



# Will INSPIRE crown iruplinalkib as a new standard choice in first-line advanced *ALK*-positive non-small cell lung cancer?

Blerina Resuli<sup>1,2</sup>, Diego Kauffmann-Guerrero<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine V, University Hospital, LMU, Munich, Germany; <sup>2</sup>Comprehensive Pneumology Center Munich (CPC-M), German Center for Lung Research (DZL), Munich, Germany

*Correspondence to:* Diego Kauffmann-Guerrero, MD. Department of Medicine V, University Hospital, LMU, Ziemssenstraße 1, 80336 Munich, Germany; Comprehensive Pneumology Center Munich (CPC-M), German Center for Lung Research (DZL), Munich, Germany.

Email: Diego.KauffmannGuerrero@med.uni-muenchen.de.

*Comment on:* Shi Y, Chen J, Yang R, *et al.* Iruplinalkib (WX-0593) Versus Crizotinib in *ALK* TKI-Naïve Locally Advanced or Metastatic *ALK*-Positive NSCLC: Interim Analysis of a Randomized, Open-Label, Phase 3 Study (INSPIRE). *J Thorac Oncol* 2024;19:912-27.

**Keywords:** Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC); anaplastic lymphoma kinase (*ALK*); iruplinalkib; tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI)

Submitted Jul 25, 2024. Accepted for publication Mar 05, 2025. Published online Mar 26, 2025.

doi: 10.21037/tlcr-24-655

**View this article at:** <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tlcr-24-655>

Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) represents 80–85% of lung cancers and is the leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide (1).

Anaplastic lymphoma kinase (*ALK*) gene rearrangements designate a class of molecular subtypes of NSCLC which accounts for 4–5% of all non-squamous NSCLC (2). The most common *ALK* fusion partner is *EML4*. Other fusion partners discovered include *KIF5B*, *KLC1*, and *TPR* (3).

*EML4-ALK* fusion variants (V) result from different breakpoints with the most common V1 (54.5%), followed by 3a/V3b (34%), V2 (10%), and V5a (1.5%) that have shown different treatment responses (2,4).

Typically, at baseline diagnosis patients are young (median age 55 years), female, never or light smokers and histologically adenocarcinoma, positive for thyroid transcription factor 1 (TTF-1) with a tendency of metastases to the central nervous system, pleura, and pericardium (5).

The development of tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) has revolutionized the treatment and outcomes of oncogenic addicted NSCLC patients (6).

Crizotinib was the first *ALK* TKI that was granted approval in 2011 based on the results of phase I/II clinical trials showing an objective response rate (ORR) of 60–74% and median progression-free survival (PFS) of 8–11 months (7,8). Despite the results of crizotinib over chemotherapy, resistance can occur. To date, various next-generation TKIs

have been developed and approved in first- and higher-lines settings such as ceritinib, alectinib, brigatinib, and lorlatinib (*Table 1*) (9–12). Additionally, ensartinib is approved in China for first-line *ALK*-positive NSCLC (9–11,13).

Lorlatinib is a third-generation TKI developed to overcome resistance mechanisms following second-generation TKIs. Recently, lorlatinib was also approved for first-line treatment based on results from the CROWN trial. After 5 years of follow-up, the trial demonstrated a median PFS not reached [NR [95% confidence interval (CI): 64.3–NR]] for lorlatinib, compared with 9.1 months (95% CI: 7.4–10.9) for crizotinib [hazard ratio (HR), 0.19 (95% CI: 0.13–0.27)].

Lorlatinib demonstrated the best PFS rate ever observed in treatment-naïve advanced NSCLC: 60% (95% CI: 51–68) versus 8% (95% CI: 3–14) for crizotinib (12). Lorlatinib also show excellent intracranial activity in patients with and without brain metastases. The median time to intracranial progression was not reached (95% CI: NR to NR) with lorlatinib versus 16.4 months (95% CI: 12.7–21.9) with crizotinib [HR, 0.06 (95% CI: 0.03–0.12)] (12). The long-term efficacy showed by lorlatinib surpassed all the other *ALK* TKIs. However, clinicians should keep in mind that lorlatinib has a different safety profile compared with other *ALK* TKIs, including hyperlipidemia and central nervous system effects (neurocognitive impairment and psychiatric

**Table 1** Characteristics of oral *ALK* TKIs for NSCLC patients in the clinical practice

Characteristics	Crizotinib	Ceritinib	Alectinib	Brigatinib	Lorlatinib
Generation	I	II	II	II	III
EMA	2L: 10/2012 1L: 11/2015	2L: 05/2015 1L: 12/2017	2L: 02/2017 1L: 12/2017	2L: 11/2018 1L: 04/2020	2L: 11/2018 1L: 01/2022
1L mPFS (HR)	10.9 months (0.45) vs. chemo	16.6 months (0.55) vs. chemo	34.8 months (0.43) vs. crizotinib	24 months (0.48) vs. crizotinib	NR (0.19) vs. crizotinib
1L OS HR	0.76 vs. chemo	0.73 vs. chemo	0.67 vs. crizotinib	0.81 vs. crizotinib	NA
1L RR (%)	74	72.5	75.5	74	81
TR-AEs discontinuation (%)	12	5	14.5	12	5

1L, first line; 2L, second line; AEs, adverse events; *ALK*, anaplastic lymphoma kinase; EMA, European Medicines Agency; HR, hazard ratio; mPFS, median progression-free survival; NA, not available; NR, not reached; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer; OS, overall survival; RR, relative risk; TKIs, tyrosine kinase inhibitors; TR, treatment related.

symptoms). A pragmatic approach in order to manage the adverse events related to lorlatinib is required.

The treatment landscape for patients with *ALK*-positive NSCLC is continuously evolving and to date we have several efficient *ALK*-TKI that result in long-term PFS with lorlatinib actually being the “Crown” in the treatment landscape of advanced *ALK*-positive NSCLC, especially patients with brain metastases at diagnosis.

However, lung cancer specialists are always seeking new-generation *ALK*-TKI in order to overcome resistance and obtain better treatment sequencing, outcomes and have a favorable safety profile.

In the Iruplinaklib in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer as a Primary Inhibitor of *ALK* Rearrangement Effectiveness (INSPIRE) study, a multicenter, randomized, open-label, phase III study conducted in China, Shi *et al.* aimed to confront the efficacy and safety of iruplinaklib versus crizotinib in advanced, TKI-naïve *ALK*-positive NSCLC patients (13). This multicenter investigation led by Dr. Shi and co-authors enrolled 292 patients from 40 centers in China.

After approximately 26 months of follow-up, iruplinaklib demonstrated a median PFS of 27.7 months (95% CI: 26.3–not estimable) versus 14.6 months (95% CI: 11.1–16.5) in the crizotinib group, with HR of 0.34 (98.02% CI: 0.23–0.52,  $P < 0.0001$ ). Higher ORR was observed in the iruplinaklib group [93.0% (95% CI: 87.5–96.6)] compared with the crizotinib group [89.3% (95% CI: 83.1–93.7)], with statistically significant improvement in duration of response (median: 26.8 *vs.* 12.9 months; HR, 0.31; 95% CI: 0.22–0.45,  $P < 0.0001$ ) (13). Furthermore, iruplinaklib

demonstrated a higher intracranial ORR [90.9% (95% CI: 58.7–99.8)] *vs.* crizotinib [60.0% (95% CI: 32.3–83.7)]. Grade 3–4 treatment-related adverse occurred in 51.7% of patients in the iruplinaklib group and 49.7% in the crizotinib group. The median PFS by Independent Review Committee (IRC) for the overall population is 36.8 months, and the median PFS by IRC for the central lab-confirmed *ALK*-positive patients is 45.9 months (14).

The authors compared iruplinaklib with crizotinib in the *ALK*-positive Chinese population and demonstrated that iruplinaklib exhibited superior efficacy, surpassing crizotinib in key clinical outcomes. Two key messages could be drawn from the INSPIRE study based on the results of the study: first, the improved PFS, ORR and importantly the intracranial activity define iruplinaklib as a novel finding in the therapeutic armamentarium for the treatment of patients with *ALK*-positive NSCLC. Second, it demonstrated a manageable safety profile and no deaths related to iruplinaklib (13).

However, it should be noted that beyond the data of the efficacy in PFS, the INSPIRE trial has some limitations. The data of the OS are lacking, and the study is limited only to the Chinese population. Furthermore, the comparison was conducted against a first-generation TKI (crizotinib) rather than a second- or third-generation *ALK* inhibitor, highlighting the need for further evaluations against more advanced TKIs.

With this in mind, we believe that iruplinaklib may be a valid alternative to other *ALK* TKIs approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and European Medicines Agency (EMA).

