

Association of sleep quality and psychological aspects with reports of bruxism and TMD in Brazilian dentists during the COVID-19 pandemic

Abstract

Karen Oliveira PEIXOTO¹ (iD

Camila Maria Bastos Machado de

RESENDE^{1,2} (D)

Erika Oliveira de ALMEIDA1

Camila Megale ALMEIDA-LEITE² ID

Paulo César Rodrigues CONTI^{3,4}

Gustavo Augusto Seabra BARBOSA1

Juliana Stuginski BARBOSA³ (ID

Dentists are exposed to contamination by SARS-CoV-2 due to dental interventions, leading to a state of alert and potential risk of negative impact in mental health and sleep quality, associated with Temporomandibular Disorder (TMD) and bruxism. Objective: to evaluate the psychosocial status, sleep quality, symptoms of TMD, and bruxism in Brazilian dentists (DSs) during the COVID-19 pandemic. Methodology: The sample (n=641 DSs) was divided into three groups (quarantined DSs; DSs in outpatient care; and frontline professionals), which answered an electronic form containing the TMD Pain Screening Questionnaire (Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders - DC/TMD), the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), the Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS-21), and the sleep and awake bruxism questionnaire. ANOVA test and Mann Whitney post-test were used, with Bonferroni adjustment (p<0.016) and a 95% confidence level. Results: Probable TMD was found in 24.3% (n=156) of the participants, while possible sleep and awake bruxism were diagnosed in 58% (n=372) and 53.8% (n=345) of them, respectively. Among all variables evaluated, only symptoms of depression were significantly greater in the quarantined DSs group when compared to those who were working at the clinical care (p=0.002). Working DSs were significantly less likely (OR=0.630, p=0.001) to have depressive symptoms. Those who were not worried or less worried about the pandemic were less likely to experience stress (OR=0.360), anxiety (OR=0.255), and poor sleep quality (OR=0.256). Sleep had a strong positive and moderate correlation with psychological factors on frontline workers and DSs in outpatient care, respectively. Conclusion: The results suggest confinement may have a more negative impact on the life of DSs than the act of being actively working. The concern about Covid-19 and poor sleep quality was significantly prevalent and may negatively affect the quality of life of DSs. Thus, further research on the topic is needed.

Submitted: December 21, 2020 Modification: April 3, 2021 Accepted: April 9, 2021

Keywords: Coronavirus infections. Psychological distress. Anxiety. Depression. Sleep disorders. Bruxism. Temporomandibular joint disorder.

Corresponding address: Karen Oliveira Peixoto Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte -Departamento de Odontologia - Rua Salgado Filho - Lagoa Nova - 59056-000 - Natal/RN - Brasil. phone: +55 (84) 3215-4100 e-mail: karenoliveirap@hotmail.com



¹Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte (UFRN), Departamento de Odontologia, Natal, RN, Brasil.

²Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Departamento de Morfologia, Belo Horizonte. MG. Brasil.

³Universidade de São Paulo, Bauru Orofacial Pain Group, Bauru, SP, Brasil.

⁴Universidade de São Paulo, Faculdade de Odontologia de Bauru, Departamento de Prótese e Periodontia, Bauru, SP, Brasil.

Introduction

Unpredictable or incomprehensible life situations, as the current pandemic caused by the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19), are important sources of stress.¹ The causative agent of the disease is the new Coronavirus related to the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS-CoV-2).² The virus is transmitted from person to person, mainly through direct contact or through virus-laden droplets propelled by the coughing or sneezing from an infected individual.³ The first case of the disease occurred in Wuhan, China, in December 2019 and spread rapidly around the world, being classified in March 2020, by the World Health Organization (WHO), as a pandemic of great risk to international health.⁴

To reduce the transmission of Covid-19, broad public health measures have been adopted, such as social isolation and quarantine.² Confinement can be stressful,⁵ bringing with it challenges of considerable impact in the daily routine of individuals, such as reducing social interaction, working long hours under new circumstances, dealing with the fear of contamination, and constantly worrying about preventive measures.⁶ The quarantine substantially increases anxiety and may negatively affect general health, leading to other health problems.⁷ Moreover, possible financial difficulties from being away from work contribute to anxiety, uncertainty, and stress.⁶

Health-care workers in all areas worldwide are facing a major challenge, as they are particularly exposed to various stressors during the pandemic.⁴ The ones who are in quarantine need to reconcile their domestic responsibilities with studies or work, often under more stressful conditions due to family life, especially for those with children.⁶ Those who remain at work, however, need to deal with the fear of infecting themselves or their families, which leads to a permanent state of vigilance, and even exhaustion from wearing personal protective equipment (PPE).⁴ Those on the frontline in hospitals face the need to use even more equipment, as they frequently deal with infected patients, besides the increased workload and constant work pressure.⁸

During the SARS-CoV outbreak in 2003, most health-care workers experienced high levels of symptoms of stress, anxiety, and depression.^{9,10} Despite protective measures, professionals were infected with SARS during aerosol-generating

procedures.¹¹ Hence, dental professionals who are strongly exposed to it during dental procedures may be quite susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 contamination due to clinical practice.¹²

Moreover, it has been shown, among health-care professionals, dentistry has one of the highest levels of stress load and risk of developing musculoskeletal disorders, such as temporomandibular disorders (TMD).13,14 TMD is defined as a series of clinical problems involving the masticatory muscles, the temporomandibular joint (TMJ), and associated structures. 15 It is one of the musculoskeletal conditions that mostly results in pain and disability, and the most frequent condition of chronic orofacial pain.¹⁶ Psychosocial factors and sleep disorders are known to contribute to the perpetuation of TMD pain¹⁷ and some studies demonstrate a positive association between TMD and bruxism. 18,19 Also, psychosocial factors were related to increased masticatory muscle activity in awake bruxism.20 Furthermore, stress and anxiety can also affect the quality of life and sleep of individuals.1,21

Therefore, this study aims to verify sleep quality, psychological aspects, reports of bruxism, and TMD symptoms in Brazilian dentists (DSs) who were on the frontline in hospitals, in outpatient care, and quarantined at home during the COVID-19 pandemic. The first hypothesis was that dentists who were on the frontline would have a high prevalence of sleep disorders, psychological changes, TMD symptoms, and bruxism (H1). The second hypothesis was the existence of an association between concerns regarding the pandemic and their working status with psychosocial aspects, sleep quality, TMD symptoms, and bruxism (H2). The third hypothesis was the existence of a positive correlation between sleep quality and psychosocial aspects in different groups (H3).

Methodology

This is an observational cross-sectional study approved by the Central Ethics Committee on Research from the Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte (UFRN) (CAAE 4.062.172), developed according to the norms and requirements of resolution 466/2012 from the Brazilian regulatory agency of ethics in research with human beings.

The research took place in a single moment

through an electronic form developed on the Google Forms platform that was filled out by DSs registered in the Federal Council of Dentistry (CFO) from May 2020 to June 2020. The professionals were invited to participate in the survey by electronic messages containing the link to the form via direct contact from the social networks of the researchers. The convenience sample consisted of 641 DSs, calculated from the 348,703 professionals enrolled in the CFO until May 2020, with an error of 5% and a confidence level of 99%.

Participants were allocated into three groups according to their working status during the COVID-19 pandemic. Group 1 (G1): professionals who were at home in quarantine; Group 2 (G2): professionals performing only outpatient care (in public or private offices); and Group 3 (G3): professionals working on the frontline in hospitals.

Initially, patients answered the sociodemographic questionnaire (Appendix I). The degree of concern about the pandemic was measured on a Likert Scale and categorized as not worried, little worried, worried, or extremely worried. TMD Pain Screening from the Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders

		Appendix I					
Sociode	Sociodemographic Questionnaire						
1.	How ol	d are you?					
2.	What is	s your gender?					
		Male					
		Female					
3.	What is	s your marital status?					
		Married / common-law marriage					
		Single					
		Divorced					
		Widow / Widower					
4.	Do you	have children who live with you and who are up to 14 years old?					
		Yes					
		No					
5.	What t	ype of service do you work on?					
		Public sector					
		Private sector					
		Public e Private					
6.	What s	tate do you currently live in?					
7.	Do you	belong to a risk group for Covid-19?					
		Yes					
		No					
8.	Are yo	u worried about Covid-19?					
		Extremely worried					
		Very worried					
		Worried					
		Little worried					
		Not worried					

(DC/TMD) in its Brazilian-Portuguese version was used.²² It contains three questions related to the last 30 days: the first about the duration of pain in the temporal or mandibular region, the second about jaw pain or stiffness upon waking, and the third about activities that modify jaw or temporal pain. From their answers, subjects were categorized as with symptoms of TMD and without symptoms of TMD.

The Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Scale (DASS-21) in the Brazilian-Portuguese version²³ was used simultaneously to measure and distinguish depression, anxiety, and stress symptoms. Its items are divided into three factors (depression items: 3, 5, 10, 13, 16, 17, 21; anxiety items: 2, 4, 7, 9, 15, 19, 20; and stress items: 1, 6, 8, 11, 12, 14, 18). The responses to them range from 0 (does not apply to me at all) to 3 (applies to me very much or most of the time).

For sleep quality, the Brazilian-Portuguese version of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI)²⁴ was used. It analyzes the subjective quality of sleep, both quantitatively and qualitatively, in the past 30 days. It consists of 19 questions divided into seven components (subjective sleep quality, sleep latency, sleep duration, habitual sleep efficiency, sleep disorders, use of sleep medications, daytime dysfunction) with scores from 0 to 3. An overall score of 0 to 21 is obtained from the sum of the components and higher scores are indicative of worse sleep quality. Overall score greater than 5 was categorized as a poor sleeper and lower than 5 as a good sleeper.

The evaluation of bruxism was performed through self-reports, assessed through the frequency of the behavior in the last month related to some parafunctional and occupational habits during sleep and upon waking (sleep bruxism), or during the day (awake bruxism).25 The following questions were extracted from the Oral Behavior Checklist (OBC):25 Clenching or grinding teeth when asleep, based on any information you may have? Sleeping in a position that puts pressure on the jaw (for example, on the stomach, on the side)?; Grinding teeth together during waking hours? Clenching teeth together during waking hours? Pressing, touching, or holding teeth together other than while eating (that is, contact between upper and lower teeth)? Holding, tightening, or tensing muscles without clenching or bringing teeth together? Placing tongue between teeth? Biting, chewing or playing with your tongue, cheeks, or lips.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using the software Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS) version 22.0. The descriptive analysis was performed with absolute values, frequencies, and measures of central tendency and variability. Pearson's chi-square test was used to verify the association between the dependent categorical variables (anxiety, stress, depression, TMD screening, sleep, and awake and sleep bruxism) with the examined groups (G1, G2, and G3; their professional performance during the pandemic). For comparing symptoms of anxiety, depression, stress, and sleep quality, the One-way ANOVA test was used, followed by the Mann-Whitney post-test, with the Bonferroni adjustment < 0.016 (three comparisons). Spearman's correlation was performed between sleep quality and psychological variables (symptoms of anxiety, stress, and depression). Binary logistic regression was also used between dependent variables (symptoms of anxiety, stress, depression, TMD screening, subjective quality of sleep, possible awake and sleep bruxism) and its relationship with the variables representing the pandemic (their specific working status during the pandemic and concerns about it), to analyze their influence in the presence of the evaluated conditions. Also, those that were not directly related to the variables associated with the pandemic were placed in another analysis, but as dependent variables, along with the ones showing a significant result, to verify the presence of a possible indirect relationship.

