

Article

Development of Conventional Multiplex PCR: A Rapid Technique for Simultaneous Detection of Soil-Transmitted Helminths

Vivornpun Sanprasert ^{1,2}, Ruthairat Kerdkaew ^{1,2}, Siriporn Srirungruang ^{1,3}, Sarit Charuchaibovorn ^{1,†}, Kobpat Phadungsaksawasdi ^{2,3} and Surang Nuchprayoon ^{1,2,*}

- ¹ Lymphatic Filariasis and Tropical Medicine Research Unit, Chulalongkorn Medical Research Center, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand; vivornpun@chula.md (V.S.); joruthairat@gmail.com (R.K.); lingnoov55@windowslive.com (S.S.); sarit.src@gmail.com (S.C.)
- ² Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand; kobpat22@hotmail.com
- ³ Department of Parasitology, King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, Bangkok 10330, Thailand
- * Correspondence: fmedstt@gmail.com; Tel.: +66-2-256-4387
- + Present address: Siriraj Center of Excellence for Stem Cell Research (SiSCR), Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10700, Thailand.

Received: 14 July 2019; Accepted: 7 September 2019; Published: 16 September 2019



Abstract: Soil-transmitted helminths (STHs) are the most common intestinal parasites infecting humans worldwide. STH infections are a major cause of morbidity and disability. Accurate diagnostic tools are pivotal for assessing the exact prevalence of parasitic infections. Microscopic examination and culture techniques have been used to observe the presence of eggs or larvae of parasites in stool samples, but they are time-consuming and have low sensitivity. Therefore, accurate, simple, and inexpensive diagnostic techniques are still required for simultaneous detection of STH infections. Although molecular-based techniques, such as real-time PCR and multiplex real-time PCR, have been developed, they are not suitable for routine diagnosis due to the requirement for expensive reagents and instruments. In this study, we established a conventional multiplex PCR for simultaneous rapid detection of Ascaris lumbricoides, Necator americanus, and Strongyloides stercoralis in stool samples. Our results show that the multiplex PCR could detect the DNA of STHs at a very low target gene concentrations (lower than 1 pg) with no cross-amplification. Multiplex PCR had five times higher sensitivity than the formalin-ethyl acetate concentration technique (FECT) in the detection of multiple infections, and two times higher for detection of S. stercoralis. However, multiplex PCR was comparable to FECT in the detection of A. lumbricoides and N. americanus. In conclusion, this method could be used as an alternative method for the detection of STHs, especially for *S. stercoralis*.

Keywords: multiplex PCR; PCR; soil-transmitted helminths (STHs); diagnosis

1. Introduction

Soil-transmitted helminths (STHs) or geohelminths pose a major public health threat to at least 2 billion people each year around the world. STHs are a group of parasitic nematodes including *Ascaris lumbricoides* (807–1221 million people), hookworms (*Necator americanus* and *Ancylostoma duodenale*; 564–740 million people), *Strongyloides stercoralis* (30–100 million people), and *Trichuris trichiura* (604–795 million people) [1,2]. STH infections are associated with malnutrition, cognitive impairment, and health problems (e.g., intestinal obstruction and anemia) in children, as suggested by the World Health Organization (WHO). These parasites are typically found in tropical and sub-tropical countries where sanitation and hygiene are lacking, especially in low- and middle-income countries [1].

These parasites are easily transmitted and co-infected via the fecal–oral route (food or water containing infective eggs or infective larvae) or skin surface contact (hookworms and *S. stercoralis*) [1,3,4].

Accurate diagnostic tools play a pivotal role in epidemiological study, monitoring of treatment efficacies in mass drug administration (MDA) programs, and monitoring the possibility of drug-resistance development. The traditional diagnostic techniques for STH infections include microscopic examinations of stool samples and a culture technique. The Kato–Katz fecal thick smear is the most common method recommended by WHO. However, the Kato–Katz technique has limitations, especially a low sensitivity in light infection individuals and low sensitivity when using a single Kato–Katz thick smear [5–7]. Moreover, this technique has poor sensitivity for *S. stercoralis* infections [8–10].

DNA-based techniques have been developed for the detection and differentiation of intestinal parasites in fecal samples [11]. These include polymerase chain reaction (PCR), nested PCR, PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP), and quantitative PCR. The loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay (LAMP) is a one-step assay used to amplify target DNA under a constant temperature without the need for a thermal cycler. The LAMP result can be read with the naked eye. LAMP has been widely adapted for the rapid detection of helminths, and has shown high sensitivity and specificity [12]. However, several reactions using specific primers for each parasite need to be used to diagnose STH infections. Multiplex LAMP (mLAMP) technology has been explored more recently. However, the major drawbacks of LAMP and mLAMP are the difficulties of the primer design and the test evaluation. Multiplex real-time PCR and digital multiplex PCR (dmPCR) have also been proposed for highly sensitive and specific simultaneous detection of parasites [6,9–15]. However, they are limited by the requirement of expensive reagents and instruments for result interpretation. Therefore, these advanced techniques are not suitable for the developing countries that are the endemic areas of STHs. Therefore, conventional multiplex PCR is a simple and cost-effective method that can be used to detect mixed infections in a single reaction. Several multiplex PCR assays have been developed for the detection of parasites [13–15]. In this study, we developed a conventional multiplex PCR for simultaneous infections of A. lumbricoides, N. americanus, and S. stercoralis, providing an efficient and convenient technique for epidemiological and clinical studies.

