Knowledge and Perception of Bidirectional Relationship Between Periodontal Disease and Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus: A Questionnaire Study

Zaridah Zainal Abidin¹, Rohaida Abdul Halim¹, Erni Noor², Noor Shafina Mohd Nor^{3,4}, Nor Shafina Mohamed Nazari⁵, Azriyanti Anuar Zaini⁶, Nurul Zeety Azizi⁷, Shahrul Aiman Soelar⁸

¹Centre of Studies for Paediatric Dentistry & Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), ²Centre of Studies for Periodontology, Faculty of Dentistry, Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), ³Department of Paediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), ⁴Institute for Pathology, Laboratory and Forensic Medicine (I-PPerForM), Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), Sungai Buloh, Selangor, ⁵Department of Restorative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Universiti Malaya, ⁶Department of Paediatric, Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Malaya, 7Department of Paediatric Dentistry & Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, 8Clinical Research Centre, Hospital Sultanah Bahiyah, Alor Setar, Kedah, Malaysia

 Received
 : 11-08-23

 Revised
 : 22-09-23

 Accepted
 : 23-09-23

 Published
 : 30-10-23

Aim: The bidirectional relationship between type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) and inflammatory periodontal disease (PD) is globally recognized. However, oral health components are often given lower priority, and studies related to knowledge and the bidirectional association are limited. This study assesses the knowledge and perceptions of PD and its associated risk factors among T1DM patients and/or their parents. Materials and Methods: Patients under 18 with T1DM at Universiti Teknologi MARA and Universiti Malaya were invited to participate. Structured interviews were conducted to assess participants' knowledge and perceptions of T1DM, and statistical analysis was performed to examine their associations using Pearson's chi-squared test and Fisher's exact test. Results: A total of 113 T1DM patients, with a mean age of 11.4 ± 4 , completed the interviews. Poor knowledge was observed among parents and T1DM patients (P-value = 0.007) and those who exercised regularly (P-value = 0.047). A significant association with good perception was found among individuals with uncontrolled HbA1c levels (P-value = 0.0018) and those experiencing bleeding symptoms (P-value = 0.021). **Conclusions:** The study highlights the importance of increasing awareness, a key factor in improving oral health knowledge. Interestingly, despite poor control of clinical parameters, the population displayed good perception, suggesting a potential lack of understanding regarding disease control.

Keywords: Diabetes mellitus, oral health, periodontal disease, self-perception, type 1 diabetes mellitus

INTRODUCTION

Type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) and periodontal disease (PD) are two chronic systemic illnesses

| Access this article online | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Quick Response Code: | | | | |
| | Website: https://journals.lww.com/jpcd | | | |
| | DOI: 10.4103/jispcd.JISPCD_123_23 | | | |

Address for correspondence: Rohaida Abdul Halim, Centre of Studies for Paediatric Dentistry & Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM) Sungai Buloh Campus, Jalan Hospital, 47000 Sungai Buloh, Selangor, Malaysia. E-mail: dr_rohaida@uitm.edu.my

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

How to cite this article: Abidin ZZ, Halim RA, Noor E, Nor NSM, Nazari NSM, Zaini AA, *et al.* Knowledge and perception of bidirectional relationship between periodontal disease and type 1 diabetes mellitus: A questionnaire study. J Int Soc Prevent Communit Dent 2023;13:416-25.

that are closely related. T1DM is a chronic metabolic disorder commonly diagnosed in children and adolescents, causing hyperglycemia and long-term damage to distinct organs. This includes the eyes, heart, nerves, and kidneys, as well as a vascular system, including periodontium.^[1,2] Meanwhile, PD is a type of microvascular complication^[3] considered the sixth complication of diabetes and to the extent that affects the prevalence, evolution, and therapeutic management of diabetes.^[4,5] Studies have revealed that poor glycemic control in DM patients is related to a rise in the prevalence and severity of periodontitis, two complex chronic diseases connected by an established bidirectional association.^[6-8]

In the period of 8 years (from 2001 to 2009), the prevalence of DM in the USA has risen by 21.1%.^[9] This is similar to the reported incidence in Europe, a rising trend of 3%–5% annually.^[10] However, a lower incidence of childhood-onset T1DM was seen in Japan and other Asian countries, including Malaysia.^[11] Malaysia, considered a rapidly developing Asian nation, is estimated to experience a surge leading to an increase in the incidence and prevalence of T1DM. Young diabetic individuals in their late 20s or early 30s rapidly requiring insulin were reported to be 35.5%.^[12]

Despite the increasing prevalence trend in T1DM, adolescence was found to have low or limited awareness concerning their rising risk of oral diseases compared to their understanding of systemic diseases.^[13] Additionally, DM patients had a threefold increased risk of needing advanced periodontal treatment compared to the general population.^[14] Notably, 44% knew there was a bidirectional relationship between PD and DM, and 32% knew bleeding gum is an early sign of gingivitis in childhood.^[15] However, more than 50% of adolescents are unaware that poor oral health may compromise the management of T1DM.^[15,16]

A systematic review by Akl *et al.*^[17] shared a similar finding, where poor knowledge and awareness concerning oral health associations were discovered in patients with significant systemic diseases (<50%). Correspondingly, these findings agreed with two other studies. The first was a review in 2018 by Poudel *et al.*^[18], where they reported poor oral health behaviors and knowledge of patients with DM. A recent Maia *et al.*^[19] investigation said that less than 50% of diabetic patients knew about their increased risk for PD.

The PD and dental caries were potentially higher in T1DM children with a lower level of oral hygiene, where brushing teeth was performed once a day and dental visit was commenced when necessary.^[20] A similar finding of

T1DM oral hygiene practice was found in an earlier study by Eldarrat^[21], who reported mean toothbrushing frequency was only once daily, and 42% did not even floss. Another study reported similar toothbrushing frequency in T1DM and highlighted the practice level was even lower in adolescents (13–18 years).^[16]

Despite the known complication of T1DM and their periodontal health, there is limited literature conducted in Malaysia regarding the knowledge and perception of the bidirectional association. On top of that, only a few studies in type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) related to their knowledge level in oral health. An earlier study by Badiah in 2007 reported low awareness in 26.5% of patients with diabetes.^[22] Another study by Nordin *et al.*^[14] reported a similar percentage of 26.9% of oral health complications in T2DM.

These findings highlighted a need for more data on knowledge of PD with T1DM and awareness regarding the bidirectional association, especially in Malaysia. Hence, the next goal of the current study was to compile baseline data on the knowledge and perceptions of T1DM patients in Malaysia concerning their periodontal health and its associated factors. In addition, based on the findings, it creates an opportunity to improve PD knowledge and adopt preventive oral hygiene behaviors in adolescents with DM.^[15]

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), Selangor, and the University of Malaya (UM), Kuala Lumpur, were the locations of this cross-sectional study, performed between October 2020 and May 2022. The UM (DF RD2018/0110(L) and UiTM (REC/07/2020(MR/169) ethical committees provided their permission. The Item Content Validity Index (I-CVI) and Face Validity were used to validate the questionnaire. Twenty individuals participated in a reliability study conducted before the study.

Everyone diagnosed with T1DM at UiTM and UM who was younger than 18 years old was invited to take part in the investigation. Patients were identified, and an appointment was made through a phone call. Written consent was taken prior to the interview session. English and/or Bahasa Melayu communication skills are required. Nevertheless, those with active orthodontic treatment and those who had taken any medications or antibiotics within the previous three months that could have altered the gingiva, such as drug-induced gingival enlargement, were excluded from the study. Moreover, the reason for exclusion criteria was in the next stage of the study. Patients were examined periodontally to crossmatch their perceived periodontal assessment, which was not reported in this article.

The sample size was examined using Epi-Info StatCal[®] software according to the total number of eligible T1DM patients (n = 166).^[23] Meanwhile, the prevalence concerning diabetes test knowledge was 50.4% ($11.6/23 \times 100$).^[24] The final sample was calculated to be 118 (108% + 10%), taking into account an attrition rate of 10%, having a 95% confidence level and an acceptable 5.6% margin error. Consequently, 113 patients with T1DM in total were enrolled. Throughout their follow-up visits, the participants and their caregiver(s) or parent(s) filled out the questionnaire. Parents of children under 16 years old would respond to the questionnaire.

Good knowledge and perception were set at >75%good, whereas 74.9% and lower was considered poor.^[25] A knowledge score was determined for each of the four questions, with the correct response receiving one point and the incorrect response receiving 0 points. The score, which could not exceed 4, was then converted to a percentage. On the other hand, a score was determined for each of the three perception questions, with the correct response receiving 0. The score, which could not exceed 3, was then converted to a percentage.

STUDY INSTRUMENT

Sociodemographic of T1DM

The diabetic history for each patient was obtained based on the information in the medical record of each diabetic child. Diabetic history included date of diagnosis, duration of diabetes, age at diagnosis, latest reading for HbA1c, and latest height and weight for body mass index (BMI) calculation. The calculated BMI was plotted on the CDC BMI-to-age chart for the respective gender.^[26] All the diabetic patients had their glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) in their 3-monthly medical visits. For children, adolescents, and young adults <25 years old. With access to comprehensive care, HbA1c of <53 mmol/dL (7.0%) is recommended.^[27]

Guided Questionnaire (GQ)

Self-report questionnaires utilized in this investigation were translated from two previous studies and validated.^[28,29] Before this study, the questionnaire was adapted to our study population and underwent content and face validation to ensure reliability. As a result, it was given a good GQ rating. Table 1 presents the GQ consisting of periodontal assessment, knowledge, and perception. There are 18 items with dichotomous

| Ta | ble 1: Guided questionnaire |
|-----------|--|
| GQ | Gum assessment |
| No. | Part A (self-perception) |
| 1 | Do you think you have gum disease? |
| 2 | Overall, how do you rate your teeth and |
| | gum health? |
| 3 | Have you ever had gum treatment for gum |
| | disease, such as the scaling of the upper or |
| | lower gum? |
| 4 | Have you ever had loose teeth without |
| | injury? |
| 5 | Have you ever been told by a dental |
| | professional that you have gum disease? |
| 6 | During the past 3 months, have you ever |
| _ | noticed that your gum doesn't look good? |
| 7 | Did you use dental floss or "other devices" |
| 0 | for tooth cleaning in the last / days? |
| 8 | Did you use mouthwash or other dental |
| | rinses for dental problems treatment in |
| No | ne last / days? Dert B (periodontal disease symptoms) |
| INO. 1 | Planding when hrushing flogging on acting |
| 1 | fielding when brushing, hossing, of eating |
| 2 | Swelling red or painful gums for no |
| 2 | apparent reason |
| 3 | Teeth look longer and the smile appears |
| 5 | more "toothy" |
| 4 | Bad breath/halitosis/foul mouth odor |
| 5 | Loosening or shifting of teeth in the |
| | affected area |
| 6 | Pus oozing between the teeth |
| GQ | Knowledge |
| 1 | Do you know DM is related to periodontal |
| | disease? |
| 2 | Do you know that periodontal disease |
| | screening can be done using self-reported |
| | questionnaires? |
| 3 | Did you do dental check-up within last |
| | 1 year? |
| 4 | Have you ever done Periodontal Disease |
| | screening using self-reported questionnaires |
| | at medical clinic? |
| GQ | Perception |
| 1 | Do you agree to do periodontal Disease |
| | screening using self-reported questionnaires |
| | at medical clinics? |
| 2 | Do you agree that periodontal disease effect |
| | the glycaemic control of DM patients? |
| 3 | Do you agree that you will benefit from |
| | screening Periodontal disease for your |
| | general health, especially diabetes? |

"yes or no" options on periodontal evaluations and knowledge. Eight items were on patients' baseline characteristics (Part A), six items on symptoms of PD (Part B), and four items on knowledge assessment. Patients' perception was measured using a five-point Likert scale.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) (IBM SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) Version 20.0 was utilized to evaluate the data. The Pearson Chi-square and Fisher exact tests were employed to determine the relationship between the GQ and clinical evaluation. A *P*-value <0.05 was deemed statistically significant.

Results

Table 2 demonstrates the female-to-male ratio is almost equal, about 1:1.01. More than half of the participants are in secondary school (58%), aged between 13 and 18. Most of the participants are Malay (48.7%), followed by Indian (26.5%) and Chinese (23.9%). Our study

| Table 2: Distribution of sociodemographic | data, d | liabetic- | | | |
|---|---------|-----------|--|--|--|
| related factors, smoking, and oral hygiene practice | | | | | |
| Variables | n | (%) | | | |
| Sex | | | | | |
| Male | 56 | (49.6) | | | |
| Female | 57 | (50.4) | | | |
| Age (years) | | | | | |
| <6 | 16 | (14.2) | | | |
| 7–12 | 39 | (34.5) | | | |
| 13–18 | 58 | (51.3) | | | |
| Race | | | | | |
| Malay | 55 | (48.7) | | | |
| Chinese | 27 | (23.9) | | | |
| Indian | 30 | (26.5) | | | |
| Others | 1 | (0.9) | | | |
| BMI | | | | | |
| Underweight | 11 | (9.7) | | | |
| Healthy weight | 68 | (60.2) | | | |
| Overweight | 27 | (23.9) | | | |
| Obesity | 7 | (6.2) | | | |
| HbA1c | | | | | |
| Controlled | 9 | (8.0) | | | |
| Uncontrolled | 104 | (92.0) | | | |
| Duration of diabetes | | | | | |
| <5 years | 66 | (58.4) | | | |
| 5–10 years | 38 | (33.6) | | | |
| >10 years | 9 | (8.0) | | | |
| Frequency of brushing | | | | | |
| Morning | 17 | (15.0) | | | |
| Morning/before going to sleep | 72 | (63.7) | | | |
| Morning/before going to sleep and after | 22 | (19.5) | | | |
| eating food | | | | | |
| Use flossing | 1 | (0.9) | | | |
| Others/adjunct | 1 | (0.9) | | | |
| Smoking status | | | | | |
| Nonsmoker | 112 | (99.1) | | | |
| Ex-smoker | 1 | (0.9) | | | |

BMI = body mass index, HbA1c = glycated hemoglobin.

found that the BMI of 68 patients (60.2%) is healthy, only seven patients (6.2%) were found to be obese, and 27 (23.9%) were overweight. Table 3 shows that age (P = 0.007) was significantly associated with knowledge. Adolescents were found to have a better understanding among the group (28.7%). Participants discovered to have good knowledge were also found to have regular exercise (26.8%) (P-value = 0.047) more than those who never exercised and those who exercised irregularly. However, none of these factors were found to have a significant association with having good knowledge, as tabulated in Table 4.

Table 5 presents those without symptoms 1 "Bleeding when brushing, flossing or eating food" (80.6%). (*P*-value = 0.021) and uncontrolled HbA1c (97%) (*P*-value = 0.018) were discovered to be significantly linked with good perception. Furthermore, the multiple logistic regression confirmed that uncontrolled HbA1c is 6.68 times more likely to grasp a good perception, and those with symptoms of bleeding are 0.34 times less likely to have a good perception [Table 6].

DISCUSSION

In the current study, a slightly higher number of female participants (57/113) were seen; this is in accordance with the Malaysian T1DM prevalence reported by Fuziah et al.^[23], but it contradicts the worldwide prevalence reported by Ostman et al.[30] This is probably due to females demonstrating greater insulin sensitivity than males.^[31] However, due to the small and limited study population, our study may not reflect the gender allocation among T1DM children and adolescents in Malaysia. Over half of the adolescent participants had a healthy BMI (60.2%), and only 6.2% were considered obese in this group. This is in line with a UK study that discovered no correlation between obesity (higher BMI) and the occurrence of T1DM.[32] Although T1DM has historically been associated with slim persons, an increasing number of people having T1DM are overweight or obese.[33]

According to ISPAD in 2018, a target of HbA1c of <53 mmol (7.0%) is suggested for adolescents, children, and young adults less than 25 years old and with access to comprehensive care, and our study demonstrated that most patients have an uncontrolled HbA1C. As the majority of our patients are adolescents, the psychological and hormonal alterations, as well as increased independence in diabetes care, may be attributed to suboptimal diabetes care, leading to poor glycemic control.^[34] Our study established more than half of T1DM patients have a habit of brushing their teeth twice daily, and only one participant has the habit

