Differential Expression of CHL1 Gene during Development of Major Human Cancers

Vera N. Senchenko¹, George S. Krasnov¹, Alexey A. Dmitriev¹, Anna V. Kudryavtseva¹, Ekaterina A. Anedchenko¹, Eleonora A. Braga², Irina V. Pronina², Tatiana T. Kondratieva³, Sergey V. Ivanov⁴, Eugene R. Zabarovsky^{1,5*}, Michael I. Lerman⁶

1 Laboratory of Structural and Functional Genomics, Engelhardt Institute of Molecular Biology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia, 2 Laboratory of Molecular Diagnosis, Russian State Genetics Center GosNIIgenetika, Moscow, Russia, 3 Blokhin Cancer Research Center, Russian Academy of Medical Sciences, Moscow, Russia, 4 Cardiothoracic Surgery Department, NYU Langone Medical Center, New York, New York, United States of America, 5 Department of Microbiology, Tumor and Cell Biology, Department of Clinical Science and Education, Sodersjukhuset, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden, 6 Hematology Branch, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, United States of America

Abstract

Background: CHL1 gene (also known as CALL) on 3p26.3 encodes a one-pass trans-membrane cell adhesion molecule (CAM). Previously CAMs of this type, including L1, were shown to be involved in cancer growth and metastasis.

Methodology/Principal Findings: We used Clontech Cancer Profiling Arrays (19 different types of cancers, 395 samples) to analyze expression of the *CHL1* gene. The results were further validated by RT-qPCR for breast, renal and lung cancer. Cancer Profiling Arrays revealed differential expression of the gene: down-regulation/silencing in a majority of primary tumors and up-regulation associated with invasive/metastatic growth. Frequent down-regulation (>40% of cases) was detected in 11 types of cancer (breast, kidney, rectum, colon, thyroid, stomach, skin, small intestine, bladder, vulva and pancreatic cancer) and frequent up-regulation (>40% of cases) – in 5 types (lung, ovary, uterus, liver and trachea) of cancer. Using real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) we found that *CHL1* expression was decreased in 61% of breast, 60% of lung, 87% of clear cell and 89% papillary renal cancer specimens (P<0.03 for all the cases). There was a higher frequency of *CHL1* mRNA decrease in lung squamous cell carcinoma compared to adenocarcinoma (81% vs. 38%, P=0.02) without association with tumor progression.

Conclusions/Significance: Our results suggested that *CHL1* is involved in the development of different human cancers. Initially, during the primary tumor growth *CHL1* could act as a putative tumor suppressor and is silenced to facilitate *in situ* tumor growth for 11 cancer types. We also suggested that re-expression of the gene on the edge of tumor mass might promote local invasive growth and enable further metastatic spread in ovary, colon and breast cancer. Our data also supported the role of *CHL1* as a potentially novel specific biomarker in the early pathogenesis of two major histological types of renal cancer.

Citation: Senchenko VN, Krasnov GS, Dmitriev AA, Kudryavtseva AV, Anedchenko EA, et al. (2011) Differential Expression of CHL1 Gene during Development of Major Human Cancers. PLoS ONE 6(3): e15612. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015612

Editor: Chad Creighton, Baylor College of Medicine, United States of America

Received August 11, 2010; Accepted November 17, 2010; Published March 7, 2011

Copyright: © 2011 Senchenko et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported by grants 08-04-01577 and 10-04-01213 from the Russian Foundation for Basic Research; State Contracts 02.740.11.5227 and 16.740.11.0173 with the Russian Ministry of Education and Science; grants from the Swedish Cancer Society, the Swedish Research Council, the Swedish Institute and Karolinska Institute and National Cancer Institute, Center for Cancer Research, Intramural Research Program of NCI. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: eugzab@ki.se

Introduction

Cancer-associated genes fall into two main categories: cancercausing genes that drive malignant transformation and maintain tumor growth, and cancer progression genes that orchestrate local invasion and further spread of metastatic cells and growth of distant metastases [1,2,3]. The *CHL1* gene – close homolog of L1, also known as *CALL* - cell adhesion L1-like (GenBank Accession No. NM_006614.2) encodes a one-pass trans-membrane cell adhesion molecule (CAM) capable of both homotypic and heterotypic binding. The protein encoded by this gene is a member of the L1 gene family of neural cell adhesion molecules. It is a neural recognition molecule that may be involved in signal transduction pathways. *CHL1* is expressed in normal tissues besides the brain and is expressed in a variety of human cancer cell lines and primary tumor tissues [4,5]. It was also shown that the gene is involved in general cognitive activities (g/IQ) [6,7] and some neurological diseases (i.e. schizophrenia [8]). The deletion of one copy of this gene may be responsible for mental defects in patients with 3p- syndrome. Recently several CAMs including L1 were shown to be involved in cancer growth and metastasis [9,10]. *CHL1* is located at 3p26, a region that is shown to harbor a candidate for prostate cancer susceptibility in Finnish prostate cancer families, although no mutations were detected in the coding part of the gene [11]. Thus, these reports suggest that *CHL1* plays a role in cancer development [12], not only in neuronal activities. Previously, in collaboration with Dr. Helen S. Smith, we performed a deletion mapping of the short arm of chromosome 3 on a panel of breast cancers and delineated three regions as harboring breast cancer candidate tumor suppressor genes (TSG), namely, 3p24-26, 3p21-22, and 3p12-13 [13,14,15,16]. Then we cloned the *CHL1 (CALL)* gene in 1997/1998 and analyzed its expression in mouse development and performed extensive bioinformatics analysis [5].

Here we provided a comprehensive study of *CHL1* mRNA expression using two methods. Qualitative analysis was performed using Clontech Cancer Profiling Arrays, and further real time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) was employed for validation of the microarray data for three major cancer types: non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), breast cancer (BC), and renal cell carcinomas (RCC). Our results suggested a dual role of the *CHL1* in tumorigenesis: it may contribute to initial tumor growth and then to progression and finally tumor spread/metastasis. The data further supported the role of *CHL1* as a potentially novel specific biomarker in the early pathogenesis of two major histological types of renal cancer.

The work is dedicated to the memory of Dr. Helen S. Smith.

Results

In silico analysis of CHL1 expression in normal and tumor tissues

The vast public expression databases allow to detect and quantify the expression of most if not all known RefSeq genes ($\sim 20,000$) in normal and tumor tissues. We used several public web-based servers to analyze mouse and human *CHL1* expression [17,18,19,20]. The data shows that *CHL1* is expressed in many normal adult and fetal tissues besides the brain and peripheral nervous system [17,19]. Variable expression was seen in many tumors; it was especially high in a melanoma cell line G361. According to Oncomine [18] preliminary data based on microarray analysis, the *CHL1* expression also varies in several major cancer types – renal [21,22], cervical [23], colon [24,25], ovary [26], lung [27,28], stomach [29] and breast [30,31] cancer. The Oncomine also showed co-expression of *CHL1* with another known cancer metastasis-associated gene, lysyl oxidase (*LOX*) [32] in metastatic melanoma.

Investigation of CHL1 expression with Cancer Profiling Arrays

We used Cancer Profiling Arrays I and II (Clontech) to test the *CHL1* expression in a large sample of human primary tumors including breast, lung, kidney, ovary, colon, stomach and others (Fig. 1). Only 395 samples of 486, including 90 metastatic tumors and 12 metastases were informative. We first showed that the change of *CHL1* expression in all studied tumors compared to the matched non-cancerous (normal) tissues was statistically significant (P < 0.05, Fisher exact test or χ^2 criteria).

Down-regulation. As demonstrated by Cancer Profiling Arrays data in Figures 1 and 2, a high percentage of patients displayed a down-regulation of *CHL1* expression in breast, kidney, rectum, colon, thyroid, stomach, skin, small intestine, bladder, vulva and pancreatic cancer. The results of the microarray data analysis were presented for 11 types of cancer in Table 1. In total, a statistically significant decrease of *CHL1* expression was found in breast cancer - 71% (45 of 63 cases), colon - 48% (23 of 48), rectum - 50% (14 of 28), thyroid - 69% (11 of 16), kidney - 75% (21 of 28) and small intestine – 67% (6 of 9) cancers. Importantly, a statistically significant increase of down-regulation frequency was shown in samples with metastases compared to samples without

metastases in colon (83% vs. 36%, P=0.01) and rectum (75% vs. 31%, P=0.05) cancers. The same tendency was found in ovary cancer (60% vs. 19%, P=0.17).

