

# Effects of apical right ventricular pacing on right ventricular and left ventricular mechanics in patients with preserved systolic function: 2D speckle tracking assessment

Nikolay Poroyliev <sup>1,\*</sup>, Elena Kinova<sup>1</sup>, Alina Levi<sup>3</sup>, Vassil Traykov <sup>2</sup>, and Assen Goudev<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Cardiology Clinic, Medical University Sofia, UH 'Tsaritsa Joanna' ISUL, Byalo More 8 Str, Sofia 1527, Bulgaria; <sup>2</sup>Cardiology Clinic, Acibadem City Clinic Tokuda Hospital, Sofia, Bulgaria; and <sup>3</sup>Ophthalmology Clinic, Medical University Sofia, UH 'Alexandrovska', Sofia, Bulgaria

Received 3 August 2022; revised 8 November 2022; accepted 9 December 2022; online publish-ahead-of-print 12 December 2022

Handling Editor: Alessia Gimelli

**Keywords** Pacemaker • Ventricular function • Speckle tracking echocardiography

## Introduction

Left ventricular (LV) function during right ventricular (RV) stimulation is well studied, while the effects on RV function are not well explored. We used speckle tracking echocardiography (STE) as it provides detailed information on LV and RV contractile performance, hence a more sensitive method to assess RV and LV function.

## Methods

Fifty-three consecutive patients underwent echocardiography before implantation. LV and RV function was assessed with 2D echocardiography and STE. At a median follow-up of 8 months, the patients underwent control echocardiography and device interrogation. Patients were divided according to the percentage of cumulative ventricular pacing (CumVP) in Group 1 with <40% in dual chamber trigger/inhibition mode (DDD) mode or <80% in ventricular single chamber inhibition mode (VVI) mode ( $n=20$ ; 38%) and Group 2 with >40% in DDD or >80% in VVI mode ( $n=33$ ; 62%), based on the results of the mode selection trial study.<sup>1</sup> Standard echocardiography was performed. LV and RV quantifications were done according to current guidelines.<sup>2</sup>

## Results

Patient characteristics and data are listed in [Table 1](#). In Group 1, we observed a trend towards worsening all parameters. We registered a deterioration in RV systolic function measured by a significant decline in global right ventricular longitudinal strain (GLSRV) and right ventricular

free wall strain (GLSRVFW) without a significant reduction in global longitudinal left ventricular strain (GLSLV). The systolic speed at the lateral tricuspid annulus ( $S't$ ) and tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE) also decreased significantly without affecting the RV filling pressures measured indirectly by  $E/e't$  ratio. LVEF was not affected at all by this point. The systolic shortening speed at medial mitral annulus was significantly attenuated, which was not observed at the lateral mitral annulus. The altered activation leads to increased filling pressures in the LV assessed indirectly by  $E/e'm$  ratio. In Group 2, we measured a significant deterioration of RV systolic function assessed by GLSRV, GLSRVFW,  $S't$ , and TAPSE, but we also registered a significant worsening in LV function assessed by GLSLV, but almost no dynamics in the LVEF. The same pattern was observed in the systolic speed at the medial and lateral mitral annulus. LV and RV diastolic function worsened in both groups.

## Discussion

The results from our study suggest that the altered activation induced subclinical systolic RV dysfunction in all patients and subclinical systolic LV dysfunction only with higher CumVP, based on STE and tissue Doppler imaging parameters. This was caused by the altered activation, leading to intraventricular preload redistribution.<sup>2</sup> LVEF was not affected at this point in all patients. LV filling pressures increased, but RV diastolic function remained normal. Apical stimulation may cause worsening of RV parameters irrespective of CumVP, or the threshold for RV dysfunction is lower than that for LV dysfunction.<sup>3</sup>

\* Corresponding author. Tel: +3592 9432 226, Fax: +359 2 9432 126, Email: [n.poroyliev@medfac.mu-sofia.bg](mailto:n.poroyliev@medfac.mu-sofia.bg)

© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact [journals.permissions@oup.com](mailto:journals.permissions@oup.com)

