## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

Open Access

Staff experiences within the implementation of computer-based nursing records in residential aged care facilities: a systematic review and synthesis of qualitative research

Anne Meißner<sup>\*</sup> and Wilfried Schnepp

## Abstract

**Background:** Since the introduction of electronic nursing documentation systems, its implementation in recent years has increased rapidly in Germany. The objectives of such systems are to save time, to improve information handling and to improve quality. To integrate IT in the daily working processes, the employee is the pivotal element. Therefore it is important to understand nurses' experience with IT implementation. At present the literature shows a lack of understanding exploring staff experiences within the implementation process.

**Methods:** A systematic review and meta-ethnographic synthesis of primary studies using qualitative methods was conducted in PubMed, CINAHL, and Cochrane. It adheres to the principles of the PRISMA statement. The studies were original, peer-reviewed articles from 2000 to 2013, focusing on computer-based nursing documentation in Residential Aged Care Facilities.

**Results:** The use of IT requires a different form of information processing. Some experience this new form of information processing as a benefit while others do not. The latter find it more difficult to enter data and this result in poor clinical documentation. Improvement in the quality of residents' records leads to an overall improvement in the quality of care. However, if the quality of those records is poor, some residents do not receive the necessary care. Furthermore, the length of time necessary to complete the documentation is a prominent theme within that process. Those who are more efficient with the electronic documentation demonstrate improved time management. For those who are less efficient with electronic documentation the information processing is perceived as time consuming. Normally, it is possible to experience benefits when using IT, but this depends on either promoting or hindering factors, e.g. ease of use and ability to use it, equipment availability and technical functionality, as well as attitude.

**Conclusions:** In summary, the findings showed that members of staff experience IT as a benefit when it simplifies their daily working routines and as a burden when it complicates their working processes. Whether IT complicates or simplifies their routines depends on influencing factors. The line between benefit and burden is semipermeable. The experiences differ according to duties and responsibilities.

**Keywords:** Electronic health record, Health information technology, Computer, Technology adoption, Satisfaction, Long-term-care, Benefit and costs, Cost benefit analysis, Net benefit, Information system success, Attitude

\* Correspondence: mail@meissner-care-consulting.de

Department of Nursing Science, University Witten/Herdecke, Witten, Germany



© 2014 Meißner and Schnepp; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited.

## Background

Nursing documentation is recognized as a necessity in professional nursing. Until the last century, paper-based documentation systems were those most commonly used in Germany.

Nursing has become more complex, the amount of documentation has increased immensely. Almost all companies are searching for solutions that reduce the effort associated with documentation and at the same time offer a professional and appropriate documentation product. IT-based nursing documentation may be one possible solution [1-3].

During recent years the introduction of electronic nursing documentation systems in nursing homes in Germany has increased rapidly [4-9]. According to a recent nonrepresentative study, 43.5% of the facilities for the elderly in Germany already use a computerized system. Another 11.3 % of Residential Aged Care Facilities (RACFs) plan to implement a computer-based system [10]. Statistically representative data for Germany is currently not available.

The change to computer-based nursing records is associated with capital asset and resource management costs. RACFs implemented IT-based nursing documentation realizing more benefits with the change than effort and cost associated with the change [3,11]. It should be emphasized that the added value will never be achieved by the IT itself, but always through a process optimization achieved by the IT [6-9].

For example, a) time efficiency resulting from the improvement of documentation quality is a deciding factor for the implementation of electronic documentation systems in hospitals [12] and nursing homes [3,13]. In another example b) quality improvements as well as c) better information processing are also key factors for implementing such systems [3]. The above noted aspects can be summarized as process optimization.

However, as of today, there is no empirical evidence that electronic nursing documentation systems add value to nursing, such as a) improved time management or b) improving information handling or c) increasing quality, the latter split in c1) quality of documentation (factual and professionally correct, continuous, complete) and c2) quality of care (more safety and better quality of life for the patient) [14,15]. Finally, consensus exists that those objectives could be achieved with the full implementation of IT. Nevertheless, at present, there is no evidence that the relevant objectives will actually be achieved and 'full' implementation is not clearly defined.

At this point, it must be stated that full implementation includes not only completely paperless records, but is also recognized as computer system success. Moreover and according to DeLone and McLean [16], user satisfaction is a key factor of computer system success and IT integration, and the impact a computer-based system has on a user's job directly affects user satisfaction [16].

At present the literature shows a lack of understanding regarding staff experience in order to possible benefits when using computer-based documentation.

There is inadequate evidence concerning what must be done to ensure and maintain process optimization (bene-fit). Research indicates opposing trends [4,5,17-35].

Finally, to integrate IT in the daily working processes, the employee is the pivotal element. Therefore it is important to understand nurses' experience with IT implementation. The need to carry out a synthesis for a deeper insight and understanding of the phenomena is given by the difficulty of translating knowledge from individual studies to practice and research.

## Methods

#### Aim

The aim of this study is to explore staff experiences within the process of the implementation of computer-based nursing records. The following question guided the literature search:

 How does staff describe their experiences with the benefits observed with respect to the computerbased records and their daily work?

#### Design

Data was analyzed and synthesized by using the metaethnographic approach from Noblit and Hare [36]. The core of the meta-ethnographic approach is the reciprocal translation meaning, "in an iterative fashion, each study is translated into the terms (metaphors) of the others and vice versa [36]: 38". This method encourages the researcher to understand and transfer ideas, concepts and metaphors across different studies.

#### Search strategy and sample

The development of IT is progressing rapidly. In addition, the millennium change in 2000 ("Y2K") and the introduction of the Euro in Europe have led to major changes in the IT industry. The literature search was therefore limited to the period 01.01.2000 - 01.01.2013.