Up-regulation. The *CHL1* up-regulation (frequency from 20% to 100%) was found in lung, ovary, uterus, liver, skin, prostate, stomach, cervix and trachea cancers. However, the increase of the *CHL1* mRNA level was statistically significant only in lung cancer -64% (16 of 25, P < 0.01). The majority of such cases (14 of 22, P < 0.01) were found in different histotypes of NSCLC (ADC, BAC, SCC) at the Stage I. Also we observed several cases of *CHL1* up-regulation in metastatic tumors (stomach, lung, trachea, ovary and uterus, Table 1). Thus, the cases with *CHL1* up-regulation could serve as examples of *CHL1* involvement both in initial and possibly in further progression and invasive tumor growth.

Deregulation. In uterus and ovary cancer the frequency of up- and down-regulation was close (41% and 30%, 46% and 27%, respectively). In ovary cancer the down-regulation was a prevalent event (52%) in samples without metastases, on the contrary the up-regulation was prevalent (60%) in the group of metastatic tumors. In stomach cancer a statistically significant change of *CHL1* expression (both up- and down-regulation) was shown in the group with metastases relative to the group without metastases (88% vs. 45%, P = 0.02).

Metastases. We observed re-expression of the *CHL1* in 4 of 12 metastases (first coordinate) along with low *CHL1* mRNA level in primary tumor (second coordinate): in ovary (24K/24L), colon (14O/14P, 14V/14W) and breast (4I/4J, Fig. 1, Array I). In addition, we also found silencing of the gene expression in both metastasis and primary tumors, for example, breast cancer (4G/4H, 4K/4L).

The CHL1 expression in breast, lung and renal cancer tissues studied using RT-qPCR

The *CHL1* mRNA content was decreased in the majority of studied tumor samples compared to normal samples but in some tumor samples the *CHL1* expression was up-regulated (Fig. 3. A, B and C).

Breast cancer (BC). We found that the *CHL1* mRNA level was decreased in 61% (14 of 23, P < 0.03), increased in 22% (5 of 23) and not changed in 17% (4 of 23) of samples. Maximal decrease of the *CHL1* mRNA level was 20-fold, maximal increase was 34-fold. There was no evident correlation between the change of the *CHL1* expression and the tumor progression (Fig. 3 A).

Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). The CHL1 mRNA level was decreased in 60% (18 of 30, P<0.02) and was normal in 33% (10 of 30), i.e. less than 2-fold changes. The decrease or increase of the mRNA level was detected neither in lung noncancerous (normal) matched tissues nor in tissues from cancer free healthy donors. However, for two histological subtypes of NSCLC (ADC and SCC) the frequency of the mRNA changes was different. Down-regulation was observed in 38% (5 of 14) of ADC samples. The increase of the CHL1 mRNA (7-fold) was detected only in one ADC sample. On the contrary, in SCC samples the CHL1 expression was significantly decreased in 81%, (13 of 16, P < 0.02). LD (level of mRNA decrease) varied from 2 to 100-fold in ADC and from 2 to 44-fold in SCC. There was a more significant increase of FD (frequency of mRNA decreases) values in SCC as compared to ADC (81% vs. 38%, P=0.02) without noticeable association with tumor progression (Fig. 3, B and Table 2).

Clear cell renal cell carcinoma (CC-RCC), papillary renal cell carcinoma (pRCC) and renal carcinoma cell lines. A significant decrease (from 3 to 302-fold) of *CHL1* mRNA was

Array	I	Breast		Ute	rus		С	olon		St	om	ach	Ovary	L	ung	Kidno	ey Rectum	Thyro	id
		1 2 3	4	7 8	\$ 9	10	13	14 15	16	19	20		23 24	27	7 28	31 32	35 36	39 40	
Α	NI-ID		I-DC	ADC		ADC, I	ADC D		ADC*	ADC*		SC, 10	-	scc		CC 105			
в	LC		I-DC	ADC		ADC, I	ADC		ADC	ADC*	2	MBC, 1	27	BAC		CC-ADC	ADC.	PC, II	B
С	1-IDC	A 7	TAC.1 AI	ж, і		ADC, I	ADC		ADC*	ADC		SCAC		SCC .		RCC	ALK.	PAC III	C
D	1-IDC		I-DC, I	ubc .		ADC	ADC 🜑		ADC	ADC		Carcinema, I		SCC		Carrinold III	ADC 1	PAC 0	D
E	1-IDC		LC.II SC	c, 11		мммт	ADC*	- 5	ADC	ADC*		CC-ADC.1		SCC.1		RCC	ADC*	PAC III	E
F			1-DC, 1 A	ic, 1		scc	ADC		ADC	ADC*		ASC, II		Carcinoid, 1	0	TCC .	ADC. II	MC II	F
G	1-D		I-DC, HI*			URT .	ADC, II			ADC		IF PSCAC, III		SCC		RCC C	SCC.1		G
н	I-DC		AD	c,1	6 10.	υят "	.DC, 111			ADC*		CAC, III		SCC,1	- 6	RCC*	ADC, III		н
1	1-DC		I-DC, III*	c, 1	. 10	URT A	DC, III			ADC*		SCAC, III*		ADC, I		Oncocytoma	ADC, III		1
J	1-DC		AD	c,1	10.	UNT	ADC			ADC				ADC,1		RCC	ASC, II		J
К			I-DC, II*	c.1		ADC	DC, III			ADC*		ADC, III*	-	ADC, I	٠	RCC	ADC, HP		к
L	1-D0		AD	a1	20	SCC	ADC, I			ADC			10	ADC, I		RCC*		Prostate	L
м	LC		I-DC, III AD	c, i		AI	к.н	*		ADC		PSC*		ADC, I	1	RCC	ADC, III	ADC, I	М
N	I-DC	• •	I-DC, II AD	c.1			DC*			ADC		SCAC*		ADC, 1	٠	RCC*	ADC	ADC	Ν
0	I-DC		I-DC* AD	c,i		ADC	.u-	•		ADC		PSCAC		ADC*		RCC	ADC	ADC	0
Р	I-DC*	1.1	1-DC* AD	c,1						ADC		ADC*	-	BAC*		RCC	ADC 1	ADC	Р
Q	1-DC, 1		MC AD	c,1		AD	с, н			ADC			-	scc	. 16	RCC	ADC*		Q
R	1-DC, 1		1-DC* AD	c.1		9	ADC		Cel	on ADC				Carcinoid		RCC	ADC*		R
S	I-DC, I		1-DC* AD	c, 1		ADG		_		ADC*	*			ASC	٠	RCC	ADC	n	S
т	1-DC, 1		ADC* ADC	.0		ADC.	.m.			ADC*				NCC	٠	RCC		Pancreas	Т
U	1-DC, 1		I-DC* AD							ADC				scc	٠			ADC	U
v	I-DC	2	ADC, III			ADC,	.m.			ADC*		33	- ·				Small		v
w	тс. н									ADC	*		ervix				intestine		w
x	FS	•	ESS,			ADC,	ш•			ADC*	*	ASC							X
Y	HLC	21	ADC,	-	a '			_		ADC							ADC*		Y
<i>L</i>	I-DC	2	SCC, III			ADG				ADC							ADC		Z
AA	I-DC					AD	C, II			ADC									AA
DD CC	LDC		ASC.	11		ADO	7.00			ADC*	Υ.	1						1.1	BB
DD	HLC		ADC, I	12			DC*					-							CC
FF	HLC		ADC,	-		1	ADC.												DD
FF	LIC		ADC	Ξ.			ADC.												EE
		-													*				rr.
		NTNI	Г	NT	N	г	N	r n	т	N	т		NT	N	т	ΝТ	л т	ΝТ	

