

16

# Bioactive Cembranoids from the Coral *Sarcophyton trocheliophorum* of Ximao Island

Zi-Rong Zeng, Jing Chen, Hong Wang, Hai-Yan Zhang, Jia Li, Baofu Xu,\* and Yue-Wei Guo\*

Cite This: ACS Omega 2022, 7, 41678-41686 **Read Online** ACCESS III Metrics & More Article Recommendations **SI** Supporting Information **ABSTRACT:** Eight new cembranoids (sarcophytembranoids A–H, 1–8) and 10 known terpenoids (9-18) were obtained from the soft coral Sarcophyton trocheliophorum of Ximao Island. Notably, 11, 15, and 16 were obtained from a natural source for the first time. The structures of the new isolates were elucidated by extensive spectroscopic analysis, optical rotatory dispersion, and X-ray diffraction experiments. Although the isolated compounds did not show significant activity against the tested tumor cell lines, compounds 3, 7, 8, and 10-15 exhibited antiinflammatory activities at 10  $\mu$ M, and compounds 17 and 18 showed moderate protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B inhibition activities with the minimum inhibitory concentrations of 22.19 and 11.26  $\mu$ M, respectively. óн

repenoids are the most structurally diverse<sup>1</sup> compounds to be isolated from all kingdoms of life. To date, over 80,000 terpenoids have been isolated<sup>2</sup> with a variety of biological activities,<sup>3</sup> for instance, antitumor, antibacterial, antiinflammation, anti-dementia, antimalaria, and so forth. The 14membered carbocyclic cembranoids are diterpenoids linking one isopropyl and three methyl groups, and the isopropyl group is often oxidized to carboxylic acids or hydroxymethyls. Various functions, including epoxide, ester, furan, lactone, and so forth, were frequently found among these compounds.<sup>4-6</sup> Cembranoids are biologically synthesized from the C20 precursor geranylgeranyl diphosphate (GGPP).<sup>1,7</sup> The biological activities of cembranoids have made them fascinating candidates for drug development and chemical synthesis. Over the past decades, nearly 1500 cembranoids have been discovered<sup>2</sup> from various organisms, especially soft corals.<sup>9,10</sup> Corals of the genus Sarcophyton are renowned for the production of a wide variety of bioactive terpenoids, especially cembranoids.<sup>11-20</sup> More than 90 cembranoids have been isolated from Sarcophyton trocheliophorum in the past decades.<sup>13,14,16</sup> In the course of our ongoing program toward the isolation of biologically active second metabolites from Chinese marine invertebrates, several geographically distinct soft coral S. trocheliophorum were chemically investigated, affording 33 new cembranoids from *S. trocheliophorum* collected off the Yalong Bay<sup>18,21-25</sup> and Ximao Island.<sup>26</sup> Among the isolates, sarcophytonolide N, sarcrassin E, 4Z,12Z,14E-sarcophytolide, sartrolide H, cembrene-C, and ketoemblide showed potential to moderate inhibitory activities against the human protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B (PTP1B) enzyme,<sup>18,22</sup> which plays a major role in the dephosphorylation of the insulin receptor and the insulin receptor substrate (IRS-

1) protein, representing a promising drug target for the treatment of type-II diabetes and obesity.<sup>27</sup>

Inspired by our and other research groups' continuous isolation of bioactive and structurally diverse cembranoids from *S. trocheliophorum*, we chemically examined the soft coral *S. trocheliophorum* from Ximao Island. The current efforts let us identify 16 macrocyclic/linearized terpenoids, including 8 new cembranoids, sarcophytembranoids A-H (1-8), 8 known cembranoids 9–14 and 17–18, and 2 known linearized terpenoids 15 and 16 as shown in Chart 1. It is worth mentioning that compounds 11, 15, and 16 were isolated from a natural source for the first time. In addition, several isolates exhibited anti-inflammation or PTP1B inhibition activities. In this paper, the isolation, structure determination, and biological evaluation of the isolates are reported.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

15 Anti-inflar

The specimen of *S. trocheliophorum* was extracted using  $Me_2CO$  in an ultrasonic bath. The ether-soluble portion of the  $Me_2CO$  extract was subjected to silica, Sephadex LH-20, and reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC), affording 16 compounds 1–16, including 8 new cembranoids 1–8, 3 first-time isolated known compounds 11, 15, and 16, and 5 other compounds 9, 10, and 12–14. The 8

Received:September 2, 2022Accepted:October 19, 2022Published:November 2, 2022





#### Chart 1. Chemical Structures of the Isolated Compounds



known compounds 9-16 were readily identified as (4R,1E,3Z,6E,10E)-14-ethoxy-3-isopropyl-6,10,14-trimethylcyclotetradeca-1,3,6,10-tetraene (9),<sup>28</sup> sarcomililatol A (10),<sup>29</sup> (1R,2Z,4E,7Z,9R,12E)-9-hydroxy-2-isopropyl-5,9,13-trimethylcyclotetradeca-2,4,7,12-tetraen-1-yl acetate (11),<sup>30</sup> sarcophytol B (12),<sup>31</sup> sarcophytol I (13),<sup>32</sup> sarcophytol Q (14),<sup>28</sup> (2E,7E,12E)-11-hydroxy-2,7,11,15-tetramethyl-14-oxohexadeca-2,7,12-trienal (15),<sup>30</sup> (5E,9E)-11-(3-isopropylfuran-2-yl)-6,10-dimethylundeca-5,9-dien-2-one (16),<sup>30</sup> (E,E,E)-1-isopropenyl-4,8,12-trimethylcyclotetradeca-3,7,11-triene (17),<sup>22</sup> and sacrophytonolide I (18).<sup>33</sup> We included the extensive structure elucidations of the new compounds below.