2. Results

2.1. Species-Specific Primers of Each Parasite to Specifically Amplify Target Amplicons

Based on the simplex PCR results, the optimized conditions for multiplex PCR were determined: primer concentration = 0.16μ M, MgCl₂ concentration = 3 mM, and annealing temperature = $58 \degree$ C. The primers used in this study are listed in Table 1. Agarose gel electrophoresis confirmed that the PCR products were in the correct sizes. The target amplicons of each species-specific primer, including 220 base pairs (bp) for *A. lumbricoides*, 483 bp for *N. americanus*, and 100 bp for *S. stercoralis*, were obtained from simplex PCR (Figure 1A–C, respectively). In the multiplex PCR reaction, all three different-sized amplicons were simultaneously amplified (Figure 1D).

Table 1. Oligonucleotide sequences for simultaneous detection of Ascaris lumbricoides, Necator americanus, and Strongyloides stercoralis by multiplex PCR. F: Forward, R: Reverse.

Parasite	Target Region (Accession No.)	Primer $(5' \rightarrow 3')$	Length (bp)	Product Size (bp)
A. lumbricoides	ITS1 (AJ000895.1)	F: GGT GAT GTA ATA GCA GTC GG R: TTC TCT CCA CCT TTC ATC G	20 19	219
N. americanus	18S rRNA (AF217891.1)	F: AGC ATT GCT TGA ATG CC R: AAG TAC CGT TCG ACA AAC AG	17 20	477
S. stercoralis	18S rDNA (AF279916.2)	F: GAATTCCAAGTAAACGTAAGTCAT R: TGCCTCTGGATATTGCTCAGTTC	24 23	101



Figure 1. Determination of the detection limits of simplex and multiplex PCR. (**A**) Detection of *A. lumbricoides* ITS1 gene. Lane L: DNA ladder; Lane 1: negative control; Lanes 2–7: 10-fold serial dilutions of gDNA of *A. lumbricoides* at 100, 10, 1, 0.1, 0.01, and 0.001 ng. (**B**) Detection of *N. americanus* 18s rRNA gene. Lane L: DNA ladder; Lane 1: negative control; Lanes 2–7: 10-fold serial dilutions of gDNA of *N. americanus* at 100, 10, 1, 0.1, 0.01, and 0.001 ng. (**C**) Detection of *S. stercoralis* 18s rRNA gene. Lane L: DNA ladder; Lane 1: negative control; Lanes 2–7: 10-fold serial dilutions of gDNA of *S. stercoralis* at 100, 10, 1, 0.1, 0.01 ng. (**D**) The sensitivity of multiplex PCR. Lane L: DNA ladder; Lane 1: negative control; Lanes 2–7: 10-fold serial dilutions of gDNA of *S. stercoralis* at 100, 10, 1, 0.1, 0.01 ng. (**D**) The sensitivity of multiplex PCR. Lane L: DNA ladder; Lane 1: negative control; Lanes 2–7: 10-fold serial dilutions of gDNA at 100, 10, 1, 0.1, 0.01, and 0.001 ng. (**D**) The sensitivity of multiplex PCR. Lane L: DNA ladder; Lane 1: negative control; Lanes 2–7: 10-fold serial dilutions of gDNA of *S. stercoralis* at 100, 10, 1, 0.1, 0.01, and 0.001 ng. (**D**) The sensitivity of multiplex PCR. Lane L: DNA ladder; Lane 1: negative control; Lanes 2–7: 10-fold serial dilutions of mixed gDNA at 100, 10, 1, 0.1, 0.01, 0.01, and 0.001 ng. (**D**) The sensitivity of multiplex PCR. Lane L: DNA ladder; Lane 1: negative control; Lanes 2–7: 10-fold serial dilutions of mixed gDNA at 100, 10, 1, 0.1, 0.01, and 0.001 ng of each parasite.

2.2. Multiplex PCR Is Sensitive for Simultaneous Detection of Mixed Infections

To evaluate the detection limits of simplex and multiplex PCR, genomic DNA isolated from each pure parasite was serially diluted 10-fold to the range of 100 to 0.001 ng. Simplex PCR reactions were able to detect 0.001 ng of *A. lumbricoides*, *N. americanus*, and *S. stercoralis* (Figure 1A–C, respectively). The multiplex PCR reaction was also able to detect all STH species at a very low concentration of gDNA (0.001 ng) (Figure 1D). The PCR products of each STH were cloned into pGEM[®]-T Easy Vector and used as "plasmid controls" in the next experiments.

2.3. No Cross-Reactivity of Each Species-Specific Primer and Other Parasites

Species-specific primers of *A. lumbricoides, N. americanus,* and *S. stercoralis* were used to evaluate the specificity of multiplex PCR with triple plasmid controls. The results show that each pair of species-specific primers could not cross-amplify with the plasmids and with other parasite species (Figure 2). Moreover, cross-amplification with other intestinal parasites, such as *Enterobius vermicularis* (data not shown), *Giardia lamblia*, and *Blastocystis hominis*, was not found with multiplex PCR (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Determination of the specificity of multiplex PCR. Lane L: DNA ladder; Lane 1: negative control; Lane 2: multiplex PCR using triple plasmid controls; Lane 3: multiplex PCR using gDNA isolated from *A. lumbricoides and Giardia lamblia*; Lane 4: multiplex PCR using gDNA isolated from *G. lamblia*; Lane 5: multiplex PCR using gDNA isolated from *G. lamblia*; and *Blastocystis hominis*; Lane 6: parasite-free human feces.

