Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Toxicology Reports

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/toxrep

Efficiency of the leaves and fruits of *Aegle marmelos* methanol extract (L.) Correa and their relative hepatotoxicity induced by CCL₄ and identification of their active constituents by using LC/MS/MS



Nabaweya A. Ibrahim^a, Magdy M.D. Mohammed^{a,c}, Hanan F. Aly^b, Sanaa Ahmed Ali^{b,*}, Doaa-Abd Al-Hady^a

^a Pharmacognosy Department, National Research Centre (NRC), Dokki, 12622, Giza, Egypt

^b Therapeutic Chemistry Department, National Research Centre (NRC), 33 EL Bohouth St. (former EL Tahrirst.), Dokki, Giza, 12622, Egypt

^c Institut für Umweltforschung (INFU), Technische Universität Dortmund, Otto-Hahn-Strasse 6, D-44221 Dortmund, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: A. marmelos LC/MS/MS Alkaloids Flavonoids CCl₄ Hepatotoxicity Liver function Histopathology

ABSTRACT

The methanol extracts of both leaves and fruits (MEL & MEF) of *A. marmelos* (L.) Correa (family Rutaceae) were analyzed by using analytical method based on liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS). The objective of this study was to identify the active constituents of (MEL & MEF) of *A. marmelos*. Six, alkaloids namely aeglemarmelosine, marmesiline aegelinoside, shahidine, anhydromarmeline and *N*-2-methoxy-2-(4-methoxyphenyl) ethylcinnamide and two flavonoids, rutin and kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside in leaves were identified. Two alkaloids marmesiline and shahidine in the methanol extracts of fruits, also have been identified. Moreover, the efficiency of extracts was performed for measuring the reducing hepatotoxicity effect induced by carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄) in mice. Accordingly, several biochemical parameters were performed such as lipid profile in serum, liver functions enzyme activities, glycolytic enzyme activities. In addition, LDH and SDH were investigated. The results obtained demonstrated, significant increase in lipid profile, liver function biomarkers in addition to glycolytic enzyme activities in CCl₄-induced hepatotoxicity. Histopathological examination confirmed the biochemical fraumetos findings as well as at cellular level. It could be concluded that both MEL & MEF) of *A. marmelos* showed amelioration signs in biochemical findings as well as at cellular level. It could be concluded that both MEL & MEF or he used clinically for their potential effect as a hepatoprotective that normalized liver function biomarkers, hepatic architecture and restore physiologically status of the body against CCl₄ intoxication.

1. Introduction

Carbon tetrachloride is one of the xenobiotics that have been reported to induce acute and chronic tissue injuries and is a well-established hepatotoxic. CCl_4 was reported to increase serum hepatotoxicity and nephrotoxicity markers. Free radicals play an important role in CCl_4 -induced liver and renal damage process [1]. Histopathologically exposure to CCl_4 caused hepatic steatosis, centrilobular necrosis, and cirrhosis in the liver and acute tubular necrosis in the kidney [2].

Aegle marmelos (L.) Correa is a tree belongs to family Rutaceae found all over India and commonly known as the bale fruit tree. It is used in traditional medicine for the treatment of various diseases such as dysentery, fever, diabetes, asthma, heart problems, ophthalmic, hemorrhoids and urinary problems in humans [3]. Fruit of *A. marmelos* is prescribed in the treatment of tuberculosis, hepatitis and used against stomach complications [4].

The aqueous decoction of the leaves has been reported to have a significant hypoglycemic effect and helps in regeneration of a damaged pancreas [5]. Also, extract of the leaves has been reported to have chemopreventive potential especially against chemical carcinogenesis [6]. Aqueous and alcoholic extracts of *A. marmelos* leaves reported to have cardiotonic effect. The major constituents of leaves extract were tannins, skimmianin, essential oil, sterol, triterpenoids, flavonoids and coumarins [7].

The chemical constituents of the essential oil of *A. marmelos* which recorded promising antifungal and antimicrobial activities also, the hypoglycemic effect of methanol extract and mucilage of *A. marmelos* fruits were recently reported by [8,9]. In addition, two new cytotoxic alkaloids of the furoquinoline-type were isolated from *A. marmelos* leaves [10].

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: sanaanrcali12@gmail.com (S.A. Ali).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxrep.2018.09.005

Received 11 July 2017; Received in revised form 20 September 2018; Accepted 28 September 2018 Available online 13 October 2018

2214-7500/ © 2018 National Research Centre. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY/4.0/).



Based on the broad range of activities known for both leaves and fruits of *A. marmelos*, the present research designed to evaluate the efficiency of the of the plant in ameliorating hepatotoxicity induced by CCl_4 . This was accompanied by identification without isolation for the major active constituents by using liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS) technique, for the first time.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant material

The fresh leaves and fruits of *A. marmelos* (L.) were collected from in El-Zohrya botanical garden, Giza, Egypt in April 2008. The plant was identified by Mrs. Therese Labib, consultant of taxonomy at the ministry of agriculture and the former director of El-Orman Botanical Garden. A voucher specimen (No. 00017 1Ac 04-02-05-17) was kept at the Herbarium of El-Orman Botanical Garden.

2.2. Method of extraction

The collected leaves and fruits were air dried, the powdered plant was kept in tightly-closed containers. Leaves and fruits (1 kg of each part) were successively extracted using a soxhlet apparatus with solvents of increasing polarities (pet. ether, chloroform, ethyl acetate and methanol). The MEL & MEF were evaporated to dryness at 40 °C to yield 10.9, 12.0% w/w respectively, the chemical constituents of leaves and fruits were determined by LC/MS/MS technique as revealed in Table 1. The hepatoprotective effect against CCl₄ toxicology was also performed.

