



# Insights into the Phylogeny, Nodule Function, and Biogeographic Distribution of Microsymbionts Nodulating the Orphan Kersting's Groundnut [*Macrotyloma geocarpum* (Harms) Marechal & Baudet] in African Soils

<sup>(b)</sup> Mustapha Mohammed,<sup>a</sup> <sup>(b)</sup> Sanjay K. Jaiswal,<sup>b</sup> <sup>(b)</sup> Felix D. Dakora<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Crop Sciences, Tshwane University of Technology, Pretoria, South Africa <sup>b</sup>Chemistry Department, Tshwane University of Technology, Pretoria, South Africa

ABSTRACT Kersting's groundnut [Macrotyloma geocarpum (Harms) Marechal & Baudet] is a neglected indigenous African legume adapted to growth in N-deficient soils due to its ability to fix atmospheric  $N_2$  via symbiosis with rhizobia. Despite its nutritional and medicinal uses, to date there is little information on the phylogeny and functional traits of its microsymbionts, aspects that are much needed for its conservation and improvement. This study explored the morphogenetic diversity, phylogenetic relationships, and N<sub>2</sub>-fixing efficiency of Kersting's groundnut rhizobial isolates from contrasting environments in Ghana, South Africa, and Mozambique. BOX-PCR fingerprinting revealed high diversity among the rhizobial populations, which was influenced by geographic origin. Of the 164 isolates evaluated, 130 BOX-PCR types were identified at a 70% similarity coefficient, indicating that they were not clones. Soil pH and mineral concentrations were found to influence the distribution of bradyrhizobial populations in African soils. Phylogenetic analysis of 16S rRNA genes and multilocus sequence analysis of protein-coding genes (atpD, glnll, gyrB, and rpoB) and symbiotic genes (nifH and nodC) showed that Kersting's groundnut is primarily nodulated by members of the genus Bradyrhizobium, which are closely related to Bradyrhizobium vignae 7-2<sup>T</sup>, Bradyrhizobium kavangense 14-3<sup>T</sup>, Bradyrhizobium subterraneum 58-2-1<sup>T</sup>, Bradyrhizobium pachyrhizi PAC48<sup>T</sup>, the type strain of Bradyrhizobium elkanii, and novel groups of Bradyrhizobium species. The bradyrhizobial populations identified exhibited high N<sub>2</sub> fixation and induced greater nodulation, leaf chlorophyll concentration, and photosynthetic rates in their homologous host than did the 5 mM KNO<sub>3</sub>-fed plants and/or the commercial Bradyrhizobium sp. strain CB756, suggesting that they could be good candidates for inoculant formulations upon field testing.

**IMPORTANCE** Rhizobia play important roles in agroecosystems, where they contribute to improving overall soil health through their symbiotic relationship with legumes. This study explored the microsymbionts nodulating Kersting's groundnut, a neglected orphan legume. The results revealed the presence of different bradyrhizobial populations with high N<sub>2</sub>-fixing efficiencies as the dominant symbionts of this legume across diverse agroecologies in Africa. Our findings represent a useful contribution to the literature in terms of the community of microsymbionts nodulating a neglected cultivated legume and its potential for elevation as a major food crop. The presence of potentially novel bradyrhizobial symbionts of Kersting's groundnut found in this study offers an opportunity for future studies to properly describe, characterize, and delineate these isolates functionally and phylogenetically for use in inoculant production to enhance food/nutritional security.

**KEYWORDS** *Bradyrhizobium*, legume-rhizobium symbiosis, genetic diversity, photosynthesis, phylogeny

Citation Mohammed M, Jaiswal SK, Dakora FD. 2019. Insights into the phylogeny, nodule function, and biogeographic distribution of microsymbionts nodulating the orphan Kersting's groundnut [*Macrotyloma geocarpum* (Harms) Marechal & Baudet] in African soils. Appl Environ Microbiol 85:e00342-19. https:// doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00342-19.

Editor Claire Vieille, Michigan State University

**Copyright** © 2019 Mohammed et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Sanjay K. Jaiswal, sanjaysiswa@gmail.com, or Felix D. Dakora, DakoraFD@tut.ac.za.

Received 12 February 2019 Accepted 23 March 2019

Accepted manuscript posted online 5 April 2019

Published 16 May 2019

Kersting's groundnut [*Macrotyloma geocarpum* (Harms) Marechal & Baudet] is an indigenous African legume which is native to the regions around Togo and central Benin in West Africa (1). This crop is currently cultivated at the subsistence level by older farmers in Ghana and neighboring West African countries (2, 3). It has great potential as a food security crop because of the high protein level (23.1%) and the essential amino acid composition of the grain (4). Kersting's groundnut is a drought-tolerant legume (5) and is also adapted to growth in N-deficient soils due to its ability to fix atmospheric N<sub>2</sub> when in symbiosis with soil bacteria called rhizobia. The symbiotic process leads to a high supply of N to the plant and the production of substantial grain yield without external chemical inputs (6, 7). Despite its N<sub>2</sub>-fixing ability, as well as its nutritional and medicinal benefits (2, 8, 9), Kersting's groundnut is labeled as an orphan crop and is endangered due to neglect by farmers and researchers (10).

As a grain legume adapted to growth under drought and nutrient limitations in African soils (11), Kersting's groundnut probably harbors novel rhizobia with superior  $N_2$ -fixing traits under those conditions. However, other than the crop being nodulated by *Bradyrhizobium* sp. strain CB756 under glasshouse conditions (8), there is currently no information on its specific microsymbionts in Africa, its continent of origin. This is despite the fact that studies of rhizobial symbionts of underutilized legumes, such as Kersting's groundnut, in previously unexplored environments could lead to the identification of compatible and effective rhizobial strains that can support the species' survival in such locations. For example, similar studies involving the underutilized Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* L. Verdc.) revealed the presence of potentially novel microsymbionts responsible for its nodulation in Ghanaian and South African soils (12).

Several studies have reported the presence of high diversity among rhizobial populations responsible for grain legume nodulation in African soils (12-18). Globally, the list of N<sub>2</sub>-fixing rhizobia responsible for legume nodulation consists of over 100 species from 14 bacterial genera originating from diverse legumes and contrasting environments across different continents (19). These sets of information have contributed significantly to the current progress in tapping the benefits of the legumerhizobium symbiosis for crop production, especially through the use of inoculant formulations with superior N<sub>2</sub>-fixing rhizobial strains (20, 21). However, much remains to be done, as inoculation failures are often encountered when inoculant strains are introduced into new environments (7, 20, 22), thus stressing the need to bioprospect for effective and highly adapted indigenous rhizobial strains for grain legume nodulation in their native environments. Although rhizobial population studies have contributed significantly to our current understanding of the factors influencing their distribution and survival in diverse environments, it is their  $N_2$ -fixing efficacy that is often considered a prerequisite for their selection as strains for inoculant production. Assessing the symbiotic efficiency of rhizobial strains alongside their diversity and phylogeny is therefore a useful approach to tap the agricultural and ecological benefits of the legume-rhizobium symbiosis (15, 21). During the symbiotic process, which commences via intricate molecular dialogue between legumes and their compatible rhizobia, bacteroids in root nodules exchange fixed N for C compounds from photosynthesis (23). As a result, photosynthetic rates and shoot biomass accumulation are often used as measures of  $N_2$ -fixing efficiency in purely symbiotic systems (14).

Despite the nutritional and medicinal value of Kersting's groundnut, as well as its potential as a food/nutritional security crop, there is currently little information on the microsymbionts associated with its nodulation in African soils. The aim of this study was to provide a detailed insight into the morphogenetic diversity, phylogenetic positions, biogeographic distribution, and symbiotic functioning of indigenous rhizobia associated with Kersting's groundnut nodulation in Ghana, South Africa, and Mozambique. Although the crop is native to West Africa, this study further explored the potential wider distribution of its microsymbionts outside the cultivation areas (South Africa and Mozambique). The possible effects of host plant and soil factors on the diversity and biogeography of rhizobial isolates were also explored using multivariate analysis.

## RESULTS

**Colony types, morphology, and isolate nodulation ability.** A total of 275 bacterial isolates were obtained from root nodules of Kersting's groundnut collected from Ghana, South Africa, and Mozambique. Of these isolates, only 164 (60%) could form root nodules on the homologous Kersting's groundnut host under glasshouse conditions. The nodules induced by the isolates had prominent lenticels and were either brown or black, with the majority located on the crown region of the root. With the exception of isolates TUTMGSA172, TUTMGSA179, and TUTMGSA182 from Klipplaat-drift, South Africa, which were extremely slow in growth (20 to 26 days to first colony appearance), the remaining isolates (98%) were visible on yeast mannitol agar (YMA) plates between 4 and 12 days of incubation at  $28^{\circ}$ C (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). Colony diameters ranged from <1 mm to 1 mm for 83% of the test isolates, while the remaining 17% had colony diameters ranging from 2 to 4 mm (Table S1).

**Repetitive extragenic palindromic-PCR (BOX-PCR) fingerprints of Kersting's groundnut isolates.** Genomic fingerprinting of Kersting's groundnut isolates by BOX-PCR revealed various band sizes ranging from 443 bp to 4,900 bp. The constructed dendrogram grouped the 164 test isolates into 23 major clusters (clusters A to W) comprising 130 BOX-PCR types at a cutoff point of 70% similarity level (Fig. 1). The results of the BOX-PCR analysis showed the presence of country-specific, as well as mixed-country, clustering (Fig. 1). Clusters B, J, K, M, and T comprised only isolates from the locations in Ghana, just as clusters D, E, F, Q, and R contained only isolates from Nelspruit and Klipplaatdrift in South Africa (Fig. 1 and Table S1). In contrast, the remaining 14 major clusters (namely, clusters A, C, G, H, I, J, L, N, O, P, S, U, V, and W) were mixed and contained isolates from the three countries (Fig. 1 and Table S1). Clusters A, L, and N were the most heterogeneous and contained isolates from locations in Ghana, South Africa, and Mozambique (Fig. 1 and Table S1).

The results of BOX-PCR analysis showed that many clusters contained isolates from nodules of different landraces (Table S1). Moreover, the diversity of the test isolates was markedly influenced by their geographic origin. When considered on a per-location basis, the Kersting's groundnut isolates obtained from Nelspruit in South Africa were the most genetically diverse and were present in 13 out of the 23 major BOX-PCR clusters identified (Fig. 2). The isolates obtained from Damongo in Ghana and those from Klipplaatdrift in South Africa were the next group of genetically diverse populations and occupied 10 and 9 clusters, respectively, out of the 23 major clusters (Fig. 2). In contrast, the isolates from Gbalahi and Sognaayili in Ghana were the least diverse populations, probably because of the lower number of isolates obtained from Nyankpala site 2 (10 isolates) and Nyankpala site 1 (18 isolates), the two populations were similarly diverse and occupied seven clusters each. On the other hand, the 20 isolates from Muriaze in Mozambique were distributed in six clusters (Fig. 2).