2.4. Multiplex PCR Correctly Identifies Parasites in Stool Samples

A total of 94 stool samples collected from patients presenting with gastrointestinal symptoms were recruited for the study. The multiplex PCR assay could identify single, double, and triple infections of *A. lumbricoides*, *N. americanus*, and *S. stercoralis* (Figure 3 and Table 2). Agarose gel electrophoresis and DNA sequencing of the purified PCR amplicons confirmed that the PCR products were the corrected sizes, with 98.04–100% identity to the published sequences in the GenBank Database (Figure 4, Table S1).



Figure 3. Multiplex PCR for simultaneous detection of soil-transmitted helminth (STH) infections using clinical samples. The amplified fragments from species-specific primers were visualized on 1% agarose gel using ethidium bromide staining. Lane L: DNA ladder; Lane 1: *S. stercoralis;* Lane 2: *A. lumbricoides;* Lane 3: *N. americanus;* Lane 4: *S. stercoralis* and *A. lumbricoides;* Lane 5: *N. americanus* and *A. lumbricoides;* Lane 6: *S. stercoralis* and *N. americanus;* and Lane 7: *S. stercoralis, A. lumbricoides,* and *N. americanus.*



Figure 4. Cont.

-		101	>								
	10	V	20		20	40	50	60	70	80	60
					1	1 1	• • • • • • • •				
2	GCATTGCTTG	AATGC	CGCCTCA	ATTTT	GTATT	GGTGGTTGGA	CACACACACA	AACTTGTGTG	GTGTGGTAC	TGTTCTTGT	GATCAGGAA
						A					
						A					
			•••••	• • • • • •		· · · · · · · · A · ·					
		• • • • •		•••••							
	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • •		•••••			• • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	• • • • • • • • • • •
		•••••				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	110		120		130	140	150	160	170	180	190
•		!			1	1 1					
1	GATCCTTCAC	ATGTT	AACCAAT	AATGCO	CCCCTA	CGTGTTATGG	TGGATGGGAC	ATATGTGTGG	ACCCCAACAC	AAAATATTA	ACTTTTTA
÷	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••				•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •				
		• • • • •				•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •				
2	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • •	• • • • • • • •	•••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • •				
1	•••••		• • • • • • • •			•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •				• • • • • • • • • •
1											
							••••••				
0											
	210		220		230	240	250	260	270	280	290
•					1	• • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • •	1			• • • • • • •
	310		320		330	340	350	360	370	380	390
	310		320		330	340	350	360	370	380	390
	310 CTGCGTTATTT	ACCAC	320 	AGACG	330	340 GTGGTGAAAT	350	360 AGCGCCGTTG	370 II	380 TCGGCACGTC	390
	310 		320 GAATTGC	AGACG	330 TTAGA	340 . GTGGTGAAAT	350	360 AGCGCCGTTG	370	380	390 TGGTTCAG
	310 I I I CTGCGTTATTT	ACCAC	320 GAATTGO	AGACG	330 1 	340 GTGGTGAAAT	350	360	370 IIII GGTTTTCCCT	380 TCGGCACGTC	390 TGGTTCAO
	310	ACCAC	GAATTGC	AGACG	330 1	340 II. GTGGTGAAAT	350	360	378 	380 I TCGCACGTO	390 I TGGTTCAG
	310 CTGCGTTATTT	ACCAC	320 GAATTGC	CAGACG	330 1 CTTAGA	340 II. GTGGTGAAAT	350	360 I I I	378 	380 I TCGCACGTO	390 CTGGTTCAC
	310	ACCAC	320 GAATTGC	AGACG	330	340 GTGGTGAAAT	350	360	370 I I I I GGTTTTCCCT	380 IIIII	390
	310	ACCAC	320 GAATTGC	AGACG	330 	340 	350	360	378 GGTTTTCCCT	380 TCGGCACGTC	390 CTGGTTCAC
	310 CTCCGTTATT	ACCAC	320 GAATTGC	:AGACG	330	340	350	360	378 GGTTTTCCCT	380 TCGCCACGTC	390 TGGTTCAC
	310	ACCAC	320 GAATTCC	:AGACG	330	340 I	350 1	360	378 GGTTTTCCCT	380 TCGCCACGTC	390 TGGTTCAC
	310 CTGCGTTATT	ACCAC	320 GAATTGC 420	:AGACG	330 CTTAGA 430	340 	350 	360	378	380 TCGGCACGTC	390 CTGGTTCAG
		ACCAC	320 GAATTGC 420	CAGACG	330 TTAGA 430 CAGTA	340 	350	340 	370 GGTTTTCCCT 470	380 TCGCACGTC	390 CTGGTTCAC
	310 CTCCGTTATT 410 AACGATAATAC	ACCAC	320 GAATTGC 420 TGTAGCT	CAGACGO	430 430 1 1	340 GTGGTGAAAT 	350 1	340 ACCCCCCTTC 440 440	170 GGTTTTCCCT 470 CGAACGGTAC	380 TCGGCACGTC	390 TGGTTCAC
	310 CTGCGTTATTT 410 AACGATAATAC	ACCAC	320 GAATTCC 420	CAGACG	330 TTAGA 430 CAGTA	340 1 GTGGTGAAAT 	350 1	360 *AGCCCCGTTC 460 +CACTGTTTGT	378 GGTTTTCCCT 478 CGAACGGTAC	380 TCGGCACGTC	390
	310 CTGCGTTATT 410 AACGATAATAC	ACCAC	320 GAATTCC 420 TGTAGCT	TCTCC	330 1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	340 	350 1	360	378 II CGTTTTCCCT 478 CGAACGGTAC	380 TCGGCACGTC	396 TGGTTCAC
	310 CTCCGTTATT 410 AACGATAATAC	ACCAC	328 GAATTGC 428 TGTAGCT	TGTGG	330 	340 1	350 1	360 ACCCCCGTTC ACCCCCGTTC 460 460 ACCTGTTTGT	370 GGTTTTCCCT 470 CGAACGGTAC	380 TCGGCACGTC	390 TGGTTCAC
	310 CTCCGTTATT 410	ACCAC	320 GAATTGC 420 TGTAGCT	TCTCG	330 1 TTAGA 430 1 ACAGTA	348 II GTGGTGAAAT GTGGTGAAAT 440 II CTCTCACCGA	350 1	340 ACCCCCCTTC 440 ACACTCTTTCT	378 GGTTTTCCCT 478 CGAACGGTAC	380 TCGGCACGTC	396
-	310 CTGCGTTATT 410 AACGATAATAC	ACCAC	320 GAATTGC GAATTGC 420 TGTAGCT	TGTGG	330 1 TTAGA 430 1 ACAGTA	340 	350 1	360 AGCCCCGTTC 460 460	378 GGTTTTCCCT 478 1 CGAACGGTAC	380 TCGGCACGTO	
	310 CTGCGTTATT 410 AACGATAATAC	ACCAC	320 GAATTCC 420 TGTAGCT	TGTGG	430	340 II GTGGTGAAAT 440 ICTCTCACCGA	350 1	360 ACCCCCGTTC ACCCCCGTTC 460 100000000000000000000000000000000000	378 GGTTTTCCCT 478 CGAACGGTAC	380 TCGGCACGTC	390 TGGTTCAC
-	310 CTCCGTTATT 410 AACGATAATAC	ACCAC	320 GAATTCC 420 TCTAGCT	TCTCG	430 430	340 1	350 1	340 *ACCCCCGTTC 460 *CACTGTTTGT	370 GGTTTTCCCT 470 CGAACGGTAC	280 TCGGCACGTC	390 TGGTTCAC
	310 CTCCCTTATT 410	TACAG	328 GAATTGC 420 TGTAGCT	TCTCC	430	340 I I GTGCTGAAAT 440 I I CTCTCACCGA	350 	360 ACCCCCCTTC 460 	378 GGTTTTCCCT 478 CGAACGGTAC	380 TCGGCACGTO	
-	310 CTGCGTTATT 410 AACGATAATAC	ACCAC	328 GAATTGC 428 TGTAGCT	- Lacarce	330 CTTAGA 430	340 1	350 TTGAACGCA1 450 GTATTGTTGA2			380 TCGGCACGTO	

