Polymorphism in the Androgen Biosynthesis Gene (CYPI7), a Risk for Prostate Cancer: A Meta-Analysis

American Journal of Men's Health September-October 1–10 © The Author(s) 2020 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/1557988320959984 journals.sagepub.com/home/jmh SAGE



Abstract

Gene polymorphism is one of the few factors that increases the risk of prostate cancer. T to C substitution in the 5' promoter region of the CYP17 gene is hypothesized to increase the rate of gene transcription, increase androgen production, and thereby increase the risk of prostate cancer. Nevertheless, the inconsistencies originating from studies on CYP17 polymorphism and prostate cancer prompted this meta-analysis, to decipher the association between CYP17 polymorphism and prostate cancer. Most case-control studies addressing CYP17 polymorphism and prostate cancer were exhaustively searched from Web of Science, Google Scholar, and PubMed. The various genotype distributions as well as the minor allele distributions were retrieved. Pooled odds ratios (*ORs*) with their 95% CI and estimates of the Hardy–Weinberg Equilibrium were calculated. Analyses were performed using the RevMan v.5.3 software and SPSS v.21. There was high-pooled heterogeneity ($I^2 = 87.0\%$, OR = .42, CI [.39, .45], and p < .001) among the A2 versus A1 allele. With the per-allele model (A2 versus A1), ethnicity was a major risk factor to prostate cancer, with Asians recording the highest risk (OR = 12.61, 95% CI [8.77, 18.12]). From the genotype models, A1/A1 versus A2/A2 (OR = 3.02, 95% CI [2.65, 3.44]) and A1/A2 versus A2/A2 (OR = 4.39, 95% CI [3.86, 5.00]) were all significantly associated with prostate cancer. Although some genotype models were associated with the risk of prostate cancer, we should be mindful when interpreting the results of this study because of the limited number of studies and the small sample size used.

Keywords

androgen, CYP17, polymorphism, prostate cancer, risk

Received June 23, 2020; revised August 24, 2020; accepted August 26, 2020

Diet plays several roles that include but are not limited to protective, carcinogenic, and mutagenic agents. Enzymes of biotransformation metabolize the bioactive components of diets. When the expression or the function of these enzymes are altered through gene polymorphism, it increases the risk of cancer development. It is well documented that the susceptibility to carcinogenesis varies among individuals. Genetic polymorphisms play an important role and help to explain individual variations in cancer risk. Previous studies have been conducted to evaluate the prevalence of different gene polymorphic forms among cancer patients and normal control individuals (Rayner et al., 2004). The most studied polymorphisms of gene variants involved in carcinogenesis are mainly those that alter the bioavailability, metabolism, affinity, and behavior of several dietary constituents. Steroid hormones are suspected to play a role in the growth of several cancers (prostate and breast cancer), and the expressions of genes that regulate hormones may thereby affect disease risk (Elsamanoudy et al., 2016). One such gene is the CYP17. The conversion of 17-hydroxypregnenolone and 17-hydroxyprogesterone to dehydroepiandrosterone and androstenedione, respectively, is made possible by the CYP17 gene, which codes

³Department of Radiation Oncology, Zhengzhou University People's Hospital & Henan Provincial People's Hospital Henan, China

Corresponding Author:

Yongjun Wu, College of Public Health, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, China. Email: wuyongjun135@126.com

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

¹College of Public Health, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China ²School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China

for cytochrome P450c17. A replacement of thymidine (T) with cytosine (C) at nucleotide 34 usually occurs in the 5'-untranslated promoter regions of the CYP17 gene as a result of gene polymorphism. This replacement is of scientific importance because the C variant allele (A2 allele), which is associated with higher levels of enzymatic activities, causes an increase in the circulating levels of androgen as compared to the T allele (A1 allele; Sharp et al., 2004). Association studies have been conducted to investigate a possible effect of CYP17 polymorphism on the risk of sporadic prostate cancer. Two studies reported elevated risk estimates (ORs 1.6 and 2.6) for prostate cancer associated with the A1/A1 genotype among men in Sweden (Wadelius et al., 1999) and Japan (Habuchi et al., 2000). However, other investigators reported an increased risk estimate of borderline statistical significance ranging from 1.7 to 2.8 associated with the A2/A2 genotype among U.S. (Lunn et al., 1999), Austrian (Gsur et al., 2000), and Japanese (Yamada et al., 2001) men. The results have been inconclusive concerning the question of whether the wild-type allele (referred to as the A1 allele) or the altered allele (referred to as the A2 allele) can be considered a risk factor. Fewer known research works have used a meta-analysis to confirm the natural association. Therefore, this meta-analysis was designed to decipher the role of CYP17 rs743572 polymorphism in prostate cancer.

