Review

"Cancer Reviews 2021" series



Dynamic EMT: a multi-tool for tumor progression

Simone Brabletz^{*}, Harald Schuhwerk, Thomas Brabletz & Marc P. Stemmler^{**}

Abstract

The process of epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) is fundamental for embryonic morphogenesis. Cells undergoing it lose epithelial characteristics and integrity, acquire mesenchymal features, and become motile. In cancer, this program is hijacked to confer essential changes in morphology and motility that fuel invasion. In addition, EMT is increasingly understood to orchestrate a large variety of complementary cancer features, such as tumor cell stemness, tumorigenicity, resistance to therapy and adaptation to changes in the microenvironment. In this review, we summarize recent findings related to these various classical and non-classical functions, and introduce EMT as a true tumorigenic multi-tool, involved in many aspects of cancer. We suggest that therapeutic targeting of the EMT process will—if acknowledging these complexities—be a possibility to concurrently interfere with tumor progression on many levels.

Keywords EMT; MET; ZEB1; ZEB2; SNAIL; SLUG; TWIST; cancer; invasion; metastasis; signaling pathways; cell plasticity; tumor stemness; hybrid EMT; partial EMT

Subject Categories Cancer; Cell Adhesion, Polarity & Cytoskeleton; Chromatin, Transcription & Genomics

DOI 10.15252/embj.2021108647 | Received 5 May 2021 | Revised 14 June 2021 | Accepted 29 June 2021 | Published online 30 August 2021 The EMBO Journal (2021) 40: e108647

Introduction

Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) describes the transdifferentiation of stationary epithelial cells to a mesenchymal, motile phenotype and was initially observed in early development (Hay, 1995). Here, EMT contributes to embryonal processes like gastrulation, neural crest formation, or heart development (Thiery *et al*, 2009; Nieto *et al*, 2016). The program is also crucial for physiological processes like wound healing (Arnoux *et al*, 2008) and tissue homeostasis (Ahmed *et al*, 2006). Importantly, pathological reactivation of the EMT process plays a fundamental role in diseases like organ fibrosis or cancer progression to metastasis (Fig 1A), which is the focus of this review.

Cancer is an extremely complex and diverse disease not only varying between entities, but also within the same entity, between different subtypes, and even within subtypes. Notably, tumors display not only spatial but also temporal heterogeneity within the same individual that can be elicited, e.g., via the occurrence of consecutive mutations and clonal evolution (McGranahan & Swanton, 2017). However, cancer cell plasticity, allowing continuous and reversible adaption to ever-changing conditions, is mediated by the EMT process that is not genetically fixed, depending on accumulating mutations, but is epigenetically orchestrated by signals from the microenvironment, rendering the whole program reversible (by activating mesenchymal–epithelial transition; MET) and highly dynamic (see overview in Fig 2).

THE

IOURNAI

EMBO

The EMT program is mainly executed by a core set of EMTactivating transcription factors (EMT-TFs) including SNAIL (also SNAI1) and SLUG (also SNAI2), the basic helix-loop-helix factors TWIST1 (TWIST) and TWIST2 and the zinc finger E-Box binding homeobox factors ZEB1 and ZEB2. All these factors share the ability to repress epithelial genes like the E-cadherin encoding gene CDH1 via binding to E-Box motifs in their cognate promoter regions (Nieto et al, 2016) as shown for SNAIL (Batlle et al, 2000; Cano et al, 2000), TWIST (Yang et al, 2004), ZEB1 (Eger et al, 2005), and ZEB2 (Comijn et al, 2001). In parallel, the EMT-TFs directly or indirectly activate genes associated with a mesenchymal phenotype, including VIM (Vimentin), FN1 (Fibronectin), and CDH2 (N-cadherin) (Nieto et al, 2016; Dongre & Weinberg, 2019) (Fig 1B). However, many functions are not shared, but executed by distinct EMT-TFs, as they differ in aspects like expression patterns or extremely different protein size and structure (Stemmler et al, 2019).

Beyond the "classical" EMT traits, the gain of motility, and invasive capacities, the widespread importance of EMT-TFs in cancer biology is indicated by additional pleiotropic functions (Brabletz *et al*, 2018). EMT-TFs have been shown to maintain stemness properties and to increase tumorigenicity, linking them to the concept of cancer stem cells (CSCs). Additionally, EMT-TFs are involved in DNA repair, the escape from senescence and apoptosis, therapy resistance, and immune evasion resulting in a pro-survival phenotype, providing an advantage under various types of stress conditions.

Altogether, the classical EMT functions together with the very diverse non-redundant context-dependent non-classical functions of EMT-TFs that are dynamically regulated also by the tumor microenvironment (TME) allow the cancer cells to permanently adapt to changing conditions (Puisieux *et al*, 2014). Consequently, therapeutic intervention with EMT/plasticity will provide the opportunity to fight many aspects of tumor progression at a single blow.

In this review, we summarize all these different EMT functions in cancer biology and highlight the resulting clinical implications.

Department of Experimental Medicine 1, Nikolaus-Fiebiger Center for Molecular Medicine, Friedrich-Alexander University of Erlangen-Nürnberg, Erlangen, Germany *Corresponding author. Tel: +49 9131 85 29101; E-mail: simone.brabletz@fau.de **Corresponding author. Tel: +49 9131 85 29101; E-mail: marc.stemmler@fau.de

This article is part of the Cancer Reviews 2021 series.





Figure 1.

Figure 1. Classical EMT functions and cancer.

(A) EMT frequently occurs at the invasive front of epithelial tumors, destroys the well-defined epithelial structures, and allows the cancer cells to migrate, invade the tissue, and intravasate in blood or lymphatic vessels. Tumor cells on their way through the body can travel as mesenchymal single cells, as cell clusters exhibiting partial EMT or as more epithelial cell clusters headed by a mesenchymal leader cell. At the secondary site, the cells extravasate and colonize the distant organ, where MET allows the outgrowth to macrometastases. (B) EMT is induced mainly by a set of transcription factors (EMT-TFs) like ZEB1, ZEB2, SNAIL, SLUG and TWIST that differ in protein structure, size, and individual functions. All of them are repressors of epithelial factors like E-cadherin and activate mesenchymal markers like Vimentin, Fibronectin or N-cadherin. Epithelial cells displaying apical–basal polarity are held together by tight junctions, adherens junctions, and desmosomes and are anchored to the underlying basement membrane by hemidesmosomes. They express three different polarity complexes that together with the junctional molecules maintain epithelial cell polarity. In the classical EMT, expression of EMT-TFs leads to inhibition of major components of these epithelial structures and concomitantly activates the expression of genes associated with the mesenchymal state. Cells gain front–rear polarity, display actin stress fibers, become motile and acquire invasive capacities. Notably, tumor cells very rarely switch to a completely mesenchymal phenotype, but fluently convert between various intermediate states displaying certain mesenchymal features but keeping partial stats of epithelial characteristics. Further, EMT is a reversible process. Mesenchymal cells can revert to the epithelial state undergoing MET. An important role in the execution of MET is played by microRNAs of the mir-240 and mir-34 families that are regulated in double-negative feedback loops with the EMT-TFs ZEB1/2 and SNAIL, respectively, that



Figure 2. Dynamic EMT as a multi-tool for tumor progression.

Overview summarizing the multiple oncogenic EMT functions in the course of tumor progression. The classical EMT functions allow cancer cell to migrate, invade, intraand extravasate blood and lymphatic vessels. At the distant sites, MET enables the outgrowth of macrometastases. The non-classical EMT traits support tumor initiation as well as metastatic colonization. Throughout the whole process of tumor progression, they help the cells to cope with changing conditions by metabolic reprogramming, enhanced survival via altered DNA repair and prevention of cell death, immune evasion and improved resistance to chemo- and radiotherapy. Importantly, EMT is not only supporting the cancer cells to handle changing environmental conditions, but is also induced by extracellular signals from, e.g., CAFs or immune cells in the microenvironment or by therapeutic approaches.

Classical/core EMT functions

Migration and invasion

In a normal epithelial tissue, the cells form continuous protective sheets that are crucial for their structural integrity. The connection between epithelial cells is mediated by different cell junction complexes including adherens junction, desmosomes, and tight junctions that seal the cells, are located apical to the adherens junctions to support epithelial polarity and constitute a barrier for solutes and water. This apical-basal polarity is fundamental for tissue function, and has been defined as "asymmetry" within cells and epithelial tissues. Polarity complexes, including the Par, the Crumbs, and the Scribble complexes, ensure the proper organization of apical versus basolateral domains in the epithelial cell (Huang *et al*, 2012). Of note, some of the genes that control epithelial cell polarity also regulate spindle orientation and division mode in stem cells (Martin-Belmonte & Perez-Moreno, 2011) (Fig 1B).

Elicited by the TME, the activation of EMT is fundamental for the transition toward malignancy, accompanied by substantial cellular changes on multiple levels. Cell–cell contacts become deconstructed through the repression of *CDH1*, encoding epithelial cadherin (E-cadherin), the main constituent of adherens junctions, and of genes coding for other epithelial junction molecules.

As a consequence of the disintegration of all epithelial junction complexes and of direct transcriptional repression of several members of the Crumbs and the Scribble complexes, apical-basal polarity is lost (Aigner et al, 2007; Moreno-Bueno et al, 2008; Spaderna et al, 2008; Lamouille et al, 2014) (Fig 1B). This coincides with profound cytoskeletal reorganization like apical constriction, the formation of actin stress fibers, and the conversion of cell morphology from cuboidal or columnar shapes to more spindle-like elongated forms with front-rear polarity, resulting in a gain of motility for the tumor cells (Moreno-Bueno et al, 2008). Newly formed actin-rich membrane protrusions like lamellipodia and filopodia support cell movement. To invade the surrounding tissues, e.g., TWIST or ZEB1 also induce the formation of invadopodia, specialized filopodia with proteolytic function (Yilmaz & Christofori, 2009; Eckert et al, 2011; Ridley, 2011; Sundararajan et al, 2015). This is supported by induction of matrix metalloproteases by EMT-TFs, essential for degradation of the basement membrane and the extracellular matrix of adjacent tissues (Miyoshi et al, 2004; Miyoshi et al, 2005; Huang et al, 2009) (Fig 1B). Additionally, EMT inducers prevent basement membrane synthesis by directly repressing the transcription of its components (Spaderna et al, 2006). All these classical EMT events cause the loss of epithelial integrity of the tissue, allow invasion and dissemination of cancer cells, and thus execute the first step of the metastatic cascade (Fig 1A).

MET: metastatic colonization and outgrowth

In 1990, Fearon and Vogelstein proposed a meanwhile classical genetic model for colorectal tumorigenesis. They described tumor development to be the continuous deterioration of initially normal epithelial cells toward greater malignancy driven by the stepwise accumulation of mutations and hypothesized that this process perpetuates during the last step of tumor progression from an established malignant carcinoma to distant metastases, implying that distant metastases are the most degenerated tissues of the malignancy (Fearon & Vogelstein, 1990). However, all efforts to identify specific metastasis-associated mutations remained unsuccessful.

Rather, already twenty years ago, it was observed that metastases, compared with the de-differentiated nature of the invasion front of the primary tumor, exhibit a re-differentiated epithelial morphology, similar to the center of the primary tumor (Brabletz et al, 2001). These findings led to the hypothesis that dedifferentiation and EMT during invasion is a transient condition and that an opposing process of epithelial re-differentiation or MET needs to be initiated and is advantageous for the formation of distant macrometastases (Figs 1A and 2). But why do metastases redifferentiate? Invasive, de-differentiated cancer cells were shown to be growth arrested, whereas proliferation was detected in redifferentiated metastasis, suggesting that EMT must be reversed in order to allow colonization and growth (Brabletz et al, 2001). This is supported by the fact that EMT-TFs can directly inhibit proliferation (Thiery et al, 2009) (Fig 3). There are also several publications confirming the relevance of MET for metastatic outgrowth (Chaffer et al, 2006; Korpal et al, 2011; Ocana et al, 2012; Tsai et al, 2012). Importantly, the occurrence of MET is in perfect accordance with the failure to identify EMT-causing mutations, but is attributed to the transcriptional and epigenetic regulation of the process.

Whereas EMT induction has been investigated in great detail (see below), less is known about the trigger of the reverse MET process. Is it just lack of EMT-inducing stimuli coupled to reduced expression of EMT-TFs? Several studies could show that knockdown of the EMT-TF ZEB1 is sufficient to elicit MET in vitro in carcinoma cell lines of different entities (Eger et al, 2005; Spaderna et al, 2006; Spaderna et al, 2008) and that depletion of Zeb1 in a genetic mouse model of pancreatic cancer fixes the tumor cells in an epithelial MET state (Krebs et al, 2017). Once expression of ZEB-family members (ZEB1 and ZEB2) declines, their reduction is reinforced by a double-negative feedback loop with the miR-200 family of micro-RNAs. During EMT, ZEB factors transcriptionally repress the genes of all miR-200 family members. Vice versa, miR-200 family members inhibit expression of ZEB1/2 at the post-transcriptional level (Fig 1B). Thus, the bidirectional transitions between EMT and MET states are potentiated by the ZEB/miR-200 circuit (Bracken et al, 2008; Burk et al, 2008; Wellner et al, 2009). This branch of MET was shown to be inducible via the tumor-suppressor p53, that directly activates miR-200s transcription (Kim et al, 2011). Upregulation of miR-200 family members has different consequences. It exerts both an invasion- and migration-inhibiting, thus tumorsuppressing function (Peter, 2009), and promotes metastatic colonization (Korpal et al, 2011). Importantly, these findings are not controversial, but highlight the dynamic nature of the EMT-MET process adapting the tumor cells to the respective conditions. Similar to the ZEB/miR-200 negative feedback loop, SNAIL and the miR-34 family constitute additional epithelial plasticity regulatory loops (Siemens et al, 2011; Diaz-Lopez et al, 2014) (Fig 1B). Of note, the described microRNAs target not only EMT-TFs themselves, but also genes like BMI1, CD44, CD133, JAG1, or MYC that are involved in tumor-relevant "non-classical" EMT functions like stemness and survival as discussed below (Brabletz & Brabletz, 2010; Brabletz et al, 2011).

Since the metastatic cascade is an extremely complex and slow multistep process that can take many years and requires very



Figure 3. Cellular plasticity of tumor cells is governed by EMT and provided in a window of partial EMT.

Dynamic induction of EMT and MET changes cellular phenotypes of carcinoma cells. Drug sensitivity, proliferation, and response to apoptosis signals are highest in more epithelial states, whereas drug efflux, invasion, and immune evasion are highest in more mesenchymal states. A hybrid EMT state provides maximal stemness, tumor initiation capacity, and ability to adapt to environmental changes. Note that in extreme epithelial and mesenchymal states, features like stemness, tumor initiation, and colonization are lost.

different, sometimes apparently opposing traits from the tumor cells in a spatiotemporal manner, valid investigation remains challenging and must rely on mouse tumor models. Recently, several studies were focusing on the spatiotemporal regulation of EMT/MET using elegant mouse models. In 2012, Tsai et al (2012) demonstrated that EMT induction, in this case via TWIST, supports tumor cell dissemination in a mouse model of skin cancer, but subsequent *Twist1* downregulation and MET was necessary for colonization and formation of macrometastases (Tsai *et al*, 2012) (Fig 3). Another study described the necessity of an EMT in the MMTV-PyMT mouse model of breast cancer to disseminate to the lung. Here, the EMT-state cancer cells at the metastatic niche activate local fibroblasts that in turn induce epithelial re-differentiation (MET) for outgrowth of macrometastases (del Pozo Martin *et al*, 2015). Further, Esposito and colleagues found that E-selectin mediated cell adhesion of bone vascular niche cells to cancer cells elicits MET and promotes bone metastasis (Esposito *et al*, 2019).

In summary, many reports support the occurrence and significance of MET in metastatic colonization and outgrowth (Fig 3). However, its regulation and detailed functions still need further investigation.

Partial EMT

EMT and MET have long been viewed as a binary switch between separate epithelial or mesenchymal cell populations. In the past, this narrow perspective challenged the concept of EMT as a major means in metastasis. One example for this is the analysis of Fischer *et al* using *Fsp1*-Cre driven lineage tracing in a genetic mouse model of breast cancer. Based on the finding that lung metastases mainly consist of tumor cells that had never switched to a full mesenchymal Fsp1+ phenotype, the authors concluded that EMT is not important for this process (Fischer *et al*, 2015).

