Comparative Analysis of Protocadherin-11 X-Linked Expression among Postnatal Rodents, Non-Human Primates, and Songbirds Suggests Its Possible Involvement in Brain Evolution

Eiji Matsunaga¹*, Sanae Nambu¹, Mariko Oka¹, Kazuo Okanoya^{2,3,4}, Atsushi Iriki¹

1 Laboratory for Symbolic Cognitive Development, RIKEN Brain Science Institute, Wako, Japan, 2 Department of Life Sciences, Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan, 3 ERATO Okanoya Emotional Information Project, JST-ERATO, Saitama, Japan, 4 Emotional Information Joint Research Laboratory, RIKEN Brain Science Institute, Wako, Japan

Abstract

Background: Protocadherin-11 is a cell adhesion molecule of the cadherin superfamily. Since, only in humans, its paralog is found on the Y chromosome, it is expected that protocadherin-11X/Y plays some role in human brain evolution or sex differences. Recently, a genetic mutation of protocadherin-11X/Y was reported to be associated with a language development disorder. Here, we compared the expression of protocadherin-11 X-linked in developing postnatal brains of mouse (rodent) and common marmoset (non-human primate) to explore its possible involvement in mammalian brain evolution. We also investigated its expression in the Bengalese finch (songbird) to explore a possible function in animal vocalization and human language faculties.

Methodology/Principal Findings: Protocadherin-11 X-linked was strongly expressed in the cerebral cortex, hippocampus, amygdala and brainstem. Comparative analysis between mice and marmosets revealed that in certain areas of marmoset brain, the expression was clearly enriched. In Bengalese finches, protocadherin-11 X-linked was expressed not only in nuclei of regions of the vocal production pathway and the tracheosyringeal hypoglossal nucleus, but also in areas homologous to the mammalian amygdala and hippocampus. In both marmosets and Bengalese finches, its expression in pallial vocal control areas was developmentally regulated, and no clear expression was seen in the dorsal striatum, indicating a similarity between songbirds and non-human primates.

Conclusions/Significance: Our results suggest that the enriched expression of protocadherin-11 X-linked is involved in primate brain evolution and that some similarity exists between songbirds and primates regarding the neural basis for vocalization.

Citation: Matsunaga E, Nambu S, Oka M, Okanoya K, Iriki A (2013) Comparative Analysis of Protocadherin-11 X-Linked Expression among Postnatal Rodents, Non-Human Primates, and Songbirds Suggests Its Possible Involvement in Brain Evolution. PLoS ONE 8(3): e58840. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058840

Editor: Johan J. Bolhuis, Utrecht University, The Netherlands

Received November 21, 2012; Accepted February 7, 2013; Published March 18, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Matsunaga et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: Funding was received from the Takeda Science Foundation (to EM), Funding Program for World-leading Innovative R&D on Science and Technology (FIRST), at RIKEN, Japan (to AI). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: Eiji.Matsunaga@brain.riken.jp

Introduction

Protocdherin-11 X-linked (Pcdh11X) is a cell adhesion molecule belonging to the cadherin superfamily [1–2]. The cadherin superfamily is composed by classic cadherins, desmosomal cadherins, Flamingo/Celsr, desmosomal cadherins, T-cadherin, 7D-cadherins, CDH15 & 23, Fat & Dachsous, Flamingo/Celsr, calsyntenins, Ret and protocadherins [3–7]. Protocadherins are further subdivided into two subgroups: clustered (Pcdh-alpha, beta, -gamma) and non-clustered protocadherins (delta protocadherins). Pcdh11X belongs to delta protocadherins. It is known that various molecules belonging to the cadherin superfamily play multiple roles in axon guidance, dendritic spine formation, specific synapse formation and regulation of neuronal activity [3–7]. Since only humans have two Pcdh11 genes on the X and Y chromosome (Pcdh11X and Pcdh11Y, respectively), it is expected that the molecule plays some role in human brain evolution, or determination of sex differences [8,9]. In fact, it has been recently reported that a child with small chromosome deletions in both Pcdh11X and Pcdh11Y genes showed developmental language delay, and had severe defects in language production and understanding [10]. Additionally, intellectual disability was identified in 2 brothers with a gene duplication in intron 2 of Pcdh11X [11]. Although the dysfunction of Pcdh11X/Y in human psychiatric disorders has been proposed, and immunohistochemical analysis of Pcdh11X expression has been examined in postmortem human brains [12], invasive human studies are strictly limited; therefore, appropriate animal models are necessary to allow the exploration of molecular and behavioral functions of Pcdh11X.

The common marmoset (*Callithrix jacchus*) is a small, New World primate, endemic to the forests of Brazil. Marmosets have several

noteworthy characteristics, such as group living, parental care, monogamous pair bonds, a variety of vocal communications [13], and a capacity for cognitive learning [14]. Since it is easy to handle and breed marmosets in the laboratory, marmosets have been commonly utilized for neuroanatomical, physiological, and ecological studies. Furthermore, transgenic technology has been recently applied to this animal, and transgenic marmosets with germ-line transmission have been established [15]. Moreover, analysis of immediate early gene expression suggests that marmosets posses a neocortical network for vocalization similar to that of humans [16,17]. Although only human beings are able to use the language on the earth, animals have a part of language faculty such as vocalization or sequential learning, therefore, various animals have been used to explore the neural basis of language faculty [18]. Thus, by the virtue of their phylogenetic proximity to humans compared to other animals such as mice, marmosets are considered as ideal model animals for studying the molecular mechanisms of human cognitive functions or primordial structure of human vocal pathways.

However, other model animals have commonly been used for studying the faculty of language. Songbirds such as finches learn their vocalizations during the juvenile stage, as do human babies. Even though they are phylogenetically far from humans, it has been recently demonstrated that anatomical features and the expression of certain genes in songbirds are analogous to humans [19–21]. Therefore, these species are thought to be excellent model animals for the learning of syntax and vocal learning.

Here, we performed *in situ* hybridization analyses of Pcdh11X expression in developing common marmoset, mouse, and Bengalese finch brains, to reveal spatial and temporal expression patterns during the various developmental stages of non-human primates, rodents, and songbirds. Firstly, by comparing developing postnatal mouse and marmoset brains, we examined the possible involvement of Pcdh11X in mammalian brain evolution. Second-ly, by examining developing postnatal songbird brains, we examined the possible involvement of Pcdh11X in animal vocalization, or the faculty of human language.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

All research protocols were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of RIKEN (#H22-2-216 for marmosets and mice, #H18-2B002 for Bengalese finches), and conformed to the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Guidelines. All surgery was performed under deeply anesthetized conditions, and all efforts were made to minimize suffering.