Methods

Search Strategy

Most studies addressing the prevalence of CYP17 rs743572 polymorphism were exhaustively searched from PubMed, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. This study only considered studies that met the following inclusion criteria: (a) the study participants were male patients and the CYP17 rs743572 polymorphisms at the promoter region were determined; (b) the study reported the outcome (prostate cancer) as either incidence or prevalence; (c) the control groups used in the study were nonprostate cancer population and the study compared prostate cancer group versus control groups; (d) case control was used as the study design; and (e) the frequency distribution of the genotypes was provided. The exclusion criteria were: (a) not case-control studies; (b) review article or commentary; (c) duplicate studies; and (d) studies lacking relevant data.

Data Extraction

Information extracted from each article for this metaanalysis was: first author, year of publication, country, ethnicity, source of controls, number of cases and controls, and frequency of genotype distribution. To determine the association between gene polymorphism and prostate cancer, the reviewers extracted the following as additional data: the type of genotyping method used and the source of the control group.

Statistical Analysis

Using five genetic models, the role of CYP17 rs743572 polymorphism and prostate cancer susceptibility (Thakkinstian et al., 2005) were measured using odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Using the per-allele model, the prevalence, ORs, and 95% CIs for the A1 and A2 minor allele of CYP17 gene polymorphism were estimated. Also, the per-genotype approach for the homozygous (A1/A1), heterozygous (A1/A2), and the minor homozygous (A2/A2) genotypes for CYP17 gene polymorphism was employed. ORs were estimated for the following genotype models: A1/ A1 versus A1/A1 for rs743572; A1/A1 versus A1/A2 for rs743572; A1/A1 versus A2/A2 for rs743572; A1/A2 versus A1/A1 for rs743572; and A2/A2 versus A1/A2 for rs743572. Using the control group, the Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) was detected through the appropriate goodness-of-fit χ^2 test. A Q test was used to evaluate between-study heterogeneity. The degree of heterogeneity was quantified by I^2 (Higgins et al., 2003) with p < .05 considered statistically significant. Publication bias was assessed using Begg's funnel plot. Analyses were performed using the RevMan v.5.3 software, and HWE analyses were conducted using SPSS v.21.

Results

From the literature search, a total of 85 studies or articles were screened from PubMed, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. After the removal of duplicates and evaluation of titles and abstract, 33 full text scripts were evaluated. After a secondary full text evaluation, 11 case-control studies reporting CYP17 rs743572 polymorphism and prostate cancer were finally included in this meta-analysis (Figure 1); each article's characteristics for gene effect are detailed in Table 1. Except for four studies, all other studies were in agreement with the HWE. The minor A2 allele ranged from 31% to 48% among the various studies (Table 2). To estimate the pooled frequency (A2), we used data only from a non-prostate cancer population. There was high-pooled heterogeneity $(I^2 = 87.0\%, p < .001)$ among the A2 versus A1 allele with OR = .42 and 95% CI [.39, .45]. With the per-allele model (A2 vs. A1), there was heterogeneity among Asian studies ($l^2 = 90.0\%$, p < .001, OR = .35, 95% CI [.29, .42]) and Caucasian studies ($I^2 = 87.0\%$, p < .001, OR= .48,95% CI [.44, .53]), but low heterogeneity for African



3



Figure 1. Prisma flow chart of study selection.

studies ($I^2 = 18.0\%$, p = .29, OR = .34, 95% CI [.29, .40]). Furthermore, the source of the controls was not a risk factor for prostate cancer as the per-allele analysis base on the source of control yielded low OR (Table 3). With the genotype model (A1/A1 vs. A2/A2), it can be seen that ethnicity is a major risk factor for prostate cancer with Asians recording the highest risk (OR = 12.61, 95%CI [8.77, 18.12]) followed by Africans (OR = 5.45, 95%CI [4.14, 7.19]) and Caucasians (OR = 3.32, 95% CI [2.83, 3.90]). There was a marginal risk among the hospital-based controls and the population-based controls through the stratification of the source of control using the A1/A2 versus A1/A1 model (Table 3). From Figures 3-6, it can be deduced that apart from genotype models A1/A1 versus A2/A2 (OR = 3.02, 95% CI [2.65, 3.44]) and A1/ A2 versus A2/A2 (OR = 4.39, 95% CI [3.86, 5.00]) in the total population, all other genotype models were not risk factors for prostate cancer. Publication bias was not detected since Begg's funnel plot for the various models suggested symmetry of gene effects (Figure 2).