To ensure that all relevant literature was included, the first step consisted of a search of studies concerning computer-based nursing documentation in general.

Therefore a search was carried out in PubMed, CINAHL and Cochrane. The following search terms were used and linked with AND and OR: nursing documentation\*, nursing record\*, nursing information system\*, electronic\*, computer\*, technolog\*, nursing home\*, resident\*, long-term.

Following further reading of abstracts, only those studies were chosen that a) address computer-based nursing records in RACFs, b) have been published in the English or German language, and c) used a qualitative design. To ensure that only studies that fulfill research quality criteria were included, only those were chosen that d) had been published in a peer-reviewed journal. Next, all remaining articles were read in full text and all studies excluded that did not meet the inclusion criteria. In addition, a manual search was conducted from the reference lists of the articles obtained. For search details see Figure 1.

Studies that referred to the setting "hospital" were excluded. Furthermore, studies were excluded that focused on standardized techniques, e.g. nursing languages or Minimum Data Set. Those studies were excluded because the focus of such studies is on the technique rather than on the IT system itself.

This systematic review adheres to the principles of the PRISMA statement [37].

Accordingly, after reviewing the full text, seven articles were selected. For details of the selected articles see Table 1.

## Analysis & synthesis

Noblit and Hare [36] defined a seven-step procedure for guiding a meta-ethnographic approach (Table 2).

## Phase 1: Getting started

According to Noblit & Hare [36], 'getting started' includes defining a research interest that qualitative research might enlighten. In our case the motivation for synthesizing the body of qualitative evidence is mostly based on the work of Ammenwerth et al. [43] and Urquhart et al. [15]. The authors stated that quantitative methods might not be sufficient to explore why individual wards react differently to computer-based nursing documentation.

## Phase 2: Deciding what is relevant to the initial interest

This next phase involves several decisions on 'what is relevant'. The rationale for search strategy, inclusion and exclusion criteria is presented in the section 'search strategy and sample'.

## Phase 3: Reading the studies

Even Noblit and Hare [36] in their original work state that this phase is not particularly clear. They interpret this phase as repeated reading with extensive attention to the details of each study. We understand this to mean that we should familiarize ourselves with the selected studies by reading them many times, mostly in full, but also in part.

## Phase 4: Determining how the studies are related

To determine how the studies are related Noblit and Hare advocate forming a list of key metaphors, phrases, concepts and their relation to each other and juxtapose them.

In this study see Table 3 below for examples.

The data within each category formed the basis for the reciprocal translation described in the following.



## Table 1 Summary of analyzed articles

Authors	Aims and objectives	Methods design	Interview	Focus	Observation	Results
		Data analysis		group		
Date of publication		Sample				
Country						
Title						
Alexander et al. (2007) U.S.A. Clinical information systems in nursing homes: an evaluation	To explore implementation strategies, employee experiences, and factors influencing employee	Explorative 4 nursing homes, 6 months after implementation Focus groups (22 à 60 Min.)	23	22		Five themes emerged: (1) perception and cognition, (2) change, (3) workable system, (4) competence
of initial implementation	satisfaction					and (5) connectedness.
		Unstructured observations (< 5 min., made when using the technology, (n=?) semi-structured interviews (unknown type) (n=23) axial coding				Implementation strategies associated with lower satisfaction were availability of equipment, training resources, and the presence of professional information technology. The experiences differ [according] to the role.
Cherry et al. (2008) U.S.A.	To gain information about Long	Explorative	34		Primary barriers identified were costs,	
Factors affecting electronic health record adoption in long-term care facilities [39]	Term Care leaders' general understanding about Electronic Health Records (EHR) and identify factors that hinder and facilitate EHR in Long Term Care	Focus groups (34) via telephone conference call with directors of nursing, Administrators and corporate executives divided into users and non-users				the need for training and the culture of change. Primary facilitators were training programs, well-defined implementation plans, evidence that the electronic systems will improve care outcomes.
Cherry et al. U.S.A. (2011)	Providing a description of the	Explorative	70	10	The RACF employees who work with EHR systems on a daily basis were positive about their experiences. In particular, operational improvements	
Experiences with electronic health records: early adopters in long-term care facilities [40]	early users' experiences, challenges and benefits with Electronic Health Becords in Long Term Care	Semi-structured interviews of unknown type, group-observation				
	5	10 "freestanding" Sites, one-site visit for 6-8 hours per visit with the following schedule for the face-to-face interviews: (a) 60 min for facility tour, (b) 45 min with the administrator, (c) 45 min with the DON, (d) 45 min with a group of assistant DONs and charge nurses, (e) 45 min with a group of direct care staff, (f) 45 min with residents and family members, (g) 60 min for observation on the unit during shift change				were achieved through increased access to resident information, cost avoidance, increased documentation accuracy and implementation of evidence-based practices.
Munyisia et al. (2012) Australia	To examine the effect of	NOT INCLUDED IN THIS REVIEW:	8			Qualitative interviews to gain a better understanding 1. Personal Carers were happy in general because of quicker access and release
The impact of an electronic	the introduction of an	Longitudinal cohort study				
on efficiency of documentation	n Electronic Health Records Electronic Health Records INCLUDED IN THIS REVIEW.	INCLUDED IN THIS REVIEW:				
by caregivers in a residential	Long Term Care facility					from referring to written doctors notes
aged care facility [26]		Explorative semi-structured Interviews (n=8) unknown type 6 and 12 months after introduction				2. Certain information items were double charted (Paper and EHR) due to organizational reasons

		Qualitative content analysis				<ol> <li>It took longer to complete some documentation tasks using a computer (too many clicks to enter data)</li> </ol>
						<ol> <li>Continuous training is needed for some caregivers to effectively use the EHR</li> </ol>
Rantz et al. (2011) U.S.A. The use	To examine the effect of	(Part of the study of Alexander et al.)	120	120 22 ?	?	Communication and information
of a bedside electronic medical record to improve quality of care	the introduction of a bedside electronic medical record on the	Explorative qualitative interviews (n=120), $(2)$ contact				was improved which led to a general improvement of patient care
in nursing facilities: a qualitative analysis [41]	facilities	analysisin all 4 homes 6,12, 18 months after implementation, additional interviews took place (n=?) 24 months after implementation				Experience of limited time due to EHR (Direct Carer) vs. saved time (Management)
		in 2 homes				Too much time for operating and managing the system
Yu et al. (2008) Australia	The aim of the study was to investigate nursing home caregivers' acceptance of electronic documentation	NOT INCLUDED IN THIS REVIEW	12			Some staff (4) with low experience
Caregivers' acceptance of electronic documentation in nursing homes [35]		Questionnaire survey				beginning and more instructions
		INCLUDED IN THIS REVIEW				Some staff (4) often used computers
		Semi-structured interviews unknown type after 11 weeks computer-based ( $n = 12$ )				at home felt the software was easy to use
		Paper-based $n = ?$				Other staff (4) felt they needed more
		One Home that implemented an Electronic Health Records; one home remained paper-based.				practice than theoretical lessons
Zhang (2012) Australia	The aim of this study was to	Explorative semi-structured	110			BENEFITS TO THE STAFF
The benefit of introducing electronic health records in residential aged care facilities:	identify the benefits of Electronic Health Record in Long Term Care and to examine how the benefit have been achieved	Interviews (n=110) content analysis, theoretical sampling				Convenience and efficiency in data entry, distribution, storage and retrieval
						Ease of access more information to better understand the residents, the service and peer-learning
						Empowering care staff

BENEFITS TO THE RESIDENTS

Improving Quality of Care

Data Foundation (at least) // 23 Interviews and 22 focus groups removed due to doubling	320	56	10	
				Improving access to funding facilitating care quality control better work environment educational benefits
				Improving the communication system
				better information management
				BENEFITS TO THE RACFs

Table 2 Seven Phases of Noblit and Hare's meta-Ethnography

1. Phase	Getting started
2. Phase	Deciding what is relevant to the initial interest
3. Phase	Reading the studies
4. Phase	Determining how the studies are related
5. Phase	Translating the studies into one another
6. Phase	Synthesizing translations
7. Phase	Expressing the synthesis

# Phase 5–7: Translating the studies into one another, synthesizing translations and expressing the synthesis

The syntheses cannot be reduced to a set of mechanistic tasks. When a concept arose, all the studies were searched for similar meanings (metaphors). We then built a line-of-argument synthesis, which interpreted relationships between the concepts. This section is merely an effort to express the synthesis. In practice, of course, the phases overlap.

The key concepts of each article are shown in Tables 4 and 5.

## Results

This review includes seven articles which summarize the findings of six studies. The articles of Alexander et al. [38] and Rantz et al. [41] report on the same study but synthesize different data. Three of the six studies (represented in four articles) were carried out in the U.S.A. [38-41] and three in Australia [26,35,42].

At least 320 semi-structured interviews and 56 focus groups were applied to form the database. The interviews were undertaken with management staff and direct care givers.

The 23 interviews compiled by Alexander et al. [38] are included in the 120 interviews with Rantz et al. [41]. Therefore they were subtracted from the remainder of the interviews. The same applies to the 22 focus groups in said articles by Alexander et al. [38] and Rantz et al. [41]. Observation data was compiled in three studies: The study by Cherry et al. [40] includes 10 one-hour observations. The study by Alexander et al. [38] and Rantz et al. [41] involve a series of observations, each consisting of a period of less than five minutes. Information regarding the frequency of observations. From the study by Munyisia

Table 3 Metaphors for technology problems

-		
	Alexander et al. [38]	Cherry et al. [40]
Situation	When part of the system did not readily interface	When the systems go down all work stops.
Behavior	it was viewed as a detriment.	Everyone seems lost without the computer.

Italicized quotations represent the views of participants of included studies.

et al. [26] and Yu [35] only the qualitative findings were included in this review.

#### Staff experiences within the implementation process

The key concepts of each article are shown in Tables 4 and 5. The following main interconnected themes arose from the analysis:

- (1) Different information processing
- (2) Quality of documentation and resident care needs
- (3) Additional or lost time (1 3 shown in Table 4)
- (4) Ease of use and ability to use it
- (5) Equipment availability and technical functionality
- (6) Attitude (4 6 shown in Table 5)

## Different information processing

The implementation of IT requires a different form of information processing. Some individuals experience that as a benefit, describing easier access to charts and medical information, additional information, or a better and faster overview [26,38-42]. For those individuals, this leads to the examination of residents' records without wasting time [39]. For example, they emphasize that missing charts no longer matter because the information is in the computer [40]. They also emphasize the benefit that computerized notes from all the caregivers are much easier to read than paper-based, handwritten records [40]. They appreciate being able to view many things about resident care at once and to know what is being done for their residents at that very moment [38,42]. They also appreciate that information on residents, including diagnosis and demographics, is now more readily available [26,40,41]. Leaders with the duty of guidance and control express their additional experience of being able to check what care has been supplied and of being able to monitor more easily the documentation of residents' care activities, regulatory compliance issues, or staff education needs [39,40]. They also report that the IT facilitates their performance appraisal [42].