2.3. LC/MS/MS condition

The high-resolution mass spectra were obtained with an LTQ-Orbitrap spectrometer (ThermoFisher, USA) equipped with a HESI-II source. The spectrometer was operated in positive mode (1 spectrums⁻¹; mass range: 200–1000) with nominal mass resolving power of 60,000 at m/z 400 with a scan rate of 1 Hz with automatic gain control to provide high-accuracy mass measurements within 2 ppm deviation using an internal standard; bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate: m/z = 391.284286. The spectrometer was attached with an Agilent 1200 HPLC system (Santa Clara, USA) consisting of LC-pump, PDA detector $(\lambda = 205 \text{ nm})$, auto sampler (injection volume $10 \,\mu\text{L}$) and column oven (30 °C). MS/MS experiments were performed by CID (Collision Induced Decay, 35 eV) mode. Following parameters were used for experiments: spray voltage 5 kV, capillary temperature 260 °C, tube lens 70 V. Nitrogen was used as sheath gas (50 arbitrary units) and auxiliary gas (five arbitrary units). Helium served as the collision gas. The separations and purifications were performed by using a Nucleodur Gravity column (50 \times 2 mm, 1.8 μm particle size) from Macherey–Nagel (Düren, Germany) with a H₂O (+0.1% HCOOH, +10 mM NH₄Ac) (A)/ acetonitrile (+0.1% HCOOH) (B) gradient (flow rate $300 \,\mu L \,min^{-1}$).

| Та | ble | e 1 |
|----|---------|-----|
| | ~ ~ ~ ~ | - |

| LC/MS/MS of Methanol Extract leaves and fruit of A. marmelos (L.) Corre |
|---|
|---|

| Identified Compounds | M.F. | Leaves | Fruits | \mathbf{R}_t | B.P | $[M+H]^+$ |
|---|--|--------|--------|----------------|------------|------------|
| Rutin Aeglemarmelosine | $C_{27}H_{30}O_{16}$ $C_{16}H_{15}NO_{2}$ | + + | _ | 14.27 15.24 | 303 214 | 611 255 |
| Kaempferol-3- <i>O</i> - rutinoside | $C_{27}H_{30}O_{15}$ | + | - | 16.40 | 287 | 595 |
| Marmesiline | $C_{22}H_{25}NO_4$ | + | + | 17.21 | 368 | 368 |
| Aegelinosides | $C_{24}H_{29}NO_8$ | + | - | 17.99 | 280 | 460 |
| Shahidine | $C_{18}H_{17}NO_2$ | + | + | 22.06 | 214 | 280 |
| N-2-Methoxy-2-(4- methoxyphenyl) Ethylcinnamide | $\mathrm{C_{19}H_{21}NO_{3}}$ | + | - | 22.09 | 280 | 312 |
| Anhydromarmeline | $C_{22}H_{23}NO_2$ | + | - | 26.29 | 334 | 334 |

Rt: retention time; B.P: bas peak; M.F.: molecular formula.

Samples were analyzed by using a gradient program as follows: 90% A isocratic for 2 min, linear gradient to 100% B over 13 min, after 100% B isocratic for 5 min, the system returned to its initial condition (90% A) within 0.5 min, and was equilibrated for 4.5 min.

2.4. Chemicals

All the reagents used were of analytical grade and were obtained from Sigma (USA), Randox (U.K), Biodiagnostic and Stanbio (Egypt) Chemicals Co.

2.5. Animals

The experimental animals were intact male mice (strain CDI) obtained from animal house of Theodor Bilharz Institute, Cairo, Egypt ranges in weight from 30 to 35 g, they were fed on standard protein rich pellet diet (*ad- libitum*) obtained from EL-Kahira company for Oil and Soap. Anesthetic procedures complied with legal ethical guidelines approved by the Ethical Committee of the National Research Centre (Egypt) with approval No (13-018) for hepatoprotective study. Adequate measures were taken to minimize animal pain and discomfort. Also, the experiments were carried out in accordance to NIH guidelines for care and use of animals

2.6. Experimental design

Sixty mice were divided into six groups of 10 mice each asfollows:

G1. Control group, received orally saline (0.2 ml/100 g) for 30 days.

G2. *A. marmelos* leaves group, where mice received orally 250 mg/kg body weight/day methanol extract of *A. marmelos* leaves for 30 successive days [11].

G3. *A. marmelos* fruits group, where mice received orally 125 mg/kg body weight/day methanol extract of *A. marmelos* fruits for 30 successive days [12].

G4. CCl₄-intoxicated group, where mice injected intraperitoneal (i.p.) with fresh mixtures of equal volumes of CCl₄ and olive oil for two consecutive days at the dose 0.1 ml/100 g body weight/day [4].

G5. *A. marmelos* leaves treated group, where intoxicated mice treated orally with A. *marmelos* leaves extract (250 mg /kg) body weight/day at the 3rd day post the last dose of CCl_4 and for 30 successive days.

G6. *A. marmelos* fruits treated group where intoxicated mice were treated orally with *A. marmelos* leaves extract (125 mg / kg) body weight/day at the 3rd day post the last dose of CCl₄ and for 30 successive days.

2.7. Preparation of samples

At the end of the experiment, mice were fasted overnight for more than 12 h, and then blood sample was withdrawn and allowed to clot, then centrifuged, serum stored at -80 °C to be used for biochemical analysis. Mice were then sacrificed and 0.5 g liver tissue was homogenized in 4.5 ml saline solution (0.9%) to yield 10% liver homogenate freshly used or kept frozen at -80 °C for biochemical analysis.

2.8. Histopathology

Liver specimens were fixed in 10% formalin, sectioned (4 µm thick) and stained with Hematoxyline and Eosin stain. They were examined using light microscopy [13].

2.9. Calculation

Percentages of change and improvement were calculated according to the following equations [14].

$$\% \quad \text{Change} = \frac{\text{Mean of treated} - \text{Mean of control}}{\text{Mean of control}} \times 100$$
$$\% \quad \text{Improvement} = \frac{\text{Mean of disease} - \text{Mean of treated}}{\text{Mean of control}} \times 100$$

2.10. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out by one way analysis of variance (ANOVA), the SPSS computer program (Version 11), combined with post-Hoc (LSD; Least Significance Difference where significance is considered at $P \leq 0.05$).

