Research article

Mutations in many genes affect aggressive behavior in Drosophila melanogaster

Alexis C Edwards^{1,2,5}, Liesbeth Zwarts⁴, Akihiko Yamamoto^{2,3}, Patrick Callaerts⁴ and Trudy FC Mackay^{*1,2}

Address: ¹Department of Genetics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA, ²W. M. Keck Center for Behavioral Biology, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA, ³Department of Biology, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA, ⁴Laboratory of Developmental Genetics, VIB-PRJ8 & Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Center for Human Genetics, Leuven, Belgium and ⁵Current address: Virginia Institute for Psychiatric and Behavioral Genetics, Virginia Commonwealth University, Department of Psychiatry, Richmond, VA, USA

E-mail: Alexis C Edwards - aedwards5@vcu.edu; Liesbeth Zwarts - liesbeth.zwarts@med.kuleuven.be; Akihiko Yamamoto - akihiko_yamamoto@ncsu.edu; Patrick Callaerts - patrick.callaerts@med.kuleuven.be; Trudy FC Mackay* - trudy_mackay@ncsu.edu *Corresponding author

Published: 11 June 2009

BMC Biology 2009, 7:29 doi: 10.1186/1741-7007-7-29

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1741-7007/7/29

© 2009 Edwards et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 15 May 2009 Accepted: 11 June 2009

Abstract

Background: Aggressive behavior in animals is important for survival and reproduction. Identifying the underlying genes and environmental contexts that affect aggressive behavior is important for understanding the evolutionary forces that maintain variation for aggressive behavior in natural populations, and to develop therapeutic interventions to modulate extreme levels of aggressive behavior in humans. While the role of neurotransmitters and a few other molecules in mediating and modulating levels of aggression is well established, it is likely that many additional genetic pathways remain undiscovered. *Drosophila melanogaster* has recently been established as an excellent model organism for studying the genetic basis of aggressive behavior. Here, we present the results of a screen of 170 *Drosophila P*-element insertional mutations for quantitative differences in aggressive behavior from their co-isogenic control line.

Results: We identified 59 mutations in 57 genes that affect aggressive behavior, none of which had been previously implicated to affect aggression. Thirty-two of these mutants exhibited increased aggression, while 27 lines were less aggressive than the control. Many of the genes affect the development and function of the nervous system, and are thus plausibly relevant to the execution of complex behaviors. Others affect basic cellular and metabolic processes, or are mutations in computationally predicted genes for which aggressive behavior is the first biological annotation. Most of the mutations had pleiotropic effects on other complex traits. We characterized nine of these mutations in greater detail by assessing transcript levels throughout development, morphological changes in the mushroom bodies, and restoration of control levels of aggression in revertant alleles. All of the *P*-element insertions affected the tagged genes, and had pleiotropic effects on brain morphology.

Conclusion: This study reveals that many more genes than previously suspected affect aggressive behavior, and that these genes have widespread pleiotropic effects. Given the conservation of aggressive behavior among different animal species, these are novel candidate genes for future study in other animals, including humans.

Open Access

Background

Aggressive behavior in animals is important for survival and reproduction. Aggression is used for self-defense against con-specifics and predators, in acquisition of territory, food and mates, and in defense of progeny. However, aggressive behaviors are energetically expensive, and there is likely an intermediate optimum level of aggression in natural populations from a balance between the energy and risk associated with territory defense and the need to find food and mates. In social organisms such as humans or other primates, an extremely high level of aggression can be disadvantageous or even pathological.

Aggressive behaviors are quantitative traits, with continuous variation in natural populations due to segregating alleles at multiple interacting loci, with effects that are sensitive to developmental and environmental conditions. Identifying the underlying genes and environmental contexts that affect aggressive behavior is necessary if we are to understand the evolutionary forces acting to maintain variation for aggressive behavior in natural populations, and to develop therapeutic interventions to modulate extreme levels of aggressive behavior in humans. Much of the work on the neurobiology and genetics of aggressive behavior to date has used the candidate gene approach to establish the role of neurotransmitters in mediating and modulating levels of aggression. In particular, biogenic amines and genes affecting their biosynthesis and metabolism have been associated with aggressive behavior in mammals [1-7] and invertebrates [8-15]. The neurotransmitters nitric oxide and γ -aminobutyric acid also modulate aggressive behavior in mammals [15-17]. Neuropeptide Y affects aggression in mammals [18-20] and its invertebrate homolog, neuropeptide F, affects aggression in Drosophila [13]. In Drosophila, correct expression of the male-specific transcript of *fruitless*, a gene in the sex-determination pathway, is required for executing male aggressive behaviors [12,21-23].

Drosophila exhibit territorial behavior in wild populations [24,25], and therefore represent an excellent model system for investigating the genetic basis of aggressive behavior. Recent studies have revealed a much more complex genetic architecture of *Drosophila* aggression than suggested by targeted evaluation of candidate genes in biologically plausible pathways. Many novel loci affecting aggressive behavior have been implicated from widespread correlated responses in gene expression to selection for divergent levels of aggressive behavior [26,27]. Subsequent evaluation of aggressive behavior of mutations in a sample of these candidate genes revealed that a large number indeed affected aggressive behavior, including mutations in a member of the cytochrome P450 gene family [26]; and genes involved in electron transport, catabolism, nervous system development, G-protein coupled receptor signaling, as well as computationally predicted genes [27,28]. Analysis of quantitative trait loci (QTLs) affecting variation in aggression between two wild-type strains also identified a complex genetic basis for natural variation in aggressive behavior, characterized by extensive epistasis among QTLs [29]. Complementation tests to mutations at positional candidate genes in the QTL regions also revealed four additional novel loci affecting aggressive behavior [29]. These results motivate a broader screen for mutations affecting *Drosophila* aggression.

Previously, we developed a highly reproducible and rapid assay to quantify levels of aggression in D. melanogaster males [27]. Here, we employed a modified version of this assay to screen 170 $P{GT1}$ transposable element (P-element) mutant lines that were generated in the same co-isogenic background. All of these lines are viable and fertile as homozygotes; therefore, the mutations are unlikely to be genetic null alleles. This is obviously an essential criterion for evaluating effects of mutations in essential genes on behavioral traits expressed in adults, and the quantitative assay enables detection of mutations with subtle as well as large effects. Further, the exact insertion site of the transposon, and thus the identity of the candidate gene (s) it disrupts, can be readily determined. The same panel of lines has been screened for mutations affecting numbers of sensory bristles [30], resistance to starvation stress [31], sleep [32] and olfactory [33] and locomotor [34] behavior, enabling us to assess pleiotropic mutational effects. We identified 59 mutations in 57 genes that affect aggressive behavior, none of which had been previously implicated to affect aggression. While many of the genes affect the development and function of the nervous system, and are thus plausibly relevant to the execution of complex behaviors, others affect basic cellular and metabolic processes, or computationally predicted genes for which aggressive behavior is the first biological annotation. Most of the mutations had pleiotropic effects on other complex traits. More detailed characterization of nine of the mutations indicated that the P-element insertions affected the tagged genes, and that the mutations had pleiotropic effects on brain morphology.

Methods

Drosophila stocks

Flies were reared on cornmeal/molasses/agar medium under standard culture conditions (25° C, 12:12 hour light/dark cycle). CO₂ was used as an anesthetic. All mutant lines are homozygous and contain single *P*

{*GT1*} transposable element inserts in the w^{1118} *Canton-S B* co-isogenic background, and were constructed as part of the Berkeley Drosophila Gene Disruption Project [35]. Male w^{1118} *Canton-S B* flies were used as the control line.