Figure 4. Cont.



Figure 4. Multiple alignment of PCR amplicons with plasmid controls and published sequences in the GenBank Database: (**A**) *A. lumbricoides*; ITS1 (Accession No. AJ000895.1), (**B**) *N. americanus*; 18s rRNA (Accession No. AF217891.1), and (**C**) and *S. stercoralis*; 18S rRNA (Accession No. AF279916.2). Perfect matches to the reference sequence are shown as dots. Mismatches are shown as capital letters. Sequence gaps are shown as hyphens.

Table 2. Comparison of multiplex-PCR and formalin–ethyl acetate concentration technique (FECT) for the detection of STH infections.

	Multiplex- PCR	FECT
Positive	66	49
Single infection		
A. lumbricoides	25	26
N. americanus	0	5
S. stercoralis	30	16
Co-infection		
A. lumbricoides and N. americanus	3	2
A. lumbricoides and S. stercoralis	4	0
N. americanus and S. stercoralis	2	0
<i>A. lumbricoides, N. americanus,</i> and <i>S. stercoralis</i>	2	0
Negative	28	45

2.5. Comparison of Multiplex PCR and FECT for Detection of STHs in Stool Samples

Out of 94 samples, 49 samples (52.13%) were positive for STHs and 45 samples (47.87%) were negative for FECT (Table 2). FECT detected 47 individuals (50.0%) with a single infection, and 2 individuals (2.13%) with a double infection, including co-infection between *A. lumbricoides* and *N. americanus*. There was no positive result for triple infection detected by FECT (Table 2).

Compared with FECT, 66 samples (70.21%) resulted positive for STHs and only 28 samples (29.79%) were negative from multiplex PCR (Table 2). The multiplex PCR assay detected 55 individuals (58.51%) with a single infection, 9 individuals (16.67%) with a double infection, and 2 individuals (2.13%) with a triple infection (Table 2). Overall, the detection rate of multiplex PCR was significantly higher than that of FECT (66 of 94; 70.21% vs. 49 of 94; 52.13%; p = 0.0109). The multiplex PCR had a 5.5-fold greater success rate in the detection of multiple infections than FECT (Table 2).