Discussion

The present meta-analysis, including 2824 cases and 2849 controls from case-control studies, explored the relationship between CYP17 rs743572 polymorphism and the risk for prostate cancer. Till now, there have been contradictory data concerning CYP17 risk allele and prostate cancer. This study realized some significant association between CYP17 rs743572 polymorphism and the risk of prostate cancer except for the A1/A1 versus A1/A2 and A1/A1 versus A1/A1 genotype models. With the subgroup analysis of the various genotype models, ethnicity was a major risk factor for prostate cancer. Several authors have reported the association between CYP17 rs743572 polymorphism and the risk of prostate cancer using African ancestry as a determinant (Lunn et al., 1999; Kittles et al., 2001; Stanford et al., 2002; Cicek et al., 2004; Sarma et al., 2008; Beuten et al., 2009; Dos Santos et al., 2002). This meta-analysis disagrees with a study by Wang et al. (2011), who reported no significant association between CYP17

Meta-Analysis.
the
⊒.
Included
Studies
d,
Characteristics of
•
_
Table

								Genot	sypes		
		Genotvoing	ose)	Control	Source of		Case [f(n)]		0	ontrol [f(n)]	
Reference	Country (Ethnicity)	Method	Total	Total	Control	AI/AI	AI/A2	A2/A2	AI/AI	AI/A2	A2/A2
Sobti et al. (2008)	India (Asian)	PCR	170	170	HB	44.7 (76)	50.6 (86)	4.7 (8)	42.9 (73)	51.8 (88)	5.3 (9)
Brureau et al. (2016)	Guadeloupe (African)	PCR	162	144	HB	37.0 (55)	47.0 (71)	16.0 (24)	36.0 (50)	49.0 (68)	15.0 (21)
Janet et al. (2002)	USA (Caucasian)	PCR	590	538	HB	40.7 (228)	44.3 (248)	15.0 (84)	35.9 (188)	48.9 (256)	15.1 (79)
Ranbir et al. (2009)	India (Asian)	PCR	157	170	HB	31.0 (48)	58.0 (91)	11.0 (18)	45.0 (76)	55.0 (86)	5.0 (8)
Arezu et al. (2013)	Iran (Asian)	PCR	74	128	HB	23.0 (17)	56.8 (42)	20.3 (15)	39.8 (51)	48.4 (62)	11.7 (15)
Antognelli et al. (2013)	Italy (Caucasian)	PCR-RFLP	588	580	HB	33.2 (195)	44.6 (262)	22.3 (131)	34.8 (202)	42.4 (246)	22.8 (132)
Brureau et al. (2016)	Guadeloupe (African)	PCR	498	565	PB	41.0 (178)	46.0 (199)	13.0 (57)	39.0 (208)	50.0 (265)	11.0 (59)
Kumar et al. (2014)	India (Asian)	PCR-RFLP	001	001	NR	26.0 (26)	50.0 (50)	24.0 (24)	28.0 (28)	58.0 (58)	14.0 (14)
Janet et al. (2002)	USA (African)	PCR	33	15	PB	33.3 (10)	60.0 (18)	6.7 (2)	40.0 (6)	46.7 (7)	13.3 (2)
El Ezzi et al. (2014)	Lebanon (Caucasian)	PCR-RFLP	68	79	Mixed	30.9 (21)	51.5 (35)	17.6 (12)	19.0 (15)	65.8 (52)	15.2 (12)
Antognelli et al. (2005)	Italy (Caucasian)	PCR-RFLP	384	360	HB	43.8 (168)	46.9 (180)	9.3 (36)	33.3 (120)	44.5 (160)	22.2 (80)
Note. HB = hospital-based;	NR = not reported; PB = p	opulation-based; P	CR = po	lymerase o	chain reaction;	PCR-RFL = po	lymerase chain	reaction-restric	ction fragment le	ngth polymorpl	lism.