Quantitative assay for aggressive behavior

Assays were performed on socially experienced, 3–7 dayold male flies. Groups of eight males from the same mutant line were anesthetized 24 hours prior to the assay and placed in vials with food. On the day of the assay, the males were transferred without anesthesia to an empty vial and were deprived of food for 90 minutes, after which they were exposed to a food droplet and given one minute to acclimate to this disturbance. The flies were then observed for an additional one minute, and the total number of aggressive encounters scored as described previously [27]. Behavioral assays were conducted in a behavioral chamber (25°C, 70% humidity) between 8 a.m. and 11 a.m.

The screen was conducted in 34 blocks of 1-7 mutant lines and the contemporaneous control, with 20 replicate vials for each mutant line and the control line per block. P-element insert lines with significantly different levels of aggression than the control were identified using a one-way fixed effect ANOVA model. Post-hoc Tukey tests were used to determine whether aggression levels of mutant lines in a block differed significantly from that of the control after correcting for multiple tests. In addition, a one-way random effects ANOVA was performed on the entire data set, expressing the aggressive behavior of the mutant lines as deviations from their contemporaneous control. The among line (σ_L^2) and within line (σ_E^2) variance components were computed, and the broad sense mutational heritability estimated as:

$$H_M^2 = \sigma_L^2 / (\sigma_L^2 + \sigma_E^2).$$

Bioinformatics

Gene ontology categories among *P*-element insert lines associated with increased or decreased levels of aggression were assessed using DAVID [36] and Babelomics [37]. Only gene ontology categories that applied to greater than 5% of the genes queried were considered in these analyses. Human orthologs of the genes tagged by the *P*-elements were assessed using FlyAtlas [38].

Generation and verification of revertant lines

Genetic revertants were generated using standard crossing schemes, while preserving the co-isogenic background of the parental and revertant strains [32]. PCR products were sequenced to ascertain whether revertants were genetically precise. Primers were chosen to span either the 5' or 3' site of the original insertion. PCR products were run on 2% agarose gels and compared with a DNA ladder to determine whether they were of the appropriate length. Sequencing reactions were run on the PCR products, and the sequence of each *P* [-] line was compared with that of the control w^{1118} *Canton-S B* to determine whether the excision of the *P*-element was precise.

RNA extraction and cDNA generation

Samples were collected in triplicate from each of the following developmental stages: embryos aged 12–14 hours after egg laying (AEL); third instar larvae; pupae aged 8–9 days AEL; and male adults aged 3–5 days post-eclosion, with heads and bodies separated. Whole RNA was extracted using Trizol (GIBCO-BRL, Gaithersburg, MD) and purified using standard procedures. cDNA was generated using 500 ng of whole RNA with reagents from Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA).

Quantitative reverse transcription-PCR

Primers for quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qPCR) were obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO) and targeted gene regions common to all transcripts. cDNA was diluted 1:6 for a concentration of 41.7 ng/µl, and 2 µl cDNA were used for each 10 µl qPCR reaction. Each biological replicate was assessed in three technical replicates. An ABI-7900 sequence detector and protocols from Applied Biosystems were used to perform the qPCR with the SYBR Green detection method. Relative mRNA quantities were standardized using the housekeeping gene Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase 1 (Gapdh1). Standardization was conducted on Ct values reported by the ABI-7900 software. Since Ct values are relative exponential measures, standardized values were converted to linearized values as described in Livak and Schmittgen [39] for statistical tests. Differences in gene expression level between the P-element insert line and the control line were tested for statistical significance using two-tailed Student's t-tests.

Whole-mount in situ hybridization

cDNA clones for *ed* (LD11008), *sgl* (SD09476), *emc* (LD10532), *pbx* (RE16319), *Syx4* (RE02884), *CG13377* (RE15974), *CG32572* (AT02481) and *CG3638* (LD20542) were ordered from the Berkeley Drosophila Genome Project. *Act5c* cDNA was obtained using the High Fidelity PCR System (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN) on *Canton-S* genomic DNA using the following primers: 5' ATGTGTGACGAAGAAGTTGCTG, 5' CACGTGGCGTTCACGAAGATT. The 1131 bp fragment was cloned into the *pCR®II-TOPO* vector (Invitrogen). Digoxigenin-labeled sense and antisense RNA

probes were synthesized by *in vitro* transcription using the DIG RNA labeling kit (Roche Applied Science). The probes were hydrolyzed at 60°C in buffer containing 200 mM Na₂CO₃ and 200 mM NaHCO₃ (X = (Lo - Ld))/ $(0.11 \times Lo \times Ld)$ with Lo = original length of the transcript in kb; *Ld* = desired 0.2 kb length), precipitated in ethanol and resuspended in RNase-free water. Whole-mount in situ hybridization was performed using a variation of the protocol described by Tautz and Pfeifle [40]. Signal detection was carried out using anti-digoxigenin-AP Fab fragments (Roche Applied Science). Color development was performed in the dark using 0.5 mg/ml NBT (Roche Applied Science) and 0.25 mg/ml BCIP (Roche Applied Science). Embryos were 0-17 h old. Images were obtained using a light microscope (model BX61; Olympus) and Cell^D 2.6 imaging software.

Immunohistochemistry and morphometric analysis

Immunohistochemical labeling of adult *Drosophila* brains with anti-fasciclin II MAb 1D4 (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank; under the auspices of the NICHD and maintained by the University of Iowa, Department of Biological Sciences, Iowa City, IA 52242) and morphometric analyses of mushroom body lobes and ellipsoid body were done as previously described [28]. Measurements were taken for each hemisphere of 10 brains per line. Statistical significance was determined using *t*-tests for differences between mutant lines and the *Canton-S B* control line.

Results and discussion

Mutations affecting aggressive behavior

We quantified aggressive behavior of *P*-element insertional mutations that had been generated in a common isogenic background (*Canton S B* [35]), as well as the control line (Additional file 1). The 170 *P*-element lines represented insertions in 148 genes. Approximately onehalf of these lines were chosen because they represent mutations in genes that exhibited changes in transcript abundance as a correlated response to artificial selection for aggressive behavior [27]. We also included genes if they had previously been shown to have a mutant phenotype for a different behavior, to examine possible pleiotropic effects of mutations on aggression and other behaviors; or if the *P*-element tagged a computationally predicted gene, to provide potential biological annotations for these sequences.

The broad sense mutational heritability (H_M^2) for aggressive behavior was rather high: $H_M^2 = 0.432$. The high mutational heritability could be due to a few mutants of large effect, or many mutants with smaller effects. Analysis of the effects of individual mutations revealed that the latter was the case. A total of 59 (approximately 35%) of the *P*-element insert lines exhibited levels of aggression that differed significantly from the control; 27 lines were less aggressive, and 32 lines were more aggressive than the control line (Table 1, Figure 1). The absolute values of the standardized mutational effects (a/σ , where *a* is one-half of the difference in the mean aggression score of the *P*-element insert line and the control line, and σ is the phenotypic standard deviation of the control line) of the 59 lines with significantly increased or decreased levels of aggression ranged from 0.28 to 2.27, with a mean of 0.77 (Table 1).

The high proportion of mutations associated with alterations in aggressive behavior is likely in part to be because the screen was enriched for mutations in candidate genes previously implicated to affect aggression [27] and with mutations affecting other quantitative traits [30-34]. However, the large mutational target size for aggressive behavior is consistent with a growing body of evidence that large numbers of loci can affect most quantitative traits [27,30-34,41-46].