Animals and sample preparation

Common marmosets (*Callithrix jacchus*) were purchased from the research resource center of the RIKEN institute. Until just before

experiments, all juvenile marmosets were housed with their parents and adult marmosets were housed individually in a breeding room with other breeding cages. The animals were kept at 27°C with 50% humidity on a 12-h light-dark cycle. We used 1 P0 male, 1 P2 male, 1 P28 male, 1 P56 male, 1 P85 male, and 2 adult (more than 1.5 years old) female marmosets. Mice were purchased from Nihon SLC Inc. (Shizuoka, Japan), and bred in our laboratory facilities. We used 4 P0, 1 P12, 3 P14, and 4 postnatal mice that were 8 weeks old. Marmosets and mice were deeply anesthetized by an intramuscular injection of sodium pentobarbital (75 mg/kg or 150 mg/kg, respectively, Dainihon Seiyaku, Osaka, Japan) and then perfused with phosphate buffered saline (PBS; pH 7.4) solution. After dissection, their brains were embedded in a Tissue-Tek optimal cutting temperature compound (Sakura Fine Technical Co. Ltd. Tokyo, Japan), and frozen with dry ice in preparation for cryosectioning. Frozen sections for either in situ hybridization, or thionine staining for neuroanatomical references, were cut serially at 16 or 20 µm thicknesses using a cryostat (Leica Microsystems Inc., Wetzlar, Germany). We used the same series of brain sections of male Bengalese finches we had made previously (n = 4, each stage) (Birds were sacrificed after they were deeply anesthetized by an intramuscular injection of sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg)) [22]. Brain tissues were dissected and placed in Qiazol Lysis reagent (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) to extract the total RNA, which was purified using an RNeasy Lipid Tissue Mini Kit (QIAGEN).

Isolation and cloning of cDNA

The marmoset Pcdh11X cDNA fragments (Genbank accession number, AB693123) were isolated from the neonatal brain of a female marmoset using a reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction. The Bengalese finch Pcdh11X cDNA fragments (AB745409) were isolated from adult male cDNA as described previously [22]. 'The primers used to isolate marmoset and finch cDNA were 5'-AAGTGGAGGTTGC-Bengalese CATTTTG-3', 5'-CTGCAGTCTCCAGGAGGAAC-3' and 5'-GCAACCTGCTCAAGGATCTC-3', 5'-AAAG-CACTGTCCCATTCACC-3', respectively. The primer sequences were based on human or zebra finch cDNA sequences. The probes used corresponded to the extracellular domain of human Pcdh11X cDNA, which is included in all human Pcdh11X transcription variants (variant a-h), and Pcdh11Y transcription variants (variants a-c). The cDNA fragments were inserted into pGEM-T Easy cloning vectors (Promega, Madison, WI). The plasmids for the marmoset or Bengalese finch Pcdh11X antisense or sense probes were linearized using SpeI or NcoI to release the fragment, and the probes were synthesized using T7 or SP6 RNA polymerase (Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland), with a digoxigenin (DIG)-labeling mix (Roche Diagnostics). The mouse Pcdh11X clone (Genbank accession No. AK039071) was obtained from the FANTOM full-length cDNA project [23]. We cut the



Figure 1. Schematic representation of Pcdh11X probes used in this study. All *in situ* hybridization probes were made from cDNAs encoding the extracellular domain of Pcdh11X. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058840.g001

 Table 1. Summary of Pcdh11X expression in developing postnatal marmoset and mouse brain.

		Marmoset		Mouse	
		juvenile (P28)	adult	juvenile (P14)	adult
Neocortex					
		*	*	***	***
ш		*	*	***	*
IV		***	*	*	
v		*	*	*	*
VI		***	***	***	***
Basal ganglia					
lateral septal nucleus	LS	*	*	***	***
medial septal nucleus	MS	*	*	***	***
nucleus accumbens	Ach				
diagonal hand of Broca	DB	×	*	***	***
ventral pallidum	VP	×	×	***	×
	Cd	*		*	×
putamen	Du	*		*	*
	GR	*	*	***	***
		*	*		
	CI				
	۸U;	***	***	***	***
	Ani				
basolateral amygoaloid h.	BL	***	***	***	***
basomediai amygdaiold h.	BIVI	*	*	***	***
	51	*	*	***	***
	Ce	^ 	~	~~~	
corticaloid amygdaloid n.	Co	***	***	***	***
lateral amygdaloid n.	La	v	v	~~~	
medial amygdaloid n.	Me	*	*	***	***
Hippocampal area					
ammon's horn	CA1			*	
	CA3	***	***	*	*
dentate gyrus	DG			*	
presubiculum	PrS	***	***	***	***
subiculum	S				
entorhinal cortex	Ent	***	***	***	***
piriform cortex	Pir	***	***	***	***
Epithalamus					
medial habenular nucleus	MHb	***	***	*	×
lateral habenular nucleus	LHb	***	***	***	***
Thalamus					
Anterior thalamic group					
anterodorsal thalamic n.	AD				
anteromedial thalamic n.	AM				
anteroventral thalamic n.	AV				
Lateral thalamic group					
ventral anterior thalamic n.	VA	*	*	***	*
ventral lateral thalamic n.	VL				
ventromedial thalamic n.	VM	***	*	***	***
ventral posterolateral thalamic n.	VPL			*	
ventral posteromedial thalamic n	VPM			*	

Table 1. Cont.

		Marmoset		Mouse	Mouse			
		juvenile (P28)	adult	juvenile (P14)	adult			
lateral dorsal thalamic n.	LD	*		*	*			
Medial thalamic group								
central lateral thalamic n.	CL							
paracentral thalamic n.	PC							
central medial thalamic n.	СМ	*	*	*	*			
centromedian thalamic n.	CMn	***	*	-	-			
parafacicular thalamic n.	PaF	***	*	***	***			
mediodorsal thalamic n.	MD							
paraventricular thalamic n.	PV	*		*				
reuniens thalamic n.	Re							
Posterior thalamic group								
lateral geniculate n.	LG	*		*				
medial geniculate n.	MG							
lateral posterior n.	LP	*		*	*			
posterior n.	Po	*		*				
pulvinar	Pul	*		-	-			
Ventral thalamus	1 41							
reticular thalamic n	Rt			***	*			
subthalamic n	STh	*	*	***	***			
	71	*	*	***	***			
	21							
	A LI	*	*	*	*			
	An	***	*	*	***			
	AIC	*	*	*	*			
	DM	*	*	*	*			
	LH		~ ×					
	MB	^ ¥	*	*	*			
mediai preoptic area	MPA	*	*	*	*			
paraventricular hypothalamic n.	Ра	*	*	*	*			
posterior hypothalamic area	PH	*	*	*	*			
ventromedial hypothalamic n.	VMH	***	***	***	***			
Brainstem								
substantia nigra pars compacta	SNc	*	*	***	***			
substantia nigra pars reticulata	SNr	*	*	***	***			
periaqueductal gray	PAG	*	*	***	***			
pontine reticular nucleus	Pn	***	***	***	***			
nucleus ambiguus	Amb	***	***	***	***			
superior colliculus	SC	***	***	***	***			
inferior colliculus	IC	*		*				
nucleus of lateral lemniscus	LL	*	*	*				
superior olive	SO			*				
Cranial nerve	nlli	*	*	***	***			
	nIV	*	*	***	***			
	nV	***	***	***	***			
	nVI	***	***	***	***			
	nVII	***	***	***	***			
	nVIII			***	***			
	nX	***	***	***	***			
	nXII	***	***	***	***			

		Marmoset		Mouse		
		juvenile (P28)	adult	juvenile (P14)	adult	
inferior olive	10	***	***	***	***	

No homologous areas to CMn and Pul are found in mouse brain (-). High expression ***, moderate expression *. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058840.t001

cDNA with NotI or BamHI, for the antisense or sense probes respectively, to release the fragment, and the probes were synthesized using T3 or T7 RNA polymerase (Roche Diagnostics).

