

Complete Genome Sequence of Rhizobium phaseoli Podophage Palo

Ali Nabhani,ª Leika Rushing,ʰ Heather Newkirk,ª ® [Ben Burrowes,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9376-8227)ˤ ® [Ryland Young,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8001-2914)ª٬ ® [Carlos Gonzalez](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7309-8059)ʰ٬ ɛ

aDepartment of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA bDepartment of Plant Pathology and Microbiology, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA cCenter for Phage Technology, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA

ABSTRACT Here, we present the genome of Palo, a T7-like podophage of Rhizobium phaseoli. The genome is 46.3 kb and contains 58 predicted protein-coding genes, including a novel signal-anchor-release (SAR) endolysin, a homolog of the T5 A1 protein required for DNA transfer, and a dual-start holin/antiholin pair.

The Gram-negative alphaproteobacterium *Rhizobium phaseoli* is generally found in
legume root nodules, where it fixes nitrogen [\(1](#page-1-0)). *R. phaseoli* possesses the sym plasmid, containing symbiotic determinants, nodulation genes, and nitrogen fixation gene repeats ([2](#page-1-1)). Examined in this report is the genome of Palo, a T7-like podophage of R. phaseoli that has multiple unique features and could be used to study the population dynamics of R. phaseoli populations in soil.

Bacteriophage Palo was isolated from potato root samples obtained in Olton, Texas, by plaque purification using the double-agar overlay method [\(3\)](#page-1-2) with host bacterium R. phaseoli 127K17 grown aerobically at 28°C in L-arabinose medium. Genomic DNA was isolated from crude lysates using the modified Wizard cleanup kit protocol, as described previously ([4](#page-1-3)). Samples were then prepared as Illumina TruSeq libraries using a Nextera Flex kit and sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq system using paired-end 250 bp reads and 500-cycle v2 chemistry. The 444,176 reads were quality controlled and manually trimmed using FastQC [\(www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc](http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc)) and FastX v0.0.14 ([http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/download.html\)](http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/download.html), respectively. A single contig was assembled to 22.5-fold coverage using SPAdes v3.5.0 with default parameters [\(5\)](#page-1-4). The contig was closed by PCR and Sanger sequencing using primers AAGGATTGGTTCGCATCTACC and ATACTGAGTTCGATCCTCTGCA. GLIMMER v3 ([6](#page-1-5)) and MetaGeneAnnotator v1.0 ([7](#page-1-6)) were used for structural annotation of the genome, and tRNAs were detected using ARAGORN v2.36 [\(8\)](#page-1-7). BLAST v2.9.0 ([9](#page-1-8)) was run against the NCBI nonredundant, TrEMBL, and UniProtKB Swiss-Prot databases ([10\)](#page-1-9) (accessed 14 March 2020). InterProScan v5.33 [\(11](#page-1-10)) was used to conduct conserved domain searches, and TMHMM v2.0 [\(12](#page-1-11)) was used to predict transmembrane domains. All programs were used with default settings. progressiveMauve v2.4 was used to calculate genome-wide nucleotide similarity ([13\)](#page-1-12). These annotation tools were used in Apollo and Galaxy instances hosted by the Center for Phage Technology at Texas A&M University [\(https://cpt](https://cpt.tamu.edu/galaxy-pub) [.tamu.edu/galaxy-pub\)](https://cpt.tamu.edu/galaxy-pub) ([14](#page-1-13)[–](#page-1-14)[16](#page-1-15)).

Genomic analysis determined that Palo is a podophage, which was confirmed visually by transmission electron microscopy (TEM), with a 46,322-bp genome and a GC content of 52%, significantly lower than the 61.1% GC content of its host. PhageTerm [\(17\)](#page-1-16) predicted 260-bp direct terminal repeats, like those characteristic of T7-like phages. The genome has a coding density of 93%, 58 predicted protein-coding genes, and no tRNAs. BLASTn analysis showed that the phage most closely related to Palo was the T7-like phage RHEph09, a virulent Rhizobium etli phage, with an overall nucleotide Burrowes B, Young R, Gonzalez C. 2021. Complete genome sequence of Rhizobium phaseoli podophage Palo. Microbiol Resour Announc 10:e01443-20. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.01443-20) [.1128/MRA.01443-20.](https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.01443-20)

Citation Nabhani A, Rushing L, Newkirk H,

Editor Kenneth M. Stedman, Portland State University

Copyright © 2021 Nabhani et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Address correspondence to Carlos Gonzalez, [cf-gonzalez@tamu.edu.](mailto:cf-gonzalez@tamu.edu)

Received 16 December 2020 Accepted 7 January 2021 Published 28 January 2021

sequence identity of 54.5% [\(18](#page-1-17)). Twenty-four of the 58 putative protein-coding genes of Palo were assigned predicted functions. A putative dual-start holin/antiholin with a predicted mRNA stem-loop that could control translation initiation was found. A putative novel signal-anchor-release (SAR) endolysin gene that possessed not only the SAR domain at the N terminus but also a separate transmembrane domain at the C terminus was identified. Surprisingly, although Palo has the hallmarks of a T7-like virulent phage, many Palo genes have homologs in alphaproteobacterial genomes; for example, the Palo terL gene is closely related to a gene in Rhizobium sp. strain Leaf341 (NCBI GenBank accession number [NZ_LMPE00000000\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/NZ_LMPE00000000) that is part of a cluster of unannotated phage lysis, structural, and replication genes. This finding suggests that Palo may be derived from a recent temperate ancestor. In addition, Palo encodes a close homolog of A1, one of two early proteins required for second-step DNA transfer in the paradigm siphophage T5 ([19\)](#page-1-18).

