



Quantitative Assessment of Changes in Hemodynamics After Obliteration of Large Intracranial Carotid Aneurysms Using Computational Fluid Dynamics

Yongsheng Liu*[†], Guinan Jiang[†], Feng Wang* and Xiangbo An

Department of Interventional Neuroradiology, First Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University, Dalian, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Osama O. Zaidat, Northeast Ohio Medical University, United States

Reviewed by:

Jan Jack Gouda, Wright State University, United States Ali Alaraj, University of Illinois at Chicago, United States

*Correspondence:

Yongsheng Liu liuyongsheng_dl@163.com Feng Wang 1691301142@qq.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Endovascular and Interventional Neurology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neurology

Received: 22 November 2020 Accepted: 15 March 2021 Published: 29 April 2021

Citation:

Liu Y, Jiang G, Wang F and An X (2021) Quantitative Assessment of Changes in Hemodynamics After Obliteration of Large Intracranial Carotid Aneurysms Using Computational Fluid Dynamics. Front. Neurol. 12:632066. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2021.632066 **Background:** It was speculated that the alteration of the geometry of the artery might lead to hemodynamic changes of distal arteries. This study was to investigate the hemodynamic changes of distal arterial trees, and to identify the factors accounting for hyperperfusion after the obliteration of large intracranial aneurysms.

Methods: We retrospectively reviewed data of 12 patients with intracranial carotid aneurysms. Parametric models with intracranial carotid aneurysm were created. Patient-specific geometries were generated by three-dimensional rotational angiography. To mimic the arterial geometries after complete obliteration of the aneurysms, the aneurysms were virtually removed. The Navier–Stokes equations were solved using ANSYS CFX 14. The average wall shear stress, pressure and flow velocity were measured.

Results: Pressure ratio values were significantly higher in A1 segments, M1 segments, and M2 + M3 segments after obliteration of the aneurysms (p = 0.048 in A1 segments, p = 0.017 in M1 segments, p = 0.001 in M2 + M3 segments). Velocity ratio values were significantly higher in M1 segments and M2 + M3 segments after obliteration of the aneurysms (p = 0.047 in M1 segments, p = 0.046 in M2 + M3 segments). The percentage of pressure ratio increase after obliteration of aneurysms was significantly correlated with aneurysmal angle (r = 0.739, p = 0.006 for M2 + M3).

Conclusions: The pressure and flow velocity of distal arterial trees became higher after obliteration of aneurysms. The angle between the aneurysm and the parent artery was the factor accounting for pressure increase after treatment.

Keywords: carotid artery, hemodynamics, large intracranial aneurysm, computational fluid dynamics, geometry

INTRODUCTION

Intracranial aneurysm is a common disease in the general population (1). Cerebral hyperperfusion syndrome (HPS) and remote intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) after treatment of the large intracranial aneurysm have been noted (2–5). The mechanism remains unknown, but it was speculated that the alteration of the geometry of the artery might lead to hemodynamic changes

1

of distal arterial trees, which may contribute to cerebral HPS and remote ICH; nonetheless, this speculation has not been well studied (2, 4, 6-8).

With the development of computational fluid dynamics (CFD) and three-dimensional imaging technology, patient-specific hemodynamic analysis has become feasible. However, quantitative study of the hemodynamic changes that occur in the region distal to the aneurysms after the obliteration of large intracranial aneurysms is relatively rare (6).

The aim of our study was to investigate the hemodynamic changes of distal arterial trees after the obliteration of large intracranial carotid aneurysms and to identify the factors accounting for hyperperfusion after the obliteration of large intracranial carotid aneurysms.

METHODS

Patient Selection

We retrospectively reviewed data of 12 patients with large intracranial aneurysms of internal carotid artery (ICA) between August 2018 and August 2019 in our institution.

Inclusion criteria were unilateral intracranial aneurysms of ICA and the maximum diameter of aneurysm ≥ 10 mm. Exclusion criteria were stenosis of ICA and multiple cerebral aneurysms.

Modeling of the Aneurysms and Hemodynamic Parameter Calculations

The angles between parent artery and the aneurysm were measured.

Parametric models with an aneurysm of ICA (15-10-10 mm) derived from patient 11 were created using SolidWorks software (SolidWorks Co, Concord, MA, USA). Three models were created with aneurysmal angles of 45° , 90° , and 135° (Figure 1).

