Maternal Obesity

The association between psychological factors and breastfeeding behaviour in women with a body mass index (BMI) \geq 30 kg m⁻²: a systematic review

S. Lyons¹, S. Currie², S. Peters¹, T. Lavender³ and D. M. Smith⁴

¹Manchester Centre for Health Psychology, Division of Psychology and Mental Health, School of Health Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK, ²Department of Psychology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling, UK, ³Centre for Global Women's Health, Division of Nursing, Midwifery and Social Work, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK, and ⁴School of Social and Health Sciences, Leeds Trinity University, Horsforth, UK

Received 23 October 2017; revised 21 December 2017; accepted 17 January 2018

Address for correspondence: S Lyons, Manchester Centre for Health Psychology, Division of Psychology and Mental Health, School of Health Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK.

E-mail: stephanie.lyons@manchester.ac.uk

Summary

Breastfeeding can play a key role in the reduction of obesity, but initiation and maintenance rates in women with a body mass index (BMI) of \geq 30 kg m⁻² are low. Psychological factors influence breastfeeding behaviours in the general population, but their role is not vet understood in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻². Therefore, this review aimed to systematically search and synthesize the literature, which has investigated the association between any psychological factor and breastfeeding behaviour in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻². The search identified 20 eligible papers, reporting 16 psychological factors. Five psychological factors were associated with breastfeeding behaviours: intentions to breastfeed, belief in breast milk's nutritional adequacy and sufficiency, belief about other's infant feeding preferences, body image and social knowledge. It is therefore recommended that current care should encourage women to plan to breastfeed, provide corrective information for particular beliefs and address their body image and social knowledge. Recommendations for future research include further exploration of several psychological factors (i.e. expecting that breastfeeding will enhance weight loss, depression, anxiety and stress) and evidence and theory-based intervention development.

Keywords: Breastfeeding, obesity, psychological factors, women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻².

Introduction

Breastfeeding is associated with copious health benefits for both mother and child (1). In particular, breastfeeding can play a key role in the reduction and prevention of obesity (2–4). Therefore, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends that all mothers should exclusively breastfeed their infants until they reach 6 months of age and continue with complementary breastfeeding until they reach at least 2 years (5).

However, adherence in women with a body mass index (BMI) of \geq 30 kg m⁻² is consistently low; women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² are less likely to initiate breastfeeding and more likely to breastfeed for shorter durations than their normal weight counterparts (BMI 18–24.99 kg m⁻²) (6,7). Children born to women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻²

© 2018 The Authors. *Obesity Reviews* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of World Obesity Federation

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

are at an increased risk of becoming obese (8,9) and developing associated diseases (e.g. diabetes, hypertension and dyslipidaemia) (10). As breastfed infants experience a considerable reduction in risk of obesity and disease (4,11), it is vital that we investigate the factors that influence breastfeeding practices in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻², in order to increase these behaviours and, ultimately, reduce the prevalence of obesity and related diseases.

Psychological factors (i.e. factors that affect or arise in an individual's mind) (12) have been consistently shown to influence breastfeeding behaviours in the general population (13,14). For example, correlational studies have associated several psychological factors (e.g. perceived paternal support, confidence, dispositional optimism, breastfeeding expectations, faith in breast milk and knowledge) with increased breastfeeding initiation (i.e. beginning breastfeeding shortly after birth), duration (i.e. maintaining breastfeeding over a period of time) or exclusivity (i.e. giving the infant only breast milk) (13,14). Likewise, several intervention studies (15-17) have shown that increasing self-efficacy, knowledge and support can increase breastfeeding initiation and duration. This suggests, therefore, that psychological factors may be useful for increasing breastfeeding behaviours (i.e. initiation and duration).

Furthermore, studies have reported a positive association between psychological factors and breastfeeding behaviours specifically in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² (18–20). This suggests that developing interventions that utilize psychological factors may be a successful method to increase breastfeeding initiation and duration in this population. An emerging literature examines interventions, which aim to increase breastfeeding rates in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² (21–23), but only one study has reported benefits of a short increase in duration (22). However, this sample was not typical (i.e. participants were highly educated and likely highly motivated) limiting the generalizability of these results (24). A Cochrane review to examine interventions to support breastfeeding behaviour in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² is underway (25) but proposes to focus on education, social support or physical interventions, rather than psychological factors and approaches. Systematic investigation of psychological factors that influence women's breastfeeding behaviours will inform the design of behavioural models of breastfeeding and public health interventions, to improve breastfeeding rates in this population and, ultimately, the long-term health of women with BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² and their children. Therefore, this review aimed to systematically search and synthesize the literature, which has investigated the association between, or the direct effect of, any psychological factor on breastfeeding behaviour in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻². The research question was 'which psychological factors are associated with breastfeeding behaviours in women with a BMI \geq 30kg/m²?

Methods

This review is reported in the style of the Checklist of Items to Include When Reporting a Systematic Review or Meta-Analysis (26). The protocol was published on PROSPERO on 9 November 2016 (http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROS-PERO/display_record.asp?ID=CRD42016050997).

Eligibility criteria

This review included studies that investigated the association between or the direct effect of any psychological factor(s) on breastfeeding initiation and duration in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻². The eligibility criteria were specified according to the PICO framework (Table 1), stated in the preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis statement (26).

Because of funding restrictions, all included studies were written in English. No restrictions were placed on date. Psvchological factors were defined as any factor that affects or arises in an individual's mind (12). The population was women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² (WHO classification of obesity) (27), who have had a live birth, and the opportunity to initiate (i.e. begin shortly after birth) and maintain breastfeeding (i.e. continue to any extent). Studies were included if they included any quantitative baseline measure of at least one psychological factor and then reported subsequent breastfeeding behaviours (e.g. initiation or duration of any breastfeeding), measured psychological factors and the rate of breastfeeding within the sample and reported a direct correlation between a psychological factor and breastfeeding behaviour. As any measure of a psychological factor was permitted, there was no principal summary measure. Intervention studies were only included if they reported separate and individually measured psychological factors. Studies that pooled analyses between BMI categories were only included if the average BMI of the sample was ≥ 30 kg m⁻². Only studies that reported using prepregnancy BMI to determine weight status were included. Qualitative papers that addressed the research question were reviewed separately.