<u>Array II</u>

	Bre	ast	Ova	ry	Colon	St	tomach	1	Lung		Kidney	1	Bladder		Vulva	Pros	state
	5	6	91	0	13 14	1	17 18		21 22		25 26		29 30		33 34	37	38
Е	I-DC, 1	15	PSCAL	ADC, I		ADC, II	-	BAC, II*		, BCC, II		тсс, н		SCC, II		ADC, 1	1
F ,	DC, II*		LM	ADC,				Central cancer*		Osyphilic adenoma	*	тес, ш		scc, II		ADC	1
G	ADC, I		ADC, III*	ADC, IV				Central cancer*		RCC, IV*	-	TCC, IV		scc. II	*	ADC	
H H	DC. 10*		CC-ADC, III*	ADC, III	·	ADC, I		Peripheral cancer	100	RCC, III	-0	тсс, ш	10	scc.u		ADC	(e 1
I	нос, н	100	ADC, IV*	ADC, III		ADC, I		SCC, T		тсс, ш		тес, ш		SCC*			
J	LC, III*		LM, II	ADC, II		MAC, IV*	9 m.	SCC,1		CC-ADC, III							
К	DC, II*		CAC,1	ADC, III		ADC, IV*	196	SCC, III*		TCC, HP			Trachea		Liver		60.1
L	DC, II*		ADC, IV	ADC, I		ADC, III*		scc, II*		RCC, IV ^a		18. 3			* *		
М	1-DC, 1	10	ADC, III	ADC. III		ADC, HIL		ADC		ENB, II	0						,
N	DC, II*		PSCA, III*	ADC, III	•	ADC, III		SCC.1	٠								1
	N	т	N	т	N T		N T		N T		N T		N T		N T	N	т
	Ut	erus	Cerv	ʻix	Rectum	ı 1	Fhyroi	d	Testis		Skin	i	Small ntestine	1	Pancreas		
S	ADC, I		scc, m•	ADC, IV	•	PAC, P		<u>к</u> г		м, 1		ADC. III*		ADC, I			
т	ADC, J		ADC, II	ADC, III	-	MAC, IV*		8,11		м, ш		ADC, II	* *	ADC, I			
U	MMMT	Sinet .	SCC, III*	ADC, IV	. 18 2	PAC, 10		Embryonal carcinoma, I		м, ш		ADC, II		ADC. III*		*****	161
v	scc	110	scc, ii	ADC, III		PAC, II		8.1		M, IV	6 19	ADC, II		scc.ii		0.5	,01
w	LBT		ADC, II	ADC, III	· (#	PAC, 111*		8.1		м, ш		Carcinoid	10.00	ADC, IV*		•	
x	scc, II		ADC, I	ADC, II		PAC, II		8,1			- 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10	ADC, IU*		Carcinoid			
Y	ADC, I		scc,1	АҢС, П		PAC, II	*	EDST, IV*		scc, II		ADC, IV*					1
z	SCC, I	0.81	ADC, I	ADC, II		PAC, P		8.1		scc, ii	1						10
AA	ADC, II		SCC,1	ADC, HP	. 18. 16	PAC, II	-	8.11*		м.ш	* *						A
BB	ADC.1		SCC.1	4 ADC, III	· · · · · ·	FAC, II		8,00*		M, I							В
	N	т	N	r	NT		NT		NT		ΝТ		NT		N Т		

Figure 1. Results of microarray analysis of *CHL1* **mRNA level in different types of cancer.** Abbreviations used: ADC - adenocarcinoma, ASC - adenosquamous carcinoma, BAC - bronchiolo-alveolar adenocarcinoma, C - carcinoma, CAC - cystadenocarcinoma, CC-ADC - clear cell adenocarcinoma, EDST - endodermal sinus tumor, ENB - epithelial nephroblastoma, ESS - endometrial stromal sarcoma, FAC - follicular adenocarcinoma, FS - fibrosarcoma, I-DC - infiltrating ductal carcinoma, I-IDC - infiltrating intraductal carcinoma, I-LC - infiltrating lobular carcinoma, LC - lobular carcinoma, LDC - mixed lobular-ductal carcinoma, LM - leiomyoma, M - malignant melanoma, MAC - mucinous adenocarcinoma, MBC - mucinous borderline carcinoma, MC - medullary carcinoma, MMMT - malignant mixed Mullerian tumor, NI-IDC - noninfiltrating intraductal carcinoma, PAC - papillary adenocarcinoma, PC - papillary carcinoma, PSC - papillary serous carcinoma, PSCA - papillary serous cystadenocarcinoma, SCC - squamous carcinoma, TAC - tubular adenocarcinoma, TC - tubular carcinoma, TCC - transitional cell carcinoma, UBT - uterus benign tumor. Asterisks (*) show samples with metastases. **G361 – a melanoma cell line. The boxed samples indicate a matched normal (left) - primary tumor (bottom right) pair with an associated metastatic sample (upper right corner of a box). T - tumor samples; N - matched normal control specimens.

detected in 87% (26 of 30, P < 0.01) of CC-RCC specimens and 89% (8 of 9, P < 0.02) of pRCC specimens with LD_{av} (geometric mean of LD) equal to 18 and 19-fold respectively (Fig. 3, C). Therefore we could conclude that the frequency and the average level of the *CHL1* expression decrease were similar for two major histological types of renal cancer, CC-RCC and pRCC. The LD_{av} value was significant in all RCC tumors at all developmental stages independent of metastasis presence (Table 3). In CC-RCC with or without metastases, the *FD* and LD_{av} values were similar.

The estimates of the *CHL1* mRNA levels in seven renal cancer cell lines revealed strong down-regulation of this gene: 80-fold (Caki2, KRC/Y), about 1000-fold (TK164) and total silencing (TK10, KH39, HN4, Caki1, Fig. 4).

Comparison of microarrays and RT-qPCR data for breast, renal and lung cancer

The microarray data for 61 BC, 23 RCC and 25 NSCLC samples were compared with RT-qPCR data for 23 BC, 30 CC-RCC and 30 NSCLC samples. In our array study a significant *CHL1* down-regulation was shown for most of RCC samples; up-regulation was observed in 3 cases only. Our results also showed the down-regulation of *CHL1* in most of BC samples independent

of the presence of metastases and up-regulation in 7 tumors only. Almost the same results were obtained using RT-qPCR. There were similarities between array and quantitative data for renal and breast cancer (Table 4).

Cancer Profiling Arrays I and II include a very heterogeneous group of lung cancer with different histological subtypes: BAC, ADC, SCC, carcinoid with Stage I and II, only two metastatic tumors and limited number of specimens of each subtype. Overall, there were 15 SCC and 5 ADC which we could compare with RT-qPCR data. We found an up-regulation in 11 SCC (6 of 11 were identified as Stage I) and 4 ADC (3 ADC were Stage I as well); down-regulation in 2 SCC (13%) and 1 ADC (25%, Fig. 1). Recently, we showed an upregulation of several TSG on 3p in lung ADC at Stage I. These tumors were characterized with high differentiation grade [33]. On the other hand, according to the RT-qPCR data frequency of decreased expression was 38% (5 of 14 cases) in ADC and 81% (13 of 16 cases, P < 0.02) in SCC (see Table 2). An up-regulation was detected only in 7% (1 of 14 cases) ADC and never in SCC.

Discussion

* *

CHL1, located at 3p26.1, belongs to the family of cell adhesion molecules (CAM) – cell surface proteins mediating cell-cell and



Up-regulation Retention Down-regulation

*

Figure 2. Distribution of primary tumors with different *CHL1* **mRNA level alterations.** Fraction of tumors with *CHL1* up-regulation is showed with red, down-regulation – green, mRNA level retention – yellow. Data revealed with Clontech Microarray analysis. Asterisks (*) show statistically significant differences between frequencies of *CHL1* expression changes with up- and down-regulation. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015612.q002

PLoS ONE | www.plosone.org

100%

Table 1. Summary of CHL1 expression in 11 tumor types as measured with the Clontech Cancer Profiling Arrays I and II.

