3D chromatin interactions organize Yan chromatin occupancy and repression at the *even-skipped* locus

Jemma L. Webber,¹ Jie Zhang,^{1,2} Aaron Mitchell-Dick,¹ and Ilaria Rebay^{1,2,3}

¹Ben May Department for Cancer Research, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA; ²Committee on Cancer Biology, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA

Long-range integration of transcriptional inputs is critical for gene expression, yet the mechanisms remain poorly understood. We investigated the molecular determinants that confer fidelity to expression of the heart identity gene even-skipped (eve). Targeted deletion of regions bound by the repressor Yan defined two novel enhancers that contribute repressive inputs to stabilize tissue-specific output from a third enhancer. Deletion of any individual enhancer reduced Yan occupancy at the other elements, impacting eve expression, cell fate specification, and cardiac function. These long-range interactions may be stabilized by three-dimensional chromatin contacts that we detected between the elements. Our work provides a new paradigm for chromatin-level integration of general repressive inputs with specific patterning information to achieve robust gene expression.

Supplemental material is available for this article.

Received July 2, 2013; revised version accepted October 1, 2013.

Historically, eukaryotic enhancers have been characterized as DNA elements that are both necessary and sufficient to recapitulate endogenous gene expression. Many genes, particularly those with complex and dynamic expression patterns, are controlled by multiple enhancers (Bulger and Groudine 2011), with the final pattern reflecting additive outputs from individual autonomously functioning elements. The advent of genome-wide chromatin occupancy studies has revealed a far greater complexity to transcription factor-binding patterns than anticipated from this model, and the field remains in the early stages of deciphering the underlying functional relevance. For example, the contribution of nonadditive interactions between *cis*-regulatory elements to gene expression is just beginning to be appreciated, and the mechanistic importance of chromatin environment in organizing these interactions remains largely speculative (Frankel et al. 2010; Perry et al. 2010, 2011; Dunipace et al. 2011; He et al. 2011).

[*Keywords*: *Drosophila*; ETS transcription factor; chromatin conformation; gene regulation; heart development; robustness]

³Corresponding author E-mail irebay@uchicago.edu

Article is online at http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.225789.113.

The transcriptional effector switch that controls cell fate specification downstream from Ras/MAPK signaling provides a tractable vantage point for exploring these ideas (O'Neill et al. 1994; Gabay et al. 1996; Graham et al. 2010). The opposing action of two conserved ETS family transcription factors, the repressor Yan and the activator Pointed (Pnt), provides a bistable system that transitions from a high to a low Yan state to activate programs of gene expression that drive differentiation. For example, Yan and Pnt provide essential input to a combinatorial code that regulates expression of even-skipped (eve) during specification of the segmentally arrayed muscle and cardiac progenitors that arise in the dorsal mesoderm during embryonic stage 11. While specification of these cells is reduced in *pnt* mutants and increased in *yan* mutants (Halfon et al. 2000; Knirr and Frasch 2001; Han et al. 2002), how the antagonistic transcriptional activities of Yan and Pnt are organized at the chromatin level is not understood.

Here we tested the hypothesis that long-range integration of Yan-mediated repression across a locus can stabilize the high Yan state to prevent inappropriate cell fate specification. Using regulation of mesodermal eve as the model, we found that targeted deletion of individual Yanbound elements disrupts Yan occupancy across the locus and identified a three-dimensional (3D) chromatin conformation that may stabilize these long-range interactions. Loss of coordinated Yan-mediated repression compromises the Yan-Pnt switch, as evidenced by increased variability of eve expression and impaired cell fate specification, cardiac function, and viability-all phenotypes that can be enhanced or suppressed by reducing the genetic dose of yan or pnt, respectively. We speculate that chromatinlevel integration of repressive inputs with specific patterning information may provide a broadly used mechanism to achieve robust gene expression.

Results and Discussion

In addition to the muscle/heart enhancer targeted by the Yan-Pnt switch (MHE) (Halfon et al. 2000), our genomewide occupancy study of Yan mapped three other regions to the eve locus (Webber et al. 2013). We refer to the four Yan-bound domains as D1, D2, D3/MHE, and D4. To explore the contribution of individual Yan-bound regions to mesodermal eve expression we recombineered specific deletions into a functional genomic bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) construct encompassing the entire 16.4-kb eve locus carrying an in-frame YFP tag (Fig. 1A; Ludwig et al. 2011). As enhancer-blocking activity has previously been identified between the MHE and Ter94 gene (Fujioka et al. 2009), we reasoned that the D4 Yanbound element was more likely to contribute to Ter94 than to eve regulation and excluded it from our analysis. Transgenes were generated, and altered function was assessed by examining expression in the Eve-positive cardiogenic precursors. The simple prediction for deletion of a functional Yan-bound region is that loss of repression

^{© 2013} Webber et al. This article is distributed exclusively by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press for the first six months after the full-issue publication date [see http://genesdev.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml]. After six months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported), as described at http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/3.0/.



Figure 1. Yan-bound regions at the *eve* locus contribute to mesodermal expression, viability, and cardiac function. (*A*) Yan ChIP-chip patterns at *eve*. Yan binds to the proximal promoter (D1), a region that partially overlaps a *cis*-regulatory module responsible for neuronal and anal plate expression (D2), the previously characterized MHE (Halfon et al. 2000) (D3), and a region upstream of the TER94 locus (D4) that overlaps a previously characterized insulator and homing element (Fujioka et al. 2009). Red boxes depict engineered deletions. Gray boxes depict stripe enhancers. Light-orange boxes depict regions used for reporter constructs. (*B*–*E*) Immunostaining of *eve*^{WT} (*B*), *eve*^{ΔD1} (*C*), *eve*^{ΔD2} (*D*), and *eve*^{ΔD3} (*E*) with anti-GFP. Eve-YFP expression recapitulates wild-type *eve* expression in 11 clusters of cells in the mesoderm (blue arrowhead) and the CNS (red arrowhead). While *eve*^{ΔD1} and *eve*^{ΔD2} qualitatively reproduced *eve*^{WT} expression, reduced expression was observed with *eve*^{ΔD3}. (*F*) *eve*^{ΔD2} and *eve*^{ΔD3} embryos were stained and fixed in parallel with *eve*^{WT} embryos. Quantification of pixel intensity for each cluster relative to *eve*^{WT} is depicted on the Y-axis. Mean intensity is indicated with a red line, and error bars indicate standard deviation. (*n* > 30 embryos; *eve*^{WT}:*eve*^{ΔD1}, *P* < 0.0001; *eve*^{WT}:*eve*^{ΔD3}, *P* < 0.0001; (*G*) *eve*-null embryos [Df[2R]*eve*/*eve*^{R13}] carrying the deletion transgenes were genotyped and cultured at 25°C, and the number of adults that survived was counted (*n* > 350; *eve*^{WT}:*eve*^{ΔD1}, *P* < 0.0001; *eve*^{WT}:*eve*^{ΔD2}, *P* < 0.0001; *eve*^{WT}:*eve*^{ΔD3}, *P* < 0.0001], (*H*) Average heart rates of white prepupae. (*eve*^{WT}:*eve*^{ΔD3}, *P* < 0.0001; *eve*^{WT}:*eve*^{ΔD3}, *P* < 0.0001; *eve*^{WT}:*eve*^{ΔD3},

should increase expression of the target gene; however, if the deletion also removes binding sites for critical activators, then reduced expression is expected. Either scenario predicts reduced ability to complement an *eve*-null. Alternatively, if elements are functional yet fully redundant under optimal conditions, no change in expression or viability is predicted.

The control eve-YFP transgene fully complemented an eve-null background, with 86% rescue to adulthood, and was expressed in a pattern identical to that of endogenous eve. Thus, in stage 11 embryos, Eve-YFP was prominent in the muscle and cardiogenic precursors as well as in clusters of neurons in the CNS (Fig. 1B). Deletion of the D1, D2, or D3 Yan-bound regions altered expression and reduced genetic rescue efficiency (Fig. 1C-G), indicating important contributions to regulation of eve. As predicted based on loss of key activating inputs (Halfon et al. 2000), deletion of the D3/MHE region significantly reduced mesodermal expression, with $Eve^{\Delta D3}$ -YFP expression detectable, on average, in only one cell per cluster (Figs. 1E, 2A). For the Eve^{$\Delta D1$}-YFP and Eve^{$\Delta D2$}-YFP transgenes, although expression in the mesoderm appeared qualitatively normal (Fig. 1B-D), quantification of the YFP signal revealed significant increases in both the mean intensity and embryo-to-embryo variation relative to the wild-type Eve-YFP control (Fig. 1F). The reduced ability of the deletion transgenes to rescue an eve-null (Fig. 1G) suggests that these Yan-bound elements are not redundant. The deleted regions do not include known stripe enhancers (Fig. 1A, gray boxes), and cuticle preparations of dead embryos from the rescue experiments did not show segmentation defects (data not shown). Thus, the reduced viability is unlikely attributed to axial patterning defects.

Precise regulation of eve expression in the cardiogenic mesoderm is essential for acquisition of heart cell identity and ultimately for cardiac function (Fujioka et al. 2005; Johnson et al. 2007). Consistent with this, the elevated expression of $Eve^{\Delta D1}$ -YFP reflected in part an increase in the number of Eve-positive mesodermal cells specified (Fig. 2A), raising the possibility that compromised heart development might contribute to reduced fitness. To test this, we first measured pupal heart rates (Fig. 1H). In agreement with Fujioka et al. (2005), the heart rate of $eve^{-/-}$; $eve^{\Delta D3}$ -YFP animals was significantly reduced relative to the $eve^{-/-}$; eve-YFP control. $eve^{-/-}$; eve^{ΔD1}-YFP larvae also had a reduced heart rate, suggesting that the ectopic cell fate specification detected compromises the ensuing developmental program. Deletion of the D2 region did not significantly change the number of Eve-positive mesodermal cells specified, and the heart rate measured in $eve^{-/-}$; $eve^{\Delta D2}$ pupae was comparable with that of the control.

Adult heart function is commonly assessed with assays that measure the general vigor and activity of the fly (Fujioka et al. 2005; Piazza et al. 2009; Shahrestani et al. 2009). In both a flight assay and an assay measuring the negative geotaxis response before and after heat stress, $eve^{-/-}$; $eve^{\Delta D^3}$ -YFP and $eve^{-/-}$; $eve^{\Delta D^1}$ -YFP flies again performed poorly relative to $eve^{-/-}$; eve^{-YFP} control animals (Fig. 1I,J). $eve^{-/-}$; $eve^{\Delta D^2}$ -YFP animals exhibited a response intermediate to that of the $eve^{-/-}$; $eve^{\Delta D^1}$ -YFP and control $eve^{-/-}$; eve-YFP animals in the geotaxis assay prior to heat stress. However, after stress, the activity of $eve^{-/-}$; $eve^{\Delta D^2}$ -YFP flies was significantly below that of



Figure 2. Robust regulation of mesodermal Eve expression depends on *yan* and *pnt.* (*A*) Eve-positive mesodermal cells were counted in eve^{WT} , $eve^{\Delta D1}$, $eve^{\Delta D2}$, and $eve^{\Delta D3}$ embryos in the presence or absence of twist-Gal4>UAS Yan^{ACT}. A statistically significant increase was observed in $eve^{\Delta D1}$, and a reduced number was observed in $eve^{\Delta D3}$ (n > 70 embryos; P < 0.0001 and P < 0.0001, respectively; Mann-Whitney U). (*B*) Quantification of Eve-YFP shows an increase in intensity and variation of expression in embryos heterozygous for *yan* (n > 10 embryos; P < 0.0001; ANOVA). Heterozygosity for *yan* further increased YFP intensity in $eve^{\Delta D2}$ but not $eve^{\Delta D1}$ (n > 10embryos; P < 0.0001; ANOVA). (*C*) Hand-genotyped *eve*-null embryos [Df(2R)*eve/eve*^{R13}] or *eve*-null, *yan* heterozygous embryos [Df(2R)*eve, yan*⁸³³/*eve*^{R13}] carrying the different deletion transgenes were cultured at 25°C, and the number of eclosed adults was counted (n > 250; eve^{WT} ; yan^{833}/t ; eve^{WT} , P = 0.0007; $eve^{\Delta D3}$; yan^{833}/t ; $eve^{\Delta D3}$, P = 0.0013). (*D*) Quantification revealed an increase in Eve-YFP intensity in $eve^{\Delta D1}$ and $eve^{\Delta D2}$ embryos relative to $eve^{\Delta D1}$ or $eve^{\Delta D2}$ and eve^{WT} Eve-YFP expression in *pnt* heterozygotes. (*E*) Flies of the indicated genotype were subjected to a geotaxis response assay. Heterozygosity for *pnt* restored the response of $eve^{\Delta D1}$ to that of an eve^{WT} control (n > 50) and improved that of $eve^{\Delta D2}$ (n > 35).

the control. Together, these results confirm the physiological importance of the Yan-bound D1 region and support the hypothesis that it mediates repressive inputs important for stabilizing mesodermal *eve* expression within levels required to support normal cell fate acquisition during heart development. As the D2 deletion partially overlaps a neuronal enhancer, further analysis will be required to determine whether the reduced fitness and activity result from either compromised neural function, subtle cardiac defects, or a combination of the two.

To obtain more direct evidence that compromised Yanmediated repression contributes to increased expression and reduced fitness of the deletion mutants, we first tested the effectiveness of *twist-Gal4*-driven overexpression of a constitutively active form of Yan, Yan^{ACT} (Rebay and Rubin 1995), in repressing expression of the deletion transgenes. If Yan-mediated repressive inputs act exclusively on the MHE, then Yan^{ACT} should repress mesodermal expression of Eve^{$\Delta D1$}-YFP and Eve^{$\Delta D2$}-YFP but not Eve^{$\Delta D3$}-YFP. Alternatively, if Yan-mediated regulation across multiple genomic elements contributes to stable *eve* expression, then all deletion transgenes should be sensitive to Yan^{ACT}. Our results support the latter scenario, as Yan^{ACT} fully repressed all three *eve*-YFP deletion transgenes (Fig. 2A).

We next asked whether heterozygosity for yan or pnt might, respectively, enhance or suppress the loss of robustness measured for the eve deletion constructs. Using either a null allele or a small deficiency, we found that heterozygosity for yan increased the mean intensity and variation of wild-type Eve-YFP expression and further increased the intensity of $Eve^{\Delta D2}$ -YFP expression (Fig. 2B). While yan heterozygosity did not significantly increase $Eve^{\Delta D1}$ -YFP expression, the number of Eve-positive cells specified was increased (Supplemental Fig. S1). These changes also impact fitness, as yan heterozygosity further reduced the genetic rescue efficiency of the eve transgenes (Fig. 2C). Conversely, heterozygosity for pnt suppressed the increased intensity and variability of $Eve^{\Delta D1}$ -YFP and $Eve^{\Delta D2}$ -YFP expression (Fig. 2D; Supplemental Fig. S1). A reduced pnt dose also improved the negative geotaxis response following heat shock (Fig. 2E). Together, these results suggest that the D1 and D2 elements are required for robust regulation of eve expression in the muscle and cardiogenic precursors such that loss of Yan-mediated repression upon deletion of either element permits inappropriate Pnt-mediated activation of eve, presumably through the MHE, leading to increased and more variable expression. Such destabilization of the Yan-Pnt switch makes the system prone to aberrant cell fate specification and ultimately reduces fitness.

To explore further the properties of the D1 and D2 Yanbound regions, we asked whether they define autonomous *cis*-regulatory elements or whether their activity requires the endogenous genomic context. Reporter constructs carrying sequences spanning the chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-defined Yan-bound regions were generated (Fig. 1A), and expression was examined in stage 11 embryos. In contrast to the control MHE reporter that expressed specifically in the expected mesodermal clusters, the D1 and D2 reporters showed weak ubiquitous expression (Fig. 3A). A slightly stronger signal was detected in the mesoderm for both reporters but in domains broader than the three cell clusters seen with the MHE reporter. Removing yan increased the intensity but not the specificity of the expression patterns of the D1 and D2 reporters, suggesting that the individual elements can be recognized and repressed by Yan outside of the context of the intact eve locus. The autonomous ability of each element to recruit Yan was confirmed by ChIP-PCR, although quantitative PCR (qPCR) analysis revealed that



Figure 3. Regions D1 and D2 are sufficient to recruit Yan but not to drive specific mesodermal expression. (A) Analysis of GFP expression of the D1, D2, and D3 reporters (depicted in Fig. 1A) in a wild-(yan^{ER433}) background; staining and imaging of each type or yan^{-/} pair was done in parallel. The D3/MHE reporter expressed strongly and specifically in the mesodermal clusters. The D1 and D2 reporter expression was weak and ubiquitous with only slight mesodermal enrichment. Expression intensity increased for all reporters in yan-(B) ChIP-PCR shows Yan enrichment at the D1, D2, and D3 reporters. To the distinguish reporter from the endogenous locus, primer pairs included one within the reporter and one from the 86Fb integration site. Enrichment at argos (aos) and mae provided positive controls. (C) ChIP-qPCR showed less efficient Yan recruitment to the reporters relative to the endogenous eve locus. Data are plotted relative to Yan occupancy at aos. Error bars are mean ± standard deviation (n = 4).

occupancy was reduced relative to that measured at the endogenous locus (Fig. 3B,C).

Because the D1 and D2 Yan-bound elements do not appear to provide independent additive inputs capable of directing mesodermal eve expression, we wondered whether their primary role might be to integrate Yanrepressive inputs across the intact locus. We hypothesized that long-range interactions between these regions might stabilize Yan binding, thereby providing a buffering mechanism that sets the precise MHE-driven eve expression pattern needed for accurate cell fate specification. If correct, then deletion of any individual Yan-bound region not only should abolish occupancy at the site of deletion, but might also perturb binding at the remaining elements. To test this, we assessed Yan occupancy by ChIP-qPCR in embryos homozygous for the eve deficiency and carrying the deletion transgenes. Supporting the model of coordinated stabilization of Yan occupancy, deletion of any individual Yan-bound domain reduced Yan occupancy by about twofold at the remaining two eve elements but did not alter enrichment at a distant locus (Fig. 4A,B; Supplemental Fig. S2). Thus, precise regulation of mesodermal eve expression relies on long-range coordination between cis-regulatory elements rather than additive inputs from autonomous enhancers.

One possible mechanism is that the 3D chromatin environment facilitates interactions between the D1, D2, and D3 elements. Using proximity ligation and PCR analysis (referred to as chromatin conformation capture [3C]), we detected a long-range interaction between a D1– D2 fragment and the D3/MHE fragment (Fig. 4A,C,D). Sequencing confirmed the expected identity of the amplified ligated fragment. Control primers located just outside of the *eve* locus did not yield a 3C product with the D1–D2 fragment (Fig. 4D; Supplemental Fig. S3A,B). Furthermore, the 3C interaction was not detected in adult heads, suggesting context-specific chromatin contacts (Supplemental Fig. S3C–E). Finer-scale mapping in both wild-type and *yan* mutant embryos should provide further insight into how the 3D chromatin environment facilitates cooperative recruitment of Yan across the locus and how this in turn both stabilizes the Yan–Pnt switch in optimal conditions and buffers against genetic or environmental variation that might limit Yan.

In conclusion, our results suggest a novel regulatory mechanism in which, rather than contributing patterning information, the D1 and D2 enhancers act to dampen the expression control mediated by the D3/MHE. More broadly, this raises the possibility that even when transcription factor-bound regions identified through ChIP sequencing (ChIP-seq) fail to give clear expression patterns as autonomous reporters, they may still contribute to gene regulation in vivo. In the case of Yan, we speculate that its intrinsic polymerization ability (Qiao et al. 2004; Song et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2010) allows it to exploit the 3D chromatin environment to organize long-range repressive complexes that coordinate information across multiple enhancers.



Figure 4. Yan binds cooperatively to individual regions across the eve locus. (A) Depiction of the Yan ChIP pattern at the eve locus. Red boxes indicate regions deleted, blue dashed lines depict EcoR1 sites, and arrows indicate PCR primers used for 3C analysis. (B) ChIP-qPCR shows enrichment was lost at the site of deletion (arrow). A consistent decrease in enrichment at the other Yan-bound regions was observed in all deletions relative to eve^{WT}. Error bars are mean \pm standard deviation. (C) Forward and reverse primers located in the D1 region provided a PCR-positive control (pc), amplifying a fragment in both cross-linked and uncross-linked DNA. A PCR product from a primer located at the end of the fragment containing the D1 and part of the D2 region (primer 1; light blue arrow) and a primer located at the 3' end of the MHE (primer 2) fragment was observed only in the cross-linked sample. Asterisk indicates primerdimer. A PCR product from primer 1 and a primer located at the 3' end of a fragment containing the neuronal enhancer but not the insulator/homing element (primer 3) was also observed in the crosslinked sample. Sequencing confirmed the predicted ligated products. (D) ChIP-qPCR of cross-linked and uncross-linked samples. The relative amount of 3C product was determined for primer pairs 1 + 2, 1 + 3, and 1 + nc (nc primer located to the right of the insulator element) (see Supplemental Fig. S3A), and values were plotted relative to a positive control. Error bars are mean ± standard deviation (n = 3; cross-linked 1 + 2; uncross-linked 1 + 2, P =0.0015; cross-linked 1 + 3:uncross-linked 1 + 3, P = 0.0007; crosslinked 1 + nc:uncross-linked 1 + nc, P = 0.2723).

Many parallels can be drawn between Yan/Pnt regulation of the transition from uncommitted progenitor to specified cell fate within a developing tissue and the control of the pluripotent state in mammalian cells. Given the potential for natural, genetic, or environmental variation to perturb the timing, accuracy, or stability of such transitions, mechanisms to impart robustness to gene expression are almost certainly required and very likely conserved across species. Thus, the regulatory paradigm established in this study may be broadly relevant.

Materials and methods

Deletion transgenes and GFP reporters

A BAC containing the *eve* locus (Ludwig et al. 2011) was modified to generate the D1, D2, and D3 deletions using the Counter-Selection BAC Modification kit (Gene Bridges) following the manufacturer's protocol. The genomic coordinates for the Eve deletions D1, D2, and D3 were 2R: 5866200–5866500; 2R: 5869000–5869800; and 2R: 5872789–5873131, respectively. Subcloning via the BAC Subcloning kit (Gene Bridges) into the transformation vector and site-specific integration in the attP2 site on the third chromosome (Groth et al. 2004) were performed as described in Ludwig et al. (2011). Reporter constructs were generated by inserting the D1 (2R: 5865760–586730), D2 (2R: 5868845–5870866), and MHE (2R: 5872818–5873045) sequences into the pJR20 plasmid upstream of a minimal promoter and the GFP gene coding sequence. Site-specific integration into the 86Fb site on the third chromosome was as described in Bischof et al. (2007).

Fly strains and genetics

 $eve^{-/-}; eve^{-}YFP, eve^{-/-}; eve^{\Delta D1}, eve^{-/-}; eve^{\Delta D2}, or <math display="inline">eve^{-/-}; eve^{\Delta D3}$ embryos were selected from the cross of Df[2R]eve (Bloomington Stock Center, no. 1545)/Cyo, twist-Gal4, UAS-GFP (CTG); $eve|^{WT}$, $^{\Delta D1}$, $^{\Delta D2}$, or $^{\Delta D3}$), and $eve^{R13}/CTG; eve|^{WT}$, $^{\Delta D1}$, $^{\Delta D2}$, or $^{\Delta D3}$). CTG-negative embryos heterozygous for yan and homozygous for the eve deletion of interest were selected from the cross between either yan⁸³³/CTG; $eve|^{WT}$, $^{\Delta D1}$, or $^{\Delta D2}$) or Df[2L]yan/CTG; $eve|^{WT}$ or $^{\Delta D1}$) and +/+; $eve|^{WT}$, $^{\Delta D1}$, or $^{\Delta D2}$).

To analyze *eve*-YFP expression in embryos heterozygous for *pnt*, Ubx-lacZnegative embryos were selected from a cross of *pnt*^{AF397}, *eve*[^{WT}, ^{ΔD1}, or ^{ΔD2}]/ TM3, Ubx-lacZ and +/+; *eve*[^{WT}, ^{ΔD1}, or ^{ΔD2}]. Alternatively, TTGnegative embryos were examined from a cross of *pnt*^{AF89}/TM3, twist-Gal4, UAS-GFP [TTG], and *eve*^{WT}, *eve* ^{ΔD1}, or +/+. To determine the effect of *yan* and *pnt* heterozygosity on the ability of *eve*[^{WT}, ^{ΔD1}, or ^{ΔD2}]/ to rescue an *eve*-null, CTG-negative embryos were hand-selected from a cross of Df(2R)*eve*, yan⁸³³/CTG; *eve*[^{WT}, ^{ΔD1}, ^{ΔD2}, or ^{ΔD3}], and eve^{R13}/ CTG; *eve*[^{WT}, ^{ΔD1}, ^{ΔD2}, or ^{ΔD3}] or Df(2R)*eve*/CTG; *pnt*^{AF397}, *eve*[^{WT}, ^{ΔD1}or ^{ΔD2}], and eve^{R13}/CTG; *eve*[^{WT}, ^{ΔD1}, ^{ΔD2}]. For ChIP-qPCR at the *eve* locus, ~400 CTG-negative embryos were selected from the progeny of Df(2R)*eve*/CTG; Eve[^{WT}, ^{ΔD1}, ^{ΔD2}, or ^{ΔD3}].

Quantification of Eve-YFP expression

Stage 11 embryos were stained as described in Webber et al. (2013) with rabbit anti-GFP (1:1000; Invitrogen). Using a Zeiss 510 confocal microscope, serial 0.8-µm z-sections were taken through the Eve-positive mesodermal cells, and maximum was projected using LSM software. Expression intensity was calculated as the mean pixel intensity for the cluster minus the mean background pixel intensity. Measurements were normalized to *eve*^{WT}. Column statistics are shown in Supplemental Table 1.

Heart rate measurement

The number of heartbeats of white prepupae in a 15-sec period was determined as described in Cooper et al. 2009) and charted as hertz (cycles per second).

Flight assay

Flies were tapped into a 1000-mL graduated cylinder coated with mineral oil as described in Cauchi et al. (2008). The cylinder was divided into four

Long-range Yan-mediated repression of even-skipped

quadrants, with the highest denoted as 4 and the lowest denoted as 1. Flies that fell through without touching the sides were scored as 0.

Negative geotaxis response

Assays were performed essentially as described in Tong et al. (2007) and Shahrestani et al. (2009). Ten 2- to 3-d-old males per genotype were collected into individual culture vials, and a horizontal line was drawn 1 cm above the food. Flies were knocked to the bottom, and after 15 sec, the number that climbed above the 1-cm line scored. This was repeated every 30 sec for 10 min to establish a baseline response. Animals were then subjected to a 30-min heat shock at 37°C and returned to room temperature, and the geotaxis response was determined as they recovered. This assay was repeated until *n*-values of >100 were achieved for *eve*-YFP, *eve*^{$\Delta D1$}, *eve*^{$\Delta D2$}, and *eve*^{$\Delta D3$}, >50 were achieved for *eve*-YFP, *pnt*, and *eve*^{$\Delta D1$}, *pnt*; and >35 were achieved for *eve*^{$\Delta D2$} and *pnt*.

ChIP

ChIPs from stage 11 embryos (5h20–7h20 at 25°C) were performed as described in Webber et al. (2013). Analysis of Yan binding to the D1, D2, and MHE GFP reporters was performed with a primer specific to the pJR20 plasmid and a primer specific to the D1, D2, or MHE sequence. ChIPqPCR was performed using the QuantiTech SYBR Green PCR kit (Qiagen). A standard curve for each primer pair was generated using serial dilutions of genomic DNA. The relative amounts of input, mock-treated, and immunoprecipitated DNA were determined based on the standard curves, and the ChIP signals were calculated as immunoprecipitation/ mock ratios. Each individual mock-treated and immunoprecipitation sample was derived from the same set of cross-linked embryos.

3C

Cross-linking was performed as described in Webber et al. (2013) but for 20 min. Embryos were homogenized in buffer A (15 mM HEPES at pH 7.6, 10 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 350 mM sucrose, 1 mM DTT) and centrifuged for 15 min at 10,000 rpm. The pellet was resuspended in 1.5× EcoRI buffer (New England Biolabs) with BSA and incubated for 30 min at 37°C with 3 µL of 10% SDS. Following 20 min at 65°C, 20 µL of 10% TX-100 was added, and samples were incubated for 1 h at 37°C. Four microliters of EcoRI (20,000 U/mL; New England Biolabs) was added and incubated overnight at 37°C and digestion was stopped by adding 16 μL of 10% SDS and incubating for 20 min at 65°C. Digested chromatin was diluted in ligation buffer (50 mM Tris at pH 7.8, 20 mM DTT, 1 mM ATP, 25 μ g/mL BSA) with 1% TX100 to quench the SDS. Following 1 h of incubation at 37°C, 2 µL of T4 DNA ligase (400,000 U/mL: New England Biolabs) was added, and samples were incubated overnight at 16°C. Three microliters of Proteinase K (Invitrogen) was added prior to incubation overnight at 65°C. DNA was purified by phenol/ chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation, and ligation products were analyzed by PCR or qPCR.

Statistical analyses

Analyses were performed with Prism 5. A D'Agostino-Pearson normality test was used to determine whether the data fit a Gaussian distribution. A *t*-test or an ANOVA was performed on normally distributed data. Alternatively, a Mann-Whitney U or a Kruskal-Wallis test was used. A Dunn-corrected *P*-value is reported for statistical tests carried out on multiple data sets. The α level for all tests was 0.05.

Acknowledgments

We thank Alec Victorsen and Ralf Kittler for recombineering the *eve* constructs, and Claude Desplan for the gift of pJR20. We thank members of the Rebay, Fehon, White, Reinitz, Kreitman, and Carthew laboratories for helpful discussions, and Rick Fehon, Trevor Davis, and Charlene Hoi for comments on the manuscript. I.R. is supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants P50 GMO81892 and R01 GM080372. J.L.W. is supported by an award from the American Heart Association. J.Z is a recipient of a University of Chicago Women's Board Fellowship.

References

- Bischof J, Maeda RK, Hediger M, Karch F, Basler K. 2007. An optimized transgenesis system for *Drosophila* using germ-line-specific φC31 integrases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **104**: 3312–3317.
- Bulger M, Groudine M. 2011. Functional and mechanistic diversity of distal transcription enhancers. *Cell* 144: 327–339.
- Cauchi RJ, Davies KE, Liu JL. 2008. A motor function for the DEAD-box RNA helicase, Gemin3, in *Drosophila*. *PLoS Genet* **4**: e1000265.
- Cooper AS, Rymond KE, Ward MA, Bocook EL, Cooper RL. 2009. Monitoring heart function in larval *Drosophila melanogaster* for physiological studies. J Vis Exp doi: 10.3791/1596.
- Dunipace L, Ozdemir A, Stathopoulos A. 2011. Complex interactions between *cis*-regulatory modules in native conformation are critical for *Drosophila* snail expression. *Development* **138**: 4075–4084.
- Frankel N, Davis GK, Vargas D, Wang S, Payre F, Stern DL. 2010. Phenotypic robustness conferred by apparently redundant transcriptional enhancers. *Nature* 466: 490–493.
- Fujioka M, Wessells RJ, Han Z, Liu J, Fitzgerald K, Yusibova GL, Zamora M, Ruiz-Lozano P, Bodmer R, Jaynes JB. 2005. Embryonic even skipped-dependent muscle and heart cell fates are required for normal adult activity, heart function, and lifespan. *Circ Res* 97: 1108–1114.
- Fujioka M, Wu X, Jaynes JB. 2009. A chromatin insulator mediates transgene homing and very long-range enhancer-promoter communication. *Development* 136: 3077–3087.
- Gabay L, Scholz H, Golembo M, Klaes A, Shilo BZ, Klambt C. 1996. EGF receptor signaling induces pointed P1 transcription and inactivates Yan protein in the *Drosophila* embryonic ventral ectoderm. *Development* 122: 3355–3362.
- Graham TG, Tabei SM, Dinner AR, Rebay I. 2010. Modeling bistable cellfate choices in the *Drosophila* eye: Qualitative and quantitative perspectives. *Development* 137: 2265–2278.
- Groth AC, Fish M, Nusse R, Calos MP. 2004. Construction of transgenic Drosophila by using the site-specific integrase from phage φ C31. Genetics 166: 1775–1782.
- Halfon MS, Carmena A, Gisselbrecht S, Sackerson CM, Jimenez F, Baylies MK, Michelson AM. 2000. Ras pathway specificity is determined by the integration of multiple signal-activated and tissuerestricted transcription factors. *Cell* 103: 63–74.
- Han Z, Fujioka M, Su M, Liu M, Jaynes JB, Bodmer R. 2002. Transcriptional integration of competence modulated by mutual repression generates cell-type specificity within the cardiogenic mesoderm. *Dev Biol* 252: 225–240.
- He Q, Bardet AF, Patton B, Purvis J, Johnston J, Paulson A, Gogol M, Stark A, Zeitlinger J. 2011. High conservation of transcription factor binding and evidence for combinatorial regulation across six *Drosophila* species. *Nat Genet* **43**: 414–420.
- Johnson AN, Burnett LA, Sellin J, Paululat A, Newfeld SJ. 2007. Defective decapentaplegic signaling results in heart overgrowth and reduced cardiac output in *Drosophila. Genetics* **176**: 1609–1624.
- Knirr S, Frasch M. 2001. Molecular integration of inductive and mesoderm-intrinsic inputs governs *even-skipped* enhancer activity in a subset of pericardial and dorsal muscle progenitors. *Dev Biol* 238: 13–26.
- Ludwig MZ, Manu , Kittler R, White KP, Kreitman M. 2011. Consequences of eukaryotic enhancer architecture for gene expression dynamics, development, and fitness. *PLoS Genet* 7: e1002364.
- O'Neill EM, Rebay I, Tjian R, Rubin GM. 1994. The activities of two Etsrelated transcription factors required for *Drosophila* eye development are modulated by the Ras/MAPK pathway. *Cell* **78**: 137–147.
- Perry MW, Boettiger AN, Bothma JP, Levine M. 2010. Shadow enhancers foster robustness of Drosophila gastrulation. Curr Biol 20: 1562–1567.
- Perry MW, Boettiger AN, Levine M. 2011. Multiple enhancers ensure precision of gap gene-expression patterns in the *Drosophila* embryo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 108: 13570–13575.
- Piazza N, Gosangi B, Devilla S, Arking R, Wessells R. 2009. Exercisetraining in young *Drosophila melanogaster* reduces age-related decline in mobility and cardiac performance. *PLoS ONE* 4: e5886.
- Qiao F, Song H, Kim CA, Sawaya MR, Hunter JB, Gingery M, Rebay I, Courey AJ, Bowie JU. 2004. Derepression by depolymerization; structural insights into the regulation of Yan by Mae. *Cell* **118**: 163–173.

- Rebay I, Rubin GM. 1995. Yan functions as a general inhibitor of differentiation and is negatively regulated by activation of the Ras1/ MAPK pathway. *Cell* 81: 857–866.
- Shahrestani P, Leung HT, Le PK, Pak WL, Tse S, Ocorr K, Huang T. 2009. Heterozygous mutation of *Drosophila* Opal causes the development of multiple organ abnormalities in an age-dependent and organspecific manner. *PLoS ONE* 4: e6867.
- Song H, Nie M, Qiao F, Bowie JU, Courey AJ. 2005. Antagonistic regulation of Yan nuclear export by Mae and Crm1 may increase the stringency of the Ras response. *Genes Dev* **19**: 1767–1772.
- Tong JJ, Schriner SE, McCleary D, Day BJ, Wallace DC. 2007. Life extension through neurofibromin mitochondrial regulation and antioxidant therapy for neurofibromatosis-1 in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Nat Genet* 39: 476–485.
- Webber JL, Zhang J, Cote L, Vivekanand P, Ni X, Zhou J, Negre N, Carthew RW, White KP, Rebay I. 2013. The relationship between long-range chromatin occupancy and polymerization of the *Drosophila* ETS family transcriptional repressor Yan. *Genetics* **193**: 633–649.
- Zhang J, Graham TG, Vivekanand P, Cote L, Cetera M, Rebay I. 2010. Sterile α motif domain-mediated self-association plays an essential role in modulating the activity of the *Drosophila* ETS family transcriptional repressor Yan. *Mol Cell Biol* **30**: 1158–1170.