2.11. A. Determination of serum biochemical parameters

Total cholesterol (TC) level [15], high and low density lipoprotein – cholesterol (HDL-c and LDL-c) [16], triglyceride [17], total lipids [18] and phospholipids [19] were determined.

2.12. B. Determination of glycolytic enzyme activities in liver tissue homogenate

Estimation of succinate dehydrogenase enzyme activity (EC 1.3.99.1) [20], lactate dehydrogenase activity (EC 1.1.1.27) [21], total bilirubin [22], alanine and aspartate aminotransferases enzyme activities (ALT & AST) [23,24], alkaline phosphatase [25],

total protein content [26] and serum albumin [27] were also measured.

3. Result

3.1. The methanol extracts of leaves and fruits of A. marmelos were analyzed by LC/MS/MS using computer program X-Caliber

Six alkaloidal compounds namely aeglemarmelosine, marmesiline, aegelinoside, shahidine, *N*-2-methoxy-2-(4-methoxyphenyl) ethylcinnamide and anhydromarmeline had been identified and two flavonoids, rutin and kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside in the methanol extracts of leaves. Additionally, two alkaloids, marmesiline and shahidine in the methanol extracts of fruits had been identified. The identification of the compounds was based on the comparison of their mass spectral data and the fragmentation pattern including molecular ion peak and their CID fragmentations pattern with the previously reported data as shown in Table 1.

3.2. Lipid profile in normal, CCl₄ intoxicated and treated mice

Table 2 revealed that significant reduction in total cholesterol, triglycerides and total lipid in normal mice treated with MEL & MEF. While, the study did not observe significant changes in HDL-C, LDL-C and phospholipids levels in control mice treated with MEL & MEF compared to untreated control mice. On the other hand, significant increase in total cholesterol, LDL-C, triglycerides, total lipid and phospholipids in CCl₄ intoxicated mice with percentages of increase reached to 127.38, 265.25, 89.49, 74.23 and 86.89%, respectively compared to normal control. However, HDL-C exhibited significant decrease as compared to normal control mice (35%). The CCl₄ intoxicated mice treated with MEL & MEF, marked improvement noticed in total cholesterol with percentage of improvement recorded 84.87 and 103.16%, respectively. While HDL-C level showed improvement with percentage amounted 72.18 and 58.20%, respectively. Also, amelioration was noticed in LDL, triglycerides, total lipid and phospholipids with percentage 219.27, 98.14, 47.76 and 54.17%, respectively, for methanol leaves and 249.13, 103.81, 54.58 and 76.10%, respectively for methanol fruits as in (Fig. 1-A).

3.3. Liver function enzyme activities in normal, CCl₄-intoxicated and treated mice

Table 3 showed insignificant changes in all liver function enzyme activities and total bilirubin in normal mice treated with MEL & MEF compared to normal untreated one. While, significant increase in AST, ALT, ALP enzyme activities and total bilirubin level in CCl₄-intoxicated mice with percentage increase 80.31, 124.54, 22.81 and 155.54%, respectively. Remarkable improvement was noticed in liver function biomarkers of intoxicated mice treated with both extracts exhibited percentages of improvement 51.47, 60.66, 13.59 and 108.04%, for AST, ALT, ALP and total bilirubin level for leaves extract. While, the percentages of amelioration reached at 65.72, 69.31, 14.84 and 119.44%, respectively for fruits treated one. So, the higher ameliorative effect for fruits extract followed by leaves (Fig. 1-B).

3.4. Albumin level and total protein content in normal, CCl₄-intoxicated and treated mice

Table 4 revealed insignificant increase in albumin level and total protein content in normal MEL & MEF treated mice as compared to control group. While, CCl_4 intoxicated mice exhibited a significant increase in both albumin and total protein levels with percentages amounted 287.58 and 146.57%, respectively as compared to normal control. Marked improvement was observed in the albumin level post treatment of intoxicated mice with MEL & MEF, with improvement percentages 233.98 and 231.37%, respectively. However, total protein content showed insignificant change post treatment of intoxicated mice with both leaves and fruits as compared to normal mice (Fig. 1-C).

3.5. LDH and SDH enzyme activities in normal, CCL_{4} -intoxicated and treated mice

Table 5 declared insignificant change in LDH and SDH enzyme activities in mice treated with MEL & MEF as compared to untreated normal control mice. A significant increase was noticed in LDH and SDH enzyme activities in CCl₄.intoxicated mice with percentages increase amounted 68.95 and 155.63%, respectively as compared to normal control group. Moreover, the percentages of amelioration of LDH and SDH activities recorded 33.77, 66.21%, for methanol leaves, 47.21 and 95.22%, for methanol fruits extracts, respectively as in (Fig. 1D).

3.6. Histopathological investigation

Histopathological sections of mice liver tissues (H & E 200×) Fig. 2(A): control section of mice showing normal hepatic cells. Fig. 2(B &C): sections of control mice given methanol extract of leaves (200×) & extract fruits (100×) respectively showing no change of hepatocyte closed to control hepatic cells. (D): CCl₄ induced hepatotoxic section of mice showing hepatocellular degeneration, cytoplasmic vacuolization and necrosis of hepatocytes and partial infiltration with inflammatory cells.(E&F): sections of CCl₄ injected mice and treated with MEL & MEF (200×) respectively treated revealed a significant enhancement in hepatic cells with normal sinusoid nearly normal parenchyma architecture, in addition Fig. 3 illustrate % percent change of normal & damage liver area.

4. Discussion

A. marmelos is a subtropical plant. The compounds identified by LC/

Table 2 Lipid profile in normal, CCl4 intoxicated and treated mice.

| Parameters Groups | normal control (1) | Leaves- treated normal (2) | Fruit- treated normal (3) | CCl ₄₋ injected mice (4) | Leaves-treatrdCCl ₄ intoxicated mice (5) | Fruit- treatrdCCl ₄ intoxicate d mice (6) | LSD |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|-------|
| T. cholesterol | 58.83 ± 1.46 (2-6) | 53.88 ± 2.54 (1,4,5,6) | 52.30 ± 1.60 (1,4-6) | 133.77 ± 3.26 (1,2,3,5,6) | 83.84 ± 3.48 (1-4,6) | 73.08 ± 2.49 (1,2–,5) | 0.000 |
| HDL Cholesterol | 25.60 ± 0.65 (4,5,6) | 26.08 ± 0.45 (4,5,6) | 26.06 ± 0.70 (4,5,6) | 16.51 ± 1.76 (1,2,3,5,6) | 31.41 ± 1.72 (1-4) | 34.99 ± 1.69 (1-4) | 0.000 |
| LDL- cholesterol | 17.18 ± 1.81 (4-6) | 14.93 ± 0.76 (4-6) | 14.75 ± 0.69 (4-6) | 62.75 ± 3.42 (1,2,3,5,6) | 25.08 ± 1.32 (1,2,3,4,6) | 19.95 ± 0.89 (1-5) | 0.001 |
| Triglycerides | 85.33 ± 2.49 (2–6) | 76.88 ± 1.69 (1,4,6) | 74.83 ± 1.24 (1,4) | 161.69 ± 3.18 (1,2,3,5,6) | 77.95 ± 1.89 (1,4,6) | 73.11 ± 2.17 (1,2,4,5) | 0.000 |
| Total lipid | 990 ± 7.89 (1,3-6) | 820 ± 38.6 (1,3-,6) | 736 ± 27.17 (1,2,4–6) | 1725 ± 34.67 (1,2,3,5,6) | 1252 ± 41.1 (1-4,6) | $1185. \pm 23.80$ (1-,5) | 0.000 |
| Phospholipids | 86.37 ± 3.75 (4,5) | 82.51 ± 7.54 (4,5) | 78.19 ± 6.59 (4–6) | 161.42 ± 10.16 (1,2,3,5,6) | 114.63 ± 19.37 (1-4,6) | 95.71 ± 14.43 (3–5) | 0.000 |

Data are mean (\pm S.D) of six mice in each group and are express in mg/dl.

Statistics is carried out by one – way ANOVA (SPSS computer program and the analysis of variance is carried out. By post-hoc and LSD (least significant difference), where the mean difference is significant at $P \le 0.05$ level.



Fig. 1. illustrating percentages of improvement in different biomarkers of CCl4-intoxicated rats treated with leaves and fruits of A. marmelose.

Table 3

Liver function enzyme activities in normal, CCl4- intoxicated and treated mice.

| Parameters Groups | Normal control (1) | Leaves -treated normal (2) | Fruit -treated normal (3) | CCl ₄₋ injected mice (4) | leaves treatrdCCl ₄ intoxicated mice (5) | Fruit- treatrdCCl ₄ intoxicated mice (6) | LSD |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|---|-------|
| AST (U/L) | 49.10 ± 0.64 (4–6) | 48.78 ± 0.49 (4–6) | 49.17 ± 0.67 (4-,6) | 88.53 ± 1.61 (1,2,3,5,6) | 63.26 ± 2.94 (1,2,3,5.6) | 56.26 ± 1.1 (1-,5) | 0.000 |
| ALT (U/L) | 26.69 ± 1.14 (4-6) | 26.76 ± 0.36 (4-6) | 27.6 ± 0.64 | 59.93 ± 0.43 (1.2.3.5.6) | 43.74 ± 2.44 (1.2.3.5.6) | 41.43 ± 2.21 (1-5) | 0.000 |
| ALP (U/L) | 179.17 ± 2.90 (46) | 180.99 ± 0.83 (4-6) | 182.43 ± 1.92 (4-6) | (1,2,3,5,6) 220.04 ± 8.19 (1,2,3,5,6) | (1,2,3,5,6) 195.65 ± 3.67 (1,2,3,5,6) | (1-5) 193.45 ± 4.02 (1-5) | 0.002 |
| Total bilirubin (mg/dl) | 51.75 ± 0.53 (4–6) | 50.64 ± 1.64 (4–6) | 50.36 ± 1.28 (4-6) | 132.24 ± 2.40 (1,2,3,5,6) | 76.33 ± 4.2 (1,2,3,5.6) | 70.43 ± 0.52 (1–5) | 0.001 |

Data are mean (\pm S.D) of six mice in each group and all enzymes are express in U/L. while total bilirubin is expressed in mg/dL. Statistics is carried out by one – way ANOVA (SPSS computer program and the analysis of variance is carried out by post-hoc and LSD (least significant difference), where the mean difference is significant at P \leq 0.05 level.

| rahl | ~ | 4 | |
|------|---|---|--|
| ladi | e | 4 | |

| Albumin level and tota | protein content in normal, CCl4 intoxicated | and treated mice. |
|------------------------|---|-------------------|
|------------------------|---|-------------------|

| Parameters Groups | Normal control (1) | Leaves -treated normal (2) | Fruit- treated normal (3) | CCl4- injected mice (4) | Leaves- treatrdCCl ₄ intoxicated mice (5) | Fruit- treatrdCCl ₄ intoxicate d mice (6) | LSD |
|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|-------|
| Albumin | 1.53 ± 0.02 (4,5) | 1.57 ± 0.11 (4,5) | 1.94 ± 0.04 (4-6) | 5.93 ± 0.25 (1,2,3,5,6) | 2.35 ± 0.39 (1-4,6) | 2.39 ± 0.40 (1-5) | 0.000 |
| Total protein | 111.93 ± 2.02 (4) | 121.58 ± 1.77 (4) | 124.33 ± 3.72 (4) | 275.99 ± 4.78 (1,2,3,5,6) | 96.68 ± 3.41 (4) | 105.36 ± 3.20 (4) | 0.002 |

Data are mean (\pm S.D) of ten mice in each group and albumin is expressed in mg/dL, while total protein content in g/L.

Statistics is carried out by one – way ANOVA (SPSS computer program and the analysis of variance is carried out by post-hoc and LSD (least significant difference), where the mean difference is significant at $P \le 0.05$ level.

MS/MS either of alkaloidal nature or flavonoidal nature were in agreement with the previously reported literature, where *aeglemarmelosine* was isolated previously [8,28], from the root *A. marmelos* using flash column chromatography *aeglemarmelosine* considered as an oxazoline derivative with [M] + 254 Table 1.

Marmesiline was isolated with [M]⁺367 from the acetone extract of the fruits using flash column chromatography [29].

It was reported that series of phenylethylcinnamides alkaloids were isolated included aegelinoside with $[M]^+$ 459 from the alcoholic extract of *A. marmelos* leaves and also anhydromarmeline with $[M]^+$ 333 and their structures were identified by different spectroscopic tools [30]. Shahidine isolated from the methanol extract of leaves which considered as the parent compound of aegline with oxazoline ring, with $[M]^+$ 279 [31].

N-2-Methoxy-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethylcinnamide isolated from methanol extract of leaves of *A. marmelos* with $[M]^+$ 311 [32]. Mass spectral fragmentations of a number of *O*-diglycosyl flavonoids, including rutin and kaempferol diglycosides using ESI/MS, which confirmed the structure as well as the glycosidic inter-linkage [33].

All findings here reported for the first time the isolation of aeglemarmelosine and marmesiline from the leaves, besides, shahidine was isolated from the fruits of *A. marmelos*.

The present results showed significant decrease in triglycerides, total lipid and total cholesterol, while significant increase in albumin and total protein content in normal animal treated with leaves and fruits extracts of *A. marmelos*, while insignificant changes in other parameters. These significant effects might be due to effective quenching of free radicals cause decrease in hepatic lipid peroxide. Moreover *A. marmelos* is considered to be a natural antioxidant [34].

 CCl_4 -intoxication is associated with reduction in phospholipids content that is essential for membrane structure and function [35]. The increase in serum phospholipids in mice injected with CCl_4 may be due to increased peroxidation of membrane phospholipids, releases free fatty acids via phospholipase A2 and hyper triglyceridemia observed in CCl_4 , intoxication may be attributed to inhibition in the activity of lipoprotein lipase in the heart, resulting in decreased uptake of triglycerides from the circulation [36].

The results of this study revealed that a regular administration of *A. marmelos* leaves and fruits extracts (250 mg/kg and 125 mg/kg) respectively for 30 successive days nearly normalized lipid profile in CCl₄-

intoxicated mice and not only lowered total cholesterol, triglycerides, and LDL but also improved the HDL. CCl₄-intoxicated mice showed an increase in the activities of serum ALT and AST. The increase in these enzyme activities agreed with the previous studies on the hepatotoxic effect of CCl₄ on liver function [37]. CCl₄ treatment caused significant decrease in cell viability and the toxin treatment initiated lipid peroxidation (LPO), promoted leakage of enzymes like AST and ALT [38]. Regarding serum ALP enzyme activity, significant increase in its activity in CCl₄-intoxicated mice was recorded as compared to control group [39].

CCl₄-intoxicated mice showed an increase in serum total bilirubin level as compared to control. Administration of both leaves and fruits extracts (250 mg and 125 mg/kg body weight/day) respectively, for 30 successive days revealed their ability to restore the normal status of the intoxicated liver.

The present results demonstrated significant increase in total protein content and albumin level after CCl₄-intoxication. The ameliorating effect may be related to *A. marmelos* leaves or fruits extracts exhibited autolysis and preserved the architecture of cell membrane that prevent leakage of cell enzymes and constituent [40].

The present research demonstrated significant increase in the activities of LDH and SDH glycolytic enzymes in liver tissue of CCl_4 -intoxicated mice and marked improvement after treatment with both extracts of *A. marmelos*.

This may be attributed to the different biologically active constituents which were identified by LC/MS/MS. Briefly, rutin reported to have hepatoprotective activity as it prevented CCl₄-induced elevation in serum ALT and AST enzyme activities [41]. In addition, kaempferol 3-*O*-rutinoside has protective effects against acute CCl₄-induced oxidative liver damage [42]. Moreover, the observed activity for the fruits extract may be due to their contents of mucilage [9], which may enhance liver toxicity by capture trichloromethyl radical (CCl₃) that is responsible for the hepatic injury of the CCl₄ [43].

Fibrosis of liver, which is a mark of chronic liver disease, characterized by hepatic excessive accumulation of connective tissue as an indicator of progressive hepatic injury [44]. Histopathological examinations of intoxicated liver also supported the biochemical results, by CCl_4 -exposed mice for 48 h showed vacuolar degeneration and micro-fatty changes. These results were in agreement with [45,46], who reported that after CCl_4 treatment, significant liver damage was

Table 5

| avale | of I DI | I and | SDH | onzyme | activities | in | normal | CCL. | intovicated | and | trastad | mico |
|-------|---------|-------|------|-----------|--------------|-----|---------|------|-------------|-----|---------|-------|
| | OI LDI | i anu | 5011 | CIIZyIIIC | , activities | 111 | normai, | | mutateu | anu | ucatcu | mice. |

| Parameters Groups | Normal control (1) | Leaves treated normal (2) | Fruit treated normal (3) | CCl ₄ treated mice (4) | leaves treatrdCcl ₄ intoxicated mice (5) | fruit treatrdCcl₄ intoxicate d mic (6) | LSD |
|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|-------|
| LDH (u/ml) | 78.560 ± 3.13 (4–6) | 77.93 ± 2.55 (4–,6) | 80.83 ± 1.58 (4-,6) | 132.73 ± 2.08 (1,2,3,5,6) | 106.32 ± 4.24 (1-4,6) | 95.61 ± 4.71 | 0.000 |
| SDH (u/ml) | 0.293 ± 0.01 (4–6) | 0.300 ± 0.02 (4–,6) | 0.287 ± 0.02 (4-6) | 0.749 ± 0.07 (1,2,3,5,6) | 0.555 ± 0.02 (1-4,6) | $0.47 ~\pm~ 0.03$ | 0.004 |

Data are mean (\pm S.D) of six mice in each group and all enzymes are express in U/ml. while total bilirubin is expressed in mg/dl. Statistics is carried out by one – way ANOVA (SPSS computer program and the analysis of variance is carried out by post-hoc and LSD (least significant difference), where the mean difference is significant at P \leq 0.05 level.



Fig. 2. (A) Photomicrograph of control mice with preserved hepatic architecture $200 \times$ (H and E stain). (B) Photomicrograph of leaves treated control mice with normal hepatic cell $200 \times$ (H and E stain). (C): Photomicrograph of fruits treated control mice with no change in hepatic architecture $100 \times$ (H and E stain). (D) Photomicrograph of section through the liver CCl₄-group showing lymphocyte infiltration and dilated as well as congested veins 100 \times (H and E stain). (E) Photomicrograph (E) of leaves-treated CCl₄ mice showing the central vein (CV) and hepatocytes, the liver shows normal histological profile 200 \times (H & E stain). (F) Photomicrograph (F) of fruits-treated CCl₄ mice show normal hepatocytes 200 $\times\,$ (H and E stain).

% percent change of normal & damage liver area

Control Fibrotic



observed with classic histology of cirrhosis, coagulated necrosis, massive fibrosis, fatty degeneration and formation of regenerative nodules, the treatment of methanolic extracts of *Juniperus phoenicea* and *Cupressus sempervirens* for 30 successive days showed amelioration effect on CCl_4 induced hepatotoxicity, decreased steatosis, reduction in central vein dilation and normalization of hepatocytes [46].

From the above mentioned results, it was concluded that rutin and quercetin are natural polyphenolic flavonoids which reported to show a wide range of biological and health-promoting effects such as antioxidant, anticancer, hepatoprotective, antidiabetic and anti-inflammatory [47,48]. In the present study the methanol extracts of *Aegle* leaves and fruits contained many different biologically active compounds such as flavonoids and alkaloids, which potentiate each other to produce synergistic effect and prevention of the hepatic stress. Accordingly, the methanol extract of the plant under investigation recommended to use as an alternative natural product to produce hepatoprotective and hypolipidemic agents, also they could be used as antioxidant agents for controlling free radicals in diabetes.

5. Conclusion

It could be concluded that, control mice treated with *A. marmelos* leaves and fruits methanol extracts exhibited significant reduction in total lipid, total cholesterol and triglycerides as compared to control group. CCl₄-intoxicated mice exhibited significant increase in lipid profile, liver function and glycolytic enzymes in addition to albumin level and total protein content. Marked amelioration was noticed in the levels of all the measured biochemical parameters in CCl₄-intoxicated mice as a result of treatment with MEL & MEF with more marked effect for fruits than leaves that in turn helping in persevered and normalized liver architecture, can be due to their contents of mucilage. Hence, both leaves and fruits extracts can be applied clinically suggesting their potential use as a hepatoprotective and effective agent that normalized liver functions and restore physiologically status of the body especially against CCl₄ intoxication.

The potency of the extracts will open new areas for the development of safe and cheap hepatoprotective drugs from natural wealth for treatment of a wide range of liver diseases.

6. Authors' contributions

All authors carried out the experiments, drafted the manuscript and Participated in the design of the study. Nabaweya A. Ibrahim, Magdy M. D. Mohammed and Doaa Abd Al-Hady are responsible for Plant material and their extractions. Hanan F. Aly, Sanaa A. Ali are responsible for the biochemical and histological studies. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no financial or personal conflicts of interest to declare in relation to this article.

The Transparency document associated with this article can be found in the online version.

Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge the financial assistance provided by The National Research Centre, Giza, Egypt.

References

 N.Z. Mohamed, H.I. Abd-Alla, H.F. Aly, N.A. Ibrahim, S.A. Hassan, CCl4-induced hepato-nephrotoxicity: protective effect of nutraceuticals on inflammatory factors and antioxidative status in rat, J. Appl. Pharm. Sci. 4 (02) (2014) 87–100, https:// doi.org/10.7324/JAPS.

- [2] S.A. Ali, M.Z. Rizk, N.A. Ibrahim, M. Abdallah, H. Sharara, M. Moustafa, Protective role of Juniperus phoenicea and Cupressus sempervirens against CCl4, World J. Gastrointest. Pharmacol. Ther. 1 (6) (2010) 123–131, https://doi.org/10.4292/ wjgpt.v1.i6.123.
- [3] Y. Bansal, G. Bansal, Analytical methods for standardization of Aegle marmelos. A review, J. Pharm. Educ. Res. 2 (2011) 37–44.
- [4] R. Singh, H.S. Rao, Hepatoprotective effect of the pulp/seed of Aegle marmelos ex Roxb against carbon tetrachloride induced liver damage in rats, Int. J. Green Pharm. 2 (4) (2008) 232–234, https://doi.org/10.4103/0973-8258.44740.
- [5] A.V. Das, P.S. Padayatti, C.S. Paulose, Effect of leaf extract of Aegle marmelos (L) Correa ex Roxb. on histological and ultra- structure changes in tissues of streptozotocin induced diabetic rats, Indian J. Exp. Biol. 34 (1996) 341–345 PMid:8698423.
- [6] R.P. Singh, S. Banerjee, A.R. Rao, Effect of Aegle marmelos on biotransformation enzyme systems and protection against free radical-mediated damage in mice, J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 52 (2000) 991–1000, https://doi.org/10.1211/ 0022357001774714 PMid:11007071.
- [7] V. Arul, S. Miyazaki, R. Dhananjayan, Studies on the anti- inflammatory, antipyretic and analgesic properties of the leaves of Aegle marmelos Corr, J. Ethnopharmacol. 96 (2005) 159–163, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2004.09.013 PMid:15588665.
- [8] N.A. Ibrahim, F.S. El-Sakhawy, M.M.D. Mohammed, M.A. Farid, N.A.M. Abdel-Wahed, D.A.H. Deabes, Chemical composition, antimicrobial and antifungal activities of essential oils of the leaves of Aegle marmelos (L.) Correa growing in Egypt, Int. J. Appl. Pharm. Sci. Res. 5 (02) (2015) 001–005.
- [9] N.A. Ibrahim, M.M.D. Mohamed, H.F. Aly, S.A. Ali, D.A.H. Deabes, Hypoglycemic effect of mucilage and methanol extract of Aegle marmelos (L.) Correa fruits in stereptozotocin diabetic rats, Der Pharma Chemica 8 (24) (2016) 1–15.
- [10] M.M.D. Mohammed, N.A. Ibrahim, F.S. El-Sakhawy, K.M. Mohamed, D.A.H. Deabes, Two new cytotoxic furoquinoline alkaloids isolated from Aegle marmelos (Linn.) Correa, Nat. Prod. Res. 30 (22) (2016) 2559–2566, https://doi. org/10.1080/14786419.2015.1126262 PMid:26729368.
- [11] A. Kar, S. Panda, S. Bharti, Relatively efficacy of three medicinal plant extracts in the alteration of thyroid hormone concentrations in male mice, J. Ethnopharmacol. 81 (2002) 281–285, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-8741(02)00048-X.
- [12] N. Kamalakkannan, S.M. Prince, Hypoglycaemic effect of water extracts of Aegle marmelos fruits in streptozotocin diabetic rats, J. Ethnopharmacol. 87 (2-3) (2003) 207–210, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-8741(03)00148-X.
- [13] J.D. Banchroft, A. Stevens, D.R. Turner, Theory and Practice of Histological Techniques, fourth ed., Churchil livingstone, New York, London, San Francisco, Tokyo, 1996.
- [14] M.M. Rizk, S.A. Ali, M.A. Hamed, N.S. El-Rigal, H.F. Aly, H.H. Salah, Toxicity of titanium dioxide nanoparticles: effect of dose and time on biochemical disturbance, oxidative stress and genotoxicity in mice, Biomed. Pharmacother. 90 (2017) 466–472, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2017.03.089 PMid:28391168.
- [15] L. Thomas (Ed.), Colorimetric Determination of T-Cholesterol. Labor and Diagnosis Clinical Chemistry, 5thedn, Book Verlagsgeselschaft, Frankfurt, TH, 1992, p. 327.
- [16] P. Lopes-Virella, Coloremteric determination of low and high density lipoproteins, Clin. Chem. 2 (1997) 112–118.
- [17] P. Fossati, L. Prencipe, Colorimetric determination of triglycerides, Princ. Clin. Chem. 28 (1982) 2077.
- [18] N. Zollner, K. Kirsch, Colorimetric method of total lipid, Z. Ges. Exp. Med. 135 (1962) 545, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02045455.
- [19] D.B. Zilversmit, A.K. Davis, Micro determination of plasma phospholipids by trichloroacetic acid precipitation, J. Lab. Clin. Med. 35 (1950) 155–160 PMid:15400638
- [20] E. Shelton, M.E. Rice, Comparison of the reduction of two tetrazolium salts with succinoxidase activity of tissue homogenates, J. Nat. Cancer Inst. 18 (1957) 11–125.
- [21] A.L. Babson, S.J. Babson, Kinetic colorimetric measurement of serum lactate dehydrogenase activity, Clin. Chem. 19 (1973) 766–769 PMid:4351362.
- [22] R.J. Henry, H. Harper, K. Row (Eds.), Clinical Chemistry Principles and Techniques, 2nd ed., Academic Press, N.Y, 1974, p. 545.
- [23] S. Reitman, S. Frankel, Colorimetric method for the determination of serum glutamic oxaloacetic and glutamic pyruvic transaminases, Am. J. Clin. Pathol. 28 (1957) 56–63, https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcp/28.1.56 PMid:13458125.
- [24] H.V. Bergmeyer, M. Horrder, R. Rej, Approved recommendation on IFCC methods for the measurement of catalyst concentration of enzymes. Part 2. IFCC method for aspartate aminotransferase, J. Clin. Chem. Clin. Biochem. 24 (1985) 497.
- [25] P.R. Kind, E.J. King, Estimation of plasma phosphatase by determination of hydrolyzed phenol with amino-antipyrine, J. Clin. Pathol. 7 (1954) 322–326, https:// doi.org/10.1136/jcp.7.4.322 PMid:13286357 PMCid:PMC1023845.
- [26] M.M. Bradford, A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding, Anal. Biochem. 72 (1976) 248–254, https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(76)90527-3.
- [27] B.T. Doumas, W.A. Watson, H.G. Biggs, Albumin standard and measurement of serum albumin with bromocresol green, Clin. Chim. Acta 31 (1971) 87–96, https:// doi.org/10.1016/0009-8981(71)90365-2.
- [28] S. Laphookhieo, C. Phungpanya, C. Tantapakul, S. Techa, S. Tha-in, W. Narmdorkma, Chemical constituents from Aegle marmelos, J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 22 (1) (2011) 176–178, https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-50532011000100024.
- [29] S. Chakthong, P. Weaaryee, P. Puangphet, W. Mahabusarakam, P. Plodpai, S.P. Voravuthikunchai, A. Kanjana-Opas, Alkaloid and coumarins from the green fruits of Aegle marmelos, Phytochemistry 75 (2012) 108–113, https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.phytochem.2011.11.018 PMid:22196941.
- [30] P. Phuwapraisirisan, T. Puksasook, J. Jong-aramruang, U. Kokpol, Phenylethyl cinnamides: a new series of α-glucosidase inhibitors from the leaves of Aegle marmelos, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 18 (2008) 4956–4958, https://doi.org/10.

