



Development of a Droplet Digital Polymerase Chain Reaction for Sensitive Detection of *Pneumocystis jirovecii* in Respiratory Tract Specimens

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Jing He, Guangzhou Medical University, China

Reviewed by:

Maud Gits-Muselli, Assistance Publique Hopitaux De Paris, France Francisco Antunes, Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal Wenjuan Wu, Tongji University School of Medicine, China

*Correspondence:

Yingchun Xu xycpumch@139.com Yong Guo yongguo@tsinghua.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Translational Medicine, a section of the journal Frontiers in Medicine

Received: 20 August 2021 Accepted: 25 November 2021 Published: 22 December 2021

Citation:

Yi J, Wang N, Wu J, Tang Y, Zhang J, Zhu L, Rui X, Guo Y and Xu Y (2021) Development of a Droplet Digital Polymerase Chain Reaction for Sensitive Detection of Pneumocystis jirovecii in Respiratory Tract Specimens. Front. Med. 8:761788. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.761788 Jie Yi^{1,2,3†}, Nan Wang^{4,5,6†}, Jie Wu^{1,2,3}, Yueming Tang^{1,2,3}, Jingjia Zhang^{1,2,3}, Lingxiang Zhu⁷, Xiao Rui⁷, Yong Guo^{6*} and Yingchun Xu^{1,2,3*}

¹ State Key Laboratory of Complex Severe and Rare Diseases, Peking Union Medical College Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, China, ² Beijing Key Laboratory for Mechanisms Research and Precision Diagnosis of Invasive Fungal Diseases, Beijing, China, ³ Department of Clinical Laboratory, Peking Union Medical College Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, China, ⁴ Human Genetic Resource Center, National Research Institute for Family Planning, Beijing, China, ⁶ Graduate School of Peking Union Medical College, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, China, ⁶ Department of Diseases, Science, Sciences, Beijing, China, ⁶ Department of Peking Union Medical College, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, China, ⁶ Department of Biomedical Engineering, School of Medicine, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, ⁷ TargetingOne Corporation, Beijing, China

Background: *Pneumocystis jirovecii* is a human-specific opportunistic fungus that causes Pneumocystis pneumonia (PCP), a life-threatening opportunistic lung infection that affects immunocompromised patients. *P. jirovecii* colonization may be linked to the transmission of the infection. The detection of *P. jirovecii* in immunocompromised patients is thus especially important. The low fungal load and the presence of PCR inhibitors limit the usefulness of quantitative PCR (qPCR) for accurate absolute quantification of *P. jirovecii* in specimens. Droplet digital PCR (ddPCR), however, presents a methodology that allows higher sensitivity and accuracy. Here, we developed a ddPCR method for detecting *P. jirovecii* DNA in respiratory specimens, and evaluated its sensitivity against qPCR.

Materials and Methods: One bronchoalveolar fluid (BALF) sample each was collected from 82 patients with potential PCP to test the presence of *P. jirovecii* DNA using both ddPCR and qPCR, and samples with inconsistent results between the two methods were further tested by metagenomic next generation sequencing (mNGS). In addition, 37 sputum samples from 16 patients diagnosed with PCP, as well as continuous respiratory tract specimens from nine patients with PCP and treated with sulfonamides, were also collected for *P. jirovecii* DNA testing using both ddPCR and qPCR.

Results: ddPCR and qPCR gave the same results for 95.12% (78/82) of the BALF samples. The remaining four specimens tested positive using ddPCR but negative using qPCR, and they were found to be positive by mNGS. Detection results of 78.37% (29/37)

1

sputum samples were consistent between ddPCR and qPCR, while the other eight samples tested positive using ddPCR but negative using qPCR. The *P. jirovecii* load of patients with PCP decreased to undetectable levels after treatment according to qPCR, but *P. jirovecii* was still detectable using ddPCR.

Conclusions: ddPCR was more sensitive than qPCR, especially at detecting low-pathogen-load *P. jirovecii*. Thus, ddPCR represents a useful, viable, and reliable alternative to qPCR in *P. jirovecii* testing in patients with immunodeficiency.

Keywords: Pneumocystis jirovecii, DNA, quantitative PCR, droplet digital PCR, sensitivity

INTRODUCTION

Pneumocystis jirovecii is a human-specific opportunistic fungus that causes Pneumocystis pneumonia (PCP), a life-threating lung infection that affects immunocompromised individuals (1) and is a common opportunistic infection in *Human immunodeficiency virus* (HIV)-infected patients with CD4 counts < 200 cells/mm³ (2). Patients presenting with PCP may show signs of fever, cough, dyspnea, and, in severe cases, respiratory failure (3, 4). Not only P. jirovecii colonization in patients may be linked to the transmission of the infection, but also it may be linked to the development or transmission of PCP (5). Therefore, early detection of P. jirovecii in immunocompromised patients has become particularly important. In general, P. jirovecii is detected in respiratory specimens through microscopic examination followed by staining, which has low sensitivity and specificity (6). Detection of serum β-D-glucan is sensitive but lacks specificity (7). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) has been used for the confirmation of microscopically positive PCP (8). However, there have been no commercial PCR kits approved for clinical use in China and the sensitivity of the PCR method is not satisfactory (9).