However, nowadays it is accepted that, although reactivated in many cancer types, EMT is rarely fully executed in tumor cells, and end-stage markers such as Vimentin are often not expressed. The process is rather gradual and often remains incomplete, termed partial or hybrid EMT (Nieto et al, 2016; Pastushenko & Blanpain, 2019; Yang et al, 2020) (Fig 1B). Over the last years, more and more studies report in vivo detection of cancer cells carrying a combination of both epithelial and mesenchymal markers. Already in the 1990s, early analyses reported observations of cancer cells exhibiting only partial loss of E-cadherin during invasion (Mareel et al, 1992; Birchmeier & Behrens, 1994). Later, additional publications described circulating tumor cells (CTCs) with simultaneous expression of epithelial and mesenchymal markers (Yu et al, 2013). Similarly, partial EMT of cells within a tumor was identified by co-expression of epithelial (EpCAM+) and mesenchymal (Vim+) marker genes in an autochthonous murine prostate cancer model (Ruscetti et al, 2015). Partial EMT was also linked to metastasis via single-cell transcriptomics in head and neck cancer (Puram et al, 2017). Another group identified different tumor transition states occurring during EMT in cancer progression and introduced the term "hybrid" EMT (Pastushenko et al, 2018; Pastushenko & Blanpain, 2019; Pastushenko et al, 2021) (Fig 1B). Moreover, Bornes and colleagues demonstrated that Fsp1-Cre mediated lineage tracing as used by Fischer et al (2015) is incapable in detecting the majority of disseminating cells that are in a partial/hybrid EMT state (Fischer et al, 2015; Bornes et al, 2019). Importantly, this lack of full EMT does not mean that the process is not important. There is direct in vivo evidence that, e.g., the lack of Zeb1 expression in a genetic mouse model of pancreatic cancer that traps the cancer cells in an epithelial phenotype profoundly suppresses invasion and metastasis (Krebs *et al*, 2017). In mouse mammary carcinoma cells, SNAIL expression is crucial for *Zeb1* induction and metastatic dissemination (Ye *et al*, 2015). Similarly, in an oncogene-induced mammary tumor model, Xu *et al* (2017) found TWIST to be required for the expression of other EMT-TFs in a small subset of tumor cells to induce partial EMT and metastasis (Xu *et al*, 2017).

Interestingly, different modes of cancer cell invasion that involve EMT at various levels have been observed. Cancer cells can invade in groups forming rather epithelial clusters. Indeed, this type of "collective migration" might be even more common than single-cell dissemination, as supported by several studies using lineage tracing approaches and detection of clustered tumor cells in circulation (Friedl *et al*, 2012; Aceto *et al*, 2014; Cheung *et al*, 2016). Nevertheless, despite the epithelial appearance, either characteristic of a partial EMT is also detectable in these migrating cell clusters (Aiello *et al*, 2018), or the epithelial clusters follow mesenchymal "leader" cells that pave the way for their more epithelial "follower" cells (Matise *et al*, 2012; Chen *et al*, 2020) (Fig 1A).

Non-classical EMT features

Besides the classical features to drive changes in cellular phenotypes, EMT is also involved in regulating various additional aspects of tumorigenesis (Fig 2).

Regulation of stemness

Normal tissue homeostasis is dependent on the function of stem cells that serve as a source to replenish dying committed and terminally differentiated cells in a tissue. The observation that tumor heterogeneity is maintained after single tumor cell transplantations into mice, prompted to adapt normal stem cell biology also to tumors (Reya et al, 2001). Simplified, cancer cell stemness is measured by the capability of cell fractions to form tumors in mice. Strikingly, tumor initiation capacity is increasing with EMT activation by stem cell features provided by EMT-TFs within the cell plasticity window (Puisieux et al, 2014; Nieto et al, 2016; Shibue & Weinberg, 2017; Wilson et al, 2020) (Fig 3). Overexpression of SNAI1, TWIST1, and ZEB1 in breast cancer is sufficient to increase the CD44⁺/CD24^{lo} stem cell pool, resulting in increased sphere forming capacity in vitro, as well as elevated tumorigenicity and metastasis in vivo (Mani et al, 2008; Morel et al, 2008). Moreover, SLUG determines stem cell states in the healthy mammary gland and converts luminal cells to stem cells in both healthy and tumor cell settings (Guo et al, 2012). Similar results were obtained for pancreatic cancer, where ZEB1 expression is a key determinant of cancer cell stemness and the reciprocal ZEB1/ miR-200 feedback loop is controlling the expression of genes of stemness factors including BMI1 and SOX2 (Shimono et al, 2009; Wellner et al, 2009; Krebs et al, 2017). Similarly, in squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) TWIST is regulating BMI1 to cooperatively repress CDKN2A (p16^{INK4A}) and promote tumor initiation capacities (Yang et al, 2010). Recently, the protocadherin FAT1 was identified as one additional player in regulating EMT and stemness. FAT1 is frequently inactivated resulting in increased tumor stemness and metastasis in a mouse model of SCC involving CAMK2, CD44, and SRC activities that induce nuclear translocation of YAP1 and ZEB1 (Pastushenko *et al*, 2021). Interestingly, another important EMT promoting transcription factor, PRRX1, promotes EMT during development and in breast cancer cells, but its loss is required for cancer cell metastasis, thereby uncoupling EMT/migration from stemness features (Ocana *et al*, 2012). However, PRRX1 isoform switching is the driving force of invasion and metastasis in pancreatic cancer (Takano *et al*, 2016). Mechanistically, defined cellular states within the EMT cell plasticity realm correlate with gradually increasing efficiencies in metastatic seeding and invasion (Pastushenko *et al*, 2018), in line with the idea of partial/hybrid EMT states.

In addition to the regulation of tumor stemness and colonization (Shibue & Weinberg, 2009; Shibue et al, 2013; Nieto et al, 2016; Stemmler et al, 2019; Wilson et al, 2020), EMT is involved in driving tumor initiation and malignant transformation. TWIST1 and ZEB1 upregulation in RAS transformed mammary and bronchial epithelial cells is sufficient to unleash malignancy favoring formation of aggressive undifferentiated tumors (Morel et al, 2012; Liu et al, 2014b; Larsen et al, 2016). Moreover, EMT can induce reduction in KRAS dependency of tumor cells (KRAS addiction) and different ZEB1 thresholds drive KRAS-dependent tumor initiation and metastasis capacities (Singh et al, 2009; Liu et al, 2014b). The oncogenic effects of EMT-TF activities are even more evident by ectopic activation of Zeb2 in the intestinal epithelium of transgenic mice. Elevation of ZEB2 generates invasive colorectal cancer in absence of cooperating genetic defects (Slowicka et al, 2020).

Therapy resistance

Loss of durable therapeutic efficacy and tumor relapse after initial successful treatment are major obstacles in the battle against cancer. Conventional therapy is favorably eliminating differentiated nonstem cell-like cells, but fails to deplete cancer cells with stem cell properties. EMT activation in these cells is controlling therapy resistance on multiple levels (Singh & Settleman, 2010; Shibue & Weinberg, 2017; Santamaria et al, 2019; Dudas et al, 2020). EMT gene signatures and acquisition of therapy resistance are strongly correlated, e.g., for standard and for targeted therapy with EGFR or PI3K inhibitors (Creighton et al, 2009; Farmer et al, 2009; Byers et al, 2013). For example, gemcitabine-resistant Panc1 pancreatic cancer cells exhibit EMT characteristics and become sensitized upon ZEB1 knockdown (Wellner et al, 2009). Mechanistically, common routes toward drug resistance include increasing drug efflux or evading apoptosis and anoikis (Fig 3). The former is mediated by activating the expression of members of the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter family, which are transcriptionally regulated by the EMT-TFs TWIST, SNAIL, and FOXC1 (Aller et al, 2009; Singh & Settleman, 2010; Saxena et al, 2011). SLUG and SNAIL contribute to evading therapy-induced apoptosis by interfering with p53 function, repression of the tumor-suppressor PTEN, or upregulation of the prosurvival protein BCL-XL (Vega et al, 2004; Escriva et al, 2008; Kurrey et al, 2009; Wu et al, 2015; Cao et al, 2016).

Experimentally transformed HMLER breast cancer cells with increased EMT features display about 10-fold increase in IC50 doses of many chemotherapeutics (Gupta *et al*, 2009; Singh *et al*, 2009; Singh & Settleman, 2010; Tulchinsky *et al*, 2019). In lineage tracing experiments, GFP-labeled mesenchymal tumor cells in PyMT tumors display high resistance to cyclophosphamide treatment (Fischer

et al, 2015). In non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC), activation of the AXL receptor tyrosine kinase in the course of EMT provides resistance to EGFR and PI3K inhibition, dependent on sustained KRAS activity (Singh et al, 2009; Sequist et al, 2011; Zhang et al, 2012; Byers et al, 2013; Tulchinsky et al, 2019). Furthermore, ZEB1 downregulation through HDAC class I inhibition or DNA demethylation resensitizes pancreatic cancer and osteosarcoma cells to chemotherapy (Meidhof et al, 2015; Ruh et al, 2021). Docetaxelresistance of lung adenocarcinoma cells and paclitaxel-resistance of ovarian cancer cells can be reverted by blocking ZEB1 expression, providing increased survival of lung metastasis-bearing mice upon treatment (Ren et al, 2013; Sakata et al, 2017). In BRAF-mutant melanoma, ZEB1 is sufficient and only partly compensated by TWIST to promote an undifferentiated p75^{high} neural crest stem celllike state that is characterized by resistance to MAPK and BRAF inhibitors (Richard et al, 2016; Shaffer et al, 2017; Rambow et al, 2018). In contrast, TWIST and SNAIL dampen the response to gemcitabine in an autochthonous mouse model of pancreatic cancer as Snail and Twistl knockout results in prolonged survival in gemcitabine-treated tumor mice (Zheng et al, 2015). Similarly, in NSCLC TWIST inhibition leads to sensitization to tyrosine kinase inhibitors of mutant EGFR (Yochum et al, 2019). In glioblastoma, the EMT-regulated shift toward a more stem-cell-like phenotype correlates with increased radioresistance. This is mediated by elevated STAT3 which is directly binding to the SNAI2 promoter for transcriptional activation (Lin et al, 2018). Collectively, EMT induction, increase in cancer stem cell features, and acquisition of therapy resistance are strongly connected and EMT-TFs are central players in orchestrating these processes.

EMT induced by therapy

As outlined before, one feature of EMT is to provide resistance to several treatments, including chemo-, radio-, and immunotherapy. Of note, also the therapy itself can induce an EMT phenotype with more aggressive features that involves activation of EMT promoting pathways via TGFβ, NF-κB, WNT, FGF, and EGF/HER2 (see below) (Terry et al, 2017; Redfern et al, 2018). Therapy-induced selection of cells with EMT characteristics, eventually displaying gain of ZEB1 and VIM, but repressed CDH1, MIR200C and MIR205 expression, was observed in vitro after long-term exposures to gemcitabine in BxPC3 pancreatic cancer cells and to Docetaxel in PC3 and DU-145 prostate cancer cells (Wellner et al, 2009; Puhr et al, 2012). Similarly, withdrawal of BRAF and MEK inhibition results in an enhanced motility and invasion through reactivation of ERK1/2 and AKT/mTOR in vitro (Norz et al, 2015). Treatment of mice suffering from CRC liver metastasis, with a vascular disruptive agent leads to a transient accumulation of nuclear β catenin and upregulation of ZEB1, which reverts after treatment. This indicates that EMT induction might display adoption to cope with therapy-induced cellular stress (Fifis et al, 2013; Terry et al, 2017; Redfern et al, 2018). Supporting this hypothesis, inhibition of factors involved in therapy-induced DNA damage response (DDR), like CHK1 activation by ATR inhibitors, results in EMT and ZEB1 upregulation in CRC cells, reduced phosphorylation of CHK1 and decreased sensitivity to ATR inhibition (Song et al, 2018; Zhang et al, 2018). Observations in patients support a clinical relevance of EMT-associated pro-survival stress adaptation, exemplified by analysis of post-therapy specimens, e.g., in esophageal and breast cancer after chemotherapy and in CRC after radiotherapy, showing decreased E-cadherin and elevated SNAIL and Vimentin levels (Creighton *et al*, 2009; Kawamoto *et al*, 2012; Hara *et al*, 2014).

Metabolic reprogramming

Metabolic rewiring is one important feature of cancer cells to cope with the excessive needs for energy and nutrients, enabling unlimited proliferation in conditions of rather restricted oxygen supply. In support of the metastasis cascade, reprogramming of glucose, lipid, and amino acid metabolism is tethered to activation of the EMT program and orchestrated by core EMT-TFs (Kang et al, 2019; Georgakopoulos-Soares et al, 2020; Sun & Yang, 2020; Bergers & Fendt, 2021). Cancer cell-mediated changes in metabolism are well described in glycolysis and triglyceride pathways, favoring the "Warburg effect", i.e., energy-inefficient glycolysis even under normoxic conditions (Sun & Yang, 2020). This is another example how cancer cells adopt a developmental program of enhanced aerobic glycolysis that favors EMT and migration in the embryo (Youssef & Nieto, 2020). Upregulation of glucose transporters GLUT1 and GLUT3 is further promoting glycolysis. It was demonstrated in NSCLC that the increase in GLUT3 correlates with poor prognosis and EMT activation, mediated by activation of ZEB1 (Masin et al, 2014). On the contrary, metabolic abnormalities, e.g., in the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, can facilitate EMT. For example, loss of fumarate hydratase (FH) leads to aberrant methylation of a miR-200 family promoter region, resulting in enhanced expression of ZEB factors and EMT induction. Fumarate accumulation by repression of FH by the chromatin modifier LSH subsequently increases α -ketoglutarate (α -KG) levels. This affects IKK α dependent EMT by promoting IKKa binding to and activation of EMT-associated gene promoters (He et al, 2016; Sciacovelli et al, 2016; Sciacovelli & Frezza, 2017; Georgakopoulos-Soares et al, 2020). Interestingly, ZEB1 is essential to allow metabolic plasticity. ZEB1 deficient pancreatic cancer cell lines are incapable of compensatory increasing glycolysis when oxidative phosphorylation is blocked, highlighting poor glycolytic reserve (Krebs et al, 2017). A systematic approach to categorize metabolic rewiring during EMT focused on gene expression alterations in high grade malignancies and identified a mesenchymal metabolic signature of 44 genes. Upregulation of this group of genes is correlated with a mesenchymal phenotype and is controlled by TWIST1 in mammary epithelial cells (Shaul et al, 2014).

In addition to carbohydrate pathways, EMT-associated changes in fatty acid, lipid and glycosphingolipid metabolisms are detected (Kang *et al*, 2019; Georgakopoulos-Soares *et al*, 2020; Hua *et al*, 2020; Bergers & Fendt, 2021). The morphological changes of cancer cells during EMT are accompanied by alterations in membrane fluidity and lipid composition. This requires the elevation of available fatty acids and is achieved for example by increased expression of the fatty acid translocase CD36. The presence of CD36 promotes EMT in HCC and is crucial for metastatic colonization of oral cancer (Nath *et al*, 2015; Pascual *et al*, 2017; Bergers & Fendt, 2021). Elevated free fatty acids (FFAs) lead to increased SRC and MMP9 activity that accelerates metastasis (Gubelmann *et al*, 2014; Jiang *et al*, 2020). In addition, the synthesis, storage and use of longchain polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) is elevated in the therapyresistant EMT cell state. Consequently, the cells become dependent



Figure 4. Signaling pathways and their crosstalk during EMT induction.

(A) Canonical receptor tyrosine kinase pathways activated by EGF/EGFR, PDGF/PDGFR, HGF/c-Met and others, Wnt, TGFβ, Notch, Hippo, and Cytokine signaling (e.g., IL6, TNFα) and their nuclear effectors are shown, which all promote expression of core EMT-TFs. Activation of EMT-TFs results in execution of the EMT program, exemplified by regulated *CDH1* and *VIM* expression. EMT is promoted by crosstalk of signaling pathways on multiple levels already in the cytoplasm (not shown). (B) Examples of EMT gene regulation of individual pathways and input from other signal transduction pathways. Effects on direct gene activation and repression are shown by green arrows and red block connections, respectively. For details, see text.

on elimination of resultant reactive lipid peroxides by Glutathione peroxidase 4 (GPX4) to evade the iron-dependent cell death pathway ferroptosis (Viswanathan *et al*, 2017). In summary, these analyses are a few examples demonstrating the reciprocal connection of EMT, cell plasticity, and metabolic reprogramming and how they synergize toward malignant progression (Fig 3).