Cancer	No metastas	es	With metasta	ses	* P	Totally		** <i>P</i>
	Down	Up	Down	Up		Down	Up	
Breast	64 (25/39)	13 (5/39)	83 (20/24)	4 (1/24)	0.25	71 (45/63)	10 (6/63)	<0.01 , χ ²
Uterus	29 (12/42)	41 (17/42)	50 (1/2)	50 (1/2)	0.62	30 (13/44)	41 (18/44)	0.37
Ovary	19 (4/21)	52 (11/21)	60 (3/5)	20 (1/5)	0.17	27 (7/26)	46 (12/26)	0.25
Colon	36 (13/36)	11 (4/36)	83 (10/12)	8 (1/12)	0.01	48 (23/48)	10 (5/48)	<0.01
Rectum	31 (5/16)	6 (1/16)	75 (9/12)	8 (1/12)	0.05	50 (14/28)	7 (2/28)	<0.01
Stomach	28 (5/18)	17 (3/18)	59 (10/17)	29 (5/17)	0.02	43 (15/35)	23 (8/35)	0.13
Thyroid	58 (7/12)	19 (3/12)	100 (4/4)	0 (0/4)	0.30	69 (11/16)	19 (3/16)	0.01
Lung	18 (4/22)	64 (14/22)	33 (1/3)	67 (2/3)	0.65	20 (5/25)	64 (16/25)	<0.01
Kidney	70 (16/23)	18 (5/23)	100 (5/5)	0 (0/5)	0.36	75 (21/28)	18 (5/28)	<0.01
Trachea	0 (0/1)	100 (1/1)	0 (0/2)	100 (2/2)	×	0 (0/3)	100 (3/3)	×
Small intestine	60 (3/5)	20 (1/5)	75 (3/4)	0 (0/4)	0.64	67 (6/9)	11 (1/9)	0.05

Note: *P – p-parameter, characterized the difference between group with metastases and group without metastases, taking into account 3 possible events: decrease, increase and retention of mRNA level in tumor samples comparing to adjusted normal samples. P-parameter calculated using χ^2 method.

**P – p-parameter, characterized the difference between group of tumor samples and group of adjusted normal samples, taking into account prevalent process (decrease or increase of mRNA level). P-parameter calculated using Fisher exact test for all cancers, except breast cancer.

Bold – the most significant changes.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015612.t001

cell-matrix interactions. Alterations in CAM expression (including *CHL1*) and functions have been implicated in development of different tumor types, for example, melanoma [34], ovary [9,35], prostate [11] and colon cancer [36]. According to [9], the evaluation of LOH patterns in serous epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) suggested that *CHL1* is a tumor suppressor candidate (TSG). The studies published by us and other authors (see Introduction) suggested that the *CHL1* gene could be one of the putative tumor suppressor genes localized on human chromosome 3 [12]. However, overexpression of *CHL1* was observed in serous EOC samples [9]. Furthermore, L1 CAM overexpression in malignant melanoma was shown to be associated with metastases [34].

According to Oncomine preliminary microarray expression data [17] along with the prevalent *CHL1* down-regulation in several tumors (RCC, lung SCC, colon ADC), the overexpression of CHL1 was found in melanoma. The differential expression was observed in lung ADC [26], cervical [22] and breast [29,30] cancer.

Based on this data, we hypothesized that CHL1 and other recognition receptors of this type might have dual roles in cancer: in early pre-invasive growth they could serve as TSG and are silenced; later at invasion and metastasis stages these genes might be re-expressed on the edge of the tumor to drive local invasion and enable metastatic spread.

This hypothesis was analyzed in the current study using a combination of preliminary expression screening in 19 different types of epithelial tumors with commercial microarrays (altogether 395 informative samples, Table 1) and evaluation of the *CHL1* mRNA expression in primary tumors using RT-qPCR. This method is widely used to corroborate disease-associated expression signatures derived from microarrays. Furthermore, this technology is well suited for translating microarrays data into accurate and quantitative, clinically useful assays [37].

We showed here that the expression of *CHL1* was deregulated in major epithelial malignancies (76%, P<0.01, including 54% down-regulation cases according to microarray data). Statistically significant *FD* values were shown for breast, colon, rectum,

thyroid, kidney and small intestine cancer (Table 1). For three social significant/important cancer types – breast, kidney and lung microarray data were validated by RT-qPCR. There was a good concordance between data of two methods for kidney and breast cancer. According to Oncomine microarray data the significant decrease of *CHL1* expression level in CC-RCC samples was shown as well.

Clontech Microarray (overexpression in 64% lung cancer samples) and RT-qPCR (down-regulation in 38% of ADC and in 81% of SCC samples) were not in concord because different cancer subtypes were present in studied microarray samplings. The disagreement between arrays and RT-qPCR data for NSCLC could also result from non-homogeneous samples with different content of normal cells as well as limited number of specimens and may be statistically non-significant. Although these data are not statistically valid they could reflect important trends and associations.

However there was a rather good agreement between our quantitative results for lung cancer and Oncomine data [17] for two major lung cancer histotypes – ADC and SCC.

It is important to note that Oncomine exploits microarrays based on completely different platform than Clontech Cancer Profiling Arrays. Traditional microarrays (Affymetrix, Agilent) contain a number of various gene probes immobilized on glass slides. Only one cDNA sample can be hybridized with the slide. On the contrary, Clontech Cancer Profiling Arrays contain a number of immobilized cDNA samples from various tumor and normal tissues. Oncomine includes traditional microarrays data making possible genome-wide analysis of a limited number of samples and Cancer Profiling Arrays enable analysis of one gene in many tumors in one experiment.

According to the Clontech Microarray data, the mRNA level increase was observed for several tumor types – uterus, ovary, colon, stomach, thyroid, lung, kidney, and trachea – mainly for non-metastatic tumors. However, also there were frequent cases of the *CHL1* mRNA level increase in metastatic tumors, for example, in stomach and lung cancer.



Figure 3. Expression profiling of *CHL1* **in primary breast, lung and renal tumors. A.** The relative *CHL1* mRNA level (R) in breast cancer (BC). N₀ – without metastases, N₁₋₂ – metastases in regional lymph nodes. Samples #1, 2 (Stage I), #3-22 (Stage II), #23 (Stage IV); samples #3-9 (Grade 1), #10-21 (Grade 2). **B.** The relative *CHL1* mRNA level (R) in lung cancer (NSCLC). SCC – lung squamous cell carcinomas, ADC – lung adenocarcinomas, N – normal samples from cancer free healthy donors; N₀ – without metastases, N₁₋₂ – metastases in regional lymph nodes; I, II and III – Stages. **C.** The relative *CHL1* mRNA level (R) in renal cancer (RCC). CC-RCC – clear cell renal carcinomas, pRCC – papillary renal carcinomas; N₀ – without metastases, N₁₋₂ – metastases in regional lymph nodes; I, II and III – Stages. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015612.g003

Moreover in four metastases (4I, 14O, 14V, 24K) of 12 available for analysis cases (i.e. when a primary tumor and metastasis for the same patient were accessible) we detected an increased *CHL1* expression in metastasis compared to primary tumor (ovary, colon and breast). Similar results were recently reported for the metastasis-associated gene lysyl oxidase (*LOX*), whose expression was associated both with tumor suppression and tumor progression depending on transformation status [32]. The overexpression of another cell adhesion molecule L1 gene was associated with metastasis in malignant melanoma [34].

Cancer is a fatal disease whereby invasive local tumor growth and metastatic spread to distant vital organs resulting in dormant and/or active growth and inevitable death of patients. Contrary to previous models new evidence suggested that metastatic cells might be created already during initial growth of a primary local tumor. These cells then succeed in cell migration/invasion, embolization, survival in the circulation, arrest in a distant capillary bed, and extravasation into and multiplication within the distant organ parenchyma. Failure at any of these steps could block the entire metastatic process and may lead to "dormant cancer cells and dormant micrometastases". Surgical removal of the primary tumor might then lead to active growth [38]. Because tumor spread is responsible for the majority of deaths of cancer patients, the development of therapeutic agents that inhibit tumor metastasis is of paramount importance [39,40,41,42,43,44,45, 46,47].