Just as the diversity of test isolates varied with geographic origin, it also varied depending on the landrace used as a trap host. The isolates obtained from the Belane Mottled landrace were the least diverse and occupied only 7 out of the 23 major clusters, probably because of their smaller population (Fig. S1). In contrast, the most diverse rhizobial populations were obtained from root nodules of the landrace Funsi, which occupied 18 clusters, followed by those from nodules of landraces Dowie, Sigiri, Puffeun, and then Boli, which were present in 15, 15, 13, and 11 clusters, respectively (Fig. S1). Interestingly, except for the isolates from the Belane Mottled landrace (brown color), which were the least diverse, the other brown-pigmented (Dowie, Funsi, and Sigiri) and black-pigmented (Puffeun) Kersting's groundnut landraces harbored more diverse rhizobial populations than did the white-seeded Boli (Fig. S1).

Effect of soil chemical properties on the distribution of bradyrhizobia nodulating Kersting's groundnut. To explore the correlations between the distribution of bradyrhizobial isolates and soil chemical properties of the study locations, a canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) ordination graph was constructed with only those

#### Mohammed et al.



FIG 1 Genomic fingerprints of 164 Kersting's groundnut rhizobial microsymbionts from Ghana, South Africa, and Mozambique. Larger uppercase letters on the right indicate major clusters. Isolates having distinct BOX-PCR profiles (Continued on next page)



variables (pH and Ca, K, Mg, Mn, Na, and P concentrations) which showed significant influence on bradyrhizobial distribution ( $P \le 0.05$  at permutation 999) (Fig. 3). The results of the CCA revealed a total mean square contingency coefficient (inertia) of 22.34, of which 53% was explained by both CCA1 and CCA2 axes. Soil Na and P concentrations showed stronger correlations with the positive direction of the first canonical axis (CCA1), while Ca and Mg correlated with the same CCA1 axis but in the

## FIG 1 Legend (Continued)

at a cutoff point of 70% similarity (indicated by the vertical red line) are numbered. Where consecutive isolates possess unique PCR profiles, the numbering is skipped and continued at the next group of isolates. Cluster analysis was done with the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) algorithm using the software BioNumerics 7.6.



**FIG 2** Geographic origin of rhizobial isolates, as well as the distribution of isolates from study locations in different BOX-PCR clusters. For each location, the number of segments indicates the number of BOX-PCR clusters occupied by isolates from that location. Uppercase letters in each segment represent the labels of BOX-PCR clusters as indicated in Fig. 1. The area of each segment is proportional to the number of isolates from a given location occupying that cluster. N, number of different rhizobial isolates.

negative direction (Fig. 3). Similarly, soil pH and K concentration showed significant correlation in opposite directions with the second canonical axis (CCA2). Furthermore, the concentrations of soil P, Na, Mg, and Ca had a greater influence on the distribution of microsymbionts present on the CCA1 axis, just as pH and soil K concentration showed a greater influence on the isolates on the CCA2 axis. The isolates from Nyankpala were more influenced by soil pH than were isolates from other locations (Fig. 3).

Phylogeny of Kersting's groundnut isolates based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing. PCR amplification of the 16S rRNA gene yielded bands of approximately 1,500 bp for the 41 representative isolates selected from the different BOX-PCR clusters. Phylogenetic analysis of the 16S rRNA gene assigned the 41 test isolates to seven groups (groups I to VII) within the genus Bradyrhizobium (Fig. 4). In group I, isolates TUTMGGH10, TUTMGGH12, TUTMGGH56, TUTMGGH77, and TUTMGGH86 from Ghana shared 98.3 to 100% sequence similarity with B. kavangense 14-3<sup>T</sup> and B. subterraneum 58 2-1<sup>T</sup> (Fig. 4). Group II comprised isolates from Mozambigue and South Africa (sharing 99.7 to 100% sequence similarity with each other) which stood apart from any reference type strains but shared 99.7 to 99.8% sequence similarity with *B. kavangense* 14-3<sup>T</sup> (Fig. 4). In group III, isolates TUTMGMZQ190, TUTMGMZQ195, and TUTMGMZQ206 (95.3 to 100% sequence similarity), each obtained from nodules of a different landrace in Mozambigue (Table S1), stood separately from any reference type strains but shared 95.1 to 99.7% sequence similarity with Bradyrhizobium cajani AMBPC1010<sup>T</sup>, Bradyrhizobium liaoningense 2281 (USDA 3622)<sup>T</sup>, Bradyrhizobium ottawaense OO99<sup>T</sup>, and B. kavangense 14-3<sup>T</sup>, the most closely related reference type strains. Interestingly, each of the isolates in group III occupied a different BOX-PCR cluster (Fig. 1 and 4). In group IV, isolates TUTMGSA123, TUTMGSA137, and TUTMGSA145 (95.3% to 100% sequence similarity) from Nelspruit in South Africa shared close relatedness to Bradyrhizobium huanghuaihaiense, Bradyrhizobium ingae, and Bradyrhizobium iriomotense, with 99.5 to 100% sequence similarity (Fig. 4). Again, the three isolates in group IV each occupied



FIG 3 Canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) illustrating the influence of soil chemical properties on the distribution of bradyrhizobia isolated from root nodules of Kersting's groundnut from contrasting locations in Ghana, South Africa, and Mozambique.

a different BOX-PCR cluster and were also obtained from nodules of different Kersting's groundnut landraces (Fig. 1 and 4). Although most clusters contained isolates from the same country, isolate TUTMGSA125 from South Africa grouped with other isolates from different locations in Ghana (group VI) (93.3 to 100% sequence similarity) and shared 93.6 to 99.7% sequence similarity with *Bradyrhizobium ganzhouense* RITF806<sup>T</sup>, *B. huang-huaihaiense* CCBAU 23303<sup>T</sup>, *B. ingae*, and *B. iriomotense* (Fig. 4). Group VII was the largest and similarly comprised isolates from Ghana and South Africa, which shared close relatedness and had 97.8 to 100% sequence similarity with *B. elkanii* and *B. pachyrhizi* PAC48<sup>T</sup> (Fig. 4).

**Phylogeny based on housekeeping genes** *atpD, glnll, gyrB*, and *rpoB*. PCR amplification of the *atpD*, *glnll*, *gyrB*, and *rpoB* genes, which code for ATP synthase subunit beta, glutamine synthetase II, DNA gyrase subunit B, and RNA polymerase subunit B, respectively, produced 600-bp, 650-bp, 600-bp, and 550-bp PCR-amplified products. The sequences of *atpD*, *glnll*, *gyrB*, and *rpoB* were obtained for 34, 43, 40, and 43 isolates, respectively. The variations in the number of sequences obtained were due to the poor quality of some sequences. The individual gene-based phylogenies were congruent with each other and with the 16S rRNA-based phylogeny, with few excep-





**FIG 4** Maximum likelihood molecular phylogenetic analysis of native Kersting's groundnut isolates from Ghana, South Africa, and Mozambique based on 16S rRNA gene sequences. The analysis involved 90 nucleotide sequences. Roman numerals indicate the labels assigned to each cluster. Accession numbers are listed in parentheses next to the type strain names.

tions, and thus placed the test isolates in distinct clusters within the genus *Bradyrhizobium* (Fig. S2 to S5). For example, although isolates TUTMGSA169 and TUTMGSA170 were distantly related in the 16S rRNA phylogeny, the two isolates were tightly grouped in the *atpD* phylogeny (group VI) and shared a close relationship with isolates TUTMGSA181, TUTMGSA160, and TUTMGSA162 in groups V, V, and IV in the *glnll*, *gyrB*, and *rpoB* phylogenies, respectively (Fig. 4 and S2 to S5). Similarly, although isolate TUTMGGH77 showed close relatedness to TUTMGSA160, TUTMGSA162, and TUT-MGSA181 in the *atpD* phylogeny, the isolate consistently shared a closer relationship with *B. kavangense* in the *glnll*, *gyrB*, and *rpoB* phylogenies, with 100%, 100%, and 99% sequence similarity, respectively, and 99% bootstrap support (Fig. 4 and S2 to S5).

Phylogenetic analysis of concatenated sequences. Due to variations in the number of sequences obtained for each gene, two concatenated phylogenetic analyses were carried out based on the sequences of *atpD-glnll-gyrB-rpoB* for 32 isolates (Fig. S6) and *qlnll-gyrB-rpoB* for 40 isolates (Fig. 5). The phylogenies based on both concatenated sequences were congruent and successfully refined the discrepancies in the singlegene phylogenies. In both concatenated trees, the test isolates were placed in 10 distinct phylogenetic clusters (clusters I to X) belonging to the genus Bradyrhizobium (Fig. 5 and S6). As in the individual gene phylogenies, most of the Kersting's groundnut isolates were highly divergent from the known Bradyrhizobium type strains in the concatenated phylograms. Except for isolates TUTMGSA108, TUTMGSA109, TUTMGSA121, TUTMGSA126, and TUTMGSA179 from South Africa, which grouped with *B. pachyrhizi* PAC48<sup>T</sup> (98.1 to 99.0% sequence similarity), and isolate TUTMGGH77 from Ghana, which also showed close relatedness to B. kavangense  $14-3^{T}$  (99.0% to 99.7% sequence similarity) in the *atpD-glnll-gyrB-rpoB* and glnll-gyrB-rpoB phylogenies, the remaining isolates formed distinct clusters with high divergence from the reference type strains (Fig. 5 and S6). Although isolate TUTMGGH52 grouped with B. vignae  $7-2^{T}$  in the 16S rRNA, glnll, and rpoB phylogenies, with 99.6%, 99.1%, and 100% sequence similarity, respectively, the isolate shared a closer relationship with B. subterraneum 54  $1-1^{T}$  in the atpD and gyrB phylogenies, with 96.6% and 96.9% sequence identities, respectively, due to the absence of the *B. vignae* 7-2<sup>T</sup> strain in the *gyrB* phylogram (Fig. S2 to S5). Consequently, isolate TUTMGGH52 grouped with B. subterraneum 54  $1-1^{T}$  in the atpDglnll-gyrB-rpoB phylogeny (group II), with 96.6% sequence identity and 71% bootstrap support (Fig. S6), and together with isolates TUTMGGH14, TUTMGGH55, TUTMGGH59, and TUTMGGH80 formed group I in the glnll-gyrB-rpoB phylogeny and showed close relatedness to *B. subterraneum* 54 1-1<sup>T</sup> (96.6% sequence identity and 99% bootstrap support) (Fig. 5). Isolates TUTMGGH9, TUTMGGH92, and TUTMGGH94 from Ghana (group IX of both concatenated phylograms) showed a high divergence from the reference type strains but shared sequence similarities of 95.7 to 95.8% with *B. pachyrhizi* PAC48<sup>T</sup> and 96.5 to 96.6% with *Bradyrhizobium viridifuturi* SEMIA 690<sup>T</sup>, the most closely related type strains (Fig. 5 and S6). Although most isolates from the same country grouped together, they often originated from nodules of different hosts (Fig. 5 and S6).

