

Serological Evidence for Influenza A Viruses Among Domestic Dogs and Cats in Kyiv, Ukraine

Ganna Kovalenko,^{1,2} Maryna Galat,³ Lyudmila Ishchenko,⁴ and Ihor Halka¹

Abstract

Influenza A viruses (IAV) are zoonotic pathogens that can cause significant illness in wild and domestic animals, and humans. IAV can infect a broad range of avian and mammalian species, depending on subtype, and avian IAV can be moved over relatively long distances by migratory birds. Although spillover infections from wildlife or domestic animals to humans are an important part of the transmission cycle that can drive outbreaks, the relevance of companion animals, specifically dogs and cats, is not fully understood. A novel pandemic H1N1 reassortant (H1N1pdm09) emerged from swine in 2009, infecting humans, and wild and domestic animals worldwide. During a 2016 human influenza outbreak in Kyiv, subtype H1N1pdm09 predominated and was associated with severe disease and deaths; however, H3N2 and influenza B viruses were also detected. No case of avian influenza in humans was detected. To investigate potential involvement of companion animals, animals in a veterinary hospital (116 cats and 88 dogs) were randomly selected, and sera were tested using a commercially available IAV nucleoprotein enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Twelve of 203 serum samples were positive for influenza antibodies (5.7% of dogs and 6.08% cats). These are the first data to demonstrate influenza A infection of pets in Ukraine, highlighting the potential risk of infection of companion animals from close contact with humans.

Keywords: influenza A virus, antibodies, ELISA, dog, cat, Ukraine

Introduction

INFLUENZA A VIRUSES (IAV) pose a significant threat to human and animal health. Genetic plasticity of IAV enables crossing of species barriers, and reassortment of gene segments among strains generates new subtypes with novel antigenicity (Hinshaw et al. 1981, Parrish et al. 2014, Short et al. 2015). Domestic dogs and cats were believed to be relatively resistant to IAV infection; however, asymptomatic, symptomatic, and even fatal infections with a number of IAV subtypes have been reported in companion animals (Houser and Heuschle 1980, Songserm et al. 2006a,b, Newton et al. 2007, Giese et al. 2008, Seiler et al. 2010, van den Brand et al. 2010).

Canine influenza results from two main subtypes: H3N8 originated in horses, and H3N2 originated in birds (Parrish et al. 2014). Both subtypes are currently circulating in America, Europe, and Asia (Knesl et al. 2009, An et al. 2010, Bunpapong et al. 2014, Schulz et al. 2014, Sen et al.

2016, Zhou et al. 2016, Voorhees et al. 2017, Watson et al. 2017, Aydin et al. 2018). The H3N8 equine influenza strain circulating among horses adapted to dogs without genetic reassortment, generating a new canine-specific H3N8 lineage (Waddell et al. 1963). Cross-species infections of dogs have occurred independently on several occasions, generating specific lineages of H3N8 canine influenza virus (CIV), suggesting there may be adaptive barriers (Crawford et al. 2005, Daly et al. 2008, Crispe et al. 2011).

In the United States, a serological survey of dogs with respiratory disease in 2004–2005 found antibodies to H3N8 CIV (Dubovi and Njaa 2008, Gibbs and Anderson 2010). In 2007, severe respiratory disease in dogs was reported in South Korea. This zoonosis was caused by a distinct avian-derived H3N2 subtype CIV, which became established in South Korean and Chinese canine populations, with persistent dog-to-dog transmission and a different internal gene constellation from human seasonal H3N2 viruses (Song et al. 2008, 2009).

¹Institute of Veterinary Medicine, National Academy of Agrarian Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine.

²University of Alaska Anchorage, Anchorage, Alaska, USA.

³Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine.

⁴Ukrainian Laboratory of Quality and Safety of Agricultural Products, National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine.

Human pandemic subtype H1N1 IAV, seasonal subtypes H3N2 and H1N1, and avian subtypes H5N1, H5N2, H5N8, and H9N2 influenza viruses have also occasionally infected dogs (Chen et al. 2010, Lin et al. 2012, Zhan et al. 2012, Sun et al. 2013, Song et al. 2015, Yuk et al. 2017). Interestingly, porcine H1N1 viruses have frequently been transmitted to dogs and reassorted with avian-origin H3N2 CIV. Consequently, in Asia, four novel reassortant CIV genotypes, namely H1N1r, H1N2r, H3N1r, and H3N2r, have evolved (Song et al. 2012, Chen et al. 2018).