The detection rate of *A. lumbricoides* by multiplex PCR was non-significantly higher than FECT (34 of 94; 36.17% vs. 28 of 94; 29.78%; p = 0.3514) (Table 3). The detection rate of *N. americanus* by multiplex PCR was comparable with that of FECT (7 of 94; 7.45% vs. 7.45%). The detection rate of

S. stercoralis by multiplex PCR was significantly—2.4 times—higher than FECT, (38 of 94; 40.43% vs. 16 of 94; 17.02%; p = 0.0026) (Table 3).

	Multiplex	FE	СТ	Total		
	PCR	Positive	ositive Negative		карра	
	Positive	23	11	34		
A. lumbricoides	Negative	5	55	60	0.617	
	Total	28	66	94		
	Positive	3	4	7		
N. americanus	Negative	4	83	87	0.383	
	Total	7	87	94		
	Positive	13	25	38		
S. stercoralis	Negative	3	53	56	0.318	
	Total	16	78	94		

Table 3. Statistical analysis of comparison of multiplex PCR and FECT in the detection of *A. lumbricoides*, *N. americanus*, and *S. stercoralis*. "Pos" denotes positive, "Neg" denotes negative.

For detection of *A. lumbricoides*, multiplex PCR showed substantial agreement ($\kappa = 0.617$) with FECT. However, the agreement between FECT and multiplex PCR was fair for detection of *N. americanus* ($\kappa = 0.383$) and *S. stercoralis* ($\kappa = 0.318$) (Table 3).

3. Discussion

STH infections are a major public health problem for 1.5 billion of the world's population in tropical and sub-tropical areas, with the greatest number in Southeast Asia, Sub-Saharan Africa, and South America [3]. STH infections usually cause morbidity and disability to infected individuals, especially children. Malnutrition, malabsorption syndrome, intestinal obstruction, poor weight gain, and iron-deficiency anemia are common morbidities of STH infections [3,16]. Disseminated infection with *S. stercoralis* may be fatal and life-threatening [17]. Co-infection of STHs is usually found [18,19]. The efficacy, dose, and timing of anthelmintic treatment depend on the helminth species, although the mild symptoms of STHs are similar. Therefore, the simultaneous diagnosis of STH infections is required.

Kato–Katz thick smear, direct smear, FECT, and FLOTAC are commonly used for detection of the eggs of *A. lumbricoides*, hookworms, and *T. trichiura*. Although these techniques are simple and inexpensive, they often suffer from low sensitivity, especially in individuals with light infections. They also require a microscopy technician to prevent a false negative result. Agar plate culture is the strongest technique for *S. stercoralis* and hookworm diagnosis [18,19]. However, it is time-consuming (five to seven days) and often produces misdiagnoses [18]. Multiplex real-time PCR for simultaneous detection of STHs and other parasites has been established [20–24]. This technique provides high sensitivity and specificity.

In this study, we developed a conventional multiplex PCR. Our multiplex PCR is capable of simultaneous detection of *A. lumbricoides*, *N. americanus*, and *S. stercoralis*. Using a pair of species-specific primers, amplified fragments with different sizes were obtained. Our results showed a low detection limit, down to 0.001 ng of DNA template. Simplex PCR, with the multiplex PCR condition, was able to amplify gDNA isolated from worms at very low concentrations (0.001 ng) for all parasites (Figure 1A–C).

For the multiplex PCR reaction, our results showed a high efficiency for simultaneous detection of STH infections at very low concentrations (0.001 ng; Figure 1D). Neither cross-reactivities between each species-specific primers nor the genomic DNA of other parasites, including *E. vermicularis, G. lamblia,* and *B. hominis,* were found. However, cross-reactivity in other human intestinal parasites should be further investigated. In this study, 25 stool samples of *S. stercoralis* resulted positive for multiplex PCR but negative in microscopic examinations, suggesting that the multiplex PCR can detect *S. stercoralis* at

a rate up to two times higher than by FECT. This indicates that this tool has a high sensitivity for the detection of *S. stercoralis*.

Unfortunately, we found that 12 samples that were identified as positive by FECT were negative by multiplex PCR. This might be due to several factors, such as DNA degradation during storage and transportation, non-homogeneity of eggs and larvae in stool samples, and a DNA isolation method that was not efficient enough to destroy the thick egg shells or larvae. Moreover, the presence of PCR inhibitors in stool samples can cause false negatives in multiplex PCR. PCR reactions with diluted DNA template, human internal controls, and plasmid spike tests could be performed in future studies to confirm the absence of PCR inhibitors in the stool samples.

In this study, we used only FECT to detect STH infections. Therefore, we could not determine the exact sensitivity and specificity of multiplex PCR. Although Kato–Katz is the most common technique for detection of STH infections, a previous study showed that formalin–ether concentration technique had a higher sensitivity than the Kato–Katz technique based on a single stool sample for STH infections *T. trichiura*, hookworms, and *A. lumbricoides* [7]. In our study, we used ethyl acetate instead of ether, because it is more safe and shows comparable results to the formalin–ether concentration technique [25]. However, quantitative FECT had lower sensitivity in the detection of S. *stercoralis* compared to agar plate culture, especially when the parasite intensity was lower than 50 larvae per gram of stool [26]. In this study, we showed that multiplex PCR was more effective than FECT for the detection of *S. stercoralis* even in patients who had low parasite intensity or resulted negative for FECT. We also designed specific primers for *A. duodenale* (data not shown). Due to the lack of this parasite in Thailand, we could not perform multiplex PCR to differentiate between *A. duodenale* and *N. americanus*.

In conclusion, our results indicate that multiplex PCR is a simple, rapid, and cost-effective method for the simultaneous detection of STHs infections, including *A. lumbricoides*, *N. americanus*, and *S. stercoralis*. The cost of each multiplex PCR reaction followed by agarose gel electrophoresis was less than USD \$2. This multiplex PCR is therefore a potential alternative method that could be convenient and reliable for epidemiological and clinical studies.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Sample Collection and Examination

Ninety-four stool samples were collected from patients presenting with gastrointestinal symptoms from the Laboratory of Parasitology, King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand. The presence of eggs or larvae of parasites was confirmed in each sample using the formalin–ethyl acetate concentration technique (FECT) [27]. The remaining stool samples of each individual were preserved at -20 °C until DNA extraction. An adult worm of *A. lumbricoides* was collected from a stool sample and cut into small pieces. An adult worm of *N. americanus* was obtained from a patient during colonoscopy. Two hundred filariform larvae (L3s) of *S. stercoralis* were collected from the agar plate culture. Worms were washed with sterile normal saline and chopped up on ice with a sterile scalpel blade. The chopped-up worm tissue fragments were homogenized in a pestle homogenizer and ice-chilled with sterile normal saline. The parasite homogenates were stored at -70 °C until used. This study was approved by the Human Ethics Committees of the Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University (IRB No. 483/58).

4.2. DNA Preparation

The genomic DNA was isolated from parasite homogenates and stool samples based on a phenol–chloroform method with some modifications. Briefly, the parasite homogenates or 250 mg of stool samples were resuspended with lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM KCl, 150 μ g/mL proteinase K, 0.5% Tween-20) and were then incubated at 65 °C for 3 hours, followed by 90 °C for 10 min to inactivate proteinase K. Two hundred microliters of phenol, chloroform, and isoamyl alcohol (ratio 25:24:1) were added and mixed by inverting the tube. The mixture was then centrifuged

at 13,000 rpm at 4 °C for 10 min. Upper aqueous phases were transferred to a new 2 mL tube containing two volumes of chloroform, and were then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm at 4 °C for 10 min. Genomic DNA was precipitated from the upper phases using 2.5 volumes of ice-cold absolute ethanol and 0.1 volumes of 3 M sodium acetate at -20 °C overnight. A pellet of genomic DNA was washed with 70% ethanol and finally resuspended with nuclease-free water. The concentration and purity of the genomic DNA were determined using a Nanodrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

4.3. Primer Design for Multiplex PCR

To perform multiplex PCR, each pair of species-specific primers was selected from target genes, including *A. lumbricoides*; ITS1 (accession No. AJ000895.1), *N. americanus*; 18s rRNA (accession No. AF217891.1), and *S. stercoralis*; 18S rRNA (accession No. AF279916.2). Specific primers for *A. lumbricoides* were designed using the Primer 3 program. Specific primers for *N. americanus* and for *S. stercoralis* were modified from previous studies [28,29]. The target amplicons were generated as different sizes. Pairs of multiple primers were analyzed and compared using a multiple primer analyzer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The primer sequences and product sizes are described in Table 1.

4.4. Multiplex PCR

First, simplex PCR was performed to optimize the reaction of each pair of species-specific primers by adjusting a range of concentrations of Mg^{2+} , annealing temperature, and concentration of each primer. All of the species-specific primers were then used to conduct multiplex PCR based on the optimization results of the simplex PCR. The $MgCl_2$ concentration and annealing temperature were adjusted to obtain the optimal conditions for multiplex PCR. The optimized multiplex PCR mixture was then conducted in a 20 µL reaction. Multiplex PCR was performed under the following conditions: 94 °C for 5 min, 30 cycles at 94 °C for 1 min, at 58 °C for 1 min, and at 72 °C for 1 min, followed by a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min. The results were determined by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis in 1× TAE buffer for 30 min. The gel was stained with ethidium bromide to visualize the DNA fragments under a UV transilluminator.

4.5. Determination of Specificity and Limit of Detection

To determine the specificity of each primer pair, gDNA isolated from adult worms of *A. lumbricoides*, *N. americanus*, and L3s of *S. stercoralis* were amplified by multiplex PCR (Figure 1) singly and in combinations of two and three. Subsequently, the PCR products of each STH were cloned into pGEM[®]-T Easy Vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). After the transformation and screening of the clones, selected positive clones were sent for DNA sequencing. The plasmids were sequenced in both directions using T7 primers (U2Bio Sequencing Service, Bangkok, Thailand). The sequences of the PCR products were processed using BioEdit Sequence Alignment Editor version 7.2.5 [30] and compared with sequences of closely-related species in GenBank by ClustalW Multiple Alignment (Figure 4). Theses recombinant plasmids were used as the "plasmid control" in multiplex PCR.

To determine the limit of detection, 0.001–100 ng of gDNA isolated from each parasite was used as a template for determination of the detection rate of each species-specific primer, for both simplex and multiplex PCR (Figure 1).