**Phylogeny based on symbiosis-related (***nifH* **and** *nodC***) genes. PCR amplification of the** *nifH* **and** *nodC* **genes yielded 800- and 300-bp band sizes. A total of 35 and 28 sequences were obtained for the** *nifH* **and** *nodC* **genes of the test isolates, respectively. The** *nifH***- and** *nodC***-based phylogenies were congruent with the concatenated gene phylogenies, albeit with few discrepancies (Fig. 5, 6, S6, and S7). For example, although isolates TUTMGGH14, TUTMGGH52, TUTMGGH55, TUTMGGH59, and TUTMGGH80 from Ghana grouped together in all single-gene phylogenies (but were absent in the** *atpD* **phylogeny, except for TUTMGGH52) and in the concatenated** *glnll-gyrB-rpoB* **phylogeny, isolate TUTMGGH80 diverged from the other isolates in the** *nifH* **phylogeny (Fig. S7) and from isolate TUTMGGH14 in the** *nodC* **phylogeny (Fig. 6). As found with the individual housekeeping gene phylogenies, isolate TUTMGGH14 clustered with TUTMGGH52, TUTMGGH59 as** *B. vignae* **in group II of the** *nifH* 



**FIG 5** Maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis of Kersting's groundnut rhizobial isolates based on concatenated sequences of the *glnll-gyrB-rpoB* genes. The analysis involved 72 nucleotide sequences. Roman numerals indicate the labels assigned to each cluster. For each isolate, the host landrace is indicated by

(Continued on next page)

phylogeny (Fig. S7), but it also clustered with isolates TUTMGGH77 and TUTMGSA125 from Ghana and South Africa, respectively, in the *nodC* phylogeny (group III), with 81.7 to 96.7% sequence similarity and 58% bootstrap support (Fig. 6). Furthermore, though isolate TUTMGSA123 belonged to the *B. japonicum* group in all housekeeping gene and *nifH* gene phylogenies, it clustered with other isolates from Ghana and South Africa from the *B. elkanii* group in the *nodC* phylogeny (90.3 to 100% sequence similarity and 95% bootstrap support in group V) and together with those isolates shared 95.6 to 98.9% sequence similarity with *Bradyrhizobium embrapense* SEMIA 6208<sup>T</sup>, *Bradyrhizobium tropiciagri* SEMIA 6148<sup>T</sup>, and *Bradyrhizobium viridifuturi* SEMIA 690<sup>T</sup>, members of *B. viridifuturi* sv. tropici in that phylogram (Fig. 6). The remaining isolates formed distinct clusters in the *nodC* phylogeny and showed high divergence from all the known reference type strains or symbiovars (Fig. 6). As with the concatenated trees, all the phylogenetic groups in the *nodC* and *nifH* phylograms contained isolates from different hosts (Fig. 6 and S7).

Symbiotic efficiency and photosynthetic functioning induced by isolates. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) revealed variable nodulation (nodule number and nodule dry matter [DM]), shoot dry matter accumulation (shoot DM), photosynthetic rates (A), stomatal conductance (gs), plant transpiration (E), leaf chlorophyll content, and relative symbiotic efficiency among representative isolates used in the concatenated glnll-gyrB-rpoB phylogenetic analysis (Table 1). The isolates from Mozambique which formed two separate clusters (groups VI and VII) in the *qlnII-gyrB-rpoB* phylogram, induced more nodules than did the commercial Bradyrhizobium sp. strain CB756 and most other isolates from Ghana and South Africa (Table 1). Isolate TUTMG-MZQ206 elicited the highest nodulation (348  $\pm$  23.2 nodules per plant) in Kersting's groundnut, followed by TUTMGMZQ190, TUTMGMZQ194, TUTMGMZQ198, and TUT-MGMZQ187 (303  $\pm$  35.4, 192  $\pm$  19.1, 185  $\pm$  3.3, and 168  $\pm$  15.5 nodules per plant, respectively). Other isolates which also induced higher nodulation than the commercial Bradyrhizobium sp. strain CB756 included TUTMGGH52 and TUTMGGH53 from Ghana, TUTMGSA109, TUTMGSA126, TUTMGSA137, TUTMGSA121, and TUTMGSA160 from South Africa, as well as isolate TUTMGMZQ195 from Mozambique (Table 1). In this study, 56% of the test isolates induced nodule numbers similar to that of the commercial strain Bradyrhizobium sp. CB756. Increased nodule numbers were generally accompanied by high nodule DM, albeit with few discrepancies due to observed variations in nodule size (Table 1). As a result, there was a significant positive correlation between nodule number and nodule DM induced by the test isolates (r = 0.67, P < 0.001,  $r^2 =$ 0.45). In general, the isolates which elicited greater nodulation also caused an increase in leaf chlorophyll concentrations, stomatal conductance, transpiration rate, photosynthetic rate, and shoot DM (Table 1). These observations were supported by significantly positive correlations obtained when nodule number and nodule DM were each plotted against stomatal conductance, transpiration, photosynthesis, chlorophyll concentration, and shoot DM (Fig. 7). Moreover, there were significantly positive correlations between shoot DM and stomatal conductance (r = 0.16, P < 0.001,  $r^2 = 0.03$ ), leaf chlorophyll concentration (r = 0.33, P < 0.001,  $r^2 = 0.11$ ), and photosynthetic rates  $(r = 0.33, P < 0.001, r^2 = 0.11)$  induced by the test isolates.

Of the isolates tested, TUTMGGH50, TUTMGSA121, TUTMGSA126, TUTMGMZQ190, and TUTMGMZQ206 elicited significantly higher relative efficiency (202 to 268%) in terms of shoot biomass accumulation than did the commercial strain CB756 (relative efficiency, 140%). However, except for isolates TUTMGGH11, TUTMGGH59, TUTMGGH77, and TUTMGGH86, which elicited relatively lower nodulation leading to lower efficiency (83.1 to 95.1% relative efficiency), the remaining isolates together with the commercial *Bradyrhizobium* sp. strain CB756 showed high N<sub>2</sub>-fixing efficiency regardless of statis-

#### FIG 5 Legend (Continued)

color-coded symbols, as follows: purple square, Puffeun; pink circle, Boli; blue triangle, Dowie; green circle, Funsi; pink pentagon, Sigiri; and blue omega, Belane Mottled. Superscript scientific names in parentheses following the names of type strains indicate their original hosts.



**FIG 6** Maximum likelihood molecular phylogenetic analysis of Kersting's groundnut isolates from Ghana, South Africa, and Mozambique based on sequences of the *nodC* gene. The analysis involved 41 nucleotide sequences. Roman numerals indicate the labels assigned to each cluster. For each isolate, the host landrace is indicated by color-coded symbols, as follows: purple square, Puffeun; pink circle, Boli; blue triangle, Dowie; green circle, Funsi; pink pentagon, Sigiri; and blue omega, Belane Mottled.



**FIG 7** Correlation and regression analysis between nodule number and stomatal conductance (gs) (a), transpiration (E) (b), total chlorophyll content (c), photosynthesis (A) (d), and shoot DM (e), as well as between nodule DM and stomatal conductance (f), transpiration (g), total chlorophyll content (h), photosynthesis (i), and shoot DM (j) induced by Kersting's groundnut isolates.

tical significance, with relative efficiencies ranging from 100% to 268% (Table 1). In this study, even the relatively lower-performing isolates induced much higher leaf chloro-phyll levels than those fed with 5 mM nitrate (Table 1). Of the relatively low-performing isolates, TUTMGGH11 and TUTMGGH86 from Ghana shared close relatedness in the

| Mn     |      |
|--------|------|
| 1 5 n  |      |
| with   |      |
| o      |      |
| 3756   |      |
| U CE   |      |
| straii |      |
| sp. s  |      |
| шm     |      |
| zobi   |      |
| lyrhi  |      |
| Braa   |      |
| /ith   |      |
| N N    |      |
| latic  |      |
| locu   |      |
| i ir   |      |
| ive 1  |      |
| elat   |      |
| nt r   |      |
| undr   |      |
| grou   |      |
| ıg's   |      |
| rstin  |      |
| f Ke   |      |
| its o  |      |
| bion   |      |
| syml   |      |
| icro   |      |
| E<br>B |      |
| ido    |      |
| rhiz   |      |
| tive   |      |
| y na   |      |
| á þ    |      |
| duce   |      |
| i      |      |
| d RI   |      |
| s an   |      |
| istic  |      |
| cter   |      |
| hara   |      |
| nt ci  | ga   |
| l Pla  | edin |
| Ē      | ³ fe |
| TAB    | KNO  |
| -      | _    |