Gene ontology analysis

The genes tagged by the *P*-element inserts associated with increased or decreased levels of aggression spanned a variety of gene ontology categories [36,37] (Figure 2). Many of these genes affect early development, including the development of the nervous system, and are involved in transcriptional regulation, signal transduction, and ATP binding. There is a trend towards differential representation of some gene ontology categories between the lines associated with increased versus decreased levels of aggression (Figure 2), although the differences are not significant due to the small numbers of mutations. For example, approximately 42% of the mutations with low levels of aggression are in genes affecting metabolism, but only approximately 26% of mutations with high levels of aggression fall into this category. A plausible interpretation is that dysfunction of metabolic processes can lead to a lower propensity to expend energy on demanding behaviors. Over 24% of mutations with low levels of aggression affect 'localization'; no mutations with high levels of aggression affect localization. The connection between this biological process and aggressive behavior is not intuitively obvious. Nearly all 59 genes tagged by Pelements that were associated with increased or decreased levels of aggression have orthologs that been implicated in human diseases or disorders (Additional file 2), including susceptibility to schizophrenia, diabetes, deafness, and mental retardation [38].

Pleiotropic effects

Many of the *P*-element lines included in this screen have previously been examined for mutational effects on

Table I: P{(GTI} lines with ab	errant aggressive	e behavior						
P-element line	Disrupted locus	Cytogenetic location	Mutation	al effects	Pleio	tropic	effects		Gene ontology
			MAS	al $\sigma_{ m P}$	۵	_	S SI	st	
BG00151 BG00336	CG9894 Guanine nucleotide exchange factor	23A3–23A3 64BI 3–64BI7	6.35** 6. *⊷	0.96 -1.45				\rightarrow	Unknown Axon guidance; regulation of Rho protein signal transduction
BG00372 BG00375	GEF04C CG1678 Odorant-binding	20A1-20A1 99B8-99B8	7.2*** 4.8**	0.94 0.53	~		~	\rightarrow	Unknown Odorant binding: autophagic cell death; transport
BG00376† BG00386	protein 77d CG3638 NMDA receptor 1	IE4-IE4 83A6–83A7	18.45*** 20.65***	I.16 I.53	~		←	$\rightarrow \rightarrow$	Unknown Long-term memory: olfactory learning; calcium-mediated signaling: nerve-nerve
BG00670 BG00735 BG00986†	CG32541 schizo extra	17F3-18A2 78A5-78B1 61C9-61C9	13.35** 16.25*** 7.05***	0.28 0.78 -0.90	← ←	\rightarrow	←	$\leftarrow \rightarrow$	synaptic transmission; nervous system development Unknown Central nervous system development; guanyl-nucleotide exchange factor activity Nervous system development
BG01011 BG01043	macrocnaetae Spinophilin Gp I 50	62E 4 -62E5 58D3-58D3	7.2*** 8.0*	0.69 0.91		\rightarrow	←	\leftarrow	Phosphopantetheine binding ATP binding: transmembrane receptor protein tyrosine phosphatase signaling
BG01046 BG01130 BG01214†	CG3587 alan shepard sugarless	2B16-2B16 64C8–64C11 65D4–65D5	7.9*** 16.55*** 17.1***	-0.60 0.86 0.93	←	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	$\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow$	pauway Unknown mRNA processing; gravitaxis Cell communication; gravitaxis
BG01215 BG01299† BG01354 BG01402 BG01402	CG11299 Actin 5C CG30492 CG3245	59F6-59F7 5C7-5C7 43E5-43E7 61C7-61C7 28R1-28R2	8.7** 3.6*** 18.55** 15.55***	-0.48 -1.75 1.01 0.68 0.60	$\leftarrow \rightarrow \leftarrow$	$\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow -$		\rightarrow \rightarrow -	oyrosme kniase signaming pauriway Regulation of progression through cell cycle; cell cycle arrest structural constituent of cytoskeleton; ATP binding; protein binding Zinc ion binding Unknown
BG01469† BG01491	Syntaxin 4 tramtrack	384-384 100D1-100D1	7.9*** 14.45*	-0.65 0.48	←	$\rightarrow \rightarrow$		$\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow$	 Charlowing t-SNARE activity; neurotransmitter secretion; vesicle-mediated transport; synaptic vesicle docking during exocytosis Zinc ion binding; peripheral nervous system development; transmission of nerve
BG01498 BG01536	Casein kinase la Beadex	11B7-11B7 17C3-17C4	8.85* 7.25***	-0.43 -0.60	\leftarrow	\rightarrow		$\rightarrow \rightarrow$	impulse Receptor signaling protein serine/threonine kinase activity; ATP binding Zinc ion binding: locomotory behavior: response to cocaine: regulation of
BG01566 BG01596† BG01654 BG01662	arrest CG13377 pickpocket 23 Laminin A	33D3-33D5 A - A 684- 684 65A8-65A9	6.75*** 19.55*** 9.55** 15.55** 7.55**	-0.75 1.74 -0.57 0.68		$\rightarrow \rightarrow$ -	← ← ←	\rightarrow \leftarrow \rightarrow -	metabolism Negative regulation of oskar mRNA translation Metabolism Sodium channel activity Receptor binding: locomotion; central nervous system development
BG01693	сссоо СС10777 ленр	7C3-7C4 9551_9551	4.5*** a a**	-0.50 -1.1-		$\rightarrow \rightarrow$ –		$\rightarrow \rightarrow$	Onknown RNA helicase activity; nucleic acid binding; ATP binding; ATP-dependent helicase activity
BG01733 BG01757	76/175 CG6/75 CG17323	37B1-37B1	14.55* 12.75*	0.50	\leftarrow	ightarrow ightarrow ightarrow		\rightarrow	r ansaction minaction Unknown Defense response; polysaccharide metabolism; response to toxin; steroid metabolism
BG01765 BG01893	Tehao Splicing factor I	34CI -34CI 90B4-90B4	8.35** 7.2*	-0.70 -0.51	$\rightarrow \leftarrow$	\rightarrow		\rightarrow	Transmembrane receptor activity; signal transduction; Toll signaling pathway Transcription cofactor activity; nucleic acid binding; zinc ion binding