1016/j.bmcl.2008.08.024 PMid:18760601.

- [31] S. Faizi, F. Farooqi, S. Zikr-Ur-Rehman, A. Naz, F. Noor, F. Ansari, A. Ahmad, S.A. Khan, Shahidine, a novel and highly labile oxazoline from Aegle marmelos: the parent compound of aegeline and related amides, Tetrahedron 65 (5) (2009) 998–1004, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2008.11.088.
- [32] M.D. Manandhar, A. Shoeb, R.S. Kapil, S.P. Popli, Newalkaloid from Aegle marmelos, Phytochemistry 17 (1978) 1814–1815, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422(00)88714-2.
- [33] Y.L. Ma, F. Cuyckens, H. VanDen Heuvel, M. Claeys, Mass spectrometric methods for the characterization and differentiation of isomeric O-diglycosyl flavonoids, Phytochem. Anal. 12 (3) (2001) 159–165, https://doi.org/10.1002/pca.573 PMid:11705020.
- [34] M.C. Sabu, R. Kuttan, Antidiabetic activity of Aegle marmelos and its relationship with its antioxidant properties, Ind. J. Phrmacol. 48 (2004) 81–88.
- [35] T. Murakami, Y. Yoichi, K.H. Nagamura, Ethanolamine stimulates repair processes in acute CCl4 damage of mouse liver, Toxicol. Lett. 94 (1998) 137–144, https://doi. org/10.1016/S0378-4274(97)00112-4.
- [36] M. Rajadurai, P.S.M. Prince, Comparative effects of Aegle marmelos extract and alpha- tocopherol on serum lipids, lipid peroxides and cardiac enzyme levels in rats with isoproterenol – induced myocardial infraction, Singap. Med. J. 46 (2005) 78–81 PMid:15678289.
- [37] M.M. Alsheblak, N.M. Elsherbiny, A. El-Karef, M.M. El-Shishtawy, Protective effects of L-carnosine on CCl4–induced hepatic injury in rats, Eur. Cytokine Netw. 27 (1) (2016) 6–15 PMid:27094155.
- [38] R. Krithika, R. Mohankumar, R.J. Verma, S. Pranav, P.S. Shrivastav, I.L. Mohamad, P. Gunasekaran, S. Narasimhan, Isolation, characterization and antioxidative effect of phyllanthin against CCl4-induced toxicity in HepG2 cell line, Chem.—Biol. Interact. 181 (3) (2009) 351–358, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2009.06.014 PMid:19576190.
- [39] K.M.A. Hassanein, A. Al-Emam, K. Radad, Prophylactic effects of thymoquinone against carbon tetrachloride-induced hepatic damage in prague-Dawley rats, J. Appl. Pharm. Sci. 6 (02) (2016) 167–171, https://doi.org/10.7324/JAPS.2016. 60226.
- [40] T.H. Khan, S. Sultana, Antioxidant and hepatoprotective potential of Aegle

marmelos Correa. against CCl4- induced oxidative stress and early tumor events, J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem. 24 (2) (2009) 320–327, https://doi.org/10.1080/ 14756360802167754 PMid:18830880.

- [41] K.H. Janbaz, S.A. Saeed, A.H. Gilani, Protective effect of rutin on paracetamol and CCl4-induced hepatotoxicity in rodents, J. Fitoterapia 73 (7–8) (2002) 557–563, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0367-326X(02)00217-4.
- [42] Y. Wang, C. Tang, H. Zang, Hepatoprotective effects of kaempferol 3-O-rutinoside and kaempferol 3-O-glucoside from Carthamus tinctorius L. On CCl4-induced oxidative liver injury in mice, J. Food Drug Anal. 23 (2) (2015) 310–317, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jfda.2014.10.002 PMid:28911387.
- [43] K.G. Nevin, L. Vijayamma, Effect of Aerva lanata against hepatotoxicity of carbon tetrachloride in rats, Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 20 (2005) 471–477, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.etap.2005.05.010 PMid:21783628.
- [44] J. Go, J.E. Kim, E.K. Koh, S.H. Song, J.E. Sung, H.A. Lee, Y.H. Lee, Y. Lim, J.T. Hong, D.Y. Hwang, Protective effect of allotannin-enriched extract isolated from Galla Rhois against ccl4-induced hepatotoxicity in ICR mice, Nutrients 8 (2016) 107–125, https://doi.org/10.3390/nu8030107 PMid:26907337 PMCid:PMC4808837.
- [45] S.A. Ali, M.Z. Rizk, N.A. Ibrahim, M. Abdallah, H. Sharara, M. Moustafa, Protective role of Juniperus phoenicea and Cupressus sempervirens against CCL4, World J. Gastrointest. Pharmacol. Ther. 61 (6) (2010) 123–131, https://doi.org/10.4292/ wjgpt.vl.i6.123.
- [46] M.M.D. Mohammed, N.A. Ibrahim, S.A. Ali, M.A. Hamed, N.S. El-Rigal, Triacylglycerols of the seed oil of Linum grandiflorum Desf. their composition, cytotoxicity, and hepatoprotective activity, J. Food Biochem. (2018) 1–13, https:// doi.org/10.1111/jfbc.12525.
- [47] A. Apostolou, D. Stagos, E. Galitsiou, A. Spyrou, S. Haroutounian, N. Portesis, I. Trizoglou, A. Wallace Hayes, A.M. Tsatsakis, D. Kouretas, Assessment of polyphenolic content, antioxidant activity, protection against ROS-induced DNA damage and anticancer activity of *Vitis vinifera* stem extracts, Food Chem. Toxicol. 61 (2013) 60–68, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2013.01.029.
- [48] S.F. Nabavi, G.L. Russo, M. Daglia, S.M. Nabavi, Role of quercetin as an alternative for obesity treatment: you are what you eat, Food 179 (15) (2015) 305–310, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem. 02.006.