June 2019 Volume 85 Issue 11 e00342-19

| KNU <sub>3</sub> teeding <sup>4</sup>       |                             |                        |  |                                      |                               |  |                            |                             |
|---|-----------------------------|------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|   | No. of nodules              | Nodule DM (mg)         | Shoot DM (g)                               | A ( CO m-2 r-1)                      | as (mol H O m-2 s-1)          | E (mol H O m-2 c-1)                        | Total Chl. concn           | DE (07)                     |
| isolate or group                            | per piant                   | per piant              | per piant                                  |                                      |                               | с (шогл <sub>2</sub> о шо <sup>2</sup> л и | (mg/g mean wu)             | NE (%)                      |
| TUTMGGH9                                    | $80 \pm 5.1 \text{ LMNOPQ}$ | 48.7 $\pm$ 7.62 JKLMN  | 1.93 ± 0.33 CDEFGH                         | $17.4 \pm 0.02$ EFGHI                | 0.25 ± 0.00 GHIJKL            | 5.5 ± 0.01 FGHIJ                           | 1.46 ± 0.04 DEFGH          | 161 ± 27.8 DEFGHI           |
| TUTMGGH10                                   | $80 \pm 1.2$ LMNOPQ         | $30.7 \pm 0.52$ NO     | $1.74 \pm 0.18$ FGHIJKL                    | $12.4 \pm 0.15$ KL                   | 0.17 ± 0.00 LMNOPQ            | $4.4\pm0.03$ JJKLMN                        | 1.49 ± 0.09 DEFG           | $145 \pm 15.3$ FGHJKL       |
| TUTMGGH11                                   | 38 ± 4.0 ST                 | 19.9 ± 6.13 O          | $1.03 \pm 1.14$ NO                         | $15.4\pm0.12$ J                      | 0.32 ± 0.00 CDEFG             | $6.3\pm0.03~\mathrm{EF}$                   | 1.52 ± 0.03 DEF            | 86 ± 11.5 N                 |
| TUTMGGH12                                   | 58 ± 3.0 QRST               | 33.1 ± 2.77 MNO        | $1.79 \pm 0.22$ EFGHIJK                    | $13.9 \pm 0.14 \ JK$                 | 0.13 ± 0.01 OPQRST            | $3.7 \pm 0.13$ KLMNOP                      | 1.48 ± 0.01 DEFG           | $150 \pm 18.7$ EFGHUK       |
| TUTMGGH14                                   | 81 ± 13.7 KLMNOPQ           | 40.1 ± 2.90 LMNO       | $1.84 \pm 0.15$ DEFGHI                     | 11.1 ± 0.38 LMN                      | 0.12 ± 0.02 PQRST             | 3.1 ± 0.28 NOP                             | 1.39 ± 0.12 DEFGHI         | 153 ± 12.7 EFGHIJ           |
| TUTMGGH15                                   | 73 ± 3.1 OPQR               | $48.1 \pm 0.52$ JKLMN  | $1.36 \pm 0.15$ HJKLMNO                    | $9.3 \pm 0.25$ N                     | $0.09 \pm 0.00$ RST           | $2.8 \pm 0.11 \text{ OP}$                  | $1.38 \pm 0.15$ EFGHI      | $113 \pm 12.2$ IJKLMN       |
| TUTMGGH28                                   | $112 \pm 13.4$ IJKLMN       | 90.8 ± 3.86 DE         | $2.05 \pm 0.23$ BCDEFG                     | 16.0 ± 1.00 GHI                      | $0.23 \pm 0.01$ HJJKLM        | $8.6 \pm 0.03 \text{ ABC}$                 | $1.00 \pm 0.12 \text{ JK}$ | 171 ± 19.5 BCDEFGH          |
| TUTMGGH50                                   | $111 \pm 3.2$ ijklmn        | $58.9 \pm 2.01$ IJKL   | $3.22 \pm 0.23 \text{ A}$                  | $12.6 \pm 0.10$ KL                   | $0.10 \pm 0.00 \text{ QRST}$  | $3.4 \pm 0.01$ MNOP                        | $1.51 \pm 0.18$ DEF        | 268 ± 19.3 A                |
| TUTMGGH52                                   | 148 ± 6.6 FGH               | 40.0 ± 7.83 LMNO       | $1.96 \pm 0.12$ CDEFGH                     | 17.7 ± 0.42 DEFGH                    | $0.21 \pm 0.01$ JKLMN         | $5.1 \pm 0.12$ FGHIJK                      | 1.72 ± 0.10 DE             | 164 ± 10.0 CDEFGHI          |
| TUTMGGH53                                   | 135 ± 2.5 FGHIJ             | 31.9 ± 1.87 MNO        | $1.65 \pm 0.04$ FGHIJKLM                   | $9.7 \pm 0.00$ MN                    | $0.08 \pm 0.00$ ST            | $2.3 \pm 0.00 \text{ P}$                   | $1.68 \pm 0.14 \text{ DE}$ | 138 ± 3.0 GHIJKLM           |
| TUTMGGH55                                   | 101 ± 13.6 JKLMNO           | 186.3 ± 27.90 A        | $2.27 \pm 0.03$ BCDEF                      | 16.1 ± 0.75 GHI                      | $0.17 \pm 0.02$ LMNOPQR       | $4.5 \pm 0.32$ HJJKLMN                     | 1.67 ± 0.13 DE             | 189 ± 2.2 BCDEFG            |
| TUTMGGH56                                   | 77 ± 4.3 NOPQ               | 33.0 ± 3.98 MNO        | $2.14 \pm 0.10$ BCDEFG                     | 17.0 ± 0.02 FGHI                     | $0.24 \pm 0.00$ HJKLM         | 5.7 ± 0.01 EFGHI                           | 1.61 ± 0.13 DE             | 178 ± 8.5 BCDEFGH           |
| TUTMGGH59                                   | $82 \pm 7.6$ KLMNOPQ        | 33.2 ± 5.52 MNO        | $1.10 \pm 0.14$ MNO                        | $15.2 \pm 0.15$ IJ                   | 0.23 ± 0.00 IJKLM             | 5.3 ± 0.01 FGHIJ                           | $0.98 \pm 0.14 \text{ JK}$ | 92 ± 11.6 MN                |
| TUTMGGH74                                   | $40 \pm 0.6 \text{ ST}$     | 32.9 ± 2.76 MNO        | $1.28 \pm 0.17$ IJKLMNO                    | 16.7 ± 0.03 FGHI                     | 0.27 ± 0.00 FGHIJ             | $5.6 \pm 0.00$ FGHIJ                       | 2.79 ± 0.11 A              | 106 ± 13.8 JKLMN            |
| TUTMGGH77                                   | 43 ± 2.0 RST                | 35.0 ± 5.04 MNO        | $1.14 \pm 0.09 \text{ LMNO}$               | 11.0 ± 0.39 LMN                      | 0.13 ± 0.01 NOPQRST           | 8.1 ± 0.30 BCD                             | 1.04 ± 0.07 JK             | 95 ± 7.5 LMN                |
| TUTMGGH80                                   | 83 ± 3.8 KLMNOPQ            | 68.7 ± 3.52 GHIJ       | $1.84 \pm 0.11$ DEFGHI                     | 11.9 ± 0.32 KL                       | $0.19 \pm 0.02$ KLMNOPQ       | 9.5 ± 0.71 AB                              | $1.02 \pm 0.04 \text{ JK}$ | 153 ± 9.4 EFGHIJ            |
| TUTMGGH86                                   | $28 \pm 2.5 \text{ T}$      | 30.1 ± 5.06 NO         | $1.00 \pm 0.12$ O                          | $11.5 \pm 0.41 \text{ LM}$           | $0.17 \pm 0.01$ MNOPQR        | 9.3 ± 0.24 AB                              | $1.16 \pm 0.12$ GHIJ       | 83 ± 9.9 N                  |
| TUTMGGH92                                   | $94 \pm 11.0$ KLMNOPQ       | 67.6 ± 3.11 GHIJ       | $1.81 \pm 0.15$ DEFGHIJ                    | $10.9 \pm 0.46$ LMN                  | 0.13 ± 0.03 NOPQRS            | 9.0 ± 1.06 ABC                             | 1.56 ± 0.15 DE             | $151 \pm 12.7$ efghijk      |
| TUTMGGH94                                   | $113 \pm 2.7$ HJKLMN        | 82.6 ± 4.80 DEFGH      | 1.95 ± 0.15 CDEFGH                         | 6.6 ± 0.55 O                         | 0.11 ± 0.03 QRST              | 7.1 ± 1.10 DE                              | 1.19 ± 0.08 FGHIJ          | 162 ± 12.7 DEFGHI           |
| TUTMGSA108                                  | 78 ± 1.3 MNOPQ              | 42.5 ± 6.22 KLMN       | $1.65 \pm 0.27$ FGHIJKLM                   | 18.5 ± 0.35 CDEF                     | $0.20 \pm 0.01$ JKLMNO        | $8.7\pm0.24~\mathrm{ABC}$                  | 1.18 ± 0.14 FGHIJ          | 138 ± 22.9 GHIJKLM          |
| TUTMGSA109                                  | 160 ± 3.1 DEF               | 83.1 ± 7.92 DEFGH      | $1.72 \pm 0.10$ FGHIJKL                    | 22.5 ± 0.76 A                        | 0.29 ± 0.04 EFGHI             | 10.0 ± 0.64 A                              | 1.57 ± 0.07 DE             | $144 \pm 8.7$ GHIJKLM       |
| TUTMGSA120                                  | $64 \pm 8.2$ PQRS           | 69.5 ± 6.05 FGHIJ      | 2.02 ± 0.31 BCDEFG                         | 19.5 ± 1.17 BCDE                     | $0.20 \pm 0.03$ JKLMNO        | 7.1 ± 0.63 DE                              | 1.43 ± 0.04 DEFGHI         | 168 ± 25.5 BCDEFGH          |
| TUTMGSA121                                  | 132 ± 13.4 GHIJ             | 76.4 ± 9.57 EFGHI      | 2.43 ± 0.17 BCD                            | 17.1 ± 0.73 FGHI                     | $0.14 \pm 0.01$ NOPQRS        | $5.4 \pm 0.41$ FGHIJ                       | 1.16 ± 0.08 GHIJ           | 202 ± 14.3 BCDE             |
| TUTMGSA123                                  | $96 \pm 8.0$ KLMNOP         | 53.6 ± 2.16 JKLM       | $1.70 \pm 0.23$ FGHIJKLM                   | 21.0 ± 0.83 AB                       | $0.23 \pm 0.04$ HJJKLM        | 6.1 ± 0.66 EFG                             | 1.11 ± 0.10 IJK            | $141 \pm 19.4$ GHIJKLM      |
| TUTMGSA125                                  | 63 ± 7.6 PQRS               | 35.5 ± 2.82 MNO        | $1.20 \pm 0.06$ JKLMNO                     | 19.7 ± 1.54 BCD                      | $0.37 \pm 0.07$ ABCD          | $9.2 \pm 0.92$ ABC                         | 1.40 ± 0.20 DEFGHI         | 100 ± 4.8 KLMN              |
| TUTMGSA126                                  | $142 \pm 14.3$ FGHI         | $63.0 \pm 1.82$ HJK    | $\textbf{2.60}\pm\textbf{0.14}~\textbf{B}$ | 18.1 ± 0.81 CDEFGH                   | $0.17 \pm 0.02$ LMNOPQR       | $6.0 \pm 0.45$ EFG                         | $1.52 \pm 0.02$ DEF        | 217 ± 12.0 B                |
| TUTMGSA137                                  | 138 ± 6.3 FGHI              | 84.8 ± 5.01 DEFG       | $2.13 \pm 0.25$ BCDEFG                     | $20.9 \pm 1.07 \text{ AB}$           | $0.27 \pm 0.04$ FGHIJ         | $8.7 \pm 0.91$ ABC                         | $1.13 \pm 0.08$ HIJ        | 177 ± 20.9 BCDEFGH          |
| TUTMGSA145                                  | $92 \pm 9.0$ KLMNOPQ        | 90.0 ± 3.42 DEF        | $1.72 \pm 0.24$ FGHIJKL                    | 17.3 ± 1.69 EFGHI                    | $0.25 \pm 0.03$ FGHIJK        | $9.3 \pm 0.67 \text{ AB}$                  | $0.79 \pm 0.10 \text{ K}$  | $144 \pm 20.2$ GHIJKLM      |
| TUTMGSA160                                  | 135 ± 11.1 FGHIJ            | $51.3 \pm 2.66$ JKLMN  | $1.90 \pm 0.23$ CDEFGHI                    | $20.8 \pm 0.58 \text{ AB}$           | 0.32 ± 0.03 CDEFG             | $5.9\pm0.34$ EFGH                          | 1.71 ± 0.08 DE             | 159 ± 19.0 DEFGHIJ          |
| TUTMGSA162                                  | $83 \pm 7.3$ KLMNOPQ        | $60.2 \pm 2.55$ IJKLMN | $1.68 \pm 0.24$ FGHIJKLM                   | $20.2 \pm 0.16 \text{ BC}$           | $0.23 \pm 0.01$ IJKLM         | $4.8\pm0.13$ GHIJKLM                       | $1.74\pm0.04$ D            | $140 \pm 20.0$ GHIJKLM      |
| TUTMGSA169                                  | 93 ± 6.8 Klmnopq            | $51.7 \pm 2.81$ JKLMN  | 1.71 ± 0.22 FGHIJKLM                       | $17.0 \pm 0.14$ FGHI                 | $0.21 \pm 0.00 \text{ JKLMN}$ | $4.3 \pm 0.07$ IJKLMN                      | 1.46 ± 0.05 DEFGH          | 143 ± 18.6 GHIJKLM          |
| TUTMGSA179                                  | $117 \pm 1.7$ HJK           | 62.7 ± 2.56 HJK        | $1.56 \pm 0.20$ GHIJKLMNO                  | $16.0 \pm 0.65$ HI                   | $0.18 \pm 0.03$ KLMNOPQ       | $3.7 \pm 0.52$ LMNOP                       | 1.70 ± 0.00 DE             | 130.2 ± 16.8 HIJKLMN        |
| TUTMGSA181                                  | $116 \pm 15.2$ HJKL         | $60.4 \pm 5.41$ IJKL   | $2.04 \pm 0.20$ BCDEFG                     | 18.3 ± 0.50 CDEFG                    | $0.19 \pm 0.01$ JKLMNOP       | $4.1 \pm 0.17$ JKLMNO                      | 1.49 ± 0.06 DEFG           | 170 ± 16.5 BCDEFGH          |
| TUTMGMZQ187                                 | 168 ± 15.5 CDE              | 122.8 ± 13.40 C        | $1.88 \pm 0.09$ DEFGHI                     | $18.5\pm0.23$ CDEF                   | $0.39\pm0.01~\mathrm{ABC}$    | $8.8\pm0.21~\mathrm{ABC}$                  | $2.29 \pm 0.04 \text{ BC}$ | 156 ± 7.1 Defghij           |
| TUTMGMZQ190                                 | 303 ± 35.4 B                | 133.1 ± 2.04 C         | 2.51 ± 0.11 BC                             | 20.9 ± 0.37 AB                       | 0.39 ± 0.02 ABC               | 10.0 ± 0.08 A                              | $2.23 \pm 0.03$ BC         | 209 ± 8.8 BCD               |
| TUTMGMZQ194                                 | 192 ± 19.1 C                | $156.4 \pm 5.20$ B     | $2.37 \pm 0.24$ BCDE                       | $17.1 \pm 0.43$ FGHI                 | 0.37 ± 0.03 BCDE              | $9.1\pm0.30~\mathrm{ABC}$                  | $2.25 \pm 0.04$ BC         | 198 ± 19.6 BCDEF            |
| TUTMGMZQ195                                 | $146 \pm 10.7$ FGHI         | 121.8 ± 3.73 C         | 1.95 ± 0.12 CDEFGH                         | 16.3 ± 1.01 FGHI                     | 0.33 ± 0.01 CDEF              | 7.7 ± 0.17 CD                              | 2.21 ± 0.04 BC             | 162 ± 10.2 DEFGHI           |
| TUTMGMZQ197                                 | $114 \pm 5.5$ HJKLM         | 100.9 ± 6.26 D         | $2.08 \pm 0.25$ BCDEFG                     | $17.9 \pm 0.52$ DEFGH                | 0.31 ± 0.02 DEFGH             | $9.1\pm0.59~\mathrm{ABC}$                  | $2.27 \pm 0.22$ BC         | 174 ± 20.7 BCDEFGH          |
| TUTMGMZQ198                                 | 185 ± 3.3 CD                | 91.9 ± 4.91 DE         | 1.60 ± 0.13 GHIJKLMN                       | 16.9 ± 0.45 FGHI                     | 0.43 ± 0.03 AB                | 9.4 ± 0.29 AB                              | 2.44 ± 0.08 B              | 134 ± 11.1 HUKLMN           |
| IUIMGMZQ206                                 | $348 \pm 23.2 \text{ A}$    | 176.2 ± 7.04 A         | $2.58 \pm 0.18$ B                          | $17.9 \pm 1.36$ DEFGH                | $0.44\pm0.04~\mathrm{A}$      | $8.9\pm0.50~\mathrm{ABC}$                  | 2.03 ± 0.06 C              | $215 \pm 15.3$ BC           |
| Bradyrhizobium sp. CB756                    | 81 ± 4.3 KLMNOPQ            | 41.6 ± 1.20 KLMNO      | $1.68 \pm 0.15$ FGHIJKLM                   | 18.3 ± 1.07 CDEFG                    | $0.24 \pm 0.02$ HJKLM         | $6.3 \pm 0.60 \text{ EF}$                  | 1.70 ± 0.09 DE             | $140 \pm 12.4$ GHIJKLM      |
| 5 mM KNO $_3$ feeding group                 | NA                          | NA                     | 1.19 ± 0.03 KLMNO                          | 12.0 ± 0.39 KL                       | $0.14 \pm 0.01$ NOPQRS        | $5.1 \pm 0.23$ FGHIJKL                     | $0.47 \pm 0.07 \; L$       | NA                          |
| Uninoculated (control) group                | NA                          | NA                     | $0.47\pm0.05$ P                            | $1.72 \pm 0.10 \text{ P}$            | $0.06 \pm 0.01 \text{ T}$     | $2.3 \pm 0.20 \text{ P}$                   | $0.22 \pm 0.04 L$          | NA                          |
| <sup>a</sup> NA, not applicable; RE, relati | ive symbiotic efficiency.   | Values (mean ± stand   | dard error [SE]; $n = 4$ ) with            | dissimilar letters in a col          | umn are significantly differ  | ent at a $P$ value of $< 0.00$             | 01. F statistics were 34   | .76 for number of           |
| nodules, 37.01 for nodule Di                | M, 7.79 for shoot DM, 4     | 0.28 for photosynthesi | is (A), 17.02 for stomatal co              | onductance (gs), 27.05 for           | transpiration (E), 25.79 for  | chlorophyll (Chl.), and 6                  | 5.17 for RE.RE was calc    | ulated as (a/b) $	imes$ 100 |
| for only the inoculated treat               | ments, where a is the s     | hoot DM of inoculated  | d plants and b is the shool                | : DM of 5 mM KNO <sub>3</sub> -fed r | lants.                        | •  |                            |                             |

