

Food groups intake of cirrhotic patients, comparison with the nutritional status and disease stage

Fereshteh Pashayee-khamene¹, Mahdi Saber-firoozi², Behzad Hatami³, Bahram Rashidkhani⁴, Vahideh Aghamohammadi⁵, Elahe Mohammadi⁶, Azita Hekmatdoost¹

¹Department of Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics, Faculty of Nutrition Sciences and Food Technology, National Nutrition and Food Technology Research Institute, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

²Digestive Disease Research Center, Digestive Disease Research Institute, Tehran University of Medical Science, Tehran, Iran

³Gastroenterology and Liver Diseases Research Center, Research Institute for Gastroenterology and Liver Diseases, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁴Department of Community Nutrition, Faculty of Nutrition Sciences and Food Technology, National Nutrition and Food Technology Research Institute, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁵Department of Paramedical Sciences, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran

⁶Faculty of Nutrition, Khalkhal University of Medical Sciences, Khalkhal, Iran

ABSTRACT

Aim: The aim of this study was to determine the relation between different food groups intake, nutritional status of cirrhotic patient and the stage of the disease.

Background: Protein-energy malnutrition (PEM) is a common problem in cirrhotic patients. Food intake assessment is highly important in the investigation regarding the health-disease process.

Methods: In this cross-sectional study, sixty eight ambulatory cirrhotic patients, with a mean age of 54 years, were included. In order to assess the stage of the disease and malnutrition status, Child-Pugh score and Subjective Global Assessment index were used respectively. Dietary intakes were assessed using a 168-item semi-quantitative validated food frequency questionnaire. Odds ratios (OR) and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) were computed, using logistic regression models.

Results: After adjustment for confounders, we found significant inverse relations between intakes of nuts (OR=0.140, CI=0.031-0.625) and olive (OR=0.212, CI=0.049-0.917) with severity of disease and boiled potatoes (OR=0.154, CI=0.040-0.592) and legumes (OR=0.090, CI=0.020-0.406) with malnutrition status. Inversely, solid fats (OR=3.324, CI=1.080-10.238) and mayonnaise (OR=5.215, CI=1.203-22.612) were positively associated with disease severity and malnutrition, respectively.

Conclusion: These findings suggest that selection of healthy foods was negatively associated with severity of hepatic cirrhosis whereas unhealthy food groups had a positive relation with disease severity and malnutrition.

Keywords: food groups, hepatic cirrhosis, malnutrition, Child-Pugh score.

(Please cite as: **Pashayee-khamene F, Saber-firoozi M, Hatami B, Hekmatdoost A, Rashidkhani B, Aghamohammadi V, et al. Food groups intake of cirrhotic patients, comparison with the nutritional status and disease stage. Gastroenterol Hepatol Bed Bench 2019;12(3):226-232.**)

Introduction

Protein energy malnutrition (PEM) is highly prevalent in cirrhotic patients and differs from 60-100% in decompensated to 20-30% in compensated patients

and even reaches to 75% in ambulatory patients (1). Patients with severe disease (Child-Pugh's grading of B and C) usually suffer from severe malnutrition (1). PEM would adversely affect the general and clinical status, liver function, morbidity and mortality in cirrhotic patients as well as reduced survival when such patients undergo liver transplantation (2, 3). Several factors contribute to malnutrition including poor oral intake, malabsorption, metabolic abnormality,

Received: 14 September 2018 Accepted: 18 December 2018

Reprint or Correspondence: **Bahram Rashidkhani, MD, PhD. Hafezi Street, Farahzadi Boulevard, Sharak-E-Ghods, Tehran, Iran.**

E-mail: rashidkhani@yahoo.com

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-1944-0052

increased energy requirement and disturbances in substrate utilization such as reduced glucose oxidation and increased lipid oxidation, accelerated protein breakdown and inefficient patient's synthesis (4).

Assessment of dietary intake is crucial for monitoring the disease course in cirrhotic patients. There are several methods to evaluate the quality and quantity of nutrient intake in liver disease patients; however, Subjective Global Assessment (SGA) is considered an optimal method because it does not include the parameters of nutritional status or biochemical values which might be affected by liver dysfunction (2, 5). It has been shown that the progression of malnutrition is associated with disease severity (6). Generally accepted methods for assessing the clinical status and severity of disease in cirrhotic patients are the Child-Pugh-Turcotte classification (5, 7). Although some studies have shown the high prevalence of malnutrition in cirrhotic patients and its relation with disease prognosis, there is no study evaluating the role of various food items in disease progression and malnutrition. Therefore, assessing dietary behavior of cirrhotic patients appears essential to increase the knowledge in this domain and to identify the role of nutritional factors in disease progression.

The present cross-sectional study aimed to evaluate the association between dietary food groups, nutritional status of the patient and the stage of the disease among Iranian populations.

Methods

Subjects

In this cross-sectional study, sixty eight ambulatory cirrhotic patients (with more than 6 months of cirrhosis diagnosis), with a mean age of 54 years, were recruited from two educational hospitals of Tehran (capital of Iran) from September 2016 to February 2017. Majority of patients (67.7%) had hepatitis C viral etiology and were male (72%). All patients gave written informed consent before inclusion (participation rate >97%). Exclusion criteria were the following: pregnancy, chronic renal or cardiac diseases, diabetes mellitus, pancreatic insufficiency, neoplasia and acquired immuno deficiency syndrome. The diagnosis of cirrhosis was based on the medical history, physical examination, biochemical findings and imagistic

methods (ultrasound and /or computed tomography). Study protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee for Research at Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences with ethical code Ir.sbm.u.nnftri.1396.186.

Dietary assessment

We evaluated dietary intake of patients using a validated Food Frequency questionnaire (FFQ) (8). The questionnaire included 168 food and beverage items, categorized in 13 food groups (vegetables, fruits, dairy, grains, animal source proteins, liquid oil, sweets, boiled potato, nuts, solid fats, legumes, mayonnaise, and olive). Subjects were asked to specify their frequency of consumption for each food item on a daily, weekly, monthly or yearly basis. Intakes were then converted to daily frequencies and a manual for household measures was used to convert intake frequencies to grams of food intake/day. For each group portion size was estimated using either usual containers (for example spoon or standard unit as yogurt) or a set of validated color photographs.

Nutritional assessment

Nutritional assessment was accomplished by using SGA according to the proposition of Destky *et al.* (9). The standard SGA includes nutritional evaluation of height, weight (current, before illness and weight variation in the previous 6 months), nutritional history (appetite, intake, and gastrointestinal symptoms), physical examination assessment of fat loss, muscle wasting, and presence of ascites or encephalopathy, infections and renal insufficiency. Body weight was measured in lightweight clothing and without shoes to the nearest 0.5 kg, using a scale (Seca, Germany). Height was measured by a mounted tape without shoes to the nearest 0.1 cm accuracy using a stadiometer (Seca, Germany). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as the weight in kilogram divided by the square of the height in meters. Based on this evaluation, patients were classified prospectively into three groups: A: well-nourished B: moderately malnourished C: severely malnourished.

The severity of liver disease was assessed by the child-pugh classification. The score was calculated by serum albumin, total bilirubin, international normalized ratio (INR), and presence of ascites or encephalopathy.

Covariates

Data about lifestyle (educational level, socioeconomic status, marital status, smoking status,

and physical activity level), nutritional behaviors (alcohol consumption and food supplements) and medical history (such as high blood pressure, diabetes) and current treatment were collected at inclusion using questionnaires. Anthropometric indices were measured accurately (10).

Statistical analysis

We performed statistical analyzes of the data by using SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Science) version.22. A p value of <0.05 was taken as significant. Due to the low number of patients in C class of child-pugh and SGA, they were stratified into two groups (conversion of 3-state to 2-state). The quantitative variables were expressed as mean and standard deviation, and qualitative variables were shown by absolute and relative frequencies. To compare means in two groups, the Student t test was used. The chi-square test of Pearson was applied for categorical variables. We used logistic regression models to examine the association between different food group consumption, severity of malnutrition and stage of the disease.

Logistic regression was adjusted for age and energy in model 1; and age, energy, BMI, smoking and alcohol consumption in model 2.