## Acknowledgments

None.

## Footnote

*Provenance and Peer Review:* This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Translational Lung Cancer Research*. The article has undergone external peer review.

*Peer Review File:* Available at <https://tlcr.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tlcr-24-655/prf>

*Funding:* None.

*Conflicts of Interest:* Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://tlcr.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tlcr-24-655/coif>). B.R. declares that he received personal fees from Takeda, AstraZeneca, Pfizer, Janssen, MSD, BMS and Roche, and grants from Amgen, GSK, Pfizer, Janssen, BMS and MSD. D.K.G. reports that he received honoraria from AstraZeneca, Boehringer-Ingelheim, BMS, Roche, MSD, Pfizer, GSK, Janssen and Takeda; travel grant from Takeda, Pfizer, AstraZeneca, and Janssen; and he is a member of the Rare Tumor Committee of the IASCL. The authors have no other conflicts of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

*Open Access Statement:* This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

## References

1. Siegel RL, Giaquinto AN, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2024. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2024;74:12-49.
2. Soda M, Choi YL, Enomoto M, et al. Identification of the transforming EML4-ALK fusion gene in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Nature* 2007;448:561-6.
3. Shaw AT, Yeap BY, Mino-Kenudson M, et al. Clinical features and outcome of patients with non-small-cell lung cancer who harbor EML4-ALK. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:4247-53.
4. Shi J, Shiraishi K, Choi J, et al. Genome-wide association study of lung adenocarcinoma in East Asia and comparison with a European population. *Nat Commun* 2023;14:3043.
5. Zia V, Lengyel CG, Tajima CC, et al. Advancements of ALK inhibition of non-small cell lung cancer: a literature review. *Transl Lung Cancer Res* 2023;12:1563-74.
6. Mok T, Camidge DR, Gadgeel SM, et al. Updated overall survival and final progression-free survival data for patients with treatment-naïve advanced ALK-positive non-small-cell lung cancer in the ALEX study. *Ann Oncol* 2020;31:1056-64.
7. Shi Y, Fang J, Hao X, et al. Safety and activity of WX-0593 (Iruplinalkib) in patients with ALK- or ROS1-rearranged advanced non-small cell lung cancer: a phase 1 dose-escalation and dose-expansion trial. *Signal Transduct Target Ther* 2022;7:25.
8. Shi Y, Chen J, Zhang H, et al. Efficacy and safety of iruplinalkib (WX-0593) in ALK-positive crizotinib-resistant advanced non-small cell lung cancer patients: a single-arm, multicenter phase II study (INTELLECT). *BMC Med* 2023;21:72.
9. Soria JC, Tan DSW, Chiari R, et al. First-line ceritinib versus platinum-based chemotherapy in advanced ALK-rearranged non-small-cell lung cancer (ASCEND-4): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 study. *Lancet* 2017;389:917-29.
10. Peters S, Camidge DR, Shaw AT, et al. Alectinib versus Crizotinib in Untreated ALK-Positive Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2017;377:829-38.
11. Yang JC, Liu G, Lu S, et al. Brigatinib Versus Alectinib in ALK-Positive NSCLC After Disease Progression on Crizotinib: Results of Phase 3 ALTA-3 Trial. *J Thorac Oncol* 2023;18:1743-55.
12. Solomon BJ, Liu G, Felip E, et al. Lorlatinib Versus Crizotinib in Patients With Advanced ALK-Positive Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: 5-Year Outcomes From the Phase III CROWN Study. *J Clin Oncol* 2024;42:3400-9.
13. Shi Y, Chen J, Yang R, et al. Iruplinalkib (WX-0593) Versus Crizotinib in ALK TKI-Naïve Locally Advanced or Metastatic ALK-Positive NSCLC: Interim Analysis of a Randomized, Open-Label, Phase 3 Study (INSPIRE). *J*

Thorac Oncol 2024;19:912-27.

14. Chen J, Yang R, Wu H, et al. 1278P - Update of the INSPIRE study: Iruplinaklib versus crizotinib in *ALK*

TKI-naïve locally advanced or metastatic *ALK*+ non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Ann Oncol 2024;35:S802-77.

**Cite this article as:** Resuli B, Kauffmann-Guerrero D. Will INSPIRE crown iruplinaklib as a new standard choice in first-line advanced *ALK*-positive non-small-cell lung cancer? Transl Lung Cancer Res 2025;14(3):653-656. doi: 10.21037/tlcr-24-655