Respiratory tract infections in cats are often generally referred to as “cat flu.” In 2011, canine influenza H3N2 was isolated from cats in Korea, suggesting a potential role for cats as an alternate host for H3N2 IAV. In addition, several studies reported cases of highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) H5N1 in naturally infected domestic cats in Thailand, Germany, Austria, and Iraq (Songserm et al. 2006a, Wolf et al. 2006, Yingst et al. 2006, Leschnik et al. 2007). Experimental infection of cats with avian H5N1 isolated from a human case is frequently fatal, with documented cat-to-cat transmission (Rimmelzwaan et al. 2006). Sporadic infection of cats has been reported for several IAV subtypes, including avian-like H7N2, H5N2, and human-like H1N1pdm09 (pandemic H1N1), and seasonal H3N2 and H1N1 (Sponseller et al. 2010, Ali et al. 2011, Fiorentini et al. 2011, Chow and He 2014, Hai-xia et al. 2014, Lee et al. 2017).

Emergence of new influenza viruses in domestic carnivores with the potential for zoonotic infection may constitute a public health threat (Song et al. 2011, Jeoung et al. 2013). IAV in domestic canine and feline companion animals could represent additional intermediate or reservoir hosts for generation of new pathogenic strains of IAV through genetic reassortment. Moreover, as many human diseases have zoonotic origin, surveillance in wild and domestic animals can serve as an early warning to anticipate a human health threat (Neo and Tan 2017). With this in mind, we conducted a serological survey to determine if there is exposure of companion domestic dogs and cats to IAV in Ukraine. We found evidence of IAV infection of these species, highlighting their potential role in the transmission of IAV.

Materials and Methods

From March to May 2016, a total of 203 serum samples from 88 domestic dogs and 115 domestic cats were collected and biobanked during standard clinical procedures in a veterinary hospital in Kyiv, Ukraine, with approval of pet owners for routine serum-based diagnostic procedures. Animals were sampled randomly based on availability and none displayed influenza-like symptoms. Among the 203 sera, 91, 73, and 39 serum samples were collected in March, April, and May, 2016, respectively. All samples were immediately frozen and stored at -20°C until transport to the Institute of Veterinary Medicine (IVM, Kyiv) for serological testing. Upon receipt, samples were thawed, centrifuged at $1073 \times g$ ($4000 \text{ rpm}/6 \text{ cm}$) for 15 min at room temperature, aliquoted, and stored at -80°C until tested for antibodies against IAV.

A specific competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (epitope-blocking ELISA) was performed utilizing an Influenza A Virus Antibody Test kit (IDEXX) according to manufacturer’s protocols. ELISA plates were analyzed on an iMark Microplate Absorbance Reader (Bio-Rad). The IDEXX Influenza A Virus Antibody Test kit was used as a first screening test to detect serum antibodies (IgG) against IAV nucleoprotein

(NP). Samples with S/N value (sample to negative control ratio) less than 0.6 were considered positive. NP is highly conserved and enables detection of antibodies to any IAV subtype across avian, swine, equine, canine, feline, and other mammals.

All sera tested positive by the NP ELISA were retested with a competitive N1 ELISA (ID Screen Influenza N1 Antibody Competition). The results are expressed in percentages and samples with a value less than 60% were considered positive. Originally, the kit was developed to analyze serum from avian species for the presence of anti-N1 IAV antibodies. However, the kit potentially can be used as a multi-species assay using a monoclonal antibody against influenza A N1 surface glycoprotein, without the need for species-specific secondary antibodies (conjugate). This kit has successfully been employed as an additional serological test for influenza N1 antibodies in domestic animals during serological surveys for influenza H1N1pdm09 in Germany (Damiani et al. 2012) and Differentiation of Infected from Vaccinated Animals (DIVA) analyses (Dundon et al. 2007).

To determine whether or not the N1 ELISA could produce false-positive results, a panel of NP ELISA-negative sera was assayed with the N1 ELISA kit (Damiani et al. 2012). In our study for that purpose, 30 cat and 30 dog NP-negative sera were used, as determined by the IDEXX NP ELISA kit, and no false-positives result was observed. The 95% exact binomial confidence interval of the percentage of positive samples was assessed by using the epitools package (Aragon 2017) and the R environment for statistical computing (R Core Team 2019).

Results

Twelve of the 203 serum samples were positive for influenza A antibodies by the NP ELISA test for a seroprevalence of IAV of 5.7% in dogs and 6.08% in cats (Table 1). Employing N1 subtype-specific ELISA, antibodies against N1 IAV were detected in six of the samples: 1/5 dog sera and 5/7 cat sera (Table 2). At the time of sampling, the seropositive animals had no apparent signs of respiratory or parasitic diseases, and complete blood cell counts, serum biochemistry, and infectious disease test results were normal or negative for each of the 12 cases (Table 2). The sample collection protocol precluded the ability to question owners on their health status. However, serological evidence of the N1 in these pets could suggest that transmission of influenza

TABLE 1. SEROPREVALENCE OF INFLUENZA A VIRUS IN DOMESTIC CARNIVORES IN KYIV, UKRAINE

Animal species	Samples tested	Positive ELISA NP ^a	95% CI, exact binomial CI ^b	Average S/N value of positive samples ($M \pm m$)
Dogs	88	5 (5.7%)	1.870–12.763	0.442 \pm 0.04
Cats	115	7 (6.08%)	2.482–12.139	0.387 \pm 0.045
In total	203	12 (5.9%)	3.091–10.098	0.41 \pm 0.03

^aIDEXX Influenza A NP blocking ELISA, results are expressed as S/N values (sample to negative control ratio): neg (≥ 0.6); pos (< 0.6) indicating positive for IAV anti-NP antibodies.

^bStatistical analysis by the epitools package and the R environment for statistical computing.

CI, confidence interval; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; IAV, influenza A viruses; NP, nucleoprotein.

TABLE 2. CHARACTERISTICS OF ANIMALS SEROPOSITIVE FOR INFLUENZA A ANTIBODIES BY NUCLEOPROTEIN-BLOCKING ENZYME-LINKED IMMUNOSORBENT ASSAY

Species/number	Sex, male/female	Sampling month, 2016	ELISA NP ^a	ELISA, NI ^b	Laboratory assessment
Dog/5134-8980	F	March	0.38	Negative	Complete blood count/chemical screen/dirofilariasis screen
Cat/5137-8987	M	March	0.34	34%	Complete blood count/chemical screen
Cat/5173-9056	F	March	0.27	19%	Complete blood count/chemical screen
Cat/5206-9115	F	March	0.21	Negative	Chemical screen
Dog/5225-9150	F	March	0.32	Negative	Complete blood count/chemical screen
Dog/5239-9176	M	March	0.49	22%	Complete blood count/chemical screen
Cat/5249-9195	F	March	0.52	13%	Complete blood count/chemical screen
Dog/5445-9514	F	April	0.55	Negative	Complete blood count/chemical screen
Dog/5470-9561	M	April	0.47	Negative	Complete blood count/chemical screen/borreliosis screen
Cat/5532-9668	M	April	0.39	Negative	Complete blood count/chemical screen
Cat/5686-9933	F	May	0.49	41%	Complete blood count/chemical screen
Cat/5700-9958	F	May	0.49	57%	Complete blood count/cChemical screen

^aIDEXX Influenza A NP blocking ELISA, results are expressed as S/N values (sample to negative control ratio): neg (≥ 0.6); pos (< 0.6).
^bID Screen[®] Influenza N1 ELISA competition percentages (ODsample/ODneg $\times 100$): neg ($> 60\%$); pos ($< 60\%$).

H1N1pdm09 may have occurred, possibly by close contact with IAV-infected owners during the peak period of virus infection in the human population in late 2015, or early 2016 (Fig. 1).

Discussion

With respect to zoonotic diseases, the health of companion animals is of particular concern because of their close contact with humans and risk for reverse zoonoses, particularly for human H1N1pdm09 IAV. Dogs and cats are a popular companion animal and, although there is no centralized registration process, it is believed that more than half of Ukrainians currently own pets and perceive their pets as family members (ZN.UA 2013, rbc.ua 2018). Recent estimates suggested that in 2017, Ukraine had an extremely large cat population (7.5 million), including feral cats (PetSecure 2017, Maps of World, 2017).

In this report, we provide evidence for domestic dog and cat exposure to IAV using a competitive ELISA method for serological testing. Twelve serum samples were positive for IAV NP antibodies by the NP ELISA test, strongly suggesting prior infection of these pets, as the animals were not overtly symptomatic at the time of sample collection. Since samples were collected during/after the human 2015–2016 influenza season, we speculate, but cannot confirm that infections probably resulted from transmission through close contact with infected owners or contact with other animals carrying influenza viruses.

This is not unprecedented, as several studies have suggested that infection of cats and dogs resulted from close contact with humans. The first cases of lethal pandemic H1N1pdm09 influenza virus infection in domestic cats in 2009 in the United States were likely infection by contact with infected owners (Lóhr et al. 2010). A serosurvey of cats




FIG. 1. Epidemic curve of influenza virus in the human population in Ukraine during 2015/2016 epidemic season. Data were collated from a publicly reported source: FluNet (www.who.int/flunet) and GISRS (World Health Organization 2019).

in the USA during the 2009–2010 influenza season found seroprevalences of pandemic H1N1pdm09 (21.8%), human seasonal H3N2 (25.6%), and H1N1 (41.9%) influenza viruses (McCullers et al. 2011). At the end of 2009, China first reported detecting H1N1pdm09 in domestic dogs with clinical signs, and H1N1pdm09 was confirmed in a dog associated with a sick pet owner in the United States (Keenlside 2013).