EMT and immune evasion

During tumor progression, cancer cells develop a variety of strategies to withstand immunosurveillance and adaptive anti-tumor immunity that is induced by tumor neo-antigens. Most commonly pursued strategies include hiding the neo-antigen spectrum and formatting of an immunosuppressive environment, e.g., by hijacking negative immunological feedbacks referred to as "immune checkpoints" (Terry et al, 2017; Kudo-Saito et al, 2021). Interestingly, these unwanted processes often occur in strong correlation with EMT, and EMT-TFs have repeatedly been shown to coregulate them directly or indirectly (Terry et al, 2017; Dongre & Weinberg, 2019; Jiang & Zhan, 2020; Kudo-Saito et al, 2021). For instance, defects in the immunoproteasome, that generates peptides for antigen presentation, were associated with a more mesenchymal phenotype in NSCLC (Tripathi et al, 2016). A study using the PyMT mouse model of breast cancer demonstrated a remarkable anti-correlation of mesenchymal expression profiles and MHC I expression (Dongre et al, 2017). Thus, human leukocyte antigen (HLA) class I complexes and major histocompatibility complex I (MHC I), required for antigen presentation, can apparently be deregulated by EMT-related mechanisms. Furthermore, utilizing breast cancer models in vitro and in vivo demonstrated that more mesenchymal tumor cells promote the generation and recruitment of regulatory T cells (T_{regs}) (Joffroy et al, 2010; Akalay et al, 2013; Dongre et al, 2017), which are an integral part of immune checkpoints able to inhibit cytotoxic T cells (CTL). In this regard, one of such intrinsic "brake" regulatory mechanisms of CTLs is activated by binding of their programmed cell death receptor (PD1) to its ligand PD-L1 provided by T_{regs} and myeloid antigen presenting cells. Tumor cells can hijack these immune checkpoints mainly by upregulation of CD274 (PD-L1), which is strongly promoted by the EMT program (Zou et al, 2016; Terry et al, 2017; Jiang & Zhan, 2020; Kudo-Saito et al, 2021). In NSCLC, PD-L1 is activated by deregulation of the ZEB1/miR-200 axis in more mesenchymal cells (Chen et al, 2014; Jung et al, 2020). This regulation is likely independent of a general EMT program, since ZEB1 loss cannot be compensated by SNAIL, SLUG, or TWIST (Noman et al, 2017). Kudo-Saito showed that SNAI1 expression in melanoma promotes induction of T_{regs} via Thrombospondin1 activation that results in resistance to immunotherapy (Kudo-Saito et al, 2009). Based on these findings, assessing the EMT signatures of, e.g., bladder cancer and melanoma may help to select patients for immune checkpoint blockers (Hugo et al, 2016; Kardos et al, 2016). In summary, EMT cells evade T-cell anti-tumor immunity either via downregulation of antigen presentation or activation of immune checkpoints.

EMT and DNA integrity

Genomic instability is a common feature in cancer with evidence of contribution of EMT (Nieto et al, 2016; Yang et al, 2020) and has frequently been observed in pre-malignant mesenchymal cells in vitro as well as in tumors (Massague, 2012; Comaills et al, 2016; Caramel et al, 2018; Wang et al, 2019a). Although EMT stimuli are normally anti-proliferative, some cancer cells maintain cell doubling, perhaps at the expense of genome stability (Omabe et al, 2021). The involved mechanisms overriding the antiproliferative signals and the generation of genomic aberrations are poorly understood. Usually, DNA repair, cell cycle checkpoints, senescence and cell death in response to endogenous (e.g., during DNA replication) and exogenous DNA damages (e.g., during chemotherapy) are orchestrated by the DDR, which is a conserved, multifactorial and context-dependent signaling network (Halazonetis et al, 2008; Omabe et al, 2021). Recent evidence suggests that EMT-TFs differentially affect the DDR. TWIST promotes chromosomal instability (CIN) by repressing mitotic checkpoint factors in colorectal cancer (CRC), whereas SLUG facilitates homologous recombination-directed DNA repair (HDR) during replication stress in mammary epithelial cells (Gross et al, 2019; Khot et al, 2020). Hence, regulation of the DDR by EMT-TFs may influence cancer treatment. In breast cancer cells, ZEB1 promotes radioprotection by driving the expression of the apical DDR kinase ATM via complex formation with p300 and PCAF at the ATM promoter as well as by stabilizing the mediator kinase CHK1 via sequestration of its deubiquitinase USP7 (Zhang et al, 2014; Zhang et al, 2018). In triple-negative breast cancer, ZEB1 was shown to directly repress POLQ (Pol θ) expression to prevent usage of the highly mutagenic alternative end joining (a-EJ or microhomologymediated end joining) for the repair of endogenously occurring DSBs, thereby supporting the maintenance of genomic stability (Prodhomme et al, 2021). Intriguingly, during breast cancer development, the accumulation of CIN is apparently prevented, if oncogene activation had taken place in mammary stem cells, engaging a ZEB1-driven preemptive program with upregulation of the antioxidant MSRB3. This mitigates oncogene-induced replication stress to overcome oncogene-induced senescence (OIS) in TP53 wild-type cells (Halazonetis et al, 2008) (Morel et al, 2017). Along that line, SNAIL and TWIST regulate p53 and RB-dependent pathways to modulate OIS (Ansieau et al, 2008; Puisieux et al, 2014). In addition, SNAIL and SLUG alter the downstream DDR-driven cell death in MCF7 breast cancer cells by repressing pro-apoptotic effectors (Kajita et al, 2004). In summary, tumor cells utilize specific DDRrelated functions of EMT-TFs to evade oncosuppressive mechanisms.

Box 1 Signaling pathways activating EMT in cancer

TGFβ

TGFβ is the major extrinsic signal of EMT in tumors, secreted by many cell types in the TME, but the role of TGFβ signaling in tumor initiation and progression is very complex as it shows a biphasic function with opposing effects. During tumor initiation, TGFβ promotes differentiation, cell cycle arrest, senescence and apoptosis in pre-malignant epithelial cells whereas during later stages TGFβ acts as inducer of EMT and promotes metastasis (Massague, 2012; Seoane & Gomis, 2017; Ramachandran *et al*, 2018; Derynck *et al*, 2021; Liu *et al*, 2021) (Fig 4A). Moreover, TGFβ acts in a very context-dependent manner in crosstalk with other pathways, including Hippo, WNT, Notch, Hedgehog, ERK, p38 MAPK, PI3K/AKT and RHO-like GTPases to enhance their function (Bakin *et al*, 2000; Zavadil & Bottinger, 2005; Hao *et al*, 2019; Derynck *et al*, 2021; Liu *et al*, 2021) (Fig 4A). In transformed mammary epithelial cells, execution of TGFβ-mediated EMT depends on autocrine WNT activity and inhibition of these autocrine cues reduces tumorigenicity and metastasis in *vivo* (Scheel *et al*, 2011). TGFβ induces mesenchymal genes like VIM and *FN1* as well as EMT-TFs *SNA11, SNA12, TWIST1* and *ZEB1* which form a feedback loop by activating TGFβ ligand expression to self-enforce and maintain TGFβ signaling (Dongre & Weinberg, 2019). Initial TGFβ receptor activation in breast or skin cancer results in transcriptional activation of *SNA11,* combined with sumoylation of SNA1L at K234 fostering SMAD/SNA1L complex formation that promotes EMT (Hoot *et al*, 2008; Vincent *et al*, 2009; Gudey *et al*, 2017) (Fig 4B). Similarly, ZEB proteins have sion (Postigo, 2003; Shirakihara *et al*, 2007). Very strikingly, in pancreatic cancer, TGFβ-mediated EMT depends almost exclusively on ZEB1, since *Zeb1* knockout in KPC cells blocks more than 90% of TGFβ-induced alterations in gene expression, despite of *Snai1* upregulation during TGFβ treatment (Krebs *et al*, 2017).

Notch

Like TGF β , the Notch pathway is important for differentiation processes during development that in part involve EMT by SNA/1 and SNA/2 (Timmerman *et al*, 2004; Niessen *et al*, 2008). In cancer, a Notch-dependent EMT program is in part executed by cooperating with TGF β signaling (Yuan *et al*, 2014; Bray, 2016). Activation of the Notch pathway by Delta/Jagged ligands results in processing and release of the Notch ICD transducer that in turn interacts with SMADs, in addition to canonical RBP/] cofactor binding (Fig 4A and B). This crosstalk is essential for mesenchymal gene activation and regulates bone metastasis in NSCLC (Blokzijl *et al*, 2003; Xie *et al*, 2012; Liu *et al*, 2014; Yuan *et al*, 2014). While in NSCLC Notch3 is crucial for invasion and EMT by directly regulating ZEB1 transcription, in stratified squamous epithelia Notch3 promotes terminal differentiation. Oncogene-induced NOTCH1 in SCC is activating ZEB1 expression, which in turn downregulates NOTCH3 to promote stem cell features and block differentiation (Liu *e al*, 2014; Natsuizaka *et al*, 2017). Moreover, the ZEB1/miR-200 feedback loop further modulates Notch signaling activity as downstream Notch components including MML2/ 3 are miR-200 targets, resulting in enhanced Notch activation upon ZEB1 induction (Brabletz *et al*, 2011) (Fig 4B). Pancreatic cancer metastasis is promoted by a Notch-dependent inflammatory feedback loop that involves recruitment and activation of M2-like tumor-promoting macrophages and EMT via IL6/STAT3 signaling (Geng *et al*, 2021).

Hippo/YAP/TAZ

Deregulation of the Hippo pathway was shown to promote tumorigenesis as well (Moroishi *et al*, 2015; Ansari *et al*, 2019) (Fig 4A). YAP/TAZ overexpression and activation is crucial to mediate EMT and cell plasticity in skin cancer and is sufficient to induce EMT in MCF10A non-cancerous mammary epithelial cells. YAP was shown to cooperate with KRAS for progression of pancreatic cancer and TAZ is a promoter of proliferation, EMT and stemness in breast and oral cancer (Zhang *et al*, 2009; Shao *et al*, 2014; Li *et al*, 2015; Debaugnies *et al*, 2018). The function of YAP/TAZ is dependent on the TEAD-binding domain and in breast cancer, TEAD2 is key to orchestrate EMT by regulating nuclear localization of YAP and TAZ (Lamar *et al*, 2012; Diepenbruck *et al*, 2014). In the very aggressive subgroup of Claudin-low breast cancer, YAP and ZEB1 interact to drive expression of a common target gene subset to promote EMT and YAP are part of a multimeric complex with AP1 factors independent of direct ZEB1 DNA binding to drive gene expression on a genome-wide level (Zanconato *et al*, 2015; Feldker *et al*, 2020) (Fig 4B). Wang and colleagues demonstrated that in breast cancer, also TWIST dependent activation of the GPCR member *PAR1* (Wang *et al*, 2020).

WNT

The role of WNT/ β -catenin signaling in tumorigenesis and metastasis is highlighted by mutations of APC that preclude the control of the signaling competent cytoplasmic pool of β -catenin (Fig 4A). Moreover, the WNT target G-coupled receptor LGR5 labels stem cells in several organs as well as cancer stem cells in tumors (Clevers, 2006). Interestingly, LGR5 expression and WNT activity are crucial for disease progression, induction of EMT, stemness and metastasis, which is not provided by LGR5-negative tumor cells and depletion of Lgr5⁺ cells in mice blocks metastasis (de Sousa e Melo *et al*, 2017), though a Lgr5⁻ state is detected in circulating CSCs (Fumagalli *et al*, 2020). In breast cancer, reduction in WNT signaling by addition of SFRP1, and thus elevated WNT signaling, can support an EMT phenotype and sensitize to TGF β -induced EMT (Gauger *et al*, 2011; Scheel *et al*, 2011). Intracellularly, the WNT-induced block of GSK3 β is not only promoting β -catenin cytoplasmic accumulation, but also stabilizes SNAIL (Zhou *et al*, 2004). *ZEB1* is transcriptionally activated via β -catenin/TCF4 binding to the *ZEB1* promoter (Sanchez-Tillo *et al*, 2011). Furthermore, increased ZEB1 in turn represes *MIR200A* expression which leads to de-repression of *CTNNB1* (β -catenin) thereby fueling this feedback loop (Su *et al*, 2012); Liu *et al*, 2013) (Fig 4B).

RTK signaling (induced by, e.g., EGF, FGF, IGF, HGF and PDGF)

Receptor tyrosine signaling has pleiotropic functions in proliferation and differentiation during development and homeostasis as well as in cancer initiation and progression. Growth factors like EGF, FGF, HGF and PDGF act via their cognate receptors and promote EMT either in support of TGFβ or alone via MAPK/ERK, PI3K/AKT and mTORC signaling (Lamouille & Derynck, 2007; Lamouille *et al*, 2012; Dongre & Weinberg, 2019) (Fig 4A). The importance of RTK signaling is best described by the key function of RAS (*KRAS*, *HRAS*, *NRAS*) and *BRAF* oncogenes. One of them is mutated in most cancer types, leading to continuous pathway activation. *SNAI1* and *SNAI2* are direct targets of mutant KRAS and BRAF activities and *ZEB1/2* is regulated downstream by ERK2, but not ERK1 (Shin *et al*, 2010; Makrodouli *et al*, 2011). EGF induces EMT by upregulation of *SNAI1*, *TWIST1* and *ZEB1* in different cell lines through MAPK/ERK as well as JNK/STAT3 activation (Lu *et al*, 2003). In particular, EGF is cooperating with other signaling pathways, e.g., ILGR/STAT3 and TGFβ, resulting in *CDH1* downregulation and enhanced cell motility and invasion (Lo *et al*, 2007; Tian *et al*, 2007; Uttamsingh *et al*, 2008; Colomiere *et al*, 2009). Phosphorylation of SMAD2/3 and nuclear co-localization with SNAIL was induced by activation of EGFR in MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 breast cancer

Box 1 (Continued)

cells (Kim *et al*, 2016). EGF-induced EMT seems to require the function of the RNA-binding protein Musashi2 (MSI2) by interaction with ZEB1 to facilitate ZEB1-ERK/MAPK signaling in pancreatic cancer (Sheng *et al*, 2020). Similar examples exist for activation of FGFR, IGFR, PDGFR and c-Met (HGF receptor) (Grotegut *et al*, 2006; Yang *et al*, 2006; Graham *et al*, 2008; Devarajan *et al*, 2012; Zhu *et al*, 2016; Maehara *et al*, 2017; Zheng *et al*, 2019).

Cytokines and hypoxia

Several inflammatory cytokines, produced by cells in the TME, are contributing to cellular plasticity and an invasive phenotype (Fig 4A). Most prominently TNF α and IL6, but also IL1 β have critical functions and promote stemness, invasion and regulation of genes involved in EMT mediated by SNAIL, TWIST and ZEB1 as demonstrated in colon, breast and renal cell carcinoma (Bates & Mercurio, 2003; Sullivan *et al*, 2009; Ho *et al*, 2012; Li *et al*, 2012; Miao *et al*, 2014; Ieda *et al*, 2019). IL6 signals through STAT3 that directly activates *SNAI1* and *ZEB1* expression, whereas TNF α facilitates TGF β and PI3K/ AKT signaling that inhibits GSK3 β (Bates & Mercurio, 2003; Sullivan *et al*, 2009; Ho *et al*, 2012; Miao *et al*, 2014) (Fig 4A). Interestingly, an autocrine feedback loop is generated in tumor cells to enhance an inflammatory phenotype, since SNAIL and ZEB1/2 are able to activate *IL6* expression in head and neck squamous carcinoma and breast cancer (Lyons *et al*, 2008; Katsura *et al*, 2017).

In addition to growth factors, chemokines and cytokines, hypoxia and HIF1 α are well known to induce EMT as well (Hapke & Haake, 2020). In this scenario, EMT is induced by inefficient neo-angiogenesis and deprivation of pericytes in the tumor (Hapke & Haake, 2020). Activated HIF1 α or HIF2 α directly or indirectly regulate *SNAI1*, *TWIST1* and *ZEB1* expression which fosters stemness and metastasis formation in bladder, ovarian, gastric and breast cancer (Yang *et al*, 2008; Cooke *et al*, 2012; Liu *et al*, 2017; Hapke & Haake, 2020; Zhang *et al*, 2020).

In summary, data that have been collected over decades provide a comprehensive picture in which EMT in tumorigenesis and metastasis is induced by integration of many pathways and growth factors. Moreover, synergism and the crosstalk of several signaling networks highlight that cellular plasticity in epithelial tumor cells renders the promotion of a cancer stem cell-like, immune evading, multi-resistant, invasive phenotype, able to adapt to changing conditions in the tumor and the metastatic niches. However, detailed mechanistic insight is still lacking to understand the complex crosstalks of signaling pathways that drive EMT and metastasis.