Patient-specific geometries were generated by threedimensional rotational angiography. The surface images were reconstructed using Mimics software (Materialize Co., Leuven, Belgium). To mimic the arterial geometries after complete obliteration of the aneurysms, the aneurysms were virtually removed. After segmenting and surface smoothing by Geomagic Studio 9.0 software (Geomagic USA), the geometries in stereolithography (STL) format were then exported to ICEM CFD 14.0 (ANSYS, Inc., Canonsburg, PA, USA) for meshing. The vessels were assumed to be rigid with no-slip boundary conditions.

The blood was assumed as incompressible fluid with a density of 1,025 kg/m³ and a viscosity of 0.0035 Pa s. The walls of the patient geometry were assumed as rigid (9). The inlet boundary condition used mass-flow boundary condition (245 ml/min) (10), whereas the outlet boundary condition used pressure outlet with zero pressure. The Navier–Stokes equations were solved using ANSYS CFX 14.0 (ANSYS, Inc., Canonsburg, PA, USA).

The beginning part of the cavernous segment of ICA was defined as the origin plane.

The average wall shear stress (WSS), pressure, and flow velocity were measured. We therefore normalized them to achieve the relative indices such as WSS ratio, velocity ratio and pressure ratio, divided by the values of the corresponding origin plane (11).

Statistical Analysis

Comparisons of hemodynamic parameters of the middle cerebral arteries (MCAs) and anterior cerebral arteries (ACAs) between the pre-obliteration group and post-obliteration group were performed.

SPSS 19.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analyses. Statistical significance was assessed by the application of paired *t*-tests comparing the hemodynamics between the pre-obliteration group and post-obliteration group. The association between the percentage of pressure ratio increase after obliteration of aneurysms and aneurysmal angles was quantified using Pearson's correlation coefficients. All tests used a significance level of p < 0.05.

RESULTS

Patient Characteristics

The study population comprised 12 patients, including nine females (75%) and three males (25%). The patient characteristics and the aneurysm features are summarized in **Table 1**.



Subject	Sex	Age (y)	Smoking	Diabetes mellitus	Hypertension	Location	Side	Size (mm)	Aneurysmal angle (°)
1	М	53	Yes	Yes	Yes	Cavernous	R	14–13–10	41
2	F	73	No	No	Yes	Posterior communicating	L	12-8-7	79
3	F	61	No	No	Yes	Ophthalmic	L	11-6-6	71
4	F	40	No	No	No	Posterior communicating	L	10-6-5	82
5	F	53	No	No	No	Posterior communicating	R	23–20–16	95
6	F	57	No	No	No	Ophthalmic	L	20-20-17	96
7	F	52	No	No	No	Posterior communicating	L	11-7-7	135
8	F	65	No	No	Yes	Posterior communicating	L	20-20-17	51
9	Μ	54	Yes	No	No	Posterior communicating	R	21-14-10	65
10	F	72	No	No	Yes	Paraclinoid	R	13–10–7	105
11	Μ	62	No	No	Yes	Posterior communicating	R	11-8-8	96
12	F	66	No	No	Yes	Posterior communicating	L	13–11–9	80

TABLE 1 | Characteristics of the study population.

L, left; R, right.

TABLE 2 | Hemodynamic changes in the distal arteries after obliteration of aneurysms.

	Mea	P-value	
	Pre-obliteration	Post-obliteration	
A1 segments			
WSS ratio	3.33 ± 3.84	3.25 ± 3.11	0.732
Pressure ratio	0.56 ± 0.18	0.62 ± 0.21	0.048
Velocity ratio	1.35 ± 0.64	1.38 ± 0.57	0.368
A2 segments			
WSS ratio	2.11 ± 2.01	2.11 ± 1.77	0.952
Pressure ratio	0.44 ± 0.14	0.46 ± 0.15	0.129
Velocity ratio	1.10 ± 0.45	1.16 ± 0.41	0.190
A3 segments			
WSS ratio	2.21 ± 2.88	2.16 ± 2.48	0.730
Pressure ratio	0.28 ± 0.16	0.27 ± 0.10	0.746
Velocity ratio	1.05 ± 0.61	1.13 ± 0.16	0.246
M1 segments			
WSS ratio	4.48 ± 5.49	4.02 ± 3.33	0.519
Pressure ratio	0.53 ± 0.16	0.59 ± 0.19	0.017
Velocity ratio	1.61 ± 0.76	1.69 ± 0.72	0.047
M2 + M3 segme	ents		
WSS ratio	1.94 ± 1.84	2.04 ± 1.78	0.076
Pressure ratio	0.35 ± 0.17	0.39 ± 0.17	0.001
Velocity ratio	0.99 ± 0.41	1.09 ± 0.40	0.046