Results

The sample of this study was composed of 641 dentists (age 39 years old \pm 10.56, 74% female, 61.2% married) who answered the online questionnaire. The exclusion of some individuals who sent the form in duplicate caused a loss of 22 questionnaires (3% of the sample). Among the participants, 208 were quarantined (G1), 401 in outpatient care (G2), and 32 on the frontline in hospitals (G3). There was no statistically significant difference regarding gender among groups (p=0.083) (Table 1).

When asked about the level of concern regarding the current pandemic, 92.3% of the respondents were moderate to extremely worried (Table 1). TMD symptoms were seen in 24.3% (n=156) of them, with no significant difference among groups (p=0.86) (Table 2). The self-reported bruxism in the sample was 58.03% for sleep bruxism (SB) and 53.82% for awake bruxism (AB), with no considerable difference among groups (p=0.33, p=0.79, respectively). Regarding sleep, 93.4% of the participants had a score above 5 in the PSQI questionnaire, which could be classified as poor sleep quality with no difference among them (p=0.72) (Table 2). The PSQI mean score and standard deviation for the groups were 9.60 (3.09), 9.51 (2.98), and 9.13 (2.68) for quarantined (G1), outpatient care (G2), and frontline in hospitals (G3), respectively.

Stress symptoms had a similar distribution among the studied groups, with no significant difference (p=0.127), as well as anxiety (p=0.208). For depressive symptoms, those who were quarantined had more symptoms, but the significant difference occurred only with the outpatient/office care group (p=0.002; Bonferroni adjustment p<0.016) (Table 3).

There was also a significant correlation between

Table 1- Distribution regarding the variables gender, marital status and concerns about pandemic among the 3 different groups of dentists. Absolute number (n), relative (%) and statistical significance (p)

Gender	G1 (n=206)	G2 (n=400)	G3 (n=32)	Total (n=638)	p*
Male	20.9% (n=43)	28.5% (n=114)	18.8% (n=6)	25.5% (n=163)	0.72
Female	79.1% (n=163)	71.5% (n=286)	81.3% (n=26)	74.5% (n=475)	
Marital Status	G1 (n=206)	G2 (n=398)	G3 (n=32)	Total (n=636)	р
Married/Common-law	60.2% (n=124)	61.6% (n=245)	62.5% (n=20)	61.2% (n=389)	0.49
Single	33.5% (n=69)	30.9% (n=123)	37.5% (n=12)	32.1% (n=204)	
Divorced/Widow	6.3% (n=13)	7.6% (n=30)	0.0% (n=0)	6.8% (n=43)	
Concerns about COVID-19	G1 (n=208)	G2 (n=400)	G3 (n=32)	Total (n=640)	p*
Not worried or little worried	4.3% (n=9)	9.3% (n=37)	9.4% (n=3)	7.7% (n=49)	0.89
Worried to extremely worried	95.7% (n=199)	90.8% (n=363)	90.6% (n=12)	92.3% (n=591)	

^{*}Pearson's chi-square test. G1: quarantined. G2: outpatient care/practice. G3: frontline in hospitals.

sleep and psychological factors. In the quarantined group, sleep quality demonstrated a moderate correlation with stress, anxiety, and depression (Spearman's Rank Correlation Coefficient of 0.431, 0.406, 0.404, respectively; p < 0.001). For those who were working with outpatient care, there was

Table 2- Descriptive table of variables analyzed among groups of dentists according to the applied questionnaires. Absolute number (n), relative (%) and statistical significance (p)

Reporting Sleep Bruxism	G1 (n=208)	G2 (n=401)	G3 (n=32)	p*
Without SB	39.4% (n=82)	42.4% (n=170)	53.1% (n=17)	0.33
Possible SB	60.6% (n=126)	57.6% (n=231)	46.9% (n=15)	
Reporting Awake Bruxism	G1 (n=208)	G2 (n=401)	G3 (n=32)	p*
Without AB	47.1% (n=98)	46.1% (n=185)	40.6% (n=13)	0.79
Possible AB	52.9% (n=110)	53.9% (n=216)	59.4% (n=19)	
TMD Pain Screening	G1 (n=208)	G2 (n=401)	G3 (n=32)	p*
Without symptoms of TMD	75.5% (n=157)	76.1% (n=305)	71.9% (n=23)	0.86
With symptoms of TMD	24.5% (n=51)	23.9% (n=96)	28.1% (n=9)	
PSQI > 5	G1 (n=208)	G2 (n=401)	G3 (n=32)	p*
Good sleeper	7.7% (n=16)	6.0% (n=24)	6.3% (n=2)	0.72
Poor sleeper	92.3% (n=192)	94.0% (n=377)	93.8% (n=30)	

^{*}Pearson chi-square test with significance level <0.05; sleep bruxism (SB); awake bruxism (AB); temporomandibular disorder (TMD); quarantined (G1); outpatient care/practice (G2); frontline in hospitals (G3).

Table 3- Comparison of psychosocial factors obtained by the Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS-21) among groups during the COVID-19 pandemic. Average, standard deviation (SD), confidence interval (CI), lower limit (LL) and upper limit (UL) minimum and maximum, and statistical significance (p)

DASS-21								
	Stress symptoms							
Group	Group Average (SD) CI 95% Minimum Maxin							
		LL	UL					
G1 (n=208)	13.35 (7.77)	12.28	14.41	0	40			
G2 (n=401)	12.07 (7.66)	11.32	12.82	0	40			
G3 (n=32)	13.38 (7.86)	10.54	16.21	0	38			
Total (n=641)	12.55 (7.72)	11.95	13.15	0	40			
p*	0.127							

Anxiety symptoms							
Group	Average (SD)	CI 95%		Minimum	Maximum		
		LL	UL				
G1 (n=208)	6.39 (6.13)	5.56	7.23	0	32		
G2 (n=401)	5.50 (5.94)	4.91	6.08	0	34		
G3 (n=32)	5.56 (5.09)	3.72	7.40	0	18		
Total (n=641)	5.79 (5.97)	5.33	6.25	0	34		
p*	0.208						

Depression symptoms							
Group	Average (SD)	CI 95%		Minimum	Maximum		
		LL	UL				
G1 (n=208) \$	8.07 (6.85)	7.13	9.00	0	34		
G2 (n=401) \$	6.62 (6.87)	5.94	7.29	0	42		
G3 (n=32)	7.25 (7.01)	4.72	9.78	0	28		
Total (n=641)	7.12 (6.89)	6.59	7.66	0	42		
p*	0.048						

^{*}Oneway ANOVA \$ Mann Whitney (groups in which there was a statistically significant difference among them). G1: quarantined. G2: outpatient care/practice. G3: frontline in hospitals. Significance level p (<0.05).

a moderate correlation between sleep quality and stress, and with anxiety, as well as a weak association between sleep quality and depression symptoms (Spearman's Rank Correlation Coefficient of 0.404, 0.403, 0.376 respectively, p<0.001). For the frontline group, there was a strong correlation between sleep quality and stress, anxiety, and depression symptoms (Spearman's Rank Correlation Coefficient of 0.592, 0.739, 0.686 respectively, p<0.001).

To find relations between the dependent variables (anxiety, stress, depression, TMD screening, subjective sleep quality, possible sleep, and awake bruxism) and independent variables representing the pandemic (specific working status during the pandemic and concerns about it), the first block of models was designed (Table 4). It was noticed that DSs who were actively working were significantly (p=0.001) less likely (OR=0.630) to have symptoms of depression than those who were quarantined.

It was also observed individuals who declared they

were not worried or little worried about the pandemic had a lower chance of showing symptoms of stress (OR=0.360), anxiety (OR=0.255), and poor sleep (OR=0.256) in a significant way (p=0.014, p=0.002, p<0.001, respectively). TMD pain symptoms, self-reported awake, and sleep bruxism were directly associated with the depression, stress, anxiety symptoms, and sleep quality variables. They were also indirectly related to the pandemic variables (specific working status during the pandemic and concerns about it), either as a protective factor (in absence of the symptoms, there was a reduction in the number of chances of presenting the assessed condition) or a risk factor (the presence of the element increases the chances of the pathology) (Table 5).

Table 5 demonstrates individuals without symptoms of depression, anxiety, and stress are less likely to have TMD pain symptoms and less likely to report awake bruxism (p<0.001). Individuals with worse subjective sleep quality were more likely to have TMD

Table 4- Logistic regression models for anxiety, depression, stress, and sleep quality according to variables that measured the characteristics from the pandemic (working status during pandemic and concerns about it)

MODEL	INDEPENDENT VARIABLES	p**	OR	CI (95%)
Depression	Working status during the pandemic	0.010	0.630	0.443-0.897
Depression	Concern about the pandemic*	0.083	0.517	0.245-1.091
Stress	Working status during the pandemic	0,956	0.991	0.727-1.351
Suess	Concern about the pandemic*	0.014	0.360	0.159-0.816
Apvioty	Working status during the pandemic	0,321	0.838	0.591-0.626
Anxiety	Concern about the pandemic*	0.002	0.255	0.107-0.609
Cloop Quality	Working status during the pandemic	0.45	0.879	0.628-1.229
Sleep Quality	Concern about the pandemic*	<0.001	0.256	0.122-0.539

^{**}p: p-value. OR: Odds Ratio. CI: Confidence Interval. *Inversion of the variable categorization by model.

Table 5- Logistic regression models for TMD, awake and sleep bruxism according to psychological variables and sleep quality

MODEL	INDEPENDENT VARIABLES (pandemic)	р	OR	CI (95%)
	Depression*	<0.001	0.425	0.292-0.618
TMD Screening	Stress*	<0.001	0.147	0.096-0.226
TWD Screening	Anxiety*	<0.001	0.287	0.198-0.418
	Sleep Quality	<0.001	3.010	2.064-4.389
	Depression*	<0.001	0.434	0.30-0.617
Possible Awake Bruxism	Stress*	<0.001	0.350	0.244-0.503
POSSIDIE AWARE DI UXISITI	Anxiety*	<0.001	0.318	0.224-0.453
	Sleep Quality	<0.001	1.68	1.230-2.312
	Depression	0.024	1.491	1.055-2.039
Descible Clean Druviam	Stress	0.001	1.777	1.248-2.531
Possible Sleep Bruxism	Anxiety*	<0.001	0.496	0.351-0.701
	Sleep Quality	<0.001	1.793	1.301-1.165

B: beta, p: p-value, OR: Odds Ratio, CI: Confidence Interval, *protection factor.

pain symptoms (p<0.001, OR=3.01), report awake bruxism (p<0.001, OR=1.68), and sleep bruxism (p<0.001, OR=1.79).

Discussion

This study evaluated the psychosocial status, sleep quality, TMD symptoms, and self-reported bruxism in dentists according to three working statuses during the COVID-19 pandemic, namely quarantined, working in outpatient care, or on the frontline in hospitals. Among all variables evaluated, only symptoms of depression were significantly greater in the quarantined group in comparison to those who were working at outpatient care. DSs that were working are significantly less likely to have depressive symptoms and those who were less concerned about the pandemic were less likely to experience stress anxiety and poor sleep quality. Sleep had a strong positive correlation with psychological factors in frontline workers and moderate for outpatient care professionals.

The hypothesis H1 was not confirmed, once frontline professionals did not show a higher prevalence of the studied variables in comparison to other groups. However, hypothesis H2 was confirmed, as there was a relationship between the variables representing the pandemic and the studied variables. Hypothesis H3 confirmed the existence of a positive correlation between sleep quality and psychosocial aspects in the observed groups.

A high number of participants were worried about the pandemic, representing more than 90% of the sample. This concern is not uncommon in the current moment, once most people have undergone major changes in their routines, dealing with insecurities about their health, duration of the pandemic, and financial situation, in face of this new reality.⁶

The COVID-19 pandemic affects both the physical and mental health of individuals, 9,26,27 with health-care workers being particularly subjected to various stressors, which may lead to higher levels of emotional distress. 4 Shacham, et al.28 (2020) found an elevated risk of psychological distress in 11.5% (n=39) of the dentists evaluated in Israel during the COVID-19 pandemic. Huang, et al.29 (2020) demonstrated symptoms of anxiety and stress were present in 23.04% and 27.39% of 230 participants (70 doctors and 160 nurses), respectively, in a survey conducted in

an infectious disease hospital for COVID-19 in China. Such results were similar to those found in the current study regarding symptoms of stress (30.3%, n=194) and anxiety (33.7%, n=216).

Depression symptoms were more frequent in dentists that were isolated in quarantine. Brooks, et al.30 (2020) report quarantine can have a considerable impact on the mental health of the population. This fact may be related to the reduction of income and the decline in social relationships. Income uncertainty can contribute to psychological changes in individuals who are out of work as they begin to question their financial future. According to the United Nations, social isolation can be aggravated by the suffering caused by the loss of income and jobs (https://bit.ly/2XsafSl).31 The quarantine can also cause greater stress due to the inability to engage in rewarding activities,6 and the decrease in social relationships, which may exacerbate feelings of loneliness, being an important predictor for depression.³² Moreover, the psychological impact of the quarantine is broad, and it can be longlasting, with long-term consequences,30 associated with psychological symptoms.33

Some studies indicate frontline professionals are more likely to present psychological changes.^{9,34,35} Nevertheless, in this study, there was no significant difference among groups for anxiety and stress symptoms. It is important to highlight the number of dentists working in the frontline participating in our study (n=32) may have been insufficient to verify a higher prevalence of psychological symptoms. This might be the result of a smaller number of those professionals working in hospitals, or even those on the frontline that have shown no interest or time to participate in the research.

More than 90% of the assessed dentists showed poor sleep quality. This fact may be related to anxiety due to the current situation and negative effects on factors that influence sleep quality. ^{6,8} It is also possible increased use of social media during the pandemic may impair sleep quality, as screen exposure close to bedtime can negatively impact sleep. ⁶ This result deserves attention once sleep quality is an important health indicator and may even help to strengthen the immune system against infections. ³⁶

Furthermore, sleep is also an important factor in regulating behavior and emotions, and sleep disorders can have direct consequences on the following day, especially in emotional functioning.³⁷ Moreover,

poor sleep quality is often related to psychological factors, such as stress and anxiety.³⁸ Liu, et al.³⁵ (2020) evaluated sleep and emotional aspects in health-care workers in China and observed 50.7%, 44.7%, 73.4%, and 36.1% of 1,563 professionals reported depressive symptoms, anxiety, stress, and sleep disorders, respectively. Here, sleep quality was significantly correlated with stress, anxiety, and depressive symptoms, especially in the frontline group, which strengthens the relationship between sleep and emotions.

Unpredictable or incomprehensible life situations, as the current pandemic caused by the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19), are important sources of stress.1 The causative agent of the disease is the new Coronavirus related to the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS-CoV-2).² The virus is transmitted from person to person, mainly through direct contact or through virus-laden droplets propelled by the coughing or sneezing from an infected individual.3 The first case of the disease occurred in Wuhan, China, in December 2019 and spread rapidly around the world, being classified in March 2020, by the World Health Organization (WHO), as a pandemic of great risk to international health.4 To reduce transmission, extensive public health measures have been adopted, such as social distancing.² However, mass confinement can be stressful⁵ and quarantine substantially increases anxiety and may negatively impact general health leading to other health problems.6

To reduce the transmission of Covid-19, broad public health measures have been adopted, such as social isolation and quarantine.² Confinement can be stressful,⁵ bringing with it challenges of considerable impact in the daily routine of individuals, such as reducing social interaction, working long hours under new circumstances, dealing with the fear of contamination, and constantly worrying about preventive measures.⁶ The quarantine substantially increases anxiety and may negatively affect general health, leading to other health problems.⁷

In contrast, the statistical analysis highlighted participants without symptoms of depression, anxiety, and stress were less likely to present TMD symptoms, which corroborates studies that relate it to the presence of higher levels of stress, anxiety, and depression. ^{41,42} A three-year-long cohort study showed depression, perceived stress, and mood were predictors of a risk two to three times greater of having

TMD (p<0,05).⁴² Another study found the presence of emotional overload determined a higher frequency of TMD and oral parafunctions.⁴³

Anxiety, associated with a stressful event, such as the current pandemic, can exacerbate bruxism.⁴⁴ Participants without symptoms of depression, anxiety, and stress were less likely to report awake bruxism, which agrees with previous studies that demonstrate the relationship between awake bruxism and psychosocial factors.⁴⁵ Patients with high levels of stress may be almost six times more likely to report awake bruxism.^{46,47}

Moreover, dentists with poor sleep quality were statistically related to a greater chance of awake (p<0.001, OR=1.68) and sleep bruxism (p<0.001, OR= 1,79). Ahlberg, et al.⁴⁸ (2008) noticed a relationship between bruxism and sleep disorders, being significantly related to frequent awakenings. Although not verified in this study, it is important to note the reporting of sleep bruxism may be associated with sleep disorders such as obstructive sleep apnea syndrome and insomnia.⁴⁹ Awake bruxism is strongly influenced by psychological factors,²⁰ which demonstrated a positive association with sleep quality in this study and may indirectly explain the relationship between sleep quality and awake bruxism.

This study has some limitations. First, it was not possible to compare results with those of the general population, nor to distinguish pre-existing and new symptoms. Moreover, there might be bias related to the small sample of dentists working on the frontline.

Thus, based on the data presented and limitations of the study, it is essential to further research this topic, especially in a post-pandemic scenario, once symptoms may be aggravated over time, as observed in other situations.^{5,30} Also, the high prevalence of sleep disorders and their relationship with TMD, bruxism, and emotional factors deserves concern and may negatively impact the quality of life of DSs.

Conclusion

In this study, dentists who were in quarantine had significantly more symptoms of depression, suggesting confinement may have a more negative impact on the lives of these professionals than the fact that they are actively working, despite the risks. The concern about Covid-19 was quite prevalent, being related to

anxiety, stress, and poor sleep quality. Also, the high prevalence of sleep disorders and their relation to TMD, bruxism, and emotional factors deserve attention and can negatively affect the quality of life of the study population. Thus, further research is needed, especially in a post-pandemic scenario, since the symptoms may worsen over time.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

Authors' contributions

Peixoto, Karen Oliveira: Conceptualization (Equal); Data curation (Equal); Formal analysis (Equal); Investigation (Equal); Methodology (Equal); Writing-original draft (Equal); Writing-review & editing (Equal). Resende, Camila Bastos Machado: Conceptualization (Equal); Data curation (Equal); Formal analysis (Equal); Investigation (Equal); Methodology (Equal); Writing-original draft (Equal); Writing-review & editing (Equal). Almeida, Erika Oliveira de: Supervision (Equal); Validation (Equal); Visualization (Equal); Writing-original draft (Equal); Writing-review & editing (Equal). Almeida-Leite, Camila Megale: Supervision (Equal); Validation (Equal); Visualization (Equal); Writing-review & editing (Equal). Conti, Paulo César Rodrigues: Supervision (Equal); Validation (Equal); Visualization (Equal); Writing-review & editing (Equal). Seabra Barbosa, Gustavo: Supervision (Equal); Validation (Equal); Visualization (Equal); Writing-original draft (Equal); Writing-review & editing (Equal). Stuginski Barbosa, Juliana: Formal analysis (Equal); Supervision (Equal); Validation (Equal); Visualization (Equal); Writingoriginal draft (Equal); Writing-review & editing (Equal).

References

- 1- Sójka A, Stelcer B, Roy M, Mojs E, Pryliński M. Is there a relationship between psychological factors and TMD? Brain Behav. 2019;9(9):e01360. doi: 10.1002/brb3.1360
- 2- Müller O, Neuhann F, Razum O. Epidemiologie und Kontrollmaßnahmen bei COVID-19. [Epidemiology and control of COVID-19]. Deutsche Medizinische Wochenschrift (1946). 2020;145(10):670. German. doi: 10.1055/a-1162-1987
- 3- Rothan HA, Byrareddy SN. The epidemiology and pathogenesis of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak. J Autoimmun. 2020;109:102433. doi: 10.1016/j.jaut.2020.102433