The tumor microenvironment: Stroma-tumor crosstalk to foster EMT

The TME is the source of many different signaling cues that have been implicated in EMT induction, including TGF β , WNT, RTK, Hippo, Notch and cytokine signaling (Box 1, Fig 4). The TME consists of extracellular matrix and non-transformed cells that are recruited to the tumor and adopt a variety of abnormal phenotypes instructed by tumor/stroma crosstalk. Cell types include fibroblasts, cells of the immune system, endothelial cells, adipocytes and neuronal cells (Fig 5). The complex crosstalk of the entity of these cell types and the ECM is providing pro- and anti-tumorigenic functions. Stroma composition has a strong impact on tumor aggressiveness and carries prognostic value (Hanahan & Weinberg, 2011; Jin & Jin, 2020). Moreover, individual stromal cell types are contributing to EMT and metastasis.

CAFs

Cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) display a very heterogenous population generated by reprogramming and expansion of either local tissue-resident or recruited fibroblasts from the periphery, including transdifferentiation of adipocytes, pericytes, monocytes, and mesenchymal stem cells (LeBleu & Kalluri, 2018; Sahai *et al*, 2020). CAFs can be categorized into different subpopulations with specific functions and spatial organization (Lambrechts *et al*, 2018; Elyada *et al*, 2019; Sahai *et al*, 2020). They serve as a primary source of EMTinducing signaling molecules, like IL6, TNF α and TGF β , which promote a mesenchymal phenotype of tumor cells (Fig 5). Consequently, as an example they increase chemoresistance in NSCLC, regulate metastasis in ovarian cancer and promote oncogenic potential of adjacent epithelia in gastric squamous cell carcinoma (Bhowmick *et al*, 2004; Yu *et al*, 2014; Calon *et al*, 2015; Shintani *et al*, 2016; Zhao *et al*, 2017; Goulet *et al*, 2019). As demonstrated for prostate cancer, the entry into TGFβ-mediated EMT initiated by CAFs is dependent on changes in DNA methylation by DNMTA3A, silencing epithelial genes like *CDH1* and *GRHL2* (Pistore *et al*, 2017). TGFβ secretion by CAFs and EMT signatures in CRC are correlated with poor prognosis and promote metastasis (Calon *et al*, 2015; Tauriello *et al*, 2018). Interestingly, WNT signaling is involved in orchestrating CAF diversity and crosstalk to CRC tumor cells. In a subcutaneous organoid model, SFRP1 secretion induces a switch from contractile myCAFs to inflammatory iCAFs, accompanied by increasing EMT signatures in tumor cells (Mosa *et al*, 2020). Moreover, CAFs can impinge on anti-tumor immunity and on success of anti-CSFR1 immunotherapy (Kumar *et al*, 2017; Tauriello *et al*, 2018), supported by the finding that collagen 1 (COL1) deposition by myCAFs is crucial to augment an immunosuppressive TME (Chen *et al*, 2021).

TAMs

Macrophages in the TME are contributing to EMT on multiple levels. In a simplified model, macrophage-tumor crosstalk generates a variety of tumor-associated macrophage (TAM) phenotypes, with an M1-like state promoting elimination of immunogenic tumor cells and a contrasting pro-tumorigenic M2-like state (Gonzalez et al, 2018). In crosstalk with other cell types and in the presence of TGF β , metastasis is induced by CD4⁺ T-cell derived IL4 and macrophage-derived WNT7B/EGF as well as CSF1 from tumor cells. These ligands induce co-migration of tumor cells and macrophages toward blood vessels. TAMs near blood vessels create "the tumor microenvironment of metastasis" enabling tumor cell intravasation (Joyce & Pollard, 2009; Noy & Pollard, 2014; Gonzalez et al, 2018) (Fig 5). TAMs can also directly express and secrete TGF β and cytokines to induce EMT. In F9 teratocarcinoma, NMuMG cells and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), intratumoral or cocultured macrophages are secreting TGFβ to promote EMT (Bonde *et al*, 2012; Fan et al, 2014). In CRC, EMT is further accelerated by TNFα-secreting



Figure 5. Cell types in the tumor microenvironment and contribution to EMT.

Cells of the hematopoietic lineage, fibroblasts and others contribute to the TME. Exemplified modes of action and cytokines secreted from individual cell types are depicted which promote EMT, migration, invasion, and intravasation of tumor cells into blood vessels. EMT induction and co-migration can be induced by TAMs and specific lymphocyte/tumor cell crosstalk.

macrophages, inducing p38 MAPK signaling in tumor organoids (Bates & Mercurio, 2003). Moreover, in renal clear cell carcinoma, NSCLC, colon and breast cancer IL6 and IL35 are produced by macrophages and promote EMT by prostaglandin/ β -catenin and JAK2-STAT6-GATA3 signaling, respectively (Zhang *et al*, 2019b; Sun *et al*, 2020) (Che *et al*, 2017; Lee *et al*, 2018).

MDSCs

Myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) are a heterogenous cell population originating from neutrophils and monocytes. They are expanding in cancer patients and are involved in immune cell suppression, specifically of T cells. Accordingly, they promote tumor cell immune escape and block cytotoxic T-cell activity (Gabrilovich & Nagaraj, 2009; Gabrilovich, 2017). Moreover, they directly induce EMT via release of different growth factors and cytokines, including TGF β and HGF, as well as the high mobility group protein B1 (HMGB1) which acts through toll-like receptors (TLRs) and leads to induction of *SNA11*, *MMP7* and *NFKB1* (NF- κ B) (Trovato *et al*, 2020) (Fig 5). At the primary tumor, specific monocytic *CXCR2*-expressing MDSCs (mMDSCs) promote EMT and they are recruited by breast cancer cells via secretion of CXCL1/2, whereas MET at pulmonary metastatic sites is induced by granulocytic MDSCs (gMDSCs) (Ouzounova *et al*, 2017; Zhu *et al*, 2017; Taki *et al*, 2018; Trovato *et al*, 2020). Of note, MDSCs become activated and expand upon operational stress during primary breast cancer resection and start to secrete TGF β , VEGF and IL10 to

promote lung metastasis in a 4T1 orthotopic breast cancer model (Ma *et al*, 2019).

TANs

Neutrophils are promoting metastasis at the pre-metastatic niche in lungs and liver by suppressing T cells (Gonzalez et al, 2018). In addition, they are involved in promoting EMT at the primary site. Akin to TAMs, tumor-associated neutrophils (TANs) can polarize between extreme states "N1" TANs with anti-tumor and proimmune functions, induced by IFN-B, and "N2" TANs with protumorigenic and pro-metastatic functions. "N2" TANs are induced by TGFβ (Gonzalez et al, 2018; Masucci et al, 2019; Wu & Zhang, 2020). Neutrophils in the stroma of gastric cancer (GC) produce IL17a and CXCL5 both promoting EMT, indicated by upregulation of VIM and ZEB1, whereas CDH1 is repressed (Fig 5). Anti-IL17a treatment in vitro blocks EMT in neutrophil-GC co-cultures (Li et al, 2019; Mao et al, 2020; Wu & Zhang, 2020). In MCF7 breast cancer cells, EMT is induced by TIMP-1 expression secreted by neutrophils. TAN-MCF7 interaction creates an enforcing feedback-loop as MCF7 cells with a more mesenchymal phenotype activate TIMP-1 expression in neutrophils by CD90 during direct cell contact. Blocking CD90 reduces the number of metastasis in a 4T1 orthotopic injection model in mice (Wang et al, 2019b; Wu & Zhang, 2020).

T cells

CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells are the most prominent effectors of antitumor immune response. High levels of T-cell infiltration usually correlate with better prognosis; however, their activity is dependent on immune regulatory and suppressive mechanisms including T_{reg} activities (Joyce & Pollard, 2009; Gonzalez et al, 2018). Moreover, some examples demonstrate direct contribution of T cells to EMT. In the presence of isolated effector CD4⁺ T cells, pancreatic cancer cells show upregulation of VIM, L1CAM and ZEB1, combined with a more migratory phenotype, which was reverted by blocking IL6 and TNF α (Goebel *et al*, 2015). In breast cancer, also CD8⁺ cytotoxic T cells induce EMT, specifically during relapse and loss of a HER2/ neu antigen-mediated response, resulting in a more stem cell-like phenotype with increased therapy resistance (Kmieciak et al, 2007; Santisteban et al, 2009). In lung cancer, specific T-lymphocyte subsets induce EMT in co-culture experiments via IL9 and IL17 secretion and block of IL9/IL17 reduced EMT and metastasis (Salazar et al, 2020) (Fig 5).

Therapeutic options to target EMT

As outlined in the previous chapters, various aspects of EMT are contributing to poor outcome, including resistance to treatment. Since therapeutic intervention with standard care, targeted therapy, irradiation, and surgery is often promoting EMT, major benefit is expected from therapeutic approaches that prevent or reverse the fatal effects of EMT. Standard treatment for targeting differentiated highly proliferative cancer cells combined with specific therapy to either block/revert an EMT/stemness/therapy-resistant state or to target specific, yet unidentified, vulnerabilities of such exquisitely plastic cells seems advantageous. Several molecules to target EMT have been or are currently in clinical trials whereas others are already in use. These include blocking of upstream pathways which Due to difficulties in targeting transcription factors, i.e., EMT-TFs, directly, another promising approach is to apply modified synthetic miRNAs that interfere with EMT-TFs on a posttranscriptional level. Preclinical data demonstrate that miR-34 and miR-200s administration will attenuate SNAIL and ZEB1 protein levels, respectively, and inhibit stemness and metastasis (Pecot *et al*, 2013; Cortez *et al*, 2014). Liposomal miR-34 (MRX34) is already used in clinical trials with effects on tumor growth and metastasis (Zhang *et al*, 2019a).

Another interesting approach to block cell plasticity and to resensitize tumor cells to standard therapy is to induce re- or transdifferentiation. Interfering with chromatin remodeling by using HDAC inhibition or DNA-demethylating agents has been proven valid methods to induce differentiation. In pancreatic cancer, the application of the HDAC class I inhibitor mocetinostat results in de-repression of ZEB1-inhibiting miRNAs, ZEB1 downmodulation, MET, and resensitization to gemcitabine in mice (Meidhof et al, 2015). Reactivating expression of silenced genes has a similar effect in osteosarcoma. Demethylation of the imprinted DLK-DIO3 locus by 5-Azacitidine reactivates expression of ZEB1-silencing miRNAs, induces osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation, and sensitizes to doxorubicin treatment (Ruh et al, 2021). The idea of transdifferentiation was nicely demonstrated by Ishay-Ronen et al, showing that EMT-derived breast cancer cells can be differentiated into post-mitotic functional adipocytes by rosiglitazone in vivo, resulting in reduced metastasis (Ishay-Ronen et al, 2019). Another group of molecules that induce redifferentiation and drug sensitivity includes compounds generated for different purposes, like metformin, used for type2 diabetes, or herbal components, like curcumin (Kothari et al, 2014; Dudas et al, 2020; Jonckheere et al, 2021). Metformin leads to reduction in SNAIL, TWIST, and ZEB1 levels, and curcumin inhibits EMT by downregulation of NF-kB/SNAIL in breast cancer and reactivation of epithelial miRNAs (Kothari et al, 2014; Dudas et al, 2020).

With all these promising approaches to block and reverse EMT, it is important to consider potential adverse effects. Although EMT is harmful during tumorigenesis and EMT-TFs contribute to poor prognosis, they play very important physiological roles. EMT is involved in wound closure and repair after injury and EMT-TFs have important functions in stem cell homeostasis and differentiation, e.g., in melanocytes, muscle, bone and the nervous system, as well as in innate and adaptive immune responses. Accordingly, global interference with the context-dependent favorable actions of EMT-TF by special therapies may well affect normal tissue homeostasis. Likewise, miRNA-based applications will simultaneously regulate other targets with unpredicted outcome. On the contrary, therapeutic intervention that favors MET, might promote colonization and metastasis of circulating tumor cells, leading to opposing effects. Hence, a very careful evaluation and examination of adverse effects is needed to promote such approaches in the clinic. Moreover, we are only beginning to understand the complex nature of the multi-tool EMT in cancer and integration of aspects of multiple pathways and of TME diversity are the main challenges toward a more comprehensive understanding of metastasis for identifying novel treatment approaches.

Acknowledgments

We apologize to our colleagues whose work could not be cited owing to space limitations. This work was supported by the German Research Foundation (TRR305 TP A03, A04, B01, and B07, FOR2438 P04 and BR1399/9-1, BR1399/10-1, BR4145/1-1, and BR4145/2-1), the Wilhelm Sander Stiftung (2020.039.1), and the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement (No 861196; PRECODE). Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Aceto N, Bardia A, Miyamoto D, Donaldson M, Wittner B, Spencer J, Yu M, Pely A, Engstrom A, Zhu H *et al* (2014) Circulating tumor cell clusters are oligoclonal precursors of breast cancer metastasis. *Cell* 158: 1110–1122
- Ahmed N, Maines-Bandiera S, Quinn MA, Unger WG, Dedhar S, Auersperg N (2006) Molecular pathways regulating EGF-induced epitheliomesenchymal transition in human ovarian surface epithelium. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 290: C1532–1542
- Aiello NM, Maddipati R, Norgard RJ, Balli D, Li J, Yuan S, Yamazoe T, Black T, Sahmoud A, Furth EE *et al* (2018) EMT subtype influences epithelial plasticity and mode of cell migration. *Dev Cell* 45: 681–695.e4
- Aigner K, Dampier B, Descovich L, Mikula M, Sultan A, Schreiber M, Mikulits
 W, Brabletz T, Strand D, Obrist P *et al* (2007) The transcription factor
 ZEB1 (deltaEF1) promotes tumour cell dedifferentiation by repressing master regulators of epithelial polarity. *Oncogene* 26: 6979–6988
- Akalay I, Janji B, Hasmim M, Noman MZ, André F, De Cremoux P, Bertheau P, Badoual C, Vielh P, Larsen AK et al (2013) Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and autophagy induction in breast carcinoma promote escape from T-cell-mediated lysis. Cancer Res 73: 2418–2427
- Aller SG, Yu J, Ward A, Weng Y, Chittaboina S, Zhuo R, Harrell PM, Trinh YT, Zhang Q, Urbatsch IL *et al* (2009) Structure of P-glycoprotein reveals a molecular basis for poly-specific drug binding. *Science* 323: 1718–1722
- Ansari D, Ohlsson H, Althini C, Bauden M, Zhou Q, Hu D, Andersson R (2019) The hippo signaling pathway in pancreatic cancer. *Anticancer Res* 39: 3317–3321
- Ansieau S, Bastid J, Doreau A, Morel A-P, Bouchet BP, Thomas C, Fauvet F, Puisieux I, Doglioni C, Piccinin S *et al* (2008) Induction of EMT by twist proteins as a collateral effect of tumor-promoting inactivation of premature senescence. *Cancer Cell* 14: 79–89
- Arnoux V, Nassour M, L'Helgoualc'h A, Hipskind RA, Savagner P (2008) Erk5 controls Slug expression and keratinocyte activation during wound healing. *Mol Biol Cell* 19: 4738–4749
- Bakin AV, Tomlinson AK, Bhowmick NA, Moses HL, Arteaga CL (2000)
 Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase function is required for transforming growth factor beta-mediated epithelial to mesenchymal transition and cell migration. J Biol Chem 275: 36803–36810
- Bates RC, Mercurio AM (2003) Tumor necrosis factor-alpha stimulates the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition of human colonic organoids. *Mol Biol Cell* 14: 1790–1800
- Batlle E, Sancho E, Franci C, Dominguez D, Monfar M, Baulida J, Garcia De Herreros A (2000) The transcription factor snail is a repressor of Ecadherin gene expression in epithelial tumour cells. *Nat Cell Biol* 2: 84–89
- Bergers G, Fendt SM (2021) The metabolism of cancer cells during metastasis. Nat Rev Cancer 21: 162–180

