Corneal collagen cross-linking for ectasia after excimer laser refractive surgery: 1-year results. J Refract Surg. 2010;26:486–97.
- Shalchi Z, Wang X, Nanavaty MA. Safety and efficacy of epithelium removal and transepithelial corneal collagen crosslinking for keratoconus. Eye (Lond). 2015;29:15–29.
- Craig JA, Mahon J, Yellowlees A, Barata T, Glanville J, Arber M, et al. Epithelium-off photochemical corneal collagen cross-linkage using riboflavin and ultraviolet a for keratoconus and keratectasia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ocul Surf. 2014;12:202–14.
- Greenstein SA, Fry KL, Bhatt J, Hersh PS. Natural history of corneal haze after collagen crosslinking for keratoconus and corneal ectasia: Scheimpflug and biomicroscopic analysis. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2010;36:2105–14.
- Mastropasqua L, Nubile M, Lanzini M, Calienno R, Mastropasqua R, Agnifili L, et al. Morphological modification of the cornea after standard and transepithelial corneal cross-linking as imaged by anterior segment optical coherence tomography and laser scanning in vivo confocal microscopy. Cornea. 2013;32:855–61.
- Abbouda A, Abicca I, Alio JL. Infectious keratitis following corneal crosslinking: a systematic review of reported cases: management, visual outcome, and treatment proposed. Semin Ophthalmol. 2014;13:1–7.
- Kymionis GD, Bouzoukis DI, Diakonis VF, Portaliou DM, Pallikaris AI, Yoo SH. Diffuse lamellar keratitis after corneal crosslinking in a patient with post-laser in situ keratomileusis corneal ectasia. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2007;33:2135–7.
- Ferrari G, Iuliano L, Viganò M, Rama P. Impending corneal perforation after collagen cross-linking for herpetic keratitis. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2013;39: 638–41.
- Sharma A, Nottage JM, Mirchia K, Sharma R, Mohan K, Nirankari VS. Persistent corneal edema after collagen cross-linking for keratoconus. Am J Ophthalmol. 2012;154:922–6.
- Mannermaa E, Vellonen KS, Urtti A. Drug transport in corneal epithelium and blood-retina barrier: emerging role of transporters in ocular pharmacokinetics. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2006;58:1136–63.
- Baiocchi S, Mazzotta C, Cerretani D, Caporossi T, Caporossi A. Corneal crosslinking: riboflavin concentration in corneal stroma exposed with and without epithelium. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2009;35:893–9.
- 76. Abdelghaffar W, Hantera M, Elsabagh H. Corneal collagen cross-linking: promises and problems. Br J Ophthalmol. 2010;94:1559–60.
- 77. Ashwin PT, McDonnell PJ. Collagen cross-linkage: a comprehensive review and directions for future research. Br J Ophthalmol. 2010;94:965–70.
- Torricelli AA, Ford MR, Singh V, Santhiago MR, Dupps WJ, Wilson SE. BAC-EDTA transepithelial riboflavin-UVA crosslinking has greater biomechanical stiffening effect than standard epithelium-off in rabbit corneas. Exp Eye Res. 2014;125:114–7.
- Rechichi M, Daya S, Scorcia V, Meduri A, Scorcia G. Epithelial-disruption collagen crosslinking for keratoconus: one-year results. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2013;39:1171–8.
- Acar BT, Utine CA, Ozturk V, Acar S, Ciftci F. Can the effect of transepithelial corneal collagen cross-linking be improved by increasing the duration of topical riboflavin application? An in vivo confocal microscopy study. Eye Contact Lens. 2014;40(4):207–12.
- Lesniak SP, Hersh PS. Transepithelial corneal collagen crosslinking for keratoconus: six-month results. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2014;40:1971–9.
- De Bernardo M, Capasso L, Tortori A, Lanza M, Caliendo L, Rosa N. Trans epithelial corneal collagen crosslinking for progressive keratoconus: 6 months follow up. Cont Lens Anterior Eye. 2014;37:438–41.
- Khairy HA, Marey HM, Ellakwa AF. Epithelium-on corneal cross-linking treatment of progressive keratoconus: a prospective, consecutive study. Clin Ophthalmol. 2014;8:819–23.
- Salman AG. Transepithelial corneal collagen crosslinking for progressive keratoconus in a pediatric age group. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2013;39:1164–70.
- Caporossi A, Mazzotta C, Paradiso AL, Baiocchi S, Marigliani D, Caporossi T. Transepithelial corneal collagen crosslinking for progressive keratoconus: 24-month clinical results. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2013;39:1157–63.

