Prostaglandin-E₂ is produced by adult human epidermal melanocytes in response to UVB in a melanogenesis-independent manner

Karl Gledhill¹, Lesley E. Rhodes², Margaret Brownrigg², Ann K. Haylett², Mojgan Masoodi³, Anthony J. Thody⁴, Anna Nicolaou^{1,3} and Desmond J. Tobin¹

1 Centre for Skin Sciences, School of Life Sciences, University of Bradford, Bradford, UK 2 Photobiology Unit, Dermatological Sciences, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, University of Manchester, Salford Royal NHS Foundation Hospital, Manchester, UK 3 School of Pharmacy, School of Life Sciences, University of Bradford, Bradford, UK 4 School of Clinical and Laboratory Sciences, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, UK

CORRESPONDENCE Desmond J. Tobin, e-mail: d.tobin@bradford.ac.uk

Re-use of this article is permitted in accordance with the Creative Commons Deed, Attribution 2.5, which does not permit commercial exploitation.

 $\label{eq:keywords} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{keywords} \ \mbox{epidermal melanocyte}/\mbox{ultraviolet radiation/prostaglandin} & E_2/\mbox{cyclooxygenase}/\mbox{melano-genesis}/\mbox{skin phototype} \end{array}$

PUBLICATION DATA Received 16 November 2009, revised and accepted for publication 11 March 2010, published online 17 March 2010

doi: 10.1111/j.1755-148X.2010.00696.x

Summary

Excessive ultraviolet radiation (UVR) exposure induces erythema, mediated in part by prostaglandin-E₂ (PGE₂). While keratinocytes are a major PGE₂ source, epidermal melanocytes (EM) also express PGE₂-production machinery. It is unclear whether EM-produced PGE₂ contributes to UVR-induced skin inflammation, and whether this is correlated with melanogenesis. Epidermal melanocytes were cultured from skin phototype-1 and -4 donors, followed by assessment of PGE₂ production and melanogenesis. Epidermal melanocytes expressed cytoplasmic phospholipase-A₂, cyclooxygenase-1, cytoplasmic prostaglandin-E synthase and microsomal prostaglandin-E synthase-1, -2. Epidermal melanocytes produced PGE₂ under basal conditions, which increased further after arachidonic acid stimulation. Epidermal melanocytes expressed cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) mRNA and a selective COX-2 inhibitor (NS-398) reduced PGE₂ production. Ultraviolet B-induced PGE₂ production was positively correlated with skin phototype-1, despite variability between individual EM donors. By contrast, there was no correlation between PGE₂ production by EM and their melanogenic status. Thus, EM may contribute to UVR-induced erythema, with role of donor skin phototype more important than their melanogenic status.

Introduction

Exposure of intact skin to ultraviolet radiation (UVR) results in a number of responses including melanogenesis, generation of oxidative stress, vasodilation (skin erythema) and leukocyte infiltration (Tran et al., 2008). Vasodilation is known to be mediated in part by the proinflammatory eicosanoid prostaglandin- E_2 (PGE₂), with nitric oxide (NO) also contributing (Rhodes et al., 2001, 2009). Prostaglandin- E_2 is present in blister fluid obtained from ultraviolet B (UVB)-irradiated skin exhibiting erythema, while treatment with indomethacin [a

Significance

Low skin phototype individuals fail to tan effectively and are also more likely than higher skin phototypes to experience a PGE₂-associated erythema after excessive UVR-exposure. The nature of this inverse relationship between melanogenesis and UVR-induced erythema remains unclear. Here, we show that UVR can stimulate PGE₂ synthesis in normal human epidermal melanocytes in primary culture and remarkably does so in a skin phototype-dependent but melanogenesis-independent manner. Thus, skin phototype may be a better predictor than absolute melanin content of how melanocytes may contribute to the UVR-associated inflammatory cascade in human skin.

cyclooxygenase (COX) inhibitor] immediately after UVB irradiation reduces the erythema (Rhodes et al., 2001, 2009). Similarly, inhibition of NO synthases with L-NAME also reduces UVB-erythema (Rhodes et al., 2001). A major source of PGE₂ and NO is thought to be the keratinocyte, as these cells produce these inflammatory mediators in response to UVB (Kang-Rotondo et al., 1993; Roméro-Graillet et al., 1997). However, more recently it has been suggested that epidermal melanocytes (EM) may also be a source of these inflammatory mediators, as these cells express some of the cellular machinery required for PGE₂ production, i.e. COX-1 and COX-2 mRNA (Nicolaou et al., 2004). Moreover, EM have also been shown to produce NO in greater amounts than keratinocytes (Tsatmali et al., 2000).

Ultraviolet radiation (both UVA and UVB) is known to increase levels of oxidative stress within cells (Malorni et al., 1996), which can activate cytoplasmic phospholipase A₂ (cPLA₂) (Chen et al., 1996). Cytoplasmic phospholipase A2 hydrolyses arachidonic acid (AA) from membrane phospholipids, thus rendering it as a substrate for eicosanoid formation (Burke and Dennis, 2009). Free AA can be converted enzymatically to prostaglandin H₂ (PGH₂) by the action of the COX enzymes and then to PGE₂ by the action of the terminal prostaglandin E synthase (PGES) enzymes (Murakami et al., 2002). Three isoforms of PGES have been described; two with preferential coupling to the two COX pathways (cPGES couples preferentially to COX-1 and mPGES-1 to COX-2, while mPGES-2 shows little preference for either pathway) (Murakami et al., 2003).