Sarcophytembranoid A (1) was isolated as a colorless crystal with a chemical formula of  $C_{21}H_{34}O$  as assigned by highresolution electrospray ionization mass spectroscopy (HRE-SIMS) m/z: 325.2492 ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup> calcd for  $C_{21}H_{34}ONa^+$ , 325.2502). Its ultraviolet (UV) ( $\lambda_{max}$  286 and 204 nm) and infrared (IR) ( $\nu_{max}$  1710 cm<sup>-1</sup>) spectra showed typical absorptions for a conjugated polyene pattern. The NMR spectra (Figures S2 and S3) of 1 revealed 21 carbon signals, including 5 methyls, 1 methoxy group, 6 sp<sup>3</sup> methylenes, 4 sp<sup>2</sup> methines, and 5 quaternary carbons. As only four trisubstituted double bonds could be found, as assigned by the following signals:  $\delta_C$  135.4 (qC),  $\delta_H$  4.99 (1H, t,  $J = 6.1 \text{ Hz})/\delta_C$  125.3 (CH);  $\delta_C$  134.2 (qC),  $\delta_H$  5.00 (1H, t,  $J = 5.3 \text{ Hz})/\delta_C$  126.1 (CH);  $\delta_C$  138.5 (qC),  $\delta_H$  5.92 (1H, d,  $J = 11.2 \text{ Hz})/\delta_C$  120.6 (CH);  $\delta_C$  144.0 (qC),  $\delta_H$  6.25 (1H, d, J = 11.2 Hz)/122.1 (CH), one monocyclic ring could be deduced, fitting the limit of five degrees of unsaturation. Further 2D NMR experiments helped establish the planar structure of 1 as a 14-membered cembrane. Briefly, the correlated spectroscopy (COSY) spectrum of 1 implicated four fragments: (i), H<sub>2</sub>-2 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.15,  $(2.22)/H_2$ -3 ( $\delta_H$  2.10, 2.16); (ii), H-5 ( $\delta_H$  4.99)/H<sub>2</sub>-6 ( $\delta_H$  2.12, 2.25)/H<sub>2</sub>-7 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.09, 2.17); H-5 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.97)/H<sub>2</sub>-6 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.12, 2.25)/H<sub>2</sub>-7 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.09, 2.17); (iii), H-9 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.00)/H<sub>2</sub>-10 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.15, 2.22)/H<sub>2</sub>-11 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.09, 2.17); and (iv), H-13 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.92)/ H-14 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.25). Further heteronuclear multiple bond correlation (HMBC) analysis established the connectivity of the four fragments, as evidenced by the following HMBC cross-peaks: from  $H_3$ -18 to C-3/C-4/C-5, from  $H_3$ -19 to C-7/ C-8/C-9, from H<sub>3</sub>-20 to C-11/C-12/C-13, from H<sub>3</sub>-16 to C-1/ C-15/C-17, from H<sub>3</sub>-17 to C-1/C-15/C-16, and from H-14 to C-2/C-15. The known compound sarcophytol V is a close analogue to sarcophytembranoid A, only different at the C-15 and C-16 positions. At C-15, our compound has a methoxy group ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  50.4;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.03, s) (C-15:  $\delta_{\rm C}$  78.4, s); sarcophytol V has a hydroxy group (C-15:  $\delta_{\rm C}$  76.4, s). At C-16, our compound has a methyl group ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  26.3;  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.32, s); sarcophytol V has a  $-CH_2OH$  group ( $\delta_C$  69.3;  $\delta_H$  3.43, d, 11.0 Hz/ $\delta_H$  3.63, d, 11.0 Hz).<sup>34</sup>

To determine the stereochemistry of the four double bonds, namely,  $\Delta^4$ ,  $\Delta^8$ ,  $\Delta^{12}$ , and  $\Delta^{1(14)}$ , in 1, nuclear overhauser effect (NOE) analysis was implemented. The NOE correlations of H-6a/CH<sub>3</sub>-18, H-10a/CH<sub>3</sub>-19, H-11a/H-13, and H-14/H<sub>3</sub>-16



Figure	1. Per	spective	ORTEP	drawing	of the	X-rav	structure	of	1.
						/			

# Table 1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR Data ( $\delta_{\rm H}$ in ppm, J in Hz) for Compounds 1–8 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>

no.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
2a	2.22, m	5.52, dd (9.1, 6.5)	5.94, d (16.6)	6.15, d (10.1)	6.28, d (11.0)	2.78, m	6.30, d (11.0)	6.42, d (11.0)
2b	2.15, m	,		,		1.83, m	,	
3a	2.16, m	2.79, dd (16.7, 9.1)	5.72, d (16.6)	5.83, d (10.1)	5.98, d (11.0)	5.16, t (7.8)	6.16, d (11.0)	6.43, d (11.0)
3b	2.10, m	2.56, dd (16.7, 6.5)						
5a	4.99, t (5.3)	5.01, t (5.8)	1.85, ddd (12.9, 9.7, 3.0)	2.18, m	2.23, m	2.12, m	2.20, m	2.27, m
5b			1.66, ddd (12.9, 9.7, 3.0)	2.11, m	2.18, m	2.07, m	1.67, m	2.27, m
6a	2.25, m	2.09, m	2.03, m	2.27, m	2.25, m	2.20, m	1.93, m	2.95, m
6b	2.12, m	2.09, m	2.22, m	2.17, m	2.18, m	2.20, m	1.67, m	2.25, m
7a	2.17, m	2.19, m	4.91, t (6.2)	5.30, m	2.06, m	4.97, t (6.3)	5.05, d (9.0)	1.81, m
7b	2.09, m	2.00, m			1.51, m			1.66, m
9a	5.00, t (5.3)	4.94, t (5.5)	2.03, m	2.28, m	2.86, t (6.1)	2.12, m	2.20, m	5.12, m
9b			2.03, m	2.12, m		2.07, m	1.67, m	
10a	2.22, m	2.26, m	2.14, m	2.00, m	1.69, m	2.20, m	2.56, m	1.97, m
10b	2.15, m	2.18, m	2.14, m	1.46, m	1.63, m	2.15, m	2.10, m	1.53, m
11a	2.17, m	2.19, m	4.80, t (6.6)	2.91, dd (9.2, 3.5)	2.28, m	5.22, t (6.9)	2.19, m	2.09, m
11b	2.09, m	2.00, m			2.15, m		1.81, m	1.81, m
13a	5.92, d (11.2)	5.26, d (9.2)	2.67, m	2.10, m	5.24, m	2.15, m	5.18, m	5.17, m
13b			2.67, m	1.35, m		2.05, m		
14a	6.25, d (11.2)	4.34, d (9.2)	5.47, t (8.0)	2.12, m	2.39, m	3.05, dd (9.3, 3.4)	2.20, m	2.27, m
14b				2.05, m	2.22, m			2.12, m
15		2.70, m	2.56, m			1.62, m		
16a	1.32, s	0.94, d (7.0)	1.08, d (6.8)	1.31, s	5.02, s	1.09, d (6.9)	1.35, s	5.07, s
16b					4.96, s			5.04, s
17	1.32, s	1.08, d (7.0)	1.08, d (6.8)	1.31, s	1.92, s	0.96, d (6.9)	1.35, s	1.94, s
18	1.62, s	1.63, s	1.23, s	1.75, s	1.79, s	1.58, s	1.78, s	1.81, s
19	1.55, s	1.55, s	1.48, s	1.67, s	1.25, s	1.59, s	1.13, s	1.06, s
20	1.78, s	1.66, s	1.61, s	1.27, s	1.60, s	1.66, s	1.54, s	1.48, s
21	3.03, s	3.24, s	3.16, s	3.04, s			3.07, s	
22								2.11, s
23							2.12, s	



Figure 2. Key COSY (red) and HMBC (blue) correlations of 1-8.