- Buzzonetti L, Petrocelli G. Transepithelial corneal cross-linking in pediatric patients: early results. J Refract Surg. 2012;28:763–7.
- 87. Spadea L, Mencucci R. Transepithelial corneal collagen cross-linking in ultrathin keratoconic corneas. Clin Ophthalmol. 2012;6:1785–92.
- Filippello M, Stagni E, O'Brart D. Transepithelial corneal collagen crosslinking: bilateral study. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2012;38:283–91.
- Leccisotti A, Islam T. Transepithelial corneal collagen cross-linking in keratoconus. J Refract Surg. 2010;26:942–8.
- Mastropasqua L, Lanzini M, Curcio C, Calienno R, Mastropasqua R, Colasante M, et al. Structural modifications and tissue response after standard epi-off and iontophoretic corneal crosslinking with different irradiation procedures. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2014;55:2526–33.
- Mastropasqua L, Nubile M, Calienno R, Mattei PA, Pedrotti E, Salgari N, et al. Corneal cross-linking: intrastromal riboflavin concentration in iontophoresisassisted imbibition versus traditional and transepithelial techniques. Am J Ophthalmol. 2014;157:623–30.
- Mencucci R, Ambrosini S, Paladini I, Favuzza E, Boccalini C, Raugei G, et al. Early effects of corneal collagen cross-linking by iontophoresis in ex vivo human corneas. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2015;253:277–86.
- Cassagne M, Laurent C, Rodrigues M, Galinier A, Spoerl E, Galiacy SD, et al. Iontophoresis transcorneal delivery technique for transepithelial corneal collagen crosslinking with riboflavin in a rabbit model. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2014. doi:10.1167/iovs.13-12595.
- Lombardo M, Serrao S, Rosati M, Ducoli P, Lombardo G. Biomechanical changes in the human cornea after transepithelial corneal crosslinking using iontophoresis. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2014;40:1706–15.
- Buzzonetti L, Petrocelli G, Valente P, Iarossi G, Ardia R, Petroni S. Iontophoretic transepithelial corneal cross-linking to halt keratoconus in pediatric cases: 15-month follow-up. Cornea. 2015;34:512–5.
- Bikbova G, Bikbov M. Transepithelial corneal collagen cross-linking by iontophoresis of riboflavin. Acta Ophthalmol. 2014;92:30–4.
- Vinciguerra P, Randleman JB, Romano V, Legrottaglie EF, Rosetta P, Camesasca FI, et al. Transepithelial iontophoresis corneal collagen cross-linking for progressive keratoconus: initial clinical outcomes. J Refract Surg. 2014;30:746–53.
- Chan TC, Chow VW, Jhanji V, Wong VW. Different topographic response between mild to moderate and advanced keratoconus after accelerated collagen cross-linking. Cornea. 2015;34:922–7.
- Ozgurhan EB, Akcay BI, Kurt T, Yildirim Y, Demirok A. Accelerated corneal collagen cross-linking in thin keratoconic corneas. J Refract Surg. 2015; 31:386–90.
- Marino GK, Torricelli AA, Giacomin N, Santhiago MR, Espindola R, Netto MV. Accelerated corneal collagen cross-linking for postoperative LASIK Ectasia: two-year outcomes. J Refract Surg. 2015;31:380–4.
- Waszczykowska A, Jurowski P. Two-year accelerated corneal cross-linking outcome in patients with progressive keratoconus. Biomed Res Int. 2015; 2015:325157. doi:10.1155/2015/325157.
- Ozgurhan EB, Kara N, Cankaya KI, Kurt T, Demirok A. Accelerated corneal cross-linking in pediatric patients with keratoconus: 24-month outcomes. J Refract Surg. 2014;30:843–9.
- Shetty R, Nagaraja H, Jayadev C, Pahuja NK, Kurian Kummelil M, Nuijts RM. Accelerated corneal collagen cross-linking in pediatric patients: two-year follow-up results. Biomed Res Int. 2014;2014:894095. doi:10.1155/2014/ 894095.
- Elbaz U, Shen C, Lichtinger A, Zauberman NA, Goldich Y, Chan CC, et al. Accelerated (9-mW/cm2) corneal collagen crosslinking for keratoconus-A 1-year follow-up. Cornea. 2014;33:769–73.