Ultraviolet radiation-induced erythema is reported to be greater in the skin of individuals who contain less melanin [i.e. skin phototype-1 and 2 (SPT-1 and 2)], than in more pigmented skin phototypes (SPT 3-6) (Li and Chu, 2007). Therefore, we have hypothesized that EM that do not or cannot produce significant melanin may be more likely instead to produce PGE₂. In this way, these EM may contribute more to inflammation than to melanin production. However, it is not completely understood whether EM in the skin of individuals with SPT-1 and 2 are intrinsically incapable of producing melanin or simply not receiving relevant paracrine cues from their keratinocyte partner in the epidermal-melanin unit (Fitzpatrick and Breathnach, 1963). Therefore, it would be of significant interest to determine whether there is a correlation between PGE₂ production by EM in response to UVR and their capacity for melanogenesis, as this could suggest that melanocytes in low or 'non-tanning' individuals may be more susceptible to contributing to UVR-induced inflammation. The expression and activity of melanogenic enzymes [including tyrosinase, tyrosinase-related protein-1 (TRP-1) and dopachrome tautomerase (DCT)] are important in determining the amount and type of melanin produced by EM. For example, whether eumelanin is composed of

UVB modulates PGE₂ production by epidermal melanocytes

5,6-dihydroxyindole (brown) or 5,6-dihydroxyindole carboxylic acid (black) subunits depends on the expression and activity of DCT (Maeda et al., 1997).

The aim of this study was threefold. First, we investigated whether EM can actually produce PGE_2 under baseline (or AA-stimulated) conditions and whether this production is altered after UVR-exposure. Second, we assessed which components of the PGE_2 synthetic pathway are expressed by normal human adult primary EM, and so attempted to determine the likely route for PGE_2 production in these cells. Third, we investigated whether there is a correlation between PGE_2 production by EM in response to UVB and their capacity for melanogenesis, so that we may provide evidence as to how PGE_2 production may be regulated in these cells.

Results of this study showed that EM can indeed produce PGE₂ under a number of experimental conditions, including in response to UVB. There may be multiple potential enzymatic routes to PGE₂ formation by EM which may highlight the importance of this proinflammatory eicosanoid to EM. The level of production of this pro-inflammatory mediator was variable between primary cultures of different EM donors, but appeared to correlate overall with donor skin phototype. By contrast, PGE₂ production did not correlate with baseline melanin levels, tyrosinase expression/activity or TRP-1 or DCT expression. Therefore, we suggest that an individual's skin phototype may be a more useful indicator of their EM ability to produce PGE₂ and that melanogenesis and PGE₂ production appear to be unrelated in EM.

Results

$\mathsf{EM}\xspace{\mathsf{PGE}}_2$ under both basal and AA-stimulated conditions

The levels of PGE₂ production by EM were low under basal conditions (Figure 1A). Seven of 10 donor-derived primary EM cultures produced detectable amounts of PGE₂ under basal conditions (Figure 1A). Levels of basal PGE₂ production in these cells ranged from 20 (± 1) pg/million cells to 176 (± 15) pg/million cells. In order to investigate the intrinsic potential of EM for PGE₂ production exogenous AA was added to the culture medium. After stimulation with exogenous AA $(3 \mu M \text{ for } 24 \text{ h})$, PGE₂ production was markedly increased and was now detectable in all 10 donorderived primary EM cultures investigated (Figure 1A), confirming the presence of active COX and PGES isoforms in these cells. Furthermore, the level of PGE₂ production by these AA-stimulated primary EM cultures was again highly variable, ranging from 86 (±8) pg/million cells to 1339 (±73) pg/million cells. Keratinocytes (used as a positive control for PGE₂ production) produced PGE₂ at a level of 155 (±9) pg/million cells under basal conditions, and significantly more, 2829 (± 373) pg/million cells, after AA stimulation.



Production of PGE_2 in response to AA is greater in SPT-1-derived EM than SPT-4-derived EM

In order to investigate the effect of skin phototype of the EM donor on the potential for PGE_2 production in response to AA, data from all SPT-1 and SPT-4 EM cultures were pooled by skin phototype (Figure 1B). Data showed that EM from SPT-1 donors produced on average more PGE_2 than EM derived from individuals with SPT-4 in response to AA (i.e. SPT-1 EM produced PGE_2 at a level of 610 (±126) pg/million cells post-AA stimulation, while EM from SPT-4 donors produced PGE_2 at 285 (±40) pg/million cells post-AA stimulation.

EM express the cellular machinery required to produce PGE₂

The expression of enzymes required for the production of PGE₂ (Murakami et al., 2002) was investigated in EM. Primary EM cultures from all 10 donors expressed cPLA₂, COX-1, cPGES, mPGES-1 and mPGES-2 proteins (Figure 2A). By contrast, COX-2 protein could not clearly be detected in EM cultures from any of the 10 donors either under basal conditions, in response to UVB irradiation (73 mJ/cm²) or after exposure to H₂O₂ (50 μ M for 48 h) (Figure 2C). However, COX-2 mRNA was detected in these cells (Figure 2B).

Indomethacin and NS-398 reduce \mbox{PGE}_2 production in EM

In order to further investigate the involvement of the two COX isoforms in PGE_2 production in EM, indometh-

Figure 1. Epidermal melanocytes (EM) and keratinocytes (K) produce prostaglandin- E_2 (PGE₂) under basal and arachidonic acid (AA) stimulated conditions. (A) AA (3 μ M) incubation for 24 h increased PGE₂ production from basal levels in all 10 primary EM cultures and 1 primary K culture. (B) EM data shown in figure (A) pooled by skin phototype. B (basal conditions), AA (3 μ M AA for 24 h), SPT (skin phototype). Cell cultures: M20, 23, 24, 29, 37, 40, 42, 45, 48, 50 and K. Error bars expressed as mean \pm SEM: (A) n = 3, (B) n = 15. P < 0.01 = **, P < 0.001 = ***.