Table 2.	<sup>13</sup> C NMR Data	$(\delta_{\rm C} \text{ in ppm})$	for Compounds	1-8 in CDCl

no.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	144.0, C	146.6, C	145.6, C	143.0, C	139.7, C	67.2, C	142.1, C	142.6, C
2	24.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	124.2, CH	125.9, CH	122.4, CH	122.6, CH	31.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	123.2, CH	124.2, CH
3	42.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	35.4, CH <sub>2</sub>	134.6, CH	120.3, CH	121.8, CH	120.8, CH	121.9, CH	122.9, CH
4	135.4, C	133.3, C	78.1, C	138.9, C	139.6, C	135.7, C	139.0, C	141.3, C
5	125.3, CH	122.8, CH	40.9, CH <sub>2</sub>	38.3, CH <sub>2</sub>	39.1, CH <sub>2</sub>	39.3, CH <sub>2</sub>	39.7, CH <sub>2</sub>	40.4, CH <sub>2</sub>
6	25.9, CH <sub>2</sub>	23.7, CH <sub>2</sub>	23.6, CH <sub>2</sub>	25.1, CH <sub>2</sub>	25.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	24.9, CH <sub>2</sub>	27.1, CH <sub>2</sub>	22.1, CH <sub>2</sub>
7	39.2, CH <sub>2</sub>	39.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	127.0, CH	127.3, CH	36.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	126.3, CH	75.7, CH	36.9, CH <sub>2</sub>
8	134.2, C	134.1, C	133.0, C	133.6, C	61.1, C	133.6, C	75.0, C	75.2, C
9	126.1, CH	126.3, CH	39.7, CH <sub>2</sub>	37.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	60.1, CH	38.7, CH <sub>2</sub>	38.6, CH <sub>2</sub>	74.0, CH
10	24.4, CH <sub>2</sub>	25.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	24.9, CH <sub>2</sub>	24.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	24.7, CH <sub>2</sub>	24.4, CH <sub>2</sub>	22.4, CH <sub>2</sub>	26.2, CH <sub>2</sub>
11	38.7, CH <sub>2</sub>	39.2, CH <sub>2</sub>	124.8, CH	61.4, CH	36.9, CH <sub>2</sub>	124.2, CH	34.2, CH <sub>2</sub>	34.9, CH <sub>2</sub>
12	138.5, C	135.5, C	133.7, C	61.4, CH	133.8, C	131.7, C	134.6, C	133.8, C
13	120.6, CH	128.0, CH	37.1, CH	38.9, CH <sub>2</sub>	126.9, CH	36.3, CH <sub>2</sub>	126.0, CH	126.4, CH
14	122.1, CH	77.6, CH	121.3, CH	23.1, CH <sub>2</sub>	22.1, CH <sub>2</sub>	62.5, CH	25.7, CH <sub>2</sub>	26.3, CH <sub>2</sub>
15	78.4, C	27.8, CH	32.3, CH	78.1, C	143.5, C	30.9, CH	78.5, C	139.4, C
16	26.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	21.8, CH <sub>3</sub>	22.6, CH <sub>3</sub>	25.9, CH <sub>3</sub>	112.3, CH <sub>2</sub>	18.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	26.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	113.3, CH <sub>2</sub>
17	26.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	21.8, CH <sub>3</sub>	22.7, CH <sub>3</sub>	26.4, CH <sub>3</sub>	21.4, CH <sub>3</sub>	19.2, CH <sub>3</sub>	26.6, CH <sub>3</sub>	21.6, CH <sub>3</sub>
18	15.7, CH <sub>3</sub>	18.5, CH <sub>3</sub>	21.9, CH <sub>3</sub>	18.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	17.7, CH <sub>3</sub>	15.6, CH <sub>3</sub>	17.2, CH <sub>3</sub>	16.8, CH <sub>3</sub>
19	15.7, CH <sub>3</sub>	15.1, CH <sub>3</sub>	14.9, CH <sub>3</sub>	15.1, CH <sub>3</sub>	18.5, CH <sub>3</sub>	16.2, CH <sub>3</sub>	24.0, CH <sub>3</sub>	23.4, CH <sub>3</sub>
20	18.2, CH <sub>3</sub>	16.4, CH <sub>3</sub>	17.5, CH <sub>3</sub>	17.4, CH <sub>3</sub>	15.1, CH <sub>3</sub>	18.2, CH <sub>3</sub>	16.8, CH <sub>3</sub>	15.8, CH <sub>3</sub>
21	50.4, CH <sub>3</sub>	55.7, CH <sub>3</sub>	50.0, CH <sub>3</sub>	50.5, CH <sub>3</sub>			50.1, CH <sub>3</sub>	170.7, C
22							170.9, C	21.4, CH <sub>3</sub>
23							21.4, CH <sub>3</sub>	

indicated that the four double bonds in 1 are all *E*-configured. The structure of 1 was also unambiguously confirmed by X-ray crystallography, as observed by Cu K $\alpha$  radiation (Figure 1).

Sarcophytembranoid B (2) has a molecular formula of  $C_{21}H_{34}O$  based on HRESIMS (m/z: 325.2499 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, calcd for 325.2502). The signals of proton, carbon, and heteronuclear single quantum coherence spectra (Table 1) indicated the presence of four trisubstituted olefinic bonds: (i)  $[\delta_{\rm H} 5.52 \text{ (1H, dd, } J = 9.1, 6.5 \text{ Hz}) / \delta_{\rm C} 124.2 \text{ (CH), 146.6 (qC);}$ (ii)  $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.01 (1H, t, J = 5.8 Hz)/ $\delta_{\rm C}$  122.8 (CH),  $\delta_{\rm C}$  133.3 (qC); (iii)  $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.94 (1H, d, J = 5.5 Hz)/ $\delta_{\rm C}$  126.3 (CH),  $\delta_{\rm C}$  134.1 (qC); and (iv)  $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.26 (1H, d, J = 9.2 Hz)/ $\delta_{\rm C}$  128.0(CH),  $\delta_{\rm C}$ 135.5 (qC)]. Extensive analysis of the  ${}^{1}H-{}^{1}H$  COSY spectrum of compound 2 revealed four fragments: a-d (Figure 2) based on the evident correlations of H-2( $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.52)/H<sub>2</sub>-3( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.56, 2.79) (a); H-5 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.01, t, J = 5.8 Hz)/H<sub>2</sub>-6 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.09, 2.09)/ H-7 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.00, 2.19) (b); H-9 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.94, d, J = 5.5 Hz)/H<sub>2</sub>-10  $(\delta_{\rm H} \ 2.18, \ 2.26)/{
m H}$ -11  $(\delta_{\rm H} \ 2.00, \ 2.19)$  (c); and H-13  $(\delta_{\rm H} \ 5.26, \ 2.16)$ d, J = 9.2 Hz)/H-14 ( $\delta_{\text{H}}$  4.34, d, J = 9.2 Hz) (d). We then determined the planar macrocyclic structure by HMBC from