- Cinar Y, Cingü AK, Turkcu FM, Yüksel H, Sahin A, Yıldırım A, et al. Accelerated corneal collagen cross-linking for progressive keratoconus. Cutan Ocul Toxicol. 2014;33:168–71.
- Ng AL, Chan TC, Cheng AC. Conventional versus accelerated corneal collagen cross-linking in the treatment of keratoconus. Clin Experiment Ophthalmol. 2015. doi:10.1111/ceo.12571.
- 107. Shetty R, Pahuja NK, Nuijts RM, Ajani A, Jayadev C, Sharma C, et al. Current protocols of corneal collagen cross-linking: visual, refractive, and tomographic outcomes. Am J Ophthalmol. 2015;160:243–9.
- Rossi S, Orrico A, Santamaria C, Romano V, De Rosa L, Simonelli F, et al. Standard versus trans-epithelial collagen cross-linking in keratoconus patients suitable for standard collagen cross-linking. Clin Ophthalmol. 2015;9:503–9.
- 109. Brittingham S, Tappeiner C, Frueh BE. Corneal cross-linking in keratoconus using the standard and rapid treatment protocol: differences in

demarcation line and 12-month outcomes. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2014; 55:8371–6.

- Hashemian H, Jabbarvand M, Khodaparast M, Ameli K. Evaluation of corneal changes after conventional versus accelerated corneal cross-linking: a randomized controlled trial. J Refract Surg. 2014;30:837–42.
- 111. Sherif AM. Accelerated versus conventional corneal collagen cross-linking in the treatment of mild keratoconus: a comparative study. Clin Ophthalmol. 2014;8:1435–40.
- Stojanovic A, Zhou W, Utheim TP. Corneal collagen cross-linking with and without epithelial removal: a contralateral study with 0.5% hypotonic riboflavin solution. Biomed Res Int. 2014;2014;619398. doi:10.1155/2014/619398.
- Tomita M, Mita M, Huseynova T. Accelerated versus conventional corneal collagen crosslinking. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2014;40:1013–20.
- 114. Cınar Y, Cingü AK, Türkcü FM, Çınar T, Yüksel H, Özkurt ZG, et al. Comparison of accelerated and conventional corneal collagen cross-linking for progressive keratoconus. Cutan Ocul Toxicol. 2014;33:218–22.
- Magli A, Forte R, Tortori A, Capasso L, Marsico G, Piozzi E. Epithelium-off corneal collagen cross-linking versus transepithelial cross-linking for pediatric keratoconus. Cornea. 2013;32:597–601.
- 116. Alpins N, Stamatelatos G. Customized photoastigmatic refractive keratectomy using combined topographic and refractive data for myopia and astigmatism in eyes with forme fruste and mild keratoconus. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2007; 33:591–602.
- 117. Chelala E, Rami HE, Dirani A, Fadlallah A, Fakhoury O, Warrak E. Photorefractive keratectomy in patients with mild to moderate stable keratoconus: A five-year prospective follow-up study. Clin Ophthalmol. 2013;7:1923–8.
- Guedj M, Saad A, Audureau E, Gatinel D. Photorefractive keratectomy in patients with suspected keratoconus: Five-year follow-up. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2013;39:66–73.
- Cennamo G, Intravaja A, Boccuzzi D, Marotta G, Cennamo G. Treatment of keratoconus by topography-guided customized photorefractive keratectomy: Two-year follow-up study. J Refract Surg. 2008;24:145–9.
- Bilgihan K, Ozdek SC, Konuk O, Akata F, Hasanreisoglu B. Results of photorefractive keratectomy in keratoconus suspects at 4 years. J Refract Surg. 2000;16:438–43.
- 121. Stojanovic A, Zhang J, Chen X, Nitter TA, Chen S, Wang Q. Topographyguided transepithelial surface ablation followed by corneal collagen crosslinking performed in a single combined procedure for the treatment of keratoconus and pellucid marginal degeneration. J Refract Surg. 2010;26: 145–52.
- Kymionis GD, Kontadakis GA, Kounis GA, Portaliou DM, Karavitaki AE, Magarakis M, et al. Simultaneous topography-guided PRK followed by corneal collagen cross-linking for keratoconus. J Refract Surg. 2009;25:S807–11.
- Alessio G, L'Abbate M, Sborgia C, La Tegola MG. Photorefractive keratectomy followed by cross-linking versus cross-linking alone for management of progressive keratoconus: two-year follow-up. Am J Ophthalmol. 2013;155:54–65.