| Variables Poor knowledge (\$75%) $(n = 86)$ Good knowledge (\$75%) $(n = 27)$ P-value Age group (years) (3) n (3) n (3) <15 67 (71.3) 27 (28.7) a^{10} Self 19 (100.00) 0 $(00)^{7}$ Gender a^{10} (13) (27.2) (28.7) Duration TIDM 45 (78.9) 12 (21.1) Duration TIDM $(31.81.6)$ 7 (18.4) (11.1) Controlled 8 (88.9) 1 (11.1) Underweight 10 (90.9) (25.9) (25.9) Obserity 6 (85.7) 1 (44.3) (25.9) Overweight 20 (74.1) 7 (25.9) (25.9) Obserity 6 (85.7) 1 (14.3) (17.6) Underweight 10 (90.9) 2 (9.1) Oral bygicne practice< | Table 3: Distribution of knowledge on PD based on the percentage | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---------|----------------------------------|---------|------------------------|--|--|
| n (%) n (%) 0007 s15 67 (71.3) 27 (28.7) 216 19 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Gender 0.475° 0.475° 0.475° Male 41 (73.2) 15 (26.8) Duration TIDM 0.084° 0.034° 0.034° $5-10$ years 31 (8.16) 7 (18.4) > 10 years 9 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Controlled 7 (75.0) 26 (25.0) BMI 0 (9.9) 1 (11.1) Uncontrolled 7 (75.5) 18 (26.5) Overwight 20 (74.1) 7 (25.9) Morning and evening 51 (70.8) 21 (29.2) Morning and evening 51 (70.8) 21 (25.6) No 77 (75.5) 25 (8.6) 6 (19.4) Symptons Tom GQ | Variables | Poor knowledge ($\leq 75\%$) ($n = 86$) | | Good knowledge (>75%) $(n = 27)$ | | <i>P</i> -value | | |
| Age group (years) 007 ^b <15 67 (13) 27 (28.7) 216 19 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Gender 0.47.5° (3.7) (28.7) (3.7) Male 41 (73.2) 15 (26.8) (3.7) Female 45 (78.9) 12 (21.1) (3.7) Sypars 46 (69.7) 20 (30.3) (3.6) 5-10 years 9 (100.0) 0 (0.0) (3.49° Controlled 8 (88.9) 1 (11.1) (3.49° Controlled 78 (75.0) 26 (25.0) (3.49° Controlled 8 (88.9) 1 (11.1) (3.06°) (3.5) 18 (25.5) (3.6) (3.17.6) (3.12 (29.2) (3.1) (3.10°) (3.10°) (3.10°) (3.10°) (3.10°) (3.10°) (3.10°) (3.10°) (3.10°) (3.10°) (3.10°) (3.10°) | | n | (%) | n | (%) | - | | |
| set 67 (11,3) 27 (28,7) 216 19 (100,0) 0 (0,0) Gender | Age group (years) | | | | | 0.007 ^a | | |
| 1619(100.0)0(0.0)00.475Gender0.475Male41(73.2)15(26.8)0.084*Female45(78.9)12(21.1)0.084*Syars46(69.7)20(30.3)-> 10 years9(100.0)00.00*0.04*S yars46(69.7)20(30.3)-> 10 years9(100.0)00.00*0.04*Controlled8(88.9)1(11.1)-Uncortrolled78(75.0)26(25.0)-BM0(90.9)1(4.1)7(25.0)Overweight20(74.1)7(25.0)-Oral bygine practic0.09*-Morning and evening51(70.8)21(22.2)-Morning and evening14(82.4)3(17.6)-Oral bygine practic0.48*-No for GQ2(9.1)0.48*Sympton from GQ2(9.1)-0.48*-No61(74.4)21(25.6)-Yes2(66.7)1(33.0)No61(74.4)26(25.6)No61(74.4)26(25.6)No78(75.0)26(25.6) <t< td=""><td><15</td><td>67</td><td>(71.3)</td><td>27</td><td>(28.7)</td><td></td></t<> | <15 | 67 | (71.3) | 27 | (28.7) | | | |
| Gender | ≥16 | 19 | (100.0) | 0 | (0.0) | | | |
| Male 41 (73.2) 15 (26.8) Female 45 (78.9) 12 (21.1) Spars 46 (69.7) 20 (30.3) 5-10 years 31 (81.6) 7 (18.4) >10 years 9 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Glycarnic control in HbAle 0 (75.0) 26 (25.0) Controlled 8 (88.9) 1 (11.1) Underweight 10 (90.9) 1 (9.1) Healthy weight 50 (73.5) 18 (26.5) Overweight 20 (74.1) 7 (25.9) Overweight 20 (76.1) (76.8) (76.9) (76.9) | Gender | | | | | 0.475ª | | |
| Female 45 (78.9) 12 (21.1) 0.84* Carstion TLDM 0.84* 0.84* 0.84* 0.84* 5-10 years 31 (81.6) 7 (18.4) 0.84* 5-10 years 9 (100.0) 0 0.00 0 Glycaemic control in HbA1c 9 0 0.00 0 0 Uncontrolled 78 (75.0) 26 (25.0) 0 BMI 0 (73.5) 18 (26.5) 0 Underweight 50 (73.5) 18 (26.5) 0 Oral bygiene practice 0 (74.1) 7 (25.9) 0 Morning and evening 11 (10.0) 0 0.0 0 0 Others/adjunct 0 (00.0) 1 (100.0) 0 0 Sympton 1 61 (74.4) 21 (25.6) 4 No 61 (74.4) 21 (25.6) 4 <t< td=""><td>Male</td><td>41</td><td>(73.2)</td><td>15</td><td>(26.8)</td><td></td></t<> | Male | 41 | (73.2) | 15 | (26.8) | | | |
| $\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | Female | 45 | (78.9) | 12 | (21.1) | | | |
| <5 years 46 (69 7) 20 (30, 3) years >10 years 31 (81.6) 7 (18.4) 0.309 Gyaamic control in HbAle 0.349 0.000 0.00 0.309 Controlled 78 (75.0) 26 (25.0) 0.706 ⁸ BMI 0 (90.9) 1 (11.1) 0.706 ⁸ Underwight 10 (90.9) 1 (14.3) 0.706 ⁸ Overweight 10 (90.9) 1 (43.3) 0.99 ⁷⁹ Morning ing evening 50 (73.5) 18 (26.5) 0.99 ⁷⁹ Morning and evening 20 (74.1) 7 (25.9) 0.99 ⁷⁹ Morning and evening 51 (70.8) 21 (29.2) 0.97 ⁹ Morning and evening 51 (70.8) 21 (29.2) 0.97 ⁹ Morning and evening 51 (70.8) 21 (29.2) 0.66 ¹ Symptom 5 7 (10.00) 0 0.00 0 0.48 ² No 61 (74.4) | Duration T1DM | | | | | 0.084ª | | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | <5 years | 46 | (69.7) | 20 | (30.3) | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | 5–10 years | 31 | (81.6) | 7 | (18.4) | | | |
| | > 10 years | 9 | (100.0) | 0 | (0.0) | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Glycaemic control in HbA1c | | (| | | 0.349ª | | |
| Uncontrolled 78 (75.0) 26 (25.0) 0.706^{5} BMI | Controlled | 8 | (88.9) | 1 | (11.1) | | | |
| BMI Image: Constraint of the second se | Uncontrolled | 78 | (75.0) | 26 | (25.0) | | | |
| Underweight 10 (90.9) 1 (9.1) Healthy weight 50 (73.5) 18 (26.5) Overweight 20 (74.1) 7 (25.9) Obesity 6 (85.7) 1 (14.3) Oral hygiene practice (0.097^b) 21 (29.2) Morning and evening 51 (70.8) 21 (29.2) Morning, afternoon, and evening 20 (90.9) 2 (9.1) Use flossing 1 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Others/adjunct 0 0.0) 1 (100.0) Symptom Srom GQ Z (80.6) 6 (19.4) Symptom 1 Z (61.7) 21 (25.6) Yes 25 (80.6) 6 (19.4) Z Symptom 2 Z (66.7) 1 (31.3) Z No 77 (75.5) 25 (24.5) Z No 77 (75.5) | BMI | | () | | () | 0.706 ^b | | |
| Healthy weight 50 (73.7) 18 (26.5) Overweight 20 (74.1) 7 (25.9) Oral hygiene practice 0.097 ^b 0.097 ^b Morning and evening 51 (70.8) 21 (29.2) Morning and evening 51 (70.8) 21 (29.2) Morning afternoon, and evening 1 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Use flossing 1 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Symptoms from GQ 5 (80.6) 6 (19.4) Symptom 1 (11) (100.0) (11.1) (11.1) Symptom 2 (25.6) (25.6) (25.6) (25.6) Yes 25 (80.6) 6 (19.4) Symptom 2 (75.0) 26 (25.0) Yes 8 (88.9) 1 (11.1) Symptom 3 (75.5) 25 (24.5) (25.6) Yes 9 (81.8) 2 | Underweight | 10 | (90.9) | 1 | (9.1) | | | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Healthy weight | 50 | (73.5) | 18 | (26.5) | | | |
| Observed Morning 16 $(1,1)$ 1 $(14,3)$ Oral hygiene practice 0.097b Morning and evening 14 (82.4) 3 (17.6) Morning and evening 20 (90.9) 2 (9.1) Use flossing 1 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Others/adjunct 0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) Symptoms from GQ 2 (9.1) (100.0) (100.0) Symptoms from GQ 2 (80.6) 6 (19.4) Symptom 1 (17.4) 21 (25.6) Yes 25 (80.6) 6 (19.4) Symptom 2 (100.0) (11.1) (11.1) No 78 (75.0) 26 (25.0) Yes 2 (66.7) 1 (33.3) No 77 (75.5) 25 (24.5) Yes 9 (81.8) 2 (18.2) Symptom 5 (27.52) 27.7 (24.8) $(27.1)^{16}$ No 82 </td <td>Overweight</td> <td>20</td> <td>(74.1)</td> <td>7</td> <td>(25.9)</td> <td></td> | Overweight | 20 | (74.1) | 7 | (25.9) | | | |
| Oral hysiene practice 0 <td>Obesity</td> <td>6</td> <td>(85.7)</td> <td>1</td> <td>(14.3)</td> <td></td> | Obesity | 6 | (85.7) | 1 | (14.3) | | | |
| Morning 14 (82.4) 3 (17.6) Morning and evening 51 (70.8) 21 (29.2) Morning, afternoon, and evening 20 (90.9) 2 (9.1) Use flossing 1 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Others/adjunct 0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) Symptoms from GQ 5 0.487a 0.487a No 61 (74.4) 21 (25.6) Yes 25 (80.6) 6 (19.4) Symptom 2 0.349^a 0.349 ^a 0.563 ^b No 78 (75.0) 26 (25.0) Yes 8 (88.9) 1 (11.1) Symptom 3 0.563^b 0 0.563 ^b No 84 (76.4) 26 (23.6) Yes 9 (8.8) 2 (12.5) Symptom 4 0.571^b 0.571^b 0.571^b No 77 (75.5) 25 (24.5) Yes 4 (100.0) 0 (0.0) | Oral hygiene practice | U U | (0017) | - | (1.1.0) | 0.097 ^b | | |
| Morning and evening F1 C(Ta) C C(Ta) Morning and evening 20 (90.9) 2 (9.1) Use flossing 1 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Others/adjunct 0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) Symptom from GQ 3 3 0 0 0 Symptom from GQ 2 (9.1) 0 (87.4) Symptom 1 0 0 0 0 0 No 61 (74.4) 21 (25.6) Yes 25 0 6 10 Symptom 2 0 0 0 0 No 78 (75.0) 26 (25.0) Yes 8 (88.9) 1 (11.1) Symptom 3 0 77 (75.5) 25 (24.6) Yes 2 (66.7) 1 (33.3) 0 Symptom 4 0 77 (75.5) 25 (24.5) 75 Yes 9 81.8 | Morning | 14 | (82.4) | 3 | (17.6) | 0.077 | | |
| Morning afternoon, and evening 20 (90.9) 2 (9.1) Use flossing 1 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Others/adjunct 0 (0.0) 1 (100.0) Symptoms from GQ 3 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Symptom 1 0.487° 0.487° 0.487° No 61 (74.4) 21 (25.6) Yes 25 (80.6) 6 (19.4) Symptom 2 $$ | Morning and evening | 51 | (70.8) | 21 | (29.2) | | | |
| Internet intervention and evening 10 (100,0) 0 (0,0) Use flossing 0 (0,0) 1 (100,0) Others/adjunct 0 (0,0) 1 (100,0) Symptoms from GQ 0 0 0 0 Symptom 1 0 0 0 0 No 61 $(74,4)$ 21 $(25,6)$ Yes 25 (80,6) 6 $(19,4)$ Symptom 2 0 0 0 0 Yes 8 (88,9) 1 $(11,1)$ Symptom 3 0 0 0 0 No 84 $(76,4)$ 26 $(23,6)$ 0 Yes 2 $(66,7)$ 1 (33.3) 0 Symptom 4 0 0 0 0 0 No 57 25 $(24,5)$ 0 0 Symptom 5 0 77 $(75,5)$ 25 $(24,8)$ 0 Yes 12 | Morning afternoon and evening | 20 | (90.9) | 2 | (9.1) | | | |
| Control adjunct 1 $(100,0)$ 1 $(100,0)$ Symptoms from GQ 0 0.00 1 $(100,0)$ Symptom 1 0 61 (74.4) 21 (25.6) Yes 25 (80.6) 6 (19.4) Symptom 2 0.349 ^a 0.349 ^a No 78 (75.0) 26 (25.0) Yes 8 (88.9) 1 (11.1) Symptom 3 0 (66.7) 1 (33.3) No 84 (76.4) 26 (23.6) Yes 2 (66.7) 1 (33.3) Symptom 4 0 (66.7) 1 (33.3) Symptom 5 9 (81.8) 2 (18.2) Yes 9 (81.8) 2 (18.2) Symptom 5 0 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Exercise status 12 (70.6) 5 (29.4) Irregular exercise 12 (70.6) 5 (29.4) Irregular exercise <td< td=""><td>Use flossing</td><td>1</td><td>(100,0)</td><td>0</td><td>(0, 0)</td><td></td></td<> | Use flossing | 1 | (100,0) | 0 | (0, 0) | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Others/adjunct | 0 | (0.0) | 1 | (100.0) | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Symptoms from GO | 0 | (0.0) | 1 | (100.0) | | | |
| No 61 (74.4) 21 (25.6) Yes 25 (80.6) 6 (19.4) Symptom 2 0.349^{a} 0.349^{a} No 78 (75.0) 26 (25.0) Yes 8 (88.9) 1 (11.1) Symptom 3 0.563^{b} 0.563^{b} No 84 (76.4) 26 (23.6) Yes 2 (66.7) 1 (33.3) Symptom 4 0.640^{a} 0.640^{a} No 77 (75.5) 25 (24.5) Yes 9 (81.8) 2 (18.2) Symptom 5 0.571^{b} 0.571^{b} 0.640^{a} No 82 (75.2) 27 (24.8) Yes 4 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Exercise status 0.047^{b} 0.047^{b} Never exercise 12 (76.6) 5 (29.4) Irregular exercise 14 (100.0) 0 0.00 Regular exercise 60 (73.2) 22 (26.8) Diet status (frequency) $ 0.676^{b}$ 2 9 (81.8) 2 (18.2) 3 40 (80.0) 10 (20.0) | Symptom 1 | | | | | 0.487^{a} | | |
| Yes21(11)21(13)(11)(11)(11)(11)(11)(11)Symptom 2 0.349^a 0.349^a 0.349^a 0.349^a 0.349^a 0.563^b No78(75.0)26(25.0) 0.563^b 0.563^b No84(76.4)26(23.6) 0.563^b Yes2(66.7)1(33.3) 0.640^a Symptom 4 0.640^a 0.640^a 0.640^a 0.640^a No77(75.5)25(24.5) 0.571^b Yes9(81.8)2(18.2) 0.571^b No82(75.2)27(24.8) 0.047^b Never exercise12(70.6)5(29.4) 0.047^b Never exercise12(70.6)5(29.4) 0.047^b Never exercise14(100.0)0 0.047^b Never exercise12(70.6)5(29.4)Irregular exercise14(100.0)0 0.047^b Diet status (frequency) 0.676^b 9(81.8)2(18.2)340(80.0)10(20.0) 0.676^b | No | 61 | (74.4) | 21 | (25.6) | 0.107 | | |
| Symptom 2 (0.00) (0.00) (0.010) (0.010) (0.010) No78 (75.0) 26 (25.0) (0.349^{4}) Yes8 (88.9) 1 (11.1) (0.563^{b}) Symptom 3 (0.563^{b}) 2 (66.7) 1 (33.3) Symptom 4 (0.640^{4}) 26 (23.6) (24.5) No77 (75.5) 25 (24.5) Yes9 (81.8) 2 (18.2) Symptom 5 (0.00) (0.00) (0.01) Exercise status (100.0) 0 (0.01) Exercise status (0.047^{b}) (29.4) Never exercise12 (70.6) 5 (29.4) 14 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Regular exercise60 (73.2) 22 (26.8) Diet status (frequency) (9) (81.8) 2 (18.2) 340 (80.0) 10 (20.0) | Ves | 25 | (80.6) | 6 | (19.4) | | | |
| No78(75.0)26(25.0)Yes8(88.9)1(11.1)Symptom 3 (11.1) (11.1) (11.1) No84(76.4)26(23.6)Yes2(66.7)1(33.3)Symptom 4 (11.1) (11.1) (11.1) No77(75.5)25(24.5)Yes9(81.8)2(18.2)Symptom 5 (11.2) (11.2) (11.2) No82(75.2)27(24.8)Yes4(100.0)0 (0.0) Exercise status (12.2) (75.2) 27Never exercise12(70.6)5(29.4)Irregular exercise14(100.0)0 (0.0) Regular exercise60(73.2)22(26.8)Diet status (frequency) (11.2) (11.2) (11.2) 29(81.8)2(18.2)340(80.0)10(20.0) | Symptom 2 | 25 | (00.0) | 0 | (1).1) | 0 349a | | |
| No10(15.0)20(25.0)Yes8(85.9)1(11.1)Symptom 3 $$ | No | 78 | (75.0) | 26 | (25.0) | 0.517 | | |
| Symptom 3 0 0 (000) 1 (111) 0.563^b No84 (76.4) 26 (23.6) 0.563^b Yes2 (66.7) 1 (33.3) 0.640^a Symptom 4 0.640^a 0.640^a 0.640^a 0.640^a No77 (75.5) 25 (24.5) 0.571^b Yes9 (81.8) 2 (18.2) 0.571^b No82 (75.2) 27 (24.8) 0.047^b No82 (75.2) 27 (24.8) 0.047^b No82 (75.2) 27 (24.8) 0.047^b Never exercise status 0.047^b 0.047^b 0.047^b Never exercise12 (70.6) 5 (29.4) Irregular exercise60 (73.2) 22 (26.8) Diet status (frequency) 0 0.676^b 0.676^b 29 (81.8) 2 (18.2) 340 (80.0) 10 (20.0) | Ves | 8 | (88.9) | 1 | (11.1) | | | |
| No 84 (76.4) 26 (23.6) Yes 2 (66.7) 1 (33.3) Symptom 4 0.640 ^a No 77 (75.5) 25 (24.5) Yes 9 (81.8) 2 (18.2) Symptom 5 0.571 ^b 0.571 ^b 0.571 ^b No 82 (75.2) 27 (24.8) Yes 4 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Exercise status 0.047 ^b 0.047 ^b 0.047 ^b Never exercise 12 (70.6) 5 (29.4) Irregular exercise 60 (73.2) 22 (26.8) Diet status (frequency) 9 (81.8) 2 (18.2) 3 40 (80.0) 10 (20.0) | Symptom 3 | 0 | (00.7) | 1 | (11.1) | 0 563 ^b | | |
| No 01 (10, 11) 20 (25, 6) Yes 2 (66.7) 1 (33.3) Symptom 4 | No | 84 | (76.4) | 26 | (23.6) | 0.505 | | |
| Symptom 4 2 (00.7) 1 (05.5) 0 No 77 (75.5) 25 (24.5) 0 Yes 9 (81.8) 2 (18.2) 0.571 ^b No 82 (75.2) 27 (24.8) 0.571 ^b No 82 (75.2) 27 (24.8) 0.571 ^b No 82 (75.2) 27 (24.8) 0.047 ^b Yes 4 (100.0) 0 (0.0) 0.047 ^b Exercise status 0.047 ^b 0.047 ^b 0.047 ^b 0.676 ^b Never exercise 12 (70.6) 5 (29.4) 0.676 ^b Irregular exercise 14 (100.0) 0 0.007 0.676 ^b Diet status (frequency) 9 (81.8) 2 (18.2) 0.676 ^b 2 9 (81.8) 2 (18.2) 0.676 ^b 3 40 (80.0) 10 (20.0) 0.676 ^b | Ves | 2 | (66.7) | 1 | (23.3) | | | |
| No 77 (75.5) 25 (24.5) Yes 9 (81.8) 2 (18.2) Symptom 5 0.571b 0.571b 0.571b No 82 (75.2) 27 (24.8) Yes 4 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Exercise status 0.047b 0.047b 0.047b Never exercise 12 (70.6) 5 (29.4) Irregular exercise 14 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Regular exercise 60 (73.2) 22 (26.8) Diet status (frequency) 9 (81.8) 2 (18.2) 3 40 (80.0) 10 (20.0) | Symptom 4 | 2 | (00.7) | 1 | (55.5) | 0.640^{a} | | |
| Yes9 (10.0) 25 (24.5) Symptom 59 (81.8) 2 (18.2) No82 (75.2) 27 (24.8) Yes4 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Exercise status0.047b0 (0.0) Never exercise12 (70.6) 5 (29.4) Irregular exercise14 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Regular exercise60 (73.2) 22 (26.8) Diet status (frequency)9 (81.8) 2 (18.2) 340 (80.0) 10 (20.0) | No | 77 | (75.5) | 25 | (24.5) | 0.040 | | |
| Its (01.6) 2 (16.2) Symptom 5 (10.2) 0.571b No 82 (75.2) 27 (24.8) Yes 4 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Exercise status 0.047b 0 0.047b Never exercise 12 (70.6) 5 (29.4) Irregular exercise 14 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Regular exercise 60 (73.2) 22 (26.8) Diet status (frequency) 9 (81.8) 2 (18.2) 3 40 (80.0) 10 (20.0) | Vec | 9 | (81.8) | 25 | (18.2) | | | |
| No 82 (75.2) 27 (24.8) Yes 4 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Exercise status 0.047 ^b 0 0 0.047 ^b Never exercise 12 (70.6) 5 (29.4) 0.047 ^b Irregular exercise 14 (100.0) 0 (0.0) 0 Regular exercise 60 (73.2) 22 (26.8) 0.676 ^b Diet status (frequency) 9 (81.8) 2 (18.2) 0.676 ^b 3 40 (80.0) 10 (20.0) 0 | Symptom 5 | , | (01.0) | 2 | (10.2) | 0.571b | | |
| No 62 (10.2) 27 (24.6) Yes4 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Exercise status12 (70.6) 5 (29.4) Never exercise14 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Regular exercise60 (73.2) 22 (26.8) Diet status (frequency)9 (81.8) 2 (18.2) 340 (80.0) 10 (20.0) | No | 82 | (75.2) | 27 | (24.8) | 0.571 | | |
| Exercise status 12 (70.6) 5 (29.4) Never exercise 14 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Regular exercise 60 (73.2) 22 (26.8) Diet status (frequency) 9 (81.8) 2 (18.2) 3 40 (80.0) 10 (20.0) | Vec | 1 | (73.2) | 0 | (24.0) | | | |
| Never exercise 12 (70.6) 5 (29.4) Irregular exercise 14 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Regular exercise 60 (73.2) 22 (26.8) Diet status (frequency) 9 (81.8) 2 (18.2) 3 40 (80.0) 10 (20.0) | Evercise status | 7 | (100.0) | 0 | (0.0) | 0.047b | | |
| Never exercise 12 (70.0) 5 $(2).4)$ Irregular exercise 14 (100.0) 0 (0.0) Regular exercise 60 (73.2) 22 (26.8) Diet status (frequency) $ -$ 2 9 (81.8) 2 (18.2) 3 40 (80.0) 10 (20.0) | Never evercise | 12 | (70.6) | 5 | (29.4) | 0.047 | | |
| Integular exercise 60 (100.0) 60 (0.0) Diet status (frequency) 60 (73.2) 22 (26.8) 2 9 (81.8) 2 (18.2) 3 40 (80.0) 10 (20.0) | Irregular evercise | 14 | (70.0) | 0 | (2).+) | | | |
| Diet status (frequency) 9 (81.8) 2 (18.2) 3 40 (80.0) 10 (20.0) | Regular exercise | 60 | (73.2) | 22 | (0.0) | | | |
| 2 9 (81.8) 2 (18.2) 3 40 (80.0) 10 (20.0) | Diet status (frequency) | 00 | (13.2) | <i></i> | (20.0) | 0.676 ^b | | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 2 | 0 | (81.8) | 2 | (18.2) | 0.070 | | |
| | | 40 | (80.0) | 10 | (10.2) | | | |
| $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ | Д | 32 | (69.6) | 1/ | (20.0) | | | |
| $5 \qquad (0,0) \qquad 14 \qquad (30.4)$ | 5 | 5 | (83.3) | 1 | (16.7) | | | |

Symptom 2 = gums are swollen, red, or painful for no apparent reason

Symptom 3 = teeth look longer, and the smile appears more "toothy"

Symptom 4 = bad breath/halitosis/a foul odor mouth

Symptom 5 = loosening or shifting of teeth in the affected area

^aPearson's chi-squared test

^bFisher's exact test

| Table 4: Relationship between gender, self-reported symptoms of PD, and knowledge on PD | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|----------|-----------------|--|
| Variables | Sim | ple logistic regression | Mult | Multiple logistic regression | | | |
| | Crude OR | (95% CI) | <i>P</i> -value | Adj. OR | (95% CI) | <i>P</i> -value | |
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| Male | 1.00 | (ref) | | - | - | - | |
| Female | 0.73 | (0.3, 1.73) | 0.476 | - | - | - | |
| Symptoms from GQ | | | | | | | |
| Symptom 1 | | | | | | | |
| No | 1.00 | (ref) | | - | - | - | |
| Yes | 0.70 | (0.23, 1.85) | 0.488 | - | - | - | |
| Symptom 4 | | | | | | | |
| No | 1.00 | (ref) | | - | - | - | |
| Yes | 0.68 | (0.1, 2.88) | 0.642 | - | - | - | |

Symptom 4 = bad breath/halitosis/a foul odor mouth

Crude OR (95% CI) = crude odds ratio (95% confidence interval)

Adj. OR (95% CI) = adjusted odds ratio (95% confidence interval)

of flossing. This is in contrast to a study in Brazil, which stated that 30.9% of T1DM patients flossed at least once and brushed three times per day.^[35] Therefore, the T1DM participants in this study were found to have unfavorable oral hygiene behavior.

