

Review Article

Clinical Therapeutics in Pregnancy

Maisa N. Feghali¹ and Donald R. Mattison²

¹ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Washington Hospital Center, Washington, DC 20010, USA

² Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, NIH, Bethesda, MD 10892, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Maisa N. Feghali, maisafeghali@gmail.com

Received 22 October 2010; Accepted 3 May 2011

Academic Editor: Farhad Kamali

Copyright © 2011 M. N. Feghali and D. R. Mattison. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Most drugs are not tested for use during pregnancy, consequently, labeling, which may include information about fetal safety, includes nothing about dosing, efficacy, or maternal safety. Yet these are concerns of health care providers considering treatment of disease during pregnancy. Therefore, the practitioner treats the pregnant woman with the same dose recommended for use in adults (typically men) or may decide not to treat the disease at all. However, is the choice of not treating a woman during pregnancy better than dealing with the challenges which accompany treatment? This paper, which summarizes metabolic and physiologic changes induced by pregnancy, illustrates that standard adult dosing is likely to be incorrect during pregnancy.

1. Introduction

Clinically, efforts have focused on minimizing the consumption of drugs during pregnancy to avoid possible adverse fetal effects. However, 50–80% of pregnant women use prescription or nonprescription (over-the-counter or herbal) drugs during pregnancy [1, 2]. One investigation found that 83% of pregnant women received at least one prescription drug [3], with analgesics, tranquilizers, antihistamines, antiemetics, hypoglycemics, antiasthmatics, antiepileptics, antibiotics, and diuretics most widely used [3]. Many of these drugs have not been adequately studied for pharmacokinetics (PK), pharmacodynamics (PD), efficacy, or maternal safety during pregnancy and consequently are used off-label [4, 5].

The optimal dose of a drug used during pregnancy should maximize therapeutic efficacy while minimizing the risk of maternal, fetal, and placental toxicity. With pregnancy being a dynamic state exhibiting numerous physiologic and metabolic changes (see Table 1), assuming that PK, PD, and efficacy are the same as the adult male or nonpregnant female should be considered erroneous [6]. For example, cefatrizine, a cephalosporin antibiotic, is eliminated by renal mechanisms and not metabolized. Pregnancy reduces the antibiotic's oral availability by 43%, serum concentration by 45%, and extends its elimination half-life by one hour [7].

Beyond changes in cardiovascular, pulmonary, gastrointestinal, renal, and hepatic function during pregnancy [8], there are also changes in the expression and activity of transport proteins and enzyme systems, such as cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes leading to PK alterations which may change the metabolic profile of a drug.

The presence of the fetal-placental unit further distinguishes the pregnant state from a nonpregnant adult, and offers significant complexity to determining a drug's safety profile [9–11]. Developmental toxicity (death, structural malformations, functional abnormalities, growth restriction, or premature birth) is a concern throughout gestation [12], and certain drugs or exposures may interfere with the development and function of the placenta [13]. The placenta may change the metabolic profile of a drug during pregnancy, for example, the placenta may be responsible for new metabolites, not observed in the nonpregnant adult, as recently observed for glyburide [14].

Furthermore, while adverse drug reactions are more frequent and more severe in women, the mechanism of which remains unknown [15, 16], little is known about maternal adverse drug effects during pregnancy. This has led the FDA to launch the Medication Exposure in Pregnancy Risk Evaluation Program which is intended to focus on the maternal and fetal safety of medications during pregnancy [17].

TABLE 1: Pregnancy-induced physiologic changes during near term.

System [ref.]	Parameter	Non pregnant	Pregnant
Cardiovascular [18, 19]	Cardiac output (L/min)	4.0	7.0
	Heart rate (beats per min)	70	90
	Stroke volume (mL)	65	85
	Plasma volume (L)	2.6	3.5
	Colloid osmotic pressure (mmHg)	22.0	18.2
	Total peripheral arterial resistance (dyne s cm ⁻⁵)	1530	1210
Respiratory [20]	Total lung capacity (mL)	4225	4080
	Residual volume (mL)	965	770
	Tidal volume (mL)	485	680
	Minute O ₂ uptake	201	265
Liver [21]	Portal vein blood flow (L/min)	1.25	1.92
	Hepatic artery blood flow (L/min)	0.57	1.06*
Renal [22]	Glomerular filtration rate (mL/min)	97	144
	Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.7	0.5

*Difference was not statistically significant.

At a time when precise information on dosing, efficacy, and safety are needed, data is sparse or unavailable. In many instances, each pregnant woman becomes an individual experimental subject when it comes to dosing, efficacy, and safety, leaving the concerned practitioner to prescribe medication without sufficient information or to withhold medications because of the lack of fetal safety data. In addition, for a comprehensive understanding of drug effects during pregnancy, research should expand to include the multiple inactive ingredients (excipients) present in formulations, a topic which has been ignored. In this review we summarize the current understanding of PK and PD changes during pregnancy. While our understanding of these changes is improving, we also draw attention to data gaps, research needs and issues of concern for practitioners.

2. Physiologic Changes of Pregnancy

Pregnancy is a complex state during which changes in physiology of the maternal organism (see Table 1) have evolved to enhance development and growth of the placenta and fetus [8, 23]. These changes also alter maternal disposition of drugs and the effect of a drug on the mother, placenta, and fetus [24, 25].

2.1. Cardiovascular Changes during Pregnancy. In 1973, Pirani et al. assessed cardiovascular changes during pregnancy and found that, on average, a woman's cardiac output increases early in pregnancy then plateaus at 16 weeks of gestation at around 7 L/min where it remains until delivery

[26, 27]. Stroke volume also increases to a maximum of 85 mL at around 20 weeks of gestation and remains close to that level until delivery. Pregnancy is also a time of gradual increase in maternal heart rate, reaching 90 beats per minute at rest during the third trimester [26]. Finally, the same authors describe a gradual increase in plasma volume reaching over 3.5 L at 38 weeks of gestation.

Along with physiologic changes, pathophysiology is altered during pregnancy. The hypertensive disorders, recently reviewed by Lindheimer and Sibai, are an example of diseases altered during pregnancy [28, 29]. Chronic hypertension is distinguished from gestational hypertension by the time of diagnosis [30, 31]. The former is defined as elevated blood pressure occurring earlier than 20 weeks of gestation, compared to blood pressure elevations which occur beyond 20 weeks for gestational hypertension.

Hypertension during pregnancy can signal the development of preeclampsia [32], a pregnancy-specific condition, which involves the development of hypertension and proteinuria over the last half of pregnancy. The severe form of the disease presents with hemoconcentration, thrombocytopenia, liver dysfunction, and seizures in some cases. The clinical significance of the different hypertensive disorders is evident with the choice of therapy; antihypertensive medications are typically used in gestational and chronic hypertension, but not in preeclampsia, where magnesium sulfate is used acutely, and in the majority of cases the treatment is delivery.

While appropriate dosing with antihypertensives is critical during pregnancy, few have been evaluated for PK or PD in pregnancy [33]. Hogstedt and Rane studied the PK of metoprolol in 5 pregnant women with postpartum followup [34]. The study concluded that there was a substantial increase in hepatic metabolism during pregnancy, which resulted in increased clearance. The same authors expanded their work on the drug, looking at its PD properties. In their study, metoprolol had four-times and twice the effect on heart rate and systolic blood pressure, respectively, during pregnancy as compared to the postpartum period. The authors concluded that the altered cardiovascular response to metoprolol during pregnancy may be due to increased sensitivity or altered function of the beta-adrenergic system [35]. The net effect of these investigations, however, illustrate that both PK and PD are not fixed during pregnancy and may be substantially different. In support of these observations, recent studies of the PK and PD of atenolol during pregnancy demonstrate increased renal clearance; however, the effect on PD remains to be fully characterized [36].

2.2. Pulmonary Changes during Pregnancy. Anatomic and physiologic changes in pregnancy affect pulmonary function with a decrease in functional residual capacity and ~30% increase in minute ventilation (see Table 1). This change results in hyperventilation in 60–70% of normal pregnancies, along with the sensation of dyspnea [49]. These changes are most evident in pregnant woman with asthma.

The prevalence of asthma complicating pregnancy has increased [50], and it is now estimated that it affects 8% of pregnant women [51]. Gluck investigated this disease

during pregnancy, observing that asthma improves in 1/3 of cases, worsens in 1/3, and remains unchanged in the last 1/3 [49]. Changes in estrogen and progesterone are thought to improve the disease while elevation of the diaphragm, increased pulmonary vascular permeability, and increased gastroesophageal reflux have been associated with worsening asthma [49].