On the other hand, for some individuals IT is not experienced as a benefit. For various reasons they find it is more difficult to enter data. This leads to not entering data, to frustration and to using workarounds, e. g. double documentation with paper. For these employees, IT complicates their daily working processes [38,40-42].

## Quality of documentation & resident care needs

Improvement in the quality of residents' records leads to improvement in the quality of care because of more information and a broader and more holistic view of the residents. A quick response to resident's care needs is possible, as are quicker and easier care decisions. Therefore the system has an impact on clinical judgment and decision-making [38-42].

	Different information processing	Quality of documentation and resident care needs	Additional or lost time
Alexander et al. [38]	Administrators were optimistic that	Administrators were optimistic that	Administrator:
	this technology could improve management oversight and quality management	this technology could improve documentation of resident care	nursing homes that implement [technology] need to be warned about the increased need for manpower
	Frustration set in when expectations were not met. This increased staff suspicion and decreased desire to work with the system.		during the initial months. Frustration set in when expectations were not met, problems not solved in a timely manner.
	Licensed nurses liked being able to view many things about resident care at once		
	liked being able to know what was done for their residents in real time identified increased documentation in comparison to the paper record		
	When the documentation system wasn't working properly, staff stated they didn't chart. Others indicated that backup systems for documentation were created. Concerns surfaced about increased potential for errors resulting from service duplication.		
Cherry et al. [39]	The user group suggested that supervisors were able to more easily monitor documentation of resident	They agreed that improvements in the quality and accuracy of documentation would be realized	They agreed that improvements in the efficiency would be realized. Staff would spent less time in documentation tasks
	care activities, regulatory compliance issues, or staff education needs Specific aspects of care discussed included easier access to charts and medical information	The user group suggested that supervisors were able to more () quickly identify resident care needs and address quality of care issues ()	
		Ability to provide automatic alerts (plausibility check)	
Cherry et al. [40]	Administrators:	Administrators:	DONs & Charge Nurses:
	Staff were able to provide better information because of immediate access Immediate access to medical records allowed staff to access	Better care to residents because of immediate access to computerized records	Nurse supervisors generally believed that the system allowed direct care staff to spent more time with residents
		Improved consistency, accuracy, and quality of documentation	and less time in documentation Gave the nurses more time on the
	resident records without wasting time	Fewer holes in documentation from a caregiver's standpoint	floor since the paperwork went faster
	Direct Care Nurses:	DONs and Charge Nurses:	Direct Care Staff
	Nurses' notes and notes by other caregivers are much easier to read	More consistent and legible documentation	About half the nurses reported that they had more time to spend with residents because of less time
	Important issue discussed was the need for more information about the residents they care for	More thorough assessments with assessment templates that guide nurses through body systems for	charting, and because of less time looking for "missing" charts, and about half reported no change or an increase in time required for charting and that they had less time with residents because of the amount of time spent
	Ease of access to patient information was a definite benefit identified by the	documentation and to help nurses improve observations skills	
	nursing staff	Direct Care Nurse:	in documentation activities
	Several noted how information on residents, including diagnosis and demographics, is now more readily available	Half reported Care Plans were easier to originate and maintain, half reported that it was more difficult	to admit a new resident was mixed, with some nurses reporting that new admissions were much easier and

## Table 4 Translation between studies: Possible benefits through the IT

## Table 4 Translation between studies: Possible benefits through the IT (Continued)

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Missing charts didn't matter because the information was in the computer	Improved documentation were definite benefits identified by the pursion staff	quicker and others reporting that it took much longer.
	Information is more readily accessible	Quality of care was neutral	
	DON and Charge Nurse:	(no change) to improve after	
	Ability to track and trend quality	the implementation	
	Increased ability to monitor staff and complete chart audits in very timely	Guided templates improve observation skills, which in turn provides for better care for the residents	
	manner Immediate access to records for any	We are able to more proactive address residents' problems	
	authorized staff member	Additional information increases a nurses' awareness of the patient condition and allows for better care	
		More legible and accurate information	
Munyisia et al. [26]	The PCs were happy with the electronic documentation system because the access to the residents' notes had been improved. <i>I get a resident's note on a computer at a finger click.</i>	The paper-based record helped them make real-time care decisions	I get a resident's note on a computer at a finger click. Unlike using the manual system that required me to go over there (points a filing cabinet), search for a folder, come back, find the right page, and when the page was missing, go and get a photocopy. Therefore, access to one resident's notes would probably take me 20 minutes before I sit down and start writing
			When there was a clinic here (at the facility), the doctor wrote everything on the computer. Therefore I did not have to write progress notes because the doctor has already done it
			The only real problem I have is with the continence charts, it takes so long to enter everyone's information in the system. It can take up to one hour to enter data and when using the paper system, it is just a 5 Min. job
			It does get slow to enter data into the computer that you eventually give up
Rantz et al. [41]	Communication about resident care was reported as improved	Improvement with documentation was noted	All expressed concern that there was limited time to spent with residents
	Easier access of information improved communication All stakeholders concluded that information was more easily accessible	Licensed and certified staff believed that the care was safer through the use of the system.	and that the required documentation and time spent in managing the technology limited the amount of tin
		Some licensed staff commented that the assessments caused them to think about what to assess and that it helped them identify problems that they might not have otherwise found.	The system required time to operate and manage
			Documentation is too time consuming and a burden
			Documentation is perceived as too time consuming
			Frustration set in when the system don't work (that causes more time)
Yu et al. [35]			
Zhang et al. [42]	The most common viewed benefits for individual staff members are () more information to better understand the residents	Better understand the residents due to more information	The most common viewed benefits for individual staff members are () time efficiency