- 4- Petzold MB, Plag J, Ströhle A. Umgang mit psychischer Belastung bei Gesundheitsfachkräften im Rahmen der Covid-19-Pandemie [Dealing with psychological distress by healthcare professionals during the COVID-19 pandemia]. Nervenarzt. 2020 May;91(5):417-421. German. doi: 10.1007/s00115-020-00905-0
- 5- Barbisch D, Koenig KL, Shih FY. Is there a case for quarantine? Perspectives from SARS to Ebola. Disaster medicine and public health preparedness. 2015;9(5):547-53. doi: 10.1017/dmp.2015.38
- 6- Altena E, Baglioni C, Espie CA, Ellis J, Gavriloff D, Holzinger B, et al. Dealing with sleep problems during home confinement due to the COVID-19 outbreak: practical recommendations from a task force of the European CBT-I Academy. J Sleep Res. 2020;29(4):e13052. doi: 10.1111/jsr.13052
- 7- Lima CK, Medeiros Carvalho PM, Lima ID, Oliveira Nunes JV, Saraiva JS, Souza RI, et al. The emotional impact of Coronavirus 2019-nCoV (new Coronavirus disease). Psychiatry Res. 2020;287:112915. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112915
- 8- Xiao H, Zhang Y, Kong D, Li S, Yang N. The effects of social support on sleep quality of medical staff treating patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in January and February 2020 in China. Med Sci Monit. 2020;26:e923549. doi: 10.12659/MSM.923549
- 9- Lai J, Ma S, Wang Y, Cai Z, Hu J, Wei N, et al. Factors associated with mental health outcomes among health care workers exposed to Coronavirus Disease 2019. JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3(3):e203976. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.3976
- 10- Lee SM, Kang WS, Cho AR, Kim T, Park JK. Psychological impact of the 2015 MERS outbreak on hospital workers and quarantined hemodialysis patients. Compr Psychiatry. 2018;87:123-127. doi: 10.1016/j.comppsych.2018.10.003
- 11- Institute of Medicine (US) Forum on Microbial Threats. Learning from SARS: preparing for the next disease outbreak: workshop summary. Knobler S, Mahmoud A, Lemon S, Mack A, Sivitz L, Oberholtzer K, editors. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2004.
- 12- Fallahi HR, Keyhan SO, Zandian D, Kim SG, Cheshmi B. Being a front-line dentist during the Covid-19 pandemic: a literature review. Maxillofac Plast Reconstr Surg. 2020;42(1):12. doi: 10.1186/s40902-020-00256-5
- 13- Cidrão AL, Guimarães AS. Prevalence of temporomandibular dysfunction symptoms in dental surgeons. J Young Pharm. 2019;11(4):424. doi: 10.5530/jyp.2019.11.87
- 14- Radanović B, Vučinić P, Janković T, Mahmutović E, Penjašković D. Musculoskeletal symptoms of the neck and shoulder among dental practitioners. J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil. 2017;30(4):675-9. doi: 10.3233/BMR-15050
- 15- LeResche L. Epidemiology of temporomandibular disorders: implications for the investigation of etiologic factors. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med. 1997;8(3):291-305. doi: 10.1177/10454411970080030401 16- Sommer I, Lavigne G, Ettlin DA. Review of self-reported instruments that measure sleep dysfunction in patients suffering from temporomandibular disorders and/or orofacial pain. Sleep Med. 2015;16(1):27-38. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2014.07.02
- 17- Lei J, Liu MQ, Yap AU, Fu KY. Sleep disturbance and psychologic distress: prevalence and risk indicators for temporomandibular disorders in a Chinese population. J Oral Facial Pain Headache. 2015;29(1):24-30. doi: 10.11607/ofph.1301
- 18- Manfredini D, Lobbezoo F. Relationship between bruxism and temporomandibular disorders: a systematic review of literature from 1998 to 2008. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2010;109(6):e26-50. doi: 10.1016/j.tripleo.2010.02.013
- 19- Fernandes G, Franco AL, Siqueira JT, Gonçalves DA, Camparis CM. Sleep bruxism increases the risk for painful temporomandibular disorder, depression and non-specific physical symptoms. J Oral Rehabil. 2012;39(7):538-44. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2842.2012.02308.x

- 20- Tavares LM, Silva Parente Macedo LC, Duarte CM, Goffredo GS Filho, Souza Tesch R. Cross-sectional study of anxiety symptoms and self-report of awake and sleep bruxism in female TMD patients. Cranio. 2016;34(6):378-81. doi: 10.1080/08869634.2016.1163806
- 21- Alkhudhairy MW, Al Ramel F, Al Jader G, Al Saegh L, Al Hadad A, Alalwan T, et al. A self-reported association between temporomandibular joint disorders, headaches, and stress. J Int Soc Prev Community Dent. 2018;8(4):371-80. doi: 10.4103/jispcd.JISPCD_177_18
- 22- Ohrbach R. Critérios de diagnóstico para desordens temporomandibulares: protocolo clínico e instrumentos de avaliação [internet]. Pereira F, Gonçalves D, translators. [place unknown]: INfORM; 2014 [cited 2021 Apr 14]. Available from: https://buffalo.app.box.com/s/ff5svuqgjpgae50pmg900ok9s6u89hss
- 23- Vignola RC, Tucci AM. Adaptation and validation of the depression, anxiety and stress scale (DASS) to Brazilian Portuguese. J Affect Disord. 2014;155:104-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2013.10.031
- 24- Bertolazi AN, Fagondes SC, Hoff LS, Dartora EG, Miozzo IC, Barba ME, et al. Validation of the brazilian portuguese version of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index. Sleep Med. 2011;12(1):70-5. doi: 10.1016/j. sleep.2010.04.020
- 25- International Network for Orofacial Pain and Related Disorders Methodology. Oral Behaviors Checklist [internet]. [place unknown]: INfORM; 2013 [cited 2021 Apr 14]. Available from: https://buffalo.app.box.com/s/2czrz7hil0ivnpqcfiqivxi40wo1b1u3
- 26- Duan L, Zhu G. Psychological interventions for people affected by the COVID-19 epidemic. Lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7(4):300-302. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30073-0
- 27- Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, Tan Y, Xu L, Ho CS, et al. Immediate psychological responses and associated factors during the Initial stage of the 2019 Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) epidemic among the general population in China. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 20206;17(5):1729. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17051729
- 28- Shacham M, Hamama-Raz Y, Kolerman R, Mijiritsky O, Ben-Ezra M, Mijiritsky E. COVID-19 factors and psychological factors associated with elevated psychological distress among dentists and dental hygienists in Israel. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(8):2900. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17082900
- 29- Huang JZ, Han MF, Luo TD, Ren AK, Zhou XP. [Mental health survey of medical staff in a tertiary infectious disease hospital for COVID-19]. Zhonghua Lao Dong Wei Sheng Zhi Ye Bing Za Zhi. 2020;38(3):192-5. Chinese. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.cn121094-20200219-00063
- 30- Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE, Woodland L, Wessely S, Greenberg N, et al. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. Lancet. 2020;395(10227):912-920. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30460-8
- 31- United Nations. Policy brief: COVID-19 and the need for action on mental health [internet]. New York: United Nations; 2020 [cited 2021 Apr 14]. Available from: https://www.un.org/sites/un2.un.org/files/un policy brief-covid and mental health final.pdf
- 32- Wakefield JR, Bowe M, Kellezi B, Butcher A, Groeger JA. Longitudinal associations between family identification, loneliness, depression, and sleep quality. Br J Health Psychol. 2020;25(1):1-16. doi: 10.1111/bjhp.12391
- 33- Wu P, Liu X, Fang Y, Fan B, Fuller CJ, Guan Z, et al. Alcohol abuse/ dependence symptoms among hospital employees exposed to a SARS outbreak. Alcohol Alcohol. 2008;43(6):706-12. doi: 10.1093/alcalc/agn073
- 34- Kang L, Li Y, Hu S, Chen M, Yang C, Yang BX, et al. The mental health of medical workers in Wuhan, China dealing with the 2019 novel coronavirus. Lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7(3):e14. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30047-X

- 35- Liu S, Yang L, Zhang C, Xiang YT, Liu Z, Hu S, Zhang B. Online mental health services in China during the COVID-19 outbreak. Lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7(4):e17-e18. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30077-8.
- 36- Lange T, Dimitrov S, Born J. Effects of sleep and circadian rhythm on the human immune system. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2010;1193:48-59. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2009.05300.x
- 37- Simon EB, Oren N, Sharon H, Kirschner A, Goldway N, Okon-Singer H, et al. Losing neutrality: the neural basis of impaired emotional control without sleep. J Neurosci. 201523;35(38):13194-205. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1314-15.2015
- 38- Van Reeth O, Weibel L, Spiegel K, Leproult R, Dugovic C, Maccari S. Interactions between stress and sleep: from basic research to clinical situations. Sleep medicine reviews. 2000;4(2):201-19. doi:10.1053/smrv.1999.0097
- 39- Uhac I, Kovac Z, Valentić-Peruzović M, Juretić M, Moro LJ, Grzić R. The influence of war stress on the prevalence of signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders. J Oral Rehabil. 2003;30(2):211-7. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2842.2003.01030.x
- 40- Slade GD, Ohrbach R, Greenspan JD, Fillingim RB, Bair E, Sanders AE, et al. Painful temporomandibular disorder: decade of discovery from OPPERA studies. J Dent Res. 2016;95(10):1084-92. doi: 10.1177/0022034516653743
- 41- List T, Jensen RH. Temporomandibular disorders: old ideas and new concepts. Cephalalgia. 2017;37(7):692-704. doi: 10.1177/0333102416686302
- 42- Slade GD, Diatchenko L, Bhalang K, Sigurdsson A, Fillingim RB, Belfer I, Max MB, Goldman D, Maixner W. Influence of psychological factors on risk of temporomandibular disorders. J Dent Res. 2007;86(11):1120-5. doi: 10.1177/154405910708601119
- 43- Wieckiewicz M, Grychowska N, Wojciechowski K, Pelc A, Augustyniak M, Sleboda A, et al. Prevalence and correlation between TMD based on RDC/TMD diagnoses, oral parafunctions and psychoemotional stress in Polish university students. Biomed Res Int. 2014;2014:472346. doi: 10.1155/2014/472346
- 44- Ahlberg J, Lobbezoo F, Ahlberg K, Manfredini D, Hublin C, Sinisalo J, et al. Self-reported bruxism mirrors anxiety and stress in adults. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2013;18(1):e7-11. doi: 10.4317/medoral.18232
- 45- Smardz J, Martynowicz H, Wojakowska A, Michalek-Zrabkowska M, Mazur G, Wieckiewicz M. Correlation between Sleep Bruxism, Stress, and Depression-A Polysomnographic Study. J Clin Med. 2019;8(9):1344. doi: 10.3390/jcm8091344
- 46- Almeida-Leite CM, Stuginski-Barbosa J, Conti PC. How psychosocial and economic impacts of COVID-19 pandemic can interfere on bruxism and temporomandibular disorders? J Appl Oral Sci. 2020;28:e20200263. doi: 10.1590/1678-7757-2020-0263
- 47- Quadri MF, Mahnashi A, Al Almutahhir A, Tubayqi H, Hakami A, Arishi M, et al. Association of awake bruxism with khat, coffee, tobacco, and stress among Jazan University Students. Int J Dent. 2015;2015:842096. doi: 10.1155/2015/842096
- 48- Ahlberg K, Jahkola A, Savolainen A, Könönen M, Partinen M, Hublin C, Sinisalo J, Lindholm H, Sarna S, Ahlberg J. Associations of reported bruxism with insomnia and insufficient sleep symptoms among media personnel with or without irregular shift work. Head Face Med. 2008;4:4. doi: 10.1186/1746-160X-4-4
- 49- Maluly M, Dal Fabbro C, Andersen ML, Herrero Babiloni A, Lavigne GJ, Tufik S. Sleep bruxism and its associations with insomnia and OSA in the general population of Sao Paulo. Sleep Med. 2020;75:141-8. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2020.06.016