

POSTER PRESENTATION

Open Access

# Correlation of Fractional Flow Reserve with non-invasive tests for the detection of ischaemia due to intermediate coronary artery stenosis

Timothy A Fairbairn\*, Adam Mather, John Greenwood, Sven Plein

From 2011 SCMR/Euro CMR Joint Scientific Sessions  
Nice, France. 3-6 February 2011

## Objectives

To compare ischaemia assessment by Fractional flow reserve (FFR) with non-invasive testing in patients with intermediate coronary artery stenosis.

## Background

FFR was initially validated against SPECT, Dobutamine Stress Echo and Exercise Testing [1]. It is now frequently used to determine the management of intermediate coronary artery stenosis. A cut-off value of 0.75 is used in clinical practice to guide revascularisation supported by long-term outcome data [2], but a 'grey zone' of 0.75-0.8 with uncertain clinical significance exists [3]. Advances in non-invasive imaging tests (gated SPECT and CMR) warrant a re-evaluation of FFR at intermediate stenosis severity against non-invasive imaging.

## Methods

Patients due for investigation of presumed cardiac chest pain were recruited and underwent SPECT (Discovery, GE Healthcare), perfusion-CMR (1.5T, Intera, Phillips) and coronary angiography. Any vessel that was angiographically determined as intermediate severity (40-70%) was assessed by QCA and pressure wire-derived FFR (RADI medical systems, Uppsala, Sweden).

## Results

In 23 study patients (age 57±8, 78% male), 33 FFR measurements were performed (LAD 64%, Cx 18%, RCA 12%, LMS 6%). FFR was classified negative (>0.80) in n=20. Perfusion-CMR detected ischaemia in 3 vessels

(2 with positive FFR and one with 'grey' FFR). SPECT also detected ischaemia in 3 vessels (2 negative FFR and one positive FFR), (Table 1). Coronary stenosis by QCA and FFR correlated poorly ( $r = -0.35$ ,  $p = 0.054$ ). Chi-squared analysis of FFR severity found no significant association between FFR positivity and perfusion-CMR ( $p = 0.078$ ) or SPECT ( $p = 0.34$ ).

## Conclusion

Non-invasive imaging does not correlate well with FFR measurements in intermediate coronary lesions. Perfusion-CMR whilst not significantly discriminating between the groups had no false negatives and may thus be the more useful additional test to determine the significance of 'grey' lesions on FFR.

**Table 1 Cross tabulation of Fractional Flow Research grading (negative  $\geq 0.8$ , grey 0.75-0.79 and positive  $< 0.75$ ) and the qualitative result of (A) Perfusion cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) and (B) SPECT**

A		CMR		Total
		Non ischaemic	Ischaemic	
FFR	Negative	20	0	20
	Grey	7	2	9
	Positive	3	1	4
Total		30	3	33
B		SPECT		Total
		Non ischaemic	Ischaemic	
FFR	Negative	18	2	20
	Grey	9	0	9
	Positive	3	1	4
Total		30	3	33

University of Leeds. Leeds, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Published: 2 February 2011

#### References

1. Pijls NH: *N Engl J Med* 1996, **334**(26):1703-8.
2. Tonino PA: *N Engl J Med* 2009, **360**(3):213-24.
3. De Bruyne B: *Heart* 2008, **94**(7):949-59.

doi:10.1186/1532-429X-13-S1-P85

**Cite this article as:** Fairbairn *et al.*: Correlation of Fractional Flow Reserve with non-invasive tests for the detection of ischaemia due to intermediate coronary artery stenosis. *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance* 2011 **13**(Suppl 1):P85.

**Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central  
and take full advantage of:**

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at  
[www.biomedcentral.com/submit](http://www.biomedcentral.com/submit)