Asthma during pregnancy has been linked to preterm birth, low birth weight, congenital malformations, and perinatal death [49]. Along with increased risk of adverse outcomes in poorly controlled disease, the importance of diagnosis and treatment are emphasized. Adherence to treatment with inhaled corticosteroids has been reported to be poor in many studies. Women with asthma have been reported to decrease their use of inhaled corticosteroids during pregnancy, compared to their use in the 20 weeks before their last menstrual period [52]; this may be due to concern regarding the safety of inhaled corticosteroids during pregnancy [53]. Moreover, a substantial proportion of asthmatic exacerbations during pregnancy have been associated with nonadherence to treatment with inhaled corticosteroids [54].

Reports of higher risk of preeclampsia and prematurity with inhaled corticosteroids compared to nonsteroidal asthma medication have made adherence even more difficult [55, 56]. A study on treatment of acute asthma in emergency departments across the United States included 551 women (51 pregnant and 500 not pregnant) [56]. Both groups had similar duration of symptoms and peak expiratory flow rates [56]. However, there was a significant difference in treatment. Pregnant women were less likely to be given systemic corticosteroids (44 versus 66%) in the emergency department than nonpregnant women. This finding could not be attributed to a concern about steroid exposure in the first trimester, because corticosteroids were used almost equally in all trimesters: 53, 40, and 50% during the first, second, third trimesters, respectively [49]. Among those patients who were admitted, pregnant women had lower final peak expiratory flow rate in the emergency department and had longer hospital stays (3 versus 2 d). This inadequate treatment may partially explain continued asthma symptoms at 2 wk follow-ups in pregnant patients (three times more often than symptoms in nonpregnant patients; $P = .002$) [49]. Only 41% of pregnant asthmatics seen in emergency departments were being treated with inhaled corticosteroids when they presented with severe disease [56], which is suggestive of reluctance to treat asthma appropriately during pregnancy.

Many aspects of pulmonary pharmacology during pregnancy remain unknown. One study has looked at inhalation anesthetics and found that the minimum alveolar concentration of isoflurane was reduced by 28% in pregnant women at 8–12 weeks' gestation compared with that of nonpregnant controls [57]. The pharmacokinetics of the majority of inhaled drugs and those used to treat pulmonary conditions during pregnancy have yet to be determined, but this small observational study suggests that pulmonary function changes during pregnancy influence both PK and PD.

2.3. Liver Physiology. Hepatic blood flow has been reported to increase up to 160% during pregnancy, following the increase in cardiac output [22]. Using Doppler ultrasonography, Nakai et al. have reported on the increase in hepatic perfusion during the third trimester compared to nonpregnant level. The study examined the hepatic arterial and portal venous blood flow in healthy pregnant women. The authors found that hepatic arterial blood flow did not increase significantly during pregnancy. They concluded that the major determinant of the increase in the hepatic perfusion was increased portal venous return [21, 58]. A change in hepatic flow influences the disposition of drugs which are highly extracted by the liver. Theoretically, an increase in hepatic flow could increase hepatic extraction of drugs from portal venous or arterial blood and result in lower bioavailability or increased clearance, respectively. Nevertheless, data on drugs with high extraction ratios showed variable changes in PK properties, suggesting the presence of additional hepatic and gastrointestinal mechanisms of drug disposition which are altered during pregnancy [59].

Plasma protein binding of drugs, which generally decreases during pregnancy, has important implications for drug disposition and action. It is therefore possible that an increased free fraction of the drug is responsible in part for changes in its clearance. During pregnancy, both albumin and alpha 1-acid glycoprotein (AAG) concentrations are reduced, likely due to a dilutional effect of increased plasma volume, as well as increased urinary albumin excretion [59–61].

Furthermore, maternal and fetal plasma differ in their concentrations of both albumin and AAG which can influence a drug's plasma concentration in maternal and fetal circulations. Albumin is more concentrated in fetal plasma, and AAG is at 37% of the maternal concentration in term babies [62]. Such a difference in albumin and AAG concentrations can significantly alter the concentration and relative distribution of drugs between maternal and fetal plasma. For example, the unbound fraction (free fraction) of indinavir and saquinavir have been found to be higher in umbilical cord than maternal plasma [63]. These findings were in part explained by the lower concentration of AAG in fetal blood. Further decreasing fetal exposure to these drugs is the fetal to maternal efflux of these drugs by placental P-gp, which further lowers the concentration of the drugs in the fetal circulation [63, 64].

The transport proteins, responsible for influx or efflux of many different substances into organs like the kidney, placenta, gut, or liver play an important role in drug delivery as well as drug-drug interactions, and they are discussed in more detail below [65, 66].

2.4. Renal Physiology during Pregnancy. An early change in pregnancy is systemic vasodilation which is thought to be mediated by progesterone and relaxin [8, 70]. Dilatation of renal vasculature with an increase in glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and effective renal plasma flow (RPF) also occurs [71]. Both RPF and GFR are increased, with RPF increasing up to 1.8-fold, and GFR increasing up to 1.6-fold compared with prepregnancy or postpartum values [8, 72].

TABLE 2: Pregnancy-induced pharmacokinetic changes for selected drugs.

Drug	Half-life	Clearance	Protein binding (%)	Bioavailability	Time during pregnancy change noted	Reference
Cefatrizine	Decreased (1.5 h)	Increases	60	43%	19–24 weeks	[7]
Amoxicillin	Decreased (1.2 h)	Increases		95%	Delivery second and third Trimester and postpartum	[37, 38]
Cefuroxime	Decreased (44 min)	Increases		30–50%	Delivery	[39]
Zidovudine	Unchanged (1.1 h)	Increases	<25	63%	Delivery	[40]
Lamivudine	Unchanged (6 h)	No change	10–50	55%	38 to Delivery	[41]
Saquinavir	Unchanged (9–15 h)		98%		Delivery	[40]
Lopinavir	Unchanged (5–6 h)	Decreases	99%	37%	30–36 weeks	[42]
Nelfinavir	Unchanged (3–5 h)		98%		2nd and 3rd trimester	[43]
Ritonavir	Unchanged (3–5 h)		99%		Delivery	[40]
Glyburide	Unchanged (4 h)	Increases	98%	Decreases	28–38 weeks	[44]
Digoxin	Decreased (38 h)	Increases	33%	60%	3rd trimester	[45, 46]
Midazolam	Unchanged (2.5 h)	Increases	30%	24%	28–32 weeks	[46]
Lamotrigine	15–24 h	Increases	98%	55%	Throughout pregnancy	[47]
Caffeine	Increased (10.5 h)	Decreases			36–40 weeks	[48]

Despite increased GFR and renal blood flow among pregnant women, differences have been noted in the clearance of drugs which are predominantly eliminated by renal mechanisms. Lithium is almost completely eliminated by renal mechanisms. Women using lithium during the third trimester demonstrated a doubling of clearance compared to prepregnancy [73]. In comparison, the clearance of atenolol, also eliminated predominantly by renal mechanisms, was only increased by 12% across pregnancy [36]. Similarly, the clearance of digoxin, which undergoes 80% renal excretion, was increased by 20–30% during the third trimester when compared to postpartum [45, 46]. Such variations in drug clearances restrict any generalization about the effect of pregnancy on renally eliminated drugs. Specific evaluation is needed to determine whether changes in clearance are due to GFR, tubular secretion or reabsorption, or other physiologic or metabolic processes. Table 2 summarizes pregnancy-induced PK changes in the drugs listed above.

3. Drug Transporters

Previously, drug-drug interactions were thought to involve the phase I or phase II enzymes. Over the past two decades, a number of important human drug transporters that are expressed at the apical or basal side of epithelial cells in various tissues, including the placenta [66], have been described [74, 75]. Given the role of transport proteins in the transfer of drugs into or out of cells, the impact on drug-drug interactions that these transport proteins can play in achieving therapeutic goals in pregnancy remains ill-defined (Table 3).

Like the enzymes involved in phase I and phase II metabolism of drugs, it is possible that drugs can interact at transport proteins by induction, inhibition, agonistic, or antagonist actions. For example, ritonavir is often used in combination with other protease inhibitors to compete

with cellular elimination because it competes with P-gp (see Table 3 and subsequent discussion of protease inhibitors). A similar phenomenon occurs with coadministration of drugs which are substrates interacting with other drug transporters [76]. Also, numerous polymorphisms have been identified in transporter genes [76, 77]. However, many challenges remain in the understanding of the clinical relevance of these genetic variations in transporters for drug disposition and drug-drug interactions across the course of pregnancy, especially in the context of placental transport from and into the fetal compartment [66].