Hemodynamics

The WSS ratio, velocity ratio, and pressure ratio values were analyzed (**Table 2**, **Figures 2**, **3**). Statistical analysis demonstrated that pressure ratio values were significantly higher in A1 segments, M1 segments, and M2 + M3 segments after obliteration of the aneurysms (p = 0.048 in A1 segments, p = 0.017 in M1 segments, p = 0.001 in M2 + M3 segments). Velocity ratio values were significantly higher in M1 segments and M2 + M3 segments after obliteration of the aneurysms (p = 0.047 in M1 segments after obliteration of the aneurysms (p = 0.047 segments after obliteration of the aneurysms (p = 0.047 segments after obliteration of the aneurysms (p = 0.047 segments (p = 0.0

M1 segments, p = 0.046 in M2 + M3 segments). The WSS ratio values were similar in MCAs and ACAs for both groups (**Table 2**).

CFD study of the parametric models showed that an increasing aneurysmal angle yielded a lower pressure ratio of ACAs and MCAs (**Figure 4**). Therefore, the aneurysmal angle might influence the pressure change of distal arterial trees after obliteration of aneurysms.

The percentage of the pressure ratio increase after obliteration of aneurysms was significantly correlated with aneurysm angle (r = 0.739, p = 0.006 for M2 + M3) (Figure 5). The percentage of the pressure ratio increase after obliteration of aneurysms was not significantly correlated with aneurysm volume (Pearson r = -0.018, p = 0.958 for A1; r = -0.035,p = 0.914 for M1; r = -0.139, p = 0.667 for M2 + M3). The percentage of the pressure ratio increase in A1 and M1 segments after obliteration of aneurysms was not significantly correlated with aneurysmal angle (r = -0.113, p = 0.741 for A1; r = -0.022,p = 0.945 for M1). The percentage of the pressure velocity increase after obliteration of the aneurysms was not significantly correlated with the aneurysm angle (r = -0.549, p = 0.065 for M2 + M3).

DISCUSSION

Cerebral HPS and remote ICH are unpredictable and potentially severe complications after treatment of the large intracranial aneurysm. Among all the possible etiologies (2, 12–14), hemodynamic changes have been proposed as a possible candidate, but no mechanism has been well-studied (15).

The relationship of geometry and hemodynamics is mutually causal. The hemodynamics of arteries distal to the aneurysms may be changed after obliteration of aneurysms. Many studies have concentrated on the hemodynamic changes within the aneurysms after treatment of intracranial aneurysms. However, hemodynamic changes within distal arterial trees after aneurysm treatment are much less understood.

The incidence of remote ICH appears to be higher for large aneurysms than for small aneurysms (8, 14–16). Therefore, we



FIGURE 2 | Comparison of the pressure ratio and velocity ratio after obliteration of aneurysms. (A) A1 segments. (B) M1 segments. (C) M2 + M3 segments. (D) M2 + M3 segments.



FIGURE 3 | Example of simulation results of patient 11. The pressure ratio in the arteries distal to aneurysm became higher after the aneurysm was oblitera. The pressure ratio before obliteration of the aneurysm. (B) The pressure ratio after obliteration of the aneurysm.









FIGURE 4 | Pressure ratio of the models with aneurysmal angles of 45°, 90°, 135°, and the no-aneurysm model. (A) A1 segment. (B) A2 segment. (C) A3 segment. (D) M1 segment. (E) M2 + M3 segments.





hypothesized that pressure and velocity of distal arteries might become higher after obliteration of large aneurysms.

After obliteration of aneurysms, blood flow through the vessels distal to the aneurysms may suddenly increase. Increased flow rate and pressure distal to the aneurysms after clipping or endovascular treatment have been demonstrated in several studies. Brunozzi et al. reported that the ratio of ipsilateral MCA to systemic systolic and mean blood pressure increased after flow diverter device deployment (7). In our study, statistical analysis demonstrated that pressure ratio values became higher in MCAs and A1 segments after obliteration of aneurysms. Compared to the ACAs, MCAs had a higher pressure increase, indicating the higher risk of HPS in the areas supplied by MCAs.