In 2009, pandemic IAV H1N1pdm09 was also directly isolated from dead cats in Italy. Subsequent serological investigation showed that 55.0% of the animals tested had antibodies against the H1N1pdm09 strain, concurrent with the peak of the human flu pandemic in Italy (Fiorentini et al. 2011). Seroprevalence of domestic cats (1.93%) and dogs (0.13%) to pandemic H1N1pdm09 in Germany in 2010 and 2011 suggested humans as the source of infection (Damiani et al. 2012).

Serological evidence for H1N1pdm09 with a relatively high prevalence was reported for cats in China in 2011–2013, suggesting that transmission occurred by close contact with infected owners or other companion animals (Su et al. 2013, Zhao et al. 2014). In 2012 in China, a high prevalence (24.7%) of antibodies against influenza H1N1pdm09 was also reported in dogs. The researchers hypothesized that close, prolonged contact between dogs and influenza-infected humans led to this significant seroprevalence (Su et al. 2014). In Japan, a seroprevalence survey of cats and dogs between 1997 and 2008 found antibodies against the human H1, H3, and N2 subtypes of IAV at a relatively low prevalence (Said et al. 2011).

In the epidemiology of influenza viruses, interspecies transmission plays a key role, and the close contact between companion animals and humans should not be overlooked. In dogs, H3 subtypes of CIV are frequently found. Serological evidence of H1N1pdm09 influenza virus infection alone and in combination with the canine H3N2 was found in serum samples collected from dogs in Korea in 2010 and 2011 (Song et al. 2015). The same results were reported in the USA; two dogs were seropositive for two subtypes (H1N1 and H3N2 and H1N1 and CIV H3N8) (Jang et al. 2017). These studies indicate that although dogs can be infected with more than one virus subtype, retrospective serology studies cannot discriminate between simultaneous and serial infections. However, reassortants apparently can be found in dogs. For example, a novel reassortant canine H3N1 between pandemic H1N1 and canine H3N2 IAV (Song et al. 2012), and swine-origin H1N1 viruses reassorted with CIV H3N2, creating three novel strains (H1N1r, H1N2r, and H3N2r). The authors noted that specific factors in China, in particular dog meat markets and a large number of feral dogs, make canine-to-human transmission of IAV more likely, representing a potential pandemic threat (Chen et al. 2018).

The recent study in Poland has shown 2.21% prevalence of IA antibodies in dogs using commercial ELISA kit, and with additional hemagglutination inhibition assays also found that the most frequently detected antibodies were to swine H3N2 (Kwasnik et al. 2020).

In this report, antibodies against N1 IAV were detected in one of the five positive dogs and five of the seven positive cats, but given the low overall seropositivity in our study, the difference in detection rate of N1 IAV between dogs and cats is not significant. This suggests that transmission could have occurred by contact with their infected owners during the 2015/2016 epidemic season in Ukraine. A country-specific

risk assessment confirmed the 2015/2016 influenza season in Ukraine was more severe and started earlier than the previous four seasons (Newitt et al. 2018). The predominant strain circulating was influenza virus H1N1pdm09 and was associated with severe disease and deaths (Fig. 1). While the intensity of H1N1pdm09 activity was estimated to be higher than usual, influenza H3N2 and influenza B viruses were also detected (World Health Organization 2019).

Our study reports the first serological evidence demonstrating antibodies to N1 subtype IAV in domestic carnivores living in Kyiv, suggesting these animals were exposed to an N1-carrying mammalian IAV subtype, likely H1N1pdm09. This is the only circulating N1 virus in mammals in Ukraine, as far as is known. Although influenza activity was reported across the country, the capital Kyiv was the most affected area with the highest incidence (Worldwide Influenza Centre and Francis Crick Institute Report 2016, Newitt et al. 2018). Further studies are needed to determine the incidence of infection of cats and dogs in other regions, and to determine whether the frequency of infection is correlated with the incidence of human influenza cases. Interestingly, the risk assessment of the WHO European Region confirmed that there was higher influenza activity in Eastern Europe in 2015/16 compared to Western Europe with a strong predominance of H1N1pdm09 (Tjon-Kon-Fat et al. 2016).

In our study, the four dogs and two cats that were seronegative for N1 subtype clearly had antibodies against influenza NP, suggesting exposure to other subtypes of IAV. Further influenza A subtyping, including analysis of HA (H1, H3, H5, etc.) subtype, was not carried out in our study due to the limited number of reference sera and reagents available. While we detected anti-N1 antibodies in companion animals, we do not believe that H5N1 infection occurred in cats or dogs, since no case of the H5N1 in birds or humans was registered in Kyiv or other regions of Ukraine in that period.