#### Table 4 Translation between studies: Possible benefits through the IT (Continued)

	More information to better understand the residents and the care services, to support peer learning and to facilitate performance appraisal for managers	Broader and more holistic view of the residents	Most of the staff saw reduction of paper work and time saving	
	Easily check what care had been delivered	For instance if I am unsure of how to do the palliative care, I can just easily	I think the computer is quicker because you can get to delete stuff and you can	
Able identi some	Able to see if something has been identified, has somebody done something about It, if there is a	done for a similar patient at another facility	fix it	
	gap and ensure that is corrected.	It does improve what you want to do because you get the whole picture, not just what's happened on your shift. It does impact on how I deal with a	Care plans on paper are very time	
	It helps me identify what is needed by the staff		consuming, and the computer make it faster, since when it's written once, you don't need to write it again	
	Opportunities to, like I said, all the	resident		
	information that we need or help out with the students today. Like they wanted to know a little bit about all the resident's conditions and stuff, so I just set them up on my system and they sat on there for a couple of hours and they really enjoyed it. Say a thing, they were able to find out everything they wanted to know about all the residents as well.	Improvement in the quality of residents' records led to improvement in the quality of care Quick response to resident's care needs	Care plans on paper are very time consuming, and the computer make it faster, since when it's written once, you don't need to write it again It's easier for the care staff members to	
ti V V		Quicker and easier care decisions, the system has an impact on clinical judgment and decision making	entry data even if they are only typing with two fingers	
	The most common viewed benefits for individual staff members are ease of access	Better care follow up		
	Some reported it was easier and quicker			
	Some noted quick data distribution			
	l just need to enter it into the computer and then that information is there for the staff to see. So it saves a log of time.			
	Quick data retrieval was a well- recognized benefit. They found it was quicker and easier to find data			
	Being able to scroll through and the way the notes are broken up into different categories where you can select whatever it is you are looking for and be done fairly quickly			

Italicized quotations represent the views of participants of included studies. Non-italicized quotations represent views of authors of included studies.

CNA = Certified Nurse Assistant.

DON = Director of Nursing.

PC = Personal Carer.

More specifically, members of staff report that the system helps thinking and decision-making because of the ability to provide automatic alerts to help check plausibility [26,40-42]. This again leads to more consistent and legible documentation. In addition assessment templates guide through body systems for documentation and help improve observation skills [40]. Furthermore, the system causes the staff to think about what to assess and helps identify problems that might not be found otherwise [39,41,42]. Care feels safer through the use of the system [41].

In that case, the quality of residents' records is lacking due to many circumstances, so that some residents do not receive the necessary care [40].

#### Additional or lost time

The question of time is a prominent theme within the implementation process. Some individuals experience a "given time" and benefit from the different information processing because of the IT, spending less time charting [26,39,40,42]. For those individuals who do not benefit from the different form of information processing it feels like "time is taken away" because of the system [26,30,38,41,42].

From a leader perspective, the outstanding expectation is that the IT always saves time for the staff when computerbased documentation is in force [41].

However, it does not matter how it is experienced: whether it feels as if time is given or lost. The time itself

is always connected with what should actually have been done or what can be done in the future within this time, namely spending more time with the residents and giving better care. Given time, of course, is always experienced as a benefit.

## Attitude

There are different views about using IT for documentation, ranging from feeling monitored to receiving greater respect. On the one hand, from a supervisory perspective, the monitoring of staff is viewed as a benefit. On the other hand, being monitored is experienced by the direct care staff both positively and negatively [41]. Some see it as a control, others view it differently: as accurately recording and recognizing tasks carried out and as a medium for greater respect [39,40]. Frustration has been reported when expectations were not met or problems were not solved in a timely manner. This increases staff suspicion and decreases the desire to work with the system [38]. These reported factors are summarized within the attitude to computers or to electronic systems. The attitude is either a hindering or promoting factor for experiencing benefits through the IT.

## Ease of use & ability to use it

Some feel the software is easy to use and suitable for the daily working processes [35].

Others experience that the system's terminology does not match what they intend to record [26,38] which led to double charting [26] or difficulty navigating [38]. For them, reviewing information is felt as inconvenient because of the way the data is organized in the electronic system. Besides, some could not locate entered data later [41]. That again causes job performance issues.

The ease of use must be seen in connection with the ability to use it. Learning to use the computer is of course a process as can be seen in dependence to Benner [44]. Without guidance staff appears less comfortable [38,41]. However, it is not only quantity that plays a role [39,40]. The training space and equipment is a fundamental factor. The ease of use and ability to use it is either a hindering or promoting factor for experiencing benefits through the IT.

## Equipment availability and technical functionality

The difficulties encountered with a system collapse, due to technical problems, is always experienced as negative, time consuming and hindering. When such technical problems lead to overtime work or indifferent patient care, frustration follows. A lack of technology support, missing equipment (workplaces) and general maintenance issues increase the feeling of frustration and distrust. The equipment availability and technical functionality is either a hindering or promoting factor for experiencing benefits through the IT [26,38,40,41].

## Line-of-argument synthesis

Our line-of-argument synthesis aimed at developing a model to explain staff experiences within the process of IT implementation. One construct resulted from our synthesis, namely: between benefit and burden.

Within the implementation process, the staff is always located between benefit and burden.

If the right promotion factors are available, the different information processing leads to an information value and is sensed as a benefit. This may lead to better quality of documentation and consequently to a better quality of care. The IT simplifies their daily working processes and for this reason connected with the feeling of given time. IT is sensed as a benefit.

If promotion factors are missing, the different information processing leads to information deficiencies and complicates the ability to fulfil the task. This may lead to poorer documentation, perhaps with time-consuming workarounds, and ultimately to a lower quality of care. In that case, IT complicates the daily working processes and is perceived as a burden.

The line between benefit and burden is semipermeable, depending on the impacting factors and may change at any time.

## Discussion

This study provides insights into staff experiences of benefits through the IT and the issues that hinder or promote the experiencing of benefits.

While IT-outcomes are extremely difficult and costly to measure [6-9], the method of asking the end-user seems to be an adequate and promising solution. As mentioned in the beginning of this study, Ammenwerth et al. [43] and Urquhart et al. [15] stated that quantitative methods might not be sufficient to explore why wards react differently to computer-based nursing documentation.

However, the implementation of an electronic documentation system does not lead automatically to a perceived benefit for the staff [3,25]. The staff is more likely to experience IT within the implementation process depending on the benefit gained through IT. Implementation strategies should address this consideration. Various factors affect experience and therefore the benefit. In principle, the impacting factors are known although the findings differ in sort and shape e.g. [4,11,18-20,22,24,28,29,33,39,43,45]. The known factors also appear in this underlying study but equipment availability and technical functionality is more prominent than in other studies. This allows the hypothesis that regulating this facilitating factor might suffice to receive

	Ease of use and ability to use it	Equipment availability and technical functionality	Attitude
Alexander et al. [38]	Terminology was not understandable or did not match with the hard copy record	Equipment availability strongly affected staff perception (Number of workplaces and breakages)	Frustration set in when expectations were not met, problems not solved in a timely manner. This increased staff
	Did not match what they intended	The lack of equipment failures, and PC	suspicion and decreased desire to work with the system.
	Staff appeared less comfortable without guidance	contributing to overtime work and led to distrust in the system	All levels of staff indicated it was difficult to maintain a positive attitude about the system and move forward
	Initial and ongoing training was a prominent theme	The lack of IT support, and PC availability were viewed as	when the implementation wasn't going smoothly
		contributing to overtime work	When issues hindered job performance that led to dislike of the system and uncertainty about how to use the system correctly
Cherry et al. [39]	Complex systems are difficult to navigate		Improved staff retention because of a sense of pride and empowerment
	Barriers frequently mentioned were the quality of staff training		associated with using computers in the work setting.
	Concerns about training		Fear of computers were identified as a barrier
	Strong initial and follow-up training		burrer
Cherry et al. [40]	Learning to use the computer is a negative aspect	The primarily disadvantage consistently reported by all were related to technology problems and maintenance	Administrators reported that the system contributed employee satisfaction and staff retention ("the facility is viewed as more modern and more attractive to potential new staff.")
			Nurses in supervisory positions were overwhelming positive about the system and would be very opposed to going back to the "precomputer" days.
			Direct Care Staff:
			Managers had a greater respect because they cared enough to give them computers for their work
Munyisia et al. [26]	Data in computerized records was located in various sections of the electronic system and, thus, difficult for the PCs and even the doctors to track the trend	Computerized documentation was not feasible at the bedside	
	We want a chronological view of this data to make care decisions		
	l am able to go from one resident to the next using the electronic system, but when I have 35 residents, that is a lot of clicking and switching screens		
	PCs charted certain information items on both paper and on a computer		
	We report blood pressure data on paper because we get very frustrated looking for a resident's data from various sections of the electronic system.		
	Caregivers practice of double charting was partly caused by the way nursing data was organized in the system, making the data inconvenient to review		

## Table 5 Translation between studies: Hindering or promoting aspects for experiencing benefits through the IT

Rantz et al. [41]	Entered data could not be located later	The primarily disadvantage related to technology problems and	Some view documentation as a "waste of time" and documentation takes time away from the residents
	Ongoing and refresher training of staff	Tachnology could be frustrating when	
	is important	it did not work	concern that they could be watched by the monitoring of their documentation. On the other side, others saw the monitoring as a positive addition, since when reviewing the documentation they would know that the staff completed their assigned workFrustration set in when the system don't work (that causes more time)
	Using paper created a double documentation system. This creates more problems since information is inconsistently transferred		
Yu et al. [35]	Some felt the software was very easy to use		
	Some wished for more practice instead of lessons		
Zhang et al. [42]	We are still learning I feel, we are learning something new every day		

Table 5 Translation between studies: Hindering or promoting aspects for experiencing benefits through the IT (Continued)

Italicized quotations represent the views of participants of included studies. Non-italicized quotations represent views of authors of included studies. CNA = Certified Nurse Assistant.

DON = Director of Nursing.

PC = Personal Carer.

a full information system success and could be a key to full computer system success.

Therefore, it is astonishing that none of the studies characterize the selection phase. With a specification sheet or a visiting reference, low technical functionality could be better controlled [3]. In respect of this selection phase, Alexander et al. and Rantz et al. [38,41] propose that staff should lower their expectations. But a different way to deal with this is to expand the planning phase and turn the attention from other specific preparations to a detailed system specification with fewer options for interpretation [3].

It is widely anticipated that the implementation of IT will reduce time on documentation but that must be differentiated. IT *could* minimize documentation time e.g. [5] but *must not* e.g. [25]. Many factors influence the outcome. It seems there is no linear increase. Instead the separation line is semipermeable. This means if an employee experiences a benefit in the form of reduced documentation time at point "A" that might change at point "B" due to modified influencing factors.

Studies thereby confirm that quality of care is directly related to quality of information [13,15]. But the quality of information is controlled by the outcome of the different information system and if this is expressed as a burden, care as well as satisfaction and the experience of benefits might be lacking. It is a vicious circle. It is therefore imperative to find out what is needed in order to simplify the daily working processes with IT and to satisfy staff. So how much resource is necessary to ensure the simplification of their daily work? There is as yet no suggestion pointing to how much resource the management should invest and how much and what kind of resource is needed to definitely satisfy the staff and simplify their daily working processes. There is also as yet no suggestion as to how the change of resource during the project changes the satisfaction and, respectively, the sensed benefit through IT.

