Personalized management of IBD; is there any practical approach?

Mohsen Norouzinia, Nosratollah Naderi

Gastroenterology and Liver Diseases Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a chronic inflammatory disorder affecting the gastrointestinal tract and includes ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease. During last decades, the incidence of IBD has been increased, both in children and adults (1-3). While the precise etiology of IBD is unknown, IBD occurs due to an abnormal immune response to luminal antigens in genetically susceptible persons. Uhlig et al. classified the mechanisms of IBD into epithelial natural barrier and it's response defects in bacterial phagocytosis, inflammatory process and immune system disorders, such as low antibody production, neutropenia or immune dysregulation (4). Although over 160 specific genetic loci have been identified underlying the predisposition, but Genome-wide association (GWA) studies are substantially improving our knowledge of the molecular pathways leading to IBD (5).

Genetic factors together can only explain a small proportion of hereditability and disease susceptibility (6). In monozygotic twins, the concordance rates for Crohn's disease range between 20 and 50%, whereas the concordance rates for ulcerative colitis are even lower. IBD susceptibility is more prevalent in siblings of IBD patients than the general population; so occurence of IBD appears to be higher in family members(5,6).

Some environmental factors play major role in the pathogenesis of IBD. There are many epidemiologic changes between populations around the world but cannot be explained by genetic variations alone. The environmental triggers, such as dietary habits, improved socioeconomic status, improved sanitation, and different microbial exposures, all increase the risk of IBD.

Studies on immigrant populations can potentially help to dissect the etiologic significance of genetic and environmental factors in developing the disease. Moreover, first and second generation immigrants coming from low incidence to high incidence areas acquire levels of risk similar or higher than that of their adopted countries (7, 8). These findings suggest that the varying rates of IBD observed among racial and ethnic groups reproduce shared environmental influences (9). It appears that economical development of countries is associated with the increased risk of IBD (10, 11). It is clear that immigrants a genetic have back ground predisposition, there must be some environmental factors triggering disease expression. Environmental exposures during childhood periods are also important. On the other hand, IBD emerges when a society makes the transition economically from a 'developing 'to a 'developed' status so it is likely to be contributed by changes in the environment. Future studies in developing nations, such as Iran, may provide clues to our understanding of IBD. In addition to their effects on disease pathogenesis, genetic and environmental stimuli also influence composition of the intestinal microbiota (12, 13).

The molecular pathways of disease increase our knowledge in IBD to determine disease characteristics such as clinical appearance, therapeutic response and natural history. Ultimately, through the combination of genetic data and clinical with information about gene expression and environmental factors influences, like intestinal microbiota (14), it seems increasingly possible to reach at the peak in which assessments of disease course and treatment can be personalized for individual patients. Currently, many predicting factors have been suggested for IBD classification, prognosis and therapeutic strategies on the base of natural history, biologic and serologic markers, clinical evidence and genetics. The stability of genetic markers over time makes them more attractive candidates for use in predictive planning (15). Several studies have shown associations between immune response to intestinal microbial related antigens and recognition targets pattern (such as that encoded by NOD2) in patients with Crohn's disease (16-20). Therefore, in spite of many recognized predisposing genetic loci, only limited number of genetic patterns such as NOD2, IL-12-IL-23, ATG16L1, and also IL-10-IL-19 are shown to be effective in predicting diseases severity and classification of IBD (21). The practice of personalized management in IBD will probably use diagnostic algorithms, clinical characteristic, genetic, molecular and environmental markers in order to classify IBD patients in both therapeutic and prognosis directions.

Conclusion

Similar to the other complex polygenic diseases, the paradigm of personalized IBD treatment will probably only be achieved if we try to integrate the genetic and environment factors, molecular mechanisms and immunological advances with insights to the gut microbiota pattern. Multiple stimulatory and regulatory processes are also involved in maintaining the balance between host defense and pathogenic inflammation gut epithelial. To date many pathways have been known clearly. Appropriate genetic diagnostic investigations can profoundly alter the chosen approaches, from immune suppression therapy and specific drugs for biological targets to allogeneic haematopoietic transplantation of stem cells. Future cohort studies across geographic social and ethnic groups will improve our data quality in suggesting multidimensional sequential panel for analyzing the information approach practically patient to personalized IBD care.

References=

1. Martín-de-Carpi J, Rodríguez A, Ramos E, Jiménez S, Martínez-Gómez MJ, Medina E. Increasing incidence of pediatric inflammatory bowel disease in Spain (1996-2009): the SPIRIT Registry. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2013; 19:73-80.