There are few findings regarding risk factors of the appearance of hyperperfusion after obliteration of aneurysms (7). Brunozzi et al. reported that the hemodynamic changes in the arteries distal to the aneurysms after flow diverter device deployment were independent from aneurysm size (7). Our CFD study of the models suggested that a large aneurysm can induce pressure loss, resulting in hyperperfusion after obliteration of aneurysm. The aneurysmal angle was the factor accounting for pressure loss.

According to the results of our study, the percentage of the pressure ratio increase after obliteration of aneurysms was not correlated with aneurysm volume. Our study suggested that the angle between the aneurysm and the parent artery was the factor accounting for the pressure increase after obliteration of aneurysms. It was only a preliminary finding based on the results of our study. Further studies are required to identify which patients are at a higher risk of hyperperfusion after obliteration of aneurysms.

Brunozzi et al. demonstrated that the mean flow velocity of MCA increased especially in patients with delayed ipsilateral ICH after flow diverter device deployment (6). Chiu et al. reported a case of increasing cerebral blood flow and cerebral blood volume distal to the aneurysm after flow diverter treatment (3). In our

study, velocity ratio values became higher in M2 + M3 segments after obliteration of aneurysms.

Prevention of HPS is critical. Several investigators have found that careful monitoring and comprehensive management of blood pressure can lower the incidence of HPS after carotid artery stenting (17, 18). Blood pressure reduction may lower the pressure of cerebral arteries and reduce the risk of HPS after obliteration of aneurysms.

WSS can be viewed as the frictional force applied against the vascular wall by the movement of blood. Study of the WSS of the arteries distal to the aneurysms after the obliteration of large intracranial aneurysms is sparse. In a study by Shakur et al., the WSS values were higher in the ipsilateral MCA among patients with hemorrhage after flow diverter device placement (19). Our study demonstrated that the WSS ratio values were similar in MCAs and ACAs for both groups.

Limitations to this study include its retrospective nature, a small sample size, and single institution design. Further study with a larger number of patients would be necessary to validate our findings. Patient-specific flow-boundary information was unavailable, which might affect the results. Virtual aneurysm removal might underestimate or overestimate the size of the healthy lumen. This study only involved large intracranial aneurysms of ICAs, which limited the generalization of the study results.

CONCLUSION

Pressure ratio values became higher in MCAs and A1 segments after obliteration of large intracranial carotid aneurysms. The angle between the aneurysm and the parent artery was the factor accounting for the pressure increase after treatment. Velocity ratio values became higher in M2 + M3 segments after obliteration of aneurysms.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethics Committee of First Affiliatted Hospital of Dalian Medical University. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

YL and GJ carried out the simulation study and drafted the manuscript. GJ and XA performed the data collection and data analysis. YL and FW participated in the design of this study. XA helped to check the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