It is interesting to see that all the studies reviewed conclude that IT is worth the cost expenditure. The studies are generally positive about the benefits of IT even if the studies' details represent another situation, namely staff reactions between benefit and burden.

To conclude, costs and benefits should be well balanced. Therefore RACFs should define the aims they are searching for with IT and relate these aims with the realistically reachable and possible benefits that staffs could experience. Finally, IT could benefit the daily working processes.

#### **Recommendations for further research**

More qualitative research is needed to confirm the latter assumptions. Due to the fact that the reported experiences of nursing leaders were different to those of the direct, front-line nurses, more research is also needed on this topic. According to Patter, DeLone and McLean [46] more research is needed on the relationship between information quality and use, user satisfaction, and net benefits. Future studies should apply more comprehensive

## **Study limitations**

Study limitations include the different settings in which these studies were conducted. This limits the ability to generalize the findings. Secondly, the timing of data collection was different in all studies, which could mean that experiences may differ.

#### Conclusions

In summary, the implementation of an electronic documentation system does not lead automatically to a received benefit for the staff. Instead, the findings showed that within the implementation process the staff are always located between benefit and burden. Staff experience IT as a benefit when it simplifies their daily working routines. On the other hand, when IT complicates their daily working routines IT is experienced as a burden. Whether IT complicates or simplifies their routines depends on influencing factors. The edge between benefit and burden is semipermeable and may change at any time. The staff experience differs according to duties and responsibilities.

#### Abbreviations

EHR: Electronic Health Record; IT: Information Technology; RACF: Residential Aged Care Facilities.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

AM carried out the literature search, included and excluded documents, and wrote the manuscript. WS revised it critically for important intellectual content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Authors' information

Anne Meißner has overseen the implementation of Electronic Nursing records in Long Term Care for many years. Due to her practical experience, her desire for deeper insight arose. She is undertaking her PhD on this topic in part-time study with no funding.

#### Received: 5 June 2013 Accepted: 6 June 2014 Published: 20 June 2014

#### References

- Ammenwerth E, Haux R: IT-Projektmanagement in Krankenhaus und Gesundheitswesen: Einführendes Lehrbuch und Projektleitfaden für das taktische Management von Informationssystemen. Stuttgart: Schattauer; 2005.
- Cherry B: Assessing organizational readiness for electronic health record adoption in long-term care facilities. J Gerontol Nurs 2011, 37:14–19.
- Meißner A, Althammer T: Pflegedokumentation mit EDV: Richtig entscheiden, erfolgreich einführen. Vincentz Network: Hannover; 2012.
- Fleischmann N: Einstellungen und Haltungen von Pflegekräften gegenüber EDV-gestützter Dokumentation. Pflegewissenschaft 2009, 10:161–169.
- Lüngen M, Gerber A, Rupprecht C, Lauterbach KW: Effizienz der computergestützten Dokumentation in Pflegeheimen-eine Pilotstudie. *Pflege Z* 2008, 61:334–339.
- Kreidenweis H, Halfar B: IT-Report f
  ür die Sozialwirtschaft 2008/2009. Eichst
  ätt-Ingolstadt: Katholische Universit
  ät Eichst
  ätt-Ingolstadt; 2009.
- Kreidenweis H, Halfar B: IT-Report f
  ür die Sozialwirtschaft 2010. Eichst
  ätt-Ingolstadt: Katholische Universit
  ät Eichst
  ätt-Ingolstadt; 2010.