Results

Table 1 shows the demographic characterization according to the severity of cirrhosis. This study included 68 adult cirrhotic patients with a mean age of 54.63 years. Patients were mainly men (72.1%) and the most prevalent etiology of hepatic cirrhosis was hepatitis C virus (67.7%). As for the severity of the disease, the majority of patients, 47 (69.1%), were Child-Pugh A, while 21 (30.9%) were Child-Pugh B and only one patient was assigned to Child-Pugh C. Child-Pugh A patients were significantly different from Child-Pugh B and C patients in the case of weight and height ($p \leq 0.05$).

We categorized the amount of food groups intake (gr/day) to low and high levels according to median; then we compared the distributions of these levels of

Table 1. Characteristics of participants (n=68) according to Child-pugh score ^a

Characteristics	Total participants (n =68)	Child-pugh	
		A (n=47)	B and C(n=21)
	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	Mean±SD
Age	54.63±11.67	54.70±11.81	54.47±11.64
Weight	73.69±15.10	76.36±16.19	68.59±10.38 ^b
Height	167.38±7.78	169.14±7.54	163.42±6.96 ^b
BMI	26.68±4.99	27.00±5.50	25.95±3.63
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
Etiology			
Virus	44(67.7)	32(72.7)	12(27.3)
other	21(32.3)	14(66.7)	7(33.3)
Sex			
Male	49(72.1)	34(69.4)	15(30.6)
female	19(27.9)	13(68.4)	6(31.6)
Obesity			
Yes	21(30.9)	17(81)	4(19)
No	47(69.1)	30(63.8)	17(36.2)
Smoking			
Yes	30(46.2)	22(73.3)	8(26.7)
No	35(53.8)	23(65.7)	12(34.3)
Alcohol consumption			
Yes	18(28.1)	14(77.8)	4(22.2)
No	46(71.9)	30(65.2)	16(34.8)
Calcium supplementation			
Yes	5(7.4)	5(100)	0(0)
No	63(92.6)	42(66.7)	21(33.3)
multivitamin supplementation			
Yes	27(39.7)	18(66.7)	9(33.3)
No	41(60.3)	29(70.7)	12(29.3)

BMI: body mass index; ^aT-test or Mann-Whitney U test were used for comparison of quantitative variables and chi-square test or Fisher's exact test were used for comparison of qualitative variables; ^bp value< 0.05

Table 2. Association of food groups intake (according to median) with Child-Pugh score

Food group	Intake (g/day)	Severity of hepatic insufficiency		P value*
		Child-pugh A n (%)	Child-pugh B and C n (%)	
Nuts	Low	19(40.4)	15(71.4)	0.018 ^a
	High	28(59.6)	6(28.6)	
Olive	Low	27(57.4)	18(85.7)	0.023 ^a
	High	20(42.6)	3(14.3)	
Solid fats	Low	29(61.7)	7(33.3)	0.030 ^a
	High	18(38.3)	14(66.7)	
Vegetables	Low	23(48.9)	11(52.4)	0.793
	High	24(51.1)	10(47.6)	
Fruits	Low	22(46.8)	12(57.1)	0.431
	High	25(53.2)	9(42.9)	
Dairy	Low	22(46.8)	12(57.1)	0.431
	High	25(53.2)	9(42.9)	
Grains	low	24(51.1)	10(47.6)	1.000
	high	23(48.9)	11(52.4)	
Animal protein	low	23(48.9)	11(52.4)	1.000
	high	24(51.1)	10(47.6)	
Liquid oil	low	21(44.7)	13(61.9)	0.294
	high	26(55.3)	8(38.1)	
Sweets	low	25(53.2)	9(42.9)	0.600
	high	22(46.8)	12(57.1)	

^ap<0.05; Low and high is according to median; Fisher exact test or chi-square test; n (%) = Number and percent of patients

Table 3. Association of different food groups intake (according to median) with severity of malnutrition

Food groups	Intake (g/day)	Severity of malnutrition			P value ^a
		SGA A n (%)	SGA B n (%)	SGA C n (%)	
Boiled potato	Low	9(33.3)	23(69.7)	3(37.5)	0.019 ^a
	High	18(66.7)	10(30.3)	5(62.5)	
Legume	Low	7(25.9)	21(63.6)	6(75)	0.008 ^a
	High	20(74.1)	12(36.4)	2(25)	
Mayonnaise	Low	21(77.8)	18(54.5)	8(100)	0.020 ^a
	High	6(22.2)	15(45.5)	0(0)	
Vegetable	Low	10(37)	18(54.5)	6(75)	0.137
	High	17(63)	15(45.5)	2(25)	
Fruit	Low	11(40.7)	17(51.5)	6(75)	0.238
	High	16(59.3)	16(48.5)	2(25)	
Dairy	Low	13(48.1)	16(48.5)	5 (62.5)	0.885
	High	14(51.9)	17(51.5)	3(37.5)	
Grains	Low	12(44.4)	17(51.5)	5(62.5)	0.622
	High	15(55.6)	16(48.5)	3(37.5)	
Animal protein	Low	13(48.1)	15(45.5)	6(75)	0.380
	High	14(51.9)	18(54.5)	2(25)	
Liquid oil	Low	10(37)	19(57.6)	5(62.5)	0.208
	High	17(63)	14(42.4)	3(37.5)	
Sweets	Low	13(48.1)	17(51.5)	4(50)	1.000

intake across the categories of Child-Pugh in Table 2 and malnutrition status in Table 3. There were significant relationship between nuts, olive and solid fat groups with Child-Pugh score ($p \leq 0.05$) (Table 2). Moreover, boiled potatoes, legumes and mayonnaise had statistically significant association with malnutrition classification (Table 3).

Table 4 shows the odd ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) of disease severity and malnutrition for food group intakes within 2 adjusted models. In a comparison of the highest with the lowest median of food groups intake, boiled potato (model 2, OR: 0.154, CI: 0.040-0.592) and legumes intake (model 2, OR: 0.090, CI: 0.020-0.406) significantly reduced

Table 4. Odds ratio (OR) and 95% (CI) of malnutrition and severity of disease by food groups intake according to adjusted model

Food Group(g/day)		SGA-category	Child pugh-category
Boiled potato (≥12.1 vs. <12.1)	Model 1	0.260 (0.088-0.766)*	0.396(0.134-1.170)
	Model 2	0.154(0.040-0.592)*	0.408(0.132-1.257)
Legume (≥59.25 vs. <59.25)	Model 1	0.210(0.069-0.637)*	0.583(0.195-1.749)
	Model 2	0.090(0.020-0.406)*	0.411(0.119-1.418)
Mayonnaise (≥0 vs. <0)	Model 1	3.119(1.01-11.000)*	2.157(0.709-6.562)
	Model 2	5.215(1.203-22.612)*	2.049(0.591-7.103)
Nut (≥11.3 vs. <11.3)	Model 1	0.525(0.180-1.537)	0.190(0.054-0.666)*
	Model 2	0.324(0.090-1.168)	0.140(0.031-0.625)*
Olive (≥0 vs. <0)	Model 1	0.869(0.302-2.500)	0.208(0.052-0.828)*
	Model 2	0.909(0.276-2.996)	0.212(0.049-0.917)*
Solid Fat (≥2 vs. <2)	Model 1	4.488(1.353-14.886)	3.324(1.080-10.238)*
	Model 2	4.734(1.203-18.635)	3.326(0.980-11.291)
Grains (≥399.3 vs. <399.3)	Model 1	1.189(0.372-3.799)	1.030(0.314-3.82)
	Model 2	1.130(0.307-4.161)	0.95(0.250-3.275)
Animal protein source (≥123.75 vs. <123.75)	Model 1	1.154(0.402-3.312)	0.800(0.269-2.383)
	Model 2	0.993(0.283-3.485)	0.913(0.266-3.128)
Liquid oil (≥12.3 vs. <12.3)	Model 1	0.538(0.184-1.569)	0.396(0.124-1.263)
	Model 2	0.570(0.171-1.904)	0.415(0.125-1.385)
Sweets (≥49.75 vs. <49.75)	Model 1	1.307(0.443-3.852)	1.470(0.485-4.455)
	Model 2	1.038(0.312-3.450)	2.005(0.599-6.715)

*p<0.05; Model 1: adjusted for energy and age; Model 2: model 1 plus BMI, smoking and alcohol consumption

risk of malnutrition, while, any consumption of mayonnaise increased malnutrition risk in both adjusted models. A decrease in disease severity was observed with increased consumption of nuts (model 2, OR:0.140, CI:0.031-0.625) and olive (model 2, OR:0.212, CI:0.049-0.917), whereas consumption of solid fats (model 1, OR:3.324, CI:1.080-10.238) was associated with increased risk of disease severity in model 1.

Discussion

In the present study, we assessed the relation between food group's intake and severity of hepatic cirrhosis and malnutrition status. Although limited studies have shown the role of nutrition in liver disease prognosis (11-15), the role of various food groups intakes regarding disease severity and malnutrition remains open to debate.

Thirteen major dietary groups were analyzed and assessed in this study. Intakes of nuts and olive inversely, and solid fats positively associated with severity of disease, while boiled potatoes and legumes consumption were associated with lower risk, and mayonnaise was associated with higher risk of malnutrition in these cirrhotic patients. Although there is no study assessing the association between food

groups intake and Child-Pugh score and malnutrition status, few previous studies have reported some evidence which was in accordance with our findings.

A study by Soto-alarcon *et al.* reported several protective effects of extra virgin olive oil on the liver, like reducing hepatic steatosis, hepatocyte ballooning, fibrogenesis and preventing lipid oxidation. In addition, extra virgin olive oil prevented inflammation, oxidative stress, endoplasmic reticulum stress, mitochondrial dysfunction and insulin resistance through inactivation of the nuclear transcription factor- κ B (NF- κ B) and inhibition of the protein kinase RNA-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK) pathways. These effects might be due to high level of oleic acid and phenolic compounds like hydroxytyrosol and oleuropein, which have anti-oxidants properties (16).

Nuts are nutritionally dense fruits, consisting of a unique blend of essential nutrients, fatty acids, and bioactive compounds. In a recent work at the Storr Liver Unit, tree nuts and walnuts in particular, improved liver function tests in patients with NAFLD through improvements of inflammation, lipid profile and hepatic steatosis (17).

In our study, legumes consumption was associated with lower risk of malnutrition. Jenkins *et al.* suggested that higher intake of vegetable protein like legumes improve carbohydrate tolerance in cirrhotic patients. Lentil in

comparison with bread and cottage cheese as breakfast decreased blood glucose and insulin due to its high content of fiber (18).

Weber *et al.* compared the effects of a vegetable protein versus animal protein to find the components that have therapeutic effects in hepatic encephalopathy. Vegetable diet might exert beneficial effects on nitrogen balance. The key difference between animal and vegetable protein is in their amino acid profiles. Therapeutic effect of vegetable protein can be explained by reduced amount of methionine and aromatic amino acids. Moreover, high amount of fiber and complex polysaccharides, improve bacteria metabolism and increase nitrogen excretion into fecal bacteria (19).

Moreover, Bianchi *et al.* compared the effects of mainly vegetable protein diet with an animal protein diet in cirrhotic patients and chronic permanent encephalopathy. They identified better nitrogen balance during the vegetable protein diet because of reduced urinary nitrogen excretion. In addition, plasma amino acids, ammonia, insulin, and clinical grading of encephalopathy were lower in vegetable protein diet compared with animal diet (20).

Our study had some limitations including selection bias, which should also be considered in interpreting the results. In addition, we used SGA for assessment of malnutrition, which is a subjective measurement. Another problem was low number of Child-Pugh C patients, which ultimately leaves the sample inhomogeneous. This fact may jeopardize the results of the associations with the staging of the disease. Eventually, we could not directly infer causality due to the cross-sectional nature of the study design.

The strengths of this study were high participation rate, using valid questionnaires and lack of residual confounding (by adjustment for important confounders). In addition, this is the first study which examined the relation between food groups intake and severity of disease and nutritional status in patients with cirrhosis.

In conclusion, our findings suggested the protective effect of healthy foods such as nuts and olive on severity of hepatic cirrhosis (Child-Pugh score), and boiled potato and legumes on malnutrition status (SGA). Conversely, unhealthy food selection including solid fats and mayonnaise group were positively

associated with Child-Pugh score and malnutrition respectively. Since we have a small number of patients, it is suggested to carry out new studies with prospective design to prove the present results.

Acknowledgment

This study was funded by the National Nutrition and Food Technology Research Institute, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Conflict of interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Carvalho L, Parise ER. Evaluation of nutritional status of nonhospitalized patients with liver cirrhosis. *Arq Gastroenterol* 2006;43:269-74.
2. Nunes FF, Bassani L, Fernandes SA, Deutch ME, Pivatto BC, Marroni CA. Food consumption of cirrhotic patients, comparison with the nutritional status and disease staging. *Arq Gastroenterol* 2016;53:250-6.
3. Huisman EJ, Trip EJ, Siersema PD, van Hoek B, van Erpecum KJ. Protein energy malnutrition predicts complications in liver cirrhosis. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2011;23:982-9.
4. Manguso F, D'Ambra G, Menchise A, Sollazzo R, D'Agostino L. Effects of an appropriate oral diet on the nutritional status of patients with HCV-related liver cirrhosis: a prospective study. *Clin Nutr* 2005;24:751-9.
5. Gunsar F, Raimondo ML, Jones S, Terreni N, Wong C, Patch D, et al. Nutritional status and prognosis in cirrhotic patients. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2006;24:563-72.
6. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines on nutrition in chronic liver disease. *J Hepatol* 2019;70:172-193.
7. Ehsani Ardakani MJ, Safaei A, Arefi Oskouie A, Haghparast H, Haghazali M, Mohaghegh Shalmani H, et al. Evaluation of liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma using Protein-Protein Interaction Networks. *Gastroenterol Hepatol Bed Bench*. 2016;9:S14-22.
8. Mirmiran P, Esfahani FH, Mehrabi Y, Hedayati M, Azizi F. Reliability and relative validity of an FFQ for nutrients in the Tehran lipid and glucose study. *Public Health Nutr* 2010;13:654-62.
9. Detsky AS, McLaughlin JR, Baker JP, Johnston N, Whittaker S, Mendelson RA, et al. What is subjective global assessment of nutritional status? *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr* 1987;11:8-13.
10. Yari Z, Rahimlou M, Poustchi H, Hekmatdoost A. Flaxseed Supplementation in Metabolic Syndrome

232 Food groups intake of cirrhotic patients

Management: A Pilot Randomized, Open-labeled, Controlled Study. *Int J Food Sci Nutr* 2016;30:1339-44.

11. Juakiem W, Torres DM, Harrison SA. Nutrition in cirrhosis and chronic liver disease. *Clin Liver Dis* 2014;18:179-90.

12. Thandassery RB, Montano-Loza AJ. Role of Nutrition and Muscle in Cirrhosis. *Curr Treat Option On* 2016;14:257-73.

13. McClain CJ. Nutrition in Patients With Cirrhosis. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2016;12:507-10.

14. Anand AC. Nutrition and Muscle in Cirrhosis. *J Clin Exp Hepatol* 2017;7:340-57.

15. Bemeur C, Butterworth RF. Nutrition in the management of cirrhosis and its neurological complications. *J Clin Exp Hepatol* 2014;4:141-50.

16. Soto-Alarcon SA, Valenzuela R, Valenzuela A, Videla LA. Liver Protective Effects of Extra Virgin Olive Oil: Interaction between Its Chemical Composition and the Cell-

signaling Pathways Involved in Protection. *Endocr Metab Immune Disord Drug Targets* 2018;18:75-84.

17. Jenkins DJ, Thorne MJ, Taylor RH, Bloom SR, Sarson DL, Jenkins AL, et al. Slowly digested carbohydrate food improves impaired carbohydrate tolerance in patients with cirrhosis. *Clin sci* 1984;66:649-57.

18. Gupta V, Mah X, Garcia MC, Antonypillai C, Poorten DVD. Oily fish, coffee and walnuts: Dietary treatment for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *World J Gastroenterol* 2015;21:10621-35.

19. Weber FL, Jr., Minco D, Fresard KM, Banwell JG. Effects of vegetable diets on nitrogen metabolism in cirrhotic subjects. *Gastroenterol* 1985;89:538-44.

20. Bianchi GP, Marchesini G, Fabbri A, Rondelli A, Bugianesi E, Zoli M, et al. Vegetable versus animal protein diet in cirrhotic patients with chronic encephalopathy. A randomized cross-over comparison. *J Intern Med* 1993;233:385-92.