RESEARCH ARTICLE



A new species of alpine Apenetretus Kurnakov from Taiwan: evidences from DNA barcodes and morphological characteristics (Coleoptera, Carabidae, Patrobini)

Yi-Ming Weng^{1,2}, Wen-Bin Yeh¹, Man-Miao Yang¹

1 Department of Entomology, National Chung Hsing University, No. 250 Kuo-Kuang Road, Taichung, Taiwan 402, R.O.C. **2** Master Program for Plant Medicine, National Taiwan University, No.1, Sec. 4, Roosevelt Rd., Taipei, Taiwan, 106, R.O.C.

Corresponding author: Wen-Bin Yeh (wbyeh@nchu.edu.tw)

Academic editor: A. Casale		Received 24 August 2015 Accepted 15 March 2016 Published	26 April 2016
b			

Citation: Weng Y-M, Yeh W-B, Yang M-M (2016) A new species of alpine *Apenetretus* Kurnakov from Taiwan: evidences from DNA barcodes and morphological characteristics (Coleoptera, Carabidae, Patrobini). ZooKeys 584: 121–134. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.584.6320

Abstract

There are three isolated mountain ranges in Taiwan including Hsueshan Range, Central Mountain Range, and Yushan Range. The rise of these mountains has resulted in the isolation of some species and caused allopatric distribution resulting in divergence and speciation events of high mountain carabids, especially the flightless carabids such as *Epaphiopsis, Apenetretus*, and partial *Nebria*. Genus *Apenetretus* Kurnakov (1960) is typically distributed in high mountain areas of Taiwan. Three of the currently known *Apenetretus* species have been described from different mountain ranges. These species include *A. yushanensis* Habu, *A. nanhutanus* Habu, and *A. smetanai* Zamotajlov and Sciaky. In this study, a new species is described from Hsueshan, a mountain separated from the ranges of the previous known species, *Apenetretus hsueshanensis* **sp. n**. A key to the Taiwanese *Apenetretus* is included. A reconstructed phylogeny of the Taiwanese *Apenetretus* is introduced with the use of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene. Molecular data and geographical distribution of *Apenetretus* support the morphological characteristics observed among those mountain-isolated species and confirms the new species as being distinctly different. Moreover, lineage calibration suggests that the southern *A. yushanensis* is the most distant one compared to the other three northern *Apenetretus* at ca. 1.81 million years ago (mya), while the divergence time of *A. hsueshanensis* to its sister group was dated to 0.94 mya.

Keywords

Apenetretus, Carabidae, Hsueshan, mountain island isolation, new species

Copyright Yi-Ming Weng et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

In Taiwan, mountain ranges that have become isolated over time have played a major role promoting divergent events of high mountain dwelling carabids, especially in species with flightless adults. For example, in *Nebria formosana* Habu and *N. niitakana* Kano, morphological variation has been described in populations across mountain ranges (Habu 1972). Ten species of the *Epaphiopsis* Ueno, a genus endemic to Taiwan, are found in the high altitude mountain ranges across Taiwan (Ueno 1989). In addition, the aforementioned species are either allopatrically distributed in specific mountains or have topography-matched divergences. Obviously, these divergent events are highly associated with the effect of mountain isolation.

The genus Apenetretus in Taiwan includes three described species, all of which inhabit alpine areas of different mountain ranges (Habu and Baba 1960; Löbl and Smetana 2003; Terada 2006). Apenetretus yushanensis (Habu, 1973) and A. nanhutanus (Habu, 1973) were first collected and described from Yushan and Nanhudashan, respectively (Habu 1973) (Fig. 1). A third species, A. smetanai (Zamotajlov & Sciaky, 1996), was collected by A. Smetana in Mt. Nenggaoshan in 1992. In the original description, A. yushanensis and A. nanhutanus were considered as members of Patrobus Dejean, 1821 and Apatrobus was considered a subgenus under Patrobus. In 1992, Zamotajlov proposed that *Apatrobus* be given genus status with the rationale that members of *Apatrobus* had both larger eyes and more prominent temples which were sub-equal in length with eyes and therefore distinctly different from species of Patrobus. Therefore, based on this definition, Apenetretus yushanensis and Apenetretus nanhutanus are moved from the genus Patrobus to Apatrobus, and the third species, Apenetretus semetanai (Zamotajlov & Sciaky, 1996), was published as Apatrobus semetanai as well. Subsequently, the phylogeny among taxa including genus Apatrobus was studied and the taxonomy of Apatrobus was rearranged accordingly (Zamotajlov 2002; Zamotajlov and Wrase 2006). Two subgenera of Apenetretus and Parapatrobus are apparently different from *Apatrobus* by the absence of setae on ventral side of claw segments thus the both genera were proposed to new sense as genera. The three species originally belong to the subgenus Apenetretus in Taiwan were consequently changed into Apenetretus yushanensis, Apenetretus nanhutanus, and Apenetretus smetanai, respectively (Zamotajlov 2002). Although Lorenz still treated Apentetretus as a subgenus of Apatrobus in the recent catalog (Lorenz 2005), we expediently follow the classification of Zamotajlov, using Apenetretus as the genus for the four species in this study.

According to Habu's original description, one additional female specimen with larger body and longer, depressed elytra from Mt. Hsueshan (Mt. T'zu-kao) has been collected and was considered by him as a local variety of *A. yushanensis* (Habu 1973). As more specimens were collected, however, we found several stable characters, including male genital characters, which could be used to distinguish the Hsueshan specimens from the other *Apenetretus* species.

In order to further examine the morphologically similar species, molecular barcoding methods were utilized as a practical process to help reveal candidate cryptic species



Figure 1. Sample locations of *Apenetretus* spp. *Apenetretus hsueshanensis* sp. n. was collected in Hsueshan; *A. smetanai* was collected in Hehuanshan; *A. nanhutanus* was collected in Nanhudashan; *A. yushanensis* was collected in Yushan. Area of elevation above 2,000 meters is shaded.

among numerous unidentified taxa (Burns et al. 2008; Hebert et al. 2003b; Winterbottom et al. 2014; Yassin et al. 2008). Molecular clock method was also employed to analyze *Apenetretus* genetic divergence times. Here the morphological features of a new *Apenetretus* species are described, including a proposed phylogenetic relationship and divergence time with other species based on mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene.

Materials and methods

Study sites and sample collecting

Specimens of *Apenetretus* were collected by hand from various alpine areas across Taiwan. Specimens from the three species preciously described were collected from their respective

	Sample location	latitude	longitude	elevation (m)
A. hsueshanensis sp. n.	Hsueshan	24°23.6N	121°14.7E	3,330
A. yushanensis	Yushan	23°28.5N	120°57.8E	3,369
A. nanhutanus	Nanhutashan	24°22.1N	121°26.5E	3,394
A. smetanai	Hehuanshan	24°8.2N	121°16.5E	3,100

Table 1. Sample localities of each species.

mountain ranges including *A. yushanensis* from Yushan, *A. nanhutanus* from Nanhudashan, and *A. smetanai* from near Nenggaoshan (Table 1; Fig. 1). Twenty one individuals of the new *Apenetretus* species were sampled from Hsueshan in stands of Taiwan white fir (*Abies kawakamii*) forest or along brooks near Sanliujiu cabin (ca. 3,330 m). Eight individuals of *A. yushanensis* were collected from Laonong river campsite (ca. 3,369 m) close to Yushan, twenty *A. nanhutanus* along the stream in Nanhu glacial cirque, (ca. 3,394 m) near Nanhudashan and fifteen individuals of *A. smetanai* from the vicinity of Hehuanshan (ca. 3,100 m, close to Nenggaoshan) were collected.

Morphological measurements

Measurements of morphological characters were done with a Leica S8APO microscope connected to a Canon 600D camera. After taking character photos, images were stacked with software CombineZP (Hadley 2010). Characters were examined and measured with the use of ImageJ 1.48, image analyzing software (Schneider et al. 2012).

DNA extraction, amplification, and sequencing

For molecular work, twelve individuals of *A. hsueshanensis* sp. n., ten of *A. smetanai*, ten of *A. nanhutanus*, and eight of *A. yushanensis* were used for DNA extraction. Following the instructions of BuccalAmpTM DNA Extraction Kit (Epicentre Biotechnologies, Madison, WI), genomic DNA was extracted from one hind tarsus of each individual by glass homogenizer grounding in 50 μ l QuickExtract Solution, centrifuging for 15 sec, incubating at 65°C for 10 min, centrifuging for 15 sec again, and then incubating at 98°C for 2 min. Finally, the resultant genomic DNA products were stored at -20°C for polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

Mitochondrial COI barcode region was amplified with forward primer Col46 (5'-AACCATAAAGATATTGGAAC-3') and reverse primer Col731 (5'-CAACAT TTATTTTGATTTTTGG-3') in PCR (Tsai et al. 2014). The PCR assay was performed in a volume of 25 μ l containing 2 μ l genomic DNA extraction as template, 2.5 μ l 10X Taq buffer, 0.5 μ l Prime Taq DNA polymerase (GENET BIO, Korea), 0.4 μ l dNTP (25 μ M), and 1 μ l of each primer (10 μ M). After the initial denaturation at 94 °C for 2 min, PCR programming conditions were followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C

for 30 sec, 52 °C for 30 sec and 72 °C for 1 min, with a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR products were purified from 1% agarose gel using QIA quick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, German). The resulting DNA product was sequenced in both strands using Taq dye terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster, CA) and an ABI 377A sequencer. Sequences of COI for the four species have been deposited in GenBank under the accession numbers KR868997–KR869036.

Molecular analyses and phylogeny reconstruction

Sequences were aligned with BioEdit 7.0 software (Hall 1999). Proportional distances among species were conducted using MEGA version 6 (Tamura et al. 2013). The optimal substitution model HKY+I was choice according to jModelTest for Maximum likelihood tree construction and molecular clock calculation (Darriba et al. 2012; Guindon and Gascuel 2003). Phylogenetic inference was performed using maximum likelihood (ML) method with 1,000 bootstrap replications with PhyML version 3.0 (Guindon et al. 2010). The strict molecular clock of the COI gene was calculated under the rate of 3.54% per million years with software BEAST version 1.8.0 (Drummond et al. 2012; Papadopoulou et al. 2010).

Results and discussion

Species description

Apenetretus hsueshanensis sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/AE24C089-561D-4069-B9E2-422AB3B2E67A Figs 2, 3A, 4A, 5A, 5E, 6

Type locality. Taiwan: Mt. Hsueshan, Hsei-Pa National Park, Black Forest near Sanliujiu Cabin, ca. 3,330 m elevation, 24°23.6N, 121°14.7E.

Type material. Holotype: a male, deposited in National Chung-Hsing University (NCHU) Museum of Entomology, labeled: "TAIWAN, Taichung, Heping District, Hsueshan, Sanliujiu Cabin, 3,330 m, 24°23.6N, 121°14.7E, 08 April 2011, Y. M. Weng collector (red label). Paratypes: A total of 10, 3 males and 4 females with the same collection data as the holotype, 1 male and 2 females labeled: TAIWAN, Taichung, Heping District, Hsueshan, Sanliujiu cabin, 3,330 m, 24°23.6N, 121°14.7E, 01 Oct 2010, Y. M. Weng collector.

Etymology. The new species is named after the original collecting locality, Mt. Hsueshan, where it is likely endemic.

Diagnosis. Apenetretus hsueshanensis sp. n. is morphologically similar to the other Taiwanese Apenetretus species (A. yushanensis, A. nanhutanus, and A. smetanai). It can be distinguished externally from the other three species by having more slender elytra



Figure 2. Male of *Apenetretus hsueshanensis* sp. n. (holotype). **A** dorsal view of habitus **B** lateral view of male aedeagus (2×) **C** dorsal view of aedeagus (2×) **D** parameres (3×). Scale bar: 1 mm.

and a ratio of elytral length/width (EL/EW=1.76–1.90) that differs from all other species (Fig. 2A) 1.67–1.75, 1.67, 1.53–1.67, respectively (Habu 1973; Zamotajlov and Sciaky 1996). This character is especially useful in separating male individuals. Male genitalia; aedeagus large (ca. 3 mm in length) and more slender than the other three species (ca. 2.5 mm in length); extremely elongated and twisted after middle (Figs 3, 4). Apical portion of the parameres is prolonged and longer than the other species (Fig. 5) (Habu 1973; Zamotajlov and Sciaky 1996).

Description. Male 10.79–11.77 mm in length, 3.50–3.79 mm in width, female 11.10–12.22 mm in length, 3.71–4.01 mm in width. Color brown to black, ventral surface reddish brown; labrum, mandibles, palpi, legs, and margin of pronotum and elytra lighter in color (Fig. 2A).

Head convex, frontal impression, neck-constriction punctate; microsculpture faint and isodiametric in dorsal view; neck-constriction deep; temporae faintly tumid, longer than eyes, 1.11 (0.88–1.25) times as long as eye in average (only one individual in fifteen individuals has longer eye length than temporae); eye large, convex; with tooth at subapical terminal; palpi truncate at apex; supraorbital setae varied, some individuals



Figure 3. Apical portion of aedeagus of *Apenetretus* spp. in dorsal view. **A** *Apenetretus hsueshanensis* sp. n. holotype **B** *A. smetanai* **C** *A. yushanensis* **D** *A. nanhutanus*. Adapted from Habu 1973; Zamotajlov and Sciaky 1996. Scale bar: 1 mm.

have two closely anterior and one posterior (Fig. 6A), some with only one anterior and one posterior (Fig. 6C), sometimes one between eyes and clypeus, one anterior, and one posterior (Fig. 6B), or one anterior, one between anterior and posterior, and one posterior (Fig. 6D); distance between supraorbital posterior setae rather short, 0.78 (0.73–0.84) times as wide as anterior seta distance; frontal impressions deep, reaching clypeal setae, sometimes divergent posteriorly as *A. smetanai*; third segment of antenna rather long, 1.47 (1.23–1.59) times as long as forth segment; forth segment 1.78 (1.68–1.94) times as long as wide; eleventh segment rather prolonged, 2.5 (2.29–2.77) times as long as wide.

Pronotum weakly convex, widest at about one third, 1.22 (1.18–1.32) times as wide as head, 1.23 (1.17–1.29) times as wide as long, 1.35 (1.28–1.39) times as wide as posterior margin, anterior generally as wide as posterior margin, 1.00 (0.95–1.06) times as anterior margin as posterior margin; microsculpture faint and isodiametric; anterior margin straight to rounded and protrudingt at angles; surface faintly punctate at apical areas, rather punctate along median line, lateral margins, and basal area; posterior margin straight, shallowly sinuate near hind angles; hind angles acute to rectangular, slightly prominent laterally; lateral margin subsinuate, from front angles to the widest points, rather round from the widest points to the turning points, then prominent to the posterior seta pore; anterior marginal setae located before the widest point; posterior setae in hind angles; median line deep, sometimes reaching both extremities, generally reaching to anterior transverse impression; anterior transverse impression and basal foveae deep; disk smooth, rather cordate.



Figure 4. Apical portion of aedeagus of *Apenetretus* spp. in lateral view. **A** *Apenetretus hsueshanensis* sp. n. holotype **B** *A. smetanai* **C** *A. yushanensis* **D** *A. nanhutanus*. Adapted from Habu 1973; Zamotajlov and Sciaky 1996. Scale bar: 1 mm.

Wings atrophied, 0.3 times as long as elytra; elytra rather convex, ovate and more slender than the other three species (Habu 1973; Zamotajlov and Sciaky 1996), 1.82 (1.76–1.90) times as long as wide, widest behind middle, 1.42 (1.30–1.54) times as wide as pronotum, shoulders with one small tooth on each side, wider than posterior margin of pronotum; microsculpture distinct, isodiametric; lateral margin subsinuate before one third, then rounded, apex elongated subapically; striae rather shallow, sometimes finely punctate; scutellary striole punctate; intervals flat, 3rd interval with 3 pores at 0.22 (0.20–0.26), 0.49 (0.41–0.52), and 0.73 (0.69–0.77) times of elytra length; marginal series composed of 10–12 pores.



Figure 5. Right parametes (**A**–**D**) and left parametes (**E**–**H**) of *Apenetretus* spp. **A**, **E** *Apenetretus hsue-shanensis* sp. n. holotype **B**, **F** *A. smetanai* **C**, **G** *A. yushanensis* **D**, **H** *A. nanhutanus*. Adapted from Habu 1973; Zamotajlov and Sciaky 1996. Scale bar: 1 mm.

Mesepistern, metepistern, and mesostern, lateral of prostern, metasternum, and pregenital sterna 1 with distinct punctures; ventral side of neck constriction shallowly rugose on each side; metepistern longer than wide.

Aedeagus (Fig. 2B, C) slender, curved to right side in dorsal view, curved and elongate before middle (Fig. 2B); apical lamella extremely twisted toward right side, forming a ridge at middle in dorsal view and hammer shape at apex in lateral view (Fig. 2C); left margin reflexed and sinuate in dorsal view; parameres different in shape and size of left and right, left paramere wider than right one, apical projection extended, much longer than the other three species, apex with two long and one short setae, and two short setae at each subapical margin (Fig. 2D).



Figure 6. Variation in supraobital setae placement of *Apenetretus hsueshanensis* sp. n. **A** two close anterior setae and one posterior **B** one between eyes and clypeus, one anterior, and one posterior; **C**, one anterior and one posterior **D** one anterior, one between anterior and posterior, and one posterior. Scale bar: 1 mm.

Key to Apenetretus species of Taiwan

1	Antenna moniliform, reaching to basal one seventh of elytra; apical part of
	parameres short, with one short seta at apex and one or no subapical seta (Fig.
	5C, G)
_	Antenna slender, reaching to basal one fifth to one sixth of elytra; apical part
	of parameres longer, with two long seta and one or no short seta at apex, and
	two short subapical seta on each side (Fig. 5A, E)2
2	Elytra prolonged, more than one and three fourth as long as wide; aedeagus
	long, (~3mm), extended and extremely twisted toward right side behind mid-
	dle (Fig. 3A); apical portion of parameres markedly prolonged (Fig. 5A and
	5E) A. hsueshanensis sp. n.
_	Elytra not prolonged, one and one half to one and three fourth as long as
	wide; aedeagus shorter, mostly 2-2.5 mm long, evenly contracted toward
	apex; apical part of parameres less prolonged (Fig. 5B/F and 5D/H)
3	Palpi truncate and depressed apically; temporae longer than eye; front angles
	of pronotum stronger projected A. smetanai Zamotajlov & Sciaky
_	Palpi not truncate; temporae same length as eye; anterior angles of pronotum
	weakly projected

Genetic differentiation of Apenetretus in Taiwan

Phylogenetic analysis of molecular work with the COI gene (686 bp) shows four distinct lineages within the *Apenetretus* of Taiwan (Fig. 7). *Apenetretus yushanensis* is the most basal lineage; members of *A. hsueshanensis* form a sister group to members of *A. nanhutanus* and *A. smetanai* (Fig. 7). The tree topology is consistent with the results of genetic divergence which informs that the most distinct species is *A. yushanensis* and the least divergent species are *A. smetanai* and *A. nanhutanus* (Table 2). It is worth noting that the genetic p-distance among these *Apenetretus* species are close or higher than 2%, the value defined as the general threshold of species differentiation (Hebert et al.



Figure 7. Mitochondrial COI phylogeny of Taiwanese *Apenetretus* constructed with Maximum Likelihood method. One thousand bootstrap values are showed on the branches in percentage.

	A. hsueshanensis sp. n.	A. smetanai	A. nanhutanus
A. hsueshanensis sp. n.	-	-	-
A. smetanai	0.027	-	-
A. nanhutanus	0.024	0.019	-
A. yushanensis	0.038	0.049	0.048

Table 2. P-distance among species of COI gene.

2003a; Hebert et al. 2003b). The divergent trend among *Apenetretus* species is likely to fit with the geological topology of the mountain ranges in Taiwan, where Yushan and Hsueshan Ranges are distinct from Central Mountain Range including Hehuanshan and Nanhudashan. The southern *A. yushanensis* is the most divergent one to the other three northern *Apenetretus* at ca. 1.81 million years ago (mya). The divergence time between *A. hsueshanensis* and its sister group was dated to 0.94 mya, a period which is sufficient for speciation to occur (Fig. 8), which further supports our findings that there is an independent species occurring in Hsueshan. Therefore, the localized Hsuehsan carabids with >2% COI divergent content have most likely speciated allopatrically due to the effect of mountain-island isolation. Interestingly, the divergent time between



Figure 8. Molecular clock dating of mitochondrial COI gene with BEAST ver. 1.8.0. The oldest divergence between *A. yushanensis* and the other *Apenetretus* species occurred at 1.81 million years ago (mya); the divergence between *A. hsueshanensis* and the group of *A. smetanai* and *A. nanhutanus* occurred at 0.94 mya; and the divergence between *A. smetanai* and *A. nanhutanus* occurred at 0.53 mya.

A. smetanai and *A. nanhutanus*, the two most closely distributed and morphologically similar species appear to have diverged only 0.53 mya. It is yet unclear if there is a geographical barrier between two species, so the possibility is exit that the two species may have other forms of isolated barrier such as isolated by distance or intermittently contact due to glacial cycles. The question can be resolved only by examinations and analyses of series collection along Central Mountain Range.

Acknowledgements

We thank Wesley Hunting, who taught us techniques of collection, preparation and dissection of carabid beetles and provided assistance with an early version of this work. We thank Dr. Dave Kavanaugh, who taught us how to examine carabid genitalia in detail and understand important characters. We also thank Cheng-Lung Tsai, William Chiu, Zong-Han Yang, and Yi-Zhang Liao, who helped us collect the examined specimens from the high mountains of Taiwan. We thank Scotty Yang, who provided im-

portant support both materially and spiritually, and Shih-Tsai Yang who reviewed and corrected our species descriptions. The authors also acknowledge the High-throughput Genome and Big Data Analysis Core Facility, Taiwan (MOST 104-2319-B-010-001), for sequencing. This study was partially supported by the Shei-Pa National Park (SP10102)