However, dogs and cats can be infected with other strains of IAV. Avian-origin influenza viruses have been identified particularly in cats, including HPAI H5N1, H5N6, and H5N8, LPAI H5N2, H6N1, H7N2, and H9N2 (Songserm et al. 2006b, Leschnik et al. 2007, Zhan et al. 2012, Sun et al. 2013, Hai-xia et al. 2014, Lin et al. 2015, Yu et al. 2015, Yuk et al. 2017, Hatta et al. 2018). Infection of domestic carnivores by avian IAV occurs most likely through the ingestion of or close contact with infected poultry or wild birds (Reperant et al. 2009). In one case, feline H7N2 viruses spread among cats and also infected humans (Marinova-Petkova et al. 2017, Poirot et al. 2018).

Before the onset of the peak influenza season, we believe it is important that pet owners and household members are advised of the potential risks that they may pass influenza virus not only to other humans but also to other animals, including pets. This concept of “reverse zoonosis” raises concerns about mammalian adaptation of avian IAV, antigenic shift mutations, reassortment, and emergence of novel viral subtypes. Complete IAV subtyping was not conducted in our study due to the limited availability of reference sera and reagents. Thus, what other subtypes may be circulating in Ukraine remains unclear. However, our study demonstrates that domestic cats and dogs were exposed to IAV, likely the H1N1pdm09 subtype.

This is the first study that reports serological evidence for IAV infection in domestic pets in Ukraine. When and how

seropositive animals were infected could not be determined. We assume that the contact with IAV might have happened by close communication between infected owners and their pets during the intense 2015/2016 human influenza season in Ukraine. It is reasonable to suppose that many more cases may have been present, and we believe that continued surveillance of IAV in domestic animals is warranted.

Conclusions

Our study reports the first serological evidence for antibodies to IAV in domestic dogs and cats in Kyiv, Ukraine. Although serological surveys are limited by available antigens and reference sera, they are used as a first step in exploring key signals and evidence for zoonotic infectious disease transmission. We assume that the contact with IAV might have happened by close communication between infected owners and their pets during the 2015/2016 influenza season in Ukraine. As influenza viruses cross species barriers, carrying out a serological surveillance in dogs and cats is also warranted, taking into account the close contact between humans and companion animals, and potential for circulation among cats and dogs. These results highlight that further studies in these species are needed to determine the precise role they could play in the natural cycle of influenza virus transmission.

Acknowledgments

We express our special thanks to the veterinarians from the veterinary hospital in Kyiv for excellent technical assistance and serum sampling. Dr. Stephen Higgs (Kansas State University) and Eric Bortz (University of Alaska Anchorage) generously provided their mentorship throughout the development and review of this article. The authors would like to thank the Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) and Biological Threat Reduction Program (BTRP) in Ukraine for the support in developing this publication. While DTRA/BTRP did not support the research described in this publication, the Program supported the writing mentorship provided within the Science Writing Mentorship Program and the publication effort. The content of this publication is the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily reflect the views of DTRA or the United States Government.

Author Disclosure Statement

No conflicting financial interests exist.

Funding Information

This work was supported by the National Academy of Agrarian Sciences of Ukraine.

References

- Ali A, Daniels JB, Zhang Y, Rodriguez-Palacios A, et al. Pandemic and seasonal human influenza virus infections in domestic cats: Prevalence, association with respiratory disease, and seasonality patterns. *J Clin Microbiol* 2011; 49: 4101–4105.
- An DJ, Jeoung HY, Jeong W, Chae S. A serological survey of canine respiratory coronavirus and canine influenza virus in Korean dogs. *J Vet Med Sci* 2010; 72:1217–1219.
- Aragon TJ. *Epitools: Epidemiology Tools*. R package version 0.5-10. 2017. Available at <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=epitools>
- Aydin O, Aydin H, Kirbas A, Timurkan MO. Serological evidence of canine influenza virus infection in shelter dogs in Turkey. *Med Weter* 2018; 74:791–794.
- Bunpapong N, Nonthabenjawan N, Chaiwong S, Tangwangvivat R, et al. Genetic characterization of canine influenza A virus (H3N2) in Thailand. *Virus Genes* 2014; 48:56–63.
- Chen Y, Trovão NS, Wang G, Zhao W, et al. Emergence and evolution of novel reassortant influenza A viruses in canines in Southern China. *mBio* 2018; 9:pii: e00909-18.
- Chen Y, Zhong G, Wang G, Deng G, et al. Dogs are highly susceptible to H5N1 avian influenza virus. *Virology* 2010; 405:15–19.
- Chow W, He HZ. Seroprevalence of avian cause H3N2 canine flu infection disease in pet dogs in Shenzhen, China. *Afr J Infect Dis Res* 2014; 1:50–52.
- Crawford PC, Dubovi EJ, Castleman WL, Stephenson I, et al. Transmission of equine influenza to dogs. *Science* 2005; 310: 482–485.
- Crispe E, Finlaison DS, Hurt AC, Kirkland PD. Infection of dogs with equine influenza virus: Evidence for transmission from horses during the Australian outbreak. *Aust Vet J* 2011; 89(Suppl 1):27–28.
- Daly JM, Blunden AS, Macrae S, Miller J, et al. Transmission of equine influenza virus to English foxhounds. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2008; 14:461–464.
- Damiani AM, Kalthoff D, Beer M, Müller E, et al. Serological survey in dogs and cats for influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 in Germany. *Zoonoses Public Health* 2012; 59:549–552.
- Dubovi EJ, Njaa BL. Canine influenza. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract* 2008; 38:827–835.
- Dundon WG, Terregino C, Tuttoilmondo V, Pizzuto M, et al. Preliminary validation of a commercial Avian Influenza N1 antibody competitive ELISA kit that can be used as part of a Diva strategy. Poster presented at EPIZONE 2007, Poland. Available at <https://www.id-vet.com/pdfs/pdfs/FLUACA/DOC071.pdf>
- Fiorentini L, Taddei R, Moreno A, Gelmetti D, et al. Influenza A pandemic (H1N1) 2009 virus outbreak in a cat colony in Italy. *Zoonoses Public Health* 2011; 58:573–581.
- Gibbs EP, Anderson TC. Equine and canine influenza: A review of current events. *Anim Health Res Rev* 2010; 11:43–51.
- Giese M, Harde TC, Teifke JP, Klopfleish R, et al. Experimental infection and natural contact exposure of dogs with avian influenza virus (H5N1). *Emerg Infect Dis* 2008; 14:308–310.
- Hai-xia F, Yuan-yuan L, Qian-qian S, Zong-shuai L, et al. Interspecies transmission of canine influenza virus H5N2 to cats and chickens by close contact with experimentally infected dogs. *Vet Microbiol* 2014;170:414–417.
- Hatta M, Zhong G, Gao Y, Nakajima N, et al. Characterization of a feline influenza A(H7N2) virus. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2018; 24:75–86.
- Hinshaw VS, Webster RG, Easterday BC, Bean WJ, Jr. Replication of avian influenza A viruses in mammals. *Infect Immun* 1981; 34:354–361.
- Houser RE, Heuschele WP. Evidence of prior infection with influenza A/Texas/77 (H3N2) virus in dogs with clinical parainfluenza. *Can J Comp Med* 1980; 44:396–402.
- Jang H, Jackson YK, Daniels JB, Ali A, et al. Seroprevalence of three influenza A viruses (H1N1, H3N2, and H3N8) in pet dogs presented to a veterinary hospital in Ohio. *J Vet Sci* 2017; 18(Suppl 1):291–298.

- Jeoung HY, Lim SI, Shin BH, Lim JA, et al. A novel canine influenza H3N2 virus isolated from cats in an animal shelter. *Vet Microbiol* 2013; 165:281–286.
- Keenlside J. Pandemic influenza A H1N1 in Swine and other animals. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* 2013; 370:259–271.
- Knesl O, Allan FJ, Shields S. The seroprevalence of canine respiratory coronavirus and canine influenza virus in dogs in New Zealand. *N Z Vet J* 2009; 57:295–298.
- Kwasnik M, Smreczak M, Rola J, Urbaniak K, et al. Serologic investigation of influenza A virus infection in dogs in Poland. *J Vet Diagn Invest* 2020; 32:420–422.
- Lee CT, Slavinski S, Schiff C, Merlino M, et al. Outbreak of influenza A(H7N2) among cats in an animal shelter with cat-to-human transmission—New York City, 2016. *Clin Infect Dis* 2017; 65:1927–1929.
- Leschnik M, Weikel J, Möstl K, Revilla-Fernández S, et al. Subclinical infection with avian influenza A (H5N1) virus in cats. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2007; 13:243–247.
- Lin D, Sun S, Du L, Ma J, et al. Natural and experimental infection of dogs with pandemic H1N1/2009 influenza virus. *J Gen Virol* 2012; 93:119–123.
- Lin HT, Wang CH, Chueh LL, Su BL, et al. Influenza A(H6N1) virus in dogs, Taiwan. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2015; 21:2154–2157.
- Lóhr CV, De Bess EE, Baker RJ, Hiatt SL, et al. Pathology and viral antigen distribution of lethal pneumonia in domestic cats due to pandemic (H1N1) 2009 influenza A virus. *Vet Pathol* 2010; 47:378–386.
- Maps of World. Top Ten Countries With Most Pet Cat Population. 2017. Available at <https://www.mapsofworld.com/world-top-ten/countries-with-most-pet-cat-population.html>
- Marinova-Petkova A, Laplante J, Jang Y, Lynch B, et al. Avian influenza A(H7N2) virus in human exposed to sick cats, New York, USA, 2016. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2017; 23:2046–2049.
- McCullers JA, Van De Velde LA, Schultz RD, Mitchell CG, et al. Seroprevalence of seasonal and pandemic influenza A viruses in domestic cats. *Arch Virol* 2011; 156:117–120.
- Neo JPS, Tan BH. The use of animals as a surveillance tool for monitoring environmental health hazards, human health hazards and bioterrorism. *Vet Microbiol* 2017; 203:40–48.
- Newitt S, Mironenko A, Holubka O, Zaika O, et al. Rapid risk assessment during the early weeks of the 2015–2016 influenza season in Ukraine. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses* 2018; 12:241–249.
- Newton R, Cooke A, Elton D, Bryant N, et al. Canine influenza virus: Cross-species transmission from horses. *Vet Rec* 2007; 28:142–143.
- Parrish CR, Murcia PR, Holmes EC. Influenza A virus reservoirs and intermediate hosts: Dogs, horses, and new possibilities for human infections. *J Virol* 2014; 89:2990–2994.
- PetSecure. A Guide to Worldwide Pet Ownership. 2017. Available at <https://www.petsecure.com.au/pet-care/a-guide-to-worldwide-pet-ownership>
- Poirot E, Levine MZ, Russell K, Stewart RJ, et al. Detection of Avian influenza A(H7N2) virus infection among animal shelter workers using a novel serological approach—New York City, 2016–2017. *J Infect Dis* 2019; 219:1688–1696.
- R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. 2019.
- rbc.ua. Cats or dogs: what kind of animals do Ukrainians have more often. 2018. Available at <https://styler.rbc.ua/ukr/zoopark/koshki-sobaki-kogo-bolshe-predpochitayut-1541424865.html> (in Ukrainian).
- Reperant LA, Rimmelzwaan GF, Kuiken T. Avian influenza viruses in mammals. *Rev Sci Tech* 2009; 28:137–159.
- Rimmelzwaan GF, van Riel D, Baars M, Bestebroer TM, et al. Influenza A virus (H5N1) infection in cats causes systemic disease with potential novel routes of virus spread within and between hosts. *Am J Pathol* 2006; 168:176–183.
- Said AW, Usui T, Shinya K, Ono E, et al. A sero-survey of subtype H3 influenza A virus infection in dogs and cats in Japan. *J Vet Med Sci* 2011; 73:541–544.
- Schulz B, Klinkenberg C, Fux R, Anderson T, et al. Prevalence of canine influenza virus A (H3N8) in dogs in Germany. *Vet J* 2014; 202:184–185.
- Seiler BM, Yoon KJ, Andreasen CB, Block SM, et al. Antibodies to influenza A virus (H1 and H3) in companion animals in Iowa, USA. *Vet Rec* 2010; 167:705–707.
- Sen S, Rahman MS, Nag M, Rahman MM, et al. Prevalence of canine parvovirus and canine influenza virus infection in dogs in Dhaka, Mymensingh, Feni and Chittagong districts of Bangladesh. *Asian J Med Biol Res* 2016; 2:138–142.
- Short KR, Richard M, Verhagen JH, van Riel D, et al. One health, multiple challenges: The inter-species transmission of influenza A virus. *One Health* 2015; 1:1–13.
- Song D, Kang B, Lee C, Jung K, et al. Transmission of avian influenza virus (H3N2) to dogs. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2008; 14:741–746.
- Song D, Kim H, Na W, Hong M, et al. Canine susceptibility to human influenza viruses (A/pdm 09H1N1, A/H3N2 and B). *J Gen Virol* 2015; 96(Pt 2):254–258.
- Song D, Lee C, Kang B, Jung K, et al. Experimental infection of dogs with avian-origin canine influenza A virus (H3N2). *Emerg Infect Dis* 2009; 15:56–58.
- Song D, Moon HJ, An DJ, Jeoung HY, et al. A novel reassortant canine H3N1 influenza virus between pandemic H1N1 and canine H3N2 influenza viruses in Korea. *J Gen Virol* 2012; 93:551–554.
- Song DS, An DJ, Moon HJ, Yeom MJ, et al. Interspecies transmission of the canine influenza H3N2 virus to domestic cats in South Korea, 2010. *J Gen Virol* 2011; 92(Pt 10):2350–2355.
- Songserm T, Amonsin A, Jamon R, Sae-Heng N, et al. Avian influenza H5N1 in naturally infected domestic cat. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2006a; 12:681–683.
- Songserm T, Amonsin A, Jamon R, Sae-Heng N, et al. Fatal avian influenza A H5N1 in a dog. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2006b; 12:1744–1747.
- Sponseller BA, Strait E, Jergens A, Trujillo J, et al. Influenza A pandemic (H1N1) 2009 virus infection in domestic cat. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2010; 16:534–537.
- Su S, Chen J, Jia K, Khan SU, et al. Evidence for subclinical influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 virus infection among dogs in Guangdong Province, China. *J Clin Microbiol* 2014; 52:1762–1765.
- Su S, Yuan L, Li H, Chen J, et al. Serologic evidence of pandemic influenza virus H1N1 2009 infection in cats in China. *Clin Vaccine Immunol* 2013; 20:115–117.
- Sun X, Xu X, Liu Q, Liang D, et al. Evidence of avian-like H9N2 influenza A virus among dogs in Guangxi, China. *Infect Genet Evol* 2013; 20:471–475.
- Tjon-Kon-Fat R, Meerhoff T, Nikisins S, Pireset J, al. The potential risks and impact of the start of the 2015–2016 influenza season in the WHO European Region: A rapid risk assessment. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses* 2016; 10:236–246.

- van den Brand JM, Stittelaar KJ, van Amerongen G, van de Bildt MW, et al. Experimental pandemic (H1N1) 2009 virus infection of cats. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2010; 16:1745–1747.
- Voorhees IEH, Glaser AL, Toohey-Kurth K, Newbury S, et al. Spread of canine influenza A(H3N2) virus, United States. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2017; 23:1950–1957.
- Waddell GH, Teigland MB, Sigel MM. A new influenza virus associated with equine respiratory disease. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 1963; 143:587–590.
- Watson CE, Bell C, Toohey-Kurth K. H3N2 canine influenza virus infection in a dog. *Vet Pathol* 2017; 54:527–530.
- Wolf PU, Uhl W, Gerst S, Wolf C, et al. Lethal influenza in domestic cats after natural infection with H5N1/Asia in Germany (in German). *Deutsches Tierärzteblatt* 2006; 4:426–431.
- World Health Organization. Influenza Laboratory Surveillance Information by the Global Influenza Surveillance and Response System (GISRS). *FluNet: 2015–2016*. 2019. Available at <http://apps.who.int/flumart/Default?ReportNo=7>
- Worldwide Influenza Centre the Francis Crick Institute Report for the WHO annual consultation on the composition of influenza vaccine for the Southern Hemisphere 2017. September 26th–28th, 2016. Available at https://www.crick.ac.uk/sites/default/files/2018-07/september_2016_interim_report.pdf
- Yingst SL, Saad MD, Felt SA. Qinghai-like H5N1 from domestic cats, northern Iraq. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2006; 12:1295–1297.
- Yu Z, Gao X, Wang T, Li Y, et al. Fatal H5N6 avian influenza virus infection in a domestic cat and wild birds in China. *Sci Rep* 2015; 5:10704.
- Yuk SS, Lee DH, Park JK, Tseren-Ochir EO, et al. Experimental infection of dogs with highly pathogenic avian influenza virus (H5N8). *J Vet Sci* 2017; 18(Suppl 1):381–384.
- Zhan GJ, Ling ZS, Zhu YL, Jiang SJ, et al. Genetic characterization of a novel influenza A virus H5N2 isolated from a dog in China. *Vet Microbiol* 2012; 155:409–416.
- Zhao FR, Liu CG, Yin X, Zhou DH, et al. Serological report of pandemic (H1N1) 2009 infection among cats in Northeastern China in 2012-02 and 2013-03. *Virology* 2014; 11:49.
- Zhou P, Huang S, Zeng W, Zhang X, et al. Seroepidemiological evidence of subtype H3N8 influenza virus infection among pet dogs in China. *PLoS One* 2016; 11:e0159106.
- ZN.UA. More than half of Ukrainians keep pets, mainly dogs and cats (in Ukrainian). 2013. Available at https://dt.ua/UKRAINE/bilshe-polovini-ukrayinciv-trimayut-domashnih-tvarin-v-osnovnomu-sobak-i-kishok-117917_.html

Address correspondence to:

Maryna Galat
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
National University of Life
and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine
15, Heroiv Oborony Str.,
Kyiv 03041
Ukraine

E-mails: maryna.galat@gmail.com
anna31kovalenko@gmail.com