We determined the knowledge of parents of T1DM was mostly poor (<75%). This aligns with a study by Sohn and Rowe^[36] on parents of T1DM children aged 6-13, in which most parents chose "Don't know" for statements related to DM and oral health. In addition, worse health consequences in a child of parents with low health literacy have been observed.[37] Thus, it is essential to instill awareness among parents since their knowledge, beliefs, and attitudes directly influence their child's oral health maintenance, behaviors, and dietary habits.^[38] A similar finding was discovered in the T1DM children's parents. All adolescents aged 16 and above also had poor knowledge of PD relation with T1DM. This agrees with a study from Colorado, USA, where a survey was conducted on children 12-19 years old, and it found that less than half of the adolescents were aware that PD is associated with DM. Only onequarter knew that bleeding gums due to PD starts even in childhood.^[15]

Another positive finding was that children with regular exercise were highly associated with good parental knowledge of T1DM. This might be attributed to the use of a good attitude and knowledge and in actions that can support a positive and fruitful learning environment, as well as active engagement in all extracurricular and curricular activities.^[39] Our study highlighted that a higher proportion of poor knowledge in T1DM was related to more inadequate glycaemic control. This is in line with a study in adult diabetes patients emphasizing low knowledge of twoway relationships influencing the stability of glycaemic control.^[40] Another recent meta-analysis supports this finding, highlighting that higher levels of health literacy were associated with lower levels of HbA1c.^[41]

A higher good perception was observed in parents. Thus, this result may reflect the perception of children aged 16 and below as children, as children were reported to have similar good perceptions with their parents.^[42] One study on adolescent perception supported this, where they credited reinforcing good oral self-care habits to their parents.^[43] However, despite good perception, it was reported to be statistically significant with bleeding symptoms. This condition may explain why oral health was neglected, as the main focus is predominantly treating the systematic problem.^[44]

Interestingly, our study found that T1DM with uncontrolled HbA1c are 6.68 times more likely to have a good perception. This highlighted the discordance between the perception of DM control and the HbA1c.^[45] This may reflect a poor impact on their understanding of disease control over the years. Other than that, a study by Romano *et al.*^[46] agreed with our research, reporting the presence of symptoms of bleeding. Patients are 0.34 times less likely to indicate a good perception.

In conclusion, less than half of parents of T1DM children and adolescent (27%) and none of the adolescent (16 years and above) was seen to have good knowledge of this bidirectional relationship between T1DM and PD, and those with good knowledge was associated significantly while regular exercise habits. Despite poor glycaemic control, excellent perception of parents of T1DM and adolescents (16 and above)