**Table 1** Patient characteristics, baseline, and follow-up data

| Age, years              | Gender                           |              | Pacing mode               |  |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|--|
| <b>74 ± 2.7 (52–91)</b> | <b>Male</b><br>(n = 30; 56.6%)   |              | <b>DDD</b><br>n = 48(91%) |  |
|                         | <b>Female</b><br>(n = 23; 43.4%) |              | <b>VVI</b><br>n = 5(9%)   |  |
| Group 1                 |                                  |              |                           |  |
| Parameter               | Baseline                         | Follow-up    | P-value                   |  |
| GLSRV, %                | −22.00 ± 2.2                     | −18.58 ± 3.3 | P = 0.009                 |  |
| GLSRVFW, %              | −21.66 ± 2.3                     | −19.11 ± 1.2 | P = 0.034                 |  |
| GLSLV, %                | −20 ± 2.3                        | −19.3 ± 3.3  | P > 0.05                  |  |
| LVEF, %                 | 59 ± 8                           | 59 ± 7.8     | P > 0.05                  |  |
| E/e <sub>m</sub> ,      | 10.68 ± 1.5                      | 14.31 ± 1.2  | P = 0.002                 |  |
| S <sub>t</sub> , cm/s   | 17.88 ± 1.6                      | 12.3 ± 3.3   | P = 0.007                 |  |
| TAPSE, mm               | 21.65 ± 3.3                      | 19.12 ± 2.9  | P = 0.001                 |  |
| E/e <sub>t</sub>        | 4.35 ± 1.1                       | 5.65 ± 2.6   | P > 0.05                  |  |
| Group 2                 |                                  |              |                           |  |
| Parameter               | Baseline                         | Follow-up    | P-value                   |  |
| GLSRV, %                | −21.4 ± 1.4                      | −17.34 ± 1.6 | P = 0.048                 |  |
| GLSRVFW, %              | −21.7 ± 1.9                      | −17.4 ± 1.8  | P = 0.049                 |  |
| GLSLV, %                | −20.37 ± 2.2                     | −17.49 ± 1.2 | P = 0.027                 |  |
| LVEF, %                 | 57 ± 4                           | 55 ± 5       | P > 0.05                  |  |
| E/e <sub>m</sub> ,      | 13.5 ± 1.3                       | 16.6 ± 1.1   | P = 0.014                 |  |
| S <sub>t</sub> , cm/s   | 17.6 ± 3.1                       | 12.1 ± 2.5   | P = 0.039                 |  |
| TAPSE, mm               | 21.7 ± 1.4                       | 18.5 ± 1.2   | P = 0.044                 |  |
| E/e <sub>t</sub>        | 5.3 ± 1.3                        | 6.1 ± 2.3    | P > 0.05                  |  |

## Conclusion

Short-term stimulation resulted in subclinical deterioration of RV function in all patients. It worsened LV function with higher CumVP. Based on our results and published data, we suggest that RV threshold for induced dysfunction is lower than LV threshold. Further studies are needed to understand the effects of RV apical pacing on RV function. The small cohort of the study needs to be acknowledged as a limitation of the study.

## Authors' contributions

N.P. contributed to the design of the work, data acquisition, analysis, interpretation, and drafting of the work, approves the final version, and agrees to be accountable for all aspects of the work. E.K. was involved in data acquisition, analysis, and interpretation, revising the work, approves the final version, and agrees to be accountable for all aspects of the work. A.L. contributed to data acquisition, analysis, and drafting of the work, approves the final version, and agrees to be accountable for all aspects of the work. V.T. contributed to data analysis and interpretation, revising the work, and approves it to be published. A.G. contributed to the design of the work, data analysis, and interpretation, revising the work, approves the final version, and agrees to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

## Funding

None declared.

**Conflict of interest:** None declared.

## Data availability

All data are incorporated into the article.

## Statement of ethics

Ethics approval was not required. The patients signed an informed consent form for undergoing the procedure of implantation of the device.

## References

- Lamas GA, Lee K, Sweeney M, Leon A, Yee R, Ellenbogen K, Greer S, Wilber D, Silverman R, Marinchak R, Bernstein R, Mittleman RS, Lieberman EH, Sullivan C, Zorn L, Flaker G, Schron E, Orav EJ, Goldman L. The mode selection trial (MOST) in sinus node dysfunction: design, rationale, and baseline characteristics of the first 1000 patients. *Am Heart J* 2000;**140**:541–551.
- Prinzen FW, Hunter WC, Wyman BT, McVeigh ER. Mapping of regional myocardial strain and work during ventricular pacing: experimental study using magnetic resonance imaging tagging. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1999;**33**:1735–1742.
- Chen JY, Tsai WC, Liu YW, Li WH, Li YH, Tsai LM, Lin LJ. Long-term effect of septal or apical pacing on left and right ventricular function after permanent pacemaker implantation. *Echocardiography* 2013;**30**:812–819.