Table I: P{	GTI} lines with ab	errant aggressive	e behavior ((Continued)					
BG01900	mir-317	85FI0-85FI0	6.6**	-0.62	←	\rightarrow		\rightarrow	microRNA
BG01909	CG14035	25C6-25C6	21.45***	2.27	\rightarrow	\rightarrow		\rightarrow	Unknown
BG01912†	þxþ	89AI89A2	17.3***	0.85	←	\rightarrow			Learning and/or memory; olfactory learning
BG01916	no ocelli	35B2-35B2	I 3.65**	0.36			<i>←</i>	\rightarrow	Zinc ion binding
BG01949	ade5	B 6- B 6	16.2*	0.57			-	·	Purine base metabolism; 'de novo' IMP biosynthesis
BG02019	CG9171	25F4-25F5	13.3**	0.60				•	Transferase activity
BG02022	CG34460	2R	7.15***	-0.91		` →			Unknown
BG02077	Rtnl I	25B9-25CI	9.0*	-0.65	~		→	\rightarrow	Receptor signaling protein activity; calcium ion binding
BG02081	Rtnl I	25B9-25CI	16.6***	1.19	-	\rightarrow		•	Receptor signaling protein activity; calcium ion binding
BG02095†	echinoid	24D4-24D6	 4.8 ^{*∗}	0.68				~	Epidermal growth factor receptor signaling pathway; negative regulation of
BG02128	lethal (1) G0007	12E3–12E5	5.55***	-0.86		\rightarrow		\rightarrow	ATP-dependent RNA helicase activity; ATP-dependent helicase activity; ATP
									binding
BG02188	eclair	85E4-85E4	I 5.8***	0.56	←				Intracellular protein transport
BG02217	plexus	58E458E8	4.6 ***	-0.99	\rightarrow	\rightarrow			Wing vein morphogenesis
BG02276	lethal (3) LI 23 I	88CI 0-88CI 0	10.95*	0.44	~				Unknown
BG02377	CG14478	54B16-54B16	4.2**	-0.56	ţ/				Unknown
BG02420	CG5946	68E1-68E1	3.85**	-0.61	←				Cholesterol metabolism; electron transport; fatty acid desaturation
BG02470	CG8963	53E4-53E4	7.6*	-0.52	\rightarrow	\rightarrow			Unknown
BG02495	ade5	11B16-11B16	I3.35*	0.33			<i>←</i>	\rightarrow	Purine base metabolism; 'de novo' IMP biosynthesis
BG02501	longitudinals	47A11–47A13	7.9*	-0.6	←				Axon guidance; axonogenesis; transmission of nerve impulse
	lacking								
BG02522	CG42270	16C1-16C8	6.1 ^{%%}	-0.80		\rightarrow	_		Ras GTPase activator activity; receptor binding; G-protein coupled receptor
									protein signaling pathway;
BG02523	lamina ancestor	64CI 264CI 3	7.95***	-0.59	←				Unknown
BG02539	Basigin	28E3–28E5	I5.5**	-0.58			~	\rightarrow	Spermatid development
BG02542	neuralized	85C2-85C3	11.35*	-0.60	←				Ubiquitin-protein ligase activity; protein binding; zinc ion binding; nervous system
									development; sensory organ development; regulation of Notch signaling pathway
BG02644	Fkbp13	57E6-57E6	I 2.0**	0.59	←				Calcium ion binding: protein folding
BG02731	longitudinals	47A11–47A13	I3.6**	0.63	\rightarrow				Axon guidance; axonogenesis; Transmission of nerve impulse
	lacking								
MAS = Mean lines charact	Aggression Score. S arized in greater det	ignificant MAS dev rail Pleiotronic effe	iations from t	he control Itations are	ine afte aiven fo	· corre	cting for hers of	· multipl	le tests are indicated by asterisks. *: P < 0.05; **: P < 0.01; ***: P < 0.001. † Indicates / hristles (R) [30] ocomotor startle resonce (I) [34]: offactory avoidance behavior
(O) [33]; ma	le 24-hour sleep (Sl	() [32] and male st	arvation stres	ss resistance	s (St) [3	I]. The	arrows	s indicat	the significant positive (\uparrow) and negative (\downarrow) deviations from the control.



Figure I

Distribution of mean aggression scores among 170 P{GT1}-element insertion lines, expressed as deviations from the co-isogenic Canton-S B control line.

numbers of sensory bristles [30], resistance to starvation stress [31], olfactory behavior [33], 24-hour sleep [32] and locomotor reactivity (a startle response [34]). Mutational correlations (r_M) between aggressive behavior and male abdominal bristle number ($n = 160, r_M =$ 0.09, P = 0.293), male sternopleural bristle number (n =160, $r_M = 0.11$, P = 0.183), olfactory avoidance behavior $(n = 158, r_M = 0.01, P = 0.94)$, starvation resistance $(n = 158, r_M = 0.01, P = 0.94)$ 88, $r_M = 0.09$, P = 0.40), and 24-hour sleep (n = 28, $r_M =$ 0.20, P = 0.30) were not significantly different from zero. Mutational correlations could be non-significant if mutations specifically affect aggressive behavior, or if there are pleiotropic effects of mutations affecting aggression on other traits, but the effects are not in the same direction. It is the second explanation which is true - the mutations affecting aggressive behavior are highly pleiotropic, but mutations associated with increases (decreases) in aggressive behavior are not consistently associated with increases (decreases) of resistance to bristle number, starvation stress, olfactory behavior, or sleep (Table 1).

The mutational correlation between aggressive behavior and locomotor startle response, although weak, was significantly different from zero and positive (n = 157, $r_M = 0.29$, P = 0.0002; Figure 3). The mutational correlation for the subset of 58 lines with significantly increased and decreased levels of aggression and for which locomotor startle data was available was not significantly different from that estimated from all 157 lines ($r_M = 0.40$, P = 0.0019). Overall, variation in locomotor startle response only explains 8% of the variation in aggressive behavior. This is largely attributable to a few insert lines with decreased levels of both aggression and duration of the locomotor startle response. However, many lines with increased levels of aggression had reduced locomotor startle responses.



Figure 2

Gene ontologies of candidate genes with mutations associated with aggressive behavior. (A) Biological Process gene ontology categories. (B) Molecular Function gene ontology categories. The x-axis indicates the percentage of genes in each category for mutations increasing (red bars) and decreasing (blue bars) aggressive behavior.

Analysis of P-element excision alleles

Nine lines were chosen for more detailed analysis that had large effects on aggressive behavior, and in which the *P*-element insertion site was located within the gene or in the presumed 5' regulatory region (Figure 4). The *P*element insertions in *Actin 5C* (*Act5C*), *extra macrochaetae* (*emc*), *CG32572*, and *Syntaxin 4* (*Syx4*) were associated with decreased levels of aggression; while *P*element insertions in *pxb*, *echinoid* (*ed*), *sugarless* (*sgl*), *CG3638*, and *CG13377* were associated with increased levels of aggression. We attempted to generate precise



Figure 3

Correlation between mean aggression score (MAS) and locomotor reactivity in P-element insertion lines. Scores are given as a deviation from the control line. Data points in red represent lines with levels of aggressive behavior that are significantly different from the control.



Figure 4

Structure of nine genes in which mutations affect male aggressive behavior. All genes are oriented 5' to 3', with boxes indicating exons and solid lines indicating introns. Dashed lines represent 5' putative promoter regions. Solid triangles indicate the location of the *P*-element insertion, with the direction of the triangle indicating the orientation of the insertion.

revertant alleles of each of these *P*-element tagged genes, in order to map the mutant phenotype to the *P*-element insertion. The revertant alleles were sequenced, and at least one precise revertant was identified for each line except *CG3638*. The effects of imprecise excision alleles were also evaluated for the lines in which no or only a single precise revertant allele was generated.



Figure 5

Mean aggression scores of *P*-element mutations affecting aggressive behavior and revertant alleles. The mean aggression score is given as the deviation from the contemporaneously tested control line for the mutant lines and up to three revertant alleles. Blue bars indicate significantly (P < 0.05) lower levels of aggression than the control; red bars indicate higher levels of aggression than the control; and green bars indicate no significant difference in mean aggression score from the control. Hatched bars indicate imprecise revertant alleles.