REFERENCES

- Rinkel GJ, Djibuti M, Algra A, van Gijn J. Prevalence and risk of rupture of intracranial aneurysms: a systematic review. *Stroke.* (1998) 29:251– 6. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.29.1.251
- Sim SY, Song J, Oh SY, Kim MJ, Lim YC, Park SK, et al. Incidence and characteristics of remote intracerebral hemorrhage after endovascular treatment of unruptured intracranial aneurysms. *World Neurosurg.* (2016) 95:335–40. doi: 10.1016/j.wneu.2016.08.057
- Chiu AH, Wenderoth J. Cerebral hyperperfusion after flow diversion of large intracranial aneurysms. J Neurointerv Surg. (2013) 5:e48. doi: 10.1136/neurintsurg-2012-010479.rep
- Hirai S, Saigusa K, Norisue Y, Nemoto S. Cerebral hyperperfusion syndrome after endovascular covered stent grafting for a giant extracranial aneurysm of the internal carotid artery. J Vasc Surg Cases. (2015) 1:46– 9. doi: 10.1016/j.jvsc.2014.11.004
- Ecker RD, Murray RD, Seder DB. Hyperperfusion syndrome after stent/coiling of a ruptured carotid bifurcation aneurysm. *Neurocrit Care*. (2013) 18:54–8. doi: 10.1007/s12028-012-9733-x
- Brunozzi D, Shakur SF, Hussein AE, Charbel FT, Alaraj A. Middle cerebral artery flow velocity increases more in patients with delayed intraparenchymal hemorrhage after pipeline. J Neurointervent Surg. (2018) 10:249–51. doi: 10.1136/neurintsurg-2017-013042
- Brunozzi D, Shakur SF, Charbel FT, Alaraj A. Middle cerebral artery pressure changes following pipeline flow diversion. *Intervent Neuroradiol.* (2018) 24:297–302. doi: 10.1177/1591019918756583
- Murakami H, Inaba M, Nakamura A, Ushioda T. Ipsilateral hyperperfusion after neck clipping of a giant internal carotid artery aneurysm. Case report. J Neurosurg. (2002) 97:1233–6. doi: 10.3171/jns.2002.97.5.1233
- Valen-Sendstad K, Steinman DA. Mind the gap: impact of computational fluid dynamics solution strategy on prediction of intracranial aneurysm hemodynamics and rupture status indicators. *Am J Neuroradiol.* (2014) 35:536–43. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A3793
- Hoi Y, Wasserman BA, Xie YJ, Najjar SS, Ferruci L, Lakatta EG, et al. Characterization of volumetric flow rate waveforms at the carotid bifurcations of older adults. *Physiol Meas.* (2010) 31:291–302. doi: 10.1088/0967-3334/31/3/002
- Chen Z, Qin H, Liu J, Wu B, Cheng Z, Jiang Y, et al. Characteristics of wall shear stress and pressure of intracranial atherosclerosis analyzed by a computational fluid dynamics model: a pilot study. *Front Neurol.* (2019) 10:1372. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2019.01372
- 12. Mitha AP, Mynard JP, Storwick JA, Shivji ZI, Wong JH, Morrish W. Can the windkessel hypothesis explain delayed intraparenchymal haemorrhage after flow diversion? A case report and model-based

analysis of possible mechanisms. *Heart Lung Circ.* (2015) 24:824–30. doi: 10.1016/j.hlc.2015.02.001

- Hu YC, Deshmukh VR, Albuquerque FC, Fiorella D, Nixon RR, Heck DV, et al. Histopathological assessment of fatal ipsilateral intraparenchymal hemorrhages after the treatment of supraclinoid aneurysms with the pipeline embolization device. *J Neurosurg.* (2014) 120:365–74. doi: 10.3171/2013.11.JNS131599
- Velat GJ, Fargen KM, Lawson MF, Hoh BL, Fiorella D, Mocco J. Delayed intraparenchymal hemorrhage following pipeline embolization device treatment for a giant recanalized ophthalmic aneurysm. *J Neurointervent Surg.* (2012) 4:e24 10.1136/neurintsurg-2011-010129 doi: 10.1136/neurintsurg-2011-010129
- Gascou G, Lobotesis K, Brunel H, Machi P, Riquelme C, Eker O, et al. Extra-aneurysmal flow modification following pipeline embolization device implantation: focus on regional branches, perforators, and the parent vessel. *Am J Neuroradiol.* (2015) 36:725–31. doi: 10.3174/ajnr. A4191
- Cruz JP, Chow M, O'Kelly C, Marotta B, Spears J, Montanera W, et al. Delayed ipsilateral parenchymal hemorrhage following flow diversion for the treatment of anterior circulation aneurysms. *Am J Neuroradiol.* (2012) 33:603–8. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A3065
- Abou-Chebl A, Reginelli J, Bajzer CT, Yadav JS. Intensive treatment of hypertension decreases the risk of hyperperfusion and intracerebral hemorrhage following carotid artery stenting. *Catheterization Cardiovasc Intervent*. (2007) 69:690–6. doi: 10.1002/ccd.20693
- Abou-Chebl A, Yadav JS, Reginelli JP, Bajzer C, Bhatt D, Krieger DW. Intracranial hemorrhage and hyperperfusion syndrome following carotid artery stenting: risk factors, prevention, and treatment. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2004) 43:1596–601. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2003.12.039
- Shakur SF, Aletich VA, Amin-Hanjani S, Hussein AE, Charbel FT, Alaraj A. Quantitative assessment of parent vessel and distal intracranial hemodynamics following pipeline flow diversion. *Intervent Neuroradiol.* (2017) 23:34–40. doi: 10.1177/1591019916668842

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Liu, Jiang, Wang and An. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.