- Kreidenweis H, Halfar B: IT-Report für die Sozialwirtschaft 2011. Eichstätt-Ingolstadt: Katholische Universität Eichstätt-Ingolstadt; 2011.
- Kreidenweis H, Halfar B: IT-Report für die Sozialwirtschaft 2012. Eichstätt-Ingolstadt: Katholische Universität Eichstätt-Ingolstadt; 2012.
- Althammer T, Sehlbach O, Mehr schlecht als Recht: Zum aktuellen Stand von Datenschutz und Datensicherheit in der Pflege und im Sozialwesen 2012. Ergebnisse einer Befragung von 295 Leitungskräften. In [01.06.2013: http://www.althammer-it.de/images/documents/ Datenschutz-Studie-Pflege-2012.pdf]
- Dyck MJ: Nursing informatics. Applications for long-term care. J Gerontol Nurs 2002, 28:30–39.
- Brandeis GH, Hogan M, Murphy M, Murray S: Electronic health record implementation in community nursing homes. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2007, 8:31–34.
- Häyrinen K, Saranto K, Nykänen P: Definition, structure, content, use and impacts of electronic health records: A review of the literature. Int J Med Inform 2008, 77:291–304.
- 14. Munyisia EN, Yu P, Hailey D: The changes in caregivers' perceptions about the quality of information and benefits of nursing documentation associated with the introduction of an electronic documentation system in a nursing home. *Int J Med Inform* 2011, **80**:116–126.
- Urquhart C, Currell R, Grant MJ, Hardiker NR: Nursing record systems: effects on nursing practice and healthcare outcomes. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2009, 21(1):CD002099.
- DeLone W, McLean E: The DeLone and McLean model of information systems success. a ten-year update. J Manag Inform Syst 2003, 19:4–30.
- Ammenwerth E, Iller C, Mahler C: IT-adoption and the interaction of task, technology and individuals: a fit framework and a case study. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak 2006, 6.
- Ammenwerth E, Mansmann U, Iller C, Eichstädter R: Factors affecting and affected by user acceptance of computer-based nursing documentation: results of a Two-year study. J Am Med Inform Assoc 2002, 10:69–84.
- Carayon P, Cartmill R, Blosky MA, Brown R, Hackenberg M, Hoonakker P, Hundt AS, Norfolk E, Wetterneck TB, Walker JM: ICU nurses' acceptance of electronic health records. J Am Med Inform Assoc 2011, 18:812–819.
- 20. Courtney K, Alexander G, Demiris G: Information technology from novice to expert: implementation implications. J Nurs Manag 2008, 16:692–699.
- Daly J, Buckwalter K, Maas M: Written and computerized care plans. organizational processes and effect on patient outcomes. J Gerontol Nurs 2002, 28:14–22.
- 22. Ehrenberg A: Nurses perceptions concerning patient records in Swedish nursing homes. *Nurse Sci Quaterly* 2011, 24:9–14.
- Hakes B, Whittington J: Assessing the impact of an electronic medical record on nurse documentation time. *Comput Inform Nurs* 2008, 26:234–241.
- Lee T: Nurses' concerns about using information systems: analysis of comments on a computerized nursing care plan system in Taiwan. J Clin Nurs 2005, 14:344–353.
- Munyisia EN, Yu P, Hailey D: Does the introduction of an electronic nursing documentation system in a nursing home reduce time on documentation for the nursing staff? Int J Med Inform 2011, 80:782–792.
- 26. Munyisia EN, Yu P, Hailey D: The impact of an electronic nursing documentation system on efficiency of documentation by caregivers in a residential aged care facility. *J Clin Nurs* 2012, **21**:2940–2948.
- Ossip-Klein DJ, Karuza J, Tweet A, Howard J, Obermiller-Powers M, Howard L, Katz P, Griffin-Roth S, Swift M: Benchmarking implementation of a computerized system for long-term care. *Am J Med Qual* 2002, 17:94–102.
- Steffan S, Laux H, Wolf-Ostermann K: Einstellungssache IT-gestützte Pflegedokumentation? Printernet 2007, 14:94–101.
- Stevenson JE, Nilsson G: Nurses' perceptions of an electronic patient record from a patient safety perspective: a qualitative study. J Adv Nurs 2012, 68:667–676.
- Stevenson J, Nilsson G, Petersson GI, Johansson PE: Nurses' experience of using electronic patient records in everyday practice in acute/inpatient ward settings: A literature review. *Health Informatics J* 2010, 16:63–72.
- de Vliegher K, Paquay L, Vernieuwe S, van Gansbeke H: The experience of home nurses with an electronic nursing health record. Int Nurs Rev 2010, 57:508–513.
- Vogelsmeier A, Halbesleben JRB, Scott-Cawiezell JR: Technology implementation and workarounds in the nursing home. J Am Med Inform Assoc 2008, 15:114–119.
- Whittaker A, Aufdenkamp M, Tinley S: Barriers and facilitators to electronic documentation in a rural hospital. J Nurs Scholarsh 2009, 41:293–300.

- Yeh S, Jeng B, Lin L, Ho T, Hsiao C, Lee L, Chen S: Implementation and evaluation of a nursing process support system for long-term care: a Taiwanese study. J Clin Nurs 2009, 18:3089–3097.
- 35. Yu P, Hailey D, Li H: Caregivers' acceptance of electronic documentation in nursing homes. J Telemed Telecare 2008, 14:261–265.
- Noblit GW, Hare RD: Meta-ethnography: Synthesizing Qualitative Studies, Volume 11. Newbury Park, Calif: Sage Publications; 1988.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG: Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *BMJ* 2009, 339:b2535.
- Alexander GL, Rantz M, Flesner M, Diekemper M, Siem C: Clinical information systems in nursing homes: an evaluation of initial implementation strategies. *Comput Inform Nurs* 2007, 25:189–197.
- Cherry B, Carter M, Owen D, Lockhart C: Factors affecting electronic health record adoption in long-term care facilities. J Healthc Qual 2008, 30:37–47.
- Cherry BJ, Ford EW, Peterson LT: Experiences with electronic health records: early adopters in long-term care facilities. *Health Care Manage Rev* 2011, 36:265–274.
- Rantz MJ, Alexander G, Galambos C, Flesner MK, Vogelsmeier A, Hicks L, Scott-Cawiezell J, Zwygart-Stauffacher M, Greenwald L: The use of bedside electronic medical record to improve quality of care in nursing facilities: a qualitative analysis. *Comput Inform Nurs* 2011, 29:149–156.
- Zhang Y, Yu P, Shen J: The benefits of introducing electronic health records in residential aged care facilities: a multiple case study. Int J Med Inform 2012, 81:690–704.
- Ammenwerth E, Mansmann U, Mahler C, Kandert M, Eichstädter R: Are quantitative methods sufficient to show why wards react differently to computer-based nursing documentation? *Med Inform Eur 2001* 2002, 90:377–381.
- 44. Benner PE: From Novice to Expert: Excellence and Power in Clinical Nursing Practice. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall; 2001.
- England I, Stewart D, Walker S: Information technology adoption in health care: when organizations and technology collide. *Aust Health Rev* 2000, 23:176–185.
- Petter S, DeLone W, McLean E: Measuring information systems success: models, dimensions, measures, and interrelationships. Eur J Inform Syst 2008, 17:236–263.

#### doi:10.1186/1472-6947-14-54

**Cite this article as:** Meißner and Schnepp: **Staff experiences within the** implementation of computer-based nursing records in residential aged care facilities: a systematic review and synthesis of qualitative research. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making* 2014 **14**:54.

## Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit