



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Youssef M.K. Farag, MD, PhD, MPHAkebia Therapeutics, Inc.
Cambridge, MADepartment of Epidemiology
Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public
Health
Baltimore, MD**Potential Competing Interests:** Dr Farag reports employment at Akebia Therapeutics, Inc. Views in this article do not represent those of the author's employers or affiliates.**ORCID**Youssef M.K. Farag:  https://orcid.org/JMCP3152_0000-0003-1692-1851

1. Joyner MJ, Bruno KA, Klassen SA, et al. Safety update: COVID-19 convalescent plasma in 20,000 hospitalized patients [published ahead of proof July 19, 2020]. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2020;95(9):1888-1897.
2. Transcript for the CDC Telebriefing Update on COVID-19 Press Briefing Transcript Thursday, June 25, 2020. <https://www.cdc.gov/media/releases/2020/t0625-COVID-19-update.html>. Accessed July 26, 2020.
3. Bonanad C, García-Blas S, Tarazona-Santabalbina F, et al. The effect of age on mortality in patients with COVID-19: a meta-analysis with 611,583 subjects. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* 2020;21(7):915-918.
4. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet.* 2020;395(10223):497-506.
5. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet.* 2020;395(10229):1054-1062.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2020.09.033>In Reply — Limitations
of Safety Update on
Convalescent Plasma
Transfusion in
COVID-19 Patients

To The Editor: The authors thank Dr Farag for his letter in response to our manuscript “Safety Update: COVID-19 Convalescent Plasma in 20,000 Hospitalized Patients.”¹ The letter raises important questions about the presentation of our updated safety report from the Convalescent Plasma Expanded

Access Program (EAP). The fundamental element of our response to all of the questions raised by Dr Farag is the need to frame the context of the paper. Establishing clinical efficacy for a potential therapeutic agent deployed during a public health crisis involves a climb through an epistemic ladder, and the comments from Dr Farag primarily highlight future rungs of that ladder. Our response can be summarized in three key points:

1) SAFETY FIRST

The primary purpose of our paper was to describe the key safety metrics following transfusion of convalescent plasma in 20,000 hospitalized adults with severe or life-threatening coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). As such, the data reported in our paper are descriptive. Although no comparator group was used in our safety report, there is substantial data about the range of expected incidence of key transfusion-related complications.²⁻⁴ In this context, the incidence of complications in this patient cohort was (objectively) low relative to historical perspective and is especially noteworthy given the critically ill cohort of transfused patients.

2) EXPLORATORY ANALYSES OF EFFICACY ARE FORTHCOMING

This analysis, focused on safety signals, should not be construed as evidence of efficacy. Adjusted analyses of mortality were beyond the scope of the paper. The many putative confounding factors that are raised by Dr Farag are justified and are being considered as part of adjusted analysis that is ongoing.

3) PRAGMATIC STUDY DESIGN VERSUS RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL

The issue of a randomized controlled trial is of great interest; however, the EAP was a pragmatic study design, organized to allow routine clinical care to dictate the timing and administration of plasma with the collection of real world data. Changes in patient characteristics at the time of enrollment over the first ~8 weeks of the pandemic should not come as a surprise as the world rapidly shared information on the treatment of COVID-19. Additionally, as more plasma became available during April and May, there was a shift toward earlier treatment in less severely or critically ill patients.

How the EAP evolved into a much bigger program to administer a product that — when the EAP started — scarcely existed across the country while obtaining rudimentary outcomes data will be addressed comprehensively in the coming months. This discussion will include the logistical issues associated with conducting a randomized controlled trial on convalescent plasma during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Michael. J. Joyner, MD
Jonathon. W. Senefeld, PhD
Stephen. A. Klassen, PhDDepartment of Anesthesiology and Perioperative
Medicine
Mayo Clinic
Rochester, MN**DeLisa Fairweather, PhD**
Department of Cardiovascular Medicine
Mayo Clinic
Jacksonville, FL**R. Scott Wright, MD**
Department of Cardiovascular Medicine
Mayo Clinic
Rochester, MN

Rickey E. Carter, PhD

Department of Health Sciences Research
Mayo Clinic
Jacksonville, FL

Potential Competing Interests: The authors report no potential competing interests.

ORCID

Jonathon. W. Senefeld:  https://orcid.org/JMCP3151_0000-0001-8116-3538

1. Joyner MJ, Bruno KA, Klassen SA, et al. Safety update: COVID-19 convalescent plasma in 20,000 hospitalized patients [published online ahead of print July 19, 2020]. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2020;95(9):1888-1897.
2. Vlaar AP, Binnekade JM, Prins D, et al. Risk factors and outcome of transfusion-related acute lung injury in the critically ill: a nested case-control study. *Crit Care Med.* 2010;38(3):771-778.
3. Gajic O, Rana R, Winters JL, et al. Transfusion-related acute lung injury in the critically ill:

prospective nested case-control study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2007;176(9):886-891.

4. Bosboom JJ, Klanderman RB, Migdady Y, et al. Transfusion-associated circulatory overload: a clinical perspective. *Transfus Med Rev.* 2019; 33(2):69-77.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2020.09.032>