- Bhowmick NA, Chytil A, Plieth D, Gorska AE, Dumont N, Shappell S, Washington MK, Neilson EG, Moses HL (2004) TGF-beta signaling in fibroblasts modulates the oncogenic potential of adjacent epithelia. *Science* 303: 848–851
- Birchmeier W, Behrens J (1994) Cadherin expression in carcinomas: role in the formation of cell junctions and the prevention of invasiveness. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1198: 11–26
- Blokzijl A, Dahlqvist C, Reissmann E, Falk A, Moliner A, Lendahl U, Ibanez CF (2003) Cross-talk between the Notch and TGF-beta signaling pathways mediated by interaction of the Notch intracellular domain with Smad3. J Cell Biol 163: 723–728
- Bonde AK, Tischler V, Kumar S, Soltermann A, Schwendener RA (2012) Intratumoral macrophages contribute to epithelial-mesenchymal transition in solid tumors. *BMC Cancer* 12: 35
- Bornes L, van Scheppingen RH, Beerling E, Schelfhorst T, Ellenbroek SIJ, Seinstra D, van Rheenen J (2019) Fsp1-mediated lineage tracing fails to detect the majority of disseminating cells undergoing EMT. *Cell Rep* 29: 2565–2569.e3
- Brabletz S, Bajdak K, Meidhof S, Burk U, Niedermann G, Firat E, Wellner U, Dimmler A, Faller G, Schubert J *et al* (2011) The ZEB1/miR-200 feedback loop controls Notch signalling in cancer cells. *EMBO J* 30: 770–782
- Brabletz S, Brabletz T (2010) The ZEB/miR-200 feedback loop–a motor of cellular plasticity in development and cancer? *EMBO Rep* 11: 670–677
- Brabletz T, Jung A, Reu S, Porzner M, Hlubek F, Kunz-Schughart LA, Knuechel R, Kirchner T (2001) Variable beta-catenin expression in colorectal cancers indicates tumor progression driven by the tumor environment. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 98: 10356–10361
- Brabletz T, Kalluri R, Nieto MA, Weinberg RA (2018) EMT in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 18: 128–134
- Bracken CP, Gregory PA, Kolesnikoff N, Bert AG, Wang J, Shannon MF, Goodall GJ (2008) A double-negative feedback loop between ZEB1-SIP1 and the microRNA-200 family regulates epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *Cancer Res* 68: 7846–7854
- Bray SJ (2016) Notch signalling in context. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 17: 722-735
- Burk U, Schubert J, Wellner U, Schmalhofer O, Vincan E, Spaderna S, Brabletz T (2008) A reciprocal repression between ZEB1 and members of the miR-200 family promotes EMT and invasion in cancer cells. *EMBO Rep* 9: 582–589
- Byers LA, Diao L, Wang J, Saintigny P, Girard L, Peyton M, Shen Li, Fan Y, Giri U, Tumula PK *et al* (2013) An epithelial-mesenchymal transition gene signature predicts resistance to EGFR and PI3K inhibitors and identifies Axl as a therapeutic target for overcoming EGFR inhibitor resistance. *Clin Cancer Res* 19: 279–290
- Calon A, Lonardo E, Berenguer-Llergo A, Espinet E, Hernando-Momblona X, Iglesias M, Sevillano M, Palomo-Ponce S, Tauriello DVF, Byrom D *et al* (2015) Stromal gene expression defines poor-prognosis subtypes in colorectal cancer. *Nat Genet* 47: 320–329
- Cano A, Perez-Moreno MA, Rodrigo I, Locascio A, Blanco MJ, del Barrio MG, Portillo F, Nieto MA (2000) The transcription factor snail controls epithelial-mesenchymal transitions by repressing E-cadherin expression. *Nat Cell Biol* 2: 76–83
- Cao Z, Livas T, Kyprianou N (2016) Anoikis and EMT: lethal "Liaisons" during cancer progression. Crit Rev Oncog 21: 155–168
- Caramel J, Ligier M, Puisieux A (2018) Pleiotropic roles for ZEB1 in cancer. Cancer Res 78: 30-35
- Chaffer CL, Brennan JP, Slavin JL, Blick T, Thompson EW, Williams ED (2006) Mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition facilitates bladder cancer metastasis: role of fibroblast growth factor receptor-2. *Cancer Res* 66: 11271–11278
- Che D, Zhang S, Jing Z, Shang L, Jin S, Liu F, Shen J, Li Y, Hu J, Meng Q *et al* (2017) Macrophages induce EMT to promote invasion of lung cancer cells

through the IL-6-mediated COX-2/PGE2/beta-catenin signalling pathway. *Mol Immunol* 90: 197-210

- Chen BJ, Wu JS, Tang YJ, Tang YL, Liang XH (2020) What makes leader cells arise: Intrinsic properties and support from neighboring cells. *J Cell Physiol* 235: 8983–8995
- Chen L, Gibbons DL, Goswami S, Cortez MA, Ahn Y-H, Byers LA, Zhang X, Yi X, Dwyer D, Lin W *et al* (2014) Metastasis is regulated via microRNA-200/ ZEB1 axis control of tumour cell PD-L1 expression and intratumoral immunosuppression. *Nat Commun* 5: 5241
- Chen Y, Kim J, Yang S, Wang H, Wu CJ, Sugimoto H, LeBleu VS, Kalluri R (2021) Type I collagen deletion in alphaSMA(+) myofibroblasts augments immune suppression and accelerates progression of pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Cell* 39: 548–565.e546
- Cheung KJ, Padmanaban V, Silvestri V, Schipper K, Cohen JD, Fairchild AN, Gorin MA, Verdone JE, Pienta KJ, Bader JS *et al* (2016) Polyclonal breast cancer metastases arise from collective dissemination of keratin 14expressing tumor cell clusters. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 113: E854–E863
- Clevers H (2006) Wnt/beta-catenin signaling in development and disease. *Cell* 127: 469–480
- Colomiere M, Ward AC, Riley C, Trenerry MK, Cameron-Smith D, Findlay J, Ackland L, Ahmed N (2009) Cross talk of signals between EGFR and IL-6R through JAK2/STAT3 mediate epithelial-mesenchymal transition in ovarian carcinomas. *Br J Cancer* 100: 134–144
- Comaills V, Kabeche L, Morris R, Buisson R, Yu M, Madden MW, LiCausi JA, Boukhali M, Tajima K, Pan S *et al* (2016) Genomic instability is induced by persistent proliferation of cells undergoing epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. *Cell Rep* 17: 2632–2647
- Comijn J, Berx G, Vermassen P, Verschueren K, van Grunsven L, Bruyneel E, Mareel M, Huylebroeck D, van Roy F (2001) The two-handed E box binding zinc finger protein SIP1 downregulates E-cadherin and induces invasion. *Mol Cell 7*: 1267–1278
- Cooke V, LeBleu V, Keskin D, Khan Z, O'Connell J, Teng Y, Duncan M, Xie L, Maeda G, Vong S *et al* (2012) Pericyte depletion results in hypoxiaassociated epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and metastasis mediated by met signaling pathway. *Cancer Cell* 21: 66–81
- Cortez MA, Valdecanas D, Zhang X, Zhan Y, Bhardwaj V, Calin GA, Komaki R, Giri DK, Quini CC, Wolfe T *et al* (2014) Therapeutic delivery of miR-200c enhances radiosensitivity in lung cancer. *Mol Ther* 22: 1494–1503
- Creighton CJ, Li X, Landis M, Dixon JM, Neumeister VM, Sjolund A, Rimm DL, Wong H, Rodriguez A, Herschkowitz JI *et al* (2009) Residual breast cancers after conventional therapy display mesenchymal as well as tumorinitiating features. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 106: 13820–13825
- de Sousa e Melo F, Kurtova AV, Harnoss JM, Kljavin N, Hoeck JD, Hung J, Anderson JE, Storm EE, Modrusan Z, Koeppen H et al (2017) A distinct role for Lgr5(+) stem cells in primary and metastatic colon cancer. Nature 543: 676–680
- Debaugnies M, Sanchez-Danes A, Rorive S, Raphael M, Liagre M, Parent MA, Brisebarre A, Salmon I, Blanpain C (2018) YAP and TAZ are essential for basal and squamous cell carcinoma initiation. *EMBO Rep* 19: e45809
- del Pozo Martin Y, Park D, Ramachandran A, Ombrato L, Calvo F, Chakravarty P, Spencer-Dene B, Derzsi S, Hill C, Sahai E *et al* (2015) Mesenchymal cancer cell-stroma crosstalk promotes niche activation, epithelial reversion, and metastatic colonization. *Cell Rep* 13: 2456–2469
- Derynck R, Turley SJ, Akhurst RJ (2021) TGFbeta biology in cancer progression and immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 18: 9–34
- Devarajan E, Song YH, Krishnappa S, Alt E (2012) Epithelial-mesenchymal transition in breast cancer lines is mediated through PDGF-D released by tissue-resident stem cells. *Int J Cancer* 131: 1023–1031

- Diaz-Lopez A, Moreno-Bueno G, Cano A (2014) Role of microRNA in epithelial to mesenchymal transition and metastasis and clinical perspectives. *Cancer Manag Res* 6: 205–216
- Diepenbruck M, Waldmeier L, Ivanek R, Berninger P, Arnold P, van Nimwegen E, Christofori G (2014) Tead2 expression levels control the subcellular distribution of Yap and Taz, zyxin expression and epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *J Cell Sci* 127: 1523–1536
- Dongre A, Rashidian M, Reinhardt F, Bagnato A, Keckesova Z, Ploegh HL, Weinberg RA (2017) Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition contributes to immunosuppression in breast carcinomas. *Cancer Res* 77: 3982–3989
- Dongre A, Weinberg RA (2019) New insights into the mechanisms of epithelial-mesenchymal transition and implications for cancer. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 20: 69–84
- Dudas J, Ladanyi A, Ingruber J, Steinbichler TB, Riechelmann H (2020) Epithelial to mesenchymal transition: a mechanism that fuels cancer radio/chemoresistance. *Cells* 9: 428
- Eckert MA, Lwin TM, Chang AT, Kim J, Danis E, Ohno-Machado L, Yang J (2011) Twist1-induced invadopodia formation promotes tumor metastasis. *Cancer Cell* 19: 372–386
- Eger A, Aigner K, Sonderegger S, Dampier B, Oehler S, Schreiber M, Berx G, Cano A, Beug H, Foisner R (2005) DeltaEF1 is a transcriptional repressor of E-cadherin and regulates epithelial plasticity in breast cancer cells. *Oncogene* 24: 2375–2385
- Elyada E, Bolisetty M, Laise P, Flynn WF, Courtois ET, Burkhart RA, Teinor JA, Belleau P, Biffi G, Lucito MS *et al* (2019) Cross-species single-cell analysis of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma reveals antigen-presenting cancerassociated fibroblasts. *Cancer Discov* 9: 1102–1123
- Escrivà M, Peiró S, Herranz N, Villagrasa P, Dave N, Montserrat-Sentís B, Murray SA, Francí C, Gridley T, Virtanen I *et al* (2008) Repression of PTEN phosphatase by Snail1 transcriptional factor during gamma radiationinduced apoptosis. *Mol Cell Biol* 28: 1528–1540
- Esposito M, Mondal N, Greco TM, Wei Y, Spadazzi C, Lin S-C, Zheng H, Cheung C, Magnani JL, Lin S-H *et al* (2019) Bone vascular niche E-selectin induces mesenchymal-epithelial transition and Wnt activation in cancer cells to promote bone metastasis. *Nat Cell Biol* 21: 627–639
- Fan Q-M, Jing Y-Y, Yu G-F, Kou X-R, Ye F, Gao Lu, Li R, Zhao Q-D, Yang Y, Lu Z-H *et al* (2014) Tumor-associated macrophages promote cancer stem cell-like properties via transforming growth factor-beta1-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Lett* 352: 160–168
- Farmer P, Bonnefoi H, Anderle P, Cameron D, Wirapati P, Becette V, André S, Piccart M, Campone M, Brain E *et al* (2009) A stroma-related gene signature predicts resistance to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer. *Nat Med* 15: 68–74
- Fearon ER, Vogelstein B (1990) A genetic model for colorectal tumorigenesis. *Cell* 61: 759–767
- Feldker N, Ferrazzi F, Schuhwerk H, Widholz SA, Guenther K, Frisch I, Jakob K, Kleemann J, Riegel D, Bonisch U *et al* (2020) Genome-wide cooperation of EMT transcription factor ZEB1 with YAP and AP-1 in breast cancer. *EMBO* J 39: e103209
- Fifis T, Nguyen L, Malcontenti-Wilson C, Chan LS, Nunes Costa PL, Daruwalla J, Nikfarjam M, Muralidharan V, Waltham M, Thompson EW *et al* (2013)
 Treatment with the vascular disruptive agent OXi4503 induces an immediate and widespread epithelial to mesenchymal transition in the surviving tumor. *Cancer Med* 2: 595–610
- Fischer KR, Durrans A, Lee S, Sheng J, Li F, Wong STC, Choi H, El Rayes T, Ryu S, Troeger J *et al* (2015) Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition is not

required for lung metastasis but contributes to chemoresistance. *Nature* 527: 472–476

Friedl P, Locker J, Sahai E, Segall JE (2012) Classifying collective cancer cell invasion. *Nat Cell Biol* 14: 777–783

Fumagalli A, Oost KC, Kester L, Morgner J, Bornes L, Bruens L, Spaargaren L, Azkanaz M, Schelfhorst T, Beerling E *et al* (2020) Plasticity of Lgr5negative cancer cells drives metastasis in colorectal cancer. *Cell Stem Cell* 26: 569–578.e7

Gabrilovich DI (2017) Myeloid-derived suppressor cells. Cancer Immunol Res 5: 3-8

Gabrilovich DI, Nagaraj S (2009) Myeloid-derived suppressor cells as regulators of the immune system. Nat Rev Immunol 9: 162–174

- Gauger KJ, Chenausky KL, Murray ME, Schneider SS (2011) SFRP1 reduction results in an increased sensitivity to TGF-beta signaling. *BMC Cancer* 11: 59
- Geng Y, Fan J, Chen L, Zhang C, Qu C, Qian L, Chen K, Meng Z, Chen Z, Wang P (2021) A notch-dependent inflammatory feedback circuit between macrophages and cancer cells regulates pancreatic cancer metastasis. *Cancer Res* 81: 64–76

Georgakopoulos-Soares I, Chartoumpekis DV, Kyriazopoulou V, Zaravinos A (2020) EMT factors and metabolic pathways in cancer. *Front Oncol* 10: 499

Goebel L, Grage-Griebenow E, Gorys A, Helm O, Genrich G, Lenk L, Wesch D, Ungefroren H, Freitag-Wolf S, Sipos B *et al* (2015) CD4(+) T cells potently induce epithelial-mesenchymal-transition in premalignant and malignant pancreatic ductal epithelial cells-novel implications of CD4(+) T cells in pancreatic cancer development. *Oncoimmunology* 4: e1000083

Gonzalez H, Hagerling C, Werb Z (2018) Roles of the immune system in cancer: from tumor initiation to metastatic progression. *Genes Dev* 32: 1267–1284

Goulet CR, Champagne A, Bernard G, Vandal D, Chabaud S, Pouliot F, Bolduc S (2019) Cancer-associated fibroblasts induce epithelial-mesenchymal transition of bladder cancer cells through paracrine IL-6 signalling. *BMC Cancer* 19: 137

- Graham TR, Zhau HE, Odero-Marah VA, Osunkoya AO, Kimbro KS, Tighiouart M, Liu T, Simons JW, O'Regan RM (2008) Insulin-like growth factor-I-dependent up-regulation of ZEB1 drives epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in human prostate cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 68: 2479–2488
- Gross KM, Zhou W, Breindel JL, Ouyang J, Jin DX, Sokol ES, Gupta PB, Huber K, Zou L, Kuperwasser C (2019) Loss of slug compromises DNA damage repair and accelerates stem cell aging in mammary epithelium. *Cell Rep* 28: 394–407.e6

Grotegut S, von Schweinitz D, Christofori G, Lehembre F (2006) Hepatocyte growth factor induces cell scattering through MAPK/Egr-1-mediated upregulation of Snail. *EMBO J* 25: 3534–3545

Gubelmann C, Schwalie PC, Raghav SK, Röder E, Delessa T, Kiehlmann E, Waszak SM, Corsinotti A, Udin G, Holcombe W *et al* (2014) Identification of the transcription factor ZEB1 as a central component of the adipogenic gene regulatory network. *Elife* 3: e03346

Gudey SK, Sundar R, Heldin CH, Bergh A, Landstrom M (2017) Pro-invasive properties of Snail1 are regulated by sumoylation in response to TGFbeta stimulation in cancer. *Oncotarget* 8: 97703–97726

Guo W, Keckesova Z, Donaher J, Shibue T, Tischler V, Reinhardt F, Itzkovitz S, Noske A, Zürrer-Härdi U, Bell G *et al* (2012) Slug and Sox9 cooperatively determine the mammary stem cell state. *Cell* 148: 1015–1028