The aggressive behavior of the revertant alleles was quantified, and for seven of the nine lines the behavior of the precise excision alleles also reverted to control levels, thus mapping the mutant phenotype to the P-element insertion in the tagged gene (Figure 5). The exceptions were emc and CG3638. The emc precise revertant allele only showed partial phenotypic reversion. The behavior of one of the CG3638 imprecise revertants differed only marginally from that of the control (P =0.04). The failure of the behavior of precise excision alleles to revert to the level of the control could indicate that the insertions of the *P*-elements in these loci do not cause the mutant phenotype. However, the observation of partial phenotypic reversion in conjunction with reduced levels of expression of CG3638 and emc in the respective mutant alleles is consistent with a complex mutation in the precise excision alleles; for example, local hopping of the *P*-elements elsewhere in *emc*.

Analysis of gene expression

qPCR analyses were used to assess the effect of the *P*element insertion on transcript levels of the tagged genes in the nine lines selected for further characterization. Since many of these genes have roles in development, expression was evaluated in embryos, larvae, pupae, and adults. The adult tissues were separated into heads and headless bodies (with the exception of the *sgl* mutant line, for which insufficient tissue was obtained to conduct qPCR on pupae or embryos, due to poor viability). All mutant lines were associated with alterations in transcript abundance in one or more developmental stages, confirming that the *P*-element insertions affect the expression of all tagged genes (Figure 6).

There was no consistent pattern of gene expression changes in mutations associated with decreased levels of aggression. *Act5C* and *CG32572* mutants were associated with increased transcript levels – in embryos, pupae, and adult bodies for *Act5C*, and in embryos and adult heads for *CG32572*. Transcript levels in *emc* mutants were decreased throughout development, but increased in adult bodies. *Syx4* mutants had reduced levels of gene expression in embryos and adult bodies, but increased expression in pupae. Mutations associated with increased aggression tended to have decreased levels of transcript abundance at one or more developmental



Figure 6

Quantitative reverse transcription-PCR analysis of candidate genes affecting aggressive behavior. Levels of mRNA for each gene (white bars) are depicted relative to the level in the co-isogenic control (black bars). mRNA levels were assessed at four developmental time periods: embryos aged 10–12 h after egg laying (E), third instar larvae (L), pupae (P), and adults (heads [H] and headless bodies [B]). Only larvae and adults could be obtained for *sgl* mutants. Standard errors were obtained using *Ct* values normalized to an internal control (*Gapdh1*). The significance of two-tailed Student's *t*-tests conducted on linearized *Ct* values are depicted by asterisks (*: P < 0.05; **: P < 0.01; ***: P < 0.001). stages. Gene expression was reduced in embryos and adult bodies of *CG3638* mutants; in larvae, pupae, and adult heads of *ed* mutants; in pupae and adult bodies of *pxb* mutants; and in adult heads of *sgl* mutants. In contrast, there was an increase of transcript abundance in adult heads of *CG13377* mutants.

This analysis shows that none of the mutations affecting aggressive behavior are transcriptional null alleles. The effects of all of the mutations on gene expression varied across development, and between adult heads and bodies. Depending on the developmental time point and/or adult tissue assessed, CG3638, ed, pxb and sgl are hypomorphic mutations; Act5C and CG13377 are hypermorphic mutations; and CG32572, emc and Syx4 are both hypomorphs and hypermorphs. All of the mutations showed significant differences in gene expression from the control in adults, but these differences were apparent in heads of only four of the mutations (CG13377, CG32572, ed and sgl). Further, many of the alterations in gene expression between mutant and control lines were of the order of two-fold or less. These results indicate that even subtle mutational effects on transcription can be associated with large changes in behavior. Because the effects of the mutations on gene expression in pre-adult stages are often much larger than observed for adults, we cannot rule out the possibility that changes in gene expression during development affect adult behavior [33].

The lack of a common pattern of gene expression differences among the mutations affecting increased and decreased levels of aggression suggests that there are multiple mechanisms by which this complex behavior can be altered. Finally, the observation that only four of the nine mutations show changes in gene expression in heads of adult flies indicates that only assessing changes in transcript abundance in heads of lines that are genetically divergent for behavioral traits will underestimate the number of transcripts associated with differences in the trait phenotype [26,47,48].

We further characterized the patterns of expression of the nine *P*-element tagged genes affecting aggressive behavior in wild-type embryos. Consistent with previous results [49-53], *Act5C*, *emc* and *sgl* were expressed in multiple tissues, including the ventral nerve cord for *Act5C* and *emc*. *CG32572*, *CG13377*, *ed* and *Syx4* were expressed in the central nervous system (Figure 7). Expression outside the nervous system was also observed for most of the genes (data not shown).

Morphometric analysis of central brain neuropils

Mushroom bodies and the ellipsoid body are central brain neuroplils that have been previously implicated in



Figure 7

Expression of candidate genes affecting aggressive behavior in the embryonic nervous system. The insets show the sense control probes. (A) CG32572, stage 17, ventral view: expression in the ventral cord (highlighted with dotted line). (B) CG13377, stage 14, lateral view: expression in the ventral nerve cord (arrow). (C) CG13377, stage 17, ventral view: expression in the ventral nerve cord (highlighted with dotted line). (D) ed, stage 11, lateral view: expression in the procephalic neuroblasts (arrow) and the neuroblasts forming the ventral nerve cord (arrowheads). (E) emc, stage 14, lateral view: expression in the ventral nerve cord (arrow) and the brain (asterisk). (F) emc, stage 17, ventral view: expression in the ventral nerve cord (highlighted with dotted line). (G) Syx4, stage 13, lateral view: expression in the ventral nerve cord (arrow) and the brain (asterisk). (H) Act5C, stage 16, ventral view: expression in the ventral nerve cord (highlighted with dotted line).

Drosophila aggressive behavior. Disruption of mushroom body output results in near abolishment of aggression [11], and aberrant morphology of the mushroom bodies and ellipsoid body have been observed in hyper-aggressive mutants [28]. Therefore, we measured the length and width of the alpha and beta lobes of the mushroom bodies, and the surface area of the ellipsoid body, standardizing the values to overall brain size as a function of distance between peduncles (Table 2). There were significant quantitative changes in the length or width of

Table 2: Mushroom body measurements

one or both lobes of the mushroom bodies in all mutants except emc, further linking mushroom bodies and aggressive behavior. No significant differences in ellipsoid body area were observed for any of the mutations. The most frequently detected difference in mutants relative to control was an increase in the width of the alpha lobe. Only two of the mutations were associated with decreases in size: Syx4 mutants had shorter beta lobes than controls, and sgl mutants had shorter alpha lobes. Increases in beta lobe measurements were only observed for mutations associated with increased levels of aggression. However, there was no overall correlation between any of the quantitative measurements of brain morphology and aggressive behavior, consistent with previous studies [28,34] showing that there is no simple relationship between aggressive behavior and brain structure.

In addition to quantitative alterations in brain morphology, we also observed qualitative morphological defects in both alpha and beta lobes for five of the mutant lines (Figure 8). One of ten brains examined for mutations of *CG32572, emc* and *CG13377* had, respectively, a missing beta lobe, shorter alpha lobe, and an enlarged alpha lobe tip. Two of the ten *ed* mutant brains had qualitatively thicker beta lobes and thinner alpha lobes than the control, and three of the ten *sgl* brains had fused beta lobes. Although not completely penetrant, these defects were never observed in the control line.

Candidate genes affecting aggressive behavior

None of the candidate genes identified in this screen have been previously implicated to affect aggressive behavior. Three of the nine candidate genes characterized in greater detail are computationally predicted genes. *CG13377* is predicted to function in binding and metabolism [54]. RNAi-knockdown mutations of *CG3638* display reduced phagocytic immune response to *Candida albicans* cells [55]. All that was previously known about *CG32572* is that it is expressed in the testis [38].