*glnll-gyrB-rpoB* phylogeny, while the remaining isolates were separately grouped in the same phylogram (Fig. 5).

### DISCUSSION

Kersting's groundnut is nodulated by genetically diverse bradyrhizobia in African soils. The identification of diverse rhizobial populations in soils offers an opportunity for the selection of efficient strains for inoculant formulation (13). Moreover, the potential of legume nitrogen fixation for increased crop yields can be enhanced by exploring new regions for novel rhizobia and legume germplasm in support of agriculture from the discovery of elite microsymbionts (24). This study therefore explored the colony characteristics, genetic diversity, and phylogenetic positions of Kersting's groundnut isolates obtained from diverse agroecologies in Ghana, South Africa, and Mozambique.

In this study, the majority of isolates induced crown nodulation on their homologous Kersting's groundnut host in the glasshouse, an observation that is usually attributed to low movement of rhizobia in the soil profile (25, 26). The test isolates varied in the number of days to colony appearance and other morphological traits (Table S1), which suggests some diversity. The dendrogram constructed based on BOX-PCR profiles grouped the isolates into 23 major clusters, indicating the presence of genetically diverse rhizobial populations responsible for Kersting's groundnut nodulation in the studied environments. As with Kersting's groundnut isolates in this study, high genetic variability has been reported among rhizobial symbionts of several grain legumes, including soybean (27), cowpea (15, 16, 28), Bambara groundnut (12), groundnut (29), and the common bean (17) in African soils, which represents an important biological pool that can be exploited for enhanced symbiosis and increased grain yield through inoculant formulation.

The distribution of Kersting's groundnut isolates in this study was markedly influenced by the geographic origins of the isolates. The observed tendency for most isolates to show close relatedness in the dendrogram generated from BOX-PCR profiles (Fig. 1) based on common geographic origin suggests the presence of country-specific Bradyrhizobium strains responsible for Kersting's groundnut nodulation in the wider geographic locations studied. The influence of geographic location on the distribution of rhizobia has been previously reported for cowpea isolates from Senegal and Ghana (15, 18, 28). In this study, the isolates from Nelspruit in South Africa were the most diverse, as shown by their presence in 13 out of the 23 major BOX-PCR clusters identified; this is in agreement with earlier studies which also revealed greater diversity among South African bradyrhizobial populations nodulating cowpea and Bambara groundnut than in isolates from Ghana or Botswana (12, 16). Interestingly, although the isolates from Nyankpala sites 1 and 2 in Ghana each occupied seven BOX-PCR clusters, none of these isolates from the two sites occupied the same cluster despite the proximity of their geographic origins (Table S1 and Fig. 2), suggesting that rhizobial diversity can vary greatly between different sites and niches within a geographic location. In this study, the Kersting's groundnut landraces had no influence on the clustering of the isolates, as most BOX-PCR clusters comprised isolates obtained from nodules of different landraces (Table S1). However, whether the observed higher genetic diversity observed among the nodule occupants of the dark-seeded Kersting's groundnut landraces in this study was directly or indirectly linked to their greater phenolic compound compositions when compared to that of the white-seeded Boli landrace (30) remains to be explored. Earlier studies have, however, reported a greater abundance of phenolic compounds (involved in signal exchange during the legumerhizobium symbiosis) in legume seeds with dark seedcoat pigmentation than in those with lighter seedcoat color (31, 32).

**Phylogeny of Kersting's groundnut rhizobial symbionts native to African soils.** Multilocus sequence analysis in this study revealed the presence of diverse *Bradyrhizobium* species responsible for Kersting's groundnut nodulation across the contrasting environments in Africa. Phylogenetic analysis assigned the test isolates proximally to *B*.

vignae 7-2<sup>T</sup>, B. subterraneum 58 2-1<sup>T</sup>, B. kavangense 14-3<sup>T</sup>, B. liaoningense 2281 (USDA 3622)<sup>T</sup>, Bradyrhizobium yuanmingense LMG 21827<sup>T</sup>, B. huanghuaihaiense CCBAU 23303<sup>T</sup>, B. pachyrhizi PAC48<sup>T</sup>, and the type strain of B. elkanii. However, most of the test isolates were highly divergent from the reference type strains and could represent novel Bradyrhizobium species yet to be described. The observed incongruences between the phylogenies of 16S rRNA and protein-coding genes could be attributed to horizontal gene transfer events and/or genetic rearrangement in those genes during evolution (19). However, the fact that isolates TUTMGGG14, TUTMGGH52, TUTMGGH55, TUTMGGH59, and TUTMGGH80 shared a closer relationship with *B. vignae*  $7-2^{T}$  in all the phylogenies, with 99.1 to 99.6% sequence similarity, suggests that B. vignae  $7-2^{T}$ , a strain originally isolated from root nodules of cowpea and Bambara groundnut in African soils (12, 13), has a broad host range in its nodulation ability. Furthermore, the observed divergence of isolates TUTMGSA160, TUTMGSA162, and TUTMGSA181 from B. kavangense 14-3<sup>T</sup> in the concatenated phylograms (sharing only 94.5 to 95.5% sequence similarity) despite their closeness with the type strain in the 16S rRNA phylogeny (99.7 to 99.8% sequence similarity) is consistent with earlier reports which indicate that high 16S rRNA similarity alone may not yield decisive species delineation (33). According to Helene et al. (33),  $\geq$ 97% sequence similarity in protein-coding genes can be used as a cutoff for species delineation in bacterial phylogeny. However, except for a few isolates which showed close relatedness to B. subterraneum and B. pachyrhizi in this study, the majority of the test isolates were distantly related to the reference type strains and could represent novel Bradyrhizobium symbionts of Kersting's groundnut in African soils (Fig. 5 and S6). The presence of high numbers of potentially novel Bradyrhizobium species responsible for Kersting's groundnut nodulation was expected since this study provides a detailed report of the crop's native symbionts in its cultivation areas (Ghana) and beyond (South Africa and Mozambigue).

The phylogenies of *nifH* and *nodC* genes were congruent with each other, as well as with the phylogenies based on individual and concatenated gene sequences, albeit with a few inconsistencies. The incongruences between phylogenies based on symbiotic genes and housekeeping gene loci for some isolates (e.g., TUTMGGH59 and TUTMGGH80) indicate possible horizontal gene transfer and/or genetic recombination events, thus agreeing with earlier reports that symbiosis-related genes such as nifH and nodC are prone to transfers between bacterial strains (19). However, the observed congruency between phylogenies of symbiotic and housekeeping genes for some isolates in this study could be attributed to common evolutionary histories and/or vertical transfer of those genes to the isolates. Except for isolates in group V of the nodC phylogeny, which shared a closer relationship with B. tropiciagri, B. embrapense, and B. viridifuturi sv. tropici, most isolates in the phylogram (groups I to III) were highly divergent from all reference type strains, indicating that they could belong to novel symbiovars within the Bradyrhizobium genus. Although members of B. viridifuturi sv. tropici were earlier isolated from nodules of forage legumes in South America (34, 35), a recent study also reported the presence of the symbiovar in root nodules of cowpea grown in African soils (15). Moreover, although isolates in group IV clustered separately in the nodC phylogeny, they shared 93.5 to 95.1% sequence similarity with Bradyrhizobium shewense, a strain isolated from Erythrina brucei but with a nodC gene similar to that of Bradyrhizobium arachidis isolated from Arachis hypogea (36). It would therefore seem that, like cowpea (another legume of African origin), the Kersting's groundnut is a promiscuous host which is capable of nodulating with diverse symbiovars within the Bradyrhizobium genus. The occurrence of bradyrhizobial isolates from different host landraces in the same phylogenetic clusters of the nodC and nifH genes suggests that host-symbiont compatibility may be conserved within the species.

**Influence of soil properties on bradyrhizobial distribution.** The distribution of the bradyrhizobial isolates in this study was markedly influenced by the soil chemical properties of the test locations. The South African isolates, which shared close relationships with *B. elkanii* in the phylogenetic analysis, were more influenced by the

|              | Region or  | Location (nodule                       | Geograpl<br>coordina | nic<br>tes |                       | % of: |      | Concn (mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> ) of <sup>b</sup> : |      |       |     |       |        |    |    |  |  |
|--------------|------------|--|----------------------|------------|-----------------------|-------|------|--|------|-------|-----|-------|--------|----|----|--|--|
| Country      | province   | collection method) <sup><i>a</i></sup> | Latitude             | Longitude  | pH (H <sub>2</sub> O) | N     | с    | В  | Ca   | Fe    | К   | Mg    | Mn     | Na | Р  |  |  |
| Ghana        | Northern   | Nyankpala 1 (G)                        | 9.404                | -0.982     | 5.93                  | 0.02  | 0.4  | 0.04   | 250  | 42    | 48  | 55.2  | 131.4  | 7  | 7  |  |  |
|              |            | Nyankpala 2 (F)                        | 9.389                | -1.006     | 5.91                  | 0.03  | 0.40 | 0.27   | 212  | 59.15 | 68  | 46.8  | 79.22  | 8  | 6  |  |  |
|              |            | Savelugu (G)                           | 9.569                | -0.830     | 5.86                  | 0.02  | 0.2  | 0.01   | 340  | 44    | 50  | 76.8  | 152.1  | 4  | 6  |  |  |
|              |            | Gbalahi (G)                            | 9.437                | -0.734     | 6.53                  | 0.03  | 0.5  | 0.04   | 670  | 34    | 103 | 126   | 142.5  | 5  | 7  |  |  |
|              |            | Sognaayili (F)                         | 9.447                | -0.865     | 5.59                  | 0.02  | 0.6  | 0.01   | 326  | 47    | 51  | 76.8  | 156.7  | 4  | 7  |  |  |
|              |            | Damongo (F)                            | 9.043                | -1.814     | 4.3                   | 0.04  | 0.31 | 0.23   | 226  | 53.73 | 90  | 58.8  | 54.96  | 7  | 10 |  |  |
| South Africa | Mpumalanga | Klipplaatdrift (F)                     | -25.233              | 29.033     | 6.0                   | 0.034 | 0.30 | 0.23   | 606  | 36.80 | 135 | 142.8 | 56.14  | 11 | 56 |  |  |
|              |            | Nelspruit (F)                          | -25.474              | 30.970     | 4.9                   | 0.029 | 0.26 | 0.09   | 148  | 38.16 | 43  | 45.6  | 39.90  | 12 | 32 |  |  |
| Mozambique   | Nampula    | Muriaze (F)                            | -15.015              | 39.495     | 6.0                   | 0.12  | 1.3  | ND   | 1212 | 83.42 | 134 | 102.0 | 216.00 | 11 | 21 |  |  |

|      | ~         |      |             | -            |          |        | • |       |        |       |           |       | <br>•     |        |              |             |           |        |          |       |
|------|-----------|------|-------------|--------------|----------|--------|---|-------|--------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|--------|--------------|-------------|-----------|--------|----------|-------|
| <br> | 1 0000000 | 6.0  | 0 10 00 100 | <b>•</b> • • | 10010100 |        |   | +     |        |       | +         |       | 0.000.000 | 0 10 0 | 10 0 M + 1 / | $\sim \sim$ |           | AL 2 4 |          |       |
|      | L-DOVIDAD | 1117 | ()()())     | ( )          | ICALATO  | 1100/1 |   | 11115 |        | v and | 1 1 7 1 6 | 1 (1) |           |        |              |             |           | 11/    | ICYC ALL | 11110 |
|      | acourab   | 111  | Onum        | <b>U</b>     | 130Iates | uscu   |   |       | ) JLUU | v and |           |       | CHICA     |        |              |             | <br>C JLU |        | iocau    | 10113 |
|      |           |      |             |              |          |        |   |       |        |       |           |       | <br>      |        |              |             | <br>      |        |          |       |
|      |           |      |             |              |          |        |   |       |        |       |           |       |           |        |              |             |           |        |          |       |

<sup>a</sup>Nyankpala site 1, UDS fields; Nyankpala site 2, CSIR-SARI research field. F, rhizobia were trapped by direct planting of Kersting's bean in the fields; G, rhizobia were trapped in the glasshouse using soils collected from the field. For each study location, soils were randomly sampled from several points on the field and bulked, and subsamples were used for single determination of soil chemical properties. <sup>b</sup>ND, not determined.

concentrations of P and Na in the soil. The effect of soil P concentration on the distribution of *B. elkanii* was previously reported by Zhang et al. (37). In another report, the concentration of soil P was also found to alter the diversity of rhizobia in soils (38). In this study, soil K content had a marginal effect on the distribution of isolates from Nyankpala site 1, which were closely related to *B. yuanmingense*, a finding consistent with earlier reports on the effect of soil K on the distribution of *B. yuanmingense* in Chinese soils (39). Recently, Puozaa et al. (12) also found a marked influence of soil mineral nutrients on bradyrhizobial distribution in African soils.

Symbiotic efficiency and photosynthetic functionality induced by native rhizobial isolates. Although knowledge of rhizobial biodiversity has contributed to our current understanding of the factors responsible for their survival and distribution, it is their N<sub>2</sub>-fixing efficiency that determines their usefulness for inoculant production aimed at improving the legume-rhizobium symbiosis for increased crop yields (21). In this study, phylogenetically distinct bradyrhizobia responsible for Kersting's groundnut nodulation were found to show markedly higher symbiotic efficiency than the commercial strain Bradyrhizobium sp. CB756 (Table 1). The presence of these potentially novel and high-N<sub>2</sub>-fixing Kersting's groundnut bradyrhizobia could explain why the crop has survived cultivation in nutrient-depleted soils across West Africa, where landraces were found to exhibit varied responses to Bradyrhizobium inoculation (3, 7). As the symbiotic process is sustained by a mutual exchange of N compounds from the rhizobial microsymbiont and photosynthate from the legume host, increased nodulation by test isolates was found to markedly stimulate higher photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, and leaf chlorophyll concentration; consequently, there was increased accumulation of shoot biomass over nitrate feeding. As a result, there were significant positive correlations when nodule number and nodule DM were each plotted against leaf photosynthetic rates, stomatal conductance, plant transpiration, leaf chlorophyll concentration, and shoot biomass (Fig. 7). Thus, increased  $N_2$  fixation from effective nodulation enhanced RuBisCO and chlorophyll biosynthesis, stimulated greater stomatal conductance, and increased photosynthetic rates, which resulted in better plant growth and biomass accumulation than 5 mM nitrate feeding. Even the relatively low-performing rhizobial isolates induced greater photosynthetic rates and leaf chlorophyll levels, which resulted in 83% to 95% relative efficiency. This finding is consistent with other reports on the superiority of symbiotic N over nitrate feeding in supporting the growth of soybeans (40). Despite the relatively profuse nodulation elicited by isolates from Mozambique which formed distinct clusters in the concatenated trees (Fig. 5), their relative efficiencies were matched by several isolates from Ghana and South Africa which induced moderate nodulation on the homologous host (Table 2), thus agreeing with previous reports that the extent of nodulation is not the sole

determinant of symbiotic efficiency (41). Moreover, the presence of highly efficient native rhizobial symbionts of Kersting's groundnut in the studied countries suggests their wider distribution beyond its current cultivation areas.

In conclusion, this study provides a detailed insight into the microsymbiont diversity, biogeographic distribution, and phylogenetic position of rhizobia associated with Kersting's groundnut nodulation in different African countries. The study further explored the symbiotic efficiency and photosynthetic function elicited by the test bradyrhizobial isolates on homologous Kersting's groundnut. The results revealed a marked influence of geographic origin and soil mineral nutrient concentrations on the distribution and diversity of potentially novel bradyrhizobial isolates. The observed genetic divergence of most Kersting's groundnut isolates from *Bradyrhizobium* reference strains in this study suggests that Africa could be a hot spot of bradyrhizobial biodiversity, a finding that compels further studies to be conducted to unravel other novel symbionts responsible for legume nodulation across the continent. Due to their high symbiotic efficiency, the indigenous Kersting's groundnut isolates in this study represent a useful biological resource that could be tapped for bioinoculant formulations for increased crop yields.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Origin of Kersting's groundnut nodules used.** The Kersting's groundnut nodules used in this study were harvested from plants grown at six sites in the Northern Region of Ghana (Nyankpala site 1, Nyankpala site 2, Savelugu, Gbalahi, Sognaayili, and Damongo in the Guinea savanna agroecology), two locations in South Africa (Nelspruit and Klipplaatdrift in the lowveld and middleveld areas of Mpumalanga Province, respectively), and one location (Muriaze) in Mozambique (Table 2 and Fig. 2). The bulk soils from each field were collected, pooled, and analyzed to obtain information on their chemical properties (Table 2). The nodules were either collected from field-grown plants (F) or trapped in the glasshouse (G) using soils collected from the field (Table 2).