Gupta PB, Onder TT, Jiang G, Tao K, Kuperwasser C, Weinberg RA, Lander ES (2009) Identification of selective inhibitors of cancer stem cells by high-throughput screening. *Cell* 138: 645–659

Hanahan D, Weinberg RA (2011) Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell 144: 646-674

Hao Y, Baker D, Ten Dijke P (2019) TGF-beta-mediated epithelialmesenchymal transition and cancer metastasis. Int J Mol Sci 20: 2767

Hapke RY, Haake SM (2020) Hypoxia-induced epithelial to mesenchymal transition in cancer. *Cancer Lett* 487: 10–20

Hara J, Miyata H, Yamasaki M, Sugimura K, Takahashi T, Kurokawa Y, Nakajima K, Takiguchi S, Mori M, Doki Y (2014) Mesenchymal phenotype after chemotherapy is associated with chemoresistance and poor clinical outcome in esophageal cancer. *Oncol Rep* 31: 589–596

Hay ED (1995) An overview of epithelio-mesenchymal transformation. Acta Anat (Basel) 154: 8–20

He X, Yan B, Liu S, Jia J, Lai W, Xin X, Tang C-e, Luo D, Tan T, Jiang Y *et al* (2016) Chromatin remodeling factor LSH drives cancer progression by suppressing the activity of fumarate hydratase. *Cancer Res* 76: 5743–5755

Ho MY, Tang SJ, Chuang MJ, Cha TL, Li JY, Sun GH, Sun KH (2012) TNF-alpha induces epithelial-mesenchymal transition of renal cell carcinoma cells via a GSK3beta-dependent mechanism. *Mol Cancer Res* 10: 1109–1119

Hoot KE, Lighthall J, Han G, Lu SL, Li A, Ju W, Kulesz-Martin M, Bottinger E, Wang XJ (2008) Keratinocyte-specific Smad2 ablation results in increased epithelial-mesenchymal transition during skin cancer formation and progression. J Clin Invest 118: 2722–2732

Hua W, Ten Dijke P, Kostidis S, Giera M, Hornsveld M (2020) TGFbeta-induced metabolic reprogramming during epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in cancer. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 77: 2103–2123

Huang CH, Yang WH, Chang SY, Tai SK, Tzeng CH, Kao JY, Wu KJ, Yang MH (2009) Regulation of membrane-type 4 matrix metalloproteinase by SLUG contributes to hypoxia-mediated metastasis. *Neoplasia* 11: 1371–1382

Huang RY, Guilford P, Thiery JP (2012) Early events in cell adhesion and polarity during epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *J Cell Sci* 125: 4417–4422

Hugo W, Zaretsky JM, Sun Lu, Song C, Moreno BH, Hu-Lieskovan S, Berent-Maoz B, Pang J, Chmielowski B, Cherry G *et al* (2016) Genomic and transcriptomic features of response to anti-PD-1 therapy in metastatic melanoma. *Cell* 165: 35–44

Ieda T, Tazawa H, Okabayashi H, Yano S, Shigeyasu K, Kuroda S, Ohara T, Noma K, Kishimoto H, Nishizaki M et al (2019) Visualization of epithelialmesenchymal transition in an inflammatory microenvironment-colorectal cancer network. Sci Rep 9: 16378

Ishay-Ronen D, Diepenbruck M, Kalathur RKR, Sugiyama N, Tiede S, Ivanek R, Bantug G, Morini MF, Wang J, Hess C *et al* (2019) Gain fat-lose metastasis: converting invasive breast cancer cells into adipocytes inhibits cancer metastasis. *Cancer Cell* 35: 17–32.e6

Jiang YP, Tang YL, Wang SS, Wu JS, Zhang M, Pang X, Wu JB, Chen Y, Tang YJ, Liang XH (2020) PRRX1-induced epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in salivary adenoid cystic carcinoma activates the metabolic reprogramming of free fatty acids to promote invasion and metastasis. *Cell Prolif* 53: e12705

Jiang Y, Zhan H (2020) Communication between EMT and PD-L1 signaling: new insights into tumor immune evasion. *Cancer Lett* 468: 72–81

Jin MZ, Jin WL (2020) The updated landscape of tumor microenvironment and drug repurposing. *Signal Transduct Target Ther* 5: 166

Joffroy CM, Buck MB, Stope MB, Popp SL, Pfizenmaier K, Knabbe C (2010) Antiestrogens induce transforming growth factor beta-mediated immunosuppression in breast cancer. *Cancer Res* 70: 1314–1322 Jonckheere S, Adams J, De Groote D, Campbell K, Berx G, Goossens S (2021) Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition (EMT) as a therapeutic target. *Cells Tissues Organs* 1–26. https://doi.org/10.1159/000512218

Joyce JA, Pollard JW (2009) Microenvironmental regulation of metastasis. Nat Rev Cancer 9: 239–252

Jung AR, Jung CH, Noh JK, Lee YC, Eun YG (2020) Epithelial-mesenchymal transition gene signature is associated with prognosis and tumor microenvironment in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Sci Rep* 10: 3652

Kajita M, McClinic KN, Wade PA (2004) Aberrant expression of the transcription factors snail and slug alters the response to genotoxic stress. *Mol Cell Biol* 24: 7559–7566

Kang H, Kim H, Lee S, Youn H, Youn B (2019) Role of metabolic reprogramming in Epithelial(-)Mesenchymal Transition (EMT). *Int J Mol Sci* 20: 2042

Kardos J, Chai S, Mose LE, Selitsky SR, Krishnan B, Saito R, Iglesia MD, Milowsky MI, Parker JS, Kim WY et al (2016) Claudin-low bladder tumors are immune infiltrated and actively immune suppressed. JCI Insight 1: e85902

Katsura A, Tamura Y, Hokari S, Harada M, Morikawa M, Sakurai T, Takahashi K, Mizutani A, Nishida J, Yokoyama Y *et al* (2017) ZEB1-regulated inflammatory phenotype in breast cancer cells. *Mol Oncol* 11: 1241–1262

Kawamoto A, Yokoe T, Tanaka K, Saigusa S, Toiyama Y, Yasuda H, Inoue Y, Miki C, Kusunoki M (2012) Radiation induces epithelial-mesenchymal transition in colorectal cancer cells. *Oncol Rep* 27: 51–57

Khot M, Sreekumar D, Jahagirdar S, Kulkarni A, Hari K, Faseela EE, Sabarinathan R, Jolly MK, Sengupta K (2020) Twist1 induces chromosomal instability (CIN) in colorectal cancer cells. *Hum Mol Genet* 29: 1673–1688

Kim J, Kong J, Chang H, Kim H, Kim A (2016) EGF induces epithelialmesenchymal transition through phospho-Smad2/3-Snail signaling pathway in breast cancer cells. *Oncotarget* 7: 85021–85032

Kim T, Veronese A, Pichiorri F, Lee TJ, Jeon Y-J, Volinia S, Pineau P, Marchio A, Palatini J, Suh S-S et al (2011) p53 regulates epithelial-mesenchymal transition through microRNAs targeting ZEB1 and ZEB2. J Exp Med 208: 875–883

Kmieciak M, Knutson KL, Dumur CI, Manjili MH (2007) HER-2/neu antigen loss and relapse of mammary carcinoma are actively induced by T cellmediated anti-tumor immune responses. *Eur J Immunol* 37: 675–685

Korpal M, Ell BJ, Buffa FM, Ibrahim T, Blanco MA, Celià-Terrassa T, Mercatali L, Khan Z, Goodarzi H, Hua Y et al (2011) Direct targeting of Sec23a by miR-200s influences cancer cell secretome and promotes metastatic colonization. Nat Med 17: 1101–1108

Kothari AN, Mi Z, Zapf M, Kuo PC (2014) Novel clinical therapeutics targeting the epithelial to mesenchymal transition. *Clin Transl Med* 3: 35

Krebs AM, Mitschke J, Lasierra Losada M, Schmalhofer O, Boerries M, Busch H, Boettcher M, Mougiakakos D, Reichardt W, Bronsert P *et al* (2017) The EMT-activator Zeb1 is a key factor for cell plasticity and promotes metastasis in pancreatic cancer. *Nat Cell Biol* 19: 518–529

Kudo-Saito C, Ozaki Y, Imazeki H, Hayashi H, Masuda J, Ozawa H, Ogiwara Y
(2021) Targeting oncoimmune drivers of cancer metastasis. *Cancers (Basel)*13: 554

Kudo-Saito C, Shirako H, Takeuchi T, Kawakami Y (2009) Cancer metastasis is accelerated through immunosuppression during Snail-induced EMT of cancer cells. *Cancer Cell* 15: 195–206

Kumar V, Donthireddy L, Marvel D, Condamine T, Wang F, Lavilla-Alonso S, Hashimoto A, Vonteddu P, Behera R, Goins MA *et al* (2017) Cancerassociated fibroblasts neutralize the anti-tumor effect of CSF1 receptor blockade by inducing PMN-MDSC infiltration of tumors. *Cancer Cell* 32: 654–668.e5 Lamar JM, Stern P, Liu H, Schindler JW, Jiang ZG, Hynes RO (2012) The Hippo pathway target, YAP, promotes metastasis through its TEAD-interaction domain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 109: E2441–E2450

Lambrechts D, Wauters E, Boeckx B, Aibar S, Nittner D, Burton O, Bassez A, Decaluwé H, Pircher A, Van den Eynde K *et al* (2018) Phenotype molding of stromal cells in the lung tumor microenvironment. *Nat Med* 24: 1277–1289

Lamouille S, Connolly E, Smyth JW, Akhurst RJ, Derynck R (2012) TGF-betainduced activation of mTOR complex 2 drives epithelial-mesenchymal transition and cell invasion. *J Cell Sci* 125: 1259–1273

Lamouille S, Derynck R (2007) Cell size and invasion in TGF-beta-induced epithelial to mesenchymal transition is regulated by activation of the mTOR pathway. *J Cell Biol* 178: 437–451

Lamouille S, Xu J, Derynck R (2014) Molecular mechanisms of epithelialmesenchymal transition. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 15: 178–196

Larsen JE, Nathan V, Osborne JK, Farrow RK, Deb D, Sullivan JP, Dospoy PD, Augustyn A, Hight SK, Sato M *et al* (2016) ZEB1 drives epithelial-tomesenchymal transition in lung cancer. *J Clin Invest* 126: 3219–3235

LeBleu VS, Kalluri R (2018) A peek into cancer-associated fibroblasts: origins, functions and translational impact. *Dis Model Mech* 11: dmm029447

Lee CC, Lin JC, Hwang WL, Kuo YJ, Chen HK, Tai SK, Lin CC, Yang MH (2018) Macrophage-secreted interleukin-35 regulates cancer cell plasticity to facilitate metastatic colonization. *Nat Commun* 9: 3763

- Lehmann W, Mossmann D, Kleemann J, Mock K, Meisinger C, Brummer T, Herr R, Brabletz S, Stemmler MP, Brabletz T (2016) ZEB1 turns into a transcriptional activator by interacting with YAP1 in aggressive cancer types. *Nat Commun* 7: 10498
- Li S, Cong X, Gao H, Lan X, Li Z, Wang W, Song S, Wang Y, Li C, Zhang H et al (2019) Tumor-associated neutrophils induce EMT by IL-17a to promote migration and invasion in gastric cancer cells. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 38: 6

Li Y, Wang L, Pappan L, Galliher-Beckley A, Shi J (2012) IL-1beta promotes stemness and invasiveness of colon cancer cells through Zeb1 activation. *Mol Cancer* 11: 87

Li Z, Wang Y, Zhu Y, Yuan C, Wang D, Zhang W, Qi B, Qiu J, Song X, Ye J *et al* (2015) The Hippo transducer TAZ promotes epithelial to mesenchymal transition and cancer stem cell maintenance in oral cancer. *Mol Oncol* 9: 1091–1105

Lin JC, Tsai JT, Chao TY, Ma HI, Liu WH (2018) The STAT3/slug axis enhances radiation-induced tumor invasion and cancer stem-like properties in radioresistant glioblastoma. *Cancers* 10: 512

Liu J, Ruan B, You N, Huang Q, Liu W, Dang Z, Xu W, Zhou T, Ji R, Cao Y *et al* (2013) Downregulation of miR-200a induces EMT phenotypes and CSC-like signatures through targeting the beta-catenin pathway in hepatic oval cells. *PLoS One* 8: e79409

Liu L, Chen X, Wang Y, Qu Z, Lu Q, Zhao J, Yan X, Zhang H, Zhou Y (2014a) Notch3 is important for TGF-beta-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition in non-small cell lung cancer bone metastasis by regulating ZEB-1. *Cancer Gene Ther* 21: 364–372

Liu S, Ren J, Ten Dijke P (2021) Targeting TGFbeta signal transduction for cancer therapy. Signal Transduct Target Ther 6: 8

Liu Y, Lu X, Huang Li, Wang W, Jiang G, Dean KC, Clem B, Telang S, Jenson AB, Cuatrecasas M et al (2014b) Different thresholds of ZEB1 are required for Ras-mediated tumour initiation and metastasis. Nat Commun 5: 5660

- Liu Z, Tu K, Wang Y, Yao B, Li Q, Wang L, Dou C, Liu Q, Zheng X (2017) Hypoxia accelerates aggressiveness of hepatocellular carcinoma cells involving oxidative stress, epithelial-mesenchymal transition and noncanonical hedgehog signaling. *Cell Physiol Biochem* 44: 1856–1868
- Lo HW, Hsu SC, Xia W, Cao X, Shih JY, Wei Y, Abbruzzese JL, Hortobagyi GN, Hung MC (2007) Epidermal growth factor receptor cooperates with signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 to induce epithelialmesenchymal transition in cancer cells via up-regulation of TWIST gene expression. *Cancer Res* 67: 9066–9076
- Lu Z, Ghosh S, Wang Z, Hunter T (2003) Downregulation of caveolin-1 function by EGF leads to the loss of E-cadherin, increased transcriptional activity of beta-catenin, and enhanced tumor cell invasion. *Cancer Cell* 4: 499–515
- Lyons JG, Patel V, Roue NC, Fok SY, Soon LL, Halliday GM, Gutkind JS (2008) Snail up-regulates proinflammatory mediators and inhibits differentiation in oral keratinocytes. *Cancer Res* 68: 4525–4530
- Ma X, Wang M, Yin T, Zhao Y, Wei X (2019) Myeloid-derived suppressor cells promote metastasis in breast cancer after the stress of operative removal of the primary cancer. *Front Oncol* 9: 855
- Maehara O, Suda G, Natsuizaka M, Ohnishi S, Komatsu Y, Sato F, Nakai M, Sho T, Morikawa K, Ogawa K *et al* (2017) Fibroblast growth factor-2-mediated FGFR/Erk signaling supports maintenance of cancer stem-like cells in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Carcinogenesis* 38: 1073–1083
- Makrodouli E, Oikonomou E, Koc M, Andera L, Sasazuki T, Shirasawa S, Pintzas A (2011) BRAF and RAS oncogenes regulate Rho GTPase pathways to mediate migration and invasion properties in human colon cancer cells: a comparative study. *Mol Cancer* 10: 118
- Mani SA, Guo W, Liao M-J, Eaton EN, Ayyanan A, Zhou AY, Brooks M, Reinhard F, Zhang CC, Shipitsin M *et al* (2008) The epithelialmesenchymal transition generates cells with properties of stem cells. *Cell* 133: 704–715
- Mao Z, Zhang J, Shi Y, Li W, Shi H, Ji R, Mao F, Qian H, Xu W, Zhang X (2020) CXCL5 promotes gastric cancer metastasis by inducing epithelialmesenchymal transition and activating neutrophils. *Oncogenesis* 9: 63
- Mareel M, Vleminckx K, Vermeulen S, Bracke M, Van Roy F (1992) E-cadherin expression: a counterbalance for cancer cell invasion. *Bull Cancer* 79: 347–355
- Martin-Belmonte F, Perez-Moreno M (2011) Epithelial cell polarity, stem cells and cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 12: 23–38
- Masin M, Vazquez J, Rossi S, Groeneveld S, Samson N, Schwalie PC, Deplancke B, Frawley LE, Gouttenoire J, Moradpour D *et al* (2014) GLUT3 is induced during epithelial-mesenchymal transition and promotes tumor cell proliferation in non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Metab* 2: 11
- Massague J (2012) TGFbeta signalling in context. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 13: 616-630
- Masucci MT, Minopoli M, Carriero MV (2019) Tumor associated neutrophils. Their role in tumorigenesis, metastasis, prognosis and therapy. *Front Oncol* 9: 1146
- Matise LA, Palmer TD, Ashby WJ, Nashabi A, Chytil A, Aakre M, Pickup MW, Gorska AE, Zijlstra A, Moses HL (2012) Lack of transforming growth factorbeta signaling promotes collective cancer cell invasion through tumorstromal crosstalk. *Breast Cancer Res* 14: R98
- McGranahan N, Swanton C (2017) Clonal heterogeneity and tumor evolution: past, present, and the future. *Cell* 168: 613–628
- Meidhof S, Brabletz S, Lehmann W, Preca BT, Mock K, Ruh M, Schuler J, Berthold M, Weber A, Burk U *et al* (2015) ZEB1-associated drug resistance in cancer cells is reversed by the class I HDAC inhibitor mocetinostat. *EMBO Mol Med* 7: 831–847

- Miao JW, Liu LJ, Huang J (2014) Interleukin-6-induced epithelialmesenchymal transition through signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 in human cervical carcinoma. *Int J Oncol* 45: 165–176
- Miyoshi A, Kitajima Y, Sumi K, Sato K, Hagiwara A, Koga Y, Miyazaki K (2004) Snail and SIP1 increase cancer invasion by upregulating MMP family in hepatocellular carcinoma cells. *Br J Cancer* 90: 1265–1273
- Miyoshi A, Kitajima Y, Kido S, Shimonishi T, Matsuyama S, Kitahara K, Miyazaki K (2005) Snail accelerates cancer invasion by upregulating MMP expression and is associated with poor prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Br J Cancer* 92: 252–258
- Morel A-P, Ginestier C, Pommier RM, Cabaud O, Ruiz E, Wicinski J,
 Devouassoux-Shisheboran M, Combaret V, Finetti P, Chassot C *et al* (2017)
 A stemness-related ZEB1-MSRB3 axis governs cellular pliancy and breast
 cancer genome stability. *Nat Med* 23: 568–578
- Morel A-P, Hinkal GW, Thomas C, Fauvet F, Courtois-Cox S, Wierinckx A, Devouassoux-Shisheboran M, Treilleux I, Tissier A, Gras B *et al* (2012) EMT inducers catalyze malignant transformation of mammary epithelial cells and drive tumorigenesis towards claudin-low tumors in transgenic mice. *PLoS Genet* 8: e1002723
- Morel AP, Lievre M, Thomas C, Hinkal G, Ansieau S, Puisieux A (2008) Generation of breast cancer stem cells through epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *PLoS One* 3: e2888
- Moreno-Bueno G, Portillo F, Cano A (2008) Transcriptional regulation of cell polarity in EMT and cancer. *Oncogene* 27: 6958–6969
- Moroishi T, Hansen CG, Guan KL (2015) The emerging roles of YAP and TAZ in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 15: 73–79
- Mosa MH, Michels BE, Menche C, Nicolas AM, Darvishi T, Greten FR, Farin HF (2020) A Wnt-induced phenotypic switch in cancer-associated fibroblasts inhibits EMT in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res* 80: 5569–5582
- Nath A, Li I, Roberts LR, Chan C (2015) Elevated free fatty acid uptake via CD36 promotes epithelial-mesenchymal transition in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Sci Rep* 5: 14752
- Natsuizaka M, Whelan KA, Kagawa S, Tanaka K, Giroux V, Chandramouleeswaran PM, Long A, Sahu V, Darling DS, Que J *et al* (2017) Interplay between Notch1 and Notch3 promotes EMT and tumor initiation in squamous cell carcinoma. *Nat Commun* 8: 1758
- Niessen K, Fu Y, Chang L, Hoodless PA, McFadden D, Karsan A (2008) Slug is a direct Notch target required for initiation of cardiac cushion cellularization. J Cell Biol 182: 315–325
- Nieto MA, Huang RY, Jackson RA, Thiery JP (2016) Emt: 2016. Cell 166: 21-45
- Noman MZ, Janji B, Abdou A, Hasmim M, Terry S, Tan TZ, Mami-Chouaib F, Thiery JP, Chouaib S (2017) The immune checkpoint ligand PD-L1 is upregulated in EMT-activated human breast cancer cells by a mechanism involving ZEB-1 and miR-200. *Oncoimmunology* 6: e1263412
- Norz D, Grottke A, Bach J, Herzberger C, Hofmann BT, Nashan B, Jucker M, Ewald F (2015) Discontinuing MEK inhibitors in tumor cells with an acquired resistance increases migration and invasion. *Cell Signal* 27: 2191–2200
- Noy R, Pollard JW (2014) Tumor-associated macrophages: from mechanisms to therapy. *Immunity* 41: 49–61
- Ocana OH, Corcoles R, Fabra A, Moreno-Bueno G, Acloque H, Vega S, Barrallo-Gimeno A, Cano A, Nieto MA (2012) Metastatic colonization requires the repression of the epithelial-mesenchymal transition inducer Prrx1. *Cancer Cell* 22: 709–724
- Omabe K, Uduituma S, Igwe D, Omabe M (2021) Deeper insight in metastatic cancer progression;Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and genomic instability: implications on treatment resistance. *Curr Mol Med* 21. https://doi.org/10.2174/1566524021666210202114844

- Ouzounova M, Lee E, Piranlioglu R, El Andaloussi A, Kolhe R, Demirci MF, Marasco D, Asm I, Chadli A, Hassan KA *et al* (2017) Monocytic and granulocytic myeloid derived suppressor cells differentially regulate spatiotemporal tumour plasticity during metastatic cascade. *Nat Commun* 8: 14979
- Pascual G, Avgustinova A, Mejetta S, Martín M, Castellanos A, Attolini C-O, Berenguer A, Prats N, Toll A, Hueto JA *et al* (2017) Targeting metastasisinitiating cells through the fatty acid receptor CD36. *Nature* 541: 41–45
- Pastushenko I, Blanpain C (2019) EMT transition states during tumor progression and metastasis. *Trends Cell Biol* 29: 212–226
- Pastushenko I, Brisebarre A, Sifrim A, Fioramonti M, Revenco T, Boumahdi S, Van Keymeulen A, Brown D, Moers V, Lemaire S *et al* (2018) Identification of the tumour transition states occurring during EMT. *Nature* 556: 463–468
- Pastushenko I, Mauri F, Song Y, de Cock F, Meeusen B, Swedlund B, Impens F, Van Haver D, Opitz M, Thery M *et al* (2021) Fat1 deletion promotes hybrid EMT state, tumour stemness and metastasis. *Nature* 589: 448–455
- Pecot CV, Rupaimoole R, Yang Da, Akbani R, Ivan C, Lu C, Wu S, Han H-D, Shah MY, Rodriguez-Aguayo C *et al* (2013) Tumour angiogenesis regulation by the miR-200 family. *Nat Commun* 4: 2427
- Peter ME (2009) Let-7 and miR-200 microRNAs: guardians against pluripotency and cancer progression. *Cell Cycle* 8: 843–852
- Pistore C, Giannoni E, Colangelo T, Rizzo F, Magnani E, Muccillo L, Giurato G, Mancini M, Rizzo S, Riccardi M *et al* (2017) DNA methylation variations are required for epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition induced by cancerassociated fibroblasts in prostate cancer cells. *Oncogene* 36: 5551–5566
- Postigo AA (2003) Opposing functions of ZEB proteins in the regulation of the TGFbeta/BMP signaling pathway. *EMBO J* 22: 2443–2452
- Prodhomme MK, Pommier RM, Franchet C, Fauvet F, Bergoglio V, Brousset P, Morel AP, Brunac AC, Devouassoux-Shisheboran M, Petrilli V *et al* (2021)
 EMT transcription factor ZEB1 represses the mutagenic POLtheta-mediated end-joining pathway in breast cancers. *Cancer Res* 81: 1595–1606
- Puhr M, Hoefer J, Schafer G, Erb HH, Oh SJ, Klocker H, Heidegger I, Neuwirt H, Culig Z (2012) Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition leads to docetaxel resistance in prostate cancer and is mediated by reduced expression of miR-200c and miR-205. Am J Pathol 181: 2188–2201
- Puisieux A, Brabletz T, Caramel J (2014) Oncogenic roles of EMT-inducing transcription factors. *Nat Cell Biol* 16: 488–494
- Puram SV, Tirosh I, Parikh AS, Patel AP, Yizhak K, Gillespie S, Rodman C, Luo CL, Mroz EA, Emerick KS *et al* (2017) Single-cell transcriptomic analysis of primary and metastatic tumor ecosystems in head and neck cancer. *Cell* 171: 1611–1624.e24
- Ramachandran A, Vizan P, Das D, Chakravarty P, Vogt J, Rogers KW, Muller P, Hinck AP, Sapkota GP, Hill CS (2018) TGF-beta uses a novel mode of receptor activation to phosphorylate SMAD1/5 and induce epithelial-tomesenchymal transition. *Elife* 7: e31756
- Rambow F, Rogiers A, Marin-Bejar O, Aibar S, Femel J, Dewaele M, Karras P, Brown D, Chang YH, Debiec-Rychter M *et al* (2018) Toward minimal residual disease-directed therapy in melanoma. *Cell* 174: 843–855.e19
- Redfern AD, Spalding LJ, Thompson EW (2018) The Kraken Wakes: induced EMT as a driver of tumour aggression and poor outcome. *Clin Exp Metastasis* 35: 285–308
- Ren J, Chen Y, Song H, Chen L, Wang R (2013) Inhibition of ZEB1 reverses EMT and chemoresistance in docetaxel-resistant human lung adenocarcinoma cell line. *J Cell Biochem* 114: 1395–1403
- Reya T, Morrison SJ, Clarke MF, Weissman IL (2001) Stem cells, cancer, and cancer stem cells. *Nature* 414: 105–111

- Richard G, Dalle S, Monet MA, Ligier M, Boespflug A, Pommier RM, de la Fouchardiere A, Perier-Muzet M, Depaepe L, Barnault R *et al* (2016) ZEB1mediated melanoma cell plasticity enhances resistance to MAPK inhibitors. *EMBO Mol Med* 8: 1143–1161
- Ridley AJ (2011) Life at the leading edge. Cell 145: 1012-1022
- Ruh M, Stemmler MP, Frisch I, Fuchs K, van Roey R, Kleemann J, Roas M, Schuhwerk H, Eccles RL, Agaimy A *et al* (2021) The EMT transcription factor ZEB1 blocks osteoblastic differentiation in bone development and osteosarcoma. *J Pathol* 254: 199–211
- Ruscetti M, Quach B, Dadashian EL, Mulholland DJ, Wu H (2015) Tracking and functional characterization of epithelial-mesenchymal transition and mesenchymal tumor cells during prostate cancer metastasis. *Cancer Res* 75: 2749–2759
- Sahai E, Astsaturov I, Cukierman E, DeNardo DG, Egeblad M, Evans RM, Fearon D, Greten FR, Hingorani SR, Hunter T *et al* (2020) A framework for advancing our understanding of cancer-associated fibroblasts. *Nat Rev Cancer* 20: 174–186
- Sakata J, Utsumi F, Suzuki S, Niimi K, Yamamoto E, Shibata K, Senga T, Kikkawa F, Kajiyama H (2017) Inhibition of ZEB1 leads to inversion of metastatic characteristics and restoration of paclitaxel sensitivity of chronic chemoresistant ovarian carcinoma cells. Oncotarget 8: 99482–99494
- Salazar Y, Zheng X, Brunn D, Raifer H, Picard F, Zhang Y, Winter H, Guenther S, Weigert A, Weigmann B *et al* (2020) Microenvironmental Th9 and Th17 lymphocytes induce metastatic spreading in lung cancer. *J Clin Invest* 130: 3560–3575
- Sanchez-Tillo E, de Barrios O, Siles L, Cuatrecasas M, Castells A, Postigo A (2011) beta-catenin/TCF4 complex induces the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT)-activator ZEB1 to regulate tumor invasiveness. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108: 19204–19209
- Santamaria PG, Moreno-Bueno G, Cano A (2019) Contribution of epithelial plasticity to therapy resistance. J Clin Med 8: 676
- Santisteban M, Reiman JM, Asiedu MK, Behrens MD, Nassar A, Kalli KR, Haluska P, Ingle JN, Hartmann LC, Manjili MH *et al* (2009) Immuneinduced epithelial to mesenchymal transition in vivo generates breast cancer stem cells. *Cancer Res* 69: 2887–2895
- Saxena M, Stephens MA, Pathak H, Rangarajan A (2011) Transcription factors that mediate epithelial-mesenchymal transition lead to multidrug resistance by upregulating ABC transporters. *Cell Death Dis* 2: e179
- Scheel C, Eaton E, Li S-J, Chaffer C, Reinhardt F, Kah K-J, Bell G, Guo W, Rubin J, Richardson A *et al* (2011) Paracrine and autocrine signals induce and maintain mesenchymal and stem cell states in the breast. *Cell* 145: 926–940
- Sciacovelli M, Frezza C (2017) Fumarate drives EMT in renal cancer. *Cell Death Differ* 24: 1–2
- Sciacovelli M, Gonçalves E, Johnson TI, Zecchini VR, da Costa ASH, Gaude E, Drubbel AV, Theobald SJ, Abbo SR, Tran MGB *et al* (2016) Fumarate is an epigenetic modifier that elicits epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. *Nature* 537: 544–547
- Seoane J, Gomis RR (2017) TGF-beta family signaling in tumor suppression and cancer progression. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 9: a022277
- Sequist LV, Waltman BA, Dias-Santagata D, Digumarthy S, Turke AB, Fidias P, Bergethon K, Shaw AT, Gettinger S, Cosper AK *et al* (2011) Genotypic and histological evolution of lung cancers acquiring resistance to EGFR inhibitors. *Sci Transl Med* 3: 75ra26
- Shaffer SM, Dunagin MC, Torborg SR, Torre EA, Emert B, Krepler C, Beqiri M, Sproesser K, Brafford PA, Xiao M *et al* (2017) Rare cell variability and drug-induced reprogramming as a mode of cancer drug resistance. *Nature* 546: 431–435

- Shao D, Xue W, Krall E, Bhutkar A, Piccioni F, Wang X, Schinzel A, Sood S, Rosenbluh J, Kim J *et al* (2014) KRAS and YAP1 converge to regulate EMT and tumor survival. *Cell* 158: 171–184
- Shaul Y, Freinkman E, Comb W, Cantor J, Tam W, Thiru P, Kim D, Kanarek N, Pacold M, Chen W *et al* (2014) Dihydropyrimidine accumulation is required for the epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *Cell* 158: 1094–1109
- Sheng W, Shi X, Lin Y, Tang J, Jia C, Cao R, Sun J, Wang G, Zhou L, Dong M (2020) Musashi2 promotes EGF-induced EMT in pancreatic cancer via ZEB1-ERK/MAPK signaling. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 39: 16
- Shibue T, Brooks MW, Weinberg RA (2013) An integrin-linked machinery of cytoskeletal regulation that enables experimental tumor initiation and metastatic colonization. *Cancer Cell* 24: 481–498
- Shibue T, Weinberg RA (2009) Integrin beta1-focal adhesion kinase signaling directs the proliferation of metastatic cancer cells disseminated in the lungs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 106: 10290–10295
- Shibue T, Weinberg RA (2017) EMT, CSCs, and drug resistance: the mechanistic link and clinical implications. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 14: 611–629
- Shimono Y, Zabala M, Cho RW, Lobo N, Dalerba P, Qian D, Diehn M, Liu H, Panula SP, Chiao E *et al* (2009) Downregulation of miRNA-200c links breast cancer stem cells with normal stem cells. *Cell* 138: 592–603
- Shin S, Dimitri CA, Yoon SO, Dowdle W, Blenis J (2010) ERK2 but not ERK1 induces epithelial-to-mesenchymal transformation via DEF motif-dependent signaling events. *Mol Cell* 38: 114–127
- Shintani Y, Fujiwara A, Kimura T, Kawamura T, Funaki S, Minami M, Okumura M (2016) IL-6 secreted from cancer-associated fibroblasts mediates chemoresistance in NSCLC by increasing epithelial-mesenchymal transition signaling. *J Thorac Oncol* 11: 1482–1492
- Shirakihara T, Saitoh M, Miyazono K (2007) Differential regulation of epithelial and mesenchymal markers by deltaEF1 proteins in epithelial mesenchymal transition induced by TGF-beta. *Mol Biol Cell* 18: 3533–3544
- Siemens H, Jackstadt R, Hunten S, Kaller M, Menssen A, Gotz U, Hermeking H (2011) miR-34 and SNAIL form a double-negative feedback loop to regulate epithelial-mesenchymal transitions. *Cell Cycle* 10: 4256–4271
- Singh A, Greninger P, Rhodes D, Koopman L, Violette S, Bardeesy N, Settleman J (2009) A gene expression signature associated with "K-Ras addiction" reveals regulators of EMT and tumor cell survival. *Cancer Cell* 15: 489–500
- Singh A, Settleman J (2010) EMT, cancer stem cells and drug resistance: an emerging axis of evil in the war on cancer. *Oncogene* 29: 4741–4751
- Slowicka K, Petta I, Blancke G, Hoste E, Dumas E, Sze M, Vikkula H, Radaelli E, Haigh JJ, Jonckheere S et al (2020) Zeb2 drives invasive and microbiotadependent colon carcinoma. Nature Cancer 1: 620–634
- Song NA, Jing W, Li CE, Bai M, Cheng YU, Li H, Hou K, Li Y, Wang K, Li Z *et al* (2018) ZEB1 inhibition sensitizes cells to the ATR inhibitor VE-821 by abrogating epithelial-mesenchymal transition and enhancing DNA damage. *Cell Cycle* 17: 595–604
- Spaderna S, Schmalhofer O, Hlubek F, Berx G, Eger A, Merkel S, Jung A, Kirchner T, Brabletz T (2006) A transient, EMT-linked loss of basement membranes indicates metastasis and poor survival in colorectal cancer. *Gastroenterology* 131: 830–840
- Spaderna S, Schmalhofer O, Wahlbuhl M, Dimmler A, Bauer K, Sultan A, Hlubek F, Jung A, Strand D, Eger A *et al* (2008) The transcriptional repressor ZEB1 promotes metastasis and loss of cell polarity in cancer. *Cancer Res* 68: 537–544
- Stemmler MP, Eccles RL, Brabletz S, Brabletz T (2019) Non-redundant functions of EMT transcription factors. *Nat Cell Biol* 21: 102–112
- Su J, Zhang A, Shi Z, Ma F, Pu P, Wang T, Zhang J, Kang C, Zhang Q (2012) MicroRNA-200a suppresses the Wnt/beta-catenin signaling pathway by interacting with beta-catenin. *Int J Oncol* 40: 1162–1170