Table 2. P	Pooled F	Prevalence	of A2	Allele
------------	----------	------------	-------	--------

Reference	HWE χ^2	HWE þ Value	Total Allele	Frequency of A2 Allele	% of A2 Allele
El Ezzi et al. (2014)	7.314	.026	158	76	48
Brureau et al. (2016)	.095	.954	278	110	40
Janet et al. (2002)	.363	.843	1046	414	40
Sobti et al. (2008)	7.903	.019	340	106	31
Ranbir et al. (2009)	6.979	.031	340	102	30
Arezu et al. (2013)	.407	.816	256	92	36
Antognelli et al. (2013)	11.985	.002	1160	510	44
Kumar et al. (2014)	3.002	.223	200	86	43
Brureau et al. (2016)	3.543	.170	1064	383	36
Janet et al. (2002)	.000	1.000	30	11	37
Antognelli et al. (2005)	4.053	.132	720	320	44

Note. HWE = Hardy–Weinberg Equilibrium.

Table 3. The Genetic Effects of CYP17	Gene Polymorphism	n on Prostate Cancer.
---------------------------------------	-------------------	-----------------------

	Sama la Sian	Т	est of Heterogen	eity	Test o	f Association
Genotype	(Case/Control)	l ² (%)	þ value	χ ²	OR	95% CI
A2 vs. AI	5408/5592	87.0	<.01	75.47	.42	[.39, .45]
HWE (yes)	3442/3594	68.0	<.001	18.69	.39	[.36, .43]
Ethnicity						
Asian	1002/1136	90.0	<.001	30.86	.35	[.29, .42]
Caucasian	3200/3084	87.0	<.001	23.09	.48	[.44, .53]
African	1228/1372	18.0	.29	2.45	.34	[.29, .40]
Source of control						
HB	3046/3094	90.0	<.001	51.14	.44	[.40, .49]
PB	2048/2140	15.0	.31	2.36	.35	[.31, .40]
AI/AI vs. A2/A2	2824/2849	76.0	<.001	42.49	.67	[.60, .75]
Ethnicity						
Asian	501/568	69.0	.02	9.66	12.61	[8.77, 18.12]
Caucasian	1630/1557	59.0	.06	7.25	3.32	[2.83, 3.90]
African	693/724	.0	.72	.65	5.45	[4.14, 7.19]
A2/A2 vs. A1/A2	2824/2849	82.0	<.001	55.57	.20	[.17, .23]
Genotyping method						
PCR	1684/1730	61.0	.02	15.4	.16	[.13, .19]
PCR-RFLP	40/ 9	88.0	<.001	26.03	.26	[.21, .32]
AI/A2 vs. AI/AI	2820/2849	49.0	.03	19.45	1.51	[1.35, 1.68]
Source of control						
Hospital base	732/572	.0	.85	1.97	1.59	[1.37, 1.84]
Population base	465/402	.0	.54	1.33	1.25	[1.06, 1.49]

Note. CI = confidence interval; HB = hospital-based; HWE = Hardy–Weinberg Equilibrium; OR = odds ratio; PB = population-based; PCR = polymerase chain reaction; PCR-RFLP = PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism.

rs743572 polymorphism and prostate cancer in Caucasians and Asians. Although there may be some differences in the genetic makeup and some differences in environmental conditions between the various ethnic groups, this did not translate into a protective factor for prostate cancer although there were some differences in the degree of the risk to prostate cancer. It is also likely that the observed ethnic similarities may be due to studies that had a moderate sample size, which may have provided sufficient statistical power to detect any slight effect changes. According to Lewis and Lee-Robichaud (1998), the A1/A1, A1/A2, and A2/A2 genotypes of CYP17 gene are known to mediate two key steps in sex steroid biosynthesis.



Figure 2. Begg's funnel plot for (A) A1/A1 versus A1/A1 model, (B) A1/A1 versus A1/A2 model, (C) A1/A1 versus A2/A2 model, and (D) A1/A2 versus A2/A2 model.

The presence of the CYP17 A2 allele has been described to be an independent risk factor for a subset of breast cancers. However, the present results indicate that the A2 allele is not a significant associative risk factor of prostate cancer per the allele model using the stratified analysis of HWE, ethnicity, and the source of control as variables. This is in agreement with studies by Wang et al. (2011) and Ragin et al. (2010) where the A2 allele was marginally, but not significantly, associated with prostate cancer risk. Other studies have reported conflicting results on the CYP17 genotype in prostate cancer patients, indicating either an increased risk of prostate cancer in the presence of the allele A2 or an increased risk with the A1A1 genotype (Wadelius et al., 1999).

The increased transcription efficiency of gene and the enhancement of enzymatic activity are considered to be improved by A2 allele, which in turn enhance the synthesis of androgen thereby increasing the risk of prostate cancer (Nedelcheva et al., 1999; Sharp et al., 2004). However, a study by Söderström et al. (2002) reported no significant differences in the levels of testosterone as well as androgens and their metabolites in men based on their CYP17 genotypes. Also, the A2 allele determines the synthesis of a less enzymatically active protein or fewer quantities of the protein and consequently to a block or at least to a reduction of the androgen biosynthesis pathway in which the cytochrome P450c17 gene seems to be the rate-limiting step.

In a study by Makridakis et al. (1997), it was suggested that there is no known proof to link the A2 allele to the strong and consistent increased androgen levels. As androgens partake in the causal pathway of prostate cancer, the nonsignificant associative effect of CYP17 polymorphism on androgen levels is consistent with the findings of this study.

The important role in mediating androgen biosynthesis makes the CYP17 polymorphism a compelling candidate

	Cas	е	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Antognelli et al 2005 (2)	163	384	120	360	13.5%	1.48 [1.09, 1.99]	
Antognelli et al 2013	195	588	202	580	20.5%	0.93 [0.73, 1.18]	
Arezu et al 2013	17	74	51	128	2.9%	0.45 [0.24, 0.86]	
Brureau et al 2016 (2)	178	498	208	565	19.1%	0.95 [0.74, 1.23]	
Brureau et al. 2016	55	162	50	144	5.4%	0.97 [0.60, 1.55]	
El Ezzi et al 2014	21	68	15	79	2.1%	1.91 [0.89, 4.08]	
Janet et al 2002 (2)	228	590	188	538	20.4%	1.17 [0.92, 1.49]	
Janet et al. 2002	10	33	6	15	0.7%	0.65 [0.18, 2.33]	
Kumar et al 2014	26	100	28	100	3.1%	0.90 [0.48, 1.69]	
Ranbir et al 2009	48	157	76	170	5.8%	0.54 [0.35, 0.86]	
Sobti et al 2008	76	170	73	170	6.5%	1.07 [0.70, 1.65]	
Total (95% CI)		2824		2849	100.0%	1.01 [0.91, 1.13]	•
Total events	1017		1017				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 24.1	74, df = 10	(P = 0.	006); l ² =	60%			
Test for overall effect: Z =	0.23 (P =	0.82)					0.1 0.2 0.3 1 2 3 10
							Favours [case] Favours [control]

Figure 3. Forest plot of CYP17 rs743572 polymorphism in A1/A1 versus A1/A1 genotype models.

	Cas	е	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Antognelli et al 2005 (2)	168	384	160	360	13.8%	0.97 [0.73, 1.30]	+
Antognelli et al 2013	195	588	202	580	19.8%	0.93 [0.73, 1.18]	+
Arezu et al 2013	17	74	62	128	2.8%	0.32 [0.17, 0.60]	<u> </u>
Brureau et al 2016 (2)	55	162	68	144	5.5%	0.57 [0.36, 0.91]	
Brureau et al. 2016	178	498	265	565	19.1%	0.63 [0.49, 0.81]	
El Ezzi et al 2014	21	68	52	79	2.4%	0.23 [0.12, 0.46]	
Janet et al 2002 (2)	228	590	256	538	20.7%	0.69 [0.55, 0.88]	-
Janet et al. 2002	10	33	7	15	0.7%	0.50 [0.14, 1.75]	
Kumar et al 2014	26	100	58	100	3.2%	0.25 [0.14, 0.46]	
Ranbir et al 2009	48	157	86	170	5.6%	0.43 [0.27, 0.68]	
Sobti et al 2008	76	170	88	170	6.4%	0.75 [0.49, 1.15]	
Total (95% CI)		2824		2849	100.0%	0.67 [0.60, 0.75]	•
Total events	1022		1304				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 42.49	9, df = 10	(P < 0.	.00001); I	² = 76%	6		
Test for overall effect: $Z = 7$.19 (P <	0.0000	1)				0.4 0.6 0.8 1 4 6 8
							Favours [case] Favours [control]

Figure 4. Forest plot of CYP17 rs743572 polymorphism in A1/A1 versus A1/A2 genotype models.

for a susceptibility gene for prostate cancer. This is also proven by the fact that there is an increasing body of evidence suggesting that CYP17 polymorphism may be regarded as a biomarker for prostate cancer risk. New drug therapies developed to target the CYP17 enzyme in an effort to decrease local tumor production of androgens have rekindled the attention on CYP17 and prostate cancer-specific mortality. The treatment of advanced prostate cancer is usually by Ketoconazole, and this has nonspecific CYP17 inhibitory qualities. The introduction of Abiraterone acetate showed antitumor activity, which was considered as a selective and a precise drug for the inhibition of CYP17 in a Phase I trial that included 21 men facing castrate-resistant prostate cancer (Attard et al., 2008). We can attempt to increase prostate cancerspecific survival, through genetic variation of CYP17, which can be achieved through an alteration of enzymatic activity.

Limitations

This study admits the possibility of serious confounding bias, which may be as a result of lack of information. A more precise analysis could have been conducted if we had more information on individual studies. Therefore, the results of this study were unadjusted estimates

	Cas	е	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Antognelli et al 2005 (2)	168	384	80	360	16.8%	2.72 [1.98, 3.75]	+
Antognelli et al 2013	195	588	132	580	25.7%	1.68 [1.30, 2.18]	+
Arezu et al 2013	17	74	15	128	3.0%	2.25 [1.05, 4.82]	
Brureau et al 2016 (2)	55	162	21	144	5.4%	3.01 [1.71, 5.30]	
Brureau et al. 2016	178	498	59	565	16.2%	4.77 [3.44, 6.61]	-
El Ezzi et al 2014	21	68	12	79	2.7%	2.49 [1.12, 5.56]	
Janet et al 2002 (2)	228	590	79	538	20.4%	3.66 [2.74, 4.89]	-
Janet et al. 2002	10	33	2	15	0.6%	2.83 [0.54, 14.92]	
Kumar et al 2014	26	100	14	100	3.3%	2.16 [1.05, 4.44]	
Ranbir et al 2009	48	157	8	170	2.8%	8.92 [4.06, 19.59]	
Sobti et al 2008	76	170	9	170	3.2%	14.46 [6.93, 30.20]	
Total (95% CI)		2824		2849	100.0%	3.02 [2.65, 3.44]	•
Total events	1022		431				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 55.4	5, df = 10	(P < 0.	00001);1	l² = 829	b	H	
Test for overall effect: Z = 1	6.51 (P <	< 0.000	01)			0.0	Favours [case] Favours [control]

Figure 5. Forest plot of CYP17 rs743572 polymorphism in A1/A1 versus A2/A2 genotype models.

	Cas	е	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Antognelli et al 2005 (2)	180	384	80	360	16.4%	3.09 [2.24, 4.25]	
Antognelli et al 2013	262	588	132	580	26.1%	2.73 [2.12, 3.51]	-
Arezu et al 2013	42	74	15	128	3.3%	9.89 [4.87, 20.08]	
Brureau et al 2016 (2)	71	162	21	144	5.4%	4.57 [2.62, 7.98]	
Brureau et al. 2016	199	498	59	565	16.0%	5.71 [4.13, 7.89]	-
El Ezzi et al 2014	35	68	12	79	2.8%	5.92 [2.72, 12.88]	
Janet et al 2002 (2)	248	590	79	538	20.0%	4.21 [3.15, 5.63]	-
Janet et al. 2002	18	33	2	15	0.6%	7.80 [1.51, 40.16]	
Kumar et al 2014	50	100	14	100	3.5%	6.14 [3.09, 12.22]	
Ranbir et al 2009	91	157	8	170	2.8%	27.92 [12.83, 60.75]	
Sobti et al 2008	86	170	9	170	3.1%	18.31 [8.78, 38.22]	
Total (95% CI)		2824		2849	100.0%	4.39 [3.86, 5.00]	•
Total events	1282		431				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 64.1	0, df = 10	(P < 0.	00001); l	²= 849	6		
Test for overall effect: Z = 2	2.41 (P •	< 0.000	01)			0.	01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours [case] Favours [control]

Figure 6. Forest plot of CYP17 rs743572 polymorphism in A1/A2 versus A2/A2 genotype models.

instead of conducting adjusted estimates of some factors such as age. Several factors moderate the risk of prostate cancer, which may include: gene–gene interaction, gene–environment interaction, and the effect of different polymorphic loci of the same gene. The limitation of not obtaining the original information of the various studies impeded further evaluation of the effect of these factors on the risk of prostate cancer. The possibility of publication bias cannot be written off, although none was detected using the Begg's funnel plot. The reliance on the available subjects of published papers may exaggerate the true effect due to publication bias. Metaanalyses are prone to numerous potential biases, such as measurement error and genotyping error, in addition to the aforementioned publication bias.

Conclusions

From this meta-analysis, it can be inferred that CYP17 polymorphism may be a risk factor for prostate cancer. Ethnicity is a major risk factor for prostate cancer. Although some genotype models were associated with the risk of prostate cancer, we should be mindful when interpreting the results of this study because of the limited number of studies used and the small sample size. The interplay of various factors such as gene–gene and gene–environment interactions should be researched to provide a better understanding and to ascertain a concrete association between the CYP17 polymorphism and prostate cancer risk.

Author Contributions

CYE and YW contributed to the conceptualization of the work, data retrieval, and analysis; LW contributed to the conceptualization of the work and analysis; CA, WW, EKD and SY contributed to the data retrieval and analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iD

Clement Yaw Effah D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9095-4558

Availability of Data and Material

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request

References

- Antognelli, C., Mearini, L., Talesa, V. N., Giannantoni, A., & Mearini, E. (2005). Association of CYP17, GSTP1, and PON1 polymorphisms with the risk of prostate cancer. *The Prostate*, 63(3), 240–251.
- Antognelli, C., Mezzasoma, L., Mearini, E., & Talesa, V. N. (2013). Glyoxalase 1–419C> A variant is associated with oxidative stress: Implications in prostate cancer progression. *PLoS One*, 8(9), e74014.
- Attard, G., Reid, A. H., & Yap, T. A. (2008). Re: Phase I clinical trial of a selective inhibitor of CYP17, abiraterone acetate, confirms that castration-resistant prostrate cancer commonly remains hormone driven. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 26(28), 4563–4571.
- Beuten, J., Gelfond, J. A., Franke, J. L., Weldon, K. S., Crandall, A. C., Johnson-Pais, T. L., Thompson, I. M., & Leach, R. J. (2009). Single and multigenic analysis of the association between variants in 12 steroid hormone metabolism genes and risk of prostate cancer. *Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention Biomarkers*, 18(6), 1869–1880.
- Brureau, L., Moningo, D., Emeville, E., Ferdinand, S., Punga, A., Lufuma, S., Blanchet, P., Romana, M., & Multigner, L. (2016). Polymorphisms of estrogen metabolism-related genes and prostate cancer risk in two populations of African ancestry. *PLoS One*, 11(4), e0153609.

- Cicek, M. S., Conti, D. V., Curran, A., Neville, P. J., Paris, P. L., Casey, G., & Witte, J. S. (2004). Association of prostate cancer risk and aggressiveness to androgen pathway genes: SRD5A2, CYP17, and the AR. *The Prostate*, 59(1), 69–76.
- Dos Santos, A., Ribeiro, M. L., Mesquita, J. C., Carvalho-Salles, A. B., & Hackel, C. (2002). No association of the 5' promoter region polymorphism of CYP17 gene with prostate cancer risk. *Prostate Cancer and Prostatic Diseases*, 5(1), 28–31.
- El Ezzi, A. A., Zaidan, W. R., El-Saidi, M. A., Al-Ahmadieh, N., Mortenson, J. B., & Kuddus, R. H. (2014). Association of benign prostate hyperplasia with polymorphisms in VDR, CYP17, and SRD5A2 genes among Lebanese men. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 15(15), 1255–1262.
- Elsamanoudy, A. Z., Neamat-Allah, M. A. M., Mohammad, F. A. H., Hassanien, M., & Nada, H. A. (2016). The role of nutrition related genes and nutrigenetics in understanding the pathogenesis of cancer. *Journal of Microscopy and Ultrastructure*, 4(3), 115–122.
- Gsur, A., Bernhofer, G., Hinteregger, S., Haidinger, G., Schatzl, G., Madersbacher, S., Marberger, M., Vutuc, C., & Micksche, M. (2000). A polymorphism in the CYP17 gene is associated with prostate cancer risk. *International journal of cancer*, 87(3), 434–437.
- Habuchi, T., Liqing, Z., Suzuki, T., Sasaki, R., Tsuchiya, N., Tachiki, H., Shimoda, N., Satoh, S., Sato, K., Kakehi, Y., Kamoto, T., Ogawa, O., & Kato, T. (2000). Increased risk of prostate cancer and benign prostatic hyperplasia associated with a CYP17 gene polymorphism with a gene dosage effect. *Cancer Research*, 60(20), 5710–5713.
- Higgins, J. P., Thompson, S. G., Deeks, J. J., & Altman, D. G. (2003). Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ*, 327(7414), 557–560.
- Kittles, R. A., Panguluri, R. K., Chen, W., Massac, A., Ahaghotu, C., Jackson, A., Ukoli, F., Adams-Campbell, L., Isaacs, W., & Dunston, G. M. (2001). Cyp17 promoter variant associated with prostate cancer aggressiveness in African Americans. *Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention Biomarkers*, 10(9), 943–947.
- Kumar, V., Banerjee, B. D., Datta, S. K., Yadav, C. S., Singh, S., Ahmed, R. S., & Gupta, S. (2014). Association of CYP1A1, CYP1B1 and CYP17 gene polymorphisms and organochlorine pesticides with benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Chemosphere*, 108(15), 40–45.
- Lewis, D. F., & Lee-Robichaud, P. (1998). Molecular modelling of steroidogenic cytochromes P450 from families CYP11, CYP17, CYP19 and CYP21 based on the CYP102 crystal structure. *The Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 66(4), 217–233.
- Lunn, R. M., Bell, D. A., Mohler, J. L., & Taylor, J. A. (1999). Prostate cancer risk and polymorphism in 17 hydroxylase (CYP17) and steroid reductase (SRD5A2). *Carcinogenesis*, 20(9), 1727–1731.
- Makridakis, N., Reichardt, J., Pike, M., Stanczyk, F., Yu, M. M., Kolonel, L., & Henderson, B. (1997). Genetic control of human androgen metabolism by the CYP17 and