To evaluate the sensitivity and specificity, multiplex PCR was performed with gDNA isolated from stool samples collected from patients infected with *A. lumbricoides*, hookworm, *S. stercoralis*, and other intestinal parasites commonly found in Thailand, including *Enterobius vermicularis*, *Blastocystis hominis*, and *Giardia lamblia*. Negative control material (nuclease-free water) and plasmid controls were included with each run.

4.6. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using STATA statistical package version 14 (STAT Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The degrees of agreement between multiplex PCR and FECT were determined by Cohen's Kappa statistic. Proportional statistic test was used to compare detection rate of multiplex PCR and FECT. *P* values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2076-0817/8/3/152/s1, Table S1: Blast results for amplicons of the clinical samples and plasmid controls.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, V.S. and S.N.; methodology, V.S. and S.N.; validation, R.K., S.S., and K.P.; investigation, R.K. and S.S.; resources, V.S. and S.N.; writing—original draft preparation, S.C. and K.P.; writing—review and editing, V.S. and S.N.; project administration, V.S. and S.N.; funding acquisition, S.N.

Funding: This research was supported by Ratchadapiseksompotch Fund, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University (RA59/011).

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank the staff at the Lymphatic Filariasis and Tropical Medicine Research Unit, Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University for their technical assistance.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Ojha, S.C.; Jaide, C.; Jinawath, N.; Rotjanapan, P.; Baral, P. Geohelminths: Public health significance. *J. Infect. Dev. Ctries.* **2014**, *8*, 5–16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Keiser, J.; Utzinger, J. Efficacy of current drugs against soil-transmitted helminth infections: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2008, 299, 1937–1948. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gordon, C.A.; McManus, D.P.; Acosta, L.P.; Olveda, R.M.; Williams, G.M.; Ross, A.G.; Gray, D.J.; Gobert, G.N. Multiplex real-time PCR monitoring of intestinal helminths in humans reveals widespread polyparasitism in Northern Samar, the Philippines. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 2015, 45, 477–483. [CrossRef]
- 4. Mationg, M.L.S.; Gordon, C.A.; Tallo, V.L.; Olveda, R.M.; Alday, P.P.; Renosa, M.D.C.; Bieri, F.A.; Williams, G.M.; Clements, A.C.A.; Steinmann, P.; et al. Status of soil-transmitted helminth infections in schoolchildren in Laguna Province, the Philippines: Determined by parasitological and molecular diagnostic techniques. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **2017**, *11*, e0006022. [CrossRef]
- Glinz, D.; Silue, K.D.; Knopp, S.; Lohourignon, L.K.; Yao, K.P.; Steinmann, P.; Rinaldi, L.; Cringoli, G.; N'Goran, E.K.; Utzinger, J. Comparing diagnostic accuracy of Kato-Katz, Koga agar plate, ether-concentration, and FLOTAC for Schistosoma mansoni and soil-transmitted helminths. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2010, *4*, e754. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Knopp, S.; Rinaldi, L.; Khamis, I.S.; Stothard, J.R.; Rollinson, D.; Maurelli, M.P.; Steinmann, P.; Marti, H.; Cringoli, G.; Utzinger, J. A single FLOTAC is more sensitive than triplicate Kato-Katz for the diagnosis of low-intensity soil-transmitted helminth infections. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 2009, 103, 347–354. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Speich, B.; Utzinger, J.; Marti, H.; Ame, S.M.; Ali, S.M.; Albonico, M.; Keiser, J. Comparison of the Kato-Katz method and ether-concentration technique for the diagnosis of soil-transmitted helminth infections in the framework of a randomised controlled trial. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 2014, 33, 815–822. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Albonico, M.; Stoltzfus, R.J.; Savioli, L.; Tielsch, J.M.; Chwaya, H.M.; Ercole, E.; Cancrini, G. Epidemiological evidence for a differential effect of hookworm species, *Ancylostoma duodenale OR. Necator americanus*, on iron status of children. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **1998**, *27*, 530–537. [CrossRef]
- 9. Engels, D.; Nahimana, S.; Gryseels, B. Comparison of the direct faecal smear and two thick smear techniques for the diagnosis of intestinal parasitic infections. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **1996**, *90*, 523–525. [CrossRef]
- Santos, F.L.; Cerqueira, E.J.; Soares, N.M. Comparison of the thick smear and Kato-Katz techniques for diagnosis of intestinal helminth infections. *Rev. Soc. Bras. Med. Trop.* 2005, *38*, 196–198. [CrossRef]