acin (a non-selective COX inhibitor) and NS-398 (a selective COX-2 inhibitor) were employed. In four out of five EM cultures investigated, both indomethacin and NS-398 reduced PGE₂ production. For example, under basal conditions indomethacin reduced PGE₂ production in M20 EM from 176 (±15) pg/million cells to 61 (±13) pg/million cells and NS-398 reduced PGE₂ production to 31 (±8) pg/million cells (Figure 3A). However, in the primary EM culture obtained from individual 37, only indomethacin reduced PGE₂ production i.e. from 20 (±1) pg/million cells under basal conditions to 9 (±1) pg/million cells post-indomethacin stimulation (Figure 3A). Both indomethacin and NS-398 reduced PGE₂ production in the keratinocyte primary culture. For example, under basal conditions indomethacin reduced PGE₂ production from 155 (±9) pg/million cells to 100 (±7) pg/million cells and NS-398 reduced PGE₂ production to 114 (\pm 3) pg/million cells (Figure 3B).

PGE₂ production in EM is increased by UVB

Ultraviolet B causes inflammation in the skin, in part mediated by PGE_2 (Rhodes et al., 2001, 2009). In order to investigate the potential involvement of EM in the sunburn response, PGE_2 production was examined in these cells in response to UVB. Prostaglandin E_2 production was statistically and significantly increased in primary EM cultures from 4 of 10 donors (M20, 24, 29 and 45) with a positive trend in a further two cultures (23 and 40) 24 h after 73 mJ/cm² UVB (Figure 4A). For example, in EM derived from individual M20, PGE₂



Figure 2. Epidermal melanocytes (EM) under basal conditions express the cellular machinery required to produce prostaglandin-E₂ (PGE₂). (A) Immunocytochemical detection of PGE₂ enzyme machinery in EM. (A1) gp-100 protein (positive control for EM), (A2) cPLA₂ protein, (A3) cyclooxygenase-1 (COX-1) protein, (A4) very weak or undetectable COX-2 protein in EM, inset positive control for COX-2 in keratinocytes, (A5) cPGES protein, (A6) mPGES-1 protein, (A7) mPGES-2 protein, (A8) negative control (no primary antibody). (B) PCR of COX-2 enzyme in EM. (B1) molecular weight marker, (B2) negative control (no cDNA), (B3) actin mRNA, (B4) COX-2 mRNA. (C) Western Blotting analysis of COX-2 enzyme in EM. (C1) molecular weight marker, (C2) negative control (no primary antibodies), (C3) actin and COX-2 protein in FM3 melanoma cells (COX-2 protein expression 24 h post 73 mJ/cm² ultraviolet B (UVB), (C6) actin protein but lack of COX-2 protein expression 48 h post 50 μ M H₂O₂. EM used in figure (A) was derived from individual 37 skin phototype-1 (SPT-1) but is representative of results obtained from 10 different primary EM cultures. EM used in figures (B) and (C) were derived from individual 37 but is representative of results obtained from three different primary EM cultures (i.e. 23, 37 and 48). All lanes are from the same blot (i.e. experiment) and so are directly comparable.

production was increased from 176 (\pm 15) pg/million cells under basal conditions to 479 (\pm 44) pg/million cells post-UVB. The level of PGE₂ synthesis remained unchanged in cells from four other individuals (37, 42, 48 and 50) (Figure 4A). Prostaglandin E₂ production in keratinocytes was also increased in response to UVB, i.e. from 155 (\pm 9) pg/million cells under basal conditions to 326 (\pm 30) pg/million cells post-UVB (Figure 4C).

Production of PGE_2 in response to UVB is greater in SPT-1 EM than SPT-4 EM

In order to investigate the effect of skin phototype of EM donor on PGE₂ production in response to UVB, data for all SPT-1 and SPT-4 EM cultures were pooled separately (Figure 4B). Only EM from SPT-1 donors responded to UVB with a statistically significant increase in PGE₂ production [i.e. from an average of 81 (\pm 18) pg/million cells under basal conditions to an average of 191 (\pm 51) pg/million cells post-UVB].

UVB-induced PGE₂ production does not correlate with melanin content, tyrosinase expression/activity or TRP-1 or DCT expression in EM

In order to investigate the hypothesis that EM in nontanning skin may contribute to inflammation rather than melanogenesis, the levels of melanin production and melanogenic enzyme expression/activity were measured in primary EM cultures derived from eight donors. No correlation was observed with baseline melanin content, baseline expression/activity of tyrosinase or baseline expression of TRP-1 or DCT (Figure 5).

The pro-oxidant H_2O_2 stimulated PGE_2 production in EM

Ultraviolet B exposure can lead to the generation of oxidative stress in cells. To investigate whether this may contribute to PGE_2 production, EM was stimulated with the pro-oxidant H_2O_2 . Three of the five EM cultures investigated (M20, 23 and 37) increased their production



Figure 3. Indomethacin and NS-398 reduce prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) production in epidermal melanocytes (EM) and keratinocytes (K). (A) Incubation of EM with indomethacin or NS-398 reduced PGE₂ production from basal or arachidonic acid (AA) stimulated levels in five primary EM cultures and (B) a primary keratinocyte culture. B (basal conditions), B + I (100 μ M indomethacin for 1 h prior to 24 h stimulation period), B + NS (50 µM NS-398 for 1 h prior to 24 h stimulation period), AA (3 μ M AA for 24 h), AA + I (100 μ M indomethacin for 1 h prior to stimulation with AA and for 24 h during stimulation), AA + NS (50 μ M NS-398 for 1 h prior to stimulation with AA and for 24 h during stimulation). Cell cultures: M20, 23, 37, 42, 48 and K. Error bars expressed as mean ± SEM: (A) n = 3, (B) n = 15. P < 0.05 = *, P < 0.01 = **.

of PGE₂ in response to H₂O₂ (50 μ M for 24 h) (Figure 6). For example, in EM derived from individual M20, H₂O₂ increased PGE₂ production from 176 (±15) pg/million cells under basal conditions to 390 (±13) pg/million cells post-H₂O₂ exposure. Prostaglandin E₂ production in keratinocytes was also increased in response to H₂O₂, i.e. from 155 (±9) pg/million cells under basal conditions to 306 (±15) pg/million cells post-H₂O₂ exposure (Figure 6).