H-2 to C-14/C-15, from H<sub>3</sub>-16 to C-1/C-15/C-17, from H<sub>3</sub>-17 to C-1/C-15/C-16, H<sub>3</sub>-18 to C-3/C-4/C-5, from H<sub>3</sub>-19 to C-7/C-8/C-9, from H<sub>3</sub>-20 to C-11/C-12/C-13, and from H<sub>3</sub>-21 to C-14. NOE data (Figure 4) revealed the geometries of four double bonds in **2**, namely,  $\Delta^1$ ,  $\Delta^4$ ,  $\Delta^8$ , and  $\Delta^{12}$ , as *E*-configured, which is supported by the smaller chemical shifts of three methyl groups, CH<sub>3</sub>-18/19/20 (Table 2).

Optical rotatory dispersion (ORD) is a powerful method for determining absolute configuration by comparison of the experimental and calculated spectra.<sup>35,36</sup> The specific rotation of **2** at the Na D-line (589 nm) is moderately large,  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  +60.0 (*c* 0.20, MeOH), making the ORD comparison and the speculation of the absolute configuration more definitive. We calculated the specific rotations of 26 conformers of **2** (Figure S64) and averaged them by their relative abundance in the gas phase at 4 wavelengths (589, 546, 436, and 405 nm) at the 6-311+G(d) level. There is a satisfactory agreement of the overall patterns between the experimental and calculated data for 14S-**2** (Figure 3), suggesting that the structure of compound **2** is as shown (Chart 1).



Figure 3. Experimental ORD spectrum of 2 (red) and calculated ORD spectrum of 4S-2 (black) at different wavelengths.



Figure 4. Key NOESY correlations of 1-8.

Sarcophytembranoid C (3) possessed a molecular formula of  $C_{21}H_{34}O$  inferred from high-resolution electron ionization mass spectrometry (HREIMS) data m/z: 303.2620 ([M]<sup>+</sup>, calcd for 302.2604). 9 is an analogue of 3, with the only difference at the C-4 position. Through detailed comparison, we found that 9 contains an ethoxy group (OCH<sub>2</sub>-Me:  $\delta_H$ 3.36, 2H, q, J = 7.0 Hz) in place of the methoxy group (OMe:  $\delta_H$  3.16, 3H, s) in 3. We further performed the electron capture dissociation (ECD) calculation to determine the stereochemistry of C-4 in 3 but did not achieve a satisfying result. As the NMR spectra of 9 and 3 are highly similar and considering that they should have the same biosynthetic precursors, the absolute configuration of 3 was thus tentatively assigned as the same as that of 9 (4*R*). The HRESIMS ion peak at m/z: 341.2453 ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, calcd 341.2451) of sarcophytembranoid D (4) displayed a protonated molecule consistent with a molecular formula of  $C_{21}H_{34}O_2$ . The NMR data of compound 4 highly matched those of 1 except for C-11 and C-12. Further comparison analysis revealed that the double bond  $\Delta^{11,12}$  of 1 was oxidized to an epoxide to become 4. Then, the NOE experiment was conducted to elucidate the relative configuration of C-11 and C-12. The NOE correlations between H<sub>3</sub>-20/H-10 and H-11/H-13 and no prominent NOE cross-peaks between H<sub>3</sub>-20 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.27) and H-11( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.91) indicated the trans-configuration between H<sub>3</sub>-20 and H-11. The relative configuration was then presumably identified as  $11S^*$ ,  $12R^*$ .

The molecular formula of sarcophytembranoid E (5) was established as  $C_{20}H_{30}O$  by HRESIMS at m/z: 287.2365 ([M +

H<sup>+</sup>, calcd 287.2369). Detailed analysis of the <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectrum of compound 5 revealed the connectivity of four fragments: H-1 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.28)/H-2 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.97) (i); H<sub>2</sub>-5 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.18, 2.23)/H<sub>2</sub>-6 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.18, 2.25)/H<sub>2</sub>-7 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.51, 2.06) (ii); H-9 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.85)/H<sub>2</sub>-10 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.63, 1.69)/H<sub>2</sub>-11 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.15, 2.28) (iii); and H-13 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.24)/H<sub>2</sub>-14 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.22, 2.39) (iv). Then, the HMBC correlations from  $H_3$ -18 to C-3/C-4/C-5, from  $H_3$ -19 to C-7/ C-8/C-9, from H<sub>3</sub>-20 to C-11/C-12/C-13, from H<sub>3</sub>-16 to C-1/ C-15/C-17, from H<sub>3</sub>-17 to C-1/C-15/C-16, and from H-2 to C-14/C-15 built the planar structure. Furthermore, the clear NOE correlations of H-2 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.28)/H<sub>3</sub>-18 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.79), H<sub>3</sub>-20  $(\delta_{\rm H} \ 1.60)/{
m H}$ -14b  $(\delta_{\rm H} \ 2.22)$ , and H-3  $(\delta_{\rm H} \ 5.98)/{
m H}_2$ -14a  $(\delta$ 2.39) suggested *E*-geometry for  $\Delta^1$ ,  $\Delta^3$ , and  $\Delta^{12}$ . Considering that H<sub>3</sub>-19 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.25) and H-9 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.86) have no NOE correlation, the relative configuration of 5 was assigned as 85\*, 9R\*. The absolute configuration of the epoxide was not determined due to the low yield of this compound.

Sarcophytembranoid F (6) was obtained as a colorless oil. Its molecular formula was determined according to the HRESIMS ion peak at m/z: 289.2527 ([M + H]<sup>+</sup>, calcd 289.2526). The NMR data of compound 6 was quite similar to that of 1 but with three differences: compound 6 contained an epoxide between C-1 and C-14, but 1 lacked an epoxide (i); 1 had a double bond between C-1 and C-2, but 6 did not (ii); there was a methoxy group at the C-15 position of 1, but not 6 (iii). The NOE interactions of H<sub>3</sub>-18 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.58)/H-2a ( $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.78), H<sub>3</sub>-19 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.59)/H-6a ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.20), and H<sub>3</sub>-20 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.66)/ H-10b ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.15) indicated that the double bonds  $\Delta^3$ ,  $\Delta^7$ , and  $\Delta^{11}$  are all *E*-configured. No NOE correlations were found between H-15 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.62) and H-14 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.05). Thus, the relative configuration was roughly determined as 1*S*\*, 14*R*\*.