2. Petritsch W, Fuchs S, Berghold A, Bachmaier G, Högenauer C, et al. Incidence of inflammatory bowel disease in the province of Styria, Austria, from 1997 to 2007: a population based study. J Crohns Colitis 2013; 7:158-69.

3. Molodecky NA, Soon IS, Rabi DM, Ghali WA, Ferris M, Chernoff G, et al. Increasing incidence and prevalence of the inflammatory bowel diseases with time, based on systematic review. Gastroenterology 2012; 142:46–54.

4. Van Limbergen J, Russell RK, Drummond HE, Aldhous MC, Round NK, Nimmo ER, et al. Definition of phenotypic characteristics of childhood-onset inflammatory bowel disease. Gastroenterology 2008;135: 1114–22.

5. van Heel DA, Fisher SA, Kirby A, Daly MJ, Rioux JD, Lewis CM. Genome Scan Meta-Analysis Group of the IBD International Genetics Consortium. Inflammatory bowel disease susceptibility loci defined by genome scan meta-analysis of 1952 affected relative pairs. Hum Mol Genet 2004;13:763–70.

6. van Limbergen J, Philpott D, Griffiths AM. Genetic Profiling in Inflammatory Bowel Disease: From Association to Bedside. Gastroenterology 2011; 141:1566-71.

7. Carr I, Mayberry JF. The effects of migration on ulcerative colitis: a three-year prospective study among Europeans andfirst- and second-generation South Asians in Leicester (1991–1994). Am J Gastroenterol 1999; 94:2918-22.

8. Odes HS, Locker C, Neumann L. Epidemiology of Crohn's disease in southern Israel. Am J Gastroenterol 1994; 89: 1859-62. 9. Green C, Elliott L, Beaudoin C, Bernstein CN. A population- based ecologic study of inflammatory bowel disease: searching for etiologic clues. Am J Epidemiol 2006;164:615-23.

10. Loftus EV Jr. Clinical epidemiology of inflammatory bowel disease: incidence, prevalence, and environmental influences. Gastroenterology 2004; 126:1504-17.

11. Lakatos L, Mester G, Erdelyi Z, Balogh M, Szipocs I, et al. Striking elevation in incidence and prevalence of inflammatory bowel disease in a province of western Hungary between 1977–2001. World J Gastroenterol 2004; 10:404-409.

12. Willing, BP, Dicksved J, Halfvarson J, Andersson AF, Lucio M, Zheng Z, et al. A pyrosequencing study in twins shows that gastrointestinal microbial profiles vary with inflammatory bowel disease phenotypes. Gastroenterology 2010; 139:1844-54.

13. Hansen J, Gulati A, Sartor RB. The role of mucosal immunity and host genetics in defining intestinal commensal bacteria. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 2010; 26: 564-71.

14. Halfvarson J, Bodin L, Tysk C, Lindberg E, Jarnerot G. Inflammatory bowel disease in a Swedish twin cohort: a long-term follow-up of concordance and clinical characteristics. Gastroenterology 2003; 124:1767-73.

15. Vermeire S, Van Assche G, Rutgeerts P. Genetic analysis to predict prognosis at the onset of Crohn's disease: not yet ready for prime time? Gut 2009; 58: 323-24.

16. Devlin SM, Yang H, Ippoliti A, Taylor KD, Landers CJ, Su X, et al. NOD2 variants and antibody response to microbial antigens in Crohn's disease patients and their unaffected relatives. Gastroenterology2007; 132:576-586.

17. Henckaerts L, Pierik M, Joossens M, Ferrante M, Rutgeerts P, Vermeire S. Mutations in pattern recognition receptor genes modulate seroreactivity to microbial antigens in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Gut 2007; 56: 1536-42.

18. Lakatos PL, Altorjay I, Mándi Y, Lakatos L, Tumpek J, Kovacs A, et al. Interaction between seroreactivity to microbial antigens and genetics in Crohn's disease: is there a role for defensins?. Tissue Antigens 2008; 71:552–59.

19. Lakatos PL, Altorjay I, Szamosi T, Palatka K, Vitalis Z, Tumpek J, et al. Pancreatic autoantibodies are associated with reactivity to microbial antibodies, penetrating disease behavior, perianal disease, and extraintestinal manifestations, but not with NOD2/CARD15 or TLR4 genotype in a Hungarian IBD cohort. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2009; 15:365-74.

20. Murdoch TB, Xu W, Stempak JM, Landers C, Targan SR, Rotter JI, Silverberg MS. Pattern recognition receptor and autophagy gene variants are associated with development of antimicrobial antibodies in Crohn's disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2012; 18:1743-48.

21. Johan Van Limbergen, Graham Radford-Smith and Jack Satsangi.advances in IBD genetics. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2014;11:372-85.