SRD5A2 genes. American Journal of Human Genetics, 61(4), A257–A257.

- Ragin, C. C., Langevin, S., Rubin, S., & Taioli, E. (2010). Review of studies on metabolic genes and cancer in populations of African descent. *Genetics in Medicine*, 12(1), 12–18.
- Rayner, M., Scarborough, P., & Williams, C. (2004). The origin of Guideline Daily Amounts and the Food Standards Agency's guidance on what counts as 'a lot'and 'a little'. *Public Health Nutrition*, 7(4), 549–556.
- Sarma, A. V., Dunn, R. L., Lange, L. A., Ray, A., Wang, Y., Lange, E. M., & Cooney, K. A. (2008). Genetic polymorphisms in CYP17, CYP3A4, CYP19A1, SRD5A2, IGF-1, and IGFBP-3 and prostate cancer risk in African-American men: The Flint Men's Health Study. *The Prostate*, 68(3), 296–305.
- Sharp, L., Cardy, A. H., Cotton, S. C., & Little, J. (2004). CYP17 gene polymorphisms: Prevalence and associations with hormone levels and related factors. a HuGE review. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 160(8), 729–740.
- Sobti, R. C., Gupta, L., Singh, S. K., Seth, A., Kaur, P., & Thakur, H. (2008). Role of hormonal genes and risk of prostate cancer: Gene-gene interactions in a North Indian population. *Cancer Genetics and Cytogenetics*, 185(2), 78–85.
- Söderström, T., Wadelius, M., Andersson, S. O., Johansson, J. E., Johansson, S., Granath, F., & Rane, A. (2002). 5α-Reductase 2 polymorphisms as risk factors in prostate cancer. *Pharmacogenetics and Genomics*, *12*(4), 307–312.

- Stanford, J. L., Noonan, E. A., Iwasaki, L., Kolb, S., Chadwick, R. B., Feng, Z., & Ostrander, E. A. (2002). A polymorphism in the CYP17 gene and risk of prostate cancer. *Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention Biomarkers*, 11(3), 243–247.
- Thakkinstian, A., McEvoy, M., Minelli, C., Gibson, P., Hancox, B., Duffy, D., Thompson, J., Hall, I., Kaufman, J., Leung, T. F., Helms, P. J., Hakonarson, H., Halpi, E., Navon, R., & Attia, J. (2005). Systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between β2-adrenoceptor polymorphisms and asthma: A HuGE review. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 162(3), 201–211.
- Wadelius, M., Andersson, A. O., Johansson, J. E., Wadelius, C., & Rane, E. (1999). Prostate cancer associated with CYP17 genotype. *Pharmacogenetics*, 9(5), 635–639.
- Wang, F., Zou, Y. F., Feng, X. L., Su, H., & Huang, F. (2011). CYP17 gene polymorphisms and prostate cancer risk: A meta-analysis based on 38 independent studies. *The Prostate*, *71*(11), 1167–1177.
- Yamada, Y., Watanabe, M., Murata, M., Yamanaka, M., Kubota, Y., Ito, H., Katoh, T., Kawamura, J., Yatani, R., & Shiraishi, T. (2001). Impact of genetic polymorphisms of 17-hydroxylase cytochrome P-450 (CYP17) and steroid 5α-reductase type II (SRD5A2) genes on prostate-cancer risk among the Japanese population. *International Journal* of Cancer, 92(5), 683–686.