PERSPECTIVE

Clearance of amyloid-beta with bispecific antibody constructs bound to erythrocytes

Ronald P. Taylor1 Margaret A. Lindorfer1 John P. Atkinson2

¹ Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Genetics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA

² Department of Medicine and Division of Rheumatology, Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri, USA

Correspondence

Ronald P. Taylor, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Genetics, University of Virginia School of Medicine, PO Box 80733 Charlottesville, VA 22903-0733, USA. E-mail: rpt@virginia.edu

Abstract

We propose use of bispecific monoclonal antibody (mAb) complexes bound to erythrocytes to redress the lack of efficacy of anti-amyloid beta mAbs in Alzheimer's disease treatment. Our paradigm leverages erythrocyte complement receptor 1 to promote rapid and quantitative removal of amyloid beta from the circulation, and its subsequent removal from the brain as well.

KEYWORDS

Alzheimer's disease, amyloid beta, bispecific monoclonal antibodies, complement receptor 1, erythrocyte, human

Strategies focused on the utility of monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) to target amyloid beta (A*β*) in the prevention or treatment of Alzhemier's disease (AD) have unfortunately failed to meet clinical expectations although some evidence suggests that aducanumab may have a modest level of efficacy.¹⁻⁶ Anti-Aβ mAbs have been designed to promote neutralization or phagocytosis of A*β*-containing plaques, or to bind to soluble A*β* and to soluble A*β* oligomers (possibly the most neurotoxic forms of the peptide) and then mediate their neutralization and removal from the brain. However, infusion of large amounts of mAbs that bind to soluble A*β* substantially *reduces* its rate of elimination from the body by *increasing* its concentration in the bloodstream. Under these conditions it is cleared as an immunoglobulin G (IgG)-A*β* immune complex with a half life of \approx 30 days in contrast to a half-life of 3 hours for the free peptide. $6-8$ Only small amounts of infused IgG mAbs can penetrate the blood-brain barrier; $1,6,9$ therefore, it is not clear if these mAb infusions can actually lower A*β* levels in the brain, considering the markedly increased levels in the bloodstream.

We propose an alternative targeting approach to ensure rapid elimination of nascent A*β*–mAb immune complexes from the bloodstream (and we anticipate subsequently from other compartments). This strategy is based on intravenous infusion of bispecific, tetravalent mAb complexes to simultaneously capture soluble A*β* in the

circulation and bind it to erythrocytes via complement receptor 1 (CR1; CD35). The complexes will then be cleared from the circulation due to the action of Fc*γ* receptors on resident macrophages in the liver and spleen¹⁰⁻¹² (Figure [1\)](#page-1-0). As additional Aβ then diffuses across the bloodbrain barrier and enters the bloodstream, it will be handled by the same mechanism.

To have optimal conditions to promote effective and rapid clearance of targeted, erythrocyte-bound A*β*, a non-competing anti-A*β* IgG mAb that binds to a distinct site on soluble A*β* will also be infused; this allows for generation of larger immune complexes that will be readily recognized by macrophage Fc*γ* receptors and will be more efficiently cleared. Use of this IgG mAb will also lead to further amplification of binding of A*β* to erythrocyte CR1 (Figure [1\)](#page-1-0) .

This paradigm is derived from Nelson's immune adherence reaction, $11,13$ which was additionally developed by Cornacoff et al., 14 who demonstrated that C3b-opsonized IgG immune complexes bound to erythrocyte CR1 are cleared from the circulation. Application of this concept to clearance of A*β* was proposed by Rogers et al. in 2006, who reported that A*β* can activate complement and bind to erythrocyte CR1, thereby allowing for its peripheral clearance.[15](#page-2-0) More recently the groups of Rogers and Tenner have reported in vitro investigations and a non-human primate model which demonstrate that in the presence

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

© 2020 The Authors. *Alzheimer's & Dementia: Translational Research & Clinical Interventions* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of Alzheimer's Association

FIGURE 1 Schematic diagram of the alternative targeting strategy. Step 1, a non-competing monoclonal antibody (mAb) binds to amyloid beta (A*β*) in the bloodstream. The initial concentration of A*β* is ∼ 0.07 nM [8, 20]. Step 2, the erythrocyte-bound bispecific construct mediates binding of the A*β*-mAb complexes to the erythrocyte. Under these conditions as many as four A*β* can be bound per complement receptor 1 (CR1). Step 3, the erythrocyte-bound immune complex traffics to the liver and spleen where it will be engaged by Fc*γ* receptors on the macrophage. Step 4, the immune complex, along with CR1, is taken up and internalized by the macrophage but the erythrocyte returns to the circulation. Based on an average of 500 CR1 per erythrocyte, and 5×10^{12} erythrocytes per liter of blood, the concentration of erythrocyte-associated CR1 is \approx 4 nM. Therefore, the system has the potential to clear as much as 16 nM A*β*

of a specific mAb, immune complexes containing A*β* can activate complement, capture C3b, and bind more effectively to erythrocytes and then be cleared from the circulation. $12,16$ Under these conditions not all immune-complexed A*β* binds to erythrocytes because high affinity multivalent binding of C3b-opsonized immune complexes to erythrocyte CR1 requires capture of substantial amounts of C3b by the immune complexes.[10](#page-2-0) In the configuration proposed here, A*β*-IgG immune complexes will be quantitatively bound to erythrocyte CR1 via the high affinity anti-CR1 mAb which serves as a surrogate for multiple copies of C3b. The construct should also enhance binding of A*β* to the erythrocytes of AD patients that may have lower densities of CR1.^{[12](#page-2-0)}

The unique properties of erythrocyte CR1 strongly suggest that the A*β* immune complex will be rapidly cleared to the liver and spleen and destroyed. This mechanism has been amply documented in the clearance of other CR1-associated erythrocyte-bound bispecific mAb/immune complexes. Notably, under these conditions, the erythrocytes will not be phagocytosed or lysed, although CR1 will be removed during the clearance reaction. $10,17$ The paradigm we describe may not allow for removal and/or solubilization of A*β* plaques in the brain, but has the potential to *prevent* A*β* deposition in the brain by substantially reducing the steady-state concentration of A*β* in the bloodstream and other compartments as well.

There are questions that will need to be addressed with respect to timing and dosing, including optimizing construction of the tetravalent bispecific mAb complexes. Based on pharmacokinetics studies reported by Siemers et al.,^{[7](#page-2-0)} infusion of small amounts (0.5 to 1 mg/kg)

of the anti-A*β* IgG mAb should generate circulating mAb-A*β* immune complexes that will increase and reach a concentration of about 12 to 15 nM after 10 days. Next, the bispecific reagent would be infused intravenously to approximately saturate circulating levels of erythrocyte CR1 (16 nM). The immune complexes would then be bound to erythrocytes and rapidly cleared from the bloodstream. We anticipate that during the clearance process >90% of erythrocyte-bound A*β* will be transferred to resident macrophages, and that during this process CR1 will be stripped from the erythrocytes. Newly formed erythrocytes have the highest levels of CR1, and therefore periodic therapeutic infusions would need to be properly spaced so as to allow time for restoration of CR1 as mediated by entry of new erythrocytes into the bloodstream. 18 In principle the cycle could be repeated every 21 days and would have the net effect of continuing to promote movement of soluble A*β* (and its soluble oligomers) out of the brain and ultimately to the liver and spleen for destruction. Use of relatively low doses of the anti-A*β* mAb may allow for more convenient subcutaneous infusion, and should also reduce the potential of adverse side effects such as amyloid-related imaging abnormalities.^{[2](#page-2-0)}

The feasibility of this approach can first be investigated based on in vitro experiments with human erythrocytes and acceptor macrophages. The protocols required to quantitate binding of A*β* to human erythrocytes, mediated by the bispecific reagents, can be developed based on previous publications.^{[10,11,16](#page-2-0)} Similarly, transfer of the erythrocyte-bound A*β*-IgG immune complexes to acceptor macrophages can also be investigated. If the results of the in

vitro experiments outlined here align with our predictions, a next step would be to extend the work to murine (transgenic for human CR1) or non-human primate models^{1,3,10,17,19} for pre-clinical testing. Multiple investigations and trials have demonstrated that, although several anti-A*β* mAbs are safe, they lack efficacy even when used at rather high doses.^{1,2} We suggest that redirecting these mAbs in the new paradigm provides a reasonable and testable path forward for the prevention and/or treatment of AD.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Ronald P. Taylor and Margaret A. Lindorfer have developed anti-CR1 mAbs that have been licensed through the UVA Licensing and Ventures Group.

REFERENCES

- 1. Panza F, Lozupone M, Logroscino G, Imbimbo BP. A critical appraisal of amyloid-*β*-targeting therapies for Alzheimer disease.*Nat Rev Neurol*. 2019;15:73-88.
- 2. Van Dyck CH. Anti-amyloid-*β* monoclonal antibodies for Alzheimer's disease: pitfalls and promises. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2018;83:311- 319.
- 3. Walsh DM, Selkoe DJ. Amyloid *β*-protein and beyond: the path forward in Alzheimer's disease. *Curr Op Neurobiol*. 2020;61:116-124.
- 4. Tolar M, Abushakra S, Sabbagh M. The path forward in Alzheimer's disease therapeutics: reevaluating the amyloid cascade hypothesis. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2019). 1–8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2019.09.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2019.09.075) [075.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2019.09.075)
- 5. Howard R, Liu KY. Questions EMERGE as biogen claims aducanumab turnaround. *Nat Rev Neurol*. 2020;16:63-64.
- 6. Levites Y, Smithson LA, Price RW, et al. Insights into the mechanisms of action of anti-A*β* antibodies in Alzheimer's disease mouse models. *FASEB J*. 2006;20:E2002-E2014.
- 7. Siemers ER, Friedrich S, Dean RA, et al. Safety and changes in plasma and cerebrospinal fluid amyloid *β* after a single administration of an amyloid *β* monoclonal antibody in subjects with Alzheimer disease. *Clin Neuropharm*. 2010;33:67-73.
- 8. Ovod V, Ramsey KN, Mawuenyega KG, et al. Amyloid beta concentrations and stable isotope labeling kinetics of human plasma specific to CNS amyloidosis. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2017;13:841-849.
- 9. Deane R, Bell RD, Sagare A, Zlokovic BV. Clearance of amyloid-*β* peptide across the blood-brain barrier: implication for therapies in Alzheimer's disease. *CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets*. 2009;8:16-30.
- 10. Lindorfer MA, Hahn CS, Foley PL, Taylor RP. Heteropolymer-mediated clearance of immune complexes via erythrocyte CR1: mechanisms and applications. *Immunol Rev*. 2001;183:10-24.
- 11. Krych-Goldberg M, Atkinson JP. Structure-function relationships of complement receptor type 1. *Immunol Rev*. 2001;180:112-122.
- 12. Tenner AJ. Complement-mediated events in Alzheimer's disease: mechanisms and potential therapeutic targets. *J Immunol*. 2020;204:306-315.
- 13. Nelson RA. The immune-adherence phenomenon. A hypothetical role of erythrocytes in defense against bacteria and viruses. *Proc Royal Soc Med*. 1955;49:55-58.
- 14. Cornacoff JB, Hebert LA, Smead WL, VanAman ME, Birmingham DJ, Waxman FJ. Primate erythrocyte-immune complex-clearing mechanism. *J Clin Invest*. 1983;71:236-247.
- 15. Rogers J, Li R, Mastroeni D, et al. Peripheral clearance of amyloid β peptide by complement C3-dependent adherence to erythrocytes. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2006;27:1733-1739.
- 16. Crane A, Brubaker WD, Johansson JU, et al. Peripheral complement interactions with amyloid *β* peptide in Alzheimer's disease: 2. Relationship to amyloid *β* immunotherapy. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2018;14:243- 252.
- 17. Taylor RP, Ferguson PJ, Martin EN, et al. Immune complexes bound to the primate erythrocyte complement receptor (CR1) via anti-CR1 mAbs are cleared simultaneously with loss of CR1 in a concerted reaction in a rhesus monkey model. *Clin Immunol Immunopathol*. 1997;82:49-59.
- 18. Craig ML, Reinagel ML, Martin EN, Schlimgen R, Nardin A, Taylor RP. Infusion of bispecific monoclonal antibody complexes into monkeys provides immunologic protection against later challenge with a model pathogen. *Clin Immunol*. 1999;92:170-180.
- 19. de Oliveira RB, Wang JP, Ram S, Gazzinelli RT, Finberg RW, Golenbock DT. Increased survival in B-cell-deficient mice during experimental cerebral malaria suggests a role for circulating immune complexes. *Mbio*. 2014;5:00949-00914.
- 20. Roberts KF, Elbert DL, Kasten TP, et al. Amyloid-*β* efflux from the CNS into plasma. *Ann Neurol*. 2014;76:837-844.

How to cite this article: Taylor RP, Lindorfer MA, Atkinson JP. Clearance of amyloid-beta with bispecific antibody constructs bound to erythrocytes. *Alzheimer's Dement*. 2020;6:e12067. <https://doi.org/10.1002/trc2.12067>