Discussion

Erythema occurs in the skin in response to excessive UVB exposure and this is in part mediated by PGE₂ (Rhodes et al., 2001, 2009). Although a major source of this pro-inflammatory mediator is the keratinocyte (Kang-Rotondo et al., 1993), recent evidence suggests that EM may be potential additional contributors (Nicolaou et al., 2004). This study supports this view by showing that EM can produce PGE₂ under different conditions, including in response to UVB exposure. The current study provides evidence to suggest that although keratinocytes have a greater potential for PGE₂ production (as shown by their response to 3 μ M AA stimulation), some EM can produce similar amounts in response to a physiologically relevant stimulus, i.e. 73 mJ/cm² UVB. However, the 36:1 viable keratinocyte:melanocyte ratio in the epidermal-melanin unit (Fitzpatrick and Breathnach, 1963) indicates that keratinocytes are likely to be the dominant contributor of PGE₂ in UV-irradiated skin. On the basis of our current data EM may still contribute to the PGE_2 pool in UV-irradiated skin, and the position of EM near the dermoepidermal junction may result in a proportionally greater influence (relative to the amount of PGE_2 produced) on the dermal vasculature in vivo.

Melanoma cells have previously been shown to produce PGE₂ under basal conditions (Nicolaou et al., 2004), while iridial melanocytes have been shown to produce PGE₂ in response to latanoprost acid (Bergh et al., 2002). However, the enzymatic pathway used for PGE₂ production in these latter reports was not elucidated. Data from the current study suggest that EM have a number of ways in which they can produce PGE₂. These cells can convert free AA to prostaglandin G₂ (PGG₂) and then to PGH₂ via either of the two COX isoforms, and then convert PGH₂ to PGE₂ by utilizing any of the three PGES. The ability by which EM produce PGE₂ via a number of enzymatic pathways highlights the importance of this eicosanoid to the EM. Moreover, it has long been known that PGE₂ can stimulate melanogenesis (Imokawa and Motegi, 1993) as a paracrine factor (e.g. from keratinocytes), and that EM express some PGE₂ receptor isoforms (Scott et al., 2004). The production of this eicosanoid by EM themselves additionally suggests an autocrine role for PGE₂.

Cyclooxygenase-2 protein was very weak to detect by immunofluorescence in EM in the current study, which concurs with findings of Goulet et al. (2003) who were also unable to detect COX-2 protein in EM. This finding may reflect the apparent inducible nature of COX-2, compared with the more general 'house-keeping' role of Figure 4. Prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂) production in epidermal melanocytes (EM) and keratinocytes (K) is modulated by 73 mJ/cm² ultraviolet B (UVB). (A) UVB increased PGE₂ production in 6 of 10 primary EM cultures (4 at statistical significance) and 1 keratinocyte culture but had no effect in 4 of 10 EM cultures. (B) PGE₂ production in response to UVB is increased significantly only in EM derived from individuals with skin phototype-1 (SPT-1). (C) Incubation with both indomethacin and NS-398 reduced PGE₂ production in response to UVB in 1 of 4 EM cultures tested and 1 keratinocyte culture tested, B (basal conditions), UVR $(73 \text{ mJ/cm}^2 \text{ UVB})$, UVR + I (1 h preincubation with 100 µM indomethacin then irradiation with 73 mJ/cm² UVB and then post-incubation with 100 μ M indomethacin for 24 h), UVR + NS (1 h preincubation with 50 µM NS-398 then irradiation with 73 mJ/cm² UVB and then post-incubation with 50 µM NS-398 for 24 h). Cell cultures: M20, 23, 42, 48 and K. In (A) and (C) error bars are expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 3), in (B) error bars are expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 15). P < 0.05 = *, P < 0.01 = **.

COX-1 (Sharma and Sharma, 1997). However, the ability of NS-398 (a selective COX-2 inhibitor) to reduce PGE2 production in some EM cultures clearly suggests a role for this enzyme in this system. Moreover, the ability of NS-398 to reduce PGE₂ production to levels equal to those obtained when using a non-selective COX inhibitor (indomethacin) may even indicate that COX-2 is the major route by which PGE₂ is produced in these cells. This report may provide evidence to further support this claim as similar results were obtained with keratinocytes, which are known to express COX-2 (Flockhart et al., 2008) as also shown in the current study. However, it would seem that for some EM donors COX-2 may have little involvement in PGE₂ production. In these cells COX-1 may be the likely enzyme by which free AA is converted to PGH₂. For example, in donor 37 EM, indomethacin but not the COX-2 specific inhibitor NS-398, reduced PGE₂ production. The clear expression of COX-2 mRNA but not its associated protein (undetectable in the current study) in normal adult human EM could be explained by: (i) although the gene is actively transcribed much of the mRNA may not persist long enough to undergo translation, (ii) that translation is inhibited in some way or (iii) that the COX-2 protein product is rapidly turned over. In support of the latter, Mbonye et al. (2006) found that the half-life of COX-2 is about 2 h whereas the half-life of COX-1 is greater than 12 h in mouse fibroblasts. This may explain why COX-2



protein was not found in EM 24 h post-UVB exposure in the current study. It has also been shown that translation of COX-2 mRNA requires the activity of the eIF-5A transcription factor (Parker and Gerner, 2002), the inhibition of which impairs murine melanoma growth (Jasiulionis et al., 2007) and that basal COX-2 expression in melanoma cells is associated with malignant transformation (Kuźbicki et al., 2006). It remains unclear however, why COX-2 protein was still not detectable in EM after the 48 h exposure to the pro-oxidant H₂O₂. In support of this finding, Giandomenicoa et al. (1997) showed that H₂O₂ is rapidly degraded in cell culture medium therefore; it may be that after 48 h incubation the level of H₂O₂ was no longer adequate to induce COX-2 expression in these cells.