In situ hybridization of tissue sections

In situ hybridization of all tissue sections was performed using the same method [22]. The sections were post-fixed for 10 min in PBS with 4% paraformaldehyde solution, and then washed 3 times in PBS for 3 min. The slides were delipidated with acetone, acetylated, and washed in PBS with 1% Triton-X100 (Wako Pure Chemical, Osaka, Japan). Slides were then incubated at room temperature with a hybridization buffer containing 50% formamide (Wako Pure Chemicals), 5 × standard sodium citrate (SSC), 5× Denhardt's solution (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA), 250 µg/mL yeast tRNA (Roche Diagnostics), and 500 µg/mL DNA (Roche Diagnostics). The sections were then hybridized at 72°C overnight in the hybridization buffer with RNA probes. They were then rinsed in 0.2× SSC for 2 h, and blocked for 2 h in a solution of 0.1 M Tris (pH 7.5) and 0.15 M NaCl with 10% sheep serum. The slides were next incubated overnight with an alkaline phosphatase (AP)-conjugated anti-DIG antibody (Roche Diagnostics). After washing, AP activity was detected by adding 337.5 mg/ mL of nitroblue tetrazolium chloride, and 175 mg/mL of 5bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate (Roche Diagnostics). All images were captured with a NanoZoomer 2.0 (Hamamatsu photonics, Hamamatsu, Japan). Photoshop software (ver. CS5; Adobe Systems, Mountain View, CA, USA) was used to change the color images to black-and-white, crop unnecessary areas, and juxtapose panels, and to enhance the contrast and brightness, if required. We used the terminology determined by Reiner et al., Franklin & Paxinos, and Paxinos et al., [24–26].

Results

In this study, we used probes encoding the N-terminal region of Pcdh11X, which corresponded to the region where all human Pcdh11X transcripts are included (Fig. 1). We detected strong signals with the antisense probe, but no clear staining was seen with the sense probe. Expression patterns are summarized in Table1 and 2.

Table 2. Summary of Pcdh11X expression in the developing postnatal Bengalese finch brain.

		Benga	lese finc	h	
		P30	P60	adult	Corresponding area
Vocal control areas					
HVC	HVC	***	***		VLPFC
robust nucleus of the arcopallium	RA	***	***		Motor Cortex
dorsal medial nucleus of the midbrain	DM	***	***	***	PAG
tracheosyringeal hypoglossal nucleus	nXIIts	***	***	***	Amb
lateral magnocellular nucleus of the anterior nidopallium	LMAN				VLPFC or ACC
Area X	AreaX				CPu
dorsal lateral nucleus of the thalamus	DLM				VA/VL
Auditory areas					
caudal medial nidopallium	NCM	***	***	***	Auditory Cortex
caudal mesopallium	CM	***	***	***	Auditory Cortex
nucleus ovoidalis	Ov				MGN
lateral mesencephalic nucleus	MLd				IC
intermediate lateral lemniscal nucleus	LLi				LLi
superior olivary nucleus	SO				SO
cochlear nuclei	CN	***	***	***	CN
Other areas					
nucleus taenia	TnA	***	***	*	Amygdala
hippocampus	Нр	***	***	***	Нр
habenula	Hb	***	***	*	Hb

High expression ***, moderate expression *.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058840.t002



Figure 2. Pcdh11X expression patterns in the cerebral cortex of the marmoset brain. Ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (VLPFC) (A), motor cortex (B), anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) (C), auditory cortex (D), parietal cortex (area TE) (E), and visual cortex (F). No staining is seen with the sense probe. Strong expression is seen in layers IV and VI. Expression in layer IV is decreased during development. Scale bars represent 250 µm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058840.g002

Pcdh11X expression in the developing postnatal marmoset brain

In situ hybridization analysis revealed that Pcdh11X exhibited strong/dense expression in a few restricted brain areas where its function may play essential roles, and moderate/weak expression in various brain areas where its function may be less important. We will only describe here notable areas of expression.

Pcdh11X expressions in the cerebral cortex. The cerebral cortex of the neonatal marmoset brain has a clear stratified structure, suggesting that cortical development of the neonatal marmoset is more progressed than the neonatal mouse, as has been previously mentioned [27–29]. Pcdh11X expression was seen throughout the cerebral cortex, including the cingulate and retrosplenial cortices, from the neonatal to the adult stage. Pcdh11X expression was detected in layers II–VI of the cerebral



Figure 3. Pcdh11X expression in the cortico-striatal pathway of the marmoset brain. GP at P0 (A) and adult stage (B); VA at P2 (C), and adult stage (D); STh at P2 (E), and adult stage (F); SNr at P2 (G), and adult stage (H). Pcdh11X is weakly expressed in Cd and Pu at P0, but their expressions have disappeared by the adult stage. Scale bars represent 1 mm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058840.g003

cortex (Fig. 2) at the neonatal stage. The expression level was generally reduced during development. Some areal differences were also seen. For example, no clearly stratified expression

pattern was seen at the neonatal stage in either the prefrontal or the auditory cortex, and expression became localized within deeper layers during development (Fig. 2A–D). In contrast, strong



Figure 4. Strong Pcdh11X expression is seen in brain areas involved in memory and emotion. Pcdh11X expressions in BM (A–D) and AHi (E–H), in the CA3 region of the hippocampus (I–L), and the PrS (M–P) in the developing postnatal marmoset brain. Scale bars represent 500 µm (L), 1 mm (D, H), and 2 mm (M–P). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058840.g004



Figure 5. Pcdh11X expression in the brainstem. PAG (A) in P56 marmoset brain. Pontine reticular formation (B), nVII (C), Amb (D), lateral reticular nucleus (LRt) (E), and nXII (F), cerebellar nuclei (G), CN (H), SO (I), LL (J), IC (K), and medial geniculate nucleus (MGN) (L) in adult marmoset brain. Gi, gigantocellular reticular nucleus; Int, interposed cerebellar nucleus; IO, inferior olive; Lat, lateral cerebellar nucleus; PN, pontine nucleus; PnC, pontine reticular formation, caudal part; Rt, reticular thalamic nucleus; RtTg, reticulotegmental nucleus; SpVe, spinal vestibular nucleus; SuVe, superior vestibular nucleus. Scale bars represent 500 μm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058840.g005

Pcdh11X expression was seen in layer IV in the temporal area TE at the neonatal stage (Fig. 2E). Strong Pcdh11X expression was seen in layers IV and VI of the visual cortex at the neonatal stage (Fig. 2F). Expression in the upper cortical layers was reduced, as

seen in the other areas, and clear expression was only seen in the VI layer at the adult stage.

Pcdh11X expression in the cortico-striatal-thalamic pathway. Pcdh11X expression was evident in the brain areas corresponding to the cortico-basal ganglia motor pathway.



Figure 6. Pcdh11X expression in the habenula (A–C) and hypothalamic region (D). VMH at the adult stage (D). Scale bars represent 1 mm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058840.g006



Figure 7. Pcdh11X expression in the developing postnatal cerebral cortex of the mouse brain. Frontal cortex (A), auditory cortex (B), and visual cortex (C). In contrast to the marmoset brain, strong Pcdh11X expression is seen in the upper layer, while no clear layer IV expression is seen. Scale bars represent 200 μm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058840.g007

Although Pcdh11X expression in the caudate nucleus (Cd) and putamen (Pu) was only evident in the early postnatal stage, and expression in these regions was reduced during development (Fig. 3A, B), moderate Pcdh11X expression was seen in other areas of the brain, such as the globus pallidus (GP), ventral anterior thalamus (VA), subthalamic nucleus (STh), and the substantia nigra reticular part (SNr), throughout the postnatal stage (Fig. 3C– H).

Pcdh11X expression in the amygdala. Strong Pcdh11X expression was seen in the amygdalo-hippocampal transition area (AHi), basomedial amygdaloid nucleus (BM), and cortical amyg-

daloid area (Co) (Fig. 4A–H). Moderate expression was also seen in the central amygdaloid nucleus (Ce), medial amygdaloid nucleus (Me), and the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (ST) (Table 1). These expression patterns were maintained up to the adult stage.

Pcdh11X expression in hippocampal areas. Clear Pcdh11X expression was only seen in the CA3 region (Fig. 4I– P) of the hippocampus. Interestingly, Pcdh11X-expressing cells were sparsely distributed through the pyramidal layer of CA3, suggesting that Pcdh11X exhibited neuronal subset specific expression (Fig. 4I–L). Strong expression was also found in the presubiculum (PrS), entorhinal cortex (Ent), (Fig. 4M–P), and piriform cortex (Pir) (Table 1). Pcdh11X expression was seen in layers II, III, and VI of the Ent. This expression in the upper layer was reduced during development, and clear expression was only seen in layer VI at the adult stage (Fig. 4M–P). Dense Pcdh11X expression was seen in the upper layer of PrS, and this was maintained up to the adult stage (Fig. 4M–P).

Pcdh11X expression in the brainstem. Pcdh11X expression was detected in the nuclei of cranial nerves III–VII, IX, X, and XI (we did not have a slice including nXI). Pcdh11X was expressed in vocally related areas, such as the periaqueductal gray (PAG), pontine reticular nucleus oral part (PnO), nucleus ambiguus (Amb), and nXII (Fig. 5A–F). In contrast, weak, or no expression was found in auditory areas such as the cochlear nuclei (CN), superior olivary nucleus (SO), lateral lemniscal nucleus (LL), and the inferior colliculus (IC) (Fig. 5H–K). Pcdh11X expression was not detected in the thalamic auditory area (Fig. 5L).

Pcdh11X expression in other areas. Strong Pcdh11X expression was evident in the medial and lateral habenula (corresponding to MHb and LHb) (Fig. 6A–C). In the hypothalamus, a broad but weak expression was found, and strong expression was only detected in the ventromedial hypothalamus (VMH) (Fig. 6D).

Pcdh11X expression patterns in the mouse brain

We next performed *in situ* hybridization of Pcdh11X using another mammalian species, i.e., the mouse, in order to examine whether the expression pattern in the marmoset brain was conserved in other mammalian species. We found clear Pcdh11X staining with the antisense probe, as has been previously reported in mice [1,2,30,31] and rats [32,33], but no clear staining was seen with the sense probe.

The expression patterns of Pcdh11X are broadly similar for mice and marmosets. Strong Pcdh11X expression was seen in the cerebral cortex (Fig. 7), cortico-basal ganglia-thalamic loop (Fig. 8A-D), amygdala (Fig. 8E, F), PrS, and CA3 in the hippocampal areas (Fig. 8G, H), habenula (Fig. 8I), and the vocal nuclei in the brainstem (Fig. 9A-D); little clear expression was seen in the brainstem auditory areas (Fig. 9E-G) and thalamic auditory area (Fig. 9H). However, precise expression patterns were different from the marmoset in some brain areas. Even though Pcdh11X expression was seen in the cerebral cortex, as with marmosets, the layer specific expression pattern appeared to be different (Fig. 7). Pcdh11X was strongly expressed in layers II-III, but no clear layer IV expression was seen in the mouse brain. In contrast to marmosets, Pcdh11X expression in the upper cortical layers was retained at the adult stage. Pcdh11X expression was also maintained in the mouse caudate nucleus (Figs. 3B, 8B). Only the lateral region of the mouse habenula strongly expressed Pcdh11X (Figs. 6A-C, 8I). With these exceptions, the expression patterns of Pcdh11X were generally similar for mice and marmosets.



Figure 8. Pcdh11X expression in the adult mouse brain. Caudate putamen (CPu) at P14 (A) and the adult stage (B). STh (C), SNr (D), amygdala (E), AHi (F), PrS (G), CA3 (H), and habenula (I). Scale bars represent 500 µm. Arrows indicate strongly Pcdh11X-expressing cells (H). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058840.g008

Pcdh11X expression in the songbird brain

Next, we examined Pcdh11X expression in a vocal learning bird, Bengalese finch. In the songbird brain, there is a neural network called 'song system' dedicated to vocal learning and production [19–21]. The song system develops postnatally, and in accordance with the development, birds acquire their songs through sensorimotor learning. During the vocal learning process, birds first listen to the father's song (sensory learning stage), then practice singing by themselves (sensorimotor learning stage), and finally copy the father's song. To explore the possible involvement of Pcdh11X in vocal learning, we analyzed Pcdh11X expression in P30 (during the sensory learning stage), and P60 (during the sensorimotor learning stage), and more than 120 days (after the crystallized stage, adult stage).

Pcdh11X expression in the vocal system. As with marmosets and mice, Pcdh11X was broadly expressed in the nuclei associated with vocal production, such as the HVC, robust nucleus of arcopallium (RA), dorsal medial nucleus of the midbrain (DM), tracheosyringeal hypoglossal nucleus (nXIIts), and nucleus retroambiguus (RAm) (Fig. 10). Interestingly, Pcdh11X expression in the telencephalic vocal nuclei, HVC and RA, was decreased during the sensorimotor learning stage (Fig. 10A–F), although expression in the surrounding non-vocal areas in the nidopallium and arcopallium was maintained. On the other hand, Pcdh11X was not expressed within nuclei of the cortico-striatal pathway such as Area X, the dorsal lateral nucleus of the thalamus (DLM), and the lateral magnocellular nucleus of the anterior nidopallium (LAMN) (Fig. 11).