Table 1 Inclusion criteria

PICO reference	Inclusion criteria
Population	Pre-pregnancy BMI ≥30 kg m ⁻² Live birth
Intervention	Opportunity to initiate/maintain breastfeeding Not used
Comparison	Not used
Outcome Study	Psychological factors (measured quantitatively) Prospective
	Cross-sectional Intervention

BMI, body mass index.

Search strategy

Following a scoping exercise to finalize suitable search terms, an electronic systematic search of the literature using multi-field search builders was conducted in PsycINFO, PubMed and Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature databases in August 2017. Grey literature was searched on OpenGrey, MedNar and Trove, and hand searching of journals and authors was conducted for included studies. Search terms were generated by conducting a scoping exercise in each database and with the use of Medical Subject Headings (Table 2).

Study selection

Results from each database were imported into EndNote ×7, and duplicates were removed. Titles and abstracts were screened, excluding those which were not relevant to the research question and/or did not meet the eligibility criteria. At the beginning of this stage, an inter-rater reliability assessment was conducted, with a second researcher (D. M. S.) assessing and reporting an inclusion/exclusion decision for 10% of the studies identified in the search (28). This was performed by assigning a number to each individual study identified in the search and using a random number generator to select a sample. The decision made by the second researcher was then checked against the first's (S. L.), generating Cohen's kappa statistics. Percentage agreement is not reported because of the large difference in sample sizes and its inability to account for chance agreement (29). There was substantial agreement between researchers, $\kappa = 0.74$ (95% CI, 0.572 to 0.902), p < 0.0005. Consistency in inclusion/exclusion decisions was maintained throughout the remaining studies. Full papers were then retrieved and assessed for inclusion. Again, and in the same way, a second researcher (D. M. S.) assessed and reported an inclusion/exclusion decision for 10% of studies. There was substantial agreement between the researchers, $\kappa = 0.78$ (95% CI, 0.385 to 1.000), p = 0.016. Disagreements were discussed and resolved. The process of study selection is illustrated in a preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis flow diagram (Fig. 1).

Data extraction and quality assessment

Data from the included studies were extracted using a sheet designed for this study (i.e. setting, sample, psychological factor(s), study design, outcome measures and findings). Researchers were contacted for additional information if necessary. All data were anonymized, password protected and only accessible by the research team. The majority of the data used were already in the public domain.

All included studies were assessed for quality using the tool of Hawker et al. (30). This tool can assess and therefore allow comparison between a variety of study designs. Using this tool, the 'abstract and title', 'introduction and aims', 'method and data', 'sampling', 'data analysis', 'ethics and bias', 'results', 'transferability or generalizability' and 'implications and usefulness' are awarded a score between 1 and 4 (9 items; total of 36), with higher scoring studies indicating higher quality. For this review, studies scoring ≥ 28 were considered 'high' quality, studies scoring 19-27 were considered 'fair' quality and studies scoring 9-18 were considered 'poor' quality (see Table 3 for scores). To ensure appraisal quality, two researchers (D. M. S. and S. C.) also completed appraisals for 10% of the included studies, and these were checked against the first's (S. L.). There was moderate agreement between the researchers, $\kappa = 0.538$ (95% CI, 0.144 to 0.932), p = 0.001. Consistency in appraisals was maintained throughout the remaining studies. All but one study (33) fell into the 'high-quality' range.

Results

The search identified 7,564 studies, with 7,231 remaining after duplicates were removed (Fig. 1). Eighty-eight were reviewed at full text. Twenty studies were included.

Study characteristics

The characteristics of included studies are summarized in Table 3. Most were conducted in the USA (18–20,23,31–33,35–38,42), with some in Europe (34,39,43,45,46), Australia (40,41) and one in Canada (44). Sample characteristics were reported infrequently; of 20 included studies, 13

PICO reference	Term	Keywords
Р	Breastfeeding	Breastfe*, breast fe*, lactat* and infant feeding
	BMI ≥30 kg m ⁻²	Obes*, body mass index, bmi, body mass index 30, bmi 30 and overweight
0	Psychological factors	psychosocial factors, psychological, social, social norms, social support, psychosocial support, self-efficacy, expectations, education, health education, well being, wellbeing, psychological well-being, body image, confidence, self-confidence, knowledge, health knowledge, motivation, views, self-esteem, self-perception, attitudes, beliefs, postpartum depression, anxiety, stress, psychological stress, social acceptance and social influence

BMI, body mass index.



Figure 1 Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis flow diagram of study selection. BMI, body mass index; CINAHL, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature.

reported participant ethnicity or race (19,20,23,31–33,35–40,42), 9 reported mean age (18,31,33,36,38,39,43–45) and 5 reported mean BMI (31,35,36,38,43).

Definitions of breastfeeding behaviours, and the measures used to collect this data, varied between studies. Of 11 studies that reported breastfeeding initiation, nine (19,20,23,32,34,36-39,43) defined the behaviour as ever receiving breast milk, whereas one (33) recognized initiation as infants receiving $\geq 50\%$ breast milk feedings upon hospital discharge. Although most studies measured exclusive and any breastfeeding duration (18,19,23,33,36,38,39,42,43), two studies (34,44) measured exclusive breastfeeding only, whereas seven did not (20,31,35,40,41,44,45). Definitions of exclusive breastfeeding varied, depending upon whether the consumption of water, vitamins and medicines were permitted; one study permitted infrequent water consumption (34), four did not (19,23,38,42) and two prohibited all other liquids or solids (19,43). However, despite definition and measurement variation, of 19 studies comparing women with a BMI ≥ 30 kg m⁻² with those with a BMI \leq 30 kg m⁻², women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² were consistently found to engage less in breastfeeding behaviours (19,20,23,31-34,36-44).

Sixteen psychological factors were identified. The research team discussed these factors in relation to the review aims and grouped together semantically similar factors into five categories: intentions to breastfeed, expectations and beliefs about breastfeeding, psychological well-being, maternal confidence and breastfeeding knowledge. As the measurement of the psychological factors also varied, a narrative synthesis was produced. Details of measurement are presented in Table 4.

Intentions to breastfeed

Ten studies (18–20,31–34,40,42,44) reported on infant feeding intentions. This factor was investigated in two forms: planned infant feeding method and planned breastfeeding duration.