**Trapping rhizobia under field conditions.** Six Kersting's groundnut landraces of various seedcoat colors (namely, Puffeun [black], Boli [white], Dowie [brown mottled], Funsi [brown mottled], Sigiri [brown mottled], and Belane Mottled [brown mottled]) were used to trap rhizobia under field conditions. For this, the seeds of each landrace were surface sterilized in 95% ethanol (3 to 5 minutes), followed by immersion in NaOCI (commercial bleach) for 3 minutes. The seeds were then rinsed 5 times with sterile distilled water by changing the water each time. The sterilized seeds were sown in 3-m by 2-m plots with three replicate plots for each Kersting's groundnut landrace at Nyankpala 1, Sognaayili, Damongo, Klipplaat-drift, Nelspruit, and Muriaze. Weeds were controlled using a hand hoe. At flowering (55 days after planting), 5 plants were dug out from each plot, separated into shoots and nodulated roots, placed in running tap water to remove soil and adhering debris. Nodules were carefully observed and detached with small root segments, dehydrated on silica gel, and stored for bacterial isolation.

**Trapping rhizobia in the glasshouse using soils from the field.** Soils were collected from locations (namely, Nyankpala site 1, Savelugu, and Gbalahi) where field planting was not undertaken and used to trap rhizobia under glasshouse conditions using three phenotypically diverse Kersting's groundnut landraces (Puffeun, Boli, and Funsi) as hosts. For each landrace, two surface-sterilized seeds were planted in triplicate pots containing sterile (autoclaved) sand. Soil inocula were prepared by suspending 20 g of each soil sample in 1,000 ml of sterile distilled water. The suspension from each location was used to inoculate pots containing seedlings of the different landraces (15). The plants were fed with sterile (autoclaved) N-free nutrient solution (42) and, when necessary, irrigated with sterile distilled water. At flowering, the plants were uprooted and the roots washed with tap water to remove sand and adhering debris. Nodulation was observed, after which the nodules were removed from roots and dehydrated on silica gel for later isolation of root nodule bacteria.

**Isolation of bacteria from root nodules.** Bacterial isolation from root nodules of Kersting's groundnut was done using the procedure described by Somasegaran and Hoben (43). Healthy and functional nodules (10 to 15 nodules per landrace) were selected from each source for bacterial isolation using yeast mannitol agar (YMA) medium (43). Isolated single colonies were selected from each plate and restreaked onto YMA plates to obtain pure colonies for further characterization. Morphological characteristics, such as colony color, shape, size/diameter, and opacity, were recorded for each isolate. The isolates were named using the prefix for the Tshwane University of Technology (TUT), followed by the scientific name of the crop, *Macrotyloma geocarpum* (MG), the country of nodule origin (Ghana [GH], South Africa [SA], or Mozambique [MZQ]), and then the serial number during isolation.

**Authentication of bacterial isolates in the glasshouse.** To fulfill Koch's postulates, single-colony cultures were assessed for their ability to induce root nodules on their homologous Kersting's groundnut host (using the landrace Funsi) (44). Two surface-sterilized seeds (44) of Kersting's groundnut were planted in sterile (autoclaved) sand contained in sterile pots. The seedlings were thinned to one plant per pot after germination and grown in a naturally lit glasshouse at an average temperature of 28°C. There were four replicate pots for each isolate. Seven-day-old seedlings were inoculated with 1 ml YMA broth suspension of bacterial culture grown to exponential phase (10<sup>6</sup> to 10<sup>7</sup> cells/ml). Uninoculated plants,

plants fed 5 mM  $NO_3^{-1}$ , and plants inoculated with the commercial strain *Bradyrhizobium* sp. CB756 were used as controls. The inoculated seedlings were supplied with sterile N-free nutrient solution (42) and sterile distilled water in alternation. The plants were harvested at 60 days after planting (DAP) and assessed for nodulation.

Gas-exchange studies and symbiotic efficiency/effectiveness. The 40 bacterial isolates selected for multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA) were further evaluated for their N2-fixing efficiency. For this, gas-exchange studies were carried out to measure photosynthetic rates (A), stomatal conductance (gs), and leaf transpiration rates (E) on young and fully expanded trifoliate leaves of each replicate plant at 60 DAP using a portable infrared red gas analyzer, version 6.2 (LI-6400XT; LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA). The chamber conditions used included photosynthetic flux density of 1,000  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, reference CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 400  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup>, and flow rate of 500  $\mu$ mol s<sup>-1</sup>. Gas-exchange measurements were carried out between 8:30 a.m. and 12:30 p.m. Leaf chlorophyll concentrations were determined using the same leaves used for gas-exchange measurements. Briefly, chlorophyll was extracted from 6 leaf discs (each with an area of 0.786 cm<sup>2</sup> and weighing ~18.7 mg) using preheated (65°C) dimethyl sulfoxide for 30 min (45). The absorbance of the extracts at 645 nm and 663 nm was then measured on a Jenway 7300 spectrophotometer. The total chlorophyll concentration was calculated using the equations described by Richardson et al. (45). The plants were then uprooted and assessed for nodulation (nodule number and nodule dry matter) and shoot dry matter (shoot DM) after oven-drying at 60°C for 72 h. The relative efficiency (RE) of isolates was calculated as the shoot biomass of inoculated plants expressed as a percentage of the shoot biomass of the 5 mM KNO<sub>3</sub>-fed Kersting's groundnut plants (21).

**Extraction of bacterial genomic DNA and BOX-PCR fingerprinting.** The genomic DNA of authenticated rhizobial isolates was extracted using GenElute bacterial genomic DNA kits (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), following the manufacturer's instructions. DNA integrity was checked on a 0.8% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide. The bacterial genomic DNA was subjected to repetitive extragenic palindromic-PCR (REP-PCR [BOX-PCR]) using the BOX-A1R primer (46). The final volume of the PCR mixture was 25  $\mu$ l, and it contained 1  $\mu$ l (50 to 70 ng/ $\mu$ l) genomic DNA, 12.5  $\mu$ l MyTaq PCR mastermix (2×) (Bioline USA), 1  $\mu$ l (10  $\mu$ M) BOX-A1R primer, and 10.5 ml sterile ultrapure H<sub>2</sub>O. PCR amplification was carried out in a thermal cycler (T100; Bio-Rad, USA) using standard temperature profiles, and the PCR products were subjected to gel electrophoresis (15). Cluster analysis was carried out with the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) algorithm using the trial version of the software BioNumerics 7.6, with kind permission from BioNumerics.

**PCR amplification of the 16S rRNA, protein-coding, and symbiotic genes.** The PCR amplifications of 16S rRNA, protein-coding (*atpD*, *glnll*, *gyrB*, and *rpoB*), and symbiotic (*nifH* and *nodC*) genes were each carried out in a 25- $\mu$ l reaction volume containing 1  $\mu$ l DNA (50 to 70 ng/ $\mu$ l), 3  $\mu$ l of MyTaq buffer (5×), 1  $\mu$ l (10  $\mu$ M) each of forward and reverse primers of the gene of interest, 0.1  $\mu$ l *Taq* polymerase (5 U; Bioline, USA), and 18.9  $\mu$ l double-distilled ultrapure water using standard temperature profiles (15). The amplified gene products were confirmed by gel electrophoresis on a 1.2% agarose gel.

**Sequencing and phylogenetic analysis.** The amplified PCR products were purified using a PCR Cleanup kit (NEB, USA), following the manufacturer's instructions, and sent to Macrogen (The Netherlands) for sequencing. The quality of sequences was verified using the software BioEdit 7.0.9.0 (47). The BLASTn program was used to identify closely related species in the NCBI database. Pairwise and multiple-sequence alignments were carried out with CLUSTALW, and phylogenetic trees were constructed by means of the maximum likelihood statistical method using MEGA7 software (48). The evolutionary history was inferred by means of the maximum likelihood method based on the Kimura 2-parameter model (49). The robustness of branching was estimated using 1,000 bootstrap replicates (50).

**Statistical analysis.** The influence of soil chemical properties on the distribution of Kersting's groundnut rhizobial isolates was explored using canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) with vegan (version 2.4-2) (51) in the R software (52). We explored the soil chemical properties of the test locations which influenced the distribution of the Kersting's groundnut isolates. The general permutation test was used to assess the statistical significance of the ordination axes. The graph analysis is presented only for the soil factors that showed significant contributions. Quantitative data, including nodule number, nodule dry matter, shoot dry matter, photosynthetic rate (A), stomatal conductance (gs), leaf transpiration (E), and total chlorophyll concentration, were subjected to a 1-way ANOVA using the *STATISTICA* software version 10.0 (StatSoft). The Duncan multiple-range test was employed to separate the means that showed significant differences at a *P* value of  $\leq 0.05$ .

**Data availability.** The sequences obtained were deposited in the NCBI GenBank database with the accession numbers MK183839 to MK183879 for the 16S rRNA gene, MK183880 to MK183913 for *atpD*, MK183914 to MK183953 for *gyrB*, MK183954 to MK183996 for *rpoB*, MK184040 to MK184078 for *nifH*, MK184079 to MK184106 for *nodC*, and MK184107 to MK184149 for *glnll*.

### SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material for this article may be found at https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM .00342-19.

SUPPLEMENTAL FILE 1, PDF file, 3.6 MB.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the South African Research Chair in Agrochemurgy and Plant Symbioses (SARCHI), the National Research Foundation, and the Tshwane University of Technology for financial support of F.D.D.'s research and for a SARCHI Chair Ph.D. bursary to M.M.

M.M. performed the field experiments, isolated nodule bacteria, undertook molecular analysis, and drafted the manuscript. S.K.J. took part in molecular analysis and manuscript preparation. F.D.D. designed the field experiments, edited the manuscript, and provided funding for the study.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Pasquet RS, Mergeai G, Baudoin J-P. 2002. Genetic diversity of the African geocarpic legume Kersting's groundnut, *Macrotyloma geocarpum* (Tribe Phaseoleae: Fabaceae). Biochem Syst Ecol 30:943–952. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-1978(02)00040-6.
- 2. Amuti K. 1980. Geocarpa groundnut (*Kerstingiella geocarpa*) in Ghana. Econ Bot 34:358–361. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02858310.
- Assogba P, Ewedje E, Dansi A, Loko YL, Adjatin A, Dansi M, Sanni A. 2016. Indigenous knowledge and agro-morphological evaluation of the minor crop Kersting's groundnut (*Macrotyloma geocarpum* (Harms) Maréchal et Baudet) cultivars of Benin. Genet Resour Crop Evol 63:513–529. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10722-015-0268-9.
- Ayenan MAT, Ezin VA. 2016. Potential of Kersting's groundnut [Macrotyloma geocarpum (Harms) Maréchal & Baudet] and prospects for its promotion. Agric Food Secur 5:10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40066-016 -0058-4.
- Mergeai G. 1993. Influence des facteurs sociologiques sur la conservation des ressources phytogénétiques. Le cas de la lentille de terre (*Macrotyloma geocarpum* (Harms) Marechal & Baudet) au Togo. Bull Rech Agron Gembloux 28:487–500.
- Bayorbor T, Dzomeku I, Avornyo V, Opoku-Agyeman M. 2010. Morphological variation in Kersting's groundnut (*Kerstigiella geocarpa* Harms) landraces from northern Ghana. Agric Biol J North Am 1:290–295. https://doi.org/10.5251/abjna.2010.1.3.290.295.
- Mohammed M, Jaiswal SK, Sowley ENK, Ahiabor B. 2018. Symbiotic N<sub>2</sub> fixation and grain yield of endangered Kersting's groundnut landraces in response to soil and plant associated *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation to promote ecological resource-use efficiency. Front Microbiol 9:2105. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02105.
- Dakora FD. 1998. Nodule function in symbiotic Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* L.) and Kersting's bean (*Macrotyloma geocarpum* L.) is tolerant of nitrate in the root medium. Ann Bot 82:687–690. https://doi .org/10.1006/anbo.1998.0720.
- Aremu MO, Olaofe O, Akintayo TE. 2006. A comparative study on the chemical and amino acid composition of some Nigerian underutilized legume flours. Pak J Nutr 5:34–38. https://doi.org/10.3923/ pjn.2006.34.38.
- Akohoué F, Sibiya J, Achigan-Dako EG. 2019. On-farm practices, mapping, and uses of genetic resources of Kersting's groundnut [Macrotyloma geocarpum (Harms) Maréchal et Baudet] across ecological zones in Benin and Togo. Genet Resour Crop Evol 66:195–214. https://doi.org/10 .1007/s10722-018-0705-7.
- Bampuori AH. 2007. Effect of traditional farming practices on the yield of indigenous Kersting's groundnut (Macrotyloma geocarpum Harms) crop in the Upper West Region of Ghana. J Dev Sustain Agric 2:128–144.
- 12. Puozaa DK, Jaiswal SK, Dakora FD. 2017. African origin of *Bradyrhizobium* populations nodulating Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* L. Verdc) in Ghanaian and South African soils. PLoS One 12:e0184943. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0184943.
- Grönemeyer JL, Kulkarni A, Berkelmann D, Hurek T, Reinhold-Hurek B. 2014. Identification and characterization of rhizobia indigenous to the Okavango region in Sub-Saharan Africa. Appl Environ Microbiol 80: 7244–7257. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02417-14.
- Gyogluu C, Mohammed M, Jaiswal SK, Kyei-Boahen S, Dakora FD. 2018. Assessing host range, symbiotic effectiveness, and photosynthetic rates induced by native soybean rhizobia isolated from Mozambican and South African soils. Symbiosis 75:257–266. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s13199-017-0520-5.
- 15. Mohammed M, Jaiswal SK, Dakora FD. 2018. Distribution and correlation

June 2019 Volume 85 Issue 11 e00342-19

between phylogeny and functional traits of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.)-nodulating microsymbionts from Ghana and South Africa. Sci Rep 8:18006. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-36324-0.

- Pule-Meulenberg F, Belane AK, Krasova-Wade T, Dakora FD. 2010. Symbiotic functioning and bradyrhizobial biodiversity of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.) in Africa. BMC Microbiol 10:89. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-10-89.
- Zinga MK, Jaiswal SK, Dakora FD. 2017. Presence of diverse rhizobial communities responsible for nodulation of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) in South African and Mozambican soils. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 93:fiw236. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiw236.
- Grönemeyer JL, Reinhold-Hurek B. 2018. Diversity of bradyrhizobia in subsahara Africa: a rich resource. Front Microbiol 9:2194. https://doi.org/ 10.3389/fmicb.2018.02194.
- Remigi P, Zhu J, Young JPW, Masson-Boivin C. 2016. Symbiosis within symbiosis: evolving nitrogen-fixing legume symbionts. Trends Microbiol 24:63–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2015.10.007.
- Catroux G, Hartmann A, Revellin C. 2001. Trends in rhizobial inoculant production and use. Plant Soil 230:21–30. https://doi.org/10.1023/ A:1004777115628.
- Chibeba MA, Kyei-Boahen S, Guimarães MDF, Nogueira AM, Hungria M. 2017. Isolation, characterization and selection of indigenous *Bradyrhizobium* strains with outstanding symbiotic performance to increase soybean yields in Mozambique. Agric Ecosyst Environ 246:291–305. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.06.017.
- Ulzen J, Abaidoo RC, Mensah NE, Masso C, AbdelGadir AH. 2016. Bradyrhizobium inoculants enhance grain yields of soybean and cowpea in Northern Ghana. Front Plant Sci 7:1770. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls .2016.01770.
- Arnold MFF, Shabab M, Penterman J, Boehme KL, Griffitts JS, Walker C. 2017. Genome-wide sensitivity analysis of the microsymbiont *Sinorhizobium meliloti* to symbiotically important, defensin-like host peptides. mBio 8:e01060-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01060-17.
- Chidebe IN, Jaiswal SK, Dakora FD. 2018. Distribution and phylogeny of microsymbionts associated with cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) nodulation in three agroecological regions of Mozambique. Appl Environ Microbiol 84:e01712-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01712-17.
- Cardoso JD, Gomes DF, Goes K, Fonseca NDS, Jr, Dorigo OF, Hungria M, Andrade DS. 2009. Relationship between total nodulation and nodulation at the root crown of peanut, soybean and common bean plants. Soil Biol Biochem 41:1760–1763. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.05 .008.
- Wadisirisuk P, Danso SK, Hardarson G, Bowen GD. 1989. Influence of Bradyrhizobium japonicum location and movement on nodulation and nitrogen fixation in soybeans. Appl Environ Microbiol 55:1711–1716.
- Jaiswal SK, Beyan SM, Dakora FD. 2016. Distribution, diversity and population composition of soybean-nodulating bradyrhizobia from different agro-climatic regions in Ethiopia. Biol Fertil Soils 52:725–738. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-016-1108-6.
- Wade TK, Le Quéré A, Laguerre G, N'Zoué A, Ndione JA, doRego F, Sadio O, Ndoye I, Neyra M. 2014. Eco-geographical diversity of cowpea bradyrhizobia in Senegal is marked by dominance of two genetic types. Syst Appl Microbiol 37:129–139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .syapm.2013.10.002.
- Jaiswal SK, Msimbira LA, Dakora FD. 2017. Phylogenetically diverse group of native bacterial symbionts isolated from root nodules of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) in South Africa. Syst Appl Microbiol 40:215–226. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2017.02.002.

- Makoi J, Ndakidemi P. 2007. Biological, ecological and agronomic significance of plant phenolic compounds in rhizosphere of the symbiotic legumes. Afr J Biotechnol 6:1358–1368.
- Tsamo AT, Mohammed H, Mohammed M, Ndibewu P, Dakora F. 2019. Seed coat metabolite profiling of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) accessions from Ghana using UPLC-PDA-QTOF-MS and chemometrics. Nat Prod Res, in press. https://doi.org/10.1080/14786419.2018.1548463.
- Tsamo AT, Ndibewu PP, Dakora FD. 2018. Phytochemical profile of seeds from 21 Bambara groundnut landraces via UPLC-qTOF-MS. Food Res Int 112:160–168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2018.06.028.
- Helene LCF, Delamuta JRM, Ribeiro RA, Hungria M, Jakeline RMD, Ribeiro RA, Mariangela H. 2017. *Bradyrhizobium mercantei* sp. nov., a nitrogenfixing symbiont isolated from nodules of *Deguelia costata* (syn. *Lonchocarpus costatus*). Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 67:1827–1834. https://doi.org/ 10.1099/ijsem.0.001870.
- Delamuta JRM, Ribeiro RA, Ormeño-Orrillo E, Parma MM, Melo IS, Martínez-Romero E, Hungria M. 2015. *Bradyrhizobium tropiciagri* sp. nov. and *Bradyrhizobium embrapense* sp. nov. nitrogen-fixing symbionts of tropical forage legumes. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 65:4424–4433. https:// doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.000592.
- Helene LCF, Delamuta JRM, Ribeiro RA, Ormeño-Orrillo E, Roge MA, Martínez-Romero E, Hungria M. 2015. *Bradyrhizobium viridifuturi* sp. nov., encompassing nitrogen-fixing symbionts of legumes used for green manure and environmental services. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 65: 4441–4448. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.000591.
- 36. Aserse AA, Woyke T, Kyrpides NC, Whitman WB, Lindström K. 2017. Draft genome sequences of *Bradyrhizobium shewense* sp. nov. ERR11T and *Bradyrhizobium yuanmingense* CCBAU 10071T. Stand Genomic Sci 12:74. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40793-017-0283-x.
- Zhang YM, Li Y, Chen WF, Wang ET, Tian CF, Li QQ, Zhang YZ, Sui XH, Chen WX. 2011. Biodiversity and biogeography of rhizobia associated with soybean plants grown in the North China Plain. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:6331–6342. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00542-11.
- Han LL, Wang ET, Han TX, Liu J, Sui XH, Chen WF, Chen WX. 2009. Unique community structure and biogeography of soybean rhizobia in the saline-alkaline soils of Xinjiang, China. Plant Soil 324:291–305. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s11104-009-9956-6.
- Chen J, Hu M, Ma H, Wang Y, Wang ET, Zhou Z, Gu J. 2016. Genetic diversity and distribution of bradyrhizobia nodulating peanut in acidneutral soils in Guangdong Province. Syst Appl Microbiol 39:418–427. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2016.06.002.

- Kaschuk G, Hungria M, Leffelaar PA, Giller KE, Kuyper TW. 2010. Differences in photosynthetic behaviour and leaf senescence of soybean (*Glycine max* [L.] Merrill) dependent on N<sub>2</sub> fixation or nitrate supply. Plant Biol (Stuttg) 12:60–69. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1438-8677.2009 .00211.x.
- Westhoek A, Field E, Rehling F, Mulley G, Webb I, Poole PS, Turnbull LA. 2017. Policing the legume-Rhizobium symbiosis: a critical test of partner choice. Sci Rep 7:1419. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-01634-2.
- Broughton BWJ, Dilworth MJ. 1971. Control of leghaemoglobin synthesis in snake beans. Biochem J 125:1075–1080. https://doi.org/10 .1042/bj1251075.
- 43. Somasegaran P, Hoben H. 1994. Handbook for rhizobia: methods in legume-Rhizobium technology. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY.
- 44. Vincent JM. 1970. A manual for the practical study of root-nodule bacteria. Blackwell Scientific, Oxford, United Kingdom.
- Richardson AD, Duigan SP, Berlyn GP. 2002. An evaluation of noninvasive methods to estimate foliar chlorophyll content. New Phytol 153: 185–194. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0028-646X.2001.00289.x.
- Versalovic J, Schneider M, De Bruihn FJ, Lupski JR. 1994. Genomic fingerprinting of bacteria using repetitive sequence-based ploymerase chain reaction. J Clin Microbiol 5:25–40.
- Hall TA. 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. 7.0.9.0. Oxford University Press, London, United Kingdom.
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. 2016. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Mol Biol Evol 33: 1870–1874. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw054.
- Kimura M. 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J Mol Evol 16:111–120. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01731581.
- Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39:783–791. https://doi.org/10.1111/j .1558-5646.1985.tb00420.x.
- Oksanen J, Blanchet F, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D, Minchin P, O'Hara R, Simpson G, Solymos P, Stevens MH. 2016. vegan: community ecology package, version 2.4-1. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria.
- 52. R Core Team. 2015. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.