Pcdh11X expression in the auditory system. Since vocal learning is auditory-dependent, we examined Pcdh11X expression in the auditory system. Pcdh11X expression was detected in telencephalic auditory areas such as the caudal medial nidopallium (NCM) and the caudal mesopallium (CM) in both juvenile and adult stages (Fig. 12A,B), whereas weak, or no clear expression was seen in auditory areas outside the telencephalon, such as the nucleus ovoidalis (Ov) (Fig. 12C), lateral mesencephalic nucleus (MLd) (Fig. 10G–I), intermediate lateral lemniscal nucleus (LLi) and SO, except for the CN (Fig. 12D–F).

Pcdh11X expression in other areas. Pcdh11X was also expressed in other brain areas. As with both marmosets and mice, Pcdh11X was expressed in the functionally homologous area of the amygdala (taenia nucleus [TnA]) (Fig. 13A–C), in hippocampal areas (Fig. 12A, B), Hb (Fig. 11G–I), VMH (Fig. 13D), substantia nigra (SN) (Fig. 13E), and IO (Fig. 13F).

Discussion

Although Pcdh11X expression patterns have been analyzed in some rodents and humans, this is the first account published to date regarding expression in non-human primates and songbirds. In this study, we examined Pcdh11X expression in the developing postnatal marmoset brain, and found dense expression in some restricted brain areas including the amygdala, hippocampus,



Figure 9. Pcdh11X expression in vocal and auditory pathways of the brainstem and the thalamic nucleus of adult mouse brain. PAG (A), LL (B), Amb (C), nXII (D), CN (E), SO (F), IC (G) and medial geniculate nucleus (MGN) (H). PR, prerubral field; SPIV, spinal vestibular nucleus. Scale bars represent 500 μm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058840.g009

habenula, and nuclei of the brainstem, with some differences between marmosets and mice. Furthermore, we examined Pcdh11X expression in a songbird, the Bengalese finch, and found some similarity between expression patterns in songbirds and non-human primates, even though they have different structure of the telencephalon. We summarized homologous and analogous Pcdh11X expressions of marmosets, mice and Bengalese finches in Fig. 14.

Comparison of Pcdh11X expression patterns between rodents, non-human primates, and humans

Overall, Pcdh11X expression in mice and marmosets was similar. However, there were some notable differences between the two. Firstly, Pcdh11X exhibited different layer specific expression patterns in the cerebral cortex between species. Furthermore, Pcdh11X showed a different layered expression pattern in different cortical areas of the marmoset. Since the cerebral cortex is the area that has most dramatically expanded during primate evolution, changes in the expression pattern of Pcdh11X might lead to the acquisition of primate-specific changes in terms of neural connections and functions, or areal differences. Examination of the postmortem human brain revealed that Pcdh11X is expressed broadly, with no layer specific expression in the prefrontal cortex [12]. Thus, Pcdh11X expression may differ even between different primate cortices. Secondly, outside the cerebral cortex, the expression levels appeared to be different in some brain areas, even though the spatial expression patterns were similar between the 2 species. In contrast to the broad expression found in mice, strong expression was restricted to some limited areas of the marmoset brain, such as AHi, BM, MHb, and PrS. These subtle differences might affect brain functions. With regard to the human brain, there are limited data available on Pcdh11X expression patterns [12,34–38]. Further detailed studies in postmortem human brains, or other primate species, are necessary to further examine this possibility.

Similar and convergent Pcdh11X expression between songbirds and non-human primates

Even though birds and mammals are far separated in their evolutionary lineage, many similarities have been identified between vocal learning in birds and humans, on the molecular level as well as the behavioral level [19–21]. In the present study, we found similar Pcdh11X expression patterns in vocal areas between marmosets and Bengalese finches. In both species, strong Pcdh11X expression was detected in vocal production pathways (HVC, RA, DM, nXIIts, and RAm in songbirds, and VLPFC, AAC, PnO, and Amb in marmosets), but its expression was absent in other parts of the cortico-striatal pathway (Area X, DLM, and LMAN in songbirds, and caudate putamen and thalamic motor nuclei in marmosets). Interestingly, Pcdh11X expression in the



Figure 10. Pcdh11X expression in the vocal system of songbirds. Pcdh11X is expressed in vocal production areas such as HVC (A–C), RA (D–F), DM (G–I), and nXIIts and RAm (J–L). Pcdh11X expression in the telencephalic nuclei is reduced during development (C, F). In contrast, no Pcdh11X expression is seen in the auditory area, MLd (G–I). Scale bars represent 500 μm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058840.g010

upper layer of the marmoset prefrontal and motor cortex, and in the telencephalic song nuclei HVC and RA, was clear only in the juvenile stage, and declined by adulthood. It has been proposed that the formation and maturation of HVC-RA connection is essential for vocal development of songbirds. In both species, such a transient Pcdh11X expression may be involved in the formation and maturation of the vocal pathway during development, or in neural plasticity.

In mammalian species, direct or indirect connections from the amygdala to the PAG may be involved in emotional behaviors, including vocalization. Although the areas of the bird brain developmentally homologous to the mammalian amygdala remain to be elucidated, the taenia nucleus is thought to be the anatomically and functionally homologous [39]. Pcdh11X expression was also detected in this area, suggesting the involvement of Pcdh11X in emotion-dependent vocalizations by controlling neural connections.

Thus, in both avians and mammals, Pcdh11X expression has some commonality in the vocal production pathway.

Possible involvement of cadherin superfamily in brain evolution

Previously, many papers have been published about extensive gene expression analysis of classic cadherins and protocadherins [22,30–33,40–63] and their functional roles in brain morphogenesis, neural connections, neural activity or behaviors [64–83]. Cadherin expressions are developmentally regulated and their altered expressions are suggested to be involved in brain morphogenesis and functions [22,64,65,77–79,84–86]. Species differences in gene expressions were also demonstrated [54– 56,59,60], and the possible involvement of cadherins in brain evolution and diversity have been proposed.

In contrast to classic cadherins, protocadherins possess different features. Protocadherins show weak homophilic interactions [87] and their cytoplasmic domains are diverse among their family members [5], suggesting their roles more than just cell adhesion molecules. Although protocadherins themselves show weak homophilic interactions [87], they show strong homophilic cellcell adhesions through the interaction to classic cadherins [88]. Moreover, regulatory regions of some protocadherins are modified by DNA methylation in relation to early life experience or mental pathogenesis [89,90], suggesting the epigenetic control of their expressions. Thus, compared to classic cadherins, it appears that protocadherins play more diverse and more complicated roles in brain development and functions via diverse interactions with other cadherins, various downstream signaling pathways and their epigenetic controls.