	Alpha lobes		Beta lobes	
Mutant	Length (SE)	Width (SE)	Length (SE)	Width (SE)
Canton S B	6.03 (0.09)	0.6925 (0.0170)	4.28 (0.03)	0.7901 (0.0236)
Act5C	6.35 (0.13)*	0.6648 (0.0185)	4.18 (0.04)	0.7746 (0.0282)
CG3638	6.07 (0.13)	0.8372 (0.0323)***	4.26 (0.06)	0.8866 (0.0303)*
CG13377	5.99 (0.12) ^{****}	0.8036 (0.0222)	4.22 (0.04)	0.8273 (0.0342)
CG32572	6.56 (0.09) ^{****}	0.8218 (0.0169)***	4.23 (0.04)	0.8085 (0.0345)
ed	5.99 (0.16)	0.8330 (0.0299)***	4.09 (0.08)*	0.8683 (0.0389)
emc	6.23 (0.17)	0.7174 (0.0216)	4.29 (0.05)	0.8622 (0.0300)
þxb	6.12 (0.08)	0.8070 (0.0230)***	4.15 (0.05)*	0.8729 (0.0281)*
sgl	5.60 (0.13)**	0.7631 (0.0214)*	4.25 (0.07)	0.8263 (0.0312)
Syx4	5.94 (0.14)	0.7044 (0.0170)	4.13 (0.05)*	0.8504 (0.0194)

Measurements of the length and width of alpha and beta lobes (\pm SE) for *Canton S B* (the control line) and mutant lines associated with increased or decreased levels of aggression. Measurements are standardized to overall brain size. *: P < 0.05; **: P < 0.01; ***: P < 0.001.



Figure 8

Gross morphological defects in the mushroom bodies in mutations of candidate genes affecting aggressive behavior. A-H: anti-fasciclin 2 staining of adult brains using the ID4 monoclonal antibody. Defects are indicated by the white arrows. (A) *Canton S B* control line. α , alpha lobes of mushroom bodies; β , beta lobes of mushroom bodies. (B) Missing beta lobe in *CG32572* mutation. (C) Misrouting of some of the alpha lobe axons leads to thicker beta lobes and thinner alpha lobes in *ed* mutation. (D) Shorter alpha lobe in *emc* mutation. (E) Overextension resulting in fusion of the beta lobes in *sgl* mutation. (F) Alpha lobe tip defect resulting in enlargement of the tip in *CG13377* mutation.

Act5C is involved in ATP and protein binding [54], and also has roles in cytokinesis [56,57] and spermatogenesis [58]. *ed* has many developmental functions, including the negative regulation of neurogenesis [59], appendage formation [60], and negative regulation of epidermal growth factor signaling [61]. In adults, *ed* is expressed in ovaries, crop, and male accessory glands [38]. *emc* is also highly pleiotropic, and functions in peripheral nervous system [62], midgut [63], and spermatid development [64]. *pxb* mutants have been implicated in olfactory learning and memory [65] and in the *smoothened* signaling pathway [66]. *sgl*, like *pxb*, appears to be involved in *smoothened* signaling [67], metabolism [54], and biosynthesis [68,69]. *Syx4* has been implicated in synaptic functions [70].

Given that we were able to map the behavioral mutant phenotype to the *P*-element insertion for seven of the nine mutations characterized in greater detail, and that all of the mutations affected gene expression of the tagged gene, we can predict that the majority of the remaining 48 *P*-element mutations associated with increased or decreased levels of aggression will also affect the genes into or near which they have inserted. Many of these genes are plausible candidates as they affect the development or the functioning of the nervous system (*Guanine nucleotide exchange factor GEF64C*, *NMDA receptor 1, schizo, tramtrack, Laminin A*, *longitudinals lacking*), and the effects of mutations in *neuralized* on aggressive behavior have been independently confirmed [28]. Many other genes affect other aspects of development, metabolism or basic cellular processes, or are computationally predicted – these loci would not have been detected had we concentrated on examining aggressive behavior for mutations in only 'plausible' candidate genes.

The general picture emerging from the analysis of quantitative effects of de novo mutations that have been induced in a defined isogenic background is that a large fraction of the genome can potentially affect most quantitative traits, including complex behaviors [30-34]. Consequently, we expect that most genes have pleiotropic effects on multiple traits, and indeed, 55 of the 59 mutations associated with a significant difference in aggressive behavior from the control line had pleiotropic effects on one (19 lines), two (22 lines), three (11 lines) or four (three lines) additional quantitative traits (Table 1). Further, different mutations in the same gene can have a different spectrum of pleiotropic effects [28,71], and the mutational effects on any one trait can be contingent on genetic background and the environment [28,33,72]. Given these complexities, an exhaustive mutational dissection of any complex behavior (or, indeed, any quantitative trait) is not feasible. However, the collection of over 70 mutations affecting aggressive behavior that have been generated in the same isogenic background (this study and [27]) are valuable molecular probes that can be used to gain insight into the key pathways and mechanisms affecting this trait using systems biology approaches [73].

Conclusion

Aggressive behavior is important for survival and reproduction, and is near universal among animals. While the role of neurotransmitters in mediating and modulating levels of aggression is clear, little is known about other genes and pathways affecting aggression. Analysis of aggressive behavior in 170 *D. melanogaster P*-element mutant lines and their co-isogenic control lines revealed 59 mutations in 57 novel genes affecting aggression. More detailed characterization of nine of the mutations indicated that the *P*-element insertions affected the tagged genes. Most of the mutations had pleiotropic effects on other complex traits and on morphology of mushroom bodies, central brain neuroplils that have been previously implicated in *Drosophila* aggressive behavior.

Abbreviations

AEL: after egg laying; ANOVA: analysis of variance; QTL: quantitative trait locus.

Authors' contributions

ACE, TFCM, and PC designed the experiments and wrote the paper. ACE performed all behavioral measurements, bioinformatic analyses, molecular analyses of revertant alleles, and statistical analyses of behavioral and gene expression data. LZ performed the whole mount *in situ* hybridization analyses, immunohistochemistry and morphometric analyses of central brain neuropils, and statistical analysis of the morphometric measurements. AY created revertant alleles. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Additional material

Additional file 1

Mean aggression scores (MAS) of 170 P{GT1} insert lines. This file shows the results of the screen of 170 P-element insert lines for alterations in aggressive behavior, the genes tagged by the P-elements, the mean aggression scores and effects, and gene ontology information. Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1741-7007-7-29-S1.xls]

Additional file 2

Human diseases associated with P{GT1} insertions in Drosophila genes with aberrant aggressive behavior. This file shows the P-element insert lines with altered aggressive behavior, their mean aggression scores, and diseases in which the human orthologues of the tagged genes have been implicated.

Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1741-7007-7-29-S2.xls]

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants F31 MH-074161 to ACE and R01 GM-076083 to TFCM. This is a publication of the W. M. Keck Center for Behavioral Biology.