Droplet digital PCR (ddPCR), as a new and accurate quantitative technique, is an absolute quantitative method for the detection of genes (10, 11), especially for trace specimens (12-14). Compared with quantitative PCR (qPCR), ddPCR has higher sensitivity and precision, so it can be used for early detection and subsequent monitoring of treatment of low-load pathogenic microorganisms, providing quantitative thresholds for judgment (15-18). ddPCR has been successfully used to detect Mycobacterium tuberculosis in plasma specimens of pulmonary tuberculosis patients (19). Strain et al. demonstrated that the high sensitivity and precision of ddPCR can help to measure the level of latent HIV so that intervention can be given in time to achieve early elimination of the virus (20). Our group has developed a ddPCR assay for high-sensitivity detection of SARS-Cov-2 (14, 21, 22). In addition, the high sensitivity of ddPCR is shown to be suitable for the detection of microviruses in aqueous specimens (14, 15, 21, 22).

In this study, we developed a ddPCR method to detect *P. jirovecii* in respiratory specimens, and evaluated its sensitivity (as compared with qPCR), in order to provide an effective and sensitive method for detecting *P. jirovecii* in immunocompromised patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Design of Primers and Probes

The primers and probes were designed using the NCBI primerblast software. The sequences used were the mtLSU reference sequence of *P. jirovecii* (accession number NC_020331.1) and the human reference gene N-acetylglucosamine kinase (*NAGK*, accession number NC_000002.12). The primers and probes were evaluated for specificity by NCBI blast and the sequence structures (for example, hairpin or self-dimer structure) were assessed by Oligo7 software (Cascade, CO, USA). The Vector NTI Advance software (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was employed to evaluate the mtLSU sequences of multiple *P. jirovecii* isolates, to avoid designing primers and probes in regions with high-frequency single nucleotide polymorphisms. All primers and probes were synthesized by Sangon Biotech Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The sequences of the primer–probe sets are shown in **Table 1**.

Study Participants

A retrospective study of 98 non-HIV immunocompromised patients with suspected PCP were recruited from Peking Union Medical College Hospital (PUMCH) between June 2019 and December 2020. The following clinical and biological data for each patient were recorded: underlying disease (hematological malignancies, autoimmune disease, lung diseases, cancer, and other diseases), radiological signs (obtained by X-ray analysis or computed tomography scan), data from a biological workup (results of direct physical examination), treatments [curative and prophylactic treatments, long-term treatment for the underlying disease (e.g., corticosteroids, chemotherapies, HAART)], and clinical outcome. Patients who had no laboratory test results, no chest radiograph, or whose medical records were unavailable were excluded. The bronchoalveolar fluid (BALF) or sputum specimens were collected more than once in the patients, but only the first qPCR result was evaluated in this study.

All specimens were isolated from patients as part of routine diagnosis and treatment, and no unnecessary invasive procedures were performed. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of PUMCH (S-T767).

Diagnosis of PCP

The following criteria were used for the diagnosis of PCP: (1) BALF or sputum GMS staining yielded *P. jirovecii*. (2) BALF

| TABLE 1 Target genes | and primer–probe set sequences | for <i>P. jirovecii</i> DNA |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| detection. | | |

| Target | | Primer-probe set |
|---------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| Mitochondrial large | Forward primer | GTATAGCACTGAATATCTCGAGGG |
| subunit rRNA gene | Reverse primer | GAGCTTTAATTACTGTTCTGGGCT |
| (P. jirovecii) | Probe | FAM-TTCGACTATCTACCTTATCGC |
| | | -MGB |
| N-acetylglucosamine | Forward primer | AGATGCTGGGCAGACACATC |
| kinase gene | Reverse primer | CCCACCTTCACTCCCACCT |
| (Homo sapiens) | Probe | HEX-AGCAGTGTTGCCCGAGATTG |
| | | ACCC-BHQ1 |

or sputum qPCR yielded positive results in two replicates. A definitive diagnosis was defined as meeting criterion (1),and a clinical diagnosis was defined as meeting criterion (2). Patients meeting (1) and (2) criteria were classified as PCP cases, and patients meeting (1) or (2) criteria were classified as potential cases.

Staining for P. jirovecii

A portion of resuspended pellet of sputum or BALF was used to make a smear for GMS staining, according to the manufacturer's instructions (Baso, Zhuhai, China). The stained smears were visualized under a microscope for Pneumocystis cysts.

DNA Extraction

Spontaneously expectorated sputum from a deep cough and BALF were collected and examined (21, 23). The sputum or BALF was mixed with an equal volume of Sputasol (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, U.S.A.) containing 0.1% dithiothreitol and incubated for 15 min at 37°C. The homogenized sputum or BALF (1 mL) was centrifuged (13,000 rpm for 10 min) and the pellet resuspended in 500 μ L sterile PBS (GE Lifesciences, Marlborough, MA, U.S.A.). DNA extraction was performed using the Human Genome Nucleic Acid Extraction Kit (Tianlong, Xi'an, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

ddPCR Detection of P. jirovecii

Detection of P. jirovecii by ddPCR was performed via the TargetingOne Digital PCR System (TargetingOne, Beijing, China) (24). The 30 μ L PCR reaction mix included 7.5 μ L $4 \times$ SuperMix, 3 μ L 10 \times primers and probes mix (the final concentrations of primers and probes were 600 and 300 nM, respectively), and up to 15 μ L of the DNA template. The PCR mix was thoroughly blended and added into the droplet generation chip. Then, 180 µL of droplet generation oil was added and the chip was put into the Drop Maker for droplet generation. The generated droplets were then automatically transferred into an 8strip PCR tube and reacted on Thermal Cycler with conditions as follows: pre-denaturation at 95°C for 10 min; amplification for 45 cycles with denaturation at 94°C for 30 s and annealing at 57°C for 1 min; and ending at 12°C. Subsequently, the 8-strip PCR tube containing the amplified droplets was connected to a droplet detection chip and detected using the Chip Reader. Finally, the data were analyzed by the dedicated software to obtain the target DNA copy numbers. All procedures were following the manufacturer's instructions.

qPCR Detection of P. jirovecii

The presence of *P. jirovecii* from human airway specimens was also assessed by a probe-based qPCR assay. The primers and probes were the same as those used in the ddPCR. Each TaqMan reaction mix contained $1 \times$ PCR master mix (Promega, Beijing, China), 900 nM of forward and reverse primers each, 250 nM of probe, 15 µL of DNA template to make a final volume of 50 µL. Reactions were performed with the Applied Biosystems(R Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, U.S.A.) under the following conditions: 95°C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 3 s and annealing/extension at 60°C for 30 s. The result was considered valid only when the cycle threshold (Ct) value of the reference gene was \leq 40. The result was considered positive when the Ct values of both target genes were \leq 37, negative when they were both > 37.

Metagenomic Next Generation Sequencing and Data Analysis

BALF specimens from patients were collected according to standard operating procedures. For DNA extraction, 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes each containing a 0.6 mL sample and 1 g 0.5 mm glass beads were attached to a horizontal platform on a vortex mixer and agitated vigorously at 2,800-3,200 rpm for 30 min. Then 0.3 mL of the sample was separated into a new microcentrifuge tube, and the total DNA was extracted using the TIANamp Micro DNA Kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer's recommendation. The total DNA was subjected to library construction through DNA-fragmentation (150 bp), end-repair, adapter-ligation, and unbiased PCR amplification. Agilent 2100 was used for quality control of the DNA libraries (200-300 bp). Qualified libraries were sequenced by BGISEQ-50 platform (25). After removing low-quality reads (< 35 bp) and computationally subtracting sequences mapped to the human reference genome (hg19) from the sequencing data by Burrows-Wheeler Alignment (0.7.10-r789) (26), highquality sequences were generated. Following the removal of low-complexity reads according to Prinseq (version 0.20.4), the remaining sequences were phylogenetically classified by aligning to PMDB (PMseq metagenomic Database, version 3.0), a BGIlocally established database consisting of 2,700 whole genome sequences of viral taxa, 1,494 bacterial genomes or scaffolds, 73 fungi related to human infection, and 47 parasites associated with human diseases, which were downloaded from NCBI (ftp://ftp. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/).

Statistical Analysis

Comparisons between two groups were made using the Mann Whitney U test. The correlation between the Ct values of qPCR and *P. jirovecii* DNA copy number determined by ddPCR was analyzed with the Spearman correlation test. A P < 0.05 (two-sided) was considered statistically significant. The abovementioned analyses were performed using either GraphPad

TABLE 2 | Patient characteristics.

| Characteristic | Diagnosed PCP patients ($n = 53$) | Potential PCP patients ($n = 45$) | P value |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| Age (years), median (range) | 59 (22–67) | 56 (19–80) | 0.37 |
| Male, n (%) | 43 (81.13) | 22 (48.89) | 1.00 |
| Underlying disease, n (%) | | | |
| Autoimmune diseases | 13 (24.52) | 5 (11.11) | 1.00 |
| Hematological malignancies | 7 (13.20) | 5 (11.11) | 1.00 |
| Lung diseases | 14 (26.42) | 11 (24.44) | 1.00 |
| Cancers | 7 (13.20) | 7 (15.56) | 1.00 |
| Others | 12 (22.64) | 17 (37.78) | 1.00 |

PCP, Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia.

Prism 7.0 (La Jolla, CA, U.S.A.) or SPSS 19.0 (College Station, TX, U.S.A.) software.

RESULTS

Demographic and Clinical Features of Study Participants

The present study included 98 patients including diagnosed PCP and potential PCP patients. The characteristics of the patients are shown in **Table 2**. For diagnosed PCP patients, the median age was 59 years (range, 22–67 years) and the proportion of men was 81.13%; the most common underlying diseases were lung diseases (26.42%) and autoimmune diseases (24.52%), and other diseases included hematological malignancies (13.20%), cancers (13.20%), and others (22.64%). Whereas for potential PCP patients, the median age was 56 years (range, 19–80 years) and the proportion of men was 48.89%; the most common underlying diseases were others (37.78%) and lung diseases (24.44%), followed by cancers (15.56%), autoimmune diseases (11.11%), and hematological malignancies (11.11%).

Assessment of the Specificity of the *P. jirovecii* TaqMan Primer and Probe Set by ddPCR

Several DNA samples of airway specimens from patients with respiratory infections other than PCP were used to assess the specificity of the *P. jirovecii* TaqMan primer and probe set. All these DNA samples were previously validated by metagenomic next generation sequencing (mNGS). The pathogens of these specimens included bacteria *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, and *mycoplasma*; fungi *Candida albicans*, *Candida parapsilosis*, and *Cryptococcus neoformans*, as well as respiratory viruses *herpes simplex virus-1* (HSV-1) and *parainfluenza virus*. As shown in **Table 3**, when ddPCR was used for testing, no nonspecific amplification of DNA of other pathogens was found using this primer and probe set for *P. jirovecii*. TABLE 3 | Specificity results of *P. jirovecii* TaqMan primer and probe set.

| Specimen | Sample type | Pathogens identified by mNGS | Pathogens identified by <i>P. jirovecii</i> ddPCR |
|----------|----------------|---|---|
| 1 | BALF | Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus | Ν |
| 2 | Sputum | Mycoplasma | Ν |
| 3 | BALF | Klebsiella pneumoniae, Acinetobacter baumannii | Ν |
| 4 | BALF | Enterococcus faecalis | Ν |
| 5 | BALF | Candida parapsilosis, Cryptococcus neoformans | Ν |
| 6 | Sputum | Candida albicans | Ν |
| 7 | BALF | Parainfluenza virus | Ν |
| 8 | Sputum | HSV-1 | Ν |

ddPCR, droplet digital PCR; qPCR, quantitative PCR; P. jirovecii, Pneumocystis jirovecii; BALF, bronchoalveolar fluid; HSV-1, herpes simplex virus type-1. N, Negative.

TABLE 4 | Calculation of LoB and LoD from AFP counts.

| AFP | LoB (copies/test) | LoD (copies/test) |
|--------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 0–0.05 | 1 | 5 |
| >0.05 | $A_{FP}+1.645\sqrt{A_{FP}}+0.8$ | $(1.645 + \sqrt{1.645^2 + 4LoB})^2/4$ |

LoB, limit of blank; LoD, limit of detection.

A_{FP}, Average false positive.

Evaluation of the Limit of Blank, Limit of Detection, and Linearity Range of the ddPCR-Based Detection of *P. jirovecii*

In order to assess the limit of blank (LoB) and limit of detection (LoD), we used a human cDNA sample as a blank control template in 60 repeated experiments and calculated the LoB and LoD according to the formula in **Table 4** (27). The results showed that the LoB of *P. jirovecii* DNA is 0 and the LoD is 3 copies/test. We then used the same human cDNA sample as template for another 30 experiments to validate the LoD.

We used a plasmid containing *P. jirovecii* mtLSU as the template to determine the linear range. First, the plasmid copy number was calculated based on plasmid concentration and sequence using the DNA/RNA copy number calculator (http:// endmemo.com/bio/dnacopynum.php). ddPCR was performed using 10-fold serially diluted *P. jirovecii* mtLSU plasmids from 10⁵ copies/test to 1 copy/test, and each concentration was tested in triplicate. The results showed that the slope was 0.9671 (**Figure 1**).

Performance of ddPCR in BALF Testing Compared With qPCR

BALF specimens from 82 patients were analyzed using ddPCR and qPCR. The results showed that 43.90% (36/82) specimens were positive and 56.10% (46/82) negative using ddPCR, while 39.02% (32/82) specimens were positive and 60.96% (50/82) negative using qPCR. The Ct value of qPCR was highly correlated





with the copy number determined by ddPCR ($R^2 = 0.7806$) (**Figure 2A**). The results for 95.12% (78/82) of the samples were coincident between the two methods but the results of the remaining four specimens were inconsistent. The results of ddPCR for those four specimens were 5.60 copies/test, 4.40 copies/test, 9.00 copies/test, and 3.90 copies/test, while the results of qPCR for them were all negative. These four specimens were further tested by mNGS and the results were positive (**Table 5**).

Performance of ddPCR in Sputum Testing Compared With qPCR

A total of 37 sputum specimens from 16 patients with diagnosed PCP were analyzed using ddPCR and qPCR. The results showed that 62.16% (23/37) specimens were positive and 37.84% (14/37) negative using ddPCR, while 40.54% (15/37) specimens were positive and 59.45% (22/37) negative using qPCR. The results of 78.37% (29/37) specimens were coincident between the two

| Specimen | P. jirovecii DNA by ddPCR (copies/test) | <i>P. jirovecii</i> DNA by qPCR (Ct value) | mNGS |
|----------|---|--|---|
| S1 | 5.60 | Undetermined | Pneumocystis jirovecii, Acinetobacter Iwof |
| S2 | 4.40 | Undetermined | Pneumocystis jirovecii |
| S3 | 9.00 | Undetermined | Pneumocystis jirovecii, Acinetobacter baumannii, Ralstonia mannitolilytica, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Achromobacter xylosoxidans, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Cytomegalovirus |
| S4 | 3.90 | Undetermined | Pneumocystis jirovecii |

TABLE 5 | ddPCR, qPCR, and mNGS results of BALF specimens showing inconsistent results between ddPCR and qPCR.

ddPCR, droplet digital PCR; qPCR, quantitative PCR; P. jirovecii, Pneumocystis jirovecii; BALF, bronchoalveolar fluid; mNGS, metagenomic next generation sequencing; Ct, cycle threshold.

TABLE 6 | Sputum specimens with inconsistent results by ddPCR and qPCR.

| Patient | Specimen | P. jirovecii DNA by ddPCR (copies/test) | <i>P. jirovecii</i> DNA by qPCR (Ct value) |
|-----------|----------|---|--|
| Patient 1 | S1 | 40.10 | Undetermined |
| Patient 2 | S2 | 32.00 | Undetermined |
| | S3 | 18.20 | Undetermined |
| Patient 3 | S4 | 28.90 | Undetermined |
| Patient 4 | S5 | 14.40 | Undetermined |
| Patient 5 | S6 | 14.90 | Undetermined |
| | S7 | 4.50 | Undetermined |
| Patient 6 | S8 | 5.40 | Undetermined |
| | | | |

ddPCR, droplet digital PCR; qPCR, quantitative PCR; P. jirovecii, Pneumocystis jirovecii; Ct, cycle threshold.

methods. The remaining eight specimens, including two groups of continuous specimens, were positive by ddPCR but negative by qPCR (**Table 6**). The Ct value of qPCR was highly correlated with the copy number determined by ddPCR ($R^2 = 0.84$) (**Figure 2B**).

Comparison of *P. jirovecii* DNA Copy Number Tracking in Continuous Respiratory Tract Specimens by ddPCR and qPCR

Continuous respiratory tract specimens from nine patients were collected. For patient 1 to patient 4, two continuously collected BALF specimens were collected from each patient; for patients 5 to patient 9, two continuous sputum specimens were collected from each patient. The sampling dates were shown in **Supplementary Table 1**. All patients were diagnosed with PCP and treated with sulfonamides. Specimens from all patients were collected before and during PCP treatment, and then analyzed using ddPCR (**Figures 3A,C**) and qPCR (**Figures 3B,D**). The *P. jirovecii* DNA copy number of these patients decreased after treatment according to qPCR and ddPCR. However, *P. jirovecii* DNAs of some specimens became undetectable (specifically, patients 1, 5, 7, 8, and 9) using qPCR but were still detectable using ddPCR (**Figure 3A** vs. **Figure 3B** and **Figure 3C** vs. **Figure 3D**).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we evaluated the performance of a ddPCR method in detecting P. jirovecii DNA in respiratory specimens. We first evaluated the analytical performance of the ddPCR method in detecting P. jirovecii DNA. A TaqMan primer and probe set was designed and the specificity of the set was verified (Table 3). The ddPCR assay was also subjected to additional validation and testing. The linear dynamic range of the assay was at least up to 10⁵ copies/test (test upper limit) of *P. jirovecii* DNA. The LoB and LoD of the assay was determined to be 0 and 3 copies/test, respectively (Table 4 and Figure 1). Then, we evaluated the clinical performance of this ddPCR method, testing the consistency of this method with qPCR in BALF and sputum. ddPCR and qPCR gave highly consistent results for BALF and sputum specimens. However, the detection rate of ddPCR was higher than that of qPCR in low-pathogen-load specimens, and all BALF specimens with inconsistent results were further tested by mNGS, which confirmed the ddPCR results. Testing of continuous respiratory tract specimens showed that the P. jirovecii load decreased after treatment, which implied that ddPCR was better in detecting low-pathogen-load specimens after treatment.

PCP is an opportunistic infection commonly seen in patients with HIV infection or other forms of immunosuppression. Non-HIV-infected patients at risk for PCP include patients with hematologic malignancies, solid tumors, or inflammatory disorders, and patients who have undergone bone marrow or solid-organ transplantation (28). Since P. jirovecii cannot be cultured, definitive diagnosis requires detection and identification of the organism by PCR assays of respiratory specimens, dye staining, or immunofluorescence staining, all of which may be influenced by the pathogen burden. For example, patients with HIV/AIDS have fewer neutrophils and a higher number of P. jirovecii in their sputum and BALF than other patients, making the diagnosis easier or more likely attainable. In contrast, patients with immunosuppression and without HIV infection likely have a low pathogen burden in their sputum and BALF, making the diagnosis difficult (29). Therefore, a highly sensitive nucleic acid detection method is required when the pathogen load is low.

qPCR is a common method to detect pathogen DNA in clinical laboratories, while ddPCR is an emerging method. The principles of these two methods are different, and therefore,



the reagents and operations are not identical; for example, the number of amplification cycles is 40 in gPCR and 45 in ddPCR according to their respective instructions. Compared with qPCR, ddPCR is a more sensitive method used for the detection of low amounts of pathogens, including bacteria (30, 31), fungi (32, 33), and viruses (14, 34). In addition, ddPCR exhibits increased tolerance to interfering substances compared with qPCR (35-38). However, there was no study on P. jirovecii DNA detection using ddPCR, and our study supplies a new tool for PCP diagnosis. The results obtained here demonstrated that ddPCR had better sensitivity than qPCR in detecting P. jirovecii DNA. The majority of BALF samples (95.12%) showed coincident results by the two methods. The remaining four specimens were found positive by ddPCR but negative by qPCR, which were validated to be positive by mNGS. All these specimens had no more than 10 copies/test by ddPCR (Table 4), demonstrating the high sensitivity of ddPCR, especially in low-concentration specimens. However, in clinical practice, BALF is not easy to obtain because of the procedure's invasiveness, while sputum is easily obtainable. We found that 78.37% of the sputum specimens showed coincident results by the two methods, while eight specimens from six patients with PCP were positive by ddPCR but negative by qPCR (Table 5). These results highlight the possibility of missed detection of P.

jirovecii DNA using qPCR, which may lead to delayed diagnosis of PCP.

Detection of *P. jirovecii* DNA can be used to monitor the therapeutic effects of PCP treatments (39–41), so we detected *P. jirovecii* DNA using qPCR before and during treatment (**Figures 3A,C**). In order to compare the detection rate, the same *P. jirovecii* DNA that has been detected by qPCR was also detected by ddPCR simultaneously (**Figures 3B,D**). After treatment, several specimens became negative according to the qPCR method. However, these specimens were positive by ddPCR, which implied that sulfonamides may still be necessary after two weeks of treatment. In particular, both sputum specimens from patient 6 were found negative by qPCR but positive by ddPCR, which likely led to the missed PCP cases when only qPCR was used. Thus, ddPCR is more sensitive than qPCR in detecting *P. jirovecii* DNA in both BALF and sputum.

In summary, we developed a ddPCR method for the detection and quantification of *P. jirovecii* DNA in the human airway. We evaluated its sensitivity for quantifying airway *P. jirovecii* load against a standard qPCR approach employing human airway specimens from patients with PCP. While both approaches can detect *P. jirovecii* DNA, ddPCR demonstrates better sensitivity for specimens with low abundance of the pathogen. Considering the importance of *P. jirovecii* detection

in patients with immunodeficiency, ddPCR represents a useful, viable, and reliable alternative to qPCR in PCP patients.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Peking Union Medical College Hospital (S-T767). Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

YX and YG conceived the study. JY and NW designed the study and wrote the manuscript. JY and XR conducted the experiments.

REFERENCES

- Sokulska M, Kicia M, Wesołowska M, Hendrich AB. Pneumocystis jiroveciifrom a commensal to pathogen: clinical and diagnostic review. *Parasitol Res.* (2015) 114:3577–85. doi: 10.1007/s00436-015-4678-6
- Thomas CF Jr, Limper AH. Pneumocystis pneumonia. N Engl J Med. (2004) 350:2487–98. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra032588
- Enomoto T, Azuma A, Kohno A, Kaneko K, Saito H, Kametaka M, et al. Differences in the clinical characteristics of Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia in immunocompromized patients with and without HIV infection. *Respirology*. (2010) 15:126–31. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1843.2009.01660.x
- Sepkowitz KA. Opportunistic infections in patients with and patients without Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome. *Clin Infect Dis.* (2002) 34:1098– 107. doi: 10.1086/339548
- Morris A. Norris KA. Colonization by Pneumocystis jirovecii and its role in disease. Clin Microbiol Rev. (2012) 25:297–317. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00013-12
- Le Gal S, Toubas D, Totet A, Dalle F, Abou Bacar A, Le Meur Y, et al. Pneumocystis Infection Outbreaks in Organ Transplantation Units in France: A Nation-Wide Survey. *Clin Infect Dis.* (2020) 70:2216– 20. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciz901
- Del Corpo O, Butler-Laporte G, Sheppard DC, Cheng MP, McDonald EG, Lee TC. Diagnostic accuracy of serum (1-3)-β-D-glucan for Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* (2020) 26:1137–43. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2020.05.024
- Tasaka S. Pneumocystis pneumonia in human immunodeficiency virus-infected adults and adolescents: current concepts and future directions. *Clin Med Insights Circ Respir Pulm Med.* (2015) 9:19–28. doi: 10.4137/CCRPM.S23324
- Summah H, Zhu YG, Falagas ME, Vouloumanou EK, Qu JM. Use of realtime polymerase chain reaction for the diagnosis of Pneumocystis pneumonia in immunocompromised patients: a meta-analysis. *Chin Med J (Engl)*. (2013) 126:1965–73. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.0366-6999.20122506
- Hindson BJ, Ness KD, Masquelier DA, Belgrader P, Heredia NJ, Makarewicz AJ, et al. High-throughput droplet digital PCR system for absolute quantitation of DNA copy number. *Anal Chem.* (2011) 83:8604–10. doi: 10.1021/ac202028g
- Pinheiro LB, Coleman VA, Hindson CM, Herrmann J, Hindson BJ, Bhat S, et al. Evaluation of a droplet digital polymerase chain reaction format for DNA copy number quantification. *Anal Chem.* (2012) 84:1003– 11. doi: 10.1021/ac202578x

JW, YT, JZ, and LZ contributed to data collection and data analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by Beijing Gold-Bridge Project (No. ZZ21056), Beijing Key Clinical Specialty for Laboratory Medicine—Excellent Projects (No. ZK201000), and Tsinghua University Spring Breeze Fund (No. 2020Z99CFG010).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank TargetingOne Corporation (Beijing, China) for the supply of the reagents to undertake this study.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed. 2021.761788/full#supplementary-material

- Lu B, Zeng F, Xing W, Liang L, Huo J, Tan C, et al. Decreased mitochondrial DNA copy number in children with cerebral palsy quantified by droplet digital PCR. *Clin Chim Acta*. (2020) 503:122–7. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2020.01.018
- Tang C, Zhu L, Zhang L, Tan C, Peng Z, Liu B, et al. Establishment and validation of a novel droplet digital PCR assay for ultrasensitive detection and dynamic monitoring of EGFR mutations in peripheral blood samples of non-small-cell lung cancer patients. *Clin Chim Acta.* (2020) 510:88– 96. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2020.07.003
- Yu F, Yan L, Wang N, Yang S, Wang L, Tang Y, et al. Quantitative detection and viral load analysis of SARS-CoV-2 in infected patients. *Clin Infect Dis.* (2020) 71:793–8. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa345
- Cao G, Tan C, Zhang Y, Kong X, Sun X, Ma Y, et al. Digital droplet polymerase chain reaction analysis of common viruses in the aqueous humour of patients with Posner-Schlossman syndrome in Chinese population. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* (2019) 47:513–20. doi: 10.1111/ceo.13440
- Hudecova I. Digital PCR analysis of circulating nucleic acids. Clin Biochem. (2015) 48:948–56. doi: 10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2015.03.015
- Sedlak RH, Jerome KR. Viral diagnostics in the era of digital polymerase chain reaction. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* (2013) 75:1–4. doi: 10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2012.10.009
- Whale AS, Huggett JF, Cowen S, Speirs V, Shaw J, Ellison S, et al. Comparison of microfluidic digital PCR and conventional quantitative PCR for measuring copy number variation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2012) 40:e82. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks203
- Ushio R, Yamamoto M, Nakashima K, Watanabe H, Nagai K, Shibata Y, et al. Digital PCR assay detection of circulating Mycobacterium tuberculosis DNA in pulmonary tuberculosis patient plasma. *Tuberculosis (Edinb)*. (2016) 99:47–53. doi: 10.1016/j.tube.2016.04.004
- Strain MC, Lada SM, Luong T, Rought SE, Gianella S, Terry VH, et al. Highly precise measurement of HIV DNA by droplet digital PCR. *PLoS ONE*. (2013) 8:e55943. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0055943
- Li L, Tan C, Zeng J, Luo C, Hu S, Peng Y, et al. Analysis of viral load in different specimen types and serum antibody levels of COVID-19 patients. J Transl Med. (2021) 19:30. doi: 10.1186/s12967-020-02693-2
- Dang Y, Liu N, Tan C, Feng Y, Yuan X, Fan D, et al. Comparison of qualitative and quantitative analyses of COVID-19 clinical samples. *Clin Chim Acta.* (2020) 510:613–6. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2020. 08.033
- 23. Chotirmall SH, O'Donoghue E, Bennett K, Gunaratnam C, O'Neill SJ, McElvaney NG. Sputum Candida albicans presages FEV1 decline and

hospital-treated exacerbations in cystic fibrosis. Chest. (2010) 138:1186-95. doi: 10.1378/chest.09-2996

- 24. Zhu X, Liu B, Su S, Wang B, Bai Y, Huang H, et al. A "quasi" confocal droplet reader based on laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) cytometry for highly-sensitive and contamination-free detection. *Talanta.* (2020) 206:120200. doi: 10.1016/j.talanta.2019.120200
- Jeon YJ, Zhou Y, Li Y, Guo Q, Chen J, Quan S, et al. The feasibility study of noninvasive fetal trisomy 18 and 21 detection with semiconductor sequencing platform. *PLoS ONE.* (2014) 9:e110240. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.01 10240
- Yadav PD, Nyayanit DA, Majumdar T, Patil S, Kaur H, Gupta N, et al. An epidemiological analysis of SARS-CoV-2 genomic sequences from different regions of India. *Viruses*. (2021) 13:925. doi: 10.3390/v130 50925
- Milbury CA, Zhong Q, Lin J, Williams M, Olson J, Link DR, et al. Determining lower limits of detection of digital PCR assays for cancer-related gene mutations. *Biomol Detect Quantif.* (2014) 1:8–22. doi: 10.1016/j.bdq.2014.08.001
- Yale SH, Limper AH. Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia in patients without acquired immunodeficiency syndrome: associated illness and prior corticosteroid therapy. *Mayo Clin Proc.* (1996) 71:5–13. doi: 10.4065/71.1.5
- 29. Truong JAshurst JV. Pneumocystis Jirovecii Pneumonia. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls. (2021).
- Luo J, Luo M, Li J, Yu J, Yang H, Yi X, et al. Rapid direct drug susceptibility testing of Mycobacterium tuberculosis based on culture droplet digital polymerase chain reaction. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis.* (2019) 23:219– 25. doi: 10.5588/ijtld.18.0182
- Wouters Y, Dalloyaux D, Christenhusz A, Roelofs H, Wertheim HF, Bleeker-Rovers CP, et al. Droplet digital polymerase chain reaction for rapid broadspectrum detection of bloodstream infections. *Microb Biotechnol.* (2020) 13:657–68. doi: 10.1111/1751-7915.13491
- 32. Li HT, Lin BC, Huang ZF, Yang CZ, Huang WM. [Clinical value of droplet digital PCR in rapid diagnosis of invasive fungal infection in neonates]. *Zhongguo Dang Dai Er Ke Za Zhi.* (2019) 21:45–51. doi: 10.7499/j.issn1008-8830.2019.01.009
- 33. Poh TY, Ali N, Chan L, Tiew PY, Chotirmall SH. Evaluation of droplet digital polymerase chain reaction (ddPCR) for the absolute quantification of aspergillus species in the human airway. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2020) 21:3043. doi: 10.3390/ijms21093043
- 34. Hayden RT, Gu Z, Ingersoll J, Abdul-Ali D, Shi L, Pounds S, et al. Comparison of droplet digital PCR to real-time PCR for quantitative detection of cytomegalovirus. J Clin Microbiol. (2013) 51:540–6. doi: 10.1128/JCM.02620-12
- Dingle TC, Sedlak RH, Cook L, Jerome KR. Tolerance of droplet-digital PCR vs real-time quantitative PCR to inhibitory substances. *Clin Chem.* (2013) 59:1670–2. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2013.211045

- Eing BR, Becker A, Sohns A, Ringelmann R, Comparison of Roche Cobas Amplicor Mycobacterium tuberculosis assay with in-house PCR and culture for detection of M. tuberculosis. J Clin Microbiol. (1998) 36:2023– 9. doi: 10.1128/JCM.36.7.2023-2029.1998
- Forbes BA. Hicks KE. Substances interfering with direct detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in clinical specimens by PCR: effects of bovine serum albumin. J Clin Microbiol. (1996) 34:2125–8. doi: 10.1128/jcm.34.9.2125-2128.1996
- Rački N, Dreo T, Gutierrez-Aguirre I, Blejec A, Ravnikar M. Reverse transcriptase droplet digital PCR shows high resilience to PCR inhibitors from plant, soil and water samples. *Plant Methods*. (2014) 10:42. doi: 10.1186/s13007-014-0042-6
- Choi JS, Lee SH, Leem AY, Song JH, Kim SY, Chung KS, et al. Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia (PCP) PCR-negative conversion predicts prognosis of HIV-negative patients with PCP and acute respiratory failure. *PLoS ONE*. (2018) 13:e0206231. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0206231
- Oka S, Kitada K, Kohjin T, Nakamura Y, Kimura S, Shimada K. Direct monitoring as well as sensitive diagnosis of Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia by the polymerase chain reaction on sputum samples. *Mol Cell Probes*. (1993) 7:419–24. doi: 10.1006/mcpr.1993.1062
- Robert-Gangneux F, Belaz S, Revest M, Tattevin P, Jouneau S, Decaux O, et al. Diagnosis of Pneumocystis jirovecii pneumonia in immunocompromised patients by real-time PCR: a 4-year prospective study. *J Clin Microbiol.* (2014) 52:3370–6. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01480-14

Conflict of Interest: LZ and XR are employed by TargetingOne Corporation (Beijing, China).

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Yi, Wang, Wu, Tang, Zhang, Zhu, Rui, Guo and Xu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.