



## A Novel Pan-Genome Reverse Vaccinology Approach Employing a Negative-Selection Strategy for Screening Surface-Exposed Antigens against *leptospirosis*

#### OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Fabrice Merien, Auckland University of Technology, New Zealand

#### Reviewed by:

Maria Aparecida Scatamburlo Moreira, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Brazil Shakti Singh, National Cancer Institute at Frederick, USA

#### \*Correspondence:

YongZhang Zhu yzhzhu@hotmail.com XiaoKuiGuo microbiology@sjtu.edu.cn Yung-Fu Chang yc42@cornell.edu YuFeng Yao yufeng\_yao@imbcams.com.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work.

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Infectious Diseases, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 25 March 2016 Accepted: 27 February 2017 Published: 14 March 2017

#### Citation:

Zeng L, Wang D, Hu N, Zhu Q, Chen K, Dong K, Zhang Y, Yao Y, Guo X, Chang Y-F and Zhu Y (2017) A Novel Pan-Genome Reverse Vaccinology Approach Employing a Negative-Selection Strategy for Screening Surface-Exposed Antigens against leptospirosis. Front. Microbiol. 8:396. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.00396 LingBing Zeng<sup>1,2†</sup>, Dongliang Wang<sup>3†</sup>, NiYa Hu<sup>1</sup>, Qing Zhu<sup>1</sup>, Kaishen Chen<sup>1</sup>, Ke Dong<sup>2</sup>, Yan Zhang<sup>2</sup>, YuFeng Yao<sup>4\*</sup>, XiaoKui Guo<sup>2\*</sup>, Yung-Fu Chang<sup>5\*</sup> and YongZhang Zhu<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Laboratory Medicine, the First Affiliated Hospital of NanChang University, Nanchang, China, <sup>2</sup> Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China, <sup>3</sup> CAS Key Laboratory for Biological Effects of Nanomaterials and Nanosafety, National Center for Nanoscience and Technology, Beijing, China, <sup>4</sup> Department of Molecular Immunology, Institute of Medical Biology, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Peking Union Medical College, Kunming, China, <sup>5</sup> Department of Population Medicine and Diagnostic Sciences, College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

Reverse vaccinology (RV) has been widely used for screening of surface-exposed proteins (PSEs) of important pathogens, including outer membrane proteins (OMPs), and extracellular proteins (ECPs) as potential vaccine candidates. In this study, we applied a novel RV negative strategy and a pan-genome analysis for screening of PSEs from 17 L. interrogans strains covering 11 predominately epidemic serovars and 17 multilocus typing (MLST) sequence types (STs) worldwide. Our results showed, for instance, out of a total of 633 predicted PSEs in strain 56601, 92.8% were OMPs or ECPs (588/633). Among the 17 strains, 190 core PSEs, 913 dispensable PSEs and 861 unique PSEs were identified. Of the 190 PSEs, 121 were further predicted to be highly antigenic and thus may serve as potential vaccine candidates against leptospirosis. With the exception of LipL45, OmpL1, and LigB, the majority of the 121 PSEs were newly identified antigens. For example, hypothetical proteins BatC, LipL71, and the OmpA family proteins sharing many common features, such as surface-exposed localization, universal conservation, and eliciting strong antibody responses in patients, are regarded as the most promising vaccine antigens. Additionally, a wide array of potential virulence factors among the predicted PSEs including TonB-dependent receptor, sphingomyelinase 2, leucine-rich repeat protein, and 4 neighboring hypothetical proteins were identified as potential antigenicity, and deserve further investigation. Our results can contribute to the prediction of suitable antigens as potential vaccine candidates against leptospirosis and also provide further insights into mechanisms of leptospiral pathogenicity. In addition, our novel negative-screening strategy combined with pan-genome analysis can be a routine RV method applied to numerous other pathogens.

Keywords: reverse vaccinology (RV), negative selection strategy, surface-exposed proteins, vaccine candidate, *L. interrogans* 

1

## INTRODUCTION

Leptospirosis, caused by pathogenic spirochete bacteria of the genus *Leptospira*, is one of the most common zoonotic diseases worldwide. Leptospirosis has been recognized as an emerging disease with more than half a million patients reported annually (Adler et al., 2011). Pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. are transmitted mainly by direct contact with infected animals or by exposure to water or soil contaminated by the urine of infected animals (Faine, 1994). To date, more than 250 serovars have been observed in pathogenic *Leptospira* (Zhang et al., 2012). At the present time, available *leptospira* vaccines are inactivated whole cell products that provide inadequate protection against a large number of serogroups of pathogenic leptospires (Faisal et al., 2008). Therefore, there is an urgent need to develop a long-term and cross-protective vaccine set against leptospirosis.

A revolutionary vaccine research strategy, reverse vaccinology (RV), was able to identify five suitable serogroup B meningococcal vaccine candidates (Pizza et al., 2000). Subsequently, RV has been widely applied to a wide range of bacterial pathogens, including Streptococcus pneumoniae, S. agalactiae, Staphylococcus aureus, Porphyromonas gingivalis, Chlamydia pneumonia, and L. interrogans (Paton and Giammarinaro, 2001; Wizemann et al., 2001; Hava and Camilli, 2002; Gamberini et al., 2005; Maione et al., 2005; Mora et al., 2005; Tettelin et al., 2005; Falugi et al., 2008; Seib et al., 2012). Generally, Gram-negative bacteria have five subcellular location sites including cytoplasm, inner membrane, outer membrane, periplasm, and extracellular space. According to RV theory, except for cytoplasmic and inner membrane proteins, proteins located in the other sites can be regarded as PSEs, and are the most suitable vaccine candidates due to their high susceptibility to antibody recognition and eliciting protective immune responses. The in silico approach of RV is a novel and integrative method that uses available bioinformatic tools in the first step of vaccine development. The currently used in silico strategy of RV is to focus only on OMPs and ECPs positively predicted by several bioinformatic tools, such as PSORTb, Cello, and P-classifier. This approach may overlook numerous unknown proteins as potential vaccine candidates because a relatively high proportion of proteins are not covered by these bioinformatic tools. For instance, the most frequently used tool, PSORTb, achieved the greatest degree of precision, but as many as 30.8% (1,140) of str.56601 proteins were not selected as potential vaccine candidates for further screening, simply due to the fact that the localization sites of these proteins were unknown. This is illustrated by the extracellular virulence factor of Bordetella pertussis-pertussis toxin, the only indispensable component of acellular pertussis vaccines, which was predicted as an "unknown" protein by PSORTB. Furthermore, OMPs, ECPs, and Periplasmic proteins (PMs) were predicted far less accurately and reliably than cytoplasmic proteins (CYTs) and inner membrane proteins (IMPs) by these frequently used bioinformatic tools, including PSORTb, Cello, Proteome Analysis, Subloc, and LOCtree (Gardy and Brinkman, 2006). The usage of these popular bioinformatic tools remains a matter for further investigation, as they may miss or exclude highly antigenic vaccine candidates. Here, in this study, a novel RV prediction method employing a negative selection strategy was developed to reliably identify potential vaccine candidates by removing CYTs and IMPs. Based on our novel RV strategy, these "unknown" proteins that are further predicted as CYTs or IMPs by multiple tools according to our criteria are excluded, and the remaining "unknown" proteins, which might be surfaceexposed, are retained in the final vaccine candidates list for further screening. Thus, we can greatly reduce the risk of missing potential vaccine candidates among these "unknown" proteins predicted by one of these computational methods.

Early RV efforts were focused mainly on a single genome of a pathogenic strain or species. This limited focus renders it impossible to develop a universal vaccine comprising biologically cross-protective antigens against multiple serovars, strains, or pathovars of one pathogen. To alleviate this shortcoming, pangenome strategies have been developed to identify potential cross-protective antigens using multiple genomes of the same species, such as group B *Streptococcus* spp. (Maione et al., 2005).