The current study suggests that while both AA- and UVB-induced PGE₂ production by EM may be related to skin phototype of the EM donor, it is not correlated with EM melanin content, tyrosinase expression/activity or TRP-1 or DCT expression in vitro. Interestingly, EM derived from individuals with pale 'non-tanning' SPT-1 skin were still able to produce significant amounts of eumelanin with time in culture and at levels that were similar to those found in EM derived from individuals with 'tannable' SPT-4 skin. Therefore, it appears unlikely that there was any differential contribution of melanin to filtering of UVR at the level of the EM. In any event, UVR access to the plasma membrane would be similar for all



Figure 5. Basal melanin levels and melanogenic enzyme expression/activity in primary epidermal melanocyte (EM) cultures. (A) Basal melanin levels in primary EM cultures, (B) basal dopaoxidase activity of tyrosinase and expression of tyrosinase, tyrosinaserelated protein-1 (TRP-1) and dopachrome tautomerase (DCT) in primary EM cultures, (C) densitometric analysis of tyrosinase, TRP-1 and DCT expression normalized to actin expression. SPT (skin phototype). All lanes are from the same blot (i.e. experiment) and so are directly comparable. Cell cultures: 23, 24, 29, 37, 40, 42, 45 and 50. M = modified by glycosylation; N = native.



Figure 6. Epidermal melanocytes (EM) and keratinocytes (K) produce prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂) in response to H₂O₂. Hydrogen peroxide (50 μ M for 24 h) increased PGE₂ production in three of five primary EM cultures tested and in one keratinocyte culture tested. B (basal conditions), H₂O₂ (50 μ M H₂O₂ for 24 h). Cell cultures: M20, 23, 37, 42, 48 and K. Error bars expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 3). P < 0.05 = *, P < 0.01 = **.

EM cultures (SPT-1 or -4), as melanin is stored cytoplasmically within the EM. Moreover, given the similar melanin levels in both SPT-1- or SPT-4-derived EM, it is unlikely that UVR access to internal membranes would differ significantly. Thus, the observed differences in the ability of EM from different individuals to up-regulate PGE₂ production after UVR- or AA-stimulation, could rather reflect the cells' intrinsic membrane biochemistry and/or their relative expression/activity of PGE2-producing cellular machinery. Taken together, we propose that PGE₂ production in EM in response to UVB is unrelated to intrinsic melanogenesis capacity and that skin phototype is instead largely determined by the nature of the keratinocyte partner in the epidermal-melanin unit [providing that the EM has an intact melanocortin 1 receptor (MC1R)]. In support of this interpretation it should be noted that we provide SPT-1-and SPT-4-derived EM with similar culture conditions, which included several promelanogenic growth factors [e.g. endothelin-1 (ET-1), basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), etc.]. These may be variably secreted by keratinocytes in the epidermalmelanin units of different SPT skin in situ.

The component of the UVR response, which stimulates production of PGE_2 in EM and keratinocytes, is likely, at least in part, to involve oxidative stress, as PGE_2 production was increased upon incubation with the pro-oxidant H_2O_2 . Therefore, antioxidant capacity of the cell, rather than melanogenesis status per se, may determine the PGE_2 response to UVB. Moreover, the classification of skin phototype may more accurately describe an individual's propensity to 'burn' rather than to 'tan'.

In conclusion, we confirm that EM have the capacity to produce PGE₂, and show for the first time that the production of this pro-inflammatory eicosanoid in normal human EM can be increased by UVB exposure. However, we show that this response does not appear to occur in a melanogenesis-dependent manner. We also show the multiple enzymatic routes by which PGE₂ can be produced in EM and therefore, highlight the importance of this eicosanoid to melanocyte function. Finally, we provide evidence to suggest that some EM can produce levels of PGE₂ that are equal to or greater than keratinocytes in response to a physiologically relevant stimulus and so give an idea as to their relative contribution in producing the PGE₂ pool in UVRexposed epidermis. Therefore, we highlight the potentially important role EM may play in generating a UVB-induced erythema.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

Epidermal melanocytes were isolated from blister roofs derived from 10 healthy white Caucasian individuals (6F, 4M, 20–39 yr, mean 24.8 yr) with skin phototype-1 (n = 5) or 4 (n = 5) (see Table 1). Skin phototype was assessed according to a modified Fitzpatrick classification, i.e. historically from the individual's reported propensity to sunburn and suntan following first exposure in summer, where phototype 1 always burns and never tans, while phototype 4 rarely burns but tans with ease (Fitzpatrick, 1975). Epidermal melanocytes were cultured [after selective trypsinization]

of keratinocytes and after removal of contaminating fibroblasts with 150 μ g/ml geneticin sulphate (G418) in 48 h cycles at the p0 to p1 stage of primary culture (Halaban and Alfano, 1984)] in a mixture of keratinocyte-serum free medium (PromoCell, Heidelberg, Germany) supplemented with bovine pituitary extract (BPE) (4 µg/ml), epidermal growth factor (EGF) (0.125 ng/ml), insulin (5 µg/ml), hydrocortisone (0.33 µg/ml), epinephrine (0.39 µg/ml), transferrin (10 μ g/ml), penicillin (100 U/ml)/streptomycin (100 μ g/ml) and L-Gln (2 mM) and Eagle's minimal essential medium supplemented with FBS (2%), 1x concentrated non-essential amino acid mixture, penicillin (100 U/ml)/streptomycin (100 µg/ml), L-Gln (2 mM), bFGF (5 ng/ml) and ET-1 (5 ng/ml) as previously described (Kauser et al., 2004). Melanocyte identity was confirmed by gp-100 immunostaining of cell monolayers at passage 1. Any residual and contaminating keratinocytes were removed by a further round of selective trypsinization. Melanoma cells (FM3) (used as a positive control for COX-2 expression) were cultured in RPMI 1640 culture medium (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) supplemented with FBS (10%), penicillin (100 U/ml)/streptomycin (100 µg/ml) and L-Gln (2 mM). Epidermal keratinocytes (used as a positive control for PGE₂ production) were isolated from one healthy Caucasian individual (Table 1) and cultured in keratinocyte-serum free medium (supplemented as described previously). Cells were incubated at 37°C in a 5% CO2 atmosphere and medium was replenished every third day.

Stimulation of primary EM cultures

AA with/without indomethacin or NS-398

Prior to stimulation the primary cells were incubated for 24 h in 'starved' culture media (i.e. no FBS, BPE, EGF, insulin, hydrocortisone, epinephrine and transferrin). AA (3 μ M) with/without 100 μ M indomethacin or 50 μ M NS-398 (Sigma, Poole, UK) were then added to the cells for 24 h. Cells were also incubated in parallel in control 'starved' culture media only without 3 μ M AA but containing 100 μ M indomethacin or 50 μ M NS-398. After the 24 h stimulation period the culture media was collected for analysis of PGE₂ concentration. In cells stimulated with 3 μ M AA or 73 mJ/cm² UVB (see below), 100 μ M indomethacin or 50 μ M NS-398 was added 1 h prior to the stimulation so that COX enzymes were inhibited before exposure to the stimulus.

Ultraviolet radiation

Primary cell cultures cultured in 'starved' medium (i.e. retaining bFGF and ET-1 as essential growth factors for EM viability) were

Table 1. Clinical data of cell donors

	Sex	Skin phototype/ tanning response (low = 1; high = 4)	Age (yr)
Enidermal	melanocyte dono	r code	
M20	Male	1	20
23	Female	1	32
20	Male	1	39
29	Female	1	29
37	Female	1	27
40	Male	4	31
42	Female	4	26
45	Male	4	26
48	Female	4	24
50	Female	4	33
Keratinocvi	te donor code		
K	Female	2/3	60

UVB modulates PGE₂ production by epidermal melanocytes

temporarily submerged in PBS and irradiated with 73 mJ/cm² UVB, using as a UVR source, a fluorescent UVB lamp (Waldmann UV6; emission 290–400 nm, peak 313 nm; Herbert Waldmann GmbH, Villingen-Schwenningen, Germany). Thus, the radiation consisted of approximately 34% UVA and 66% UVB. This radiation dose was calibrated on the basis of generating a mild induction of cellular loss (10%) from irradiated monolayers, as it was important to induce stress-associated signalling in these cells. The PBS was removed immediately after irradiation and replaced with fresh 'starved' media. This culture medium was collected after 24 h for analysis of PGE₂ concentration. Control cells were treated similarly but not irradiated.

Immunofluorescence

Primary EM cultures were incubated in 'starved' culture medium for 24 h and fixed in ice cold methanol (Sigma) for 10 min before air drying and rehydration in PBS. The cells were blocked with 10% donkey serum for 90 min and washed with PBS before incubation with primary antibody [gp-100 (1:50) (Monosan, Uden, The Netherlands), cPLA₂ (1:200) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA, USA), COX-1 (1:50) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), COX-2 (1:200) (Cayman Chemicals, Ann Arbor, MI, USA), cPGES (1:400) (Cayman Chemicals), mPGES-1 (1:400) (Cayman Chemicals), mPGES-2 (1:400) (Cayman Chemicals)] overnight at 4°C. Thereafter, the cells were washed and incubated with the secondary antibody [Alexa Fluor 488 donkey antigoat (1:250), donkey anti-rabbit (1:100) or donkey anti-mouse (1:100) (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK)] for 1 h at RT. Slides were then washed with PBS, cover-slipped using mounting medium containing 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Vector, Peterborough, UK) and photographed using a confocal microscope at 630× original magnification.

Polymerase chain reaction

RNA was extracted from primary EM cultures using RNeasy isolation kit (Qiagen, West Sussex, UK) according to the manufacturer's instructions and quantified in a spectrophotometer at 260 nm. cDNA synthesis was performed with 3 µg of total RNA using superscript III first-strand synthesis super mix (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cyclooxygenase-2 was amplified using the primer set (Sigma): 5'-TTCAAATGAGATTGTGGGAAAA TTGCT-3' (forward) (0.125 μM) and 5'-AGATCATCTCTGCCTGAG TATCTTT-3' (reverse) (0.125 μ M) (Shi et al., 2006). Actin was amplified using the primer set (Sigma): 5'-TCACCCACACTGTGCCCATC-TACGA-3' (forward) (0.125 μM) and 5'-CAGCGGAACCGCTCATT GCCAATGG-3' (reverse) (0.125 µM). The cycling protocol for both genes was: 95°C for 10 min; 45 cycles at 95°C for 0 min, 60°C for 20 s, 72°C for 30 s; and a final extension at 72°C for 1 min. The omission of cDNA from the reaction mixture served as a negative control. After amplification, 15 μ l of the reaction mixture was loaded onto a 1.5% agarose gel (Sigma) containing 1 µg/ml of ethidium bromide (Sigma) and electrophoresed. A 100-1000 bp DNA ladder (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) was also loaded.

Western immunoblot analysis

The presence of COX-2 protein was assessed by immunoblotting human EM and FM3 extracts under unstimulated and stimulated conditions. The latter included 24 h post-irradiation with 73 mJ/cm² UVB and 48 h post-incubation with 50 μ M H₂O₂. The basal expression of tyrosinase, TRP-1 and DCT was assessed in human EM extracts. Cells were lysed on ice using Laemmli's buffer containing a protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma) for 4 h. Protein concentration was measured using the modified Bradford Assay (Bio-Rad, Richmond, CA, USA), and 35 μ g of protein per well were separated by SDS–8% PAGE under reducing conditions. Separated proteins were then electroblotted onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (PVDF)

Gledhill et al.

(Immobilon, Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA), and blocked for 2 h with 5% nonfat milk (Marvel Ltd, Merseyside, UK) in PBS. The membranes were immuno-probed overnight at 4°C with antibodies against COX-2 (1:75) (Cayman Chemicals), tyrosinase (1:100) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), TRP-1 (1:1000) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), DCT (1:100) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and actin (1:250) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), followed by incubation for 2 h at RT with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated donkey anti-sheep/goat IgG antibody (1:600) (Serotec Ltd, Kidlington, Oxford, UK) or a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG antibody (1:1000) (GE Healthcare, Chalfont St Giles, Buckinghamshire, UK). The reactions were detected by the Enhanced Chemiluminescence plus Western blot detection system kit (Amersham Biosciences Ltd, Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, UK). Densitometric analysis of the immunoblots was performed (TotalLab v1.10 software, Auckland, New Zealand) and the ratio of tyrosinase:actin, TRP-1:actin and DCT:actin expression levels calculated. This allowed for direct comparisons of expression of the aforementioned enzymes across different primary EM cultures. To reprobe the blots the PVDF membrane was incubated at 50°C for 30 min in a buffer containing 67.5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 100 mM β -mercaptoethanol, and 2% SDS.

Tyrosinase activity by DOPA-oxidase assay

Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE under non-reducing conditions (i.e. without boiling and without the addition of β -mercaptoethanol). The PVDF membrane was incubated for 3 h in 10 mM 3, 4-dihydroxy-*L*-Phe (*L*-DOPA) (Sigma). Tyrosinase catalysed the conversion of *L*-DOPA to DOPA-quinone and produced a dark band on the membrane where the enzyme was localized.

Melanin assay

Epidermal melanocyte pellets were re-suspended in 600 μ l of 1 M sodium hydroxide solution and boiled at 100°C for 15 min. The cell suspension was vortexed and 200 μ l of each EM sample was added (in triplicate) to a 96-well plate. Eumelanin concentration of each EM sample was calculated using a standard curve created with synthetic eumelanin (Sigma, Poole, UK) at 100, 50, 20, 10, 5 and 1 μ g/ml with absorbance measured at 490 nm (Kauser et al., 2004).

Prostaglandin E₂ ELISA

The concentration of PGE₂ in cell culture media was determined in triplicate using a commercially available enzyme-based immunoassay kit (Cayman Chemicals) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. This assay kit can reliably quantify levels of PGE₂ of 15 pg/ml, but can detect levels as low as 7 pg/ml of PGE₂. The PGE₂ antibody exhibits very high selectivity for PGE (100% with PGE₂, 100% with PGE₂ Ethanolamide, 43% with PGE₃, 37.4% with 8-iso PGE₂, 18.7% with PGE₁) and only very minor cross-reactivity with other prostaglandins (e.g. 1.25% with sulprostone, 1.0% with 6-keto PGF_{1x}, 0.25% with 8-iso PGF_{2x} and 0.02% with 13, 14-dihydro-15-keto PGE₂) (Cayman Chemicals). All cell culture media used in this ELISA method was 'starved' as FBS may contain PGE₂. This meant that any PGE₂ that was detected was only produced by the cells being investigated.

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using Student's t-test (two-tailed, type-1). Statistical significance was accepted at the P < 0.05 level (*), P < 0.01 level (**) and P < 0.001 level (***).

Conflict of interest

The authors state no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by Wellcome Trust Grant WT077714 and the Centre for Skin Sciences, School of Life Sciences, University of Bradford.