In addition to genetic variation, changes to drug transporters can ensue when physiologic changes occur. Just like the cytochrome P450 enzymes' activity or amount is altered in pregnancy, it is postulated that such changes also involve drug transporters but have yet to be described in detail [78, 79]. Most of the studies on drug transporters during pregnancy have focused on placental transporters [80–87].

Hebert et al. assessed the PK and PD of glyburide in women with gestational diabetes. They observed that plasma concentrations of glyburide during pregnancy were about half that observed in nonpregnant women with type 2 diabetes. In addition, beta cell responsiveness was lower in women with type 2 diabetes than other pregnant women. The lower plasma concentrations of glyburide observed during pregnancy were attributed to increased intestinal and/or hepatic metabolism [44]. The increased levels of unbound glyburide metabolites supported the authors' conclusions.

The placenta performs many functions that affect both mother and fetus. It produces and secretes hormones which affect the maternal endocrine state [88]. It assists in fetal growth and development by transporting nutrients towards the fetal side and transporting products of fetal metabolism for excretion by the mother [74, 75].

The placenta is a selective transporter for multiple compounds [74]. The selective nature of the transport activity is mediated by the polarized nature of syncytiotrophoblast,

TABLE 3: Major ABC drug efflux transporters, their placental localization, and substrates.

Transporter	Gene	Placental localization	Gestational-age-dependent regulation	Substrate	Inhibitor	Inducer
P-gp	<i>ABCB1</i>	Apical, syncytiotrophoblast	Yes	Digoxin, fexofenadine, indinavir, vincristine, colchicines, topotecan, paclitaxel, talinolol, loperamide	Ritonavir, cyclosporine, verapamil, erythromycin, ketoconazole, itraconazole, quinidine, elacridar, azithromycin, valsopodar	Rifampin, St. John's wort
MRP1	<i>ABCC1</i>	Basolateral, syncytiotrophoblast predominantly at fetal blood endothelia	Yes	Adriamycin, topotecan, cisplatinum, methotrexate, etoposide, vincristine	Probenecid, sulfinpyrazone, indomethacin, glibenclamide, agosterol A, verapamil, cyclosporin A, genistein, quercetin, RU486, budesonide, agosterol A	
MRP2	<i>ABCC2</i>	Apical, syncytiotrophoblast	Yes	Vincristine, etoposide, doxorubicin, epirubicin, methotrexate, irinotecan, ampicillin, ceftriaxone, pravastatin, temocaprilat, grepafloxacin, BQ-12, saquinavir, ritonavir, indinavir, ^{99m} Tc-Sestamibi	Probenecid, sulfinpyrazone, benzbromarone, MK571	Sulfinpyrazone
MRP3	<i>ABCC3</i>	Basolateral, syncytiotrophoblast predominantly at fetal blood endothelia	Unknown	Etoposide, methotrexate, tenoposide, acetaminophen	Probenecid, sulfinpyrazone, benzbromarone, MK571	
MRP4	<i>ABCC4</i>	Unknown	Unknown	Azidothymidine monophosphate, lamivudine, ganciclovir, 6-mercaptopurine, 6-thioguanine, methotrexate	Probenecid, benzbromarone, sulfinpyrazone, MK571, dipyridamole	
MRP5	<i>ABCC5</i>	Preferentially basolateral, syncytiotrophoblast, and fetal vessels	Yes		Benzbromarone, sulfinpyrazone, MK571, dipyridamole	Sildenafil
MRP6	<i>ABCC6</i>	Unknown	Unknown	Conjugated organic anions		
MRP7	<i>ABCC10</i>	Unknown	Unknown	Organic anions		
BCRP	<i>ABCG2</i>	Apical syncytiotrophoblast	Yes	Daunorubicin, doxorubicin, topotecan, rosuvastatin, AZT, lamivudine	Elacridar, acridone carboxamide, fumitremorgin C	
OCT3	<i>SLC22A3</i>	Basal syncytiotrophoblast	Cimetidine	Desipramine, phenoxybenzamine, quinine		
OCTN1	<i>SLC22A4</i>	Apical syncytiotrophoblast	Quinidine, verapamil			

References: [67–69].

the functional cells of the placenta, with support of polarity provided by transport proteins [66]. The plasma membrane of these cells consists of a brush border membrane that faces the maternal side, and a basal membrane that faces the fetal side. On the maternal side, blood flows directly from the uterine vasculature through intervillous spaces to the syncytiotrophoblast without an intervening capillary network. In contrast, the fetal vessels form a capillary network within the placental villi on the fetal side.

Compounds transported between mother and fetus, must cross the syncytiotrophoblast, through the stroma of the villi, across the basement membrane and endothelium of placental capillaries before entering fetal circulation. The placenta facilitates this process as a result of differential expression of various transporters [89–92]. Transporters are expressed to serve specific functions by transporting endogenous compounds (such as cytokines, nucleoside analogs, and steroid hormones); however, exogenous compounds with similar structures may also interact with these transporters. Expression of placental drug transporters is under developmental control and depends on gestational age [67], with expression increasing, for some transporters, with advancing gestational age. Such a pattern of expression indicates that fetal exposure changes with gestational age [93–95]. It has also been shown that placental transporter expression is altered by maternal disease in rodent models [78, 79].

Protease inhibitors, an important drug class used in highly active antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected individuals, have a low and variable oral availability [96]. This means that patients have to take the drugs more frequently and at higher doses which can lead to poor adherence and associated low and variable drug plasma concentrations. It has been shown that when saquinavir is coadministered with zidovudine, both protease inhibitors, its oral availability increases dramatically [97]. Two mechanisms have been proposed to explain this finding. It has been demonstrated that inhibition of the metabolizing CYP3A4 and other cytochrome P450 isoforms by zidovudine is a major factor in the dramatically increased saquinavir bioavailability [98]. In addition, zidovudine has been reported to be a P-gp inhibitor and saquinavir a substrate [99–101]. When saquinavir and zidovudine are coadministered, zidovudine inhibits P-gp function, resulting in increased saquinavir bioavailability. Another drug acted upon by P-gp is lopinavir, a protease inhibitor that had become standard therapy for HIV during pregnancy. Studies have shown that pregnancy results in reduced lopinavir levels when compared to postpartum [42], doubling of the dose is needed during pregnancy to reach therapeutic concentrations, a phenomenon that disappears within 2 weeks postpartum [102]. These findings stress the need for tight dosing and close monitoring to avoid subtherapeutic levels as well as toxicity.

Another example where drug transporters have significant clinical implications is treatment of fetal tachycardia. While transplacental pharmacotherapy for the condition is available, it remains a challenging task to maximize fetal drug exposure, while minimizing drug exposure of the mother. Despite some challenges, digoxin is commonly used as an initial monotherapy [103]. Digoxin's clearance

increases during pregnancy leading to lower steady-state serum concentrations [46, 104]. These changes have been attributed to an increase in renal clearance of the drug, due partly to an increased glomerular filtration during pregnancy, but also to increased P-gp transport of the drug. P-gp is responsible for the increased secretion of digoxin across the apical membrane of the renal tubular epithelium [105, 106]. In addition, P-gp is expressed on the maternal surface of the placenta and acts to further decrease fetal exposure to the drug, complicating treatment of fetal arrhythmias. These pharmacokinetic changes require an increase in the dose or a change in the dosing interval used during pregnancy to maintain therapeutic concentrations [104]. The need for increased digoxin during pregnancy carries an underlying risk for increased maternal digoxin toxicity. Despite the lengthy history of the drug, its toxicity is severe and well defined, with a 41% rate of mortality and a 47% rate of life-threatening arrhythmia in individuals who suffer from it. The risk for women is intensified by data suggesting that women are at increased risk for digoxin toxicity when compared to men across all age groups [11].

With the accumulating evidence on drug transporters, there is little doubt that their expression and regulation play a critical role in modulating the exposure of the mother, placenta, and fetus to potentially harmful drugs, endogenous toxic compounds, and potentially beneficial drugs such as retrovirals. Currently there is a need to develop new strategies for PK studies in pregnancy which recognizes the critical role of transport proteins. In addition, further development of models for understanding the regulation of placental transporters by inhibitors and inducer compounds could prove valuable in improving fetal drug availability and decreasing toxicity.

4. Drug Metabolism

4.1. Phase I Metabolism. The cytochrome P450 (CYP) system is the predominant oxidative enzyme system involved in human drug metabolism and plays a critical role in drug disposition [121]. A number of recent studies have evaluated the changes affecting drug metabolism during pregnancy (see Table 4 for summaries of some of these studies).

4.1.1. CYP3A. CYP3A is the most abundant P450 enzyme in the liver and gut, and results in 30% of the enzyme complex's activity. It has a broad spectrum of substrates, and is involved in some way in the metabolism of more than half of the currently known drugs [107, 121].

Midazolam is a selective substrate for the enzyme. One study measured a doubling in oral midazolam clearance during pregnancy as compared to postpartum, leading to a decrease in drug bioavailability [46]. In addition, other research on CYP3A substrates has suggested that its activity is increased during pregnancy. N-demethylation of dextromethorphan, a substrate used as a probe for the enzyme, has been reported to increase by 35–38% during pregnancy [121]. Similarly, nelfinavir was reported to have a 25–33% increase in apparent oral clearance in pregnancy [108, 109].

TABLE 4: Pregnancy-induced enzyme-specific changes.

Enzyme	Pregnancy-induced change	Potential substrates in obstetrics	Possible clinical consequences	Ref.
CYP3A4	Increased	Nifedipine, methadone, indinavir	Significantly lower trough levels of methadone during pregnancy associated with symptoms of withdrawal. Increase daily dose by 5–10 mg or administer in more frequent doses to avoid withdrawal	[107–110]
CYP2D6	Increased	Metoprolol, dextromethorphan, paroxetine, duloxetine, fluoxetine, citalopram	Increased metabolism of fluoxetine desmethylcitalopram, lower plasma levels of the drug are associated with recurring symptoms of depression	[107, 111]
CYP1A2	Decreased	Theophylline, clozapine, olanzapine, ondansetron, cyclobenzaprine	Increase in theophylline half-life during pregnancy requiring dose reductions to avoid toxicity	[107, 112–114]
UGT1A4	Increased	Lamotrigine	Significant decrease in lamotrigine concentration resulting in loss of seizure control, recommended to measure plasma lamotrigine concentrations during each trimester and adjusting dose as needed	[115–117]
UGT1A1	Increased	Acetaminophen	Increased acetaminophen glucuronidation resulting in decreased half-life, clinical consequences are unknown	[118]
NAT2	Decreased	Caffeine	Decreased metabolism of caffeine Clinical consequences are unknown	[114, 119, 120]

Because CYP3A is involved in the metabolism of many drugs, the findings have implications for medication dosing in pregnancy [107, 121]. Those with a narrow therapeutic window may fall below clinically effective concentrations. Also, medication started during pregnancy might reach toxic concentrations if continued during the postpartum period. More information is needed about this enzyme. The enzyme's expression and activity during pregnancy have yet to be fully described, and it has multiple substrates that overlap with the P-glycoprotein transporter, which itself is affected during pregnancy.

4.1.2. CYP2D6. CYP2D6 is the second most common enzyme in the CYP family [107]. The individual status of CYP2D6 activity can be assessed with several drugs such as metoprolol and dextromethorphan. Low activity of the enzyme (the poor metabolizer (PM) phenotype) is an autosomal recessive trait that affects approximately 7% of Caucasians and 1% of Orientals [122]. The opposite phenotype, called ultrarapid metabolism, also exists and is caused by gene amplification [122]. With standard medication dosing, the poor metabolizer has very high concentrations of the parent drug and the ultrarapid metabolizer very low plasma concentrations. CYP2D6 activity, assessed with dextromethorphan O-demethylation, was found to gradually increase throughout pregnancy as compared with the postpartum period, interpreted by some investigators as

induction during the third compared to the first trimester [111, 121].

4.1.3. CYP1A2. Many studies have reported decreased CYP1A2 activity during pregnancy [48, 107, 112, 113, 121, 123]. Caffeine (a substrate frequently used to measure CYP1A2 activity) has its half-life increased from 3.4 h in nonpregnant patients to 8.3 h during pregnancy, with values returning to prepregnant levels shortly after delivery [112, 114, 119]. A study by Brazier et al. showed a normalization of caffeine clearance within four days after delivery, compared to a 65% reduction during the third trimester [114]. CYP1A2 activity decreases gradually with advancing gestational age. Tracy et al. reported a reduction in caffeine clearance of 33%, 48%, and 65% for each trimester compared with postpartum [121].

Theophylline, also metabolized by CYP1A2, has decreased clearance during the third trimester of pregnancy with half-life estimated at 13 h compared to 9.5 h postpartum [124]. This change has been attributed to a reduction in nonrenal intrinsic clearance and calls for a decrease in theophylline doses used in later stages of pregnancy.

A more comprehensive understanding of the regulation of the enzyme and the changes affecting its numerous substrates is needed. With the current evidence, it may be necessary to decrease the dose of CYP1A2 substrates when used during late pregnancy to avoid toxicity.

4.2. Phase II Metabolism

4.2.1. Uridine 5'-Diphosphate Glucuronosyltransferase

(UGT). Approximately 1.1 million women with epilepsy are of child-bearing age in the United States and give birth to over 20,000 babies each year [125]. Pregnancy in women with epilepsy is accompanied by increased maternal risks from seizure occurrence and potential adverse developmental outcomes related to certain antiepileptic drugs [115, 116]

Lamotrigine is an antiepileptic drug whose metabolism is significantly altered during pregnancy. It is a UGT substrate (see Table 4), and approximately 90% of its metabolism is through N-glucuronidation by UGT1A4 in the liver [118]. One study reported a 360% increase in lamotrigine clearance between prepregnancy and the third trimester [118]. In addition, a study of pregnant women on lamotrigine monotherapy reported a significant 27% decrease in the ratio of serum concentration-to-dose during the first trimester and 66% decrease in the third trimester, compared to postpartum [47]. Five of the eleven women suffered seizures while enrolled in this study and required an increased dose in order to achieve seizure control. Lamotrigine metabolism returned to nonpregnant levels within 3 weeks after delivery and required a dose readjustment to avoid toxicity [47].

Other UGTs also undergo increased activity. The clearance of acetaminophen is 58% higher during pregnancy, secondary to a 75% increase in glucuronidation activity of UGT1A1 and -1A6 during pregnancy [126]. Similar findings have been reported for oxazepam. The drug, metabolized by UGT2B7, was found to have a two- to threefold increase in clearance in pregnant women compared to clearance rates in men and nonpregnant women [127].

Current data suggests a possible hormonal regulation of UGTs. When taken with oral contraceptives, both lamotrigine and acetaminophen demonstrate decreased maximum concentration and concentration-to-dose ratio [120], thought to be mediated by estrogen [128]. Studies in mice describe a possible role for prolactin in UGT induction [129]. Such findings suggest the need for continued drug monitoring while a woman is lactating.

4.2.2. *N-Acetyltransferase*. Sulfamethoxazole, commonly used during pregnancy, is a substrate of N-acetyltransferase (NAT). Studies involving sulfamethoxazole and other substrates of NAT suggested a decreased activity of the enzyme during pregnancy [129]. NAT might also be under hormonal regulation, since women on oral contraceptives experience decreased NAT2 activity [130]. More studies are needed to better determine changes affecting drugs metabolized by NAT and the regulation it undergoes.

5. Ethics of Drug Studies in Pregnant Women

Current medication labeling typically only reports on fetal safety issues with respect to the use of the medication, and typically provides no information on PK, PD, or efficacy during pregnancy. Unfortunately, it follows that if a drug is not studied for a condition unique to pregnancy (e.g., preterm labor, asthma during pregnancy), treatment during

pregnancy is not considered an indication for regulatory purposes (i.e., use of the drug is considered off-label). Such illustrative use of medications during pregnancy was the focus of a Cochrane report by Webb et al. The authors noted the absence of any objective trial-based evidence to guide the treatment of psychosis during pregnancy [131]. Treatment was based on personal clinical judgment, which raises “important clinical and ethical concerns for the current use of antipsychotic drugs for women during pregnancy” [131].

McCullough et al. approached the complex matter of maternal and fetal patients and developed a framework for pharmacologic studies in pregnant women. The authors defined the previsible fetus as a patient only when the pregnant woman confers this dependent moral status on it, which she has the freedom to do [132]. The viable fetus was defined as a patient by virtue of both its ability to survive ex utero and access to medical technology which makes this possible [133]. In research that is designed to benefit the pregnant patient, the ethical concept of the fetus as a patient requires the pregnant woman to consider the health-related interests of the fetus against her own legitimate interest in participating in research which may improve her own health [134]. Conversely, a study designed to determine if an intervention benefits the fetus (e.g., fetal surgery) would require that the pregnant subjects take only reasonable risks to their own health [134].

This framework allows women the right to withhold the moral status of being a patient from a previsible fetus. This adds an additional ethical consideration to a pregnancy that is complicated by an adverse fetal event attributed to the pharmacologic agent being studied. Such an adverse fetal event pertains to the legal liability of the investigator, the funder, and the sponsoring organization. Preventing unnecessary liability to these parties would count as self-interest. McCullough et. al suggest that when studies follow the beneficence-based obligation, they would offer a balanced protection to the study personnel as well as the fetal patient [132]. Their paper lists four criteria for drug studies during pregnancy that, when satisfied, would not violate any beneficence-based obligations to the fetal patient. These criteria prioritize the use of drugs that are predicted to alter the course of a pregnant woman's condition, as well as having previous animal or human studies that do not report “documented death or documented serious, far-reaching, and irreversible injury of any major organ system to the fetal or maternal patient.” In addition, the criteria stress the need for data demonstrating minimized risk to the fetal patient [132]. Though this list does not exclude drugs lacking any previous animal or human safety and/or teratogenic studies, it does discourage the prioritization of studies for such agents [132].

A different dimension to the ethics of drug studies during pregnancy involves study design. Less risk would be associated with a retrospective study. However, such a study would offer limitations to standardizations of patient characteristics and drug use. Although difficult, the optimal drug study design would be a double-blind placebo or active agent controlled trial. Some situations limit the use of such a design. Yonkers states “it is neither ethical nor

practical to randomly assign depressed pregnant women to antidepressant agents compared with placebo" [135]. Other authors support such a position. Brody explains "the more serious the disease process and the less likely that the established therapy will produce bad side effects, the more problematic is a placebo-controlled trial" [136]. It is plausible to understand how such a situation would inhibit the use of placebo but allow for controlled randomized trials to compare different agents.

6. Conclusion

Pregnancy alters the disposition and effect of drugs and consequently can change efficacy and appropriate use of the medication. In the setting of prescribed drugs, simply describing pregnant women as a special population is a mistaken oversimplification. In current practice, each pregnant woman is a study population of one every time she is treated with a medication which has not been previously studied in pregnancy to define PK, PD, or efficacy. However, drugs continue to be used during pregnancy with incomplete data on the maternal consequences of use in pregnancy. In addition, pregnancy has yet to lead to consideration of drug dose alterations, with changes occurring in a case-by-case fashion. This review has described physiological and metabolic changes which increase or decrease drug clearance, metabolism, and efficacy. Given the paucity of understanding about pregnancy-related changes in PK, PD, and efficacy, it is necessary at the present time to specifically study drugs intended to be used in pregnancy. As this database grows it may be possible to simulate expected changes to anticipate improvements in study design and clinical therapeutics.

Conflict of Interests

The authors have no financial interests or potential conflicts of interests to disclose. This paper was prepared in part by D. R. Mattison as an employee of the US Government making this a "work of the US Government" and therefore copyright is nontransferrable.

Disclaimer

The opinions stated in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Department of Health and Human Services, the National Institutes of Health, or the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development.

Acknowledgments

Funding for this paper has been provided in part by the Intramural Program of the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institute of Health to D. R. Mattison.

References

- [1] R. A. Black and D. A. Hill, "Over-the-counter medications in pregnancy," *American Family Physician*, vol. 67, no. 12, pp. 2517–2524, 2003.
- [2] E. H. Riley, E. Fuentes-Afflick, R. A. Jackson et al., "Correlates of prescription drug use during pregnancy," *Journal of Women's Health*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 401–409, 2005.
- [3] M. Bonati, R. Bortolus, F. Marchetti, M. Romero, and G. Tognoni, "Drug use in pregnancy: an overview of epidemiological (drug utilization) studies," *European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 325–328, 1990.
- [4] W. F. Rayburn and K. C. Farmer, "Off-label prescribing during pregnancy," *Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinics of North America*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 471–478, 1997.
- [5] W. F. Rayburn, "A physician's prerogative to prescribe drugs for off-label uses during pregnancy," *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 81, no. 6, pp. 1052–1055, 1993.
- [6] G. D. Anderson, "Pregnancy-induced changes in pharmacokinetics: a mechanistic-based approach," *Clinical Pharmacokinetics*, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 989–1008, 2005.
- [7] N. Papantoniou, G. Ismailos, G. Daskalakis et al., "Pharmacokinetics of oral cefatrizine in pregnant and non-pregnant women with reference to fetal distribution," *Fetal Diagnosis and Therapy*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 100–106, 2007.
- [8] C. C. Hill and J. Pickinpaugh, "Physiologic changes in pregnancy," *Surgical Clinics of North America*, vol. 88, no. 2, pp. 391–401, 2008.
- [9] A. Malek and R. Leiser, "Influence of the magnesium aspartate hydrochloride administration to the maternal circuit on the aspartate concentration of the fetal circuit under in vitro perfusion of human placenta," *European Journal of Obstetrics Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*, vol. 142, no. 1, pp. 12–17, 2009.
- [10] S. V. Kane and L. A. Acquah, "Placental transport of immunoglobulins: a clinical review for gastroenterologists who prescribe therapeutic monoclonal antibodies to women during conception and pregnancy," *American Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 104, no. 1, pp. 228–233, 2009.
- [11] A. L. H. J. Aarnoudse, J. P. Dieleman, and B. H. C. Stricker, "Age- and gender-specific incidence of hospitalisation for digoxin intoxication," *Drug Safety*, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 431–436, 2007.
- [12] D. R. Mattison, "Environmental exposures and development," *Current Opinion in Pediatrics*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 208–218, 2010.
- [13] R. G. Abellar, J. R. Pepperell, D. Greco et al., "Effects of chemotherapy during pregnancy on the placenta," *Pediatric and Developmental Pathology*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 35–41, 2009.
- [14] S. Ravindran, O. L. Zharikova, R. A. Hill, T. N. Nanovskaya, G. D. V. Hankins, and M. S. Ahmed, "Identification of glyburide metabolites formed by hepatic and placental microsomes of humans and baboons," *Biochemical Pharmacology*, vol. 72, no. 12, pp. 1730–1737, 2006.
- [15] G. D. Anderson, "Sex differences in drug metabolism: cytochrome P-450 and uridine diphosphate glucuronosyl-transferase," *Journal of Gender-Specific Medicine*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 25–33, 2002.
- [16] J. K. Chun, M. Piquette-Miller, L. Zhang, and K. M. Giacomini, "Expression of human polyspecific renal organic cation transport activity in *Xenopus laevis* oocytes," *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, vol. 86, no. 6, pp. 753–755, 1997.
- [17] FDA, *Health Organizations to Study Safety of Medications Taken During Pregnancy*, FDA News Release

- 2009, <http://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/PressAnnouncements/2009/ucm195934.htm>.
- [18] E. R. Yeomans and L. C. Gilstrap, "Physiologic changes in pregnancy and their impact on critical care," *Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 33, no. 10, supplement, pp. S256–S258, 2005.
 - [19] S. L. Clark, D. B. Cotton, W. Lee et al., "Central hemodynamic assessment of normal term pregnancy," *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 161, no. 6, part 1, pp. 1439–1442, 1989.
 - [20] J. J. Bonica and J. S. McDonald, *Principles and Practice of Obstetric Analgesia and Anesthesia*, Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore, Md, USA, 2nd edition, 1995.
 - [21] A. Nakai, I. Sekiya, A. Oya, T. Koshino, and T. Araki, "Assessment of the hepatic arterial and portal venous blood flows during pregnancy with Doppler ultrasonography," *Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics*, vol. 266, no. 1, pp. 25–29, 2002.
 - [22] J. J. Duvekot, E. C. Cheriex, F. A. A. Pieters, P. P. C. A. Memheere, and L. L. H. Peeters, "Early pregnancy changes in hemodynamics and volume homeostasis are consecutive adjustments triggered by a primary fall in systemic vascular tone," *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 169, no. 6, pp. 1382–1392, 1993.
 - [23] J. P. Granger, "Maternal and fetal adaptations during pregnancy: lessons in regulatory and integrative physiology," *American Journal of Physiology, Regulatory Integrative and Comparative Physiology*, vol. 283, no. 6, pp. R1289–R1292, 2002.
 - [24] F. E. Hytten, *The Physiology of Human Pregnancy*, Vlack-Well, Oxford, UK, 2nd edition, 1971.
 - [25] S. TE, "Placental transfer," in *Clinical Physiology in Obstetrics*, G. Chamberlain and F. Hytten, Eds., p. 419, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, UK, 1991.
 - [26] S. A. Qasqas, C. McPherson, W. H. Frishman, and U. Elkayam, "Cardiovascular pharmacotherapeutic considerations during pregnancy and lactation," *Cardiology in Review*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 201–221, 2004.
 - [27] B. B. K. Pirani, D. M. Campbell, and I. MacGillivray, "Plasma volume in normal first pregnancy," *The Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of the British Commonwealth*, vol. 80, no. 10, pp. 884–887, 1973.
 - [28] M. D. Lindheimer, S. J. Taler, and F. G. Cunningham, "ASH position paper: hypertension in pregnancy," *Journal of Clinical Hypertension*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 214–225, 2009.
 - [29] B. M. Sibai, "Treatment of hypertension in pregnant women," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 335, no. 4, pp. 257–265, 1996.
 - [30] B. M. Sibai and C. L. Stella, "Diagnosis and management of atypical preeclampsia-eclampsia," *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 200, no. 5, pp. 481.e1–481.e7, 2009.
 - [31] S. McCoy and K. Baldwin, "Pharmacotherapeutic options for the treatment of preeclampsia," *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy*, vol. 66, no. 4, pp. 337–344, 2009.
 - [32] J. M. Roberts and C. A. Hubel, "The two stage model of preeclampsia: variations on the theme," *Placenta*, vol. 30, supplement, pp. 32–37, 2009.
 - [33] R. M. Smiley and M. Finster, "Do receptors get pregnant too? adrenergic receptor alterations in human pregnancy," *Journal of Maternal-Fetal and Neonatal Medicine*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 106–114, 1996.
 - [34] S. Hogstedt and A. Rane, "Plasma concentration—effect relationship of metoprolol during and after pregnancy," *European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 243–246, 1993.
 - [35] S. Hogstedt, B. Lindberg, and Dun Reng Peng, "Pregnancy-induced increase in metoprolol metabolism," *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 688–692, 1985.
 - [36] M. F. Hebert, D. B. Carr, G. D. Anderson et al., "Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of atenolol during pregnancy and postpartum," *Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 25–33, 2005.
 - [37] A. Philipson, "Pharmacokinetics of antibiotics in pregnancy and labour," *Clinical Pharmacokinetics*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 297–309, 1979.
 - [38] M. A. Andrew, T. R. Easterling, D. B. Carr et al., "Amoxicillin pharmacokinetics in pregnant women: modeling and simulations of dosage strategies," *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, vol. 81, no. 4, pp. 547–556, 2007.
 - [39] A. Philipson and G. Stiernstedt, "Pharmacokinetics of cefuroxime in pregnancy," *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 142, no. 7, pp. 823–828, 1982.
 - [40] M. Mirochnick, J. H. Rodman, B. L. Robbins et al., "Pharmacokinetics of oral zidovudine administered during labour: a preliminary study," *HIV Medicine*, vol. 8, no. 7, pp. 451–456, 2007.
 - [41] J. Moodley, "Pharmacokinetics and antiretroviral activity of lamivudine alone or when coadministered with zidovudine in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected pregnant women and their offspring," *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 178, no. 5, pp. 1327–1333, 1998.
 - [42] A. M. Stek, M. Mirochnick, E. Capparelli et al., "Reduced lopinavir exposure during pregnancy," *AIDS*, vol. 20, no. 15, pp. 1931–1939, 2006.
 - [43] B. W. Kosel, K. P. Beckerman, S. Hayashi, M. Homma, and F. T. Aweeka, "Pharmacokinetics of nelfinavir and indinavir in HIV-1-infected pregnant women," *AIDS*, vol. 17, no. 8, pp. 1195–1199, 2003.
 - [44] M. F. Hebert, X. Ma, S. B. Narahariseti et al., "Are we optimizing gestational diabetes treatment with glyburide the pharmacologic basis for better clinical practice," *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, vol. 85, no. 6, pp. 607–614, 2009.
 - [45] A. M. E. Luxford and G. S. M. Kellaway, "Pharmacokinetics of digoxin in pregnancy," *European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 117–121, 1983.
 - [46] M. F. Hebert, T. R. Easterling, B. Kirby et al., "Effects of pregnancy on CYP3A and P-glycoprotein activities as measured by disposition of midazolam and digoxin: a University of Washington Specialized Center of Research Study," *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, vol. 84, no. 2, pp. 248–253, 2008.
 - [47] G. J. De Haan, P. Edelbroek, J. Segers et al., "Gestation-induced changes in lamotrigine pharmacokinetics: a monotherapy study," *Neurology*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 571–573, 2004.
 - [48] R. Knutti, H. Rothweiler, and C. Schlatter, "Effect of pregnancy on the pharmacokinetics of caffeine," *European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 121–126, 1981.
 - [49] J. C. Gluck, "The change of asthma course during pregnancy," *Clinical Reviews in Allergy and Immunology*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 171–180, 2004.
 - [50] K. G. Barnette, M. A. Sarkar, D. D. Glover, P. Li, C. Boyd, and D. Lalka, "Glutathione S-transferase in human endometrium: quantitation and interindividual variability in isoform content," *Gynecologic and Obstetric Investigation*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 114–119, 1999.
 - [51] D. D. Glover and B. Larsen, "Relationship of fungal vaginitis therapy to prior antibiotic exposure," *Infectious Disease in Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 157–160, 2003.

- [52] M. P. Dombrowski, M. Schatz, R. Wise et al., "Asthma during pregnancy," *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 103, no. 1, pp. 5–12, 2004.
- [53] K. Chambers, "Asthma education and outcomes for women of childbearing age," *Case Manager*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 58–61, 2003.
- [54] V. E. Murphy, P. Gibson, P. I. Talbot, and V. L. Clifton, "Severe asthma exacerbations during pregnancy," *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 106, no. 5, part 1, pp. 1046–1054, 2005.
- [55] M. Schatz, R. S. Zeiger, K. Harden, C. C. Huffman, L. Chilingar, and D. Petitti, "The safety of asthma and allergy medications during pregnancy," *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, vol. 100, no. 3, pp. 301–306, 1997.
- [56] M. Schatz, M. P. Dombrowski, R. Wise et al., "The relationship of asthma medication use to perinatal outcomes," *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, vol. 113, no. 6, pp. 1040–1045, 2004.
- [57] M. T. V. Chan, P. Mainland, and T. Gin, "Minimum alveolar concentration of halothane and enflurane are decreased in early pregnancy," *Anesthesiology*, vol. 85, no. 4, pp. 782–786, 1996.
- [58] I. Kawabata, A. Nakai, and T. Takeshita, "Prediction of HELLP syndrome with assessment of maternal dual hepatic blood supply by using Doppler ultrasound," *Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics*, vol. 274, no. 5, pp. 303–309, 2006.
- [59] C. K. Cheung, T. Lao, and R. Swaminathan, "Urinary excretion of some proteins and enzymes during normal pregnancy," *Clinical Chemistry*, vol. 35, no. 9, pp. 1978–1980, 1989.
- [60] A. Erman, A. Neri, R. Sharoni et al., "Enhanced urinary albumin excretion after 35 weeks of gestation and during labour in normal pregnancy," *Scandinavian Journal of Clinical and Laboratory Investigation*, vol. 52, no. 5, pp. 409–413, 1992.
- [61] M. Hayashi, Y. Ueda, K. Hoshimoto et al., "Changes in urinary excretion of six biochemical parameters in normotensive pregnancy and preeclampsia," *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 392–400, 2002.
- [62] M. D. Hill and F. P. Abramson, "The significance of plasma protein binding on the fetal/maternal distribution of drugs at steady-state," *Clinical Pharmacokinetics*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 156–170, 1988.
- [63] S. Sudhakaran, C. R. Rayner, J. Li, D. C. M. Kong, N. M. Gude, and R. L. Nation, "Differential protein binding of indinavir and saquinavir in matched maternal and umbilical cord plasma," *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 315–321, 2007.
- [64] S. Sudhakaran, C. R. Rayner, J. Li, D. C. M. Kong, N. M. Gude, and R. L. Nation, "Inhibition of placental P-glycoprotein: impact on indinavir transfer to the foetus," *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, vol. 65, no. 5, pp. 667–673, 2008.
- [65] A. Ayrton and P. Morgan, "Role of transport proteins in drug discovery and development: a pharmaceutical perspective," *Xenobiotica*, vol. 38, no. 7–8, pp. 676–708, 2008.
- [66] A. Malek and D. R. Mattison, "Drug development for use during pregnancy: impact of the placenta," *Expert Review of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 437–454, 2010.
- [67] J. Behravan and M. Piquette-Miller, "Drug transport across the placenta, role of the ABC drug efflux transporters," *Expert Opinion on Drug Metabolism and Toxicology*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 819–830, 2007.
- [68] A. Haimeur, G. Conseil, R. G. Deeley, and S. P. C. Cole, "The MRP-related and BCRP/ABCG2 multidrug resistance proteins: biology, substrate specificity and regulation," *Current Drug Metabolism*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 21–53, 2004.
- [69] C. Gedeon and G. Koren, "Designing pregnancy centered medications: drugs which do not cross the human placenta," *Placenta*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 861–868, 2006.
- [70] J. M. Davison, "The effect of pregnancy on kidney function in renal allograft recipients," *Kidney International*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 74–79, 1985.
- [71] M. D. Lindheimer, J. M. Davison, and A. I. Katz, "The kidney and hypertension in pregnancy: twenty exciting years," *Seminars in Nephrology*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 173–189, 2001.
- [72] E. R. Yeomans and L. C. Gilstrap, "Physiologic changes in pregnancy and their impact on critical care," *Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 33, no. 10, supplement, pp. S256–S258, 2005.
- [73] M. Schou, A. Amdisen, and O. R. Steenstrup, "Lithium and pregnancy: II. Hazards to women given lithium during pregnancy and delivery," *British Medical Journal*, vol. 2, no. 5859, pp. 137–138, 1973.
- [74] M. R. Syme, J. W. Paxton, and J. A. Keelan, "Drug transfer and metabolism by the human placenta," *Clinical Pharmacokinetics*, vol. 43, no. 8, pp. 487–514, 2004.
- [75] M. V. St.-Pierre, M. A. Serrano, R. I. R. Macias et al., "Expression of members of the multidrug resistance protein family in human term placenta," *American Journal of Physiology, Regulatory Integrative and Comparative Physiology*, vol. 279, no. 4, pp. R1495–R1503, 2000.
- [76] M. Tanabe, I. Ieiri, N. Nagata et al., "Expression of P-glycoprotein in human placenta: relation to genetic polymorphism of the multidrug resistance (MDR)-1 gene," *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, vol. 297, no. 3, pp. 1137–1143, 2001.
- [77] S. Hoffmeyer, O. Burk, O. Von Richter et al., "Functional polymorphisms of the human multidrug-resistance gene: multiple sequence variations and correlation of one allele with P-glycoprotein expression and activity in vivo," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 97, no. 7, pp. 3473–3478, 2000.
- [78] J. H. Wang, D. A. Scollard, S. Teng, R. M. Reilly, and M. Piquette-Miller, "Detection of P-glycoprotein activity in endotoxemic rats by ^{99m}Tc-sestamibi imaging," *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 46, no. 9, pp. 1537–1545, 2005.
- [79] Y. H. Chen, J. P. Wang, H. Wang et al., "Lipopolysaccharide treatment downregulates the expression of the pregnane X receptor, cyp3a11 and mdr1a genes in mouse placenta," *Toxicology*, vol. 211, no. 3, pp. 242–252, 2005.
- [80] P. Myllynen, E. Immonen, M. Kummu, and K. Vähäkangas, "Developmental expression of drug metabolizing enzymes and transporter proteins in human placenta and fetal tissues," *Expert Opinion on Drug Metabolism and Toxicology*, vol. 5, no. 12, pp. 1483–1499, 2009.
- [81] A. Gulati and P. M. Gerck, "Role of placental ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters in antiretroviral therapy during pregnancy," *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, vol. 98, no. 7, pp. 2317–2335, 2009.
- [82] E. Pollex, A. Lubetsky, and G. Koren, "The role of placental breast cancer resistance protein in the efflux of glyburide across the human placenta," *Placenta*, vol. 29, no. 8, pp. 743–747, 2008.
- [83] A. Floreani, I. Carderi, D. Paternoster et al., "Hepatobiliary phospholipid transporter ABCB4, MDR3 gene variants in a large cohort of Italian women with intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy," *Digestive and Liver Disease*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 366–370, 2008.
- [84] L. Zhou, S. B. Narahariseti, H. Wang, J. D. Unadkat, M. F. Hebert, and Q. Mao, "The breast cancer resistance protein (Bcrp1/Abcg2) limits fetal distribution of glyburide in

- the pregnant mouse: an Obstetric-Fetal Pharmacology Research Unit Network and University of Washington Specialized Center of Research Study," *Molecular Pharmacology*, vol. 73, no. 3, pp. 949–959, 2008.
- [85] J. S. Wang, D. J. Newport, Z. N. Stowe, J. L. Donovan, P. B. Pennell, and C. L. DeVane, "The emerging importance of transporter proteins in the psychopharmacological treatment of the pregnant patient," *Drug Metabolism Reviews*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 723–746, 2007.
- [86] F. Azzaroli, A. Mennone, V. Feletti et al., "Clinical trial: modulation of human placental multidrug resistance proteins in cholestasis of pregnancy by ursodeoxycholic acid," *Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, vol. 26, no. 8, pp. 1139–1146, 2007.
- [87] V. Keitel, C. Vogt, D. Häussinger, and R. Kubitz, "Combined mutations of canalicular transporter proteins cause severe intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy," *Gastroenterology*, vol. 131, no. 2, pp. 624–629, 2006.
- [88] K. Ushijima, A. Kimura, T. Inokuchi et al., "Placental transport of bile acids: analysis of bile acids in maternal serum and urine, umbilical cord blood, and amniotic fluid," *Kurume Medical Journal*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 87–91, 2001.
- [89] A. C. Enders and T. N. Blankenship, "Comparative placental structure," *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 3–15, 1999.
- [90] M. Nagashige, F. Ushigome, N. Koyabu et al., "Basal membrane localization of MRP1 in human placental trophoblast," *Placenta*, vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 951–958, 2003.
- [91] P. Mylona, J. A. Hoyland, and C. P. Sibley, "Sites of mRNA expression of the cystic fibrosis (CF) and multidrug resistance (MDR1) genes in the human placenta of early pregnancy: no evidence for complementary expression," *Placenta*, vol. 20, no. 5–6, pp. 493–496, 1999.
- [92] H. E. U. Meyer Zu Schwabedissen, M. Grube, B. Heydrich et al., "Expression, localization, and function of MRP5 (ABCC5), a transporter for cyclic nucleotides, in human placenta and cultured human trophoblasts: effects of gestational age and cellular differentiation," *American Journal of Pathology*, vol. 166, no. 1, pp. 39–48, 2005.
- [93] A. A. Mathias, J. Hitti, and J. D. Unadkat, "P-glycoprotein and breast cancer resistance protein expression in human placentae of various gestational ages," *American Journal of Physiology, Regulatory Integrative and Comparative Physiology*, vol. 289, no. 4, pp. R963–R969, 2005.
- [94] S. Gil, R. Saura, F. Forestier, and R. Farinotti, "P-glycoprotein expression of the human placenta during pregnancy," *Placenta*, vol. 26, no. 2–3, pp. 268–270, 2005.
- [95] A. Macfarland, D. R. Abramovich, S. W. B. Ewen, and C. K. Pearson, "Stage-specific distribution of P-glycoprotein in first-trimester and full-term human placenta," *Histochemical Journal*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 417–423, 1994.
- [96] C. M. Perry and S. Noble, "Saquinavir soft-gel capsule formulation: a review of its use in patients with HIV infection," *Drugs*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 461–486, 1998.
- [97] G. R. Kaufmann, C. Duncombe, P. Cunningham et al., "Treatment response and durability of a double protease inhibitor therapy with saquinavir and ritonavir in an observational cohort of HIV-1-infected individuals," *AIDS*, vol. 12, no. 13, pp. 1625–1630, 1998.
- [98] T. Koudriakova, E. Iatsimirskaia, I. Utkin et al., "Metabolism of the human immunodeficiency virus protease inhibitors indinavir and ritonavir by human intestinal microsomes and expressed cytochrome P4503A4/3A5: mechanism-based inactivation of cytochrome P4503A by ritonavir," *Drug Metabolism and Disposition*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 552–561, 1998.
- [99] M. T. Huisman, J. W. Smit, H. R. Wiltshire, R. M. W. Hoetelmans, J. H. Beijnen, and A. H. Schinkel, "P-glycoprotein limits oral availability, brain, and fetal penetration of saquinavir even with high doses of ritonavir," *Molecular Pharmacology*, vol. 59, no. 4, pp. 806–813, 2001.
- [100] J. Alsenz, H. Steffen, and R. Alex, "Active apical secretory efflux of the HIV protease inhibitors saquinavir and ritonavir in Caco-2 cell monolayers," *Pharmaceutical Research*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 423–428, 1998.
- [101] V. A. Eagling, L. Profit, and D. J. Back, "Inhibition of the CYP3A4-mediated metabolism and P-glycoprotein-mediated transport of the HIV-I protease inhibitor saquinavir by grapefruit juice components," *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 543–552, 1999.
- [102] M. Mirochnick, B. M. Best, A. M. Stek et al., "Lopinavir exposure with an increased dose during pregnancy," *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 485–491, 2008.
- [103] S. Ito, L. Magee, and J. Smallhorn, "Drug therapy for fetal arrhythmias," *Clinics in Perinatology*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 543–572, 1994.
- [104] A. Azancot-Benisty, E. Jacqz-Aigrain, N. M. Guirgis, A. Decrepy, J. F. Oury, and P. Blot, "Clinical and pharmacologic study of fetal supraventricular tachyarrhythmias," *Journal of Pediatrics*, vol. 121, no. 4, pp. 608–613, 1992.
- [105] E. Teodori, S. Dei, C. Martelli, S. Scapecchi, and F. Gualtieri, "The functions and structure of ABC transporters: implications for the design of new inhibitors of Pgp and MRP1 to control multidrug resistance (MDR)," *Current Drug Targets*, vol. 7, no. 7, pp. 893–909, 2006.
- [106] S. Ito, "Transplacental treatment of fetal tachycardia: implications of drug transporting proteins in placenta," *Seminars in Perinatology*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 196–201, 2001.
- [107] L. S. Hodge and T. S. Tracy, "Alterations in drug disposition during pregnancy: implications for drug therapy," *Expert Opinion on Drug Metabolism and Toxicology*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 557–571, 2007.
- [108] D. Hirt, J. M. Treluyer, V. Jullien et al., "Pregnancy-related effects on nelfinavir-M8 pharmacokinetics: a population study with 133 women," *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, vol. 50, no. 6, pp. 2079–2086, 2006.
- [109] P. Villani, M. Floridia, M. F. Pirillo et al., "Pharmacokinetics of nelfinavir in HIV-1-infected pregnant and nonpregnant women," *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 309–315, 2006.
- [110] W. J. Tamminga, J. Wemer, B. Oosterhuis et al., "CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 activity in a large population of Dutch healthy volunteers: indications for oral contraceptive-related gender differences," *European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 177–184, 1999.
- [111] A. J. Claessens, L. J. Risler, S. Eyal, D. D. Shen, T. R. Easterling, and M. F. Hebert, "CYP2D6 mediates 4-hydroxylation of clonidine in vitro: implication for pregnancy-induced changes in clonidine clearance," *Drug Metabolism and Disposition*, vol. 38, no. 9, pp. 1393–1396, 2010.
- [112] K. Tsutsumi, T. Kotegawa, S. Matsuki et al., "The effect of pregnancy on cytochrome P4501A2, xanthine oxidase, and N-acetyltransferase activities in humans," *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 121–125, 2001.
- [113] A. Aldridge, J. Bailey, and A. H. Neims, "The disposition of caffeine during and after pregnancy," *Seminars in Perinatology*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 310–314, 1981.
- [114] J. L. Brazier, J. Ritter, and M. Berland, "Pharmacokinetics of caffeine during and after pregnancy," *Developmental*

- Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 315–322, 1983.
- [115] C. L. Harden, J. Hopp, T. Y. Ting et al., “Management issues for women with epilepsy—Focus on pregnancy (an evidence-based review): I. Obstetrical complications and change in seizure frequency: report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee and Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and the American Epilepsy Society,” *Epilepsia*, vol. 50, no. 5, pp. 1229–1236, 2009.
- [116] C. L. Harden, K. J. Meador, P. B. Pennell et al., “Management issues for women with epilepsy—focus on pregnancy (an evidence-based review): II. Teratogenesis and perinatal outcomes: report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee and Therapeutics and Technology Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and the American Epilepsy Society,” *Epilepsia*, vol. 50, no. 5, pp. 1237–1246, 2009.
- [117] C. L. Harden et al., “Management issues for women with epilepsy—focus on pregnancy (an evidence-based review): III. Vitamin K, folic acid, blood levels, and breast-feeding: report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee and Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and the American Epilepsy Society,” *Epilepsia*, vol. 50, no. 5, pp. 1247–1255, 2009.
- [118] P. B. Pennell, D. J. Newport, Z. N. Stowe, S. L. Helmers, J. Q. Montgomery, and T. R. Henry, “The impact of pregnancy and childbirth on the metabolism of lamotrigine,” *Neurology*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 292–295, 2004.
- [119] L. M. Grosso and M. B. Bracken, “Caffeine metabolism, genetics, and perinatal outcomes: a review of exposure assessment considerations during pregnancy,” *Annals of Epidemiology*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 460–466, 2005.
- [120] A. Reimers, G. Helde, and E. Brodtkorb, “Ethinyl estradiol, not progestogens, reduces lamotrigine serum concentrations,” *Epilepsia*, vol. 46, no. 9, pp. 1414–1417, 2005.
- [121] T. S. Tracy, R. Venkataramanan, D. D. Glover, and S. N. Caritis, “Temporal changes in drug metabolism (CYP1A2, CYP2D6 and CYP3A Activity) during pregnancy,” *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 192, no. 2, pp. 633–639, 2005.
- [122] W. J. Tamminga, J. Wemer, B. Oosterhuis et al., “CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 activity in a large population of Dutch healthy volunteers: indications for oral contraceptive-related gender differences,” *European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 177–184, 1999.
- [123] M. Bologna, B. Tang, J. Klein, A. Tesoro, and G. Koren, “Pregnancy-induced changes in drug metabolism in epileptic women,” *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, vol. 257, no. 2, pp. 735–740, 1991.
- [124] B. L. Carter, C. E. Driscoll, and G. D. Smith, “Theophylline clearance during pregnancy,” *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 68, no. 4, pp. 555–559, 1986.
- [125] M. S. Yerby, “The use of anticonvulsants during pregnancy,” *Seminars in Perinatology*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 153–158, 2001.
- [126] J. O. Miners, R. A. Robson, and D. J. Birkett, “Paracetamol metabolism in pregnancy,” *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 359–362, 1986.
- [127] G. Tomson, N. O. Lunell, A. Sundwall, and A. Rane, “Placental passage of oxazepam and its metabolism in mother and newborn,” *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 74–81, 1979.
- [128] D. R. Abernethy, M. Divoll, H. R. Ochs et al., “Increased metabolic clearance of acetaminophen with oral contraceptive use,” *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 338–341, 1982.
- [129] S. Chen, D. Beaton, N. Nguyen et al., “Tissue-specific, inducible, and hormonal control of the human UDP-glucuronosyltransferase-1 (UGT1) locus,” *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 280, no. 45, pp. 37547–37557, 2005.
- [130] T. Shelepova, A. N. Nafziger, J. Victory et al., “Effect of a triphasic oral contraceptive on drug-metabolizing enzyme activity as measured by the validated cooperstown 5 + 1 cocktail,” *Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, vol. 45, no. 12, pp. 1413–1421, 2005.
- [131] R. T. Webb, L. Howard, and K. M. Abel, “Antipsychotic drugs for non-affective psychosis during pregnancy and postpartum,” *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, no. 2, Article ID CD004411, 2004.
- [132] L. B. McCullough, J. H. Coverdale, and F. A. Chervenak, “A comprehensive ethical framework for responsibly designing and conducting pharmacologic research that involves pregnant women,” *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 193, no. 3, pp. 901–907, 2005.
- [133] F. A. Chervenak and L. B. McCullough, “Ethics in obstetrics and gynecology: an overview,” *European Journal of Obstetrics Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*, vol. 75, no. 1, pp. 91–94, 1997.
- [134] F. A. Chervenak and L. B. McCullough, “A comprehensive ethical framework for fetal research and its application to fetal surgery for spina bifida,” *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 187, no. 1, pp. 10–14, 2002.
- [135] K. A. Yonkers, “The treatment of women suffering from depression who are either pregnant or breastfeeding,” *American Journal of Psychiatry*, vol. 164, no. 10, pp. 1457–1459, 2007.
- [136] B. A. Brody, *The Ethics of Biomedical Research: An International Perspective*, Oxford University Press, New York, NY, USA, 1st edition, 1998.