In this study, we have applied a new *in silico* RV negative selection strategy combining a pan-genome analysis to screen PSEs as vaccine candidates to provide a framework for future vaccine development against leptospirosis. In addition, potential virulence factors of leptospira were also further analyzed in this study. Future efforts will be targeted toward the experimental characterization of these identified PSEs in our study, as well as screening their potential as vaccine candidates in an animal model.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Selection of Leptospiral Genome Sequences

Information for leptospiral serovars and multilocus sequence typing were combined to select suitable strains of *L. interrogans*. Finally, the 17 representative *L. interrogans* strains covering 11 dominantly epidemic serovars and 17 MLST sequence types (STs) worldwide were selected. For instance, more than 90% of Chinese epidemic or outbreak strains belonged to the 11 dominant serovars (Zhang et al., 2012). The proteomes of all strains were downloaded from the Pathosystems Resource Integration Center (PATRIC) website (www.patricbrc.org) and detailed information about the selected strains is presented in **Table 1**.

## Predicting Strategy for PSEs of L. interrogans

A novel RV approach employing a negative selection strategy was used in this work (**Figure 1**). At first, the three currently used bioinformatic tools, PSORTb3.0 (Yu et al., 2010), CELLO (Yu et al., 2004), and SOSUI-GramN (Imai et al., 2008), were used to predict subcellular localization of these proteins by a majority voting strategy. Proteins predicted as CYTs by at least two of the three bioinformatic tools were defined as consensus

Strains	MLST	Serovar	Isolated location	Host	Contig
Leptospirainterrogansserovar Australia str. 2002000624	ST51	Australia	Hawaii, USA	Human	146
LeptospirainterrogansserovarBataviae str. 2006006976	ST50	Bataviae	Egypt	Human	314
LeptospirainterrogansserovarBataviae str. L1111	ST42	Bataviae	Thailand	Human	157
LeptospirainterrogansserovarBataviae str. UI 08561	ST79	Bataviae	Laos	Human	300
LeptospirainterrogansserovarBulgarica str. Mallika	ST112	Bulgarica	India	Human	335
LeptospirainterrogansserovarCopenhageni str. Fiocruz L1-130	ST17	Copenhageni	Brazil	Human	2
LeptospirainterrogansserovarGrippotyphosa str. UI 08368	ST77	Grippotyphosa	Laos	Human	369
LeptospirainterrogansserovarGrippotyphosa str. UI 08434	ST82	Grippotyphosa	Laos	Human	237
LeptospirainterrogansserovarGrippotyphosa str. UI 12764	ST85	Grippotyphosa	Laos	Human	147
Leptospirainterrogansserovar Lai str. 56601	ST1	Lai	China	Human	2
LeptospirainterrogansserovarManilae str. M001_Tn_Mutant_Parent	ST57	Manilae	Philippinnes	Human	271
LeptospirainterrogansserovarMedanensis str. UT053	ST46	Medanensis	Thailand	Human	188
LeptospirainterrogansserovarMuenchen str. Brem 129	ST24	Muenchen	Germany	Horse	322
Leptospirainterrogansserovar Pomona str. Pomona	ST37	Pomona	Australia	Human	118
LeptospirainterrogansserovarPyrogenes str. 2006006956	ST88	Pyrogenes	Egypt	Human	344
LeptospirainterrogansserovarPyrogenes str. L0374	ST49	Pyrogenes	Thailand	Human	169
LeptospirainterrogansserovarPyrogenes str. SriLanka1	ST75	Pyrogenes	Tanzania	Rodent, Mastomyssp	667

CYTs. Similarly, proteins predicted as IMPs by at least two of the three tools were defined as consensus IMPs. Proteins predicted as CYTs or IMPs by only one of the three tools were labeled as non-consensus CYTs or IMPs, respectively. The remaining proteins were labeled as PSEs. Thus, the predicted results were preliminarily divided into three groups: consensus CYTs/IMPs, non-consensus CYTs/IMPs, and PSEs. The consensus CYTs and IMPs as non-PSEs were directly removed from further study. Non-consensus CYTs and IMPs were further analyzed by combination of additional bioinformatic tools. If these nonconsensus CYTs were predicted to be negative by SignalP3.0 (Bendtsen et al., 2004b), TatP (Juncker et al., 2003), and SecretomeP (Bendtsen et al., 2004a), they were removed from further analysis. Non-consensus CYTs with positive signal peptide results were retained as PSEs. Non-consensus IMPs with transmembrane structures predicted by TMHMM (Krogh et al., 2001) or Phobius (Kall et al., 2004) were also removed for further study. Non-consensus IMPs with no transmembrane structures predicted by TMHMM and Phobius were retained as PSEs. Thus, the remaining proteins classified as PSEs were categorized as follows: (1) ECPs or periplasmic proteins predicted by SignalP3.0, Tat and SecretomeP; (2) OMPs predicted by BOMP (Berven et al., 2004), TMBETADISC-RBF (Ou et al., 2008) and LipoP (Juncker et al., 2003); and (3) proteins with unknown localization. Finally, based on amino acid sequences, the antigenicity value of each PSE was predicted using the VaxiJen server with default parameter "bacteria" and the threshold of 0.5 (Doytchinova and Flower, 2007).

### **Bioinformatic Tools Used in Reverse** Vaccinology

Subcellular localization of *L. interrogans* proteins was predicted by PSORTb, CELLO and SOSUI-GramN. These were classified into CYTs, IMPs, periplasmic proteins (PMs), OMPs, or ECPs. SignalP3.0, TatP, SecretomeP, LipoP, TMBETADISC-RBF, and BOMP were used for further extracellular feature prediction. String database was used for analyzing protein–protein interactions (PPI) of *L. interrogans* PSEs (Franceschini et al., 2013).

#### Pangenomic Analysis of Predicted PSEs Among 17 Leptospiral Strains

Reciprocal blast with bidirectional best hit (BBH) and e-values of  $10^{-10}$  were used for ortholog clustering of *L. interrogans* in a pan-genome analysis. Additionally, in order to avoid homologous mismatches, both the coverage and identity percent of cut-offs were set to at least 50%. The concepts of core, dispensable, and unique PSEs were used in this study according to the pan-genome classification. Core PSEs were highly conserved among all 17 strains. Dispensable PSEs and unique PSEs existed in less than 16 strains and exclusively in only one strain, respectively. Finally, these core PSEs with high antigenicity values predicted by the VaxiJen server were determined as the final vaccine antigens candidates against leptospirosis.

### RESULTS

## General Information of Selected *L. interrogans* Strains

A total of 17 leptospiral strains covering 11 different serovars and 17 STs were selected for analysis (**Table 1**). Among these strains, serovars Bataviae, Grippotyphosa, and Pyrogenes consisted of three different STs. The present study was focused mainly on those selected strains that are the most common serovars in China; further, the STs associated with evolutionary information were taken into account (Varni et al., 2013).



## Prediction Schema of PSEs by the Negative Selection Method

The new combined RV strategy is illustrated by Figure 1. We chose L. interrogans str.56601 as an example. A total of 3,702 proteins were analyzed using our novel RV strategy; 2,706 consensus CYTs and IMPs, 666 non-consensus proteins, and 330 PSEs were predicted. Among these 2,706 proteins, 2,166 proteins were predicted as CYTs and 540 as IMPs by at least two of the three software (PSORTb3.0, CELLO and SOSUI-GramN). Moreover, these 666 non-consensus proteins predicted as CYT or IMP by only one of the three software were further assessed according to the following rules: For example, LA\_0012 was predicted to be unknown in PSORT, OMP in Cello and CYT in SoSui-GramN, respectively; And LA\_0009 was predicted to be unknown in PSORT, OMP in Cello, IMP in SoSui-GramN. A total of 398 non-consensus proteins like LA\_0012 and 157 proteins like LA\_0009 were subdivided as non-consensus CYTs and non-consensus IMPs, respectively. In addition, the remaining 111 non-consensus proteins like LA\_0293 with unknown location in PSORTb, CYT in Cello and IMPs in SoSui-GramN, were defined as both non-consensus CYTs and IMPs. Therefore, the 666 non-consensus proteins were divided into 509 non-consensus CYTs (398 plus 111) and 268 non-consensus IMPs (157 plus 111). Among the 509 nonconsensus CYTs, 311 were predicted negative using the three programs (SignalP3.0, TatP, and SecretomeP) and were removed from further analysis. There were 198 non-consensus CYTs with positive signal peptide results; these were retained as PSEs. Another 268 non-consensus IMPs were further analyzed by TMHMM (Krogh et al., 2001) or Phobius (Kall et al., 2004). One hundred and twenty-seven of these were predicted to have transmembrane structures and eliminated from further study. The remaining 141 with no transmembrane structure were retained and classified as PSEs. Finally, 303 were also predicted to be PSEs out of the 666 non-consensus proteins. Altogether, in addition to the 330 PSEs mentioned above, we predicted a total of 633 PSEs from 3,702 proteins in this study. Among them, the subcellular localization of 45 proteins was unknown and the remaining proteins were almost all predicted as OMPs or ECPs. The predicted PSEs were as high as 92.8% (588/633). The

detailed information of PSEs in the remaining strains identified was shown in **Figure 2**.

## Pan-Genome Analysis of Predicted PSEs among 17 Leptospiral Strains

The number of predicted PSEs in the various strains of L. interrogans ranged from 600 to 780 (Figure 2). Gene accumulation curves showed that core genome size fits an exponential decay curve that reached a plateau at 11,043 proteins, whereas the pan PSE grouping fits a power law curve, suggesting the 17 leptospiral strains selected are sufficient to characterize pan core PSEs (Figure 3). Among the 1,103 leptospiral ortholog clusters, 190 core PSEs (17.2%) and 913 dispensable PSEs (82.8%) were shared by all 17 of L. interrogans strains and partly conserved among 2-16 strains, respectively. Furthermore, the pan PSEs included 861 unique PSEs that were found only in one strain. The numbers of unique PSEs in each strain range from 17 (serovar Manilae str.M001) to 103 (serovar Medanensis str.UT053). The dispensable and unique PSEs might be related to different serotypes. The detailed information of all strains and those three dependent serovars was shown in Figure 4. In the present study, our main goal was to predict potential novel protective antigens for the development of universal vaccines against leptospirosis; special attention was given to the 121 high antigenic PSEs from 190 core PSEs, including 37 ECPs, 83 OMPs, and 1 unknown protein localization (see Table 2). As more than 40% of L. interrogans proteins have been annotated as hypothetical proteins, further study of these proteins' functions is needed. Among them, only 55 were categorized into the following COG groups, including main cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis (9); function unknown (9); cell motility (7); inorganic ion transport and metabolism (5); general function prediction only (4); Posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones (4); Carbohydrate transport and metabolism (3); Energy production and conversion (2), etc. 16 PSEs were predicted as being involved in(a) cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis or (b) cell motility, which are related to the classical function of PSEs (**Table S1**). In addition, we predicted dispensable and unique PSEs in our pangenome analysis. For instance, there were 28 unique PSEs in *str*.56601 and 27 in *str*. Fiocruz L1-130 (**Table S2**).

### DISCUSSION

PSEs of pathogens are potential immune targets for the host immune system (Solis and Cordwell, 2011). In this study, we analyzed the PSEs of 17 leptospiral representative strains covering 11 main serovars and 17 STs, and identified potential vaccine candidates or virulence factors.

Recently, we identified a total of 33 highly reliable ECPs in serovar Lai *str.*56601 using a newly modified protein-free



FIGURE 3 | Calculation of core- and pan-genome sizes of Pathogenic *L. interrogans* including exponential law models.





medium, and 26 of them were found in predicted PSEs of str.56601 in the current study, including LipL32, LipL36, LipL48, LenC, LenE, TonB receptor, OmpA family protein, and 8 putative lipoproteins and 6 hypothetical proteins (Zeng et al., 2013). In addition, a novel L. interrogans OMP microarray was developed and contained a total of 366 predicted lipoproteins and transmembrane OMPs (Pinne et al., 2012). About 70% (239/346) of these OMPs or lipoproteins in the protein array were found in our predicted PSEs of str. Fiocruz L1-130. It has been reported that 1,026 proteins in the TX-114 OMP-enriched fraction were found from the transcriptional and translational responses to temperature shift by high-throughput liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS-MS); however, only 154 of the 1026 proteins were found in our predicted PSEs of str.56601. The significant discrepancies could be due to lower coverage of OMPs or lipoproteins within the 1,026 proteins, which comprised no more than 80 predicted or known OMPs or lipoproteins (Lo et al., 2009). In order to comprehensively evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of our negative-screening RV strategy, we further compared another three different data sets including experimentally identified 78 surface-exposed antigens or virulence factors (see Table S3). 499 PSEs of L. interrogans were identified by a positive-selection RV strategy as previously described by Yang et al. (2006) and 346 OMPs/lipoproteins of L. interrogans in the L. interrogans OMP array (Pinne et al., 2012), with our negative-screening results (See Figure 5 and Table S3). Among all 78 known surface-exposed antigens, 63, 55, and 43 were identified in the OMP array (Pinne et al., 2012), in this study and Yang's studies (Yang et al., 2006), respectively. Actually, the highest consistency between protein array result and the known surface-exposed antigens might mainly be due to more than 90% (70/78) of known antigens being located in the outer membrane. Moreover, there are 95 common OMPs/Lipoproteins among

Yang's, Pinne's and our study's antigen inventory. There were 84 common OMPs/Lipoproteins between Pinne's and our study while there were only 40 proteins between Pinne's and Yang's study. Thus, for OMP/Lipoprotein, our negative RV strategy predicted more proteins than that of Yang's positive RV strategy. However, the information of extracellular proteins in pathogenic *Leptospira* spp. is still limited. Further, studies to identify more ECPs and to assess the prediction precision of the two different RV strategies are needed.

In this study, pan-genome analysis showed 121 highly antigenic PSEs conserved completely among all 17 strains. Except for several known proteins, including LipL45, OmpL1, and LigB, the majority of these candidates are identified in Leptospira for the first time (Pinne et al., 2012). Among the 121 PSEs, the most promising new vaccine antigens appear to be hypothetical proteins (LA\_2741), BatC (LB\_056), and lipL71/LruA (LA\_3097). LA\_2741 and BatC were recognized in leptospirosis patients and identified as differentially reactive antigens between acute- or convalescent-phase leptospirosis patients and healthy individuals (Lessa-Aquino et al., 2013). The lipoprotein LruA, present in pathogenic L. interrogans but not in non-pathogenic L. biflexa, could induce high levels of humoral antibody responses in equine uveitis eyes and in sera of humans with leptospiral uveitis (Verma et al., 2005). Thus, these three PSEs could be worthy of further investigation as novel vaccine candidates and/or diagnostic markers for leptospirosis because of common features, including surface-exposed localization, universal conservation, and eliciting strong antibody production in patients (Verma et al., 2005).

Surface-exposed proteins generally comprise a wide array of virulence factors involved in pathogen-host interactions and are responsible for causing disease. Comparing our predicted results

Locus	str. L1-130 Locus	Osmolarity <i>in vivolin</i> <i>vitro</i> ) (Matsunaga et al., 2007)	Low iron (Lo et al., 2010)	Serum (Patarakul et al., 2010)	Temperature P-E (Lo et al., 2006)	Temperature upshift (Lo et al., 2006)	Lai/IPAV (Zhong et al., 2011)	000	Product	Localization	Vaxijen antigenicity
LA_0022	LIC10021	1	I	1	1	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.7022
LA_0071	LIC10064	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG4731S	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.7164
LA_0074	LIC10067	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.6286
LA_0075	LIC10068	I	I	$\rightarrow$	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.5617
LA_0136	LIC10123	Ι	I	I	I	I	$\rightarrow$	COG4254S	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.6461
LA_0303	LIC10260	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.6756
LA_0322	LIC10280	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	fibronectin binding protein	OMPs	0.7026
LA_0333	LIC10288	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG0603R	PP-loop superfamily ATPase	OMPs	0.6805
LA_0346	LIC10298	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG1558N	Flagellar basal body rod protein FlgC	ECPs	0.633
LA_0357	LIC10307	1	I	I	I	I	I	COG0412Q	Dienelactone hydrolase family protein	OMPs	0.6134
LA_0364	LIC10313	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	1.094
LA_0410	LIC10359	I	I	I	I	I	I	C0G2834M	Outer membrane lipoprotein-sorting protein	OMPs	0.6661
LA_0419	LIC10368	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.7143
LA_0430	LIC10377	I	I	I	I	~	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.8101
LA_0505	LIC13050 <sup>*</sup>	Ι	I	I	I	I	$\rightarrow$	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.8807
LA_0568	LIC13002	I	I	I	I	$\rightarrow$	I	COG20671	Fatty acid transport protein	OMPs	0.6682
LA_0589	LIC12986	I	~	I	I	I	I	I	hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.5822
LA_0591	LIC12985	Ι	I	I	~	~	I	I	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.5631
LA_0663	LIC12930	I	I	~	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.5964
LA_0862	LIC12765	I	I	$\rightarrow$	I	$\rightarrow$	$\rightarrow$	C0G20770	Thiol peroxidase	ECPs	0.5274
LA_1122	LIC12558	I	I	I	~	~	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.7834
LA_1159	LIC12525	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.5873
LA_1167	LIC12519	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.765
LA_1168	LIC12518	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.6311
LA_1180	LIC12509	I	I	I	~	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.6433
LA_1192	LIC12499	I	I	I	~	~	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.564
LA_1356	LIC12374	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG4206H	TonB-dependent	OMPs	0.6274
									outer membrane receptor		

7

87.04         87.11         97.11 <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>												
U,U         D(128)         I<	<i>Str</i> . 56601 Locus	<i>Str.</i> L1-130 Locus	Osmolarity <i>in vivo/in vitro</i> ) (Matsunaga et al., 2007)	Low iron (Lo et al., 2010)	Serum (Patarakul et al., 2010)	Temperature P-E (Lo et al., 2006)	Temperature upshift (Lo et al., 2006)	Lai/IPAV (Zhong et al., 2011)	COG	Product	Localization	Vaxijen antigenicity
U.J.436         UC1236         C <t< td=""><td>LA_1404</td><td>LIC12337</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>~</td><td>I</td><td>hypothetical protein</td><td>OMPs</td><td>0.5464</td></t<>	LA_1404	LIC12337	I	I	I	I	I	~	I	hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.5464
U.1436         DC1253         C <thc< th="">         C         <thc< td=""><td>LA_1458</td><td>LIC12295</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>COG1134GM</td><td>ABC transporter ATP-binding protein</td><td>OMPs</td><td>0.5095</td></thc<></thc<>	LA_1458	LIC12295	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG1134GM	ABC transporter ATP-binding protein	OMPs	0.5095
U, U, GW         U, C         C <thc< th="">         C         <thc< th=""> <thc< th="">         C         <thc< <="" td=""><td>LA_1499</td><td>LIC12259</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>COG0026F</td><td>Phosphoribosylaminoir carboxylase ATPase subunit</td><td>midavJBre</td><td>0.6708</td></thc<></thc<></thc<></thc<>	LA_1499	LIC12259	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG0026F	Phosphoribosylaminoir carboxylase ATPase subunit	midavJBre	0.6708
U, 1508         U (1233         D         D         D         CO07773         Myoneficia profe         CPs           U, 1987         U (11925         D <tdd< td="">         D         <tdd< td=""><td>LA_1507</td><td>LIC12254</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>COG4775M</td><td>Hypothetical protein</td><td>OMPs</td><td>0.5181</td></tdd<></tdd<>	LA_1507	LIC12254	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG4775M	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.5181
U, 187         UC1202         Catabase         Catabase         Catabase         Colores         Colores         Catabase         Colores         Catabase         Colores         Catabase         Colores         Co	LA_1508	LIC12253	I	I	I	~	~	$\rightarrow$	COG4775M	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.599
U, 10175         C<	LA_1897	LIC12002	I	I	$\rightarrow$	I	I	I	COG0753P	Catalase	ECPs	0.5618
U.1983         UC1183         C <thc< th="">         C         <thc< th="">         C         <thc< td=""><td>LA_1931</td><td>LIC11975</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>Hypothetical protein</td><td>OMPs</td><td>0.802</td></thc<></thc<></thc<>	LA_1931	LIC11975	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.802
LQ.206         LC1164         C <thc< th="">         C         <thc< th="">         C         C         <thc<< td=""><td>LA_1968</td><td>LIC1 1935</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>Ι</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td><math>\rightarrow</math></td><td>I</td><td>Hypothetical protein</td><td>OMPs</td><td>0.5621</td></thc<<></thc<></thc<>	LA_1968	LIC1 1935	I	I	Ι	I	I	$\rightarrow$	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.5621
UZ:05         UC:183         C         C         C         C         COS1886NU         Figeliannee         OMB           UZ:07         UC:1739         C         C         C         C         COS0505         Banna         OMB           UZ:275         UC:1739         C         C         C         C         COS0505         Banna         OMB           UZ:275         UC:168         C         C         C         C         C         COS0505         Banna         OMB           UZ:277         UC:168         C <t< td=""><td>LA_2069</td><td>LIC11846</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>Hypothetical protein</td><td>OMPs</td><td>1.0393</td></t<>	LA_2069	LIC11846	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	1.0393
L/2183         L011733         L         C         COGAGGE         gamma- gamma         GMB- gamma         GMB- gamma           L/2184         L01165         1	LA_2105	LIC11813	I	I	I	I	~	I	COG1886NU	Flagellar motor switch protein	OMPs	0.5388
UZ.227         UC1165         c         c         c         c         c         c         c         deponding pricinal         OMPs           LV.2371         UC1165         c	LA_2186	LIC11739	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG0405E	gamma- glutamyltranspeptidase	oMPs	0.5669
U.2.316         LUCIT686         C         C         CC020138         Hypothetical protein         Hypothetical p	I A 2272	11C11665	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hvnothetical protein	OMPs	0.6501
Location	I A 2316	10.11625	I	I	I	I	I	I	00620135	Hvnothetical protein	ECPs ECPs	0 8212
L2337         LC1168         -         -         -         -         -         C0G21364         Glacosestrosenose         OMPs           L2258         LC11467         -<												2120.0
Lo2338         LC11457         -         -         -         COG739M         M23 tamiy metaloeocopolidase         OMS           Lv2538         LC11435         -         -         -         -         -         COG739M         M23 tamiy metaloeocopolidase         OMS           Lv2538         LC11435         -         -         -         -         -         -         OG518402         Regularoocopolidase         OMS           Lv2550         LC11424         -         -         -         -         -         -         OG518402         Regularoocopolidase         OMS           Lv2550         LC11322         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         OMS         OMS         OMS         OMS         OMS           Lv2501         LC11322         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         OMS         OMS         OMS         OMS         -	LA_2377	LIC11568	I	I	I	I	I	I	C0G2133G	Glucose/sorbosone dehydrogenase	OMPs	0.6489
Lo.2538         LC11435         -         -         -         -         COG5184D2         Regulator of concensione         Combisione         Combisio	LA_2498	LIC11467	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG0739M	M23 family metalloendopeptidase	OMPs	0.6045
Ld_2550         LC1124         -         -         -         -         -         -         COG0506R         Esterase/Ipase         ECPs           Ld_2555         LC11338         -         -         -         -         -         +         +         Pypothetical protein         OMPs           Ld_2651         LC11382         -         -         -         -         -         +         +         Pypothetical protein         OMPs           Ld_2611         LC11382         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         Hypothetical protein         OMPs           Ld_2613         LC11382         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         OMPs           Ld_2613         LC11370         -         -         -         -         -         OMPs         -         OMPs           Ld_2614         LC11370         -         -         -         -         -         OMPs         -         OMPs           Ld_2614         LC11370         -         -         -         -         -         OMPs         -         OMPs           Ld_2644         LC11350         -         <	LA_2538	LIC11435	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG5184DZ	Regulator of chromosome condensation	OMPs	0.6316
	LA_2550	LIC11424	I	I	I	I	I	÷	COG0596R	Esterase/lipase	ECPs	0.7281
	LA_2595	LIC11388	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.863
La_2613         LG11370         -         -         -         -         CGG05450         FkB <sup>+</sup> type         OMPs           A_2617         LU1366         -         -         -         -         -         -         OMPs         Peptidybroly         -         -         -         -         OMPs         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         OMPs         - <td>LA_2601</td> <td>LIC11382</td> <td>I</td> <td>I</td> <td>Ι</td> <td>I</td> <td>~</td> <td>I</td> <td>I</td> <td>Hypothetical protein</td> <td>OMPs</td> <td>0.5082</td>	LA_2601	LIC11382	I	I	Ι	I	~	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.5082
La_2617         LC11366         -         -         -         -         Hypothetical protein         OMPs           La_2641         LC11345         -         -         -         -         Hypothetical protein         OMPs           La_2641         LC11345         -         -         -         -         -         OMPs         OMPs           La_2672         LC11320         -         -         -         -         -         OMPs         OMPs           La_2672         LC11320         -         -         -         -         -         OMPs         OMPs           La_2741         LC11271         -         -         -         -         -         Hypothetical protein         COS           La_2742         LC11270         -	LA_2613	LIC11370	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG05450	FKBP-type peptidylprolyl isomerase	OMPs	0.6189
La_2641         LIC11345         -         -         -         COG1886NU         Endoflagellar motor         OMPs           La_2672         LIC11320         -         -         -         -         -         COG1886NU         Endoflagellar motor         OMPs           La_2672         LIC11320         -         -         -         -         -         Hypothetical protein         OMPs           La_2741         LIC11271*         -         -         -         -         -         Hypothetical protein         EOPs           La_2742         LIC11270         -	LA_2617	LIC11366	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.7008
La_2672       LIC11320       -       -       -       Hypothetical protein       OMPs         LA_2741       LIC11271*       -       -       -       -       Hypothetical protein       ECPs         LA_2742       LIC11270       -       -       -       -       -       Hypothetical protein       ECPs         LA_2742       LIC11270       -       -       -       -       -       ECPs         Factor       -       -       -       -       -       -       ECPs         Response       -       -       -       -       -       -       ECPs	LA_2641	LIC11345	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG1886NU	Endoflagellar motor switch protein	OMPs	0.6637
LA_2741     LIC11271*     -     -     -     Hypothetical protein     ECPs       LA_2742     LIC11270     -     -     -     -     COG1629P     Ferrichnome-iron     ECPs       receptor     -     -     -     -     -     -     ECPs	LA_2672	LIC11320	I	I	Ι	I	I	Ι	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.5604
LA_2742 LIC11270 COG1629P Ferrichrome-iron ECPs receptor	LA_2741	LIC11271*	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.715
receptor	LA_2742	LIC11270	I	I	I	I	I	Ι	COG1629P	Ferrichrome-iron	ECPs	0.5877
										receptor		

<i>Str.</i> 56601 Locus	<i>Str.</i> L1-130 Locus	Osmolarity <i>in vivo/in</i> <i>vitro</i> ) (Matsunaga et al., 2007)	Low iron (Lo et al., 2010)	Serum (Patarakul et al., 2010)	Temperature P-E (Lo et al., 2006)	Temperature upshift (Lo et al., 2006)	Lai/IPAV (Zhong et al., 2011)	COG	Product	Localization	Vaxijen antigenicity
LA_2746	LIC11268	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.7177
LA_2757	LIC11259	I	I	I	I	$\rightarrow$	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.517
LA_2764	LIC11254	I	I	I	I	~	I	COG1613P	Sulfate ABC transporter substrate-binding protein	OMPs	0.5849
LA_2796	LIC11228	I	I	$\rightarrow$	I	I	I	COG0768M	Transpeptidase/penicill binding protein	linOMPs	0.6239
LA_2815	LIC11213	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG1792M	Rod shape-determining protein MreC	OMPs	0.6909
LA_2823	LIC11207	I	I	I	~	~	I	I	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.7306
LA_2848	LIC11188	I	I	I	I	$\rightarrow$	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.7231
LA_2849	LIC11187	I	I	I	I	$\rightarrow$	I	I	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.5677
LA_2850	LIC11186	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.6522
LA_2854	LIC11184	I	I	$\rightarrow$	I	I	I	COG1749N	Flagellar hook protein FlgE	OMPs	0.6733
LA_2949	LIC11112	I	I	I	$\rightarrow$	$\rightarrow$	I	COG1843N	Flagellar hook assembly scaffolding protein	OMPs	0.5626
LA_2958	LIC11103	I	I	I	~	~	I	COG3144N	Flagellar protein	OMPs	0.5731
LA_2975	LIC11087	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG02650	Serine protease	OMPs	0.5597
LA_2992	LIC11074	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG22671	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.7857
LA_2993	LIC11073	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG1858P	Cytochrome c peroxidase	OMPs	0.6704
LA_2998	LIC11067	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.8504
LA_3026	LIC11052 <sup>*</sup>	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.6387
LA_3050	LIC11040	I	I	I	I	~	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.5837
LA_3064	LIC11030	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG1664M	Cell shape determination protein	OMPs	0.7079
LA_3097	LIC11003	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.7837
LA_3138	LIC10973	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.6481
LA_3145	LIC10968	~	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.6892
LA_3150	LIC10963	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG1652S	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.6863
LA_3210	LIC10920	I	I	I	I	$\rightarrow$	I	ļ	OmpL1	OMPs	0.9344
LA_3268	LIC10873	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.5264
LA_3303	LIC10845	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.6679
											(Continued)

TABLE 2 | Continued

<i>Str.</i> <b>56601</b> Locus	Str. L1-130	Osmolarity in vivo/in			To see the second second				-	I ocelization	Vaxiien
LA_3319	Locus	<i>vitro</i> ) (Matsunaga et al., 2007)	Low Iron (Lo et al., 2010)	Serum (Patarakui et al., 2010)	Iemperature P-E (Lo et al., 2006)	Temperature upshift (Lo et al., 2006)	Lai/IPAV (Zhong et al., 2011)	500	Product		antigenicity
	LIC10833	I	1	I	I	I	I	COG2010C	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.6143
LA_3410	LIC10760	I	I	I	I	~	I	I	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.9564
LA_3454	LIC10723	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG3865S	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.5181
LA_3468	LIC10714	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.5529
LA_3469	LIC10713	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG2353S	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.6583
LA_3470	LIC10712	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG1345N	Flagellar hook-associated protein FliD	ECPs	0.5272
LA_3501	LIC10686	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG3487P	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.57
LA_3508	LIC10683	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG3489R	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.7949
LA_3571	LIC10628	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG3794C	Methylamine utilization protein	OMPs	0.6392
LA_3711	LIC10520	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.7514
LA_3778	LIC10464	~	I	~	I	~	I	COG2885M	OmpA family protein	OMPs	0.5249
LA_3834	LIC13066	~	I	I	I	I	I	COG3607R	Glyoxalase/bleomycin resistance protein/dioxygenase	OMPs	0.6016
LA_3838	LIC13070	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.5302
LA_3849	LIC13076	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.6748
LA_3853	LIC13078	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.5234
LA_3867	LIC13086	~	~	I	I	~	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.5336
LA_3870	LIC13089	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.5439
LA_3881	LIC13101	I	I	I	I	~	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.7749
LA_4059	LIC13238	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.7565
LA_4083	LIC13255	I	I	I	$\rightarrow$	$\rightarrow$	I	COG2303E	Cholesterol oxidase	OMPs	0.667
LA_4144	LIC13306	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.5584
LA_4178	LIC13334	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.5203
LA_4202	LIC13354	I	I	I	$\rightarrow$	$\rightarrow$	I	COG4642S	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.6064
LA_4203	LIC13355	I	I	I	I	I	$\rightarrow$	COG03311	Fatty acid synthase subunit beta	OMPs	0.5784
LA_4272	LIC13418	I	I	I	I	I	$\rightarrow$	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.7046
LA_4291	LIC13434	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.5418
LA_4293	LIC13436	I	I	I	I	I	$\rightarrow$	I	polysaccharide deacetylase	OMPs	0.6614
LA_4335	LIC13477	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.6873
LB_001	LIC20001	I	I	I	I	I	$\rightarrow$	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.6864

Str. 56601         Str. L1-130         O           Locus         Locus         1           LB_056         LIC20042*         1           LB_072         LIC20056         1										
LB_056 LIC20042* LB_072 LIC20056	ismolarity <i>in vivolin</i> <i>vitr</i> o) (Matsunaga et al., 2007)	Low iron (Lo et al., 2010)	Serum (Patarakul et al., 2010)	Temperature P-E (Lo et al., 2006)	Temperature upshift (Lo et al., 2006)	Lai/IPAV (Zhong et al., 2011)	COG	Product	Localization	Vaxijen antigenicity
LB_072 LIC20056	1	I	1	1	1	I	1	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.8468
	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.5987
	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical Protein	ECPs	0.5732
LB_110 LIC20087*	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG5010U	TPR	OMPs	0.5788
								repeat-containing protein		
LB_191 LIC20151	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	Hypothetical protein	OMPs	0.7302
LB_192 LIC20152	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG10221	Long-chain-fatty- acid-CoA ligase	OMPs	0.6367
LB_194 LIC20153	I	I	I	I	I		C0G0726G	Xylanase/chitin deacetilase	OMPs	0.6861
LB_242 LIC20185	I	I	$\rightarrow$	I	I	I	I	Putative outermembrane protein	OMPs	0.5456
LB_258 LIC20197	1	I	I	I	I	I	C0G4206H	Putative TonB-dependent outer membrane receptor protein	OMPs	0.5271
LB_268 LIC20205	I	I	I	I	I	$\rightarrow$	I	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.5724
LB_277 LIC20212	I	I	I	I	$\rightarrow$	I	COG4254S	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.6918
LB_279 LIC20214	I	I	I	I	I	~	COG4870O	Cysteine protease	OMPs	0.6034
LB_280 LIC20215	I	I	I	I	I	I	COG22671	Hypothetical protein	ECPs	0.5028
LA_1462 LIC12293	I	I	I	I	I	~	COG1633S	Hypothetical protein	Unknown	0.5146



to the previous leptospiral OMP microarray data (Pinne et al., 2012), 11 of 15 fibronectin-binding proteins were found in the predicted PSEs of str. Fiocruz L1-130, which were subdivided into four core PSEs (hypothetical protein, TonB-dependent receptor, iron-regulated lipoprotein, and OmpA family proteins) and seven dispensable PSEs (lipoprotein, Lsa66, leucine-rich repeat protein, sphingomyelinases 2 and 3; Pinne et al., 2012). All four core PSEs are involved in adherence to fibronectin during the initial attachment stage of infection and have significant potential to exhibit key roles in the pathogenesis of leptospirosis. For example, TonB-dependent receptor (LA\_3468), and ironregulated lipoprotein (LA\_3469) are related to iron uptake, which is essential for pathogenic leptospires (Murray et al., 2008). In our study, iron-regulated lipoprotein (LA\_3469) was confirmed to be up-regulated at 37°C as compared to 28°C and could activate the host's immune system to produce a highlevel antibody response (our unpublished data), indicating this protein might have an indispensable function in the pathogenesis of L. interrogans. The dispensable PSEs sphingomyelinases Sph2 and Sph3 (LA\_1029 and LA\_4004) showed distinctly different conservation. It has been confirmed that Sph2 secreted as sphingomyelinase hemolysin has strong hemolytic activity against sheep erythrocytes as well as cytotoxic activity against mouse lymphocytes and macrophages (Zhang et al., 2005, 2008). Thus, Sph2 might be important as a novel virulence factor involved in leptospiral pathogenesis and might be associated with virulence differences among different leptospirosis serovars. Another dispensable PSE is the leucine-rich repeat protein (LA\_3028) found exclusively in the highly pathogenic strains: str.56601 and str. Fiocruz L1-130. The leucine-rich repeat protein (LRR) has been reported frequently as a virulence factor in numerous pathogens involved in cell adhesion, invasion, and stimulation of host defense mechanisms (Kobe and Kajava, 2001; Brinster et al., 2007). The leucine-rich repeat protein was identified as a fibronectin-binding protein and it should be, at least partly, related to the high virulence of str.56601



and str. Fiocruz L1-130. The other core PSE like hypothetical protein LA 0505 predicted as a secretion protein through nonclassical pathway, has been shown to bind some host extracellular matrices (such as laminin, plasma fibronectin, fibrinogen, etc.) and play an important role in adhesion of L. interrogans (Pinne et al., 2012). Interestingly, LA\_0505 was found in the supernatant of L. interrogansstr. 56601 and up-regulated in vivo in our recent study (Zeng et al., 2013). Moreover, LA\_0505 has a BIG domain as Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding modules during the process of leptospirosis (Raman et al., 2010). The potential virulence factors in predicted PSEs are the four hypothetical proteins LA\_1761-1764 identified here. These four PSEs are located in the 54 kb separate circular prophage of str.56601, which was inserted into the larger chromosome at the same time; however, the 54 kb prophage was absent from the genome of str. Fiocruz L1-130 (Bourhy et al., 2007). Until now, there was no experimental evidence suggesting these four proteins might be associated with the virulence of Leptospira; however, PPI analysis in the string database suggested that the four proteins interact mostly with other hypothetical proteins in the PPI network (Figure 6). LA\_1762 interacts with lipoproteins LA\_3730 and LA\_3867, both of which were identified as putative extracellular proteins and thus were recommended as novel candidates for the development of leptospirosis vaccines (Viratyosin et al., 2008). LA\_3867 was identified as one of the most strongly up-regulated genes of pathogenic L. interrogans at physiologic osmolarity as compared to low osmolarity, indicating over-expression of LA\_3867 in pathogenic leptospires might be associated with transition from survival in the outside environment to infection of mammalian hosts (Matsunaga et al., 2007). Therefore, as an interacting partner of LA\_3867, LA\_1762 could have a crucial role in successful establishment of host infection.

#### CONCLUSIONS

A new RV negative-screening strategy combined with pan-PSE analysis was used to screen PSEs among 17 L. interrogans strains. We identified 190 core PSEs, 913 dispensable PSEs, and 861 unique PSEs. Further, antigenicity analysis finally identified 121 highly antigenic PSEs as potential vaccine candidates from the 190 core PSEs, which include several known antigens, including LipL45, OmpL1, and LigB, and the vast majority of newly identified potential vaccine candidates against leptospirosis. At the same time, we also characterized many potential virulence factors in our inventory of predicted PSEs. Our prediction results may accelerate vaccine development against leptospirosis and deepen our understanding of leptospiral virulence mechanisms. Moreover, this in silico strategy combined with pan-genome analysis could be a routine method of reverse vaccinology applied widely to similar pathogens. Further, cloning, expression, and purification of these proteins and screening of these potential vaccine candidates are needed.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Conceived and design the experiment: YZZ, XG, Y-FC, and YY; compartive genomic analysis: LZ and DW; predicting subcellular

#### REFERENCES

- Adler, B., Lo, M., Seemann, T., and Murray, G. L. (2011). Pathogenesis of leptospirosis: the influence of genomics. *Vet. Microbiol.* 153, 73–81. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2011.02.055
- Bendtsen, J. D., Jensen, L. J., Blom, N., Von Heijne, G., and Brunak, S. (2004a). Feature-based prediction of non-classical and leaderless protein secretion. *Protein Eng. Des. Sel.* 17, 349–356. doi: 10.1093/protein/gzh037
- Bendtsen, J. D., Nielsen, H., Von Heijne, G., and Brunak, S. (2004b). Improved prediction of signal peptides: SignalP 3.0. J. Mol. Biol. 340, 783–795. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2004.05.028
- Berven, F. S., Flikka, K., Jensen, H. B., and Eidhammer, I. (2004). BOMP: a program to predict integral beta-barrel outer membrane proteins encoded within genomes of Gram-negative bacteria. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32, W394–W399. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkh351
- Bourhy, P., Salaun, L., Lajus, A., Medigue, C., Boursaux-Eude, C., and Picardeau, M. (2007). A genomic island of the pathogen *Leptospira interrogans* serovar Lai can excise from its chromosome. *Infect. Immun.* 75, 677–683. doi: 10.1128/IAI.01067-06
- Brinster, S., Posteraro, B., Bierne, H., Alberti, A., Makhzami, S., Sanguinetti, M., et al. (2007). Enterococcal leucine-rich repeat-containing protein involved in virulence and host inflammatory response. *Infect. Immun.* 75, 4463–4471. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00279-07
- Doytchinova, I. A., and Flower, D. R. (2007). VaxiJen: a server for prediction of protective antigens, tumour antigens and subunit vaccines. *BMC Bioinformatics* 8:4. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-8-4

Faine, S. (1994). Leptospira and Leptospirosis. Boca Raton, MA: CRC Press.

- Faisal, S. M., Yan, W., Chen, C. S., Palaniappan, R. U., Mcdonough, S. P., and Chang, Y. F. (2008). Evaluation of protective immunity of *Leptospira* immunoglobulin like protein A (LigA) DNA vaccine against challenge in hamsters. *Vaccine* 26, 277–287. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2007.10.029
- Falugi, F., Zingaretti, C., Pinto, V., Mariani, M., Amodeo, L., Manetti, A. G., et al. (2008). Sequence variation in group A Streptococcus pili and association of pilus backbone types with lancefield T serotypes. J. Infect. Dis. 198, 1834–1841. doi: 10.1086/593176

localization: LZ, NH, QZ, and KC; screening known surfaceexposed antigens: KD and YZ; write the manuscript: LZ, XG, YZZ, Y-FC, and YY.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grants from Health and Family Planning Commission of Jiangxi Province (20155090), Jiangxi Provincial Department of Science and Technology (20151BAB205059) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (31660035, 81271793, and 81460300). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmicb. 2017.00396/full#supplementary-material

Table S1 | All of core, dispensable and specific PSEs among the 17 representative strains of pathogenic *L. interrogans*.

Table S2 | Experimentally confirmed surface-exposed antigens or virulence factors of pathogenic *Leptospira*.

Table S3 | The detailed known antigens among Hakke's result, our result and Yang's result.

- Franceschini, A., Szklarczyk, D., Frankild, S., Kuhn, M., Simonovic, M., Roth, A., et al. (2013). STRING v9.1: protein-protein interaction networks, with increased coverage and integration. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, D808–D815. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1094
- Gamberini, M., Gomez, R. M., Atzingen, M. V., Martins, E. A., Vasconcellos, S. A., Romero, E. C., et al. (2005). Whole-genome analysis of Leptospira interrogans to identify potential vaccine candidates against leptospirosis. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 244, 305–313. doi: 10.1016/j.femsle.2005.02.004
- Gardy, J. L., and Brinkman, F. S. (2006). Methods for predicting bacterial protein subcellular localization. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 4, 741–751. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1494
- Hava, D. L., and Camilli, A. (2002). Large-scale identification of serotype 4 Streptococcus pneumoniae virulence factors. Mol. Microbiol. 45, 1389–1406. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2002.03106.x
- Imai, K., Asakawa, N., Tsuji, T., Akazawa, F., Ino, A., Sonoyama, M., et al. (2008). SOSUI-GramN: high performance prediction for sub-cellular localization of proteins in gram-negative bacteria. *Bioinformation* 2, 417–421. doi: 10.6026/97320630002417
- Juncker, A. S., Willenbrock, H., Von Heijne, G., Brunak, S., Nielsen, H., and Krogh, A. (2003). Prediction of lipoprotein signal peptides in Gram-negative bacteria. *Protein Sci.* 12, 1652–1662. doi: 10.1110/ps.0303703
- Kall, L., Krogh, A., and Sonnhammer, E. L. (2004). A combined transmembrane topology and signal peptide prediction method. J. Mol. Biol. 338, 1027–1036. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2004.03.016
- Kobe, B., and Kajava, A. V. (2001). The leucine-rich repeat as a protein recognition motif. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 11, 725–732. doi: 10.1016/S0959-440X(01)00266-4
- Krogh, A., Larsson, B., Von Heijne, G., and Sonnhammer, E. L. (2001). Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. *J. Mol. Biol.* 305, 567–580. doi: 10.1006/jmbi. 2000.4315
- Lessa-Aquino, C., Borges Rodrigues, C., Pablo, J., Sasaki, R., Jasinskas, A., Liang, L., et al. (2013). Identification of seroreactive proteins of Leptospira interrogans serovar copenhageni using a high-density protein microarray approach. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 7:e2499. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0002499

- Lo, M., Bulach, D. M., Powell, D. R., Haake, D. A., Matsunaga, J., Paustian, M. L., et al. (2006). Effects of temperature on gene expression patterns in *Leptospira interrogans* serovar Lai as assessed by whole-genome microarrays. *Infect. Immun.* 74, 5848–5859. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00755-06
- Lo, M., Cordwell, S. J., Bulach, D. M., and Adler, B. (2009). Comparative transcriptional and translational analysis of leptospiral outer membrane protein expression in response to temperature. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 3:e560. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0000560
- Lo, M., Murray, G. L., Khoo, C. A., Haake, D. A., Zuerner, R. L., and Adler, B. (2010). Transcriptional response of Leptospira interrogans to iron limitation and characterization of a PerR homolog. *Infect. Immun.* 78, 4850–4859. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00435-10
- Maione, D., Margarit, I., Rinaudo, C. D., Masignani, V., Mora, M., Scarselli, M., et al. (2005). Identification of a universal Group B streptococcus vaccine by multiple genome screen. *Science* 309, 148–150. doi: 10.1126/science.1109869
- Matsunaga, J., Lo, M., Bulach, D. M., Zuerner, R. L., Adler, B., and Haake, D. A. (2007). Response of Leptospira interrogans to physiologic osmolarity: relevance in signaling the environment-to-host transition. *Infect. Immun.* 75, 2864–2874. doi: 10.1128/IAI.01619-06
- Mora, M., Bensi, G., Capo, S., Falugi, F., Zingaretti, C., Manetti, A. G., et al. (2005). Group A Streptococcus produce pilus-like structures containing protective antigens and Lancefield T antigens. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 102, 15641–15646. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0507808102
- Murray, G. L., Ellis, K. M., Lo, M., and Adler, B. (2008). Leptospira interrogans requires a functional heme oxygenase to scavenge iron from hemoglobin. *Microbes Infect.* 10, 791–797. doi: 10.1016/j.micinf.2008.04.010
- Ou, Y. Y., Gromiha, M. M., Chen, S. A., and Suwa, M. (2008). TMBETADISC-RBF: Discrimination of beta-barrel membrane proteins using RBF networks and PSSM profiles. *Comput. Biol. Chem.* 32, 227–231. doi: 10.1016/j.compbiolchem.2008.03.002
- Patarakul, K., Lo, M., and Adler, B. (2010). Global transcriptomic response of Leptospira interrogans serovar Copenhageni upon exposure to serum. BMC Microbiol. 10:31. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-10-31
- Paton, J. C., and Giammarinaro, P. (2001). Genome-based analysis of pneumococcal virulence factors: the quest for novel vaccine antigens and drug targets. *Trends Microbiol.* 9, 515–518. doi: 10.1016/S0966-842X(01) 02207-7
- Pinne, M., Matsunaga, J., and Haake, D. A. (2012). Leptospiral outer membrane protein microarray, a novel approach to identification of host ligand-binding proteins. J. Bacteriol. 194, 6074–6087. doi: 10.1128/JB.01119-12
- Pizza, M., Scarlato, V., Masignani, V., Giuliani, M. M., Arico, B., Comanducci, M., et al. (2000). Identification of vaccine candidates against serogroup B meningococcus by whole-genome sequencing. *Science* 287, 1816–1820. doi: 10.1126/science.287.5459.1816
- Raman, R., Rajanikanth, V., Palaniappan, R. U., Lin, Y. P., He, H., Mcdonough, S. P., et al. (2010). Big domains are novel Ca(2)+-binding modules: evidences from big domains of Leptospira immunoglobulin-like (Lig) proteins. *PLoS ONE* 5:e14377. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0014377
- Seib, K. L., Zhao, X., and Rappuoli, R. (2012). Developing vaccines in the era of genomics: a decade of reverse vaccinology. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 18(Suppl. 5), 109–116. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2012.03939.x
- Solis, N., and Cordwell, S. J. (2011). Current methodologies for proteomics of bacterial surface-exposed and cell envelope proteins. *Proteomics* 11, 3169–3189. doi: 10.1002/pmic.201000808
- Tettelin, H., Masignani, V., Cieslewicz, M. J., Donati, C., Medini, D., Ward, N. L., et al. (2005). Genome analysis of multiple pathogenic isolates of *Streptococcus* agalactiae: implications for the microbial "pan-genome." *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 102, 13950–13955. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0506758102

- Varni, V., Ruybal, P., Lauthier, J. J., Tomasini, N., Brihuega, B., Koval, A., et al. (2013). Reassessment of MLST schemes for *Leptospira* spp. typing worldwide. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 22, 216–222. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2013.08.002
- Verma, A., Artiushin, S., Matsunaga, J., Haake, D. A., and Timoney, J. F. (2005). LruA and LruB, novel lipoproteins of pathogenic *Leptospira interrogans* associated with equine recurrent uveitis. *Infect. Immun.* 73, 7259–7266. doi: 10.1128/IAI.73.11.7259-7266.2005
- Viratyosin, W., Ingsriswang, S., Pacharawongsakda, E., and Palittapongarnpim, P. (2008). Genome-wide subcellular localization of putative outer membrane and extracellular proteins in *Leptospira interrogans* serovar Lai genome using bioinformatics approaches. *BMC Genomics* 9:181. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-9-181
- Wizemann, T. M., Heinrichs, J. H., Adamou, J. E., Erwin, A. L., Kunsch, C., Choi, G. H., et al. (2001). Use of a whole genome approach to identify vaccine molecules affording protection against *Streptococcus pneumoniae* infection. *Infect. Immun.* 69, 1593–1598. doi: 10.1128/IAI.69.3.1593-1598.2001
- Yang, H. L., Zhu, Y. Z., Qin, J. H., He, P., Jiang, X. C., Zhao, G. P., et al. (2006). *In silico* and microarray-based genomic approaches to identifying potential vaccine candidates against *Leptospira interrogans*. *BMC Genomics* 7:293. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-7-293
- Yu, C. S., Lin, C. J., and Hwang, J. K. (2004). Predicting subcellular localization of proteins for Gram-negative bacteria by support vector machines based on n-peptide compositions. *Protein Sci.* 13, 1402–1406. doi: 10.1110/ps.03479604
- Yu, N. Y., Wagner, J. R., Laird, M. R., Melli, G., Rey, S., Lo, R., et al. (2010). PSORTb 3.0: improved protein subcellular localization prediction with refined localization subcategories and predictive capabilities for all prokaryotes. *Bioinformatics* 26, 1608–1615. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq249
- Zeng, L., Zhang, Y., Zhu, Y., Yin, H., Zhuang, X., Zhu, W., et al. (2013). Extracellular proteome analysis of *Leptospira interrogans* serovar Lai. OMICS 17, 527–535. doi: 10.1089/omi.2013.0043
- Zhang, C., Wang, H., and Yan, J. (2012). Leptospirosis prevalence in Chinese populations in the last two decades. *Microbes Infect.* 14, 317–323. doi: 10.1016/j.micinf.2011.11.007
- Zhang, Y. X., Geng, Y., Bi, B., He, J. Y., Wu, C. F., Guo, X. K., et al. (2005). Identification and classification of all potential hemolysin encoding genes and their products from *Leptospira interrogans* serogroup Icterohae-morrhagiae serovar Lai. *Acta Pharmacol. Sin.* 26, 453–461. doi: 10.1111/j.1745-7254.2005.00075.x
- Zhang, Y. X., Geng, Y., Yang, J. W., Guo, X. K., and Zhao, G. P. (2008). Cytotoxic activity and probable apoptotic effect of Sph2, a sphigomyelinase hemolysin from *Leptospira interrogans* strain Lai. *BMB Rep.* 41, 119–125. doi: 10.5483/BMBRep.2008.41.2.119
- Zhong, Y., Chang, X., Cao, X. J., Zhang, Y., Zheng, H., Zhu, Y., et al. (2011). Comparative proteogenomic analysis of the *Leptospira interrogans* virulenceattenuated strain IPAV against the pathogenic strain 56601. *Cell Res.* 21, 1210–1229. doi: 10.1038/cr.2011.46

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Zeng, Wang, Hu, Zhu, Chen, Dong, Zhang, Yao, Guo, Chang and Zhu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.