- 124. Fadlallah A, Dirani A, Chelala E, Antonios R, Cherfan G, Jarade E. Nontopography-guided PRK combined with CXL for the correction of refractive errors in patients with early stage keratoconus. J Refract Surg. 2014;30:688–93.
- 125. Kanellopoulos AJ, Binder PS. Collagen cross-linking (CCL) with sequential topography-guided PRK; a temporizing alternative for keratoconus to penetrating keratoplasty. Cornea. 2007;26:891–5.
- 126. Güell JL, Verdaguer P, Elies D, Gris O, Manero F. Persistent stromal scar after PRK and CXL: different preoperative findings, similar complication. J Refract Surg. 2015;31:211–2.
- 127. Kymionis GD, Portaliou DM, Diakonis VF, Kontadakis GA, Krasia MS, Papadiamantis AG, et al. Posterior linear stromal haze formation after simultaneous photorefractive keratectomy followed by corneal collagen cross-linking. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2010;51:5030–3.
- 128. Güell JL, Verdaguer P, Elies D, Gris O, Manero F. Late onset of a persistent, deep stromal scarring after PRK and corneal cross-linking in a patient with forme fruste keratoconus. J Refract Surg. 2014;30:286–8.
- 129. Saelens IEY, Bartels MC, Bleyen I, Van Rij G. Refractive, topographic, and visual outcomes of same-day corneal cross-linking with Ferrara intracorneal ring segments in patients with progressive keratoconus. Cornea. 2011;30: 1406–8.
- Kılıç A, Kamburoglu G, Akıncı A. Riboflavin injection into the corneal channel for combined collagen crosslinking and intrastromal corneal ring segment implantation. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2012;38:878–83.

- Coskunseven E, Jankov II MR, Hafezi F, Atun S, Arslan E, Kymionis GD. Effect of treatment sequence in combined intrastromal corneal rings and corneal collagen crosslinking for keratoconus. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2009;35:2084–91.
- Çakir H, Pekel G, Perente I, Genç S. Comparison of intrastromal corneal ring segment implantation only and in combination with collagen crosslinking for keratoconus. Eur J Ophthalmol. 2013;23:629–34.
- Yeung SN, Lichtinger A, Ku JY, Kim P, Low SA, Rootman DS. Intracorneal ring segment explantation after intracorneal ring segment implantation combined with same-day corneal collagen crosslinking in keratoconus. Cornea. 2013;32:1617–20.
- Tabibian D, Richoz O, Hafezi F. PACK-CXL: Corneal Cross-linking for Treatment of Infectious Keratitis. J Ophthalmic Vis Res. 2015;10:77–80.
- 135. Goodrich RP. The use of riboflavin for inactivation of pathogens in blood products. Vox Sang. 2000;78:211–5.
- 136. Martins SA, Combs JC, Noguera G, Camacho W, Wittmann P, Walther R, et al. Antimicrobial efficacy of riboflavin/UVA combination (365 nm) in vitro for bacterial and fungal isolates: a potential new treatment for infectious keratitis. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2008;49(8):3402–8.
- 137. Iseli HP, Thiel MA, Hafezi F, Kampmeier J, Seiler T. Ultraviolet A/riboflavin corneal cross-linking for infectious keratitis associated with corneal melts. Cornea. 2008;27:590–4.
- Alio JL, Abbouda A, Valle DD, Del Castillo JM, Fernandez JA. Corneal cross linking and infectious keratitis: a systematic review with a meta-analysis of reported cases. J Ophthalmic Inflamm Infect. 2013;3:47.
- 139. Said DG, Elalfy MS, Gatzioufas Z, El-Zakzouk ES, Hassan MA, Saif MY, et al. Collagen cross-linking with photoactivated riboflavin (PACK-CXL) for the treatment of advanced infectious keratitis with corneal melting. Ophthalmology. 2014;121:1377–82.
- 140. Sorkin N, Varssano D. Corneal collagen crosslinking: a systematic review. Ophthalmologica. 2014;232:10–27.
- 141. Ghanem RC, Santhiago MR, Berti TB, Thomaz S, Netto MV. Collagen crosslinking with riboflavin and ultraviolet-A in eyes with pseudophakic bullous keratopathy. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2010;36:273–6.
- 142. Sharma N, Roy S, Maharana PK, Sehra SV, Sinha R, Tandon R, et al. Outcomes of corneal collagen crosslinking in pseudophakic bullous keratopathy. Cornea. 2014;33:243–6.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