421

| Variables Poor perception $(n = 40)$ Good perception $(n = 67)$ P-value Age group (years) n $(%)$ n $(%)$ n $(%)$ 215 39 (84.8) 55 (82.1) 12 $(7.9)^{77}$ Gender 0.399* 0.48.8) 55 (82.1) 0.399* Cancel of TLDM (years) 21 (45.7) 36 (5.7) 0.685* > 10 5 (10.9) 4 (6.0) 0.018* Controlled 7 (15.2) 2 (3.0) 0.018* Controlled 7 (15.2) 2 (3.0) 0.018* Controlled 7 (15.2) 2 (3.0) 0.018* Underweight 66 (13.0) 5 (7.5) 0.018* Underweight 28 (60.9) 40 (59.7) 0.018* Morning and evening 10 (21.7) 17 (25.4) 0.017* Morning and evening | Table 5: Distribution of T11 | DM patients' ai | nd/or parents' percept | tion of PD by p | ercentage | |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| n (%) n (%) n (%) Age group (years) 51 39 (84.8) 55 (82.1) 216 7 (15.2) 12 (17.9) (17.9) 216 7 (15.2) 12 (17.9) (17.9) Male 25 (54.3) 31 (46.3) (17.9) Female 21 (45.7) 36 (5.7) (17.9) (17.9) Outation of TIDM (years) 5 (10.9) 4 (6.0) (17.9) < 5 (54.3) 41 (61.2) (17.9) (17.9) Solo (Solo | Variables | Poor perc | ception $(n = 46)$ | Good perc | eption $(n = 67)$ | <i>P</i> -value |
| Age group (years) set | | n | (%) | n | (%) | _ |
| <15 | Age group (years) | | | | | 0.707 ^a |
| 2167(15.2)12(17.9)0.399Gender25(54.3)31(46.3)0.885°Female21(45.7)36(53.7)0.885°Duration of TIDM (years)25(54.3)41(61.2)0.885°<5 | <15 | 39 | (84.8) | 55 | (82.1) | |
| Gender00 <td>≥16</td> <td>7</td> <td>(15.2)</td> <td>12</td> <td>(17.9)</td> <td></td> | ≥16 | 7 | (15.2) | 12 | (17.9) | |
| Male25(44.3)31(46.3) | Gender | | | | | 0.399ª |
| Female 21 (45.7) 36 (53.7) | Male | 25 | (54.3) | 31 | (46.3) | |
| Duration of T1DM (years) | Female | 21 | (45.7) | 36 | (53.7) | |
| 25 (54.3) 41 (61.2) $5-10$ 16 (34.8) 22 (32.8) >10 5 (10.9) 4 (6.0) Glycamic control in HbA1c 0.018° 0.018° Controlled 39 (84.8) 65 (97.0) BMI 0.111° 0.711° 0.711° Uncontrolled 28 (60.9) 40 (59.7) Querweight 6 (13.0) 5 (7.5) Halthy weight 28 (60.9) 40 (59.7) Overweight 10 (21.7) 17 (25.4) Morning and evening 10 (21.7) 12 (17.9) Worning and evening 10 (21.7) 12 (17.9) Use forsing 1 (2.2) 0 (0.0) Others/adjunct 0 (0.0.0) 1 (1.5) Symptom 1 28 (60.9) 54 (80.6) Yes 4 (87.7) 5 (7.5) No 28 (60.9) 54 | Duration of T1DM (years) | | | | | 0.585^{a} |
| | <5 | 25 | (54.3) | 41 | (61.2) | |
| >10 5 (10.9) 4 (6.0) | 5–10 | 16 | (34.8) | 22 | (32.8) | |
| Glycaemic control in HbA1c 0.018° Controlled 7 (15.2) 2 (3.0) Duncontrolled 39 (84.8) 65 (97.0) BMI 0.711° 0.711° 0.711° Underweight 6 (13.0) 5 (7.5) Healthy weight 28 (60.9) 40 (59.7) Overweight 10 (21.7) 17 (25.4) Obesity 2 (4.3) 5 (7.5) Oral hygiene practice 0.173° (10.4) (10.1) (10.1) Morning and evening 10 (21.7) 7 (10.4) Morning and evening 10 (21.7) 12 (17.9) Use flossing 1 (2.2) 0 (0.0) Symptom from GQ 1 (1.5) (1.8) (1.8) Symptom 1 28 (60.9) 54 (80.6) Yes 4 (8.7) 5 (7.5) Symptom 2 (2 | >10 | 5 | (10.9) | 4 | (6.0) | |
| Controlled 7 (15.2) 2 (3.0) Uncontrolled 39 (84.8) 65 (97.0) BMI | Glycaemic control in HbA1c | | · / | | | 0.018 ^a |
| Uncontrolled 39 (84.8) 65 (97.0) (97.0) BMI | Controlled | 7 | (15.2) | 2 | (3.0) | |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Uncontrolled | 39 | (84.8) | 65 | (97.0) | |
| Underweight Healthy weight6(13.0)5(7.5)Healthy weight28(60.9)40(59.7)Overweight10(21.7)17(25.4)Obesity2(4.3)5(7.5)Oral hygiene practice $(0.21.7)$ 7(10.4)Morning and evening10(21.7)12(17.9)Morning, afternoon, and evening10(21.7)12(17.9)Use flossing1(2.2)0(0.0)Others/adjunct0(0.0)1(1.5)Symptoms from GQ (0.0) 1(1.5)(0.21)*No28(60.9)54(80.6)Yes18(39.1)19.4(80.6)Yes28(60.9)54(80.6)Yes4(3.7)5(7.5)No42(91.3)62(92.5)Yes4(100.0)64(95.5)Yes0(0.0)3(4.5)No42(91.3)60(89.6)Yes4(3.7)7(10.4)No46(100.0)63(94.0)Yes0(0.0)63(94.0)Yes0(0.0)63(94.0)Yes0(21.7)7(10.4)No46(100.0)63(94.0)Yes0(21.7)7(10.4)No46(100.0)63(94.0)Yes0(21. | BMI | | ~ / | | | 0.711 ^b |
| Healthy weight 28 (60.9) 40 (59.7) Overweight 10 (21.7) 17 (25.4) Obesity 2 (4.3) 5 (7.5) Oral hygiene practice 0.173 ^b 0.173 ^b 0.173 ^b Morning and evening 10 (21.7) 7 (10.4) Morning, afternoon, and evening 10 (21.7) 7 (10.4) Morning afternoon, and evening 10 (21.7) 12 (17.9) Use floxing 1 (2.2) 0 (0.0) 0.021 ^a Symptoms from GQ $yethics$ | Underweight | 6 | (13.0) | 5 | (7.5) | |
| Overweight Obesity 10 $(21,7)$ 17 $(25,4)$ Obesity 2 $(4,3)$ 5 $(7,5)$ Oral hygiene practice 0 (10) $(21,7)$ 7 $(10,4)$ Morning 10 $(21,7)$ 7 $(10,4)$ $(17,9)$ $(10,7)$ $(10,7)$ $(10,7)$ Morning, afternoon, and evening 10 $(21,7)$ 7 $(10,4)$ $(17,9)$ Use flossing 1 $(2,2)$ 0 $(0,0)$ $(1,5)$ $(1,5)$ Symptoms from GQ 0 $(0,0)$ 1 $(1,5)$ $(19,4)$ $(19,4)$ Symptom 1 28 $(60,9)$ 54 $(80,6)$ $(82,6)$ $(82,6)$ Yes 18 $(39,1)$ 13 $(19,4)$ $(10,2)$ $(10,2)$ $(12,7)$ | Healthy weight | 28 | (60.9) | 40 | (59.7) | |
| Obesity 2 (4.3) 5 (7.5) Oral hygiene practice (10) (21.7) 7 (10.4) Morning and evening 10 (21.7) 7 (10.4) Morning, afternoon, and evening 10 (21.7) 12 (17.9) Use flossing 1 (2.2) 0 (0.0) Others/adjuct 0 (0.0) 1 (1.5) Symptoms from GQ 2 (60.9) 54 (80.6) Yes 18 (39.1) 13 (19.4) Symptom 1 (87.7) 5 (7.5) (81.2°) No 28 (60.9) 54 (80.6) (81.2°) Symptom 1 $(1.2, 2)$ (0.0) $(1.4, 2)$ $(1.4, 2)$ $(1.4, 2)$ Symptom 2 $(1.8, 2)$ $(1.8, 2)$ $(2.9, 2)$ $(1.8, 2)$ $(2.9, 2)$ Yes 4 (8.7) 5 (7.5) $(2.9, 2)$ $(1.8, 2)$ Symptom 3 $(1.2, 2)$ $(1.0, 0)$ $(3.4, 5)$ $(1.4, 2)$ $(1.4, 2)$ | Overweight | 10 | (21.7) | 17 | (25.4) | |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Obesity | 2 | (4.3) | 5 | (7.5) | |
| Morning 10 (21.7) 7 (10.4) Morning and evening 25 (54.3) 47 (70.1) Morning, afternoon, and evening 10 (21.7) 12 (17.9) Use flossing 1 (2.2) 0 (0.0) Others/adjunct 0 (0.0) 1 (1.5) Symptoms from GQ 54 (80.6) (80.6) Yes 18 (39.1) 13 (19.4) Symptom 1 0 (0.0) 1 (1.5) No 28 (60.9) 54 (80.6) Yes 18 (39.1) 13 (19.4) Symptom 2 (8.7) (7.5) (2.69^b) No 42 (91.3) 60 (89.6) Yes 0 (0.0) 3 (4.5) Symptom 3 (5.9) (7.5) (7.5) (7.5) Yes 4 (8.7) 7 (10.4) <t< td=""><td>Oral hygiene practice</td><td></td><td>(112)</td><td></td><td>()</td><td>0.173^b</td></t<> | Oral hygiene practice | | (112) | | () | 0.173 ^b |
| Morning and evening 25 (54.3) 47 (70.1) Morning, afternoon, and evening 10 (21.7) 12 (17.9) Use flossing 1 (2.2) 0 (0.0) Others/adjuct 0 (0.0) 1 (1.5) Symptom from GQ 28 (60.9) 54 (80.6) Yes 18 (39.1) 13 (19.4) Symptom 2 0 (0.0) 3 (19.4) No 42 (91.3) 62 (92.5) Yes 4 (87.7) 5 (7.5) Symptom 3 (0.00) 3 (4.5) (4.6) No 46 (100.0) 64 (95.5) Yes 0 0.00 3 (4.5) Symptom 4 (7 (10.4) (144b) No 42 (91.3) 60 (89.6) Yes 0 (0.0) 3 (4.6) (144b) No 42 | Morning | 10 | (21.7) | 7 | (10.4) | |
| Morning, afternoon, and evening 10 (21.7) 12 (17.9) Use flossing 1 (2.2) 0 (0.0) Others/adjunct 0 (0.0) 1 (1.5) Symptoms from GQ 54 (80.6) (80.6) Yes 18 (39.1) 13 (19.4) Symptom 2 0 (0.0) (812^a) No 42 (91.3) 62 (92.5) Yes 4 (8.7) 5 (7.5) Symptom 3 U 0.269^b 0 0.00 3 (4.5) Symptom 4 U 0.00 3 (4.5) 0.269^b No 46 (100.0) 64 (95.5) 0.758^a Symptom 5 U 0.009^a (4.5) 0.069^a No 46 (100.0) 63 (94.0) Yes 0 0.00^a 0.069^a Never exercise 10 | Morning and evening | 25 | (54.3) | 47 | (70.1) | |
| Use flossing 1 (2.2) 0 (0.0) Others/adjunct 0 (0.0) 1 (1.5) Symptoms from GQ 0 (0.0) 1 (1.5) Symptom 1 0 (0.0) 1 (1.5) No 28 (60.9) 54 (80.6) Yes 18 (39.1) 13 (19.4) Symptom 2 0 91.3) 62 (92.5) Yes 4 (8.7) 5 (7.5) Symptom 3 0 00.00 3 (4.5) 0 No 46 (100.0) 64 (95.5) 9 9 9 3 (4.5) 0 0.758* No 46 (100.0) 64 (95.5) 9 9 0 0.00 3 (4.5) 7 Yes 0 0.00 3 (4.5) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | Morning, afternoon, and evening | 10 | (21.7) | 12 | (17.9) | |
| Others/adjunct 0 0 0.00 1 (1.5) Symptoms from GQ 3 (0.0) 1 (1.5) 0.021^a No 28 (60.9) 54 (80.6) (80.6) Yes 18 (39.1) 13 (19.4) (19.4) Symptom 2 (10.0) 62 (92.5) (92.5) $(10.2)^{a}$ Yes 4 (8.7) 5 (7.5) (269^b) No 42 (91.3) 62 (92.5) (92.5) Yes 4 (8.7) 7 (10.4) (758^a) Symptom 3 (0.00) 3 (4.5) $(7.5)^{a}$ No 46 (100.0) 63 (94.0) $(92.6)^{a}$ Symptom 5 (0.00) 4 $(6.0)^{a}$ $(60.9)^{a}$ $(60.9)^{a}$ Never exercise 10 (21.7) 7 $(10.4)^{a}$ $(69.9)^{a}$ Regular exercise 8 (17.4) 6 $(9.0)^{a}$ $(9.0)^{a}$ Regular exercise 8 <td>Use flossing</td> <td>1</td> <td>(2.2)</td> <td>0</td> <td>(0.0)</td> <td></td> | Use flossing | 1 | (2.2) | 0 | (0.0) | |
| Symptom II(60)I(60)ISymptom I28(60.9)54(80.6)Yes18(39.1)13(19.4)Symptom 20.812°0.812°No42(91.3)62(92.5)Yes4(8.7)5(7.5)Symptom 300.003(4.5)No46(100.0)64(95.5)Yes4(8.7)7(10.4)Symptom 40.758°00.00No42(91.3)60(89.6)Yes4(8.7)7(10.4)Symptom 400.004(6.0)No46(100.0)63(94.0)Yes00.004(6.0)Symptom 500.004(6.0)Never exercise10(21.7)7(10.4)Irregular exercise8(17.4)6(9.0)Regular exercise8(17.4)6(9.0)Regular exercise10(21.7)7(10.4)Irregular exercise8(17.4)6(9.0)Bit status (frequency)7(15.2)4(6.0)320(43.5)30(44.8)415(32.6)31(46.3) | Others/adjunct | 0 | (0.0) | 1 | (1.5) | |
| Symptom I0.021 ^a No28(60.9)54(80.6)Yes18(39.1)13(19.4)Symptom 20.812 ^a No42(91.3)62(92.5)Yes4(8.7)5(7.5)Symptom 346(100.0)64(95.5)No46(100.0)64(95.5)Yes00(89.6)Symptom 40(80.7)7(10.4)No42(91.3)60(89.6)Yes4(8.7)7(10.4)Symptom 5 u 0(0.00)4(6.0)Yes0(00.0)4(6.0)Never exercise10(21.7)7(10.4)Irregular exercise28(60.9)54(80.6)Diet status (frequency) u u u u 2 20 (43.5)30(44.8)415(32.6)31(46.3) | Symptoms from GO | - | ((***)) | - | () | |
| No28 (60.9) 54 (80.6) Yes18 (39.1) 13 (19.4) Symptom 2 0.812^a No42 (91.3) 62 (92.5) Yes4 (8.7) 5 (7.5) Symptom 3No46 (100.0) 64 (95.5) Yes0 (0.0) 3 (4.5) Symptom 4No42 (91.3) 60 (89.6) Yes4 (8.7) 7 (10.4) Symptom 5No46 (100.0) 63 (94.0) Yes0 (0.0) 4 (6.0) Symptom 5No46 (100.0) 63 (94.0) Yes0 (0.0) 4 (6.0) Never exercise10 (21.7) 7 (10.4) Irregular exercise28 (60.9) 54 (80.6) Diet status (frequency)27 (15.2) 4 (6.0) 320 (43.5) 30 (44.8) 422 <t< td=""><td>Symptom 1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.021ª</td></t<> | Symptom 1 | | | | | 0.021ª |
| Yes18(30.1)13(10.4)Symptom 2 0.812^{a} 0.812^{a} 0.812^{a} No42(91.3)62(92.5)Yes4(8.7)5(7.5)Symptom 3 0 0 0 0.269^{b} No46(100.0)64(95.5)Yes 0 0.00 3 (4.5)Symptom 4 0 0.00 3 (4.5)No42(91.3)60(89.6)Yes 4 (8.7) 7 (10.4)Symptom 5 0 0 0.00 4 No46(100.0)63(94.0)Yes 0 0.00 4 (6.0)Exercise status 0 0.00 4 Never exercise 10 (21.7) 7 (10.4) Irregular exercise 28 (60.9) 54 (80.6)Diet status (frequency) 2 7 (15.2) 4 (6.0) 3 20 (43.5) 30 (44.8) 4 15 (32.6) 31 (46.3) | No | 28 | (60.9) | 54 | (80.6) | 0.021 |
| Symptom 210(50.11)15(10.11)No42(91.3)62(92.5)Yes4(8.7)5(7.5)Symptom 3 (0.00) 64(95.5)Yes0(0.0)3(4.5)Symptom 4 (0.00) 66(89.6)No42(91.3)60(89.6)Yes4(8.7)7(10.4)Symptom 5 (0.00) 63(94.0)Yes0(0.0)4(6.0)Exercise status (0.00) 4(6.0)Never exercise10(21.7)7(10.4)Irregular exercise8(17.4)6(9.0)Regular exercise28(60.9)54(80.6)Diet status (frequency)7(15.2)4(6.0)320(43.5)30(44.8)415(32.6)31(46.3) | Ves | 18 | (39.1) | 13 | (19.4) | |
| No 42 (91.3) 62 (92.5) Yes 4 (8.7) 5 (7.5) Symptom 3 - 0.269 ^b 0 No 46 (100.0) 64 (95.5) Yes 0 (0.0) 3 (4.5) Symptom 4 - - 0.269 ^b No 42 (91.3) 60 (89.6) Yes 4 (8.7) 7 (10.4) Symptom 5 - - 0.144 ^b No 46 (100.0) 63 (94.0) Yes 0 (0.0) 4 (6.0) Exercise status - - 0.069 ^a Never exercise 10 (21.7) 7 (10.4) Irregular exercise 8 (17.4) 6 (9.0) Regular exercise 28 (60.9) 54 (80.6) Diet status (frequency) - - 0.158 ^b 2 7 (15.2) 4 (6.0) 3 20 (43.5) <t< td=""><td>Symptom 2</td><td>10</td><td>(3).1)</td><td>10</td><td>(1).1)</td><td>0.812ª</td></t<> | Symptom 2 | 10 | (3).1) | 10 | (1).1) | 0.812ª |
| Yes4 (3.7) 3.2 (2.6) Symptom 3(4.5)0(10.0)64(95.5)No46(100.0)64(95.5)(10.7)Yes0(0.0)3(4.5)(10.7)Symptom 4(8.7)7(10.4)(10.4)No42(91.3)60(89.6)Yes4(8.7)7(10.4)Symptom 5(10.0)63(94.0)Yes0(0.0)4(6.0)Exercise status(17.4)6(9.0)Regular exercise28(60.9)54(80.6)Diet status (frequency)(15.2)4(6.0)27(15.2)4(6.0)320(43.5)30(44.8)415(32.6)31(46.3) | No | 42 | (91.3) | 62 | (92.5) | 0.012 |
| Symptom 3 $(1, 0, 0)$ $(1, 0)$ $(1, 0)$ $(1, 0)$ $(1, 0)$ No46 $(100,0)$ 64 $(95,5)$ $(95,5)$ Yes0 $(0,0)$ 3 $(4,5)$ $(1,0)$ Symptom 4 $(8,7)$ 7 $(10,4)$ $(10,4)$ No42 $(91,3)$ 60 $(89,6)$ $(89,6)$ Yes4 $(8,7)$ 7 $(10,4)$ Symptom 5 $(0,0)$ 4 $(6,0)$ $(6,0)$ No46 $(100,0)$ 63 $(94,0)$ Yes0 $(0,0)$ 4 $(6,0)$ Exercise status $(0,00)$ 4 $(6,0)$ Never exercise10 $(21,7)$ 7 $(10,4)$ Irregular exercise28 $(60,9)$ 54 $(80,6)$ Diet status (frequency) $(15,2)$ 4 $(6,0)$ 27 $(15,2)$ 4 $(6,0)$ 320 $(43,5)$ 30 $(44,8)$ 415 $(32,6)$ 31 $(46,3)$ | Ves | 4 | (87) | 5 | (7.5) | |
| No46 (100.0) 64 (95.5) Yes0 (0.0) 3 (4.5) Symptom 4 (4.5) (4.5) (7.58^a) No42 (91.3) 60 (89.6) Yes4 (8.7) 7 (10.4) Symptom 5 (100.0) 63 (94.0) Yes0 (0.0) 4 (6.0) Yes0 (0.0) 4 (6.0) Exercise status (0.069^a) (10.4) (10.4) Irregular exercise10 (21.7) 7 (10.4) Irregular exercise8 (17.4) 6 (9.0) Regular exercise28 (60.9) 54 (80.6) Diet status (frequency) $(7, (15.2))$ 4 (6.0) 27 (15.2) 4 (6.0) 320 (43.5) 30 (44.8) 415 (32.6) 31 (46.3) | Symptom 3 | | (0.7) | 5 | (7.5) | 0 269 ^b |
| Yes10(1003)0.1(1003)0.1(1003)0.1(1003)Symptom 400.003(4.5)0.758aNo42(91.3)60(89.6)0.144bSymptom 5 4 (8.7)7(10.4)No46(100.0)63(94.0)0.144bYes0(0.0)4(6.0)0.069aExercise status0(21.7)7(10.4)Irregular exercise10(21.7)7(10.4)Irregular exercise28(60.9)54(80.6)Diet status (frequency)7(15.2)4(6.0)27(15.2)4(6.0)320(43.5)30(44.8)415(32.6)31(46.3) | No | 46 | (100.0) | 64 | (95.5) | 0.209 |
| Symptom 4 (1.6) (1.6) (1.6) (1.6) (1.6) (1.6) No42 (91.3) 60 (89.6) (89.6) (89.6) (89.6) (89.6) Yes4 (8.7) 7 (10.4) (1.44) (1.6) (1.6) (1.6) Symptom 5 (1.6) 46 (100.0) 63 (94.0) (94.0) (94.0) (94.0) No46 (100.0) 63 (94.0) (94.0) (1.44) (1.44) (1.44) No46 (100.0) 63 (94.0) (94.0) (1.44) (1.44) (1.44) Never exercise10 (21.7) 7 (10.4) (1.60) (1.52) (1.60) (1.52) Never exercise28 (60.9) 54 (80.6) $(1.58)^{b}$ $(1.58)^{b}$ Diet status (frequency)7 (15.2) 4 (6.0) $(1.58)^{b}$ 27 (15.2) 4 (6.0) $(1.58)^{b}$ 320 (43.5) 30 (44.8) (44.8) 415 (32.6) 31 (46.3) | Ves | 0 | (0.0) | 3 | (4.5) | |
| No 42 (91.3) 60 (89.6) Yes4 (8.7) 7 (10.4) Symptom 5 0 0 0.00 63 (94.0) Yes0 0.00 4 (6.0) Exercise status 0 0.00 4 (6.0) Never exercise10 (21.7) 7 (10.4) Irregular exercise 8 (17.4) 6 (9.0) Regular exercise28 (60.9) 54 (80.6) Diet status (frequency) 7 (15.2) 4 (6.0) 2 7 (15.2) 4 (6.0) 3 20 (43.5) 30 (44.8) 4 (8.7) 2 (20.0) (22.6) | Symptom 4 | 0 | (0.0) | 5 | (1.5) | 0 758ª |
| Yes4 (3.10) (0.00) (0.00) Symptom 5-0.144bNo46 (100.0) 63 (94.0) Yes0 (0.0) 4 (6.0) Exercise status-0.069aNever exercise10 (21.7) 7 (10.4) Irregular exercise8 (17.4) 6 (9.0) Regular exercise28 (60.9) 54 (80.6) Diet status (frequency)0.158b27 (15.2) 4 (6.0) 320 (43.5) 30 (44.8) 415 (32.6) 31 (46.3) | No | 42 | (91.3) | 60 | (89.6) | 0.750 |
| Its Ite Ite Ite Ite Ite Ite Symptom 5 0 100.00 63 (94.0) Yes 0 (0.0) 4 (6.0) Exercise status 0.069 ^a Never exercise 10 (21.7) 7 (10.4) Irregular exercise 8 (17.4) 6 (9.0) Regular exercise 28 (60.9) 54 (80.6) Diet status (frequency) 7 (15.2) 4 (6.0) 3 20 (43.5) 30 (44.8) 4 15 (32.6) 31 (46.3) | Ves | 42 | (87) | 7 | (10.4) | |
| Symptom 546 (100.0) 63 (94.0) No0 (0.0) 4 (6.0) Yes0 (0.0) 4 (6.0) Exercise status0.069aNever exercise10 (21.7) 7 (10.4) Irregular exercise8 (17.4) 6 (9.0) Regular exercise28 (60.9) 54 (80.6) Diet status (frequency)7 (15.2) 4 (6.0) 27 (15.2) 4 (6.0) 320 (43.5) 30 (44.8) 415 (32.6) 31 (46.3) | Symptom 5 | 7 | (0.7) | / | (10.4) | 0 144 ^b |
| Yes0 (100.0) 0.5 (94.0) Yes0 (0.0) 4 (6.0) 0.069aExercise status10 (21.7) 7 (10.4) Irregular exercise8 (17.4) 6 (9.0) Regular exercise28 (60.9) 54 (80.6) Diet status (frequency)7 (15.2) 4 (6.0) 27 (15.2) 4 (6.0) 320 (43.5) 30 (44.8) 415 (32.6) 31 (46.3) | No | 46 | (100, 0) | 63 | (94.0) | 0.144 |
| Les $(0,0)$ $(0,0)$ $(0,0)$ $(0,0)$ $(0,0)$ Exercise status 0 $(0,0)$ $(0,0)$ $(0,0)$ $(0,0)$ Never exercise 10 (21.7) 7 (10.4) (10.4) Irregular exercise 8 (17.4) 6 (9.0) Regular exercise 28 (60.9) 54 (80.6) Diet status (frequency) 7 (15.2) 4 (6.0) 2 7 (15.2) 4 (6.0) 3 20 (43.5) 30 (44.8) 4 15 (32.6) 31 (46.3) | Ves | -+0 | (100.0) | 4 | (6.0) | |
| Never exercise 10 (21.7) 7 (10.4) Irregular exercise 8 (17.4) 6 (9.0) Regular exercise 28 (60.9) 54 (80.6) Diet status (frequency) 7 (15.2) 4 (6.0) 3 20 (43.5) 30 (44.8) 4 15 (32.6) 31 (46.3) | Evercise status | 0 | (0.0) | т | (0.0) | 0 06Qa |
| Interference 10^{-10} $(21.7)^{-10}$ 7^{-10} $(10.4)^{-10}$ Irregular exercise8 (17.4) 6 (9.0) Regular exercise28 (60.9) 54 (80.6) Diet status (frequency)7 (15.2) 4 (6.0) 27 (15.2) 4 (6.0) 320 (43.5) 30 (44.8) 415 (32.6) 31 (46.3) | Never evercise | 10 | (21.7) | 7 | (10.4) | 0.007 |
| Integrate exercise 28 (60.9) 54 (80.6) Diet status (frequency) 7 (15.2) 4 (6.0) 3 20 (43.5) 30 (44.8) 4 15 (32.6) 31 (46.3) | Irregular exercise | 8 | (21.7) (17.4) | 6 | (0,0) | |
| Diet status (frequency) 7 (15.2) 4 (60.0) 3 20 (43.5) 30 (44.8) 4 15 (32.6) 31 (46.3) | Regular exercise | 28 | (17.7) | 54 | (80.6) | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Diet status (frequency) | 20 | (00.)) | 7 | (00.0) | 0 158b |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 2 | 7 | (15.2) | 4 | (6.0) | 0.150 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | 20 | (13.2) (43.5) | 30 | (0.0) | |
| (40.3) | Д | 15 | (32.6) | 31 | (16.3) | |
| | 5 | 4 | (8.7) | 2 | (3.0) | |