References

- Burns JM, Janzen DH, Hajibabaei M, Hallwachs W, Hebert PD (2008) DNA barcodes and cryptic species of skipper butterflies in the genus *Perichares* in Area de Conservacion Guanacaste, Costa Rica. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 105: 6350–6355. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0712181105
- Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D (2012) jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. Nature Methods 9: 772–772. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2109
- Drummond AJ, Suchard MA, Xie D, Rambaut A (2012) Bayesian phylogenetics with BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. Molecular Biology and Evolution 29: 1969–1973. doi: 10.1093/ molbev/mss075
- Guindon S, Dufayard J-F, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O (2010) New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. Systematic Biology 59: 307–321. doi: 10.1093/sysbio/syq010
- Guindon S, Gascuel O (2003) A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. Systematic Biology 52: 696–704. doi: 10.1080/10635150390235520
- Habu A (1972) Notes and descriptions of Formosan Carabidae taken by Dr. S.-I. ueno in 1961 (Coleoptera: Carabidae). I. on three *Nebria* species. Transactions of the Shikoku Entomological Society 11: 71–80.
- Habu A (1973) Notes and descriptions of Formosan Carabidae taken by Dr. S.-I. ueno in 1961 (Coleoptera: Carabidae). II. a new *Broscosoma* and two new *Patrobus* species. Transactions of the Shikoku Entomological Society 11: 99–106.
- Habu A, Baba K (1960) A new species of *Patrobus* from Mts. Iide, with the key to the *Patrobus*species of Japan (Coleoptera, Carabidae). Transactions of the Kyoto Entomological Society 9: 1–10.
- Hadley A (2010) Combine ZP Software, new version. http://www.hadleyweb.pwp.blueyonder.co.uk/
- Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symposium Series 41: 95–98.
- Hebert PD, Ratnasingham S, de Waard JR (2003a) Barcoding animal life: cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 divergences among closely related species. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences 270: 96–99. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2003.0025
- Hebert PDN, Cywinska A, Ball SL (2003b) Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B: Biological Sciences 270: 313–32. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2002.2218

- Löbl I, Smetana A (2003) Catalogue of Palaearctic Coleoptera, Vol. 1: Archostemata-Myxophaga-Adephaga. Apollo Books, Stenstrup 1: 819.
- Lorenz W (2005) Systematic list of extant Ground Beetles of the World (Insecta Coleoptera "Geadephaga": Trachypachidae and Carabidae incl. Paussinae, Cicindelinae, Rhysodinae). Second Edition. Wolfgang Lorenz, Tutzing, 530 pp.
- Papadopoulou A, Anastasiou I, Vogler AP (2010) Revisiting the insect mitochondrial molecular clock: the mid-Aegean trench calibration. Molecular Biology and Evolution 27: 1659–1672. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msq051
- Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW (2012) NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nature Methods 9: 671–675. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2089
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S (2013) MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30: 2725–2729. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst197
- Terada K (2006) A checklist of the Carabidae (Coleoptera) recorded from Taiwan. Miscellaneous Reports of the Hiwa Museum for Natural History 46: 1–72.
- Tsai C-L, Wan X, Yeh W-B (2014) Differentiation in stag beetles, *Neolucanus swinhoei* complex (Coleoptera: Lucanidae): Four major lineages caused by periodical Pleistocene glaciations and separation by a mountain range. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 78: 245–259. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2014.05.004
- Ueno SI (1989) The Taiwanese species of the genus *Epaphiopsis* (Coleoptera, Trechinae). Bulletin of the National Science Museum Series A Zoology 15: 105-137
- Winterbottom R, Hanner RH, Burridge M, Zur M (2014) A cornucopia of cryptic speciesa DNA barcode analysis of the gobiid fish genus *Trimma* (Percomorpha, Gobiiformes). ZooKeys: 381: 79–111. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.381.6445
- Yassin A, Capy P, Madi-Ravazzi L, Ogereau D, David JR (2008) DNA barcode discovers two cryptic species and two geographical radiations in the invasive drosophilid *Zaprionus indianus*. Molecular Ecology Resources 8: 491–501. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.02020.x
- Zamotajlov A, Sciaky R (1996) Contribution to the knowledge of Patrobinae (Coleoptera, Carabidae) from south-east Asia. Coleoptera 20: 1–63.
- Zamotajlov A (2002) Inferring phylogenetic system of the carabid subfamily Patrobinae (Coleoptera, Carabidae). St-Petersburg: Zoological Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences (Meetings in memory N.A. Cholodkovsky; Iss. 55): 1–145. [In Russian]
- Zamotajlov A, Wrase D (2006) New taxa of the tribe Patrobini (Coleoptera, Carabidae) from the East Himalayas. Linzer Biologische Beiträge 38: 991–1008.