One of us predicted previously [5] that the cytoplasmic end of CHL1 protein might interact with the cytoskeleton and might induce/regulate filopodia formation driving tumor cell migration and invasion [41,45,46]. *CHL1* behavior in cancer is thus strikingly similar to *L1* [10,40] and *LOX* which both work through the actin network.

This study suggested that *CHL1* might contribute to cancer invasive growth and metastasis. It might act either as a tumor

Table 2. The frequency (*FD*) and the level (LD_{av}) of the *CHL1* mRNA decrease in lung cancer (ADC and SCC types).

Group		ADC	SCC
Without metastases	FD,%	38 (3/8)	83 (5/6)
	^a LD _{av}	9 (2—100)	9 (4—21)
	ьР	nsv	<0.03
With metastases	FD,%	33 (2/6)	80 (8/10)
	LD _{av}	17 (2—35)	10 (2—44)
	Р	nsv	<0.02
Total	FD,%	38 (5/14)	81 (13/16)
	LD _{av}	12 (2—100)	10 (2—44)
	Р	nsv	<0.02

^aThe LD_{av} values are shown in fold-change ratios.

^bP reflects the difference between group of tumor samples and group of adjusted normal samples.

The LD range is given in parentheses. nsv - not statistically valid.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015612.t002

suppressor (early growth) or oncogene (invasive and metastatic growth, Fig. 1, Table 1). CHL1 therefore could belong to the new rapidly growing category of cancer genes that may function either as TSGs or oncogenes [32,41,43,46,47,48]. During initial growth CHL1 is not expressed (silenced) in tumor cells to facilitate in situ tumor growth. Re-expression of CHL1 on the edge of the tumor mass and around tumor vessels could promote migration and local invasive growth and furthermore allow initiating the metastatic process. Thus, our results along with the findings that CHL1 was a mutated candidate cancer-associated gene in colon cancer [1] suggested that this type of recognition receptors may indeed have dual roles in carcinogenesis. The mutations discovered in the extra-cellular part of CHL1 could afford a therapeutic antibody to selectively treat patients [1]. This validates CHL1 as a novel target for personalized immune intervention in cancers expressing mutated CHL1. New therapeutic small inhibitors directed at CHL1 could be effective in restraining new tumor formation from dormant micrometastases.

Our results indicated that the *CHL1* gene could be important for the development of major human cancers, and also allowed to suggest a hypothesis on a probable dual role of *CHL1*, although only for three types of cancer (ovary, colon and breast) supportive data were thus far obtained. A frequent decrease of an expression level was prevalent for 11 of 19 tumor types and statistically significant for breast, colon, rectum, thyroid, kidney and small intestine cancer.

Our data also supported the role of *CHL1* as a potentially novel biomarker in the early pathogenesis of two major histological types

Table 3. The frequency (*FD*) and the level (LD_{av}) of the *CHL1* mRNA decrease in renal cancer (CC-RCC).

CC-RCC	Stage			Total
	I	II	ш	
FD,%	90 (9/10)	100 (10/10)	70 (7/10)	87 (26/30)
LD _{av}	24 (8—302)	17 (3—93)	13 (3—96)	18 (3—302)
Ρ	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.01

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015612.t003

Table 4. The CHL1 mRNA changes using microarrays and RTqPCR.

Cancer	Changes	Frequency of CHL1 mRNA changes, %						
		Microarrays	qPCR					
BC	Increase	11 (7/61)	22 (5/23)					
	Decrease	75 (46/61)	61 (14/23)					
RCC	Increase	13 (3/23)	3 (1/30)					
	Decrease	78 (18/23)	87 (26/30)					

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015612.t004

of renal cancer both CC-RCC and pRCC. Results derived with 7 RCC cell lines suggested them as a potential model system for study of methylation role in *CHL1* silencing.

Materials and Methods

Cancer profiling arrays analysis

Cancer Profiling Arrays I and II (154 and 241 samples respectively, overall 19 different types of cancers namely, breast, kidney, rectum, colon, stomach, skin, thyroid, small intestine, bladder, vulva, pancreas, prostate, cervix, testis, lung, ovary, uterus, liver, trachea) purchased from BD Biosciences Clontech (Palo Alto, CA), were used to analyze the expression of the *CHL1* gene in normal and tumor tissues. Full sample information for Array I and II is presented in Clontech Catalog: No. 7841-1 and No. 631777 respectively (see "Arrays Information S1").

We analyzed only informative samples with clear ratio of normal-tumor spots intensity. The information for samples of the Cancer Profiling Array I is presented below.

1. Breast. Most of the tumors are infiltrating ductal (DC), intraductal (IC) and lobular (LC) carcinomas. Stage I: 2Q, 2R, 2S, 2T, 2U, 4S, 4D, 4F. Stage II: 2W, 4E, 4L, 4N. Stage III: 4A, 4B, 4H, 4J, 4M. Coordinates of 18 metastatic (m) tumors are 2B, 2C, 2D, 2E, 2H, 2I, 2J, 2M, 2N, 2P, 4H, 4J, 4L, 4O, 4P, 4R, 4S, 4U. Coordinates of metastases are 4G, 4I, 4K.

2. Uterus. Most of the tumors are adenocarcinomas (ADC). Stage I: 8C, 8F, 8H, 8I, 8J, 8K, 8L, 8M, 8N, 8O, 8P, 8Q, 8R, 8S, 8U, 8X, 8Y, 8BB, 8CC, 8DD, 8EE, 8FF, 10A, 10B, 10C. Stage II: 8T. Coordinates of metastatic tumors 8W and 8AA, Stage III. Coordinates of metastases are 8V, 8Z.

3. Colon. All tumors are ADC. Stage I: 14L. Stage II: 14M, 14P, 14Q, 14AA. Stage III: 14S, 14U, 14V, 14Y, 14BB. Other samples have no information about the Stage. Coordinates of 9 metastatic tumors are 14E, 14N, 14P, 14U, 14W, 14Y, 14CC, 16A, 16C. Coordinates of metastases are 14O, 14T, 14V, 14X.

4. Stomach. Most of the tumors are ADC. There is no information about the Stage. Coordinates of 11 metastatic tumors are 20A, 20B, 20E, 20F, 20H, 20I, 20K, 20S, 20T, 20V, 20X.

5. Ovary. Stage I: 24B, 24D, 24E. Stage II: 24F. Stage III: 24A, 24G, 24H, 24J, 24L. Most tumors are ADC. Coordinates of metastatic tumors are 24J, 24L, 24M, 24N. Coordinates of metastases are 24I, 24K.

6. Cervix. 24X (adenosquamous carcinoma).

7. Lung. Stage I: 28E (squamous cell carcinoma, SCC), 28F (carcinoid), 28H (SCC), 28I (ADC), 28J (ADC), 28K (SCC), 28L (bronchiolo-alveolar adenocarcinoma, BAC), 28M (SCC), 28N (ADC). Unknown Stage: 28A (SCC), 28B (BAC), 28C (SCC), 28D (SCC), 28G (SCC), 28O (m, ADC), 28P (m, BAC), 28Q (SCC), 28R (carcinoid), 28S (ASC), 28T (SCC), 28U (SCC).



Figure 4. The relative expression levels of *CHL1* in CC-RCC cell lines. The mRNA level of the target gene was normalized to the reference genes *RPN1* and *GUSB*.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015612.g004

8. Kidney. Stage III: 32D (carcinoid). Unknown Stage: 32A (clear cell renal cell carcinoma, CC-RCC), 32B (RCC), 32C (RCC), 32E (RCC), 32F (transitional cell carcinoma), 32G (RCC), 32H (m, RCC), 32I (oncocytoma), 32J (RCC), 32K (RCC), 32L (m, RCC), 32M (RCC), 32N (m, RCC), 32O (RCC), 32P (RCC), 32Q (RCC), 32R (RCC), 32S (RCC), 32T (RCC).

9. Rectum. All tumors are ADC. Stage I: 36G. Stage II: 36J, 36F. Stage III: 36C, 36H, 36I, 36L. Coordinates of 6 metastatic tumors are 36B, 36E, 36L, 36M, 36Q, 36R. Coordinate of metastasis is 36K.

