

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. Public Health 200 (2021) 106-108

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Public Health

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/puhe

Short Communication

# Vaccine hesitancy among working-age adults with/without disability in the UK



RSPH

E. Emerson <sup>a, b, c, \*</sup>, V. Totsika <sup>d, e, f</sup>, Z. Aitken <sup>g, h</sup>, T. King <sup>h</sup>, R.P. Hastings <sup>e, f</sup>, C. Hatton <sup>i</sup>, R.J. Stancliffe <sup>b, g</sup>, G. Llewellyn <sup>b, g</sup>, A. Kavanagh <sup>g, h</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Centre for Disability Research, Faculty of Health & Medicine, Lancaster University, UK

<sup>b</sup> Centre for Disability Research & Policy, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Sydney, NSW, Australia

<sup>c</sup> College of Nursing and Health Sciences, Flinders University, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia

<sup>d</sup> Division of Psychiatry, University College London, UK

<sup>e</sup> Centre for Educational Development, Appraisal and Research, University of Warwick, UK

<sup>f</sup> Centre for Developmental Psychiatry and Psychology, Monash University, Australia

<sup>8</sup> Centre of Research Excellence in Disability and Health, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

h Disability and Health Unit, Centre for Health Equity, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, The University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

<sup>i</sup> Department of Social Care and Social Work, Manchester Metropolitan University, UK

# A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 15 July 2021 Received in revised form 14 September 2021 Accepted 16 September 2021 Available online 27 October 2021

Keywords: Disability Vaccine hesitancy COVID-19 Gender

## ABSTRACT

*Objectives:* To estimate levels of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among working-age adults with disabilities in the United Kingdom.

Study design: Cross-sectional survey.

*Methods:* Secondary analysis of data collected on a nationally representative sample of 10,114 respondents aged 16–64 years.

*Results:* The adjusted relative risk for hesitancy among respondents with a disability was 0.92 (95% CI 0.67–1.27). There were stronger associations between gender and hesitancy and ethnic status and hesitancy among participants with a disability. The most common reasons cited by people with disabilities who were hesitant were: concern about the future effects of the vaccine, not trusting vaccines and concern about the side effects of vaccination.

*Conclusions:* The higher rates of vaccine hesitancy among women with disabilities and among people from minority ethnic groups with disabilities are concerning.

© 2021 The Royal Society for Public Health. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### Introduction

People with disabilities are at greater risk of infection from SARS-CoV-2, and if infected, of serious illness or death.<sup>1,2</sup> As such, they should be prioritised in vaccination programs. It is important to understand the views of people with disabilities about COVID-19 vaccination. The only information we are aware of suggested no differences in hesitancy between adults (all ages) with/without disability in the United Kingdom (8% vs 9%),<sup>3</sup> although adults with low cognitive ability were more likely to be vaccine hesitant.<sup>4</sup> This study aims to provide evidence on vaccine hesitancy among 'working age' adults with/ without disability and the extent to which predictors of hesitancy observed in the general population generalise to people with disability.

# Method

Secondary analysis of data collected in Waves 9–11 of *Understanding Society* (US) and Waves *f*-*h* of online COVID-19 surveys of the US. Full details of the US are available elsewhere.<sup>5–8</sup> The number of full interviews conducted with respondents aged 16–64 (our target age range) at Wave 9 (2017–19) was 27,359 and at Wave 10 (2018–2020) 24,805. Interim data from Wave 11 (2019-) are available for 13,453 individuals aged 16–64.

Following the COVID-19 outbreak, the US undertook eight online surveys on the experiences of participants during the pandemic. Vaccine hesitancy data were collected in Waves *f* (November 2020), *g* (January 2021), and *h* (March 2021). Responses were obtained from 10,435 adults aged 16–64 for whom disability data were available and who participated in at least one wave of COVID surveys (*f*-*h*); individual response rate approximately 50%.<sup>9</sup>

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Centre for Disability Research, Faculty of Health & Medicine, Lancaster University, UK.

E-mail address: eric.emerson@lancaster.ac.uk (E. Emerson).

## Measures

# Disability

Disability data were not collected in the COVID surveys. As a result, we coded disability from the most recently available wave of the main survey in which the respondent participated (W11-9).<sup>10</sup> Disability was ascertained by an affirmative response to two questions: (1) 'Do you have any long-standing physical or mental impairment, illness or disability? By "long-standing" I mean anything that has troubled you over a period of at least 12 months or that is likely to trouble you over a period of at least 12 months'; (2) 'Do these health problem(s) or disability(ies) mean that you have substantial difficulties with any of the following areas of your life?' (disability was coded as present if the participant responded yes to any of the 12 possible response options). Disability data were missing for 1.2% of participants who responded to the COVID surveys.

#### Vaccine hesitancy

At Wf of the COVID survey, respondents were asked two questions.

- 'Imagine that a vaccine against COVID-19 was available for anyone who wanted it. How likely or unlikely would you be to take the vaccine?' (options; very likely/likely/unlikely/very unlikely recoded into as very likely/likely (not hesitant) vs unlikely/very unlikely (vaccine hesitant)).
- 2. 'What is the main reason you would not take the vaccine?'.

At later waves, Q1 was changed to 'When you are offered the coronavirus vaccination, how likely or unlikely would you be to take *it?*' and the following question was included.

3. '*Have you had a coronavirus vaccination?*' (options; Y, first vaccination only/Y, both/N, but have an appointment/N). All respondents who reported that they had been vaccinated or had an appointment to be vaccinated were coded as not being vaccine hesitant.

Vaccine hesitancy data were derived from the most recent wave of COVID data collection (e.g., if Wh was missing, data from Wg were used, last Wf). These data were missing for 1.5% of COVID respondents for whom valid disability data were available.

#### Covariates

We included four covariates in the model, which previous research has shown to be predictive of COVID vaccine hesitancy.<sup>3,11</sup> Age (coded in 10-year age bands) and gender (male/female) were complete for all respondents. Ethnicity data were missing for 6.4% (coded white

British/other/unknown). The highest level of educational attainment was missing for 9.4% (coded degree/lower/unknown).

#### Ethical approval

Approval was granted by the University of Essex Ethics Committee (ETH1920-1271).

# Analysis

Complete case analyses were undertaken in Stata 16 using the 'svy' routines and released sampling weights. The analytical sample comprised 10,114 respondents aged 16–64 years for whom valid disability and hesitancy data were available. First, we estimated the prevalence of people with/without disability reporting vaccine hesitancy. Second, we estimated adjusted prevalence rate ratios (APRR), using Poisson regression with robust standard errors for respondents with disabilities (respondents without disabilities being the reference group). We adjusted for between-group differences in age, gender, ethnicity, educational attainment and the wave in which disability status was ascertained. Third, we investigated the potential moderating effects of disability on the association between the four covariates and hesitancy by entering interaction terms into the regression models. Finally, we explored between-group differences in the stated reasons for vaccine hesitancy.

# Results

Of the respondents, 21.5% (95% CI 19.9%–23.1%) were identified as having a disability, 8.0% (6.8%–9.3%) were identified as being vaccine hesitant. Of those deemed non-hesitant, 68.1% (66.0%–70.1%) of participants with disability and 50.0% (48.8%–51.1%) of participants without disability were coded as non-hesitant as they had either been vaccinated or had an appointment to be vaccinated.

The estimated prevalence of vaccine hesitancy was 7.1% (5.1%-9.7%) among respondents with disability and 8.2% (6.9%-9.8%) among respondents without disability (APRR for hesitancy among respondents with disability was 0.92 (0.67-1.27)). Testing for potential moderating effects of disability revealed trends toward statistical significance for interaction terms associated with gender  $(2.01 \ (0.99-4.10), P = 0.054)$  and ethnicity  $(1.84 \ (0.92-3.68),$ P = 0.086). Interaction analyses showed hesitancy was lower for people with disabilities compared to those without for men and White British, higher for ethnic minority groups, and there was no difference for women (Table 1). Examination of the reasons for hesitancy among respondents who were hesitant revealed no statistical evidence of differences between those with/without disabilities. The most common reasons cited by vaccine hesitant people with disabilities were: concern about the future effects of the vaccine (women 44.8% (27.4%-63.4%); men 65.5% (40.7%-

Table	1
-------	---

APRRs for Interaction Effects with Gender and Ethnicity.

Gender	Men	Women
No disability (reference) Disability Effect of disability within gender groups	1.00 0.55 (0.30–1.01) 0.55 (0.30–1.01)	1.29 (0.95–1.75) 1.43 (0.95–2.15) 1.11 (0.76–1.62)
Ethnicity	White British	Other

Note: \*\*\**P* < 0.001.

APRR, adjusted prevalence rate ratios.

84.1%); not trusting vaccines (women 26.0% (10.3%–51.1%); men 33.2% (11.8%–64.4%)) and concern about the side effects of vaccination (women 26.0% (11.3%–48.6%); men 10.8% (1.2%–36.0%)).

#### Discussion

Overall levels of vaccine hesitancy are similar between people with and without disability. However, there may be stronger associations between gender and hesitancy and between minority ethnic status and hesitancy among participants with a disability. The relatively higher rates of hesitancy among women and people from minority ethnic groups with disabilities are concerning, indicating a need for public health agencies to address the specific worries of these two groups regarding vaccine safety and to ensure that accommodations are made to the vaccination process to ensure equitable access for women with disabilities and people from minority ethnic groups with disabilities.

The two main limitations of our study are: (1) the relatively low response rate; (2) the use of a cross-sectional design that does not allow for causal inferences to be tested; and (3) the use of online responding that may have reduced response rates among participants with disabilities associated with reduced cognitive capacity.<sup>4</sup> The main strengths are that the US involves a UK representative sampling frame and is one of the few longitudinal studies with pre-COVID-19 data on participants. Taken together with other UK data, in a country with high vaccination rates (at the time of writing), vaccine hesitancy is low among people with disabilities. It will be important to understand hesitancy among disabled populations in countries with different vaccination rates.

#### Author statements

#### Ethical approval

Approval was granted by the University of Essex Ethics Committee (ETH1920-1271).

# Funding

The research was supported by Australian National Health and Medical Research Council grant APP1116385.

Competing interests

None declared.

#### References

- Shakespeare T, Ndagire F, Seketi QE. Triple jeopardy: disabled people and the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet* 2021;397:1331–3.
- Amerio A, Aguglia A, Odone A, Gianfredi V, Serafini G, Signorelli C, et al. Covid-19 pandemic impact on mental health of vulnerable populations. *Acta Biomed* 2020;91:95–6.
- 3. Office for National Statistics. *Coronavirus and vaccine hesitancy, Great Britain:* 13 January to 7 February 2021. London: Office for National Statistics; 2021.
- Batty GD, Deary IG, Fawns-Ritchie C, Gale CR, Altschul D. Pre-pandemic cognitive function and COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy: cohort study. *Brain Behav Immun* 2021;96:100–105.
- Buck N, McFall SL. Understanding Society: design overview. Longitud Life Course Stud 2012;3:5–17.
- Institute for Social and Economic Research. Understanding society: the UK household longitudinal study waves 1-9, user guide. Colchester, Essex: Institute for Social and Economic Research, University of Essex; 2019.
- 7. Carpenter H. UK household longitudinal study: wave 10 technical report. London: Kantar, Publc Division; 2020.
- Institute for Social and Economic Research. Main survey user guide. Colchester. Essex: Institute for Social and Economic Research, University of Essex; 2020.
- 9. Institute for Social and Economic Research. *Understanding society COVID-19 user guide v8. Colchester*. Essex: Institute for Social and Economic Research, University of Essex; 2021.
- Steptoe A, Di Gessa G. Mental health and social interactions of older people with physical disabilities in England during the COVID-19 pandemic: a longitudinal cohort study. *Lancet Public Health* 2021:1–9. online.
- Robertson E, Reeve KS, Niedzwiedz CL, Moore J, Blake M, Green M, et al. Predictors of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in the UK householdlongitudinal study. *Brain Behav Immun* 2021;94:41–50.