Our previous study in Bengalese finches revealed that type-II classic cadherin expressions were changed from Cdh7-positive to



Figure 11. No clear Pcdh11X expression was seen in the basal ganglia loop of the vocal learning pathway. Area X (A–C), LMAN (D–F), and DLM (G–I). Scale bar represents 500 μm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058840.g011

Cdh6-positive in the RA nucleus during the development [22], and that lentiviral Cdh7 overexpression in the RA nucleus blocked vocal development [78]. In vitro analysis using hippocampal culture neurons showed that Cdh6 and Cdh7 showed distinct properties in spine formation and neuronal activity [79]. In vocal nuclei such as HVC and RA, other type-II classic cadherins such as Cdh9, Cdh10 and Cdh12 showed song nuclei-related expressions [22]. Thus, combinatorial expression of Pcdh11X with other cadherins and their developmental changes may coordinate and

diversify neural connections or vocal plasticity. Various type-II cadherins also express differentially in the developing marmoset cortex (EM et al., unpublished data). Such mechanisms may work in primate vocalization or learning systems. Precise gene expression analysis of various cadherins and protocadherins, and functional analysis of these molecules in mice, marmosets and songbirds using electroporation, viral vectors or RNA interference should be performed to discover Pcdh11X functions in brain evolution of various cognitive functions or the use of language.



Figure 12. Pcdh11X expression in the auditory pathway of songbirds. Telencephalic auditory areas in P30 and adult birds (A, B). Clear Pcdh11X expression is seen in CM and NCM. As in marmosets and mice, no clear expression is seen in the auditory pathway outside the telencephalon (C, D, E), except for CN (F). L, Field L. Scale bars represent 1 mm (B) and 500 µm (C, E, F). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058840.g012



Figure 13. Pcdh11X expression in other brain areas of songbirds. Pcdh11X expression in the area homologous to the amygdala at P30 (A), P60 (B), and adult (C). VMH (D), SN (E), and IO (F) of adult birds. p1MT, p1 medial terminal nucleus; RMC, reticular magnocellular nucleus; RT, nucleus rotundus; VTA, ventral tegmentum area. Scale bars represent 500 µm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058840.g013



Figure 14. Summary of Pcdh11X expressions in vocalization-related areas (A, C, E) and auditory-related areas (B, D, F) of marmosets (A, B), mice (C, D) and Bengalese finches (E, F). Each color code indicates analogous brain area between avian and mammalian species. Gray color indicates areas with no clear Pcdh11X expressions. It has been proposed that mouse has a direct projection from the telencephalic motor area to vocal control nucleus in the brainstem, as songbirds [91]. A1. Auditory area 1; Amy, amygdala. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058840.g014

Acknowledgments

We thank RIKEN BSI Research Resources Center, for DNA sequence analysis and the FANTOM Consortium Core Group for mouse cDNA clone, and Dr. Shigeyoshi Itohara for lab facility.

References

- Redies C, Vanhalst K, Roy F (2005) delta-Protocadherins: unique structures and functions. Cell Mol Life Sci 62: 2840–2852.
- Vanhalst K, Kools P, Staes K. Roy F, Redies C (2005) delta-Protocadherins: a gene family expressed differentially in the mouse brain. Cell Mol Life Sci 62: 1247–1259.
- Takeichi M (2007) The cadherin superfamily in neuronal connections and interactions. Nat Rev Neurosci 8: 11–20.
- Hulpiau P, van Roy F (2011) New insights into the evolution of metazoan cadherins. Mol Biol Evol 28: 647–657.
- Hirano S, Takeichi M (2012) Cadherins in brain morphogenesis and wiring. Physiol Rev 92: 597–634.
- Redies C, Hertel N, Hübner CA (2012) Cadherins and neuropsychiatric disorders. Brain Res 1470,130–144.
- Yagi T (2008) Clustered protocadherin family. Dev Growth Differ 50 Suppl 1: S131–140.
- Williams NA, Close JP, Giouzeli M, Crow TJ (2006) Accelerated evolution of Protocadherin11X/Y: a candidate gene-pair for cerebral asymmetry and language. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 141B: 623–633.
- Wilson ND, Ross LJ, Crow TJ, Volpi EV (2006) PCDH11 is X/Y homologous in Homo sapiens but not in Gorilla gorilla and Pan troglodytes. Cytogenet Genome Res 114: 137–139.
- 10. Speevak MD, Farrell SA (2011) Non-syndromic language delay in a child with disruption in the Protocadherin11X/Y gene pair. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 156B: 484–489
- Whibley AC, Plagnol V, Tarpey PS, Abidi F, Fullston T, et al. (2010) Fine-scale survey of X chromosome copy number variants and indels underlying intellectual disability. Am J Hum Genet 87: 173–188.
- Priddle TH, Crow TJ (2012) Protocadherin 11X/Y a Human-Specific Gene Pair: an Immunohistochemical Survey of Fetal and Adult Brains. Cereb Cortex bhs181v-bhs181.
- Pistorio AL, Vintch B, Wang X (2006) Acoustic analysis of vocal development in a New World primate, the common marmoset (*Callithrix jacchus*). J Acoust Soc Am 120: 1655–1670.
- Yamazaki Y, Echigo C, Saiki M, Inada M, Watanabe S, et al. (2011) Tool-use learning by common marmosets (*Callithrix jacchus*). Exp Brain Res 213: 63–71.
 Sasaki E, Suemizu H, Shimada A, Hanazawa K, Oiwa R, et al. (2009)
- Sasaki E, Suemizu H, Shimada A, Hanazawa K, Oiwa R, et al. (2009) Generation of transgenic non-human primates with germline transmission. Nature 459: 523–527.
- Miller CT, Dimauro A, Pistorio A, Hendry S, Wang X (2010) Vocalization Induced CFos Expression in Marmoset Cortex. Front Integr Neurosci 4: 128.
- Simões CS, Vianney PV, de Moura MM, Freire MA, Mello LE, et al. (2010) Activation of frontal neocortical areas by vocal production in marmosets. Front Integr Neurosci 4: 123.
- Fitch WT (2011) The evolution of syntax: an exaptationist perspective. Front Evol Neurosci 3: 9.
- Bolhuis JJ, Okanoya K, Scharff C (2010) Twitter evolution: converging mechanisms in birdsong and human speech. Nat Rev Neurosci 11: 747–59.
- Petkov CI, Jarvis ED (2012) Birds, primates, and spoken language origins: behavioral phenotypes and neurobiological substrates. Front Evol Neurosci 4: 12.
- Scharff C, Adam I (2013) Neurogenetics of birdsong. Curr Opin Neurobiol 23: 29–36.
- Matsunaga E, Okanoya K (2008) Expression analysis of cadherins in the songbird brain: relationship to vocal system evolution. J Comp Neurol 508: 329– 342.
- Carninci P, Kasukawa T, Katayama S, Gough J, Frith MC, et al. (2005) The Transcriptional landscape of the Mammalian Genome, Science 309: 1559– 1563.
- Reiner A, Perkel DJ, Bruce LL, Butler AB, Csillag A, et al. (2004) Revised nomenclature for avian telencephalon and some related brainstem nuclei. J Comp Neurol 473: 377–414.
- Franklin KBJ, Paxinos G (2007) The Mouse Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates, 3rd Edition, Academic Press.
- Paxinos G, Watson C, Petrides M, Rosa M, Tokuno H (2012) The Marmoset Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates, Academic Press.
- Rakic P (1974) Neurons in rhesus monkey visual cortex: systematic relation between time of origin and eventual disposition. Science 183: 425–427.
- Bourne JA, Warner CE, Rosa MG (2005) Topographic and laminar maturation of striate cortex in early postnatal marmoset monkeys, as revealed by neurofilament immunohistochemistry. Cereb Cortex 15: 740–748.
- Mashiko H, Yoshida AC, Kikuchi SS, Niimi K, Takahashi E, et al. (2012) Comparative anatomy of marmoset and mouse cortex from genomic expression. J Neurosci 32: 5039–5053.
- Hertel N, Krishna-K, Nuernberger M, Redies C (2008) A cadherin-based code for the divisions of the mouse basal ganglia. J Comp Neurol 508: 511–528.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: EM. Performed the experiments: EM SN MO. Analyzed the data: EM. Contributed reagents/materials/ analysis tools: KO. Wrote the paper: EM AI.