References

- Brunner HG, Nelen MR, van Zandvoort P, Abeling NG, van Gennip AH, Wolters EC, Kuniper MA, Ropers HH and van Oost BA: X-linked borderline mental retardation with prominent behavioral disturbance: phenotype, genetic localization, and evidence for disturbed monoamine metabolism. Am J Hum Genet 1993, 52:1032–1039.
- Haller J, Makara GB and Kruk MR: Catecholaminergic involvement in the control of aggression: hormones, the peripheral sympathetic, and central noradrenergic systems. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 1998, 22:85–97.
- Manuck SB, Flory JD, Ferrell RE, Dent KM, Mann JJ and Muldoon MF: Aggression and anger-related traits associated with a polymorphism of the tryptophan hydroxylase gene. Biol Psychiatry 1999, 45:603-614.
- 4. Nelson RJ and Chiavegatto S: **Molecular basis of aggression.** Trends Neurosci 2001, **24:**713–719.
- Han DH, Park DB, Na C, Kee BS and Lee YS: Association of aggressive behavior in Korean male schizophrenic patients with polymorphisms in the serotonin transporter promoter and catecholamine-O-methyltransferase genes. Psychiatr Res 2004, 129:29–37.
- de Almeida RM, Ferrari PF, Parmigiani S and Miczek KA: Escalated aggressive behavior: dopamine, serotonin and GABA. Eur J Pharmacol 526:51–64.

- Alia-Klein N, Goldstein RZ, Kriplani A, Logan J, Tomasi D, Williams B, Telang F, Shumay E, Biegon A, Craig IW, Henn F, Wang GJ, Volkow ND and Fowler JS: Brain monoamine oxidase A activity predicts trait aggression. J Neurosci 2008, 28:5099–5104.
- Jacobs ME: Influence of beta-alanine on mating and territorialism in Drosophila melanogaster. Behavior Genetics 1978, 8:487-502.
- 9. Edwards DH and Kravitz EA: Serotonin, social status, and aggression. Curr Opin Neurobiol 1997, 7:812-819.
- Huber R, Smith K, Delago A, Isaksson K and Kravitz EA: Serotonin and aggressive motivation in crustaceans: altering the decision to retreat. Proc Nat Acad Sci 1997, 94:5939–5942.
- Baier A, Wittek B and Brembs B: Drosophila as a new model organism for the neurobiology of aggression? J Exp Biol 2002, 205:1233-1240.
- Certel SJ, Savella MG, Schlegel DC and Kravitz EA: Modulation of Drosophila male behavioral choice. Proc Nat Acad Sci 2007, 104:4706–4711.
- 13. Dierick HA and Greenspan RJ: Serotonin and neuropeptide F have opposite modulatory effects on fly aggression. *Nat Genet* 2007, **39:**678–682.
- Hoyer SC, Eckart A, Herrel A, Zars T, Fischer SA, Hardie SL and Heisenberg M: Octopamine in male aggression of Drosophila. Curr Biol 2008, 18:159–167.
- Miczek KA, Fish EW and De Bold JF: Neurosteroids, GABAA receptors, and escalated aggressive behavior. Horm Behav 2003, 44:242-257.
- Nelson RJ, Demas GE, Huang PL, Fishman MC, Dawson VL, Dawson TM and Snyder SH: Behavioral abnormalities in male mice lacking neuronal nitric oxide synthase. Nature 1995, 378:383-386.
- Rujescu D, Giegling I, Mandelli L, Schneider B, Hartmann AM, Schnabel A, Maurer K, Moller HJ and Serretti A: NOS-I and -III gene variants are differentially associated with facets of suicidal behavior and aggression-related traits. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 2008, 147B:42-48.
- Gammie SC, Auger AP, Jessen HM, Vanzo RJ, Awad TA and Stevenson SA: Altered gene expression in mice selected for high maternal aggression. Genes Brain Behav 2007, 6:432–443.
- high maternal aggression. Genes Brain Behav 2007, 6:432–443.
 Karl T and Herzog H: Behavioral profiling of NPY in aggression and neuropsychiatric diseases. Peptides 2007, 28:326–333.
- and neuropsychiatric diseases. Peptides 2007, 28:326–333.
 20. Karl T, Lin S, Schwarzer C, Sainsbury A, Couzens M, Wittmann W, Boey D, von Horsten S and Herzog H: YI receptors regulate aggressive behavior by modulating serotonin pathways. Proc Nat Acad Sci 2004, 101:12742–12747.
- Chan YB and Kravitz EA: Specific subgroups of FruM neurons control sexually dimorphic patterns of aggression in Drosophila melanogaster. Proc Nat Acad Sci 2007, 104:19577-19582.
- 22. Lee G and Hall JC: A newly uncovered phenotype associated with the fruitless gene of Drosophila melanogaster : aggression-like head interactions between mutant males. Behav Genet 2000, 30:263–275.
- 23. Vrontou E, Nilsen SP, Demir E, Kravitz EA and Dickson BJ: Fruitless regulates aggression and dominance in Drosophila. Nat Neurosci 2006, 9:1469–1471.
- Hoffmann AA: Heritable variation for territorial success in two Drosophila melanogaster populations. Anim Behav 1988, 36:1180-1189.
- 25. Hoffmann AA: Geographic variation in the territorial success of Drosophila melanogaster males. Behav Genet 1989, 19:241-255.
- Dierick HA and Greenspan RJ: Molecular analysis of flies selected for aggressive behavior. Nat Genet 2006, 38:1023-1031.
- Edwards AC, Rollmann SM, Morgan TJ and Mackay TF: Quantitative genomics of aggressive behavior in Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS Genet 2006, 2:e154.
- Rollmann S, Zwarts L, Edwards A, Yamamoto A, Callaerts P, Norga K, Mackay TF and Anholt R: Pleiotropic effects of Drosophila neuralized on complex behaviors and brain structure. Genetics 2008, 179:1327–1336.
- 29. Edwards AC and Mackay TF: Quantitative trait loci for aggressive behavior in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics in press.
- Norga KK, Gurganus MC, Dilda CL, Yamamoto A, Lyman RF, Patel PH, Rubin GM, Hoskins RA, Mackay TF and Bellen HJ: Quantitative analysis of bristle number in Drosophila mutants identifies genes involved in neural development. Curr Biol 2003, 13:1388–1396.