10. Small intestine. 36Y (m, ADC), 36Z (ADC).

11. Thyroid gland. All tumors are papillary ADC, Stage II: 40D. Stage III: 40C, 40E.

12. Prostate. All tumors are ADC. Stage I: 40M.

13. Pancreas. Unknown Stage: 40U (ADC).

The information for samples of the Cancer Profiling Array II is shown below.

1. Breast. Stage I: 6E (DC), 6G (mucinous ADC), 6M (DC). Stage II: 6I (DC), 6K (m, DC), 6L (DC), 6N (DC). Stage III: 6F (m, DC), 6H (m, DC), 6J (m, LC).

2. Uterus. Stage I: 6T (ADC), 6Z (SCC), 6BB (ADC). Stage II: 6X (SCC), 6AA (ADC). Unknown Stage: 6V (SCC).

3. Ovary. Stage I: 10E (papillary serous cystadenoma), 10K (mucinous cystadenocarcinoma). Stage II: 10I (ADC), 10J (leiomyoma). Stage III: 10G (ADC), 10H (CC-ADC), 10M (serous surface papillary carcinoma), 10N (papillary serous cystadenoma). Stage IV: 10L (ADC). Unknown Stage: 10F (leiomyoma).

4. Cervix. Stage I: 10Z (ADC), 10AA (SCC), 10BB (SCC). Stage II: 10V (SCC). Stage III: 10S (m, SCC).

5. Colon. Stage I: 14E (tubulovillous adenoma, other tumors are ADC), 14F. Stage II: 14L. Stage III: 14H (m), 14I (m), 14J, 14K (m), 14M, 14N (m). Stage IV: 14G (m).

6. Rectum. All tumors are ADC. Stage II: 14X, 14Y, 14Z. Stage III: 14T, 14V (m), 14W (m), 14AA (m), 14BB (m). Stage IV: 14S (m), 14U (m).

7. Stomach. Most of the tumors are ADC. Stage II: 18E. Stage III: 18M (m). Stage IV: 18J (m), 18K (m). T3N1Mx: 18F (m).

8. Thyroid gland. Stage II: 18X (papillary adenocarcinoma, PAC), 18Y (PAC), 18AA (PAC), 18BB (follicular ADC). Stage III:

18S (m, ADC), 18W (m, PAC). Stage IV: 18T (m, medullary carcinoma), 18Z (m, PAC). T3N0M0: 18U (PAC).

9. Lung. Stage I: 22I (SCC), 22J (SCC), 22N (SCC). Stage II: 22L (m).

10. Testis. All tumors are seminomas. Stage I: 22V, 22X. Stage II: 22T. Stage III: 22BB (m). Stage IV: 22AA (m).

11. Kidney. Stage II: 26E (RCC), 26M (epithelial nephroblastoma). Stage III: 26H (RCC), 26I (transitional cell carcinoma, TCC), 26J (clear cell ADC), 26K (m, TCC). Stage IV: 26G (m, RCC), 26L (m, RCC). Unknown Stage: 26F (oxyphilic adenoma). T3aNxM0: 26N.

12. Skin. Most of the tumors are melanomas. Stage I: 26S, 26BB. Stage II: 26Y (SCC), 26Z (SCC). Stage III: 26T, 26U, 26W, 26AA. Stage IV: 26V. Unknown Stage: 26X.

13. Bladder. All the tumors are transitional cell carcinomas: Stage II: 30E, 30H. Stage III: 30F, 30I. Stage IV: 30G.

14. Trachea. T4N1M0: 30L (m); T4N0M0: 30M. T3N1M0: 30N (m).

15. Small intestine. Most of the tumors are ADC. Stage II: 30T, 30V. Stage III: 30S (m), 30U, 30X (m). Stage IV: 30Y (m). Unknown Stage: 30W (carcinoid).

16. Vulva. All tumors are SCC. Stage II: 34E, 34F, 34G, 34H. T2aN1M0: 34I (m).

17. Liver. T3N0M0: 34L. T2N0M0: 34M. T2N0M0: 34N.

18. Pancreas. Stage I: 34S (ADC), 34T (ADC). Stage II: 34V (SCC). Stage IV: 34W (m, ADC). T3NxM0: 34Y (cancer of caput of pancreas). Unknown Stage: 34X (carcinoid).

19. Prostate. All tumors are ADC. Stage I: 38E. Unknown Stage: 38F, 38G, 38H.

Totally, there were 90 metastatic samples (with lymph node metastases) and 12 metastases at distant sites.

The arrays were hybridized with specific *CHL1* probes labeled with 32 P- α -deoxycytidine triphosphate according to manufacturer's protocol (Clontech). The images were obtained using the Packard Cyclone Storage Phosphor System (PerkinElmer, Shelton, CT).

Tissues specimens and cell lines for RT-qPCR analysis

We analyzed cDNA samples isolated from 30 NSCLC specimens including 16 SCC and 14 ADC; 39 renal cancer

specimens including 30 CC-RCC and 9 papillary renal cell carcinomas (pRCC) and 23 breast cancer primary tumors including 19 ductal BC, one lobular BC and 3 mixed lobularductal BC. Accordingly, we used 29, 39 and 23 samples from adjacent morphologically normal lung, renal and breast tissues as controls. Six samples of normal lung tissues from healthy donors were used as additional controls. Paired tumor and noncancerous (normal) matched tissues were received from Blokhin Cancer Research Center, Russian Academy of Medical Sciences. All tumors were classified according to the International TNM Classification system [49,50]. These samples were obtained from patients after surgical resection of primary lung, renal and breast cancer prior radiation or chemotherapy and stored in liquid nitrogen. The diagnosis was verified by histopathology and only samples containing 70-80% or more tumor cells were used in the study. The samples were collected in accordance to the guidelines issued by the Ethics Committee of Blokhin Cancer Research Center, Russian Academy of Medical Sciences (Moscow). All patients gave written informed consent that is available upon request. The Ethics Committee of Blokhin Cancer Research Center, Russian Academy of Medical Sciences specifically approved this study. The study was done in accordance with the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Clear cell renal cancer cell lines TK10, TK164, KRC/Y, KH39, HN4, Caki1and Caki2 from MTC collection were also analyzed in the study. Mix of six samples of normal lung tissues from healthy donors were used as controls.

RNA and cDNA preparation for RT-qPCR

Total RNA was isolated from tumor and matched normal tissues, renal cancer cell lines using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Netherlands) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Purified RNA was quantified using NanoDrop® ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies Inc., USA), and the quality was determined by Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, USA). All RNA samples were treated with DNase I and cDNA was synthesized using MMLV reverse transcriptase and random hexamers according to standard manufacturer's protocol (Fermentas, Lithuania).

Analysis of CHL1 expression by RT-qPCR

RT-qPCR was performed essentially as described previously [51] in total volume of 25 μ l in triplicate. The sequences of primers (F and R) and TaqMan probes (Z) are shown in Table 5. Final concentrations of primers and probes for target and reference genes were as follow: *CHL1* primers – 300 nM, probe – 400 nM; *GAPDH* primers – 300 nM, probe – 150 nM, *RPN1* primers – 300 nM, probe – 150 nM, *B2M* primers and probe – 300 nM.

The thermocycler conditions were 10 min at 95° C, then 45 two-step cycles 15 s at 95° C and 60 s at 60° C (ABI 7000 PRISM Sequence Detection System, Applied Biosystems). The sequences of the amplicons were verified by sequencing in a 3730 DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems).

RT-qPCR data were analyzed using the relative quantification method, or $\Delta\Delta C_q$ -method [52,53] based on target and reference gene, in tumor (T) and normal (N) samples comparison. Relative mRNA level (*R*) is calculated by formula: $R = n_{\text{tar.gene}}/n_{\text{ref.gene}}$, where *n* is mRNA copy number in tumor sample relative normal sample. $n = \left((1+E)^{-C_q}\right)_T / \left((1+E)^{-C_q}\right)_N = \left(2^{-C_q^{\text{Eff}}}\right)_T / \left(2^{-C_q^{\text{Eff}}}\right)_N = 2^{-\Delta C_q^{\text{Eff}}}$. Here is *E* – reaction efficiency, C_q – quantification cycle, $C_q^{\text{Eff}} = C_q \log_2(1+E)$, effective quantification cycle.

Finally,
$$R = \left(2^{-\Delta C_q^{\text{Eff}}}\right)_{\text{tar.gene}} / \left(2^{-\Delta C_q^{\text{Eff}}}\right)_{\text{ref.gene}} = 2^{-\Delta \Delta C_q^{\text{Eff}}}$$
.

The reaction efficiencies (*E*) were calculated as described [33] and their values were $85\pm9\%$ (lung), $93\pm11\%$ (breast), $87\pm10\%$ (renal) for *CHL1*; $89\pm8\%$ (lung) for *GAPDH*; $86\pm11\%$ (breast) for *B2M*; $87\pm9\%$ (renal) for *RPN1*; $81\pm9\%$ (renal) for *GUSB* in tumor and normal samples. The level of mRNA expression change was calculated as 1/R and reflected the *n*-fold factor by which the mRNA content decreased or increased in the tumor compared to normal tissue.

All preliminary validation steps were performed according to Gene quantification resource (www.gene-quantification.de). As reference genes, we used *GAPDH* and *RPN1* for NSCLC, *B2M* for BC, and *GUSB* and *RPN1* for RCC. The relative inner variability between *GAPDH*, *B2M*, *GUSB* and *RPN1* mRNA levels was not higher than 2-fold in tumor (T) and normal (N) tissues, therefore 2-fold and more mRNA changes for *CHL1* were considered as significant for all types of studied tumors.

Table 5. Primers and probes sequences for CHL1 and reference genes for RT-qPCR.

Symbol/RefSeg ID	Gene name	Primers (F, R) and probe (Z) sequences $5' \rightarrow 3'$
CHL1 (CALL)	Close homolog of L1	F: GAACTATCCTTGCCAATGCCAATAT
NW 000014.2		Z: AAGAAAGCACTGTACCCAACCACTGTAGCG
RPN1	Ribophorin I	F: CACCCTCAACAGTGGCAAGAAG
NM_002950.3		R: TGCATTTCGCTCACTCTGTCG
		Z: CCCTCTGTCTTCAGCCTGGACTGC
GUSB	Glucuronidase, beta	F: GATGGAAGAAGTGGTGCGTAGG
NM_000181,2	β-D-glucuronidase	R: TTAGAGTTGCTCACAAAGGTCACAG
B2M	Beta-2-microglobulin	F: ATGAGTATGCCTGCCGTGTG
NM_004048.2		R: AATTCATCCAATCCAAATGCG
		2. ATCHCARACCICCAIGAIGCIGCITACAI
GAPDH	Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate	F: GGAGTCAACGGATTTGGTC
NM _002046.3	dehydrogenase	
		Z: CETTCATTGACCTCAACTACATGGTTTACAT

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015612.t005

All calculations performed using our program ATG (Analysis of Transcription of Genes) compatible with Relative Quantification (RQ) software (Applied Biosystems). The program is designed for mathematical processing of RT-qPCR data in paired normal-tumor samples. The general features of AEGIS consist of estimating reaction efficiencies with three different methods (including calculating efficiency from kinetic curves) and taking it into account for further data analysis, using the relative comparative $\Delta\Delta C_q$ method [52] with several reference genes and estimating their variability. Also this program allows checking adjusted normal samples variability and using as paired normal samples for all tumor samples.

Statistical analysis

For validation of *CHL1* expression differences between normal and tumor samples and also between tumor samples with and without metastases we applied Fisher exact test and χ^2 criterion. Nonparametric Wilcoxon test was used for analyzing RT-qPCR data to compare mRNA content differences of the *CHL1* gene and reference genes for the same samples. We used nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney tests for the rank order

References

- Sjoblom T, Jones S, Wood LD, Parsons DW, Lin J, et al. (2006) The consensus coding sequences of human breast and colorectal cancers. Science 314: 268–274.
- Greenman C, Stephens P, Smith R, Dalgliesh GL, Hunter C, et al. (2007) Patterns of somatic mutation in human cancer genomes. Nature 446: 153–158.
- Ley TJ, Mardis ER, Ding L, Fulton B, McLellan MD, et al. (2008) DNA sequencing of a cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukaemia genome. Nature 456: 66–72.
- Ross DT, Scherf U, Eisen MB, Perou CM, Rees C, et al. (2000) Systematic variation in gene expression patterns in human cancer cell lines. Nat Genet 24: 227–235.
- Wei MH, Karavanova I, Ivanov SV, Popescu NC, Keck CL, et al. (1998) In silico-initiated cloning and molecular characterization of a novel human member of the L1 gene family of neural cell adhesion molecules. Hum Genet 103: 355–364.
- Angeloni D, Lindor NM, Pack S, Latif F, Wei MH, et al. (1999) CALL gene is haploinsufficient in a 3p- syndrome patient. Am J Med Genet 86: 482–485.
- Frints SG, Marynen P, Hartmann D, Fryns JP, Steyaert J, et al. (2003) CALL interrupted in a patient with non-specific mental retardation: gene dosagedependent alteration of murine brain development and behavior. Hum Mol Genet 12: 1463–1474.
- Sakurai K, Migita O, Toru M, Arinami T (2002) An association between a missense polymorphism in the close homologue of L1 (CHL1, CALL) gene and schizophrenia. Mol Psychiatry 7: 412–415.
- Manderson EN, Birch AH, Shen Z, Mes-Masson AM, Provencher D, et al. (2009) Molecular genetic analysis of a cell adhesion molecule with homology to L1CAM, contactin 6, and contactin 4 candidate chromosome 3p26pter tumor suppressor genes in ovarian cancer. Int J Gynecol Cancer 19: 513–525.
- Stoeck A, Schlich S, Issa Y, Gschwend V, Wenger T, et al. (2006) L1 on ovarian carcinoma cells is a binding partner for Neuropilin-1 on mesothelial cells. Cancer Lett 239: 212–226.
- Rokman A, Baffoe-Bonnie AB, Gillanders E, Fredriksson H, Autio V, et al. (2005) Hereditary prostate cancer in Finland: fine-mapping validates 3p26 as a major predisposition locus. Hum Genet 116: 43–50.
- Qin YR, Fu L, Sham PC, Kwong DL, Zhu CL, et al. (2008) Single-nucleotide polymorphism-mass array reveals commonly deleted regions at 3p22 and 3p14.2 associate with poor clinical outcome in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Int J Cancer 123: 826–830.
- Chen LC, Matsumura K, Deng G, Kurisu W, Ljung BM, et al. (1994) Deletion of two separate regions on chromosome 3p in breast cancers. Cancer Res 54: 3021–3024.
- 14. Wei MH, Latif F, Bader S, Kashuba V, Chen JY, et al. (1996) Construction of a 600-kilobase cosmid clone contig and generation of a transcriptional map surrounding the lung cancer tumor suppressor gene (TSG) locus on human chromosome 3p21.3: progress toward the isolation of a lung cancer TSG. Cancer Res 56: 1487–1492.
- Protopopov A, Kashuba V, Zabarovska VI, Muravenko OV, Lerman MI, et al. (2003) An integrated physical and gene map of the 3.5-Mb chromosome 3p21.3 (AP20) region implicated in major human epithelial malignancies. Cancer Res 63: 404–412.
- Senchenko VN, Liu J, Loginov W, Bazov I, Angeloni D, et al. (2004) Discovery of frequent homozygous deletions in chromosome 3p21.3 LUCA and AP20 regions in renal, lung and breast carcinomas. Oncogene 23: 5719–5728.

differences between average relative levels of mRNA in different groups of samples. *P*-values<0.05 were considered statistically significant. All statistical procedures were performed using BioStat software [54].

Supporting Information

Arrays Information S1 This archive contains detailed information about localization and clinical characteristics of all the tumors (histological type, tumor size, stage, presence of metastases etc.).

 (\mathbf{DOC})

Acknowledgments

Authors thank R. Nalewaik for technical assistance.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: MIL VNS ERZ. Performed the experiments: AVK EAA IVP SVI. Analyzed the data: VNS GSK AAD ERZ. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: VNS AVK EAA EAB TTK. Wrote the manuscript: MIL VNS GSK AAD ERZ.

- Wu C, Orozco C, Boyer J, Leglise M, Goodale J, et al. (2009) BioGPS: an extensible and customizable portal for querying and organizing gene annotation resources. Genome Biol 10: R130.
- Rhodes DR, Yu J, Shanker K, Deshpande N, Varambally R, et al. (2004) ONCOMINE: a cancer microarray database and integrated data-mining platform. Neoplasia 6: 1–6.
- Safran M, Solomon I, Shmueli O, Lapidot M, Shen-Orr S, et al. (2002) GeneCards 2002: towards a complete, object-oriented, human gene compendium. Bioinformatics 18: 1542–1543.
- Sausville EA, Holbeck SL (2004) Transcription profiling of gene expression in drug discovery and development: the NCI experience. Eur J Cancer 40: 2544–2549.
- Yusenko MV, Kuiper RP, Boethe T, Ljungberg B, van Kessel AG, et al. (2009) High-resolution DNA copy number and gene expression analyses distinguish chromophobe renal cell carcinomas and renal oncocytomas. BMC Cancer 9: 152.
- Beroukhim R, Brunet JP, Di Napoli A, Mertz KD, Sceley A, et al. (2009) Patterns of gene expression and copy-number alterations in von-hippel lindau disease-associated and sporadic clear cell carcinoma of the kidney. Cancer Res 69: 4674–4681.
- Pyeon D, Newton MA, Lambert PF, den Boon JA, Sengupta S, et al. (2007) Fundamental differences in cell cycle deregulation in human papillomaviruspositive and human papillomavirus-negative head/neck and cervical cancers. Cancer Res 67: 4605–4619.
- 24. Kaiser S, Park YK, Franklin JL, Halberg RB, Yu M, et al. (2007) Transcriptional recapitulation and subversion of embryonic colon development by mouse colon tumor models and human colon cancer. Genome Biol 8: R131.
- Ki DH, Jeung HC, Park CH, Kang SH, Lee GY, et al. (2007) Whole genome analysis for liver metastasis gene signatures in colorectal cancer. Int J Cancer 121: 2005–2012.
- Hendrix ND, Wu R, Kuick R, Schwartz DR, Fearon ER, et al. (2006) Fibroblast growth factor 9 has oncogenic activity and is a downstream target of Wnt signaling in ovarian endometrioid adenocarcinomas. Cancer Res 66: 1354–1362.
- Bhattacharjee A, Richards WG, Staunton J, Li C, Monti S, et al. (2001) Classification of human lung carcinomas by mRNA expression profiling reveals distinct adenocarcinoma subclasses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98: 13790–13795.
- Talbot SG, Estilo C, Maghami E, Sarkaria IS, Pham DK, et al. (2005) Gene expression profiling allows distinction between primary and metastatic squamous cell carcinomas in the lung. Cancer Res 65: 3063–3071.
- Chen X, Leung SY, Yuen ST, Chu KM, Ji J, et al. (2003) Variation in gene expression patterns in human gastric cancers. Mol Biol Cell 14: 3208–3215.
- Sorlie T, Tibshirani R, Parker J, Hastie T, Marron JS, et al. (2003) Repeated observation of breast tumor subtypes in independent gene expression data sets. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100: 8418–8423.
- Radvanyi L, Singh-Sandhu D, Gallichan S, Lovitt C, Pedyczak A, et al. (2005) The gene associated with trichorhinophalangeal syndrome in humans is overexpressed in breast cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102: 11005–11010.
- Erler JT, Bennewith KL, Nicolau M, Dornhofer N, Kong C, et al. (2006) Lysyl oxidase is essential for hypoxia-induced metastasis. Nature 440: 1222–1226.

- Anedchenko EA, Dmitriev AA, Krasnov GS, Kondrat'eva TT, Kopantsev EP, et al. (2008) [Down-regulation of RBSP3/CTDSPL, NPRL2/G21, RASSF1A, ITGA9, HYAL1 and HYAL2 genes in non-small cell lung cancer]. Mol Biol (Mosk) 42: 965–976.
- Thies A, Schachner M, Moll I, Berger J, Schulze HJ, et al. (2002) Overexpression of the cell adhesion molecule L1 is associated with metastasis in cutaneous malignant melanoma. Eur J Cancer 38: 1708–1716.
- Zecchini S, Bianchi M, Colombo N, Fasani R, Goisis G, et al. (2008) The differential role of L1 in ovarian carcinoma and normal ovarian surface epithelium. Cancer Res 68: 1110–1118.
- Gavert N, Conacci-Sorrell M, Gast D, Schneider A, Altevogt P, et al. (2005) L1, a novel target of beta-catenin signaling, transforms cells and is expressed at the invasive front of colon cancers. J Cell Biol 168: 633–642.
- Murphy J, Bustin SA (2009) Reliability of real-time reverse-transcription PCR in clinical diagnostics: gold standard or substandard? Expert Rev Mol Diagn 9: 187–197.
- Ding L, Ellis MJ, Li S, Larson DE, Chen K, et al. (2010) Genome remodelling in a basal-like breast cancer metastasis and xenograft. Nature 464: 999–1005.
- Mareel M, Leroy A (2003) Clinical, cellular, and molecular aspects of cancer invasion. Physiological Reviews 83: 337–376.
- Husemann Y, Geigl JB, Schubert F, Musiani P, Meyer M, et al. (2008) Systemic spread is an early step in breast cancer. Cancer Cell 13: 58–68.
- Pantel K, Alix-Panabieres C, Riethdorf S (2009) Cancer micrometastases. Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology 6: 339–351.
- Entschladen F, Drell TLt, Lang K, Joseph J, Zaenker KS (2004) Tumour-cell migration, invasion, and metastasis: navigation by neurotransmitters. Lancet Oncol 5: 254–258.
- Silva JM, Ezhkova E, Silva J, Heart S, Castillo M, et al. (2009) Cyfip1 Is a Putative Invasion Suppressor in Epithelial Cancers. Cell 137: 1047–1061.
- 44. Strell C, Entschladen F (2008) Extravasation of leukocytes in comparison to tumor cells. Cell Commun Signal 6: 10.

- Machesky LM, Tang HR (2009) Actin-based protrusions: promoters or inhibitors of cancer invasion? Cancer Cell 16: 5–7.
- Maddaluno L, Verbrugge SE, Martinoli C, Matteoli G, Chiavelli A, et al. (2009) The adhesion molecule L1 regulates transendothelial migration and trafficking of dendritic cells. J Exp Med 206: 623–635.
- Sanada M, Suzuki T, Shih LY, Otsu M, Kato M, et al. (2009) Gain-of-function of mutated C-CBL tumour suppressor in myeloid neoplasms. Nature 460: 904–908.
- Kashuba VI, Li J, Wang F, Senchenko VN, Protopopov A, et al. (2004) RBSP3 (HYA22) is a tumor suppressor gene implicated in major epithelial malignancies. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101: 4906–4911.
- Spiessl B, International Union against Cancer (1989) TNM atlas : illustrated guide to the TNM/pTNM-classification of malignant tumours. BerlinNew York: Springer-Verlag. xiv, 341.
- Travis WD, Colby TV, Corrin B, Shimosato Y, Brambilla E, et al. (1999) Histological Typing of Lung and Pleural Tumours (WHO. World Health Organization. International Histological Classification of Tumours). Berlin: Springer. 156 p.
- Senchenko VN, Anedchenko EA, Kondratieva TT, Krasnov GS, Dmitriev AA, et al. (2010) Simultaneous down-regulation of tumor suppressor genes RBSP3/ CTDSPL, NPRL2/G21 and RASSF1A in primary non-small cell lung cancer. BMC Cancer 10: 75.
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods 25: 402–408.
- Lindlof A, Brautigam M, Chawade A, Olsson O, Olsson B (2008) Evaluation of combining several statistical methods with a flexible cutoff for identifying differentially expressed genes in pairwise comparison of EST sets. Bioinform Biol Insights 2: 215–237.
- 54. Glantz SA (2005) Primer of biostatistics. New York: McGraw-Hill Medical Pub.
 $520~{\rm p.}$