- Hertel N, Redies C, Medina L (2012) Cadherin expression delineates the divisions of the postnatal and adult mouse amygdala. J Comp Neurol 520: 3982– 4012.
- Kim SY, Chung HS, Sun W, Kim H (2007) Spatiotemporal expression pattern of non-clustered protocadherin family members in the developing rat brain. Neuroscience 147: 996–1021.
- Kim SY, Mo JW, Han S, Choi SY, Han SB, et al. (2009) The expression of nonclustered protocadherins in adult rat hippocampal formation and the connecting brain regions. Neuroscience 170: 189–199.
- Banco P, Sargent CA, Boucher CA, Mitchell M, Affara NA (2000) Conservation of PCDHX in mammals; expression of human X/Y genes predominantly in brain. Mamm Genome 11: 906–914.
- Blanco-Arias P, Sargent CA, Affara NA (2004) Protocadherin X (PCDHX) and Y (PCDHY) genes; multiple mRNA isoforms encoding variant signal peptides and cytoplasmic domains. Mamm Genome 15: 41–52.
- 36. Durand CM, Kappeler C, Betancur C, Delorme R, Quach H, et al. (2006) Expression and genetic variability of PCDH11Y, a gene specific to Homo sapiens and candidate for susceptibility to psychiatric disorders. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 141B: 67–70.
- Dibbens LM, Tarpey PS, Hynes K, Bayly MA, Scheffer IE, et al. (2008) Xlinked protocadherin 19 mutations cause female-limited epilepsy and cognitive impairment. Nat Genet 40: 776–781.
- Ahn K, Huh JW, Kim DS, Ha HS, Kim YJ, et al. (2010) Quantitative analysis of alternative transcripts of human PCDH11X/Y genes. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 153B: 736–744.
- Cheng M, Chaiken M, Zuo M, Miller H (1999) Nucleus taenia of the amygdala of birds: anatomical and functional studies in ring doves (Streptopelia risoria) and European starlings (Sturnus vulgaris). Brain Behav Evol 53: 243–270.
- Arndt K, Redies C (1996) Restricted expression of R-cadherin by brain nuclei and neural circuits of the developing chicken brain. J Comp Neurol 373: 373– 399.
- Fushimi D, Arndt K, Takeichi M, Redies C (1997) Cloning and expression analysis of cadherin-10 in the CNS of the chicken embryo. Dev Dyn 209: 269– 285.
- Korematsu K, Redies C (1997) Restricted expression of cadherin-8 in segmental and functional subdivisions of the embryonic mouse brain. Dev Dyn 208: 178– 189.
- Suzuki SC, Inoue T, Kimura Y, Tanaka T, Takeichi M (1997) Neuronal circuits are subdivided by differential expression of type-II classic cadherins in postnatal mouse brains. Mol Cell Neurosci 9: 433–447.
- Arndt K, Redies C (1998) Development of cadherin-defined parasagittal subdivisions in the embryonic chicken cerebellum. J Comp Neurol 401: 367– 381.
- Inoue T, Tanaka T, Suzuki SC, Takeichi M (1998) Cadherin-6 in the developing mouse brain: expression along restricted connection systems and synaptic localization suggest a potential role in neuronal circuitry. Dev Dyn 211: 338–351.
- Hirano S, Yan Q, Suzuki ST (1999) Expression of a novel protocadherin, OLprotocadherin, in a subset of functional systems of the developing mouse brain. J Neurosci 19: 995–1005.
- Wöhrn JC, Nakagawa S, Ast M, Takeichi M, Redies C (1999) Combinatorial expression of cadherins in the tectum and the sorting of neurites in the tectofugal pathways of the chicken embryo. Neuroscience 90: 985–1000.
- Redies C, Ast M, Nakagawa S, Takeichi M, Martínez-de-la-Torre M, et al. (2000) Morphologic fate of diencephalic prosomeres and their subdivisions revealed by mapping cadherin expression. J Comp Neurol 421: 481–514.
- Yoon MS, Puelles L, Redies C (2000) Formation of cadherin-expressing brain nuclei in diencephalic alar plate divisions. J Comp Neurol 427: 461–480.
- Redies C, Medina L, Puelles L (2001) Cadherin expression by embryonic divisions and derived gray matter structures in the telencephalon of the chicken. J Comp Neurol 438: 253–285.
- Bekirov IH, Needleman LA, Zhang W, Benson DL (2002) Identification and localization of multiple classic cadherins in developing rat limbic system. Neuroscience 115: 213–227.
- Takahashi M, Osumi N (2005) Identification of a novel type II classical cadherin: rat cadherin19 is expressed in the cranial ganglia and Schwann cell precursors during development. Dev Dyn 232: 200–208.
- Neudert F, Nuernberger KK, Redies C (2008) Comparative analysis of cadherin expression and connectivity patterns in the cerebellar system of ferret and mouse. J Comp Neurol 511:736–752.
- Takahashi M, Osumi N (2008) Expression study of cadherin7 and cadherin20 in the embryonic and adult rat central nervous system. BMC Dev Biol 8: 87.
- Etzrodt J, Krishna-K K, Redies C (2009) Expression of classic cadherins and delta-protocadherins in the developing ferret retina. BMC Neurosci 10: 153.