- Sullivan NJ, Sasser AK, Axel AE, Vesuna F, Raman V, Ramirez N, Oberyszyn TM, Hall BM (2009) Interleukin-6 induces an epithelial-mesenchymal transition phenotype in human breast cancer cells. *Oncogene* 28: 2940–2947
- Sun D, Luo T, Dong P, Zhang N, Chen J, Zhang S, Dong L, Janssen HLA, Zhang S (2020) M2-polarized tumor-associated macrophages promote epithelialmesenchymal transition via activation of the AKT3/PRAS40 signaling pathway in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *J Cell Biochem* 121: 2828–2838

Sun NY, Yang MH (2020) Metabolic reprogramming and epithelialmesenchymal plasticity: opportunities and challenges for cancer therapy. *Front Oncol* 10: 792

- Sundararajan V, Gengenbacher N, Stemmler MP, Kleemann JA, Brabletz T, Brabletz S (2015) The ZEB1/miR-200c feedback loop regulates invasion via actin interacting proteins MYLK and TKS5. *Oncotarget* 6: 27083–27096
- Takano S, Reichert M, Bakir B, Das KK, Nishida T, Miyazaki M, Heeg S, Collins MA, Marchand B, Hicks PD *et al* (2016) Prrx1 isoform switching regulates pancreatic cancer invasion and metastatic colonization. *Genes Dev* 30: 233–247
- Taki M, Abiko K, Baba T, Hamanishi J, Yamaguchi K, Murakami R, Yamanoi K, Horikawa N, Hosoe Y, Nakamura E *et al* (2018) Snail promotes ovarian cancer progression by recruiting myeloid-derived suppressor cells via CXCR2 ligand upregulation. *Nat Commun* 9: 1685
- Tauriello DVF, Palomo-Ponce S, Stork D, Berenguer-Llergo A, Badia-Ramentol J, Iglesias M, Sevillano M, Ibiza S, Canellas A, Hernando-Momblona X *et al* (2018) TGFbeta drives immune evasion in genetically reconstituted colon cancer metastasis. *Nature* 554: 538–543
- Terry S, Savagner P, Ortiz-Cuaran S, Mahjoubi L, Saintigny P, Thiery JP, Chouaib S (2017) New insights into the role of EMT in tumor immune escape. *Mol Oncol* 11: 824–846
- Thiery JP, Acloque H, Huang RY, Nieto MA (2009) Epithelial-mesenchymal transitions in development and disease. *Cell* 139: 871–890
- Tian YC, Chen YC, Chang CT, Hung CC, Wu MS, Phillips A, Yang CW (2007) Epidermal growth factor and transforming growth factor-betal enhance HK-2 cell migration through a synergistic increase of matrix metalloproteinase and sustained activation of ERK signaling pathway. *Exp Cell Res* 313: 2367–2377
- Timmerman LA, Grego-Bessa J, Raya A, Bertran E, Perez-Pomares JM, Diez J, Aranda S, Palomo S, McCormick F, Izpisua-Belmonte JC *et al* (2004) Notch promotes epithelial-mesenchymal transition during cardiac development and oncogenic transformation. *Genes Dev* 18: 99–115
- Tripathi SC, Peters HL, Taguchi A, Katayama H, Wang H, Momin A, Jolly MK, Celiktas M, Rodriguez-Canales J, Liu H *et al* (2016) Immunoproteasome deficiency is a feature of non-small cell lung cancer with a mesenchymal phenotype and is associated with a poor outcome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 113: E1555–E1564
- Trovato R, Cane S, Petrova V, Sartoris S, Ugel S, De Sanctis F (2020) The engagement between MDSCs and metastases: partners in crime. *Front Oncol* 10: 165
- Tsai JH, Donaher JL, Murphy DA, Chau S, Yang J (2012) Spatiotemporal regulation of epithelial-mesenchymal transition is essential for squamous cell carcinoma metastasis. *Cancer Cell* 22: 725–736
- Tulchinsky E, Demidov O, Kriajevska M, Barlev NA, Imyanitov E (2019) EMT: a mechanism for escape from EGFR-targeted therapy in lung cancer. Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer 1871: 29–39
- Uttamsingh S, Bao X, Nguyen KT, Bhanot M, Gong J, Chan JL, Liu F, Chu TT, Wang LH (2008) Synergistic effect between EGF and TGF-beta1 in

inducing oncogenic properties of intestinal epithelial cells. Oncogene 27: 2626-2634

- Vega S, Morales AV, Ocana OH, Valdes F, Fabregat I, Nieto MA (2004) Snail blocks the cell cycle and confers resistance to cell death. *Genes Dev* 18: 1131–1143
- Vincent T, Neve EP, Johnson JR, Kukalev A, Rojo F, Albanell J, Pietras K, Virtanen I, Philipson L, Leopold PL *et al* (2009) A SNAIL1-SMAD3/4 transcriptional repressor complex promotes TGF-beta mediated epithelialmesenchymal transition. *Nat Cell Biol* 11: 943–950
- Viswanathan VS, Ryan MJ, Dhruv HD, Gill S, Eichhoff OM, Seashore-Ludlow B, Kaffenberger SD, Eaton JK, Shimada K, Aguirre AJ *et al* (2017) Dependency of a therapy-resistant state of cancer cells on a lipid peroxidase pathway. *Nature* 547: 453–457
- Wang H, Xiang D, Liu B, He A, Randle HJ, Zhang KX, Dongre A, Sachs N, Clark AP, Tao L *et al* (2019a) Inadequate DNA damage repair promotes mammary transdifferentiation, leading to BRCA1 breast cancer. *Cell* 178: 135–151.e19
- Wang Y, Chen J, Yang L, Li J, Wu W, Huang M, Lin L, Su S (2019b) Tumorcontacted neutrophils promote metastasis by a CD90-TIMP-1 JUXTACRINE-paracrine loop. *Clin Cancer Res* 25: 1957–1969
- Wang Y, Liao R, Chen X, Ying X, Chen G, Li M, Dong C (2020) Twist-mediated PAR1 induction is required for breast cancer progression and metastasis by inhibiting Hippo pathway. *Cell Death Dis* 11: 520
- Wellner U, Schubert J, Burk UC, Schmalhofer O, Zhu F, Sonntag A, Waldvogel B, Vannier C, Darling D, Hausen AZ et al (2009) The EMT-activator ZEB1 promotes tumorigenicity by repressing stemness-inhibiting microRNAs. Nat Cell Biol 11: 1487–1495
- Wilson MM, Weinberg RA, Lees JA, Guen VJ (2020) Emerging mechanisms by which EMT programs control stemness. *Trends Cancer* 6: 775–780
- Wu DW, Lee MC, Hsu NY, Wu TC, Wu JY, Wang YC, Cheng YW, Chen CY, Lee H (2015) FHIT loss confers cisplatin resistance in lung cancer via the AKT/ NF-kappaB/Slug-mediated PUMA reduction. *Oncogene* 34: 2505–2515
- Wu L, Zhang XH (2020) Tumor-associated neutrophils and macrophagesheterogenous but not chaotic. *Front Immunol* 11: 553967
- Xie M, Zhang L, He CS, Xu F, Liu JL, Hu ZH, Zhao LP, Tian Y (2012) Activation of Notch-1 enhances epithelial-mesenchymal transition in gefitinibacquired resistant lung cancer cells. *J Cell Biochem* 113: 1501–1513
- Xu Y, Lee DK, Feng Z, Xu Y, Bu W, Li Y, Liao L, Xu J (2017) Breast tumor cellspecific knockout of Twist1 inhibits cancer cell plasticity, dissemination, and lung metastasis in mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114: 11494–11499
- Yang J, Antin P, Berx G, Blanpain C, Brabletz T, Bronner M, Campbell K, Cano A, Casanova J, Christofori G et al (2020) Guidelines and definitions for research on epithelial-mesenchymal transition. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 21: 341–352
- Yang J, Mani SA, Donaher JL, Ramaswamy S, Itzykson RA, Come C, Savagner P, Gitelman I, Richardson A, Weinberg RA (2004) Twist, a master regulator of morphogenesis, plays an essential role in tumor metastasis. *Cell* 117: 927–939
- Yang L, Lin C, Liu ZR (2006) P68 RNA helicase mediates PDGF-induced epithelial mesenchymal transition by displacing Axin from beta-catenin. *Cell* 127: 139–155
- Yang M-H, Hsu D-S, Wang H-W, Wang H-J, Lan H-Y, Yang W-H, Huang C-H, Kao S-Y, Tzeng C-H, Tai S-K et al (2010) Bmi1 is essential in Twist1induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition. Nat Cell Biol 12: 982–992
- Yang MH, Wu MZ, Chiou SH, Chen PM, Chang SY, Liu CJ, Teng SC, Wu KJ (2008) Direct regulation of TWIST by HIF-1alpha promotes metastasis. *Nat Cell Biol* 10: 295–305

- Ye X, Tam WL, Shibue T, Kaygusuz Y, Reinhardt F, Ng Eaton E, Weinberg RA (2015) Distinct EMT programs control normal mammary stem cells and tumour-initiating cells. *Nature* 525: 256–260
- Yilmaz M, Christofori G (2009) EMT, the cytoskeleton, and cancer cell invasion. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* 28: 15-33
- Yochum ZA, Cades J, Wang H, Chatterjee S, Simons BW, O'Brien JP, Khetarpal SK, Lemtiri-Chlieh G, Myers KV, Huang E-B *et al* (2019)
 Targeting the EMT transcription factor TWIST1 overcomes resistance to EGFR inhibitors in EGFR-mutant non-small-cell lung cancer. *Oncogene* 38: 656–670
- Youssef KK, Nieto MA (2020) Glucose metabolism takes center stage in epithelial-mesenchymal plasticity. *Dev Cell* 53: 133–135
- Yu M, Bardia A, Wittner BS, Stott SL, Smas ME, Ting DT, Isakoff SJ, Ciciliano JC, Wells MN, Shah AM *et al* (2013) Circulating breast tumor cells exhibit dynamic changes in epithelial and mesenchymal composition. *Science* 339: 580–584
- Yu Y, Xiao CH, Tan LD, Wang QS, Li XQ, Feng YM (2014) Cancer-associated fibroblasts induce epithelial-mesenchymal transition of breast cancer cells through paracrine TGF-beta signalling. *Br J Cancer* 110: 724–732
- Yuan X, Wu H, Han N, Xu H, Chu Q, Yu S, Chen Y, Wu K (2014) Notch signaling and EMT in non-small cell lung cancer: biological significance and therapeutic application. *J Hematol Oncol* 7: 87
- Zanconato F, Forcato M, Battilana G, Azzolin L, Quaranta E, Bodega B, Rosato A, Bicciato S, Cordenonsi M, Piccolo S (2015) Genome-wide association between YAP/TAZ/TEAD and AP-1 at enhancers drives oncogenic growth. *Nat Cell Biol* 17: 1218–1227
- Zavadil J, Bottinger EP (2005) TGF-beta and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transitions. *Oncogene* 24: 5764–5774
- Zhang D, Yang L, Liu X, Gao J, Liu T, Yan Q, Yang X (2020) Hypoxia modulates stem cell properties and induces EMT through N-glycosylation of EpCAM in breast cancer cells. *J Cell Physiol* 235: 3626–3633
- Zhang H, Liu CY, Zha ZY, Zhao B, Yao J, Zhao S, Xiong Y, Lei QY, Guan KL (2009) TEAD transcription factors mediate the function of TAZ in cell growth and epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *J Biol Chem* 284: 13355–13362
- Zhang L, Liao Y, Tang L (2019a) MicroRNA-34 family: a potential tumor suppressor and therapeutic candidate in cancer. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* 38: 53
- Zhang P, Wei Y, Wang Li, Debeb BG, Yuan Y, Zhang J, Yuan J, Wang M, Chen D, Sun Y *et al* (2014) ATM-mediated stabilization of ZEB1 promotes DNA damage response and radioresistance through CHK1. *Nat Cell Biol* 16: 864–875
- Zhang T, Liu L, Lai W, Zeng Y, Xu H, Lan Q, Su P, Chu Z (2019b) Interaction with tumorassociated macrophages promotes PRL3induced invasion of colorectal cancer cells via MAPK pathwayinduced EMT and NFkappaB signalinginduced angiogenesis. *Oncol Rep* 41: 2790–2802
- Zhang X, Zhang Z, Zhang Q, Zhang Q, Sun P, Xiang R, Ren G, Yang S (2018) ZEB1 confers chemotherapeutic resistance to breast cancer by activating ATM. *Cell Death Dis* 9: 57
- Zhang Z, Lee JC, Lin L, Olivas V, Au V, LaFramboise T, Abdel-Rahman M, Wang X, Levine AD, Rho JK *et al* (2012) Activation of the AXL kinase causes resistance to EGFR-targeted therapy in lung cancer. *Nat Genet* 44: 852–860
- Zhao L, Ji G, Le X, Luo Z, Wang C, Feng M, Xu L, Zhang Y, Lau Wb, Lau B *et al* (2017) An integrated analysis identifies STAT4 as a key regulator of ovarian cancer metastasis. *Oncogene* 36: 3384–3396
- Zheng X, Carstens JL, Kim J, Scheible M, Kaye J, Sugimoto H, Wu CC, LeBleu VS, Kalluri R (2015) Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition is dispensable for

metastasis but induces chemoresistance in pancreatic cancer. Nature 527: 525–530

- Zheng X, Lu G, Yao Y, Gu W (2019) An autocrine IL-6/IGF-1R loop mediates EMT and promotes tumor growth in non-small cell lung cancer. *Int J Biol Sci* 15: 1882–1891
- Zhou BP, Deng J, Xia W, Xu J, Li YM, Gunduz M, Hung MC (2004) Dual regulation of Snail by GSK-3beta-mediated phosphorylation in control of epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *Nat Cell Biol* 6: 931–940
- Zhu G, Li X, Guo B, Ke Q, Dong M, Li F (2016) PAK5-mediated E47 phosphorylation promotes epithelial-mesenchymal transition and metastasis of colon cancer. *Oncogene* 35: 1943–1954

- Zhu H, Gu Y, Xue Y, Yuan M, Cao X, Liu Q (2017) CXCR2(+) MDSCs promote breast cancer progression by inducing EMT and activated T cell exhaustion. *Oncotarget* 8: 114554–114567
- Zou W, Wolchok JD, Chen L (2016) PD-L1 (B7–H1) and PD-1 pathway blockade for cancer therapy: Mechanisms, response biomarkers, and combinations. *Sci Transl Med* 8: 328rv324



License: This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.