References

- Bergh, K., Wentzel, P., and Stjernschantz, J. (2002). Production of prostaglandin E_2 by iridial melanocytes exposed to latanoprost acid, a prostaglandin F_{2x} analogue. J. Ocul. Pharmacol. Ther. 18, 391–400.
- Burke, J.E., and Dennis, E.A. (2009). Phospholipase A₂ structure/function, mechanism, and signaling. J. Lipid Res. 50, 237– 242.
- Chen, X., Gresham, A., Morrison, A., and Pentland, A.P. (1996). Oxidative stress mediates synthesis of cytosolic phospholipase A₂ after UVB injury. Biochim. Biophys. Acta *1299*, 23–33.
- Fitzpatrick, T.B. (1975). Soleil et peau. J. Med. Esthet. 2, 33034.
- Fitzpatrick, T.B., and Breathnach, A.S. (1963). The epidermal melanin unit system. Dermatol. Wochenschr. 147, 481–489.
- Flockhart, R.J., Diffey, B.L., Farr, P.M., Lloyd, J., and Reynolds, N.J. (2008). NFAT regulates induction of COX-2 and apoptosis of keratinocytes in response to ultraviolet radiation exposure. FASEB J. 22, 4218–4227.
- Giandomenicoa, A.R., Cernigliaa, C.E., Biaglowa, J.E., Stevensa, C.W., and Kocha, C.J. (1997). The importance of sodium pyruvate in assessing damage produced by hydrogen peroxide. Free Radic. Biol. Med. *23*, 426–434.
- Goulet, A.C., Einsphar, J.G., Alberts, D.S., Beas, A., Burk, C., Bhattacharyya, A., Bangert, J., Harmon, J.M., Fujiwara, H., Koki, A. and Nelson, M.A. (2003). Analysis of cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) expression during malignant melanoma progression. Cancer Biol. Ther. 2, 713–718.
- Halaban, R., and Alfano, F.D. (1984). Selective elimination of fibroblasts from cultures of normal human melanocytes. In Vitro 20, 447–450.
- Imokawa, G., and Motegi, I. (1993). Skin organ culture model for examining epidermal melanization. J. Invest. Dermatol. 100, 47–54.
- Jasiulionis, M.G., Luchessi, A.D., Moreira, A.G., Souza, P.P., Suenaga, A.P., Correa, M. et al. (2007). Inhibition of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 5A (eIF5A) hypusination impairs melanoma growth. Cell Biochem. Funct. 25, 109–114.
- Kang-Rotondo, C.H., Miller, C.C., Morrison, A.R., and Pentland, A.P. (1993). Enhanced keratinocyte prostaglandin synthesis after UV injury is due to increased phospholipase activity. Am. J. Physiol. 264, 396–401.
- Kauser, S., Thody, A.J., Schallreuter, K.U., Gummer, C.L., and Tobin, D.J. (2004). Beta-endorphin as a regulator of human hair follicle melanocyte biology. J. Invest. Dermatol. *123*, 184–195.
- Kuźbicki, L., Sarnecka, A., and Chwirot, B.W. (2006). Expression of cyclooxygenase-2 in benign naevi and during human cutaneous melanoma progression. Melanoma Res. 16, 29–36.
- Li, Y.W., and Chu, C.Y. (2007). The minimal erythema dose of broadband ultraviolet B in Taiwanese. J. Formos. Med. Assoc. 106, 975–978.
- Maeda, K., Yokokawa, Y., Hatao, M., Naganuma, M., and Tomita, Y. (1997). Comparison of the melanogenesis in human black and light brown melanocytes. J. Dermatol. Sci. *14*, 199–206.
- Malorni, W., Straface, E., Donelli, G., and Giacomoni, P.U. (1996). UV-induced cytoskeletal damage, surface blebbing and apoptosis are hindered by a-tocopherol in cultured human keratinocytes. Eur. J. Dermatol. *6*, 414–420.

UVB modulates PGE₂ production by epidermal melanocytes

- Mbonye, U.R., Wada, M., Rieke, C.J., Tang, H.Y., Dewitt, D.L., and Smith, W.L. (2006). The 19-amino acid cassette of cyclooxygenase-2 mediates entry of the protein into the endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation system. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 35770–35778.
- Murakami, M., Nakatani, Y., Tanioka, T., and Kudo, I. (2002). Prostaglandin E synthase. Prostaglandins Other Lipid Mediat. 68–69, 383–399.
- Murakami, M., Nakashima, K., Kamei, D., Masuda, S., Ishikawa, Y., Ishii, T., Ohmiya, Y. et al. (2003). Cellular prostaglandin E₂ production by membrane-bound prostaglandin E synthase-2 via both cyclooxygenases-1 and -2. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 37937– 37947.
- Nicolaou, A., Estdale, S.E., Tsatmali, M., Herrero, D.P., and Thody, A.J. (2004). Prostaglandin production by melanocytic cells and the effect of alpha-melanocyte stimulating hormone. FEBS Lett. 570, 223–226.
- Parker, M.T., and Gerner, E.W. (2002). Polyamine-mediated posttranscriptional regulation of COX-2. Biochimie *84*, 815–819.
- Rhodes, L.E., Belgi, G., Parslew, R., McLoughlin, L., Clough, G.F., and Friedmann, P.S. (2001). Ultraviolet-B-induced erythema is mediated by nitric oxide and prostaglandin E₂ in combination. J. Invest. Dermatol. *117*, 880–885.
- Rhodes, L.E., Gledhill, K., Masoodi, M., Haylett, A.K., Brownrigg, M., Thody, A.J. et al. (2009). The sunburn response in human

skin is characterized by sequential eicosanoid profiles that may mediate its early and late phases. FASEB J. *23*, 3947–3956.

- Roméro-Graillet, C., Aberdam, E., Clément, M., Ortonne, J.P., and Ballotti, R. (1997). Nitric oxide produced by ultraviolet-irradiated keratinocytes stimulates melanogenesis. J. Clin. Invest. 99, 635–642.
- Scott, G., Leopardi, S., Printup, S., Malhi, N., Seiberg, M., and Lapoint, R. (2004). Proteinase-activated receptor-2 stimulates prostaglandin production in keratinocytes: analysis of prostaglandin receptors on human melanocytes and effects of PGE₂ and PGF_{2α} on melanocyte dendricity. J. Invest. Dermatol. *122*, 1214–1224.
- Sharma, S., and Sharma, S.C. (1997). An update on eicosanoids and inhibitors of cyclooxygenase enzyme systems. Indian J. Exp. Biol. 35, 1025–1031.
- Shi, Q., Vaillancourt, F., Côté, V., Fahmi, H., Lavigne, P., Afif, H., Di Battista, J.A., Fernandes, J.C., and Benderdour, M. (2006). Alterations of metabolic activity in human osteoarthritic osteoblasts by lipid peroxidation end product 4-hydroxynonenal. Arthritis Res. Ther. 8, R159.
- Tran, T.T., Schulman, J., and Fisher, D.E. (2008). UV and pigmentation: molecular mechanisms and social controversies. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res. 21, 509–516.
- Tsatmali, M., Graham, A., Szatkowski, D., Ancans, J., Manning, P., McNeil, C.J. et al. (2000). Alpha-melanocyte-stimulating hormone modulates nitric oxide production in melanocytes. J. Invest. Dermatol. 114, 520–526.