- Krishna-K, Nuernberger M, Weth F, Redies C (2009) Layer-specific epression of multiple cadherins in the developing visual cortex (V1) of the ferret. Cereb Cortex 19: 388–401.
- Krishna-KK, Hertel N, Redies C (2011) Cadherin expression in the somatosensory cortex: evidence for a combinatorial molecular code at the single-cell level. Neuroscience 175: 37–48.
- Mayer M, Bercsényi K, Géczi K, Szabó G, Lele Z (2010) Expression of two type II cadherins, Cdh12 and Cdh22 in the developing and adult mouse brain. Gene Expr Patterns 10: 351–360.
- Matsunaga E, Nambu S, Iriki A, Okanoya K (2011) Expression pattern of cadherins in the naked mole rat (*Heterocephalus glaber*) suggests innate cortical diversification of the cerebrum. J Comp Neurol 519: 1736–1747.
- Matsunaga E, Okanoya K (2011) Comparative gene expression analysis among vocal learners (Bengalese finch and budgerigar) and non-learners (quail and ring dove) reveals variable cadherin expressions in the vocal system. Front Neuroanat 5: 28.
- 61. Saarimäki-Vire J, Alitalo A, Partanen J (2011) Analysis of Cdh22 expression and function in the developing mouse brain. Dev Dyn 240: 1989–2001.
- Hertel N, Redies C, Medina L (2012) Cadherin expression delineates the divisions of the postnatal and adult mouse amygdala. J Comp Neurol 520: 3982– 4012.
- 63. Lefkovics K, Mayer M, Bercsényi K, Szabó G, Lele Z (2012) Comparative analysis of type II classic cadherin mRNA distribution patterns in the developing and adult mouse somatosensory cortex and hippocampus suggests significant functional redundancy. J Comp Neurol 520: 1387–1405.
- Hatta K, Takeichi M (1986) Expression of N-cadherin adhesion molecules associated with early morphogenetic events in chick development. Nature 320: 447–449.
- Nakagawa S, Takeichi M (1998) Neural crest emigration from the neural tube depends on regulated cadherin expression. Development 125: 2963–2971.
- Yamagata K, Andreasson KI, Sugiura H, Maru E, Dominique M, et al. (1999) Arcadlin is a neural activity-regulated cadherin involved in long term potentiation. J Biol Chem 274: 19473–19479.
- Bozdagi O, Shan W, Tanaka H, Benson DL, Huntley GW (2000) Increasing numbers of synaptic puncta during late-phase LTP: N-cadherin is synthesized, recruited to synaptic sites, and required for potentiation. Neuron 28: 245– 259.65.
- Manabe T, Togashi H, Uchida N, Suzuki SC, Hayakawa Y, et al. (2000) Loss of cadherin-11 adhesion receptor enhances plastic changes in hippocampal synapses and modifies behavioral responses. Mol Cell Neurosci 15: 534–546.
- Ínoue T, Tanaka T, Takeichi M, Chisaka O, Nakamura S, et al. (2001) Role of cadherins in maintaining the compartment boundary between the cortex and striatum during development. Development 128: 561–569.
- Price SR, De Marco Garcia NV, Ranscht B, Jessell TM (2002) Regulation of motor neuron pool sorting by differential expression of type II cadherins. Cell 109: 205–216.
- Treubert-Zimmermann U, Heyers D, Redies C (2002) Targeting axons to specific fiber tracts in vivo by altering cadherin expression. J Neurosci 22: 7617– 7626.
- Togashi H, Abe K, Mizoguchi A, Takaoka K, Chisaka O, et al. (2002) Cadherin regulates dendritic spine morphogenesis. Neuron 35: 77–89.
- Kadowaki M, Nakamura S, Machon O, Krauss S, Radice GL, et al. (2007) Ncadherin mediates cortical organization in the mouse brain. Dev Biol 304: 22– 33.

- Uemura M, Nakao S, Suzuki ST, Takeichi M, Hirano S (2007) OL-Protocadherin is essential for growth of striatal axons and thalamocortical projections. Nat Neurosci 10: 1151–1159.
- Suzuki SC, Furue H, Koga K, Jiang N, Nohmi M, et al. (2007) Cadherin-8 is required for the first relay synapses to receive functional inputs from primary sensory afferents for cold sensation. J Neurosci 27: 3466–3476.
- Emond MR, Jontes JD (2008) Inhibition of protocadherin-alpha function results in neuronal death in the developing zebrafish. Dev Biol 321: 175–187.
- Barnes SH, Price SR, Wentzel C, Guthrie SC (2010) Cadherin-7 and cadherin-6B differentially regulate the growth, branching and guidance of cranial motor axons. Development 137: 805–814.
- Matsunaga E, Suzuki K, Kato S, Kurotani T, Kobayashi K, et al. (2011) Dynamic expression of cadherins regulates vocal development in a songbird. PLoS One 6: e25272.
- Matsunaga E, Kurotani T, Suzuki K, Okanoya K (2011) Type-II cadherins modulate neural activity in cultured rat hippocampal neurons. Neuroreport 22: 629–632.
- Osterhout JA, Josten N, Yamada J, Pan F, Wu SW, et al. (2011) Cadherin-6 mediates axon-target matching in a non-image-forming visual circuit. Neuron 71: 632–639.
- Williams ME, Wilke SA, Daggett A, Davis E, Otto S, et al. (2011) Cadherin-9 regulates synapse-specific differentiation in the developing hippocampus. Neuron 71: 640–655.
- Nakagawa R, Matsunaga E, Okanoya K (2012) Defects in ultrasonic vocalization of cadherin-6 knockout mice. PLoS ONE 7: e49233.
- Leung LC, Urbančič V, Baudet ML, Dwivedy A, Bayley TG, et al. (2013) Coupling of NF-protocadherin signaling to axon guidance by cue-induced translation. Nat Neurosci 6,16:166–173.
- Nakagawa S, Takeichi M (1995) Neural crest cell-cell adhesion controlled by sequential and subpopulation-specific expression of novel cadherins. Development 121: 1321–1332.
- Ju MJ, Aroca P, Luo J, Puelles L, Redies C (2004) Molecular profiling indicates avian branchiomotor nuclei invade the hindbrain alar plate. Neuroscience 128: 785–796.
- Wheelock MJ, Shintani Y, Maeda M, Fukumoto Y, Johnson KR (2008) Cadherin switching. J Cell Sci 121: 727–735.
- Sano K, Tanihara H, Heimark RL, Obata S, Davidson M, et al. (1993) Protocadherins: a large family of cadherin-related molecules in central nervous system. EMBO J 12: 2249–2256.
- Emond MR, Biswas S, Blevins CJ, Jontes JD (2011) A complex of Protocadherin-19 and N-cadherin mediates a novel mechanism of cell adhesion. J Cell Biol 195: 1115–1121.
- Miyake K, Hirasawa T, Soutome M, Itoh M, Goto Y, et al. (2011) The protocadherins, PCDHB1 and PCDH7, are regulated by MeCP2 in neuronal cells and brain tissues: implication for pathogenesis of Rett syndrome. BMC Neurosci 12: 81.
- Suderman M, McGowan PO, Sasaki A, Huang TC, Hallett MT, et al. (2012) Conserved epigenetic sensitivity to early life experience in the rat and human hippocampus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109 Suppl 2: 17266–17272.
- Arriaga G, Zhou EP, Jarvis ED (2012) Of Mice, Birds, and Men: the mouse ultrasonic song system has some features similar to humans and song-learning birds. PLoS ONE 7: e46610.