Symptom 2 = gums are swollen, red, or painful for no apparent reason

Symptom 3 = teeth look longer, and the smile appears more "toothy"

Symptom 4 = bad breath/halitosis/a foul odor mouth

Symptom 5 = loosening or shifting of teeth in the affected area

^aPearson's chi-squared test

^bFisher's exact test

| Variables | Sim | ple logistic regressio | Mu | Multiple logistic regression | | |
|----------------------------|----------|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| | Crude OR | (95% CI) | <i>P</i> -value | Adj. OR | (95% CI) | <i>P</i> -value |
| Age group (years) | | | | | | |
| <15 | 1.00 | (ref) | | - | - | - |
| ≥16 | 1.22 | (0.45, 3.53) | 0.707 | - | - | - |
| Gender | | | | | | |
| Male | 1.00 | (ref) | | - | - | - |
| Female | 1.38 | (0.65, 2.96) | 0.399 | - | - | - |
| Duration of T1DM (years) | | | | | | |
| <5 | 1.00 | (ref) | | - | - | - |
| 5–10 | 0.84 | (0.37, 1.9) | 0.671 | - | - | - |
| > 10 | 0.49 | (0.11, 2.01) | 0.317 | - | - | - |
| Glycaemic control in HbA1c | | | | | | |
| Controlled | 1.00 | (ref) | | 1.00 | (ref) | |
| Uncontrolled | 5.83 | (1.33, 40.49) | 0.033 | 6.68 | (1.48, 47.28) | 0.024 |
| BMI | | | | | | |
| Underweight | 1.00 | (ref) | | - | - | - |
| Normal | 1.71 | (0.47, 6.48) | 0.410 | - | - | - |
| Overweight | 2.04 | (0.49, 8.84) | 0.325 | - | - | - |
| Obese | 3.00 | (0.43, 28.16) | 0.287 | - | - | - |
| Symptoms from GQ | | | | | | |
| Symptom 1 | | | | | | |
| No | 1.00 | (ref) | | 1.00 | (ref) | |
| Yes | 0.37 | (0.16, 0.87) | 0.023 | 0.34 | (0.14,0.81) | 0.016 |
| Symptom 2 | | | | | | |
| No | 1.00 | (ref) | | - | - | - |
| Yes | 0.85 | (0.21, 3.59) | 0.812 | - | - | - |
| Symptom 4 | | | | | | |
| No | 1.00 | (ref) | | - | - | - |
| Yes | 1.22 | (0.35, 4.92) | 0.758 | - | - | - |

| Table 6: Relationship between T11 | DM parameters, self-perce | eption on PD symptoms | , diet, and exerc | ise status and T1DM |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| | patients' and paren | ts' perception of PD | | |

Symptom 2 = gums are swollen, red, or painful for no apparent reason

Symptom 4 = bad breath/halitosis/a foul odor mouth

Crude OR (95% CI) = crude odds ratio (95% confidence interval)

Adj. OR (95% CI) = adjusted odds ratio (95% confidence interval)

was observed for the bidirectional relationship (82.1%). This reflects a poor understanding of disease control. However, less concern for the periodontal health condition was observed among those with bleeding symptoms. This study suggested the importance of raising the knowledge about PD with T1DM. Self-perception of periodontal symptoms may encourage everyone to inquire about care early during the disease.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to offer my special thanks to Dr. Marshah Mohamad Shahrizad, Dr. A'isyah Nabila Uzaimi, Dr. Sarah Athirah Rizal, Nurse Hazean Abd Talib, Nurse Suzilah Jumati from UiTM, and Nurse Noor Azleen Ambak from UM for their assistance with the collection of my data.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT AND SPONSORSHIP

Post Graduate Research Funding from University Teknologi MARA, Sungai Buloh.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

ZZA, RAH, EN, NSMN (Noor Shafina), NSMN (Nor Shafina), AAZ, and NZA—study conception and data collection; ZZA, RAH, and SAS—data acquisition and analysis and data interpretation: ZZA and RAH—manuscript writing, RAH, EN, NSMN (Noor Shafina), NSMN (Nor Shafina), AAZ, NZA, and SAS—revision; ZZA, RAH, EN, NSMN (Noor

Shafina), NSMN (Nor Shafina), AAZ, NZA, and SAS—review on final approval.

ETHICAL POLICY AND INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW BOARD STATEMENT

Ethical approval was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee of UiTM (REC/07/2020(MR/169) and from the Medical Ethics Committee, Faculty of Dentistry, UM (DF RD2018/0110 (L). UiTM Research Ethics Committee and Medical Ethics Committee, UM operates according to the ICH Good Clinical Practice Guidelines, Malaysia Good Clinical Practice Guidelines, and the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from the parents, and assent was obtained from the child for participation and for the purpose of publication. The research was carried out in accordance with a named standard.

PATIENT DECLARATION OF CONSENT

Not available.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data are available on request due to restrictions. The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to confidentiality issues.

REFERENCES

- 1. Dabelea D. The accelerating epidemic of childhood diabetes. Lancet (London, England) 2009;373:1999-2000.
- 2. Atkinson MA, Eisenbarth GS, Michels AW. Type 1 diabetes. Lancet 2014;383:69-82.
- 3. Southerland JH, Moss K, Taylor GW, Beck JD, Pankow J, Gangula PR, *et al.* Periodontitis and diabetes associations with measures of atherosclerosis and CHD. Atherosclerosis 2012;222:196-201.
- 4. Löe H. Periodontal disease. The sixth complication of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 1993;16:329-34.
- Păunică I, Giurgiu M, Dumitriu AS, Păunică S, Pantea Stoian AM, Martu M-A, *et al.* The bidirectional relationship between periodontal disease and diabetes mellitus; A review. Diagnostics 2023;13:681.
- 6. Casanova L, Hughes FJ, Preshaw PM. Diabetes and periodontal disease: A two-way relationship. Br Dent J 2014;217:433-7.
- Preshaw PM, Alba AL, Herrera D, Jepsen S, Konstantinidis A, Makrilakis K, *et al.* Periodontitis and diabetes: A two-way relationship. Diabetologia 2012;55:21-31.
- Liccardo D, Cannavo A, Spagnuolo G, Ferrara N, Cittadini A, Rengo C, *et al.* Periodontal disease: A risk factor for diabetes and cardiovascular disease. Int J Mol Sci 2019;20:1414.
- 9. Dabelea D, Mayer-Davis EJ, Saydah S, Imperatore G, Linder B, Divers J, *et al.* Prevalence of type 1 and type 2 diabetes among children and adolescents from 2001 to 2009. JAMA 2014;311:1778-86.
- Maffi P, Secchi A. The Burden of Diabetes: Emerging Data. Dev Ophthalmol 2017;60:1-5.
- Onda Y, Sugihara S, Ogata T, Yokoya S, Yokoyama T, Tajima N. Incidence and prevalence of childhood-onset type 1 diabetes in Japan: The T1D study. Diabet Med 2017;34:909-15.

- 12. Zaini A. Where is Malaysia in the midst of the Asian epidemic of diabetes mellitus? Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2000;50:S23-8.
- Paurobally N, Kruger E, Tennant M. Awareness about the oral and systemic complications of diabetes among a cohort of diabetic patients of the republic of Mauritius. Int Dent J 2021;71:438-48.
- Nordin MM, Rahman SA, Raman RP, Vaithilingam RD. Periodontal status and oral health knowledge among a selected population of Malaysian type 2 diabetics. Sains Malaysiana 2014;43:1157-63.
- Orlando VA, Johnson LR, Wilson AR, Maahs DM, Wadwa RP, Bishop FK, *et al.* Oral health knowledge and behaviors among adolescents with type 1 diabetes. Int J Dentistry 2010;2010:942124.
- Meshki R, Khataminia M, Jokar Z, Veisi MS. Oral health knowledge, attitude and practice among children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes. J Family Med Prim Care 2022;11:4429-34.
- 17. Akl S, Ranatunga M, Long S, Jennings E, Nimmo A. A systematic review investigating patient knowledge and awareness on the association between oral health and their systemic condition. BMC Public Health 2021;21:2077.
- Poudel P, Griffiths R, Wong VW, Arora A, Flack JR, Khoo CL, et al. Oral health knowledge, attitudes and care practices of people with diabetes: A systematic review. BMC Public Health 2018;18:577.
- Maia MB, Souza JGS, Bertolini M, Costa RC, Costa GS, Torres Silvério de A. S., *et al.* Knowledge of bidirectional relationship between diabetes and periodontal disease among diabetes patients: A systematic review. Int J Dent Hyg 2022;21:28-40.
- Ferizi L, Bimbashi V, Kelmendi J. Association between metabolic control and oral health in children with type 1 diabetes mellitus. BMC Oral Health 2022;22:502.
- 21. Eldarrat AH. Diabetic patients: Their knowledge and perception of oral health. Libyan J Med 2011;6:5691.
- 22. Badiah B. A preliminary survey on awareness of periodontal risk and oral health practices among diabetic patients in hospital Kuala Malays Dent J 2012;34:1-7.
- Fuziah MZ, Hong JY, Zanariah H, Harun F, Chan SP, Rokiah P, *et al.* A national database on children and adolescent with diabetes (e-DiCARE): Results from April 2006 to June 2007. Med J Malaysia 2008;63:37-40.
- O'Neil KJ, Jonnalagadda SS, Hopkins BL, Kicklighter JR. Quality of life and diabetes knowledge of young persons with type 1 diabetes: Influence of treatment modalities and demographics. J Am Diet Assoc 2005;105:85-91.
- Baig M, Jameel T, Alzahrani S, Mirza AA, Gazzaz ZJ, Ahmad T, et al. Predictors of misconceptions, knowledge, attitudes, and practices of COVID-19 pandemic among a sample of Saudi population. PLoS ONE 2020;15:e0243526.
- (CDC) CfDCaP. Individual Growth Charts. Available from http://www.cdc.gov/growthcharts/charts.htm. [Last accessed on May 5, 2022].
- DiMeglio LA, Acerini CL, Codner E, Craig ME, Hofer SE, Pillay K, *et al.* ISPAD Clinical Practice Consensus Guidelines 2018: Glycemic control targets and glucose monitoring for children, adolescents, and young adults with diabetes. Pediatr Diabetes 2018;19:105-14.
- 28. Han TM, Ismail R, Yaacob M, et al. Validation of selfreported questionnaires to screen periodontal disease among

424

diabetes patients: A study at selected health centers in Kuantan. Malaysian Family Physician 2016;11:9.

- 29. Han TM. Effectiveness of medical-dental coordinated care for diabetes patients with periodontal disease at selected public primary care clinic in Kuantan, Pahang. (Doctoral Dissertation). 2018. p. 439.
- 30. Ostman J, Lönnberg G, Arnqvist HJ, Blohmé G, Bolinder J, Ekbom Schnell A, *et al.* Gender differences and temporal variation in the incidence of type 1 diabetes: Results of 8012 cases in the nationwide Diabetes Incidence Study in Sweden 1983–2002. J Intern Med 2008;263:386-94.
- 31. Tatti P, Pavandeep S. Gender difference in type 1 diabetes: An underevaluated dimension of the disease. Diabetology 2022;3:364-8.
- 32. Abbasi A, Juszczyk D, van Jaarsveld CHM, Gulliford MC. Body mass index and incident type 1 and type 2 diabetes in children and young adults: A retrospective cohort study. J Endocr Soc 2017;1:524-37.
- Van der Schueren B, Ellis D, Faradji RN, Al-Ozairi E, Rosen J, Mathieu C. Obesity in people living with type 1 diabetes. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2021;9:776-85.
- Elhabashy SA, Sherif EMM, Salah NY, Elkader MAEA, Youssef DAH. Uncontrolled type 1 diabetes among Egyptian adolescents; risk determinants and clinical outcomes. Diabetes Epidemiol Manage 2022;6:100051.
- Alves C, Brandão M, Andion J, Menezes R. Oral health knowledge and habits in children with type 1 diabetes mellitus. Braz Dent J 2009;20:70-3.
- Sohn HA, Rowe DJ. Oral health knowledge, attitudes and behaviors of parents of children with diabetes compared to those of parents of children without diabetes. J Dent Hyg 2015;89:170-9.
- Morrison AK, Glick A, Yin HS. Health literacy: Implications for child health. Pediatr Rev 2019;40:263-77.

- Nepaul P, Mahomed O. Influence of parents' oral health knowledge and attitudes on oral health practices of children (5–12 years) in a rural school in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. J Int Soc Prev Community Dent 2020;10:605-12.
- Fahmida R, Bedwora Z, Abdul B, Hamoida K. Awareness and practice among parents of type-1 diabetic children and adolescent and their glycemic status. Biomed J Sci Tech Res 2021;38: 30605-14.
- 40. Siddiqi A, Zafar S, Sharma A, Quaranta A. Awareness of diabetic patients regarding the bidirectional association between periodontal disease and diabetes mellitus: A public oral health concern. J Contemp Dent Pract 2020;21:1270-4.
- Marciano L, Camerini A-L, Schulz PJ. The role of health literacy in diabetes knowledge, self-care, and glycemic control: A meta-analysis. J Gen Intern Med 2019;34:1007-17.
- 42. Kumar N, Nabi AT, Kavita K, Choudhary P, Huda I, Dubey SK. Familial oral hygiene practices and its influence among rural youths-exploring primary preventive measures. J Fam Med Prim Care 2020;9:4353-7.
- 43. Maida CA, Marcus M, Hays RD, Coulter ID, Ramos-Gomez F, Lee SY, *et al.* Child and adolescent perceptions of oral health over the life course. Qual Life Res 2015;24:2739-51.
- 44. Sharififard N, Sargeran K, Gholami M. Perception of oral health and medical conditions as possible predictors of oral health status in visually impaired adolescents: A cross-sectional study. BMC Oral Health 2021;21:89.
- Shahar MA, Tahir MF, Omar AM. Perception of diabetes control among patients with poor glycated hemoglobin. Intern Med J Malaysia 2017;16:37.
- 46. Romano F, Perotto S, Bianco L, Parducci F, Mariani GM, Aimetti M. Self-perception of periodontal health and associated factors: A cross-sectional population-based study. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17:2758.

425