Sarcophytembranoid G (7) was isolated as a colorless oil with a molecular formula of C23H38O4 deduced by the HRESIMS ion peak at m/z: 401.2660 ([M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, calcd 401.2662). The NMR data of 7 were similar to those of 4, except for C-7, C-8, and C-11 to C-13. Further analysis revealed that the original double bond  $\Delta^7$  in the cembrane core of 4 is replaced in 7 with an acetoxy group at C-7 and a hydroxyl group at C-8. Additionally, the epoxide between C-11 and C-12 in compound 4 is replaced in 7 with a  $\Delta^{12,13}$  double bond and full saturation at C-11. NOE correlations of H-2 ( $\delta_{
m H}$  $(6.30)/H_3$ -16 ( $\delta_H$  1.35), H-2 ( $\delta_H$  6.30)/H<sub>3</sub>-18 ( $\delta_H$ 1.78), and H-11a ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.19)/H-13 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.18) support *E*-geometry of  $\Delta^1$ ,  $\Delta^3$ , and  $\Delta^{12}$ . Furthermore, C-11/12 in compound 10 shares the same chemical environment as C-7/8 in compound 7, which bears vicinal acetoxy and hydroxyl groups. By analyzing the chemical shifts, coupling constants, and peak patterns between two compounds, we reasoned that the relative configurations of C-7 and C-8 in 7 are probably all R\*, as are C-11 and C-12 in 10.

Sarcophytembranoid H (8) has a molecular formula of  $C_{22}H_{34}O_3$  based on HREIMS data m/z: 346.2490 ([M]<sup>+</sup>, calcd for 346.2502). The NMR data of 8 partially matched those of 5, except for an additional acetyl signal in 8. Further analysis indicated that the chemical environment of C-8 and C-9 is the same as that of the hydroxy- and acetoxy-bearing carbons in compounds 7 and 10. Therefore, we did a similar chemical analysis for compound 8 as for compound 7 to determine the stereochemistry and concluded that the absolute configuration of 8 was most likely 1*S*, 2*S*. Furthermore, the NOE interactions of H-2 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.42)/H<sub>2</sub>-16 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.05), H-2 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.42)/H<sub>3</sub>-17 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.94), H-2 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.42)/H<sub>3</sub>-18( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.81), and H-14a ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.27)/

H<sub>3</sub>-20 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.48) indicated the *E*-geometry of the double bonds  $\Delta^1$ ,  $\Delta^3$ , and  $\Delta^{12}$  in compound **8**.

It also should be noted that although Masaru Kobayashi et al.<sup>30</sup> had chemically synthesized compounds **11**, **15**, and **16**, we isolated them from a natural source for the first time, highlighting the unlimited synthetic abilities among living organisms.

As the isolated compounds share a typical cembranoid skeleton, a plausible biosynthetic pathway was proposed (Figure S67). In brief, the cyclization of GGPP formed three different cembranoid scaffolds resulting from three deprotonations, forming double bonds:  $\Delta^1$ ,  $\Delta^{1(14)}$ , and  $\Delta^{1(15)}$ . The isolated natural products would then be readily generated following a series of simple enzymatic reactions, for example, oxidation, acetylation, and methylation.

All the isolated compounds were screened for biological activities, including cytotoxicity, anti-inflammation, and PTP1B inhibition. Although no evident cytotoxic activity was found, compounds 3, 7, 8, and 10-15 displayed anti-inflammatory activities in 10  $\mu$ M tests. Notably, compounds 7, 8, and 10 all exhibit anti-inflammatory activities and contain adjacent acetoxy and hydroxyl groups, suggesting that an epoxide ring-opening step could be essential to anti-inflammatory activity. In addition, it is worth mentioning that these compounds exhibited no evident cytotoxicity against BV-2 cells, suggesting that they are potential human-friendly lead compounds for anti-inflammation. Furthermore, compounds 17 and 18 showed moderate PTP1B inhibition activities with minimum inhibitory concentrations of 22.19 and 11.26  $\mu$ M, respectively. However, cembranoids barely exhibit PTP1B inhibition activities. Despite rare exampled cembranoids being PTP1B inhibitors, the finding would provide new types of candidate leads in drug development for treating type II diabetes and obesity.

Previously reported cembranoids displaying PTP1B inhibition activities,<sup>22,37</sup> for instance, sarcophytonolide N,<sup>22</sup> sarcrassin E,<sup>22</sup> ketoemblide,<sup>22</sup> and jatrophainolides A–C,<sup>37</sup> all have the methyl ester group or formyl group at C-18 (Figure S67), indicating that the oxidation of the methyl group at C-4 would probably significantly increase the PTP1B inhibitory activity. In addition, compounds 17 and cembrene-C<sup>22</sup> showed moderate PTP1B inhibition activities, while their oxidized derivatives 1–14 did not, suggesting that oxidation at other positions may decrease the inhibitory activity.

#### 

In conclusion, 8 new cembranoids, sarcophytembranoid A–H (1-8), and 10 known compounds 9-18, including 3 previously chemically synthesized but not isolated from a natural source 11, 15, and 16, were isolated from the soft coral *S. trocheliophorum* collected from Ximao Island, China. In bioassays, compounds 3, 7, 8, and 10–15 displayed significant anti-inflammatory activities, and compounds 17 and 18 exhibited moderate PTP1B inhibition activities.

However, the biosynthesis of the diverse and bioactive cembranoids remains elusive. Recent reports on the identifications of terpene synthases, including cembrane synthase, from marine invertebrates,<sup>38–41</sup> have proved that marine animals contain functional genes responsible for the biosynthesis of bioactive terpenoids, which are likely used as a "chemical weapon" against predators.<sup>5,42</sup> These previous reports have opened the door to elucidate the biosynthesis of bioactive, soft coral-derived cembranoids. Because these

compounds are often found in extremely low yield, understanding their biosynthetic pathways could allow for their overproduction in genetically engineered systems to assist downstream drug development of cembranoids and to assist efforts in chemical ecology to protect coral ecosystems.

# EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Experimental Procedures. 1D and 2D NMR spectra were acquired in CDCl<sub>3</sub> with a Bruker AVANCE III 400, Bruker AVANCE III 500, or Bruker AVANCE III 600 spectrometer (Bruker Biospin AG, Fällanden, Germany) with residual CDCl<sub>3</sub> ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.26 ppm,  $\delta_{\rm C}$  77.16 ppm) as the internal standard. HRESIMS spectra were measured using an Agilent G6520 Q-TOF mass spectrometer. A Nicolet 6700 spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was used to obtain the IR spectra. Circular dichroism spectra were measured using a JASCO 810 spectrometer. UV spectra were acquired using a Varian Cary 50 Bio spectrophotometer. Optical rotations were obtained on a PerkinElmer 241MC polarimeter. Column chromatography (CC) was performed using commercial silica gel (Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., 200-300 and 300-400 mesh) and Sephadex LH-20 gel (GE Healthcare). Thin-layer chromatography was performed on precoated silica gel plates [Merck Chemicals (Shanghai) Co., Ltd., G60 F-254]. RP-HPLC was performed on an Agilent 1260 series liquid chromatography system (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with a DAD G1315D detector at 210 nm and an Agilent semi-preparative XDB-C18 column (5  $\mu$ m, 250  $\times$  9.4 mm). All solvents used for CC were of analytical grade (Shanghai Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd.) and for HPLC were of chromatographic grade (Dikma Technologies Inc).

**Biological Material.** The title animal, *S. trocheliophorum*, was collected from the coast of Ximao Island (stored in a -20 °C freezer until extraction), Hainan Province, China, and identified by Prof. Xiu-Bao Li (Hainan University). A voucher specimen (no. 18XD-19) is available for inspection at the Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, CAS.

**Extraction and Isolation.** The soft coral (800 g, dry weight) of S. trocheliophorum was cut into pieces and extracted exhaustively with Me<sub>2</sub>CO at room temperature in an ultrasonic bath (4  $\times$  5.0 L). The organic extract was evaporated to give a brown residue and then partitioned between Et<sub>2</sub>O and H<sub>2</sub>O. The upper layer was concentrated under reduced pressure to give a  $Et_2O$  portion (21.0 g). The resulting residue was separated into five fractions (A-E) by gradient silica-gel CC  $(200-300 \text{ mesh}, 0 \rightarrow 50\% \text{ Et}_2\text{O})$  in petroleum ether (PE). Fraction B was then fractionated into subfractions (B1-B3) using a Sephadex LH-20 column ( $PE/CH_2Cl_2/MeOH =$ 2:1:1). Subfraction B1 was further purified by HPLC (100%  $CH_3CN$ , 2.5 mL/min), yielding compounds 5 (3.0 mg), 6 (5.0 mg), 7 (2.0 mg), and 17 (3.0 mg). Fraction C was further isolated as compound 1 (2.0 mg), 2 (1.5 mg), 3 (3.0 mg), 8 (2.0 mg), 9 (1.0 mg), and 16 (0.7 mg) by Sephadex LH-20 CC  $(PE/CH_2Cl_2/MeOH, 2:1:1)$  and RP-HPLC (100% CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 2.5 mL/min). Fraction E was fractionated into subfractions E1-E3 using a Sephadex LH-20 column eluted with PE/ CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH (2:1:1). Subfraction E1 was further purified by HPLC (80% CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 2.5 mL/min) to give compound 4 (2.0 mg) and 11 (2.2 mg). Subfraction E2 was further purified by HPLC (80% CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 2.5 mL/min) to give compound 15 (1.5 mg). Subfraction E3 was further purified by HPLC (75%)

 $CH_3CN$ , 2.5 mL/min) to give compound 12 (5.1 mg), 13 (2.1 mg), 14 (2.0 mg), and 18 (5.4 mg).

Sarcophytembranoid A (1): colorless crystals, mp 120.2– 120.5 °C; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$ : 3426, 2934, 1710, 1453, 1376, 1072 cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV (MeOH):  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 248.5 (4.35) nm; ECD (CH<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ) 395.0 (+0.01), 246.5 (-0.33) nm; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS *m/z*: 325.2492 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>34</sub>NaO, 325.2502).

Sarcophytembranoid B (2): colorless solid,  $[\alpha]_{20}^{20}$  +50.0 (*c* 0.15, MeOH); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$ : 2959, 2923, 1443, 1383, 1260, 1087, 1031, 865, 803 cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV (MeOH):  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 217.0 (4.65) nm;<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS *m*/*z*: 325.2499 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>34</sub>NaO, 325.2502).

Sarcophytembranoid C (3): colorless solid;  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  +6.1 (*c* 0.30, MeOH); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$ : 2959, 2928, 1434, 1381, 1155, 1080, 971, 860 cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV (MeOH):  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 232.0 (4.24) nm; ECD (CH<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ) 238 (+0.02), 200(-0.20) nm; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HREIMS *m/z*: 302.2620 [M]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>34</sub>O, 302.2604).

Sarcophytembranoid D (4): colorless solid;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$  -12.4 (c 0.20, MeOH); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$ : 2958, 2926, 1448, 1379, 1247, 1156, 1073, 826 cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV (MeOH):  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 248.5 (4.13) nm; ECD (CH<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ) 275.5 (-0.01), 246 (-0.20) nm; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS *m*/ *z*: 341.2453 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>34</sub>NaO<sub>2</sub>, 341.2451).

Sarcophytembranoid E (5): colorless solid;  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  +3.3 (*c* 0.30, MeOH); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$ : 2960, 2930, 2871, 1711, 1454, 1383, 1250, 1119, 980 cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV (MeOH):  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 216.0 (4.60) nm; ECD (CH<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ) 222.5 (-0.05), 210.5 (-2.79) nm; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS *m*/*z*: 287.2365 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>31</sub>O, 287.2369).

Sarcophytembranoid F (6): colorless solid;  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  +6.0 (*c* 0.50, MeOH); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$ : 2963, 2919, 1435, 1384, 1091, 1033 cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV (MeOH):  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 214.0 (4.83) nm; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS *m/z*: 289.2527 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>33</sub>O, 289.2526).

Sarcophytembranoid G (7): colorless solid;  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  +7.5 (*c* 0.20, MeOH); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$ : 3451, 2976, 2930, 2856, 1732, 1448, 1373, 1242, 1143, 1072, 1023 cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV (MeOH):  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 249.0 (4.37) nm; ECD (CH<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ) 224.5 (-0.09), 215 (+3.50) nm; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS *m*/*z*: 401.2660 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>38</sub>NaO<sub>4</sub>, 401.2662).

Sarcophytembranoid H (8): colorless solid;  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  -5.0 (*c* 0.20, MeOH); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$ : 3440, 2973, 2932, 2870, 1732, 1668, 1448, 1374, 1241, 1029, 802 cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV (MeOH):  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 249.0 (4.35) nm; ECD (CH<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ) 233.0 (+0.02), 210 (-0.91) nm; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HR-EIMS *m*/*z*: 346.2490 [M]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>34</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 346.2502).

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.2c05687.

Detailed HRESIMS or HREIMS, 1D NMR, 2D NMR, and IR spectra of compounds **1–8** and biological activity evaluation (PDF)

Sarcophytembranoid A (CIF)

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Authors**

Baofu Xu – State Key Laboratory of Drug Research, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201203, China; Shandong Laboratory of Yantai Drug Discovery, Bohai Rim Advanced Research Institute for Drug Discovery, Yantai 264117, China; ◎ orcid.org/0000-0002-0144-2455; Email: bfxu@simm.ac.cn

Yue-Wei Guo – State Key Laboratory of Drug Research, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201203, China; Shandong Laboratory of Yantai Drug Discovery, Bohai Rim Advanced Research Institute for Drug Discovery, Yantai 264117, China;
orcid.org/0000-0003-0413-2070; Email: ywguo@ simm.ac.cn

#### Authors

- Zi-Rong Zeng College of Pharmaceutical Science and Collaborative Innovation Center of Yangtze River Delta Region Green Pharmaceuticals, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310014, China; State Key Laboratory of Drug Research, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201203, China
- Jing Chen State Key Laboratory of Drug Research, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201203, China
- Hong Wang College of Pharmaceutical Science and Collaborative Innovation Center of Yangtze River Delta Region Green Pharmaceuticals, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310014, China; © orcid.org/0000-0003-0058-060X
- Hai-Yan Zhang State Key Laboratory of Drug Research, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201203, China; orcid.org/0000-0002-2877-2040
- Jia Li State Key Laboratory of Drug Research, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201203, China; Shandong Laboratory of Yantai Drug Discovery, Bohai Rim Advanced Research Institute for Drug Discovery, Yantai 264117, China

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsomega.2c05687

#### **Author Contributions**

The manuscript was written with the contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval for the final version of the manuscript.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (no. 81991521), the SKLDR/ SIMM Project (no. SIMM1903ZZ-06), and Zhejiang Province "Ten Thousand Talents Program" Science and Technology Innovation Leading Talent Project (2019R52009). B.X. is supported by the start-up fund from the Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica of the Chinese Academy of Sciences.

#### REFERENCES

(1) Christianson, D. W. Structural and Chemical Biology of Terpenoid Cyclases. *Chem. Rev.* 2017, 117, 11570–11648.

(2) Dictionary of Natural Products. http://dnp.chemnetbase.com, accessed July–September 2022.

(3) Jaeger, R.; Cuny, E. Terpenoids with Special Pharmacological Significance: A Review. *Nat. Prod. Commun.* **2016**, *11*, 1373–1390.

(4) Liang, L. F.; Guo, Y. W. Terpenes from the Soft Corals of the Genus Sarcophyton: Chemistry and Biological Activities. *Chem. Biodiversity* **2013**, *10*, 2161–2196.

(5) Li, G.; Dickschat, J. S.; Guo, Y. W. Diving into the world of marine 2,11-cyclized cembranoids: a summary of new compounds and their biological activities. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2020**, *37*, 1367–1383.

(6) Liang, L. F.; Lan, L. F.; Taglialatela-Scafati, O.; Guo, Y. W. Sartrolides A–G and bissartrolide, new cembranolides from the South China Sea soft coral Sarcophyton trocheliophorum Marenzeller. *Tetrahedron* **2013**, *69*, 7381–7386.

(7) Rudolf, J. D.; Alsup, T. A.; Xu, B. F.; Li, Z. N. Bacterial terpenome. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2021**, *38*, 905–980.

(8) Tius, M. A. Synthesis of cembranes and cembranolides. *Chem. Rev.* **1988**, *88*, 719–732.

(9) Yang, B.; Zhou, X. F.; Lin, X. P.; Liu, J.; Peng, Y.; Yang, X. W.; Liu, Y. H. Cembrane Diterpenes Chemistry and Biological Properties. *Curr. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *16*, 1512–1539.

(10) Nurrachma, M. Y.; Sakaraga, D.; Nugraha, A. Y.; Rahmawati, S. I.; Bayu, A.; Sukmarini, L.; Atikana, A.; Prasetyoputri, A.; Izzati, F.; Warsito, M. F.; Putra, M. Y. Cembranoids of Soft Corals: Recent Updates and Their Biological Activities. *Nat. Prod. Bioprospect.* **2021**, *11*, 243–306.

(11) Rodrigues, I. G.; Miguel, M. G.; Mnif, W. A Brief Review on New Naturally Occurring Cembranoid Diterpene Derivatives from the Soft Corals of the Genera Sarcophyton, Sinularia, and Lobophytum Since 2016. *Molecules* **2019**, *24*, 781.

(12) Duh, C. Y.; Hou, R. S. Cytotoxic Cembranoids from the Soft Corals Sinularia gibberosa and Sarcophyton trocheliophorum. *J. Nat. Prod.* **1996**, *59*, 595–598.

(13) Shaaban, M.; Ghani, M. A.; Shaaban, K. A. Unusual pyranosyl cembranoid diterpene from Sarcophyton trocheliophorum. *Z. Naturforsch. B.* **2016**, *71*, 1211–1217.

(14) Shaaban, K. A.; Ghani, M. A.; Shaaban, M. New Cembranoid Diterpenes from Sarcophyton trocheliophorum. *Br. J. Pharm. Res.* **2014**, *5*, 192–201.

(15) Al-Footy, K. O.; Alarif, W. M.; Asiri, F.; Aly, M. M.; Ayyad, S.-E. N. Rare pyrane-based cembranoids from the Red Sea soft coral Sarcophyton trocheliophorum as potential antimicrobial-antitumor agents. *Med. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *24*, 505–512.

(16) Hegazy, M.-E. F.; Mohamed, T. A.; Abdel-Latif, F. F.; Alsaid, M. S.; Shahat, A. A.; Paré, P. W. Trochelioid, A and B new cembranoid diterpenes from the Red Sea soft coral Sarcophyton trocheliophorum. *Phytochem. Lett.* **2013**, *6*, 383–386.

(17) Liu, Z.; Cheng, W.; Liu, D.; van Ofwegen, L.; Proksch, P.; Lin, W. H. Capnosane-type cembranoids from the soft coral Sarcophyton trocheliophorum with antibacterial effects. *Tetrahedron* **2014**, *70*, 8703–8713.

(18) Liang, L. F.; Kurtán, T.; Mándi, A.; Yao, L. G.; Li, J.; Lan, L. F.; Guo, Y. W. Structural, stereochemical, and bioactive studies of cembranoids from Chinese soft coral Sarcophyton trocheliophorum. *Tetrahedron* **2018**, *74*, 1933–1941.

(19) Liang, L. F.; Kurtán, T.; Mándi, A.; Yao, L. G.; Li, J.; Zhang, W.; Guo, Y. W. Unprecedented Diterpenoids as a PTP1B Inhibitor from the Hainan Soft Coral Sarcophyton trocheliophorum Marenzeller. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 274–277.

(20) Liang, L. F.; Kurtán, T.; Mándi, A.; Gao, L. X.; Li, J.; Zhang, W.; Guo, Y. W. Sarsolenane and Capnosane Diterpenes from the Hainan Soft Coral Sarcophyton trocheliophorum Marenzeller as PTP1B Inhibitors. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, 2014, 1841–1847.

(21) Yao, L. G.; Zhang, H. Y.; Liang, L. F.; Guo, X. J.; Mao, S. C.; Guo, Y. W. Yalongenes, A and B Two New Cembranoids with

Cytoprotective Effects from the Hainan Soft Coral Sarcophyton trocheliophorum Marenzeller. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **2012**, *95*, 235–239.

(22) Liang, L. F.; Gao, L. X.; Li, J.; Taglialatela-Scafati, T. S.; Guo, Y. W. Cembrane diterpenoids from the soft coral Sarcophyton trocheliophorum Marenzeller as a new class of PTP1B inhibitors. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2013**, *21*, 5076–5080.

(23) Chen, W. T.; Liang, L. F.; Li, X. W.; Xiao, W.; Guo, Y. W. Further New Highly Oxidative Cembranoids from the Hainan Soft Coral Sarcophyton trocheliophorum. *Nat. Prod. Bioprospect.* **2016**, *6*, 97–102.

(24) Liang, L. F.; Chen, W. T.; Li, X. W.; Wang, H. Y.; Guo, Y. W. New Bicyclic Cembranoids from the South China Sea Soft Coral Sarcophyton trocheliophorum. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 46584.

(25) Liang, L. F.; Chen, W. T.; Mollo, E.; Yao, L. G.; Wang, H. Y.; Xiao, W.; Guo, Y. W. Sarcophytrols, G. –L. Novel Minor Metabolic Components from South China Sea Soft Coral Sarcophyton trocheliophorum Marenzeller. *Chem. Biodiversity* **2017**, *14*, No. e1700079.

(26) Chen, Z. H.; Gao, T. R.; Yang, M.; Yao, L. G.; Guo, Y. W. Further new cembranoids from the South China Sea soft coral Sarcophyton trocheliophorum. *Fitoterapia* **2021**, *151*, 104902.

(27) Goldstein, B. J. Protein-Tyrosine phosphatases and the regulation of insulin action. J. Cell. Biochem. 1992, 48, 33-42.

(28) Kobayashi, M.; Iesaka, T.; Nakano, E. Marine Terpenes and Terpeoids. IX. : Structures of Six New Cembranoids, Sarcophytols F, K, P, Q, R and S, from the Soft Coral Sarcophyton glaucum. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1989**, *37*, 2053–2057.

(29) Phan, C. S.; Ng, S. Y.; Kamada, T.; Vairappan, C. S. Two New Lobane Diterpenes from a Bornean Soft Coral Sinularia sp. *Nat. Prod. Commun.* **2016**, *11*, 899–900.

(30) Kobayashi, M.; Kondo, K.; Osabe, K.; Mitsuhashi, H. Marine Terpenes and Terpenoids. V. : Oxidation of Sarcophytol A, a Potent Anti-tumor-Promoter from the Soft Coral Sarcophyton glaucum. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1988**, *36*, 2331–2341.

(31) Kobayashi, M.; Nakagawa, T.; Mitsuhashi, H. Marine Terpenes and Terpenoids. I. Structures of Four Cembrane-type Diterpenes : SarCophytol-A, Sarcophytol-A Acetate Sarcophytol-B, and Sarcophytonin-A, from the Soft Coral. *Sarcophyton glaucum. Chem. Pharm. Bull* **1979**, *27*, 2382–2387.

(32) Kobayashi, M.; Osabe, K. Marine Terpenes and Terpenoids.-VII. : Minor Cembranoid Derivatives, Structurally Related to the Potent Anti-tumor-Promoter Sarcophytol A, from the Soft Coral Sarcophyton glaucum. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1989**, *37*, 631–636.

(33) Yan, X. H.; Li, Z. Y.; Guo, Y. W. Further new cembranoid diterpenes from the Hainan soft coral Sarcophyton latum. *Helv. Chim.* Acta 2007, 90, 1574–1580.

(34) Cuong, N. X.; Tuan, T. A.; Kiem, P. V.; Minh, C. V.; Choi, E. M.; Kim, Y. H. New Cembranoid Diterpenes from the Vietnamese Soft Coral Sarcophyton mililatensis Stimulate Osteoblastic Differentiation in MC3T3-E1 Cells. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **2008**, *56*, 988–992. (35) Saito, F.; Gerbig, D.; Becker, J.; Schreiner, P. R. Absolute

Configuration of trans-Perhydroazulene. Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 3895– 3899. (36) Giorgio, E.; Viglione, R. G.; Zanasi, R.; Rosini, C. Ab Initio

(30) Giorgio, E.; Vigione, K. G.; Zanasi, K.; Kosini, C. Ab Initio Calculation of Optical Rotatory Dispersion (ORD) Curves: A Simple and Reliable Approach to the Assignment of the Molecular Absolute Configuration. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2004**, 126, 12968–12976.

(37) Zhang, D. B.; Wang, Z.; Liang, Y. N.; Yu, J. G.; Zhang, D. Z.; Liu, A. –C.; Zhang, Z.; Song, Z.-X.; Tang, Z.-S.; Duan, D.-Z. Jatrophainolides A-C, new cembrane-type diterpenoids with PTP1B inhibitory activity from the root bark of Jatropha integerrima. *Phytochem. Lett.* **2020**, *36*, 166–170.

(38) Burkhardt, I.; de Rond, T. D.; Chen, P. Y.-T.; Moore, B. S. Ancient plant-like terpene biosynthesis in corals. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2022**, *18*, 664–669.

(39) Scesa, P. D.; Lin, Z.; Schmidt, E. W. Ancient defensive terpene biosynthetic gene clusters in the soft corals. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2022**, *18*, 659–663.

(40) Thimmappa, R.; Wang, S.; Zheng, M.; Misra, R. C.; Huang, A. C.; Saalbach, G.; Chang, Y.; Zhou, Z.; Hinman, V.; Bao, Z.; Osbourn, A. Biosynthesis of saponin defensive compounds in sea cucumbers. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2022**, *18*, 774–781.

(41) Li, F.; Lin, Z.; Torres, J. P.; Hill, E. A.; Li, D.; Townsend, C. A.; Schmidt, E. W. Sea Urchin Polyketide Synthase SpPks1 Produces the Naphthalene Precursor to Echinoderm Pigments. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2022**, *144*, 9363–9371.

(42) Chen, Z.-H.; Guo, Y. W.; Li, X. W. Recent advances on marine mollusk-derived natural products: chemistry, chemical ecology and therapeutical potential. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2022**, DOI: 10.1039/d2np00021k.