- 11. Verweij, J.J.; Stensvold, C.R. Molecular testing for clinical diagnosis and epidemiological investigations of intestinal parasitic infections. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **2014**, *27*, 371–418. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Deng, M.H.; Zhong, L.Y.; Kamolnetr, O.; Limpanont, Y.; Lv, Z.Y. Detection of helminths by loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay: A review of updated technology and future outlook. *Infect. Dis. Poverty* 2019, *8*, 20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fernandez, S.; Pagotto, A.H.; Furtado, M.M.; Katsuyama, A.M.; Madeira, A.M.; Gruber, A. A multiplex PCR assay for the simultaneous detection and discrimination of the seven Eimeria species that infect domestic fowl. *Parasitology* 2003, 127, 317–325. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Yan, W.; Wang, W.; Wang, T.; Suo, X.; Qian, W.; Wang, S.; Fan, D. Simultaneous identification of three highly pathogenic Eimeria species in rabbits using a multiplex PCR diagnostic assay based on ITS1-5.8S rRNA-ITS2 fragments. *Vet. Parasitol.* **2013**, *193*, 284–288. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Zarlenga, D.S.; Barry Chute, M.; Gasbarre, L.C.; Boyd, P.C. A multiplex PCR assay for differentiating economically important gastrointestinal nematodes of cattle. *Vet. Parasitol.* **2001**, *97*, 199–209. [CrossRef]
- Ernest, H.B.; Penedo, M.C.; May, B.P.; Syvanen, M.; Boyce, W.M. Molecular tracking of mountain lions in the Yosemite Valley region in California: Genetic analysis using microsatellites and faecal DNA. *Mol. Ecol.* 2000, 9, 433–441. [CrossRef]
- 17. Croker, C.; Reporter, R.; Redelings, M.; Mascola, L. Strongyloidiasis-related deaths in the United States, 1991-2006. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **2010**, *83*, 422–426. [CrossRef]
- Anamnart, W.; Pattanawongsa, A.; Intapan, P.M.; Maleewong, W. Albendazole stimulates the excretion of Strongyloides stercoralis Larvae in stool specimens and enhances sensitivity for diagnosis of strongyloidiasis. J. Clin. Microbiol. 2010, 48, 4216–4220. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Koga, K.; Kasuya, S.; Khamboonruang, C.; Sukhavat, K.; Ieda, M.; Takatsuka, N.; Kita, K.; Ohtomo, H. A modified agar plate method for detection of *Strongyloides stercoralis. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 1991, 45, 518–521. [CrossRef]
- 20. Verweij, J.J.; Brienen, E.A.; Ziem, J.; Yelifari, L.; Polderman, A.M.; Van Lieshout, L. Simultaneous detection and quantification of *Ancylostoma duodenale*, *Necator americanus*, and *Oesophagostomum bifurcum* in fecal samples using multiplex real-time PCR. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **2007**, *77*, 685–690. [CrossRef]
- Basuni, M.; Muhi, J.; Othman, N.; Verweij, J.J.; Ahmad, M.; Miswan, N.; Rahumatullah, A.; Aziz, F.A.; Zainudin, N.S.; Noordin, R. A pentaplex real-time polymerase chain reaction assay for detection of four species of soil-transmitted helminths. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 2011, *84*, 338–343. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Pilotte, N.; Torres, M.; Tomaino, F.R.; Laney, S.J.; Williams, S.A. A TaqMan-based multiplex real-time PCR assay for the simultaneous detection of *Wuchereria bancrofti* and *Brugia malayi*. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* **2013**, 189, 33–37. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Taniuchi, M.; Verweij, J.J.; Noor, Z.; Sobuz, S.U.; Lieshout, L.; Petri, W.A., Jr.; Haque, R.; Houpt, E.R. High throughput multiplex PCR and probe-based detection with Luminex beads for seven intestinal parasites. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **2011**, *84*, 332–337. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kaewkong, W.; Intapan, P.M.; Sanpool, O.; Janwan, P.; Thanchomnang, T.; Laummaunwai, P.; Lulitanond, V.; Doanh, P.N.; Maleewong, W. Molecular differentiation of *Opisthorchis viverrini* and *Clonorchis sinensis* eggs by multiplex real-time PCR with high resolution melting analysis. *Korean J. Parasitol.* 2013, 51, 689–694. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Truant, A.L.; Elliott, S.H.; Kelly, M.T.; Smith, J.H. Comparison of formalin-ethyl ether sedimentation, formalin-ethyl acetate sedimentation, and zinc sulfate flotation techniques for detection of intestinal parasites. *J. Clin. Microb.* **1981**, *13*, 882–884.
- 26. Intapan, P.; Maleewong, W.; Wongsaroj, T.; Singthong, S.; Morakote, N. Comparison of the quantitative formalin ethyl acetate concentration technique and agar plate culture for diagnosis of human strongyloidiasis. *J. Clin. Microb.* **2005**, *43*, 1932–1933. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Cociancic, P.; Rinaldi, L.; Zonta, M.L.; Navone, G.T. Formalin-ethyl acetate concentration, FLOTAC Pellet and anal swab techniques for the diagnosis of intestinal parasites. *Parasitol. Res.* **2018**, 117, 3567–3573. [CrossRef]
- Sato, M.; Sanguankiat, S.; Yoonuan, T.; Pongvongsa, T.; Keomoungkhoun, M.; Phimmayoi, I.; Boupa, B.; Moji, K.; Waikagul, J. Copro-molecular identification of infections with hookworm eggs in rural Lao PDR. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 2010, 104, 617–622. [CrossRef]

- Repetto, S.A.; Soto, C.A.; Cazorla, S.I.; Tayeldin, M.L.; Cuello, S.; Lasala, M.B.; Tekiel, V.S.; Cappa, S.G. An improved DNA isolation technique for PCR detection of *Strongyloides stercoralis* in stool samples. *Acta. Trop.* 2013, 126, 110–114. [CrossRef]
- 30. Hall, T.A. BioEdit: A user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucl. Acids. Symp. Ser.* **1999**, *41*, 95–98.



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).