Planned infant feeding method

Seven studies (19,20,32–34,40,44) measured planned infant feeding method. All studies compared women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² to women with a BMI \leq 30 kg m⁻². Five found that women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² were significantly less likely to intend to breastfeed (20,32–34,44), suggesting that women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² are consistently less likely

Reference	Country	Sample	Design	Psychological factor(s)	Breastfeeding behaviour(s)	Quality score*
3artok <i>et al.</i> (31)	NSA	Women birthing at medical	Cohort	Planned duration and general beliefs about BF	Duration	32
3ogen <i>et al.</i> (32)	NSA	Pregnant women volunteers	Observational	Planned IF method	Initiation and duration	34
Chapman <i>et al.</i> (23)	NSA	Pregnant women attending	RCT	Confidence in ability to BF	Initiation and duration	32
Cordero <i>et al.</i> (33)	NSA	Mothers of macrosomic infants born at a hospital	Cohort	Planned IF method	Initiation	23
Guelinckx <i>et al.</i> (34)	Belgium	Women birthing at a hospital	Cohort	Planned IF method, belief in breast milk's nutritional adequacy and sufficiency	Initiation and duration	29
Hauff <i>et al.</i> (19)	NSA	Pregnant women volunteers	Cohort	Planned IF method, planned duration, general beliefs about BF, belief about others' IF preferences, confidence in ability to BF and social knowledge	Initiation and duration	32
Hilson <i>et al.</i> (18)	NSA	Pregnant women in hospital database	Observational	Planned duration, general beliefs about BF, body image, confidence in ability to BF, factual knowledge and social knowledge	Duration	30
Jarlenski <i>et al.</i> (20)	USA	Pregnant women volunteers	Cohort	Planned JF method, general beliefs about BF, belief about others' IF preferences, body image, confidence in ability to BF, BF in social environments, factual knowledge and social knowledge	Initiation and duration	31
(air <i>et al.</i> (35)	USA	Women birthing at one of three hospitals	Cohort	General beliefs about BF, belief about others' IF preferences, belief in breast milk's nutritional adequacy and sufficiency	Duration	35
krause <i>et al.</i> (36)	NSA	Women attending one of three obstetric clinics and volunteers	Observational	Expected outcomes of BF for weight and depressive symptoms	Initiation, duration and intensity	28
Aasho <i>et al.</i> (37) Aehta <i>et al.</i> (38)	USA USA	Women birthing in a hospital Pregnant women attending a	Cohort Cohort	Depressive symptoms and stress Depressive symptoms, stress and anxiety	Initiation Initiation and duration	32 32
<i>Aok et al.</i> (39)	France	hospital Women birthing at a hospital	Case-control	Belief in breast milk's nutritional adequacy and sufficiency and RF in social environments.	Initiation and duration	31
Vewby <i>et al.</i> (40)	Australia	Pregnant women attending a public event for families	Cohort	Planned IF method, planned duration, confidence in ability to BF and BF in social environments	Duration	31
Vg <i>et al.</i> (41)	Australia	Women birthing at one of three hospitals	Cohort	Psychological distress	Duration	32
)'Sullivan <i>et al.</i> (42)	NSA	Pregnant women volunteers	Cohort		Duration	32

Reference	Country	Sample	Design	Psychological factor(s)	Breastfeeding behaviour(s)	Quality score*
				Planned duration, general beliefs about BF, belief about others' IF preferences, confidence in ability to BF and		
Swanson <i>et al.</i> (43)	Scotland	Women birthing at a hospital	Cohort	social knowledge Body image, psychological distress	BF status	34
Visram <i>et al.</i> (44)	Canada	Women birthing in one of four hospitals	Cohort	Planned IF method	BF status	32
Zanardo <i>et al.</i> (45)	Italy	Pregnant women attending a tertiary medical centre	Case-control	Body image	BF at discharge and cessation	28
Zanardo <i>et al.</i> (46)	Italy	Pregnant women attending a tertiary medical centre	Case-control	Eating disorder symptoms	BF at discharge and cessation	28
*Quality score out of a BF, breastfeed/ing; IF,	possible 36. infant feeding:	RCT. randomized controlled trial.				

to intend to breastfeed than women with a BMI \leq 30 kg m⁻². As all studies also found that BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² women were significantly less likely to breastfeed, this suggests that low rates of intention to breastfeed may be associated with their lower rates of breastfeeding.

Three studies (19,32,34) investigated whether there was a significant association between intending to breastfeed and breastfeeding behaviour, and all found a direct positive association. Another found extremely high rates of breastfeeding initiation in those who intended (i.e. ranging from 87% to 95% across BMI categories). This again suggests that breastfeeding intention is associated with subsequent breastfeeding behaviour.

Planned breastfeeding duration

Five studies (18,19,31,40,42) measured planned breastfeeding duration. All studies compared the planned breastfeeding duration of women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² to that of women with a BMI \leq 30 kg m⁻². Only one study reported that women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² planned to breastfeed for a significantly shorter duration than women with a BMI \leq 30 kg m⁻² (18). This suggests that, of women intending to breastfeed, BMI had no impact on planned breastfeeding duration.

Of four studies reporting no difference in planned breastfeeding duration, all found that women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² breastfed for a significantly shorter duration than women with a BMI \leq 30 kg m⁻² (19,31,40,42). Despite this, two studies (19,31) reported a significant positive association between planned and actual duration. However, these results were found by pooling results across BMI categories. A third study (18), when stratifying by BMI, found that although a significant positive association for BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² women was non-significant. Therefore, it is unlikely that planned breastfeeding duration is associated with actual breastfeeding duration in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻².

Expectations and beliefs about breastfeeding

Nine studies (18–20,31,34–36,39,42) reported on expectations and beliefs about breastfeeding. Several different expectations and beliefs were discussed: general beliefs about breastfeeding, belief about others' infant feeding preferences, belief in breast milk's nutritional adequacy and sufficiency and expected outcomes of breastfeeding for weight.

General beliefs about breastfeeding

Five studies (18–20,31,42) examined general beliefs about breastfeeding (i.e. whether breastfeeding was preferable compared with other feeding methods). Across the studies, women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² preferred breastfeeding. For example, more than 68% of mothers had positive

Table 4 Descriptions of how psychological factors were measured

Psychological factors	Measures
Intentions to breastfeed	
Planned infant feeding method	Self-reported infant feeding plan (e.g. breastfeeding, formula and mixed) (19,20,32-34,40,44)
Planned breastfeeding duration	Self-reported in months, either as a continuous variable (18,30,39) or grouped into \leq 6-, 6- to 12- or >12-month categories (19,42)
Expectations and beliefs about breastfeeding	
General beliefs about breastfeeding	Breastfeeding importance rating (20,31,42) or scale score of mother's preference towards breastfeeding (18,19)
Belief in breast milk's nutritional adequacy and sufficiency	Reason for noninitiation or cessation (20,34,35) or adequate yes/no format (39)
Belief about others' infant feeding preferences	Scale scores of others' opinions (19,42) or as a reason for noninitiation and cessation (20)
Expected outcomes of breastfeeding for weight Maternal confidence	Scale score of strength of belief (36)
Confidence in ability to breastfeed	Scale score of confidence to meet planned duration (19,40,42) or BF in different situations (18) or Breastfeeding Self-Efficacy Scale (23)
Breastfeeding in social environments	Scale score of 'comfortableness in the presence of different groups or in different environments' (39,40) or reason for cessation (20)
Psychological well-being	
Body image	Scale score of satisfaction with appearance (18), reason for noninitiation or cessation (20), Multidimensional Body-Self Relations Questionnaire (43) or Body Uneasiness Test (45)
Depressive symptoms	Presence of symptoms in yes/no format (36,37) or Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (38)
Stress	Number of stressful life events (37) or Perceived Stress Scale (38)
Anxiety	State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (38)
Psychological distress	Kessler-6 Psychological Distress Scale (40) or General Health Questionnaire (43)
Eating disorder symptoms	Eating Disorders Inventory-2 (46)
Breastfeeding knowledge	
Factual knowledge	True or false questions score (18) or awareness of WHO breastfeeding recommendation (20)
Social knowledge	Totalled number of relatives/friends who had breastfed (18,19,42)

BF, breastfeed/ing; WHO, World Health Organization.

beliefs about breastfeeding (19), and more than 87% rated breastfeeding as at least 'very important' (31). However, more than 60% of women who did not initiate rated believing that formula was the same or better than breast milk was an important factor in their decision (20).

No significant differences in beliefs were found between BMI groups. As four studies found that women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² engaged significantly less in breastfeeding behaviours (19,20,31,42), this suggests that it is unlikely that preferring breastfeeding is associated with behaviour in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻². One large study found a significant positive association between positive beliefs about breastfeeding and initiation, duration and exclusivity, but this again was found after pooling the results across BMI categories (19). This suggests that it is unlikely that preferring breastfeeding is associated with behaviour in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻².

Belief about others' infant feeding preferences

Four studies (19,20,35,42) investigated beliefs about others' infant feeding preferences. Two studies (20,35) found that women BMI ≥ 30 kg m⁻² were no more likely to report important others wanting to feed their infant as a reason for noninitiation or cessation (20,35). However, two studies (19,42) found that women with a BMI

 \geq 30 kg m⁻² were significantly less likely than women with a BMI \leq 30 kg m⁻² to believe that important others preferred breastfeeding and significantly less likely to breastfeed. This suggests that believing important others prefer breastfeeding as an infant feeding method may be associated with breastfeeding behaviour. This is supported by one study finding a significant, positive association between these two factors (19).

Belief in breast milk's nutritional adequacy and sufficiency Four studies investigated women's belief in the nutritional adequacy and sufficiency of their breast milk (20,34,35,39). All studies found that women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² were significantly less likely than those with a BMI \leq 30 kg m⁻² to perceive their milk as adequate. As the majority of these studies investigated this factor in terms of contributing to decisions regarding breastfeeding behaviour, this provides strong evidence that lacking belief in breast milk's nutritional adequacy is associated with breastfeeding cessation, despite no study reporting a direct association.

Expected outcomes of breastfeeding for weight

One study measured the impact of women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² expecting breastfeeding to enhance weight loss

(36). At 12 months post-partum, this expectation was significantly negatively correlated with breastfeeding behaviour; higher and increasing expectations from 6 weeks to 12 months were associated with poorer breastfeeding outcomes. This suggests that this expectation may be negatively associated with breastfeeding duration.

Psychological well-being

Nine studies (18,20,36–38,41,43,45,46) explored the impact of psychological well-being on breastfeeding behaviour. Several symptoms were investigated: body image, depressive symptoms, stress, psychological distress, anxiety and eating disorder symptoms.

Body image

Four studies (18,20,43,45) investigated body image, and all found that women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² had poorer body image than those with a BMI \leq 30 kg m⁻². Two studies found that women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² were less likely than women with a BMI \leq 30 kg m⁻² to engage in breastfeeding behaviours (20,43). This suggests that body image may be associated with breastfeeding in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻².

In support of this, two studies (18,43) found that body image was positively associated with breastfeeding, with one (18) finding that when entered along with other factors (e.g. shorter planned duration, plans to return to work or school and greater indifference towards breastfeeding), body image attenuated the relationship between obesity and breastfeeding duration. This suggests that it is likely that poorer body image negatively impacts breastfeeding behaviour in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻².

Depressive symptoms

Three studies (36–38) investigated depressive symptoms in the period surrounding birth. Two studies compared women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² with those with a BMI \leq 30 kg m⁻² (37,38); one found that women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² were significantly more likely to report high levels of depressive symptoms (38). As both studies found these women were significantly less likely to breastfeed, it is unclear whether depressive symptoms are negatively associated with breastfeeding behaviour in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻².

All three studies conducted association analyses between depressive symptoms and breastfeeding, but results were mixed; one (36) found no relationship between the factors, another found a positive association (37) and one found a negative association, which became non-significant after accounting for confounding factors (38). This suggests that the relationship between depressive symptoms and breastfeeding behaviour is unclear.

Stress

Two studies (37,38) investigated the impact of stress in the period surrounding the birth. Both studies found that women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² were more likely to experience stress than those with a BMI \leq 30 kg m⁻², and both also found that this factor was negatively associated with breastfeeding. This suggests that stress levels could explain the lower breastfeeding rates in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻². However, in one study (38), this relationship became non-significant after adjusting for confounding factors.

Psychological distress

Two studies (40,43) investigated the impact of psychological distress, defined as a combination of anxiety and depression symptoms surrounding birth. One (40) found that women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² were significantly more likely to have a medium or high risk of psychological distress at 12 months post-partum (when many had stopped breastfeeding) but not during pregnancy, whereas the other (43) found no difference between BMI groups. This study (43) found a significant negative association between psychological distress and breastfeeding. Therefore, it is possible that psychological distress is negatively associated with breastfeeding but unlikely that this factor is particularly important to women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻².

Anxiety

One study investigated the effect of anxiety in the period surrounding the birth on breastfeeding behaviours (38). This study found that women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² were significantly more likely to report high levels of anxiety than those with a BMI \leq 30 kg m⁻². It also reported a significant, negative association between anxiety and breastfeeding behaviour. However, this factor became non-significant after adjusting for confounders, suggesting that it is unlikely that anxiety is associated with breastfeeding behaviour in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻².

Eating disorder symptoms

One study examined eating disorder symptoms (46). This study found that women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² scored significantly higher than those with a BMI \leq 30 kg m⁻² on body dissatisfaction, ineffectiveness, interoceptive awareness, maturity fears and impulse regulation. However, the study found no differences in breastfeeding rates, suggesting that it is unlikely that eating disorder symptoms are associated with breastfeeding behaviour in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻².

Maternal confidence

Eight studies (18-20,23,35,39,40,42) investigated maternal confidence. This was reported in two forms: confidence in ability to breastfeed and breastfeeding in social environments.

Confidence in ability to breastfeed

Five studies (18,19,23,40,42) measured women's confidence in their ability to breastfeed. Two studies reported that women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² were significantly less likely to have high confidence than those with a BMI \leq 30 kg m⁻² (19,42), whereas two other studies reported no differences between these groups (18,40). This may be explained by the extremely high levels of confidence across all participants (e.g. both groups averaging roughly 4.2 out of 5 and >90% of participants reporting high confidence). However, all but one study (18) found that women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² also engaged less in breastfeeding behaviours. Furthermore, one study found women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻¹ with higher levels of confidence at 2 weeks were no more likely to be breastfeeding (23). This conflicting evidence makes it difficult to conclude whether having low confidence in ability to breastfeed is associated with decreased breastfeeding in women with a BMI $\geq 30 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$.

One study found a significant positive correlation between confidence and breastfeeding behaviours, but this was found by pooling results across BMI groups (19). Therefore, it is possible that confidence is associated with breastfeeding behaviour in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻², but firm conclusions cannot be drawn from the current evidence.

Breastfeeding in social environments

Three studies (20,39,40) investigated women's comfortableness to breastfeed in the presence of others. One study found that women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² were significantly more likely to feel uncomfortable breastfeeding among close women friends but not in the presence of male friends (40), and another found that women were significantly more likely to feel uncomfortable at 3 months postbirth but not on the maternity ward or at 1 month (39). One study found no difference between the number of women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² and those with a BMI \leq 30 kg m⁻² rating not wanting to breastfeed in public as an important reason for cessation (20). As all three of these studies found that BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² women were less likely to engage in breastfeeding behaviours, it is unlikely that this factor is associated with breastfeeding in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻².

Breastfeeding knowledge

Four studies (18–20,42) investigated breastfeeding knowledge. This was reported in two forms: factual knowledge and social knowledge.

Factual knowledge

Two studies reported on factual breastfeeding knowledge (18,20). Both studies found no difference in factual knowledge between women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² and women with a BMI \leq 30 kg m⁻². As only one study found that women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² were less likely to breastfeed, this suggests that it is unlikely that factual knowledge is associated with breastfeeding in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻², but neither study confirmed this by conducting an association analysis. However, it is important to note that knowledge levels were not high across all BMI groups; the average score on a breastfeeding knowledge quiz was 6/9 for both groups in one study (18), and only 45% of participants were aware of the 6-month recommendation in the other (20).

Social knowledge

Three studies (18,19,42) investigated social knowledge, defined as exposure to breastfeeding through family and friends. Two studies found that women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² had lower social knowledge (i.e. knew significantly fewer people who had breastfed) than those with a BMI \leq 30 kg m⁻² (19,42). Both studies also found that women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² were less likely to breastfeed, suggesting that social knowledge may be associated with breastfeeding behaviour. In support of this, one study (19) found that, even after adjusting for confounders, having a higher level of social knowledge was significantly positively correlated with breastfeeding.

Discussion

This systematic review adds to current understanding of the influence of psychological factors on breastfeeding behaviours in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻², which has important implications for reducing obesity rates in both women and children. Almost all included studies found that women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² were less likely to breastfeed or breastfed for shorter durations than women with a BMI \leq 30 kg m⁻², providing support for previous research (6,7) and further highlighting the importance of this area.

The review identified several psychological factors that appear to be associated with breastfeeding behaviours in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻². For example, several studies found that planning to breastfeed was associated with behaviour, but women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² were less likely than women with a BMI \leq 30 kg m⁻² to do so. This suggests that low rates of breastfeeding intention may explain why fewer women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² breastfeed. Current care should therefore encourage women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² to plan to breastfeed. However, as no differences were found between BMI groups for planned breastfeeding duration, and an association between this factor and behaviour was only found for women with a BMI \leq 30 kg m⁻², this suggests that other factors create barriers to breastfeeding maintenance in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻².

Results suggest having poor body image and lacking belief in breast milk's nutritional adequacy and sufficiency may create barriers and contribute to an explanation of the discrepancy between planned and actual breastfeeding duration in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻². Included studies consistently found that women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² had poorer body image and lacked belief in their breast milk's nutritional adequacy and sufficiency, compared with those with a BMI \leq 30 kg m⁻². This may be explained by the elevated focus on their body and, in particular, diet quality during pregnancy to prevent excessive gestational weight gain (47-49). Although research has shown that milk production can be delayed in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² (50,51) and that milk composition may differ from that of women with a BMI \leq 30 kg m⁻² (52,53), the WHO still considers breast milk to be the most nutritious milk an infant can receive and recommends that all women breastfeed, regardless of their BMI (5). Therefore, current care could promote positive body image and correct these beliefs, which may reduce barriers and increase breastfeeding rates in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻².

Two further psychological factors identified may also create barriers to breastfeeding behaviours: belief about others' infant feeding preferences and social knowledge. Included studies found that women with a BMI \ge 30 kg m⁻² were less likely than women with a BMI \leq 30 kg m⁻² to believe that their close friends or family members preferred breastfeeding and were less likely to have friends or family members that had breastfed; both of these factors were associated with breastfeeding behaviours. This finding may reflect the association between having a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² and living in areas of economic hardship (54,55), where breastfeeding rates are already lower (56,57). Therefore, in line with the theory of planned behaviour (58) and previous research with women living in these areas (59), increasing breastfeeding social norms could increase breastfeeding intention and behaviours in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻².

Other factors that may create barriers have also been identified in this review, but confirmatory conclusions cannot be drawn. For example, it is possible that expecting that breastfeeding will enhance weight loss has a negative impact on breastfeeding behaviours, but only one study reported on this factor. This was the case for two other factors (i.e. anxiety and eating disorder symptoms), with a further three only reported by two (i.e. stress, psychological distress, factual knowledge). As strong associations between maternal well-being and factual knowledge and breastfeeding have been found in the general population (60,61), further research using validated psychological measures and consistent measures of breastfeeding is necessary to determine the true impact of these psychological factors on breastfeeding behaviours in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻².

Because having confidence in one's ability to breastfeed has been consistently associated with breastfeeding behaviours in women with a BMI \leq 30 kg m⁻² (13,14,16), it is surprising that included studies did not provide strong evidence for its role for women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻². However, this may be explained by the majority of these studies measuring confidence in pregnancy, before the women encountered the barriers described earlier. Therefore, it is important for future research to fully investigate the impact of this factor on breastfeeding behaviours in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻², by examining confidence throughout women's breastfeeding journeys.

Furthermore, it is important to acknowledge that even those psychological factors that were not impacted by BMI may be useful for increasing breastfeeding behaviours in this population. In particular, positive associations were found between general beliefs about breastfeeding and behaviour, despite no difference in beliefs being found across BMI groups. Although the majority of women reported preferring breastfeeding, there was still room for improvement on this factor, and therefore, it may still be useful for increasing breastfeeding in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻². Similarly, reducing positive beliefs about formula milk (i.e. by reducing advertising) may also increase initiation.

This review had limitations. Firstly, included studies were limited to those written in English, meaning that relevant studies written in other languages may have been excluded. Also, there was a wide variety of measurement of both breastfeeding behaviours and psychological factors. This variation limits comparison between studies and highlights the need for the formation and use of agreed definitions and measures in breastfeeding research. For example, the term 'breastfeeding maintenance' should be reserved for those women who breastfeed to any extent for 6 months, in line with the WHO recommendation (5) and the transtheoretical model's definition of maintenance (62). Breastfeeding duration, therefore, would simply denote the length of time a woman breastfed to any extent, with distinctions made between exclusive and any duration. Furthermore, the majority of the studies included were conducted in the USA, with only one conducted in the UK. Although both are classed as developed countries (63), there are important differences in antenatal care in the USA, such as routine weighing at appointments, increased testing for hypertensive disorders, repeated testing for gestational diabetes and weekly foetal testing (48,49). As care influences women's experiences and beliefs (48), this could limit the applicability of these results to women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² receiving care in the UK.

This review also has several strengths. Firstly, an extensive scope search was conducted, and pre-defined inclusion criteria were published, reducing the possibility of researcher bias in study selection (26). Inter-rater reliability checks were also conducted, further increasing the reliability of the study selection process (64), and a quality appraisal tool was used, which can refine the inclusion criteria and provide possible explanation for conflicting results (28). As all but one of the included studies were high quality, this adds strength to the conclusions drawn.

Several implications and suggestions for future research are generated. Firstly, the results can inform current models of breastfeeding behaviour in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻². Current healthcare professionals should be aware of the impact of infant feeding intentions, and support should be provided to encourage women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻² to plan to breastfeed and improve their perception of their bodies. Furthermore, once breastfeeding, women should be signposted to breastfeeding support groups to increase their social knowledge and belief that others' prefer breastfeeding and beliefs about the nutritional adequacy of breast milk should be addressed. As many of these psychological factors are under-researched, future research should focus on conducting longitudinal cohort studies applying validated psychological measures and consistent breastfeeding definitions in order to establish or confirm causality. Furthermore, as breastfeeding rates remain low, interventions utilizing these psychological factors should be developed to increase initiation and duration in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻². This should be performed in line with the MRC Complex Intervention Framework, combining the relevant theory and evidence base (65). This review suggests that interventions should focus on increasing intentions, promoting positive body image, correcting unrealistic expectations and widening women's social networks. As these results also highlight an intentionbehaviour gap, interventions that employ a theoretical framework, which suggests methods of bridging this gap, may be particularly effective.

In conclusion, this review investigated the association of psychological factors with breastfeeding behaviours in women with a BMI \geq 30 kg m⁻². Several psychological factors have been identified, which can be considered and utilized to inform current breastfeeding models, intervention development and antenatal and postnatal care. However, this review highlights that for this population, the role psychological factors play in infant feeding decisions and behaviour is under-researched, and therefore, more studies are necessary to fully understand their impact. Intervention development is vital to increase breastfeeding and, therefore, prevent and reduce obesity.

Conflict of interest statement

No conflict of interest was declared.

Acknowledgements

This paper was supported by the Economic and Social Research Council (grant number ES/J500094/1).

The authors would like to thank the authors of the studies included for providing additional information where necessary and Professor Chris Armitage for his advice.

References

1. Stuebe A. The risks of not breastfeeding for mothers and infants. *Rev Obstet Gynecol* 2009; **2**/4: 222–231.

2. Baker JL, Gamborg M, Heitmann BL, Lissner L, Sorensen TIA, Rasmussen KM. Breastfeeding reduces postpartum weight retention. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2008; 88: 1543–1551.

3. Gould Rothberg BE, Magriples U, Kershaw TS, Rising SS, Ickovics JR. Gestational weight gain and subsequent postpartum weight loss among young, low-income ethnic minority women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2011; **204**: e1–e11.

4. Yan J, Liu L, Zhu Y, Huang G, Wang PP. The association between breastfeeding and childhood obesity: a meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health* 2014; 14: 1267.

5. World Health Organisation (2011). Exclusive Breastfeeding for Six Months Best for Babies Everywhere [WWW document]. URL http://www.who.int/mediacentre/news/statements/2011/ breastfeeding_20110115/en/

6. Amir LH, Donath S. A systematic review of maternal obesity and breastfeeding intention, initiation and duration. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* 2007; 7: 9.

7. Scott-Pillai R, Spence D, Cardwell CR, Hunter A, Holmes VA. The impact of body mass index on maternal and neonatal outcomes: a retrospective case study in a UK obstetric population, 2004–2011. *BJOG* 2013; **120**: 932–939.

8. Whitaker RC. Predicting pre-schooler obesity at birth: the role of maternal obesity in early pregnancy. *Pediatrics* 2004; **114**(1): e29-e36.

9. Poston L. Maternal obesity, gestational weight gain and diet as determinants of offspring long term health. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2012; 26/5: 627–639.

10. O'Reilly JR, Reynolds RM. The risk of maternal obesity to the long-term health of the offspring. *Clin Endocrinol* 2013; 78: 9–16.

11. Horta BL, Loret de Mola C, Victora CG. Long-term consequences of breastfeeding on cholesterol, obesity, systolic blood pressure and type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Acta Paedaitr* 2015; **104/467**: 30–37.

12. Oxford English Dictionary Online (2016). Psychological, definition 1 [WWW document]. URL https://en.oxforddictionaries. com/definition/psychological

13. O'Brien M, Buikstra E, Hegney D. The influence of psychological factors on breastfeeding duration. *J Adv Nurs* 2008; 63(4): 397–408.

14. de Jager E, Broadbent J, Fuller-Tyszkiewicz M, Skouteris H. The role of psychosocial factors in exclusive breastfeeding to six months postpartum. *Midwifery* 2014; **30**(6): 657–666.

15. Chung M, Raman G, Trikalinos T, Lau J, Ip S. Interventions in primary care to promote breastfeeding: an evidence review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. *Ann Intern Med* 2008; **149**/ **8**: 565–582.

16. Nichols J, Schutte NS, Brown RF, Dennis CL, Price I. The impact of a self-efficacy intervention on short-term breast-feeding outcomes. *Health Educ Behav* 2009; 36/2: 250–258.

17. Haroon S, Das JK, Salam RA, Imdad A, Bhutta ZA. Breastfeeding promotion interventions and breastfeeding practices: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health* 2013; **13/S20**.

18. Hilson JA, Rasmussen KM, Kjolhede CL. High prepregnant body mass index is associated with poor lactation outcomes among white, rural women independent of psychosocial and demographic correlates. *J Hum Lact* 2004; **20/1**: 18–29.

19. Hauff LE, Leonard SA, Rasmussen KM. Associations of maternal obesity and psychosocial factors with breastfeeding intention, initiation, and duration. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2014; **99**: 524–534.

20. Jarlenski M, McManus J, Diener-West M, Schwarz EB, Yeung E, Bennett WL. Association between support from a health professional and breastfeeding knowledge and practices among obese women: evidence from the Infant Practices Study II. *Womens Health Issues* 2014; 24/6: 641–648.

21. Rasmussen KM, Dieterich CM, Zelek ST, Altabet JD, Kjolhede CL. Interventions to increase the duration of breastfeeding in obese mothers: the Bassett Improving Breastfeeding Study. *Breastfeed Med* 2011; **6**/2: 69–75.

22. Carlsen EM, Kyhnaeb A, Renault KM, Cortes D, Michaelsen KF, Pryds O. Telephone-based support prolongs breastfeeding duration in obese women: a randomised trial. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2013; **98**: 1226–1232.

23. Chapman DJ, Morel K, Bermudez-Millan A, Young S, Damio G, Perez-Escamilla R. Breastfeeding education and support trial for overweight and obese women: a randomized trial. *Pediatrics* 2013; **131**/1: e162–e170.

24. Babendure JB, Reifsnider E, Mendias E, Moramarco M, Davila YR. Reduced breastfeeding rates among obese mothers: a review of contributing factors, clinical considerations and future directions. *Int Breastfeed J* 2015; **10/21**.

25. Soltani H, Fair FJ. Interventions for supporting the initiation and continuation of breastfeeding among women who are overweight or obese (protocol). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016; 2: CD012099.

26. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Int J Surg* 2010; 8/5: 336–341.

27. World Health Organisation. (2016). Obesity and overweight [WWW document]. URL http://www.who.int/mediacentre/ factsheets/fs311/en/

28. Kitchenham B. (2004). Procedures for performing systematic reviews [WWW document]. URL http://www.inf.ufsc.br/~aldo.vw/ kitchenham.pdf

29. McHugh ML. Interrater reliability: the kappa statistic. *Biochemia Medica* 2012; **22/3**: 276–282.

30. Hawker S, Payne S, Kerr C, Hardy M, Powell J. Appraising the evidence: reviewing disparate data systematically. *Qualitative Health Research* 2002; **12**(9): 1284–1299.

31. Bartok CJ, Schaefer EW, Beiler JS, Paul IM. Role of body mass index and gestational weight gain in breastfeeding outcomes. *Breastfeed Med* 2012; 7/6: 448–456.

32. Bogen DL, Hanusa BH, Moses-Kolko E, Wisner KL. Are maternal depression or symptom severity associated with breastfeeding intention or outcomes? *J Clin Psychiatry* 2010; 71/ 8: 1069–1078.

33. Cordero L, Oza-Frank R, Landon MB, Nankervis CA. Breastfeeding initiation among macrosomic infants born to obese nondiabetic mothers. *Breastfeed Med* 2015; 10/5: 239–245.

34. Guelinckx I, Devlieger R, Bogaerts A, Pauwels S, Vansant G. The effect of pre-pregnancy BMI on intention, initiation and duration of breast-feeding. *Public Health Nutr* 2012; **15**/5: 840–848.

35. Kair LR, Colaizy TT. When breast milk alone is not enough: barriers to breastfeeding continuation among overweight and obese mothers. *J Hum Lact* 2016; **32/2**: 250–257.

36. Krause KM, Lovelady CA, Ostbye T. Predictors of breastfeeding in overweight and obese women: data from active mothers postpartum (AMP). *Matern Child Health J* 2011; 15/3: 367–375.

37. Masho SW, Cha S, Morris MR. Prepregnancy obesity and breastfeeding noninitiation in the United States: an examination of racial and ethnic differences. *Breastfeed Med* 2015; **10**/5: 253–262.

38. Mehta UJ, Siega-Riz AM, Herring AH, Adair LS, Bentley ME. Pregravid body mass index, psychosocial factors during pregnancy and breastfeeding duration: is there a link? *Matern Child Nutr* 2012; 8: 423–433.

39. Mok E, Multon C, Piguel L *et al.* Decreased full breastfeeding, altered practices, perceptions, and infant weight change of prepregnant obese women: a need for extra support. *Pediatrics* 2008; **121**/5: e1319–e1324.

40. Newby RM, Davies PSW. Antenatal breastfeeding intention, confidence and comfort in obese and non-obese primiparous Australian women: associations with breastfeeding duration. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2016; 70: 935–940.

41. Ng SK, Cameron CM, Hills AP, McClure RJ, Scuffham PA. Socioeconomic disparities in prepregnancy BMI and impact on maternal and neonatal outcomes and postpartum weight retention: the EFHL longitudinal birth cohort study. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* 2014; 14: 314.

42. O'Sullivan EJ, Perrine CG, Rasmussen KM. Early breastfeeding problems mediate the negative association between maternal obesity and exclusive breastfeeding at 1 and 2 months postpartum. *J Nutr* 2015; 145: 2369–2378.

43. Swanson V, Keely A, Denison FC. Does body image influence the relationship between body weight and breastfeeding maintenance in new mothers? *Br J Health Psychol* 2017; **22**: 557–576.

44. Visram H, Finkelstein SA, Feig D *et al.* Breastfeeding intention and early postpartum practices among overweight and obese women in Ontario: a selective population-based cohort study. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2013; **26/6**: 611–615.

45. Zanardo V, Gambina I, Nicolo ME *et al.* Body image and breastfeeding practices in obese mothers. *Eat Weight Disord* 2014; **19**: 89–93.

46. Zanardo V, Straface G, Benevento B, Gambina I, Cavallin F, Trevisanuto D. Symptoms of eating disorders and feeding practices in obese mothers. *Early Hum Dev* 2014; **90**: 93–96.

47. Leddy MA, Power ML, Schulkin J. The impact of maternal obesity on maternal and fetal health. *Rev Obstet Gynecol* 2008; 1/4: 170–178.

48. Dejoy SB, Bittner K, Mandel D. A qualitative study of the maternity care experience of women with obesity: "more than just a number on the scale". *J Midwifery Womens Health* 2016; **61**: 217–223.

49. Devlieger R, Benhalima K, Damm P *et al.* Maternal obesity in Europe: where do we stand and how to move forward? *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2016; **201**: 203–208.

50. Jevitt C, Hernandez I, Groer M. Lactation complicated by overweight and obesity: supporting the mother and newborn. *J Midwifery Womens Health* 2007; **52/6**: 606–613.

51. Nommsen-Rivers LA, Chantry CJ, Peerson JM, Cohen RJ, Dewey KG. Delayed onset of lactogenesis among first-time mothers is related to maternal obesity and factors associated with ineffective breastfeeding. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2010; **92**: 574–584.

52. Cabrera-Rubio R, Collado MC, Laitinen K, Salminen S, Isolauri E, Mira A. The human milk microbiome changes over

lactation and is shaped by maternal weight and mode of delivery. Am J Clin Nutr 2012; 96: 544–551.

53. Makela J, Linderborg K, Niinikoski H, Yang B, Lagstrom H. Breast milk fatty acid composition differs between overweight and normal weight women: the STEPS Study. *Eur J Nutr* 2013; **52**: 727–735.

54. Wang Y, Beydoun MA. The obesity epidemic in the United States – gender, age, socioeconomic, racial/ethnic, and geographic characteristics: a systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *Epidemiol Rev* 2007; **29**/1: 6–28.

55. Heslehurst N, Rankin J, Wilkinson JR, Summerbell CD. A nationally representative study of maternal obesity in England, UK: trends in incidence and demographic inequalities in 619 323 births, 1989-2007. *Int J Obes* 2010; **34**: 420–428.

56. Heck KE, Braveman P, Cubbin C, Chavez GF, Kiely JL. Socioeconomic status and breastfeeding initiation among Californian mothers. *Public Health Rep* 2006; **121**(1): 51–59.

57. Amir LH, Donath SM. Socioeconomic status and rates of breastfeeding in Australia: evidence from three recent national health surveys. *Med J Aust* 2008; **189**: 254–256.

58. Ajzen J, Fishbein M. Understanding Attitudes and Predicting Social Behaviour. Prentice-Hall: Englewood Cliffs, 1980.

59. McMillan B, Conner M, Woolridge M et al. Predicting breastfeeding in women living in areas of economic hardship:

explanatory role of the theory of planned behaviour. *Psychol Health* 2008; 23/7: 767–788.

60. Li J, Kendall GE, Henderson S, Downie J, Landsborough L, Oddy WH. Maternal psychosocial well-being in pregnancy and breastfeeding duration. *Acta Paediatr* 2008; **97**: 221–225.

61. Chezem J, Friesen C, Boettcher J. Breastfeeding knowledge, breastfeeding confidence and infant feeding plans: effects on actual feeding practices. *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs* 2003; **32**: 40–47.

62. Gellman MD, Turner RJ. Encyclopedia of Behavioural Medicine. Springer: New York, 2013.

63. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, Economic Commission for Africa *et al.* (2015). World economic situation and prospects 2015 [WWW document]. URL http:// www.un.org/en/development/desa/policy/wesp/wesp_archive/ 2015wesp_full_en.pdf

64. Gwet KL. Handbook of Inter-rater Reliability, 4th edn. Advances Analytics: Maryland, 2014.

65. Craig P, Dieppe P, Macintyre S, Michie S, Nazareth I, Petticrew M. Developing and evaluating complex interventions: the new Medical Research Council guidance. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2013; 50: 585–592.