- 31. Harbison ST, Yamamoto AH, Fanara JJ, Norga KK and Mackay TF: Quantitative trait loci affecting starvation resistance in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 2004, 166:1807–1823.
- Harbison ST and Sengal A: Quantitative genetic analysis of sleep in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 2008, 178:2341–2360. 32
- 33 Sambandan D, Yamamoto A, Fanara JJ, Mackay TF and Anholt RR: Dynamic genetic interactions determine odor-guided beha-vior in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 2006, 174:1349-1363.
- Yamamoto A, Zwarts L, Callaerts P, Norga K, Mackay TF and Anholt RR: Neurogenetic networks for startle-induced locomotion in Drosophila melanogaster. Proc Nat Acad Sci 2008, 105:12393-12398
- Bellen HJ, Levis RW, Liao G, He Y, Carlson JW, Tsang G, Evans-Holm M, Hiesinger PR, Schulze KL, Rubin GM, Hoskins RA and Spradling AC: The BDGP gene disruption project: single transposon insertions associated with 40% of Drosophila genes. Genetics 2004, 167:761-781.
- Dennis G Jr, Sherman BT, Hosack DA, Yang J, Gao W, Lane HC and 36. Lempicki RA: DAVID: database for annotation, visualization,
- and integrated discovery. Genome Biol 2003, 4:P3. Al-Shahrour F, Minguez P, Tarraga J, Montaner D, Alloza E, Vaquerizas JM, Conde L, Blaschke C, Vera J and Dopazo J: BABELOMICS: a systems biology perspective in the func-tional appartition of genome scale appareiment. Nucleic Acid 37. tional annotation of genome-scale experiments. Nucleic Acids Res 2006, 34:W472-476.
- Chintapalli VR, Wang J and Dow JA: Using FlyAtlas to identify 38. better Drosophila melanogaster models of human disease. Nat Genet 2007, 39:715-720.
- Livak KJ and Schmittgen TD: Analysis of relative gene expres-39. sion data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods 2001, 25:402-408
- 40. Tautz D and Pfeifle C: A non-radioactive in situ hybridization method for the localization of specific RNAs in Drosophila embryos reveals translational control of the segmentation gene hunchback. Chromosoma 1989, 98:81–85. 41. Anholt RR, Lyman RF and Mackay TF: Effects of single P-element
- insertions on olfactory behavior in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 1996, 143:293-301.
- Lyman RF, Lawrence F, Nuzhdin SV and Mackay TF: Effects of single P-element insertions on bristle number and viability in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 1996, 143:277-292.
- Mackay TF, Heinsohn SL, Lyman RF, Moehring AJ, Morgan TJ and Rollmann SM: Genetics and genomics of Drosophila mating behavior. Proc Nat Acad Sci 2005, 102(Suppl 1):6622–6629.
 Morozova TV, Anholt RR and Mackay TF: Transcriptional response to alcohol exposure in Drosophila melanogaster. Genome Biol 2006, 7:895
- Genome Biol 2006, 7:R95.
- Morozova TV, Anholt RR and Mackay TF: Phenotypic and transcriptional response to selection for alcohol sensitivity 45. in Drosophila melanogaster. Genome Biol 2007, 8:R231.
- Jordan KW, Carbone MA, Yamamoto A, Morgan TJ and Mackay TF: Quantitative genomics of locomotor behavior in Drosophila melanogaster. Genome Biol 2007, 8:R172.
- 47. Toma DP, White KP, Hirsch J and Greenspan RJ: Identification of genes involved in Drosophila melanogaster geotaxis, a complex behavioral trait. Nat Genet 2002, 31:349–353.
- Cirelli C, LaVaute TM and Tononi G: Sleep and wakefulness modulate gene expression in Drosophila. J Neurochem 2005, 94:1411-1419.
- Burn TC, Vigoreaux JO and Tobin SL: Alternative 5C actin 49. transcripts are localized in different patterns during Drosophila embryogenesis. Dev Biol 1989, 131:345-355.
- Tobin SL, Cook PJ and Burn TC: Transcripts of individual 50. Drosophila actin genes are differentially distributed during embryogenesis. Dev Genet 1990, 11:15-26.
- 51. Cubas P, Modolell | and Ruiz-Gomez M: The helix-loop-helix extramacrochaetae protein is required for proper specification of many cell types in the Drosophila embryo. Development 1994, 120:2555-2566.
- 52. Binari RC, Staveley BE, Johnson WA, Godavarti R, Sasisekharan R and Manoukian AS: Genetic evidence that heparin-like glycosaminoglycans are involved in wingless signaling. Development 1997, 124:2623-2632.
- 53. Haerry TE, Heslip TR, Marsh JL and O'Connor MB: Defects in glucuronate biosynthesis disrupt wingless signaling in Dro-sophila. Development 1997, 124:3055-3064.
- Wilson RJ, Goodman JL and Strelets VB: FlyBase: integration and improvements to query tools. Nucleic Acids Res 2008, 36: D588-593.

- Stroschein-Stevenson SL, Foley E, O'Farrell PH and Johnson AD: 55. Identification of Drosophila gene products required for phagocytosis of Candida albicans. PLoS Biol 2006, 4:e4.
- 56. Echard A, Hickson GR, Foley E and O'Farrell PH: Terminal cytokinesis events uncovered after an RNAi screen. Curr Biol 2004, 14:1685-1693.26.
- Eggert US, Kiger AA, Richter C, Perlman ZE, Perrimon N, Mitchison TJ and Field CM: **Parallel chemical genetic and** 57. genome-wide RNAi screens identify cytokinesis inhibitors and targets. PLoS Biol 2004, 2:e379.
- Noguchi T and Miller KG: A role for actin dynamics in 58. individualization during spermatogenesis in Drosophila melanogaster. Development 2003, 130:1805-1816.
- Ahmed A, Chandra S, Magarinos M and Vaessin H: Echinoid 59. mutants exhibit neurogenic phenotypes and show synergistic interactions with the Notch signaling pathway. Development 2003, 130:6295–6304. Laplante C and Nilson LA: Differential expression of the
- 60. adhesion molecule Echinoid drives epithelial morphogenesis
- in Drosophila. Development 2006, 133:3255–3264. Bai J, Chiu W, Wang J, Tzeng T, Perrimon N and Hsu J: The cell adhesion molecule Echinoid defines a new pathway that 61. antagonizes the Drosophila EGF receptor signaling pathway. Development 2001, 128:591-601.
- Prokopenko SN, He Y, Lu Y and Bellen HJ: Mutations affecting the development of the peripheral nervous system in Drosophila : a molecular screen for novel proteins. Genetics 62. 2000, 156:1691-1715.
- Saito M, Awasaki T and Hama C: Genetic analyses of essential genes in cytological region 61D1-2 to 61F1-2 of Drosophila melanogaster. Mol Genet Genomics 2002, 268:446-454. 63.
- Castrillon DH, Gonczy P, Alexander S, Rawson R, Eberhart CG, Viswanathan S, DiNardo S and Wasserman SA: **Toward a** molecular genetic analysis of spermatogenesis in Drosophila 64. melanogaster : characterization of male-sterile mutants generated by single P element mutagenesis. Genetics 1993, 135:489-505.20.
- Dubnau J, Chiang AS, Grady L, Barditch J, Gossweiler S, McNeil J, Smith P, Buldoc F, Scott R, Certa U, Broger C and Tully T: **The** 65. staufen/pumilio pathway is involved in Drosophila long-term memory. Curr Biol 2003, 13:286–296. Inaki M, Kojima T, Ueda R and Saigo K: Requirements of high
- levels of Hedgehog signaling activity for medial-region cell fate determination in Drosophila legs: identification of pxb, a putative Hedgehog signaling attenuator gene repressed along the anterior-posterior compartment boundary. Mech Dev 2002, 116:3-18.
- Nybakken K and Perrimon N: Heparan sulfate proteoglycan modulation of developmental signaling in Drosophila. Biochim Biophys Acta 2002, 1573:280-291.
- Perrimon N and Bernfield M: Specificities of heparan sulphate 68. proteoglycans in developmental processes. Nature 2000, 404:725-728.
- Ghabrial A, Luschnig S, Metzstein MM and Krasnow MA: Branching morphogenesis of the Drosophila tracheal system. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 2003, 19:623–647.
- Littleton JT: A genomic analysis of membrane trafficking and neurotransmitter release in Drosophila. J Cell Biol 2000, 150: F77-82.
- 71. Rollmann SM, Magwire MM, Morgan TJ, Ozsoy ED, Yamamoto A, Mackay TF and Anholt RR: Pleiotropic fitness effects of the Tre I-Gr5a region in Drosophila melanogaster. Nat Genet 2006, 38:824-829.
- 72. Fedorowicz GM, Fry JD, Anholt RR and Mackay TF: Epistatic interactions between smell-impaired loci in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 1998, 148:1885–1891. Anholt RR, Dilda CL, Chang S, Fanara JJ and Kulkarni NH: The genetic architecture of odor-guided behavior in Drosophila : opictacis and the transcriptors.
- 73. epistasis and the transcriptome. Nat Genet 35:180-184.