Data availability. The Palo genome was deposited under GenBank accession number [MT708544.1.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MT708544.1) The associated BioProject, SRA, and BioSample accession numbers are [PRJNA222858](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/?term=PRJNA222858), [SRR11558343](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRR11558343), and [SAMN14609646](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/biosample/SAMN14609646), respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by funding from the National Science Foundation (awards EF-0949351 and DBI-1565146). Additional support came from the Center for Phage Technology, an Initial University Multidisciplinary Research Initiative supported by Texas A&M University and Texas A&M AgriLife Research, and from the Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics of Texas A&M University.

This announcement was prepared in partial fulfillment of the requirements for BICH464 Phage Genomics, an undergraduate course at Texas A&M University.

REFERENCES

- 1. Mora Y, Díaz R, Vargas-Lagunas C, Peralta H, Guerrero G, Aguilar A, Encarnación S, Girard L, Mora J. 2014. Nitrogen-fixing rhizobial strains isolated from common bean seeds: phylogeny, physiology, and genome analysis. Appl Environ Microbiol 80:5644–5654. [https://doi.org/10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01491-14) [AEM.01491-14](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01491-14).
- 2. Martinez E, Flores M, Brom S, Romero D, Davila G, Palacios R. 1988. Rhizobium phaseoli: a molecular genetics view. Plant Soil 108:179–184. [https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02370113.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02370113)
- 3. van Charante F, Holtappels D, Blasdel B, Burrowes B. 2019. Isolation of bacteriophages, p 1–32. In Harper D, Abedon S, Burrowes B, McConville M (ed), Bacteriophages. Springer, Cham, Switzerland. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-40598-8_14-1) [-3-319-40598-8_14-1.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-40598-8_14-1)
- 4. Summer EJ. 2009. Preparation of a phage DNA fragment library for whole genome shotgun sequencing. Methods Mol Biol 502:27–46. [https://doi](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-60327-565-1_4) [.org/10.1007/978-1-60327-565-1_4.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-60327-565-1_4)
- 5. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol 19:455–477. [https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021.](https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021)
- 6. Delcher AL, Harmon D, Kasif S, White O, Salzberg SL. 1999. Improved microbial gene identification with GLIMMER. Nucleic Acids Res 27:4636–4641. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/27.23.4636>.
- 7. Noguchi H, Taniguchi T, Itoh T. 2008. MetaGeneAnnotator: detecting species-specific patterns of ribosomal binding site for precise gene prediction in anonymous prokaryotic and phage genomes. DNA Res 15:387–396. <https://doi.org/10.1093/dnares/dsn027>.
- 8. Laslett D, Canback B. 2004. ARAGORN, a program to detect tRNA genes and tmRNA genes in nucleotide sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 32:11–16. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh152>.
- 9. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL. 2009. BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 10:421. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-421>.
- 10. The UniProt Consortium. 2018. UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase. Nucleic Acids Res 46:2699. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky092.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky092)
- 11. Jones P, Binns D, Chang HY, Fraser M, Li W, McAnulla C, McWilliam H,

Maslen J, Mitchell A, Nuka G, Pesseat S, Quinn AF, Sangrador-Vegas A, Scheremetjew M, Yong SY, Lopez R, Hunter S. 2014. InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. Bioinformatics 30:1236–1240. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031)

- 12. Krogh A, Larsson B, von Heijne G, Sonnhammer EL. 2001. Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. J Mol Biol 305:567–580. [https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi](https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.4315) [.2000.4315](https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.4315).
- 13. Darling AE, Mau B, Perna NT. 2010. progressiveMauve: multiple genome alignment with gene gain, loss and rearrangement. PLoS One 5:e11147. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011147>.
- 14. Jalili V, Afgan E, Gu Q, Clements D, Blankenberg D, Goecks J, Taylor J, Nekrutenko A. 2020. The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses: 2020 update. Nucleic Acids Res 48: W395–W402. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa434.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa434)
- 15. Ramsey J, Rasche H, Maughmer C, Criscione A, Mijalis E, Liu M, Hu JC, Young R, Gill JJ. 2020. Galaxy and Apollo as a biologist-friendly interface for high-quality cooperative phage genome annotation. PLoS Comput Biol 16:e1008214. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1008214.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1008214)
- 16. Dunn NA, Unni DR, Diesh C, Munoz-Torres M, Harris NL, Yao E, Rasche H, Holmes IH, Elsik CG, Lewis SE. 2019. Apollo: democratizing genome annotation. PLoS Comput Biol 15:e1006790. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006790) [.pcbi.1006790](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006790).
- 17. Garneau JR, Depardieu F, Fortier LC, Bikard D, Monot M. 2017. Phage-Term: a tool for fast and accurate determination of phage termini and packaging mechanism using next-generation sequencing data. Sci Rep 7:8292. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-07910-5>.
- 18. Santamaría RI, Bustos P, Sepúlveda-Robles O, Lozano L, Rodríguez C, Fernández JL, Juárez S, Kameyama L, Guarneros G, Dávila G, González V. 2014. Narrow-host-range bacteriophages that infect Rhizobium etli associate with distinct genomic types. Appl Environ Microbiol 80:446–454. [https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02256-13.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02256-13)
- 19. Sayer JR. 2006. Bacteriophage T5, p 268–276. In Calendar RL, Abedon ST (ed), The bacteriophages, 2nd ed. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom.