Review

Does Wearing a Face Mask During the COVID-19 Pandemic Increase the Incidence of Dermatological Conditions in Health Care Workers? Narrative Literature Review

Robyn-Jenia Wilcha

Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

Corresponding Author: Robyn-Jenia Wilcha Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health University of Manchester Oxford Road Manchester, M13 9PL United Kingdom Phone: 44 (0)161 306 021 Email: robynwilcha05@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: COVID-19 is a health emergency. SARS-CoV-2 was discovered in Wuhan (Hubei Province, China) and has rapidly spread worldwide, leaving no country untouched. COVID-19 is a respiratory infection characterized by a pneumonia of unknown etiology. It is transmitted through respiratory droplets; for example: through breathing, talking, and coughing. Transmission of the virus is high. Health care workers play important roles in helping those affected by COVID-19; this could not be done without the use of personal protective equipment (PPE). PPE involves the use of goggles, masks, gloves, and gowns and is known to reduce COVID-19 transmission; however, multiple reports of skin disease and damage associated with occupational mask-wearing have emerged.

Objective: The objective of this study is to review the literature for newly emerging dermatological conditions as a result of occupational mask-wearing during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods: A narrative review of new reports of dermatological conditions associated with occupational mask-wearing was carried out in May 2020 by referencing keywords including: "covid mask dermatology," "covid dermatological damage," "covid mask skin," "covid N95 mask damage," and "covid mask skin damage" from PubMed, supplemented by searches on both Google Scholar and ResearchGate. A total of 287 articles were found, of which 40 were successfully included in this study, and an additional 7 were selected from the reference lists of these 40 articles. The findings were tabulated and analyzed under the following headings: dermatological diagnosis, causes, and management.

Results: Qualitative analysis of the reviewed data was carried out. A number of dermatological conditions were found to increasingly occur owing to prolonged and frequent use of face masks. Pressure-related injuries were often the most serious complaint; recommendations to reduce this type of injury include the use of hydrocolloid dressings, plastic handles, education, and regular moisturization. Innovation in PPE as well as services, such as virtual clinics, need to be advanced to protect the welfare of health care staff.

Conclusions: In these unprecedented times, PPE has been an effective barrier to the transmission of COVID-19 among health care workers. This has allowed health care workers to provide care to patients, with minimal risk. However, our findings suggest that despite the obvious benefits of using face masks to protect the respiratory system, there are also considerable health consequences to the skin. Future research studies are required to focus on improving face masks to ensure both the protection of the respiratory system as well as skin care, which, according to our study, has been overlooked.

(JMIR Dermatol 2021;4(1):e22789) doi: 10.2196/22789

KEYWORDS

COVID-19; dermatology; face masks; health care worker; incidence; literature; mask; N95 mask; review; skin

Introduction

COVID-19, formerly known as the novel coronavirus infection, is a global public health emergency [1]. The causative virus was initially detected in Wuhan (Hubei Province, China) in December 2019; bats have subsequently been linked to the spread of the disease [1]. Typical symptoms of COVID-19 prominently include fever, cough, sore throat, breathlessness, fatigue, headache, and changes to cognition, although some infected individuals may be asymptomatic [1]. Human-to-human transmission of the virus occurs at high rates, and the virus can be spread through direct contact and respiratory particles [2]. Respiratory particles may be transmitted through breathing, talking, coughing, and sneezing [2].

As of May 2020, no drug nor antiviral vaccine has been officially approved for the treatment of COVID-19 [2]. The current state of emergency due to the COVID-19 pandemic has led to rapid acceleration of vaccine development. According to the World Health Organization, 10 vaccine candidates are currently in different clinical phases, and 123 vaccines are being evaluated in preclinical models [3]. Current management of COVID-19 includes infection prevention and supportive care, such as oxygen supplementation and maintenance of a continuous positive airway pressure [4]. Preventative strategies, such as face mask–wearing, help reduce respiratory transmission of COVID-19. The World Health Organization recommends the use of face masks among those who provide care to a person with suspected COVID-19 [5].

Protection against COVID-19 among health care workers is key to providing effective care; however, latest studies from China have reported a high number of adverse reactions caused by personal protective equipment (PPE), specifically surgical and N95 face masks. In a sample of 542 health care workers, 97% were found to have facial or hand dermatoses [6-10]. Despite published guidelines recommending to limit the time of wearing N95 masks to 2 hours, health care workers often wear masks for much longer periods [6]. The consequences of prolonged mask-wearing include the following: pressure-related injuries, various dermatoses, skin dryness, skin erythema, acne, eczema, urticaria, rosacea, secondary infections, nasal bridge ulceration, and exacerbation of known skin disorders [11,12]. The objective of this study is to review the emerging literature on newly emerging dermatological conditions as a result of occupational mask-wearing.

Methods

A narrative literature review was performed between May 1 and 29, 2020, in order to identify studies that evaluated the relationship between mask-wearing during the COVID-19 pandemic and the increase in the prevalence of certain dermatological conditions. Key search terms used herein included "covid mask dermatology," "covid dermatological damage," "covid mask skin," "covid N95 mask damage," and "covid mask skin damage." The term "covid" was also replaced by "coronavirus," "nCoV," and "SARSCoV2" to increase the number of studies churned by the search. PubMed was the main electronic database that was searched, with search supplementation from Google Scholar and ResearchGate to identify missing articles. On eliminating duplicated searches, we found that the majority of studies found on Google Scholar and ResearchGate were duplicates of those found on PubMed. Qualitative results were obtained by comparing and summarizing results from all relevant and emerging studies by an independent researcher.

The inclusion criteria set for this study were vast owing to the limited literature sources and the novel exploratory design of the literature review. All articles included within the review explored new cases of dermatological damage caused by occupational use of PPE, focusing specifically on the damage caused by surgical and N95 masks, during the COVID-19 pandemic. Articles were sought from multiple institutions worldwide. Moreover, all articles focused on the effects of skin damage among health care workers; by definition, health care workers include physicians, nurses, health care assistants, pharmacists, students, therapists, and laboratory staff. Study designs included within the literature review were randomized controlled trials, cohort studies, and case studies. To further expand the breadth of the literature assessed, the review also accepted letters to the editors, commentaries, editorials, and perspectives. Articles that were case reports of individual patients' dermatological findings in relation to COVID-19 were excluded from the study. Additionally, dermatological findings from previous pandemics including severe acute respiratory syndrome, Middle East respiratory syndrome, and Ebola were excluded.

On searching the aforementioned electronic databases, a total of 287 articles were found (PubMed: n=185, Google Scholar: n=67, and ResearchGate: n=35). After eliminating duplicate searches, 203 articles were screened by title and abstract, and a total of 120 articles were analyzed in full text. After full-text analysis, 40 articles, which satisfied the inclusion criteria, were included in the study. Through manual searches of the included articles' reference lists, an additional 7 articles were identified. Prominent findings from the literature review are presented in a table under the following headings: dermatological diagnosis, causes, and management.

Results

A total of 287 articles were found from various searches. After the elimination of duplicated articles, the title and abstract of 203 articles were screened. Of these, 120 articles were deemed appropriate for full-text screening, of which 40 articles met the inclusion criteria. Manual review of their reference lists yielded an additional 7 articles.

The findings of these 47 papers reviewed in this study are summarized in Table 1. The table documents reported dermatological diagnoses resulting from prolonged mask-wearing with their relevant causes and the management of conditions. Qualitative analysis of the included articles was conducted.



Table 1. A table summarizing the causes and management of dermatological conditions resulting from occupational mask-wearing.

Dermatological diagnosis	Causes	Management
Pressure-related injuries	 Facial protective equipment, such as masks, place significant amount of pressure on different facial ar most notably the nasal bridge [13]. This can ofter cause numerous injuries at different facial points [Pressure, friction and the hyperhydration effect cau by masks and goggles often result in skin indentat mechanical skin damage, and epidermal barrier breakdown [12,15]. N95 masks specifically have creased air impermeability and a higher local press increasing the risk of dermatological symptoms [Risk factors for pressure damage are the followin prolonged wearing of personal protective equipm (PPE) [14-17], repeated wearing of PPE [15], use grade 3 PPE [14], joint use of masks and goggles high humidity [15], and heavy sweating [14]. Confing findings based on the relevance of gender and pressure-related injuries were reported in several studies [7,14,17]. 	 the following: education of health care workers [7,17 wearing a properly fitted mask to minimize friction at specific points [7,11], regular moisturizing before and after the use of facial protective equipment for skin barrier repair [6,7,11,16], and limiting the time spent using a mask; published guidelines suggest limiting mask-wearing to 2 hours [6,11,13,16]. Pressure-related injuries that are progressive or caus discomfort to the user may be relieved by the use of g: a hydrocolloid dressing [6,16-18]. Hydrocolloid dressings are composed of water, sodium polyacrylate cellulose gum, and sodium hyaluronate; these components serve as a cushion for soft tissue, thus reducin pressure and retaining skin moisture [18]. Dong et a
Irritant contact dermatitis (ICD)	• ICD is a common problem reported by health car workers [7,17,20]; symptoms include burning, itch and stinging [11]. Formaldehyde, a material used both surgical and N95 masks, has been recognize be a frequent contact sensitizer for many people [7, Acute and chronic dermatitis may be a result of s and mucus membrane damage [11]. Facial protec equipment may induce ICD through occlusion an friction from the mask and the hyper-hydration ef of PPE; in turn, this breaks down the epidermal bar of the skin [20]. Factors that predispose individua to ICD include the following: increased moisture warm environments, occlusion due to local presse and friction [7,21].	 the proper fit of the mask, labeling of contact sensitiiners on face masks [17], cooling the skin by ensuring adequate air conditioning at the site, and wiping skinds. to remove sweat at appropriate times [20]. Staff should limit the duration of mask-wearing by having rotating shifts and regular mask-free breaks [20]. Furthermore daying hydrated may also reduce symptoms of der matitis [20]. Treatment of ICD includes the use of emollients before wearing masks [11,20,22]; emollients should be applied at least 30 minutes before wearing the mask to should be applied at least 30 minutes before wearing the mask to should be applied at least 30 minutes before wearing the mask to should be applied at least 30 minutes before wearing the mask to should be applied at least 30 minutes before wearing the mask to should be applied at least 30 minutes before wearing the mask to should be applied at least 30 minutes before wearing the mask to should be applied at least 30 minutes before wearing the mask to should be applied at least 30 minutes before wearing the mask to should be applied at least 30 minutes before wearing the mask to should be applied at least 30 minutes before wearing the mask to should be applied at least 30 minutes before wearing the mask to should be applied at least 30 minutes before wearing the mask to should be applied at least 30 minutes before wearing the mask to should be applied at least 30 minutes before wearing the mask to should be applied at least 30 minutes before wearing the mask to should be applied at least 30 minutes before wearing the mask to should be applied at least 30 minutes before wearing the mask to should be applied at least 30 minutes before wearing the mask to should be applied at least 30 minutes before wearing the mask to should be applied at least 30 minutes before wearing the mask to should be applied at least 30 minutes before wearing the mask to should be applied at least 30 minutes before wearing the mask to should be applied at least 30 minutes
Allergic contact dermatitis (ACD)	• Occupational ACD was also a common problem ported among health care workers [17]. ACD has similar set of symptoms to ICD, which includes t following: pruritus, burning sensations, facial and periocular erythema, and subtle eczematous lesio [12,17]. Aggravating factors that may induce AC include the following: prolonged use of PPE [7], creased moisture from perspiration, occlusion effor from the mask [7,23], friction [7,23], atopic predis sition [7], and contact sensitizers including format hyde [17,23]. Maliyar et al [23] reported that 22.3 of the population is sensitive to formaldehyde.	 a testing [7]. be The treatment for ACD is similar to that recommended for ICD. It is important to ensure correct fitting of PPE [23], the use of facial moisturizers before and after using PPE [11,23], the avoidance of facial cleansing with overheated water, 75% ethanol, or a facial cleanser [11], and the use of hydrogel dressing on damaged skin [23]. Layers of gauze inside the mass may be used to reduce moisture effects within the

Wilcha

Dermatological diagnosis	Causes	Management
Retroauricular dermatitis	• Retroauricular dermatitis is characterized by itching, redness, and scaling within the auricular region [24]. Ear pressure through the use of ear-hook masks is a reported cause of this type of dermatitis [15].	• Recommendations to reduce dermatitis and ear pain include the following: the use of strings or hairpins to lengthen the ear-hook string [15] help reduce the tightness of masks [25]. Jiang et al [26] explored the use of a plastic handle to reduce ear pressure exerted by N95 masks; the advantage of this method was the simplicity of the idea and the increase in comfort on using the masks.
Skin lesions	• Pei et al [27] reported that 73.1% of participants developed skin lesions due to PPE in a cohort of 484 health care workers. Skin lesions included the following: erythema, prurigo, blisters, rhagades, papule, oedema, exudation, crusting, and lichenification [12,27]. The most common sites were the nasal bridge as well as the cheeks and forehead [12]. Factors attributed to skin lesions included the following: higher grades of PPE, higher working frequency within PPE, and prolonged use of PPE [9,25,27].	• Measures to reduce the incidence of skin lesions in- clude the following: wearing the mask correctly, tak- ing mask-free breaks, and frequently replacing of protective gear [25].
Skin dryness	• In a sample of 542 participants in China, skin dryness was the most commonly reported symptom (70.3%) [9]. Closed humid environments, such as those resulting from breathing in masks and the use of PPE [9], result in skin barrier dysfunction [11,28]. Skin barrier dysfunction may consequently lead to skin dryness and scaling [11].	potency moisturizers before and after PPE use [11].
Skin erythema	• Hua et al [29] reported increased erythema following PPE use; erythema results from cutaneous blood vessel dilation and increased blood supply to the skin [29]. Although this may be a normal reaction to heat and pressure, long-lasting erythema may be a sign of in- flammation [29]. Significant differences between the use of N95 masks and surgical masks have been re- ported; N95 masks reportedly increase the facial temperature of the user and are perceived to be more uncomfortable [30]. Factors potentially causing skin erythema include long hours and prolonged mask- wearing [20]. Campbell et al [31] reported that skin erythema may progress to miliaria owing to the asso- ciations of immobility and humidity through prolonged mask-wearing.	• Measures to reduce skin erythema include the follow- ing: limiting shift length [20], having mask-free breaks [20], and using a surgical mask rather than an N95 mask when appropriate [30].
Skin injury due to the use of disinfectants	• Skin injury due to the use of disinfectants may result in ICD [32] and ACD [13]. Excessive stress among health care workers because of working with patients with COVID-19 may increase the frequency and du- ration of skin cleansing, which disrupts the skin barrier and inevitably leads to skin damage [13].	• Although it is important to clean the face using soap- based cleansers after contact with patients with COVID-19 owing to the high risk of disease transmis- sion, health care workers should be wary of excessive washing and the repeated application of disinfectants to the skin [13].
Secondary infections	• Skin and mucous membrane injury, through the dis- ruption of the epidermal barrier, may lead to secondary infections [11]. Factors aggravating membrane injury include the following: prolonged mask-wearing result- ing in a closed environment, compression, friction, and humidity [33].	• Avoidance of PPE and the use of antihistamines and antibiotics are recommended for the treatment of secondary infections [13,33]. To prevent secondary infections, it is important to stop water from entering damaged skin; this can be done using waterproof plasters [33].
Acne vulgaris		• The management of acne vulgaris includes the follow- ing: liberal use of moisturizers before and after using facial protective equipment, topical antibiotic creams for mild papules and pustules, as well as topical retinoid creams for blackheads and whiteheads [11]. Cases of severe acne vulgaris should be referred to a dermatologist [11].



Wilcha

Dermatological diagnosis	Causes	Management
	• Flares of acne have been reported to result from the use of facial protective equipment; this is thought to be due to increased temperature and humidity caused by the mask [34]. High temperatures as well as high humidity facilitate the progression of acne due to bacterial proliferation and the portal occlusive effect of skin hydration and irritation to the upper parts of the pilosebaceous duct; in turn, this causes swelling of the epidermal keratinocytes, leading to acute blockage of the skin barrier [11,34]. Other underlying mechanisms potentially include pressure and friction [11]. Interestingly, Han et al [34] observed no correlation between acne severity and prolonged mask-wearing. Signs of acne include comedones, papules on the cheeks and nose, as well as nodules or cysts on the forehead, submaxillary, and neck region [34].	
Eczema	• Navarro - Triviño et al [35] found eczema to be one of the most frequently reported skin diseases associat- ed with PPE use. The risk of eczema increased with continuous use of masks and protective glasses [32,35] as a result of increased heat owing to the closed envi- ronment, and increased stress [28].	• Use of topical glucocorticoid creams or ointments is suggested for eczematous skin changes [13].
Rosacea	• Rosacea has been frequently reported in association with PPE use [35]. Increased heat and stress is linked to the exacerbation of rosacea [28]. Prolonged PPE use is a risk factor for developing rosacea [32].	N/A ^a
Urticaria	• Urticaria of the face has been linked to the resulting vertical pressure of facial protective equipment [11]. Risk factors include the following: prolonged wearing of protective equipment and excessive personal hygiene [12].	• Preventative measures include the use of correctly fitted protective equipment and antihistamines [11].
Impetigo	• Yu et al [36] documented a case of impetigo due to occupational goggle-mask-wearing during the pandemic [36]. Increased humidity, skin trauma, and malnutrition can increase the skin's vulnerability to infection and create a moist occlusive environment, allowing <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> to grow and infect the damaged skin [36].	• Management of this condition included rest away from PPE and the application of topical 2% fusidic acid cream twice daily [36].
Nasal bridge ulceration	• Owing to occupational use of PPE, the nasal bridge was reported to be damaged in 83.1% of health care workers [37]. Pressure, friction, and the hyperhydra- tion effect are known risk factors for ulceration [12,15.]	• Hydrocolloid dressings may be of use to successfully treat nasal bridge ulceration [37].
Exacerbations of known skin disease	• Flares of pre-existing dermatoses have been reported to result from PPE use [17,28,32]. Stress, due to the pandemic, has been linked to the aggravation of skin conditions such as psoriasis, eczema, atopy, and neurodermatitis [28,32].	• Zheng et al [32] questioned the use of psychological counseling to reduce the stress experienced by health care workers in order to reduce exacerbations of skin diseases.

^aN/A: not applicable.

Discussion

Principal Findings

This preliminary and exploratory review documents the different dermatological conditions associated with occupational mask-wearing, by causes and management, among health care

https://derma.jmir.org/2021/1/e22789

XSL•FO RenderX workers during the COVID-19 pandemic from the existing literature (in May 2020) (Table 1).

Personal Perspectives of Health Care Workers in Relation to Dermatological Problems

The literature reveals a high number of health care workers who are affected by skin damage; in a sample of 546 individuals, 526 (97%) staff members reported negative skin consequences

Pei et al [27] conducted a study involving 484 health care workers and reported that 73.1% experienced various skin lesions including the following: erythema (38.8%), prurigo (22.9%), blisters (13.8%), rhagades (13.6%), papules (12.8%), exudation (6.8%), and lichenification.

Facial erythema was reported at varying rates; Pei et al [27] reported that 38.8% of health care workers experienced erythema, whereas Balato et al [38] found erythema rates to be higher at 60.4%. Singh et al [20] categorized two varying forms of erythema: whole face erythema (linked to prolonged hours) and lip lick erythema (linked to constant licking of lips from excessive thirst and fluid restriction). Scarano et al [30] investigated facial skin temperature in relation to occupational mask-wearing and reported a significant difference between surgical and N95 masks with regard to humidity, heat, breathing difficulty, and discomfort. Erythema, as a result of increased warmth, may cause health care workers to alter the position of their mask using contaminated hands, which may increase the risk of self-infection with COVID-19 [30].

Furthermore, skin papules have been reported to result from mask-wearing; papules are often a common sign of acne, alongside other symptoms such as comedones, nodules, and cysts [34]. Gheisari et al [21] reported that 35.5% of health care workers experienced acne as a consequence of occupational mask-wearing. Skin damage, such as an irritating pimples in the case of acne, may cause health care workers to repeatedly touch their face, thus increasing the risk of infection [34,39].

Iatrogenic skin damage, resulting from allergic and irritant contact dermatitis, is associated with occupational mask-wearing. In a sample of 14 health care workers, 35.7% of participants developed irritant contact dermatitis, and 28.6% of participants developed allergic contact dermatitis, which was associated with facial masks [24].

Another common complaint among health care workers concerned pressure-related injuries. Jiang et al [14] conducted a cross-sectional study, incorporating the views of 4308 health care workers and reported that 42.8% of respondents had skin injuries resulting from pressure (95% CI 41.30-44.30). Moreover, health care workers develop multiple skin lesions across different areas of the face; the disruption of the epidermal skin barrier across multiple sites may increase the risk of contracting COVID-19 among health care workers [14].

Lastly, Szepietowski et al [40] investigated the prevalence of pruritus among health care workers as a result of mask-wearing. From among 1393 participants, 273 (19.6%) reported an itching sensation. Higher incidences of pruritus have been reported in studies from Singapore and China; in Singapore, 51.4% of health care workers developed a facial itch [24], as opposed to 61.8% in China [27]. The risk of pruritus was further increased among those with an atopic predisposition, facial dermatoses, and prolonged PPE use [40]. Moreover, it was found that the

sensation of pruritus caused health care workers to itch and touch their mask, reducing its protectiveness against COVID-19 [40].

Recommendations to Reduce the Adverse Effects Associated With Facial Masks

Despite evidence regarding the vast number of dermatological conditions resulting from mask-wearing, limited evidence is available on the occupational management of these problems. One study advocated the use of virtual occupational health checks to prevent serious skin damage among health care workers [41]. The virtual clinic was led by nurses who advised health care workers on protective self-care and skin care measures and triaged moderate and severe skin disorders to dermatologists if needed [42].

Pressure-related injuries associated with occupational mask-wearing has been common. Letters to the editor, written by multiple working health care professionals throughout the current pandemic, have highlighted the immense discomfort and pressure damage faced by staff on a regular basis [13,16,41]. Surprisingly, despite reports of discomfort and pressure damage, Jiang et al [14] reported that only 17.7% of health care professionals used prophylactic dressings and lotions. Hydrocolloid dressings have been suggested to reduce skin damage and improve comfort among health care workers who use facial masks [16]. A study by Dong et al [18] found that the use of hydrogel patches resulted in lower skin reaction mean scores (3.47, SD 1.39) compared to their nonuse (13.32, SD 2.06), demonstrating their ability to reduce the severity and incidence of skin damage. Furthermore, Payne [16] argued that a strip of hydrocolloid dressing over the area of pressure damage should not impair the mask seal and should be used by health care workers with pre-existing skin disease or those who wear masks for over 2 hours. Buckley et al [6] agreed with the use of hydrocolloid dressings; however, they recommended refit testing for staff members to ensure the seal was intact to prevent infection. In stark contrast, Yin et al [41] reported that hydrocolloid dressings may be harmful to the skin owing to the extreme stickiness of the dressing and the potential to rip away skin on removal. A recent study by Jiang et al [26] documented the use of a plastic handle on the N95 respirator to reduce pressure injuries to the ear and reduce mask adjustments made by health care workers. The advantages of using a plastic handle included improved comfort, intact mask seal, reduced risk of infection through a lower rate of mask adjustments, and easy disinfection of the handles [27]. Other methods to reduce pressure-related injuries include the following: education of health care professionals [7,38], use of a correctly fitted mask to minimize friction [7,11], regular moisturizing [6,7,11,16], and frequent mask-free breaks [6,11,13,16].

The alleviation of mental health conditions, such as stress and anxiety, within these unprecedented times also plays a key role in preventing skin damage. Li et al [33] reported that high mental stress may precipitate endocrine disorders such as acne through excessive secretion of androgens, which in turn stimulate excess sebum secretion from the sebaceous glands. This, along with mask-wearing, reduces local blood circulation and oxygen levels and causes occlusion of the sebaceous ducts

XSL•FO

in hair follicles [33]. Additional factors that exacerbate skin stress include high-intensity work, irregular eating habits, and poor rest [32]. Other studies further corroborated the findings of Li et al, linking stress to acne [28], dermatitis [28], and pre-existing skin disorders [28,32].

In addition, anxiety has proved to be a problem among health care workers. A study conducted in Malaysia [41] reported that several pressure-related injuries were self-inflicted by health care professionals owing to overtightening of their N95 masks. Although overtightening of the masks provided the staff with mental relief of improved protection, it consequently increased the risk of skin damage and inadvertently increased the risk of COVID-19 infection through disrupted skin [41]. Staff members responsible for providing care to patients with COVID-19 should be educated on the efficacy of masks to reduce overtightening as well as anxiety [41].

Furthermore, nonmodifiable risk factors, such as gender, influenced the progression of skin damage. One study [25] reported that rashes were more likely to affect women. Zuo et al [39] found that women had a lower threshold for reporting symptoms of skin damage. However, Gefen et al [43] surveyed 4308 health care workers and found that the male prevalence of pressure injuries was significant and 1.6-fold that among women. Possible theories for this finding include differences in the facial architecture between men and women [41]. Moreover, this result may demonstrate the need for gender-specific PPE to prevent skin damage among men [41].

Limitations

Notable limitations of our study include the exploratory direction of the literature review; the available literature was restricted owing to the emerging nature of COVID-19 and lack of studies during the search period (May 1-29, 2020). Moreover, the data included within the review may be constrained by PubMed being the only legitimate scientific database being used herein; this may raise concerns that other important studies may have been missed. As a result of limitations arising from the aforementioned reasons, our literature review accepted all types of articles, which may have limited the applicability of the results to the broader population. Furthermore, numerous studies included within our literature review reported their findings on the basis of a small cohort, which may have decreased the reliability of the results. Furthermore, articles included in this literature review were from multiple institutions worldwide, most notably the United Kingdom and Asia. Difficulties, such as finding reliable translations of articles as well as a lack of literature from other countries, may also skew the results of this literature review.

Conclusions

PPE has been invaluable throughout the COVID-19 pandemic; it has allowed health care workers to safely provide care to the most vulnerable individuals, with minimal risk. Masks have provided the main form of essential protection to the respiratory system against COVID-19; however, owing to the rapid global threat COVID-19 presents, it is clear that the risk of skin damage resulting from mask-wearing has not been considered. The effects of skin damage can be dangerous among health care workers; the risk of infection may be increased through disruptions in the skin barrier as well as self-contamination through mask adjustments. The highly contagious nature of SARS-CoV-2 increases the likelihood that protective measures may stay in place from this day forward; innovation and advancements in PPE need to be sought to protect the skin and to reduce the currently increasing incidence of dermatological conditions among health care workers.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

References

- 1. Singhal T. A Review of Coronavirus Disease-2019 (COVID-19). Indian J Pediatr 2020 Apr 13;87(4):281-286 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s12098-020-03263-6] [Medline: 32166607]
- Zhang L, Wang M, Wang Y, Zhu J, Zhang N. Focus on the 2019 novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2). Future Microbiol 2020 Jul;15:905-918 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2217/fmb-2020-0063] [Medline: 32524843]
- Al-Kassmy J, Pedersen J, Kobinger G. Vaccine Candidates against Coronavirus Infections. Where Does COVID-19 Stand? Viruses 2020 Aug 07;12(8):861 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3390/v12080861] [Medline: 32784685]
- Abd El-Aziz TM, Stockand JD. Recent progress and challenges in drug development against COVID-19 coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) - an update on the status. Infect Genet Evol 2020 Sep;83:104327 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2020.104327] [Medline: 32320825]
- Feng S, Shen C, Xia N, Song W, Fan M, Cowling BJ. Rational use of face masks in the COVID-19 pandemic. Lancet Respir Med 2020 May;8(5):434-436 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30134-X] [Medline: 32203710]
- Buckley D, Stone N, British Society for Cutaneous Allergy Committee. British Society for Cutaneous Allergy advice on skin damage from FFP3 masks. BMJ 2020 Jun 09;369:m2268. [doi: <u>10.1136/bmj.m2268</u>] [Medline: <u>32518080</u>]
- Bhatia R, Sindhuja T, Bhatia S, Dev T, Gupta A, Bajpai M, et al. Iatrogenic dermatitis in times of COVID-19: a pandemic within a pandemic. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2020 Oct;34(10):e563-e566 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/jdv.16710] [Medline: 32495393]
- Fahmy D, El-Amawy HS, El-Samongy MA, Fouda A, Soliman S, El-Kady A, et al. COVID-19 and dermatology: a comprehensive guide for dermatologists. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2020 Jul;34(7):1388-1394 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/jdv.16545] [Medline: 32428303]

- Lan J, Song Z, Miao X, Li H, Li Y, Dong L, et al. Skin damage among health care workers managing coronavirus disease-2019. J Am Acad Dermatol 2020 May;82(5):1215-1216 [FREE Full text] [doi: <u>10.1016/j.jaad.2020.03.014</u>] [Medline: <u>32171808</u>]
- 10. Elston DM. Occupational skin disease among health care workers during the coronavirus (COVID-19) epidemic. J Am Acad Dermatol 2020 May;82(5):1085-1086 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2020.03.012] [Medline: 32171807]
- Yan Y, Chen H, Chen L, Cheng B, Diao P, Dong L, et al. Consensus of Chinese experts on protection of skin and mucous membrane barrier for health-care workers fighting against coronavirus disease 2019. Dermatol Ther 2020 Jul;33(4):e13310 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/dth.13310] [Medline: 32170800]
- 12. Darlenski R, Tsankov N. COVID-19 pandemic and the skin: what should dermatologists know? Clin Dermatol 2020;38(6):785-787 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.clindermatol.2020.03.012] [Medline: 33341217]
- Zhang B, Zhai R, Ma L. 2019 novel coronavirus disease epidemic: skin protection for healthcare workers must not be ignored. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2020 Sep;34(9):e434-e435 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/jdv.16573] [Medline: 32358808]
- 14. Jiang Q, Song S, Zhou J, Liu Y, Chen A, Bai Y, et al. The Prevalence, Characteristics, and Prevention Status of Skin Injury Caused by Personal Protective Equipment Among Medical Staff in Fighting COVID-19: A Multicenter, Cross-Sectional Study. Adv Wound Care (New Rochelle) 2020 Jul;9(7):357-364. [doi: 10.1089/wound.2020.1212] [Medline: 32320359]
- 15. Yu N, Xiao Y, Su J, Huang K, Zhao S. Practical tips for using masks in the COVID-19 pandemic. Dermatol Ther 2020 Jul;33(4):e13555 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/dth.13555] [Medline: 32390307]
- 16. Payne A. Covid-19: skin damage with prolonged wear of FFP3 masks. BMJ 2020 May 04;369:m1743. [doi: 10.1136/bmj.m1743] [Medline: 32366499]
- Aerts O, Dendooven E, Foubert K, Stappers S, Ulicki M, Lambert J. Surgical mask dermatitis caused by formaldehyde (releasers) during the COVID-19 pandemic. Contact Dermatitis 2020 Aug;83(2):172-173. [doi: <u>10.1111/cod.13626</u>] [Medline: <u>32468589</u>]
- Dong L, Yang L, Li Y, Yang J, An X, Yang L, et al. Efficacy of hydrogel patches in preventing facial skin damage caused by mask compression in fighting against coronavirus disease 2019: a short-term, self-controlled study. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2020 Sep;34(9):e441-e443 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/jdv.16638] [Medline: 32421878]
- Smart H, Opinion FB, Darwich I, Elnawasany MA, Kodange C. Preventing Facial Pressure Injury for Health Care Providers Adhering to COVID-19 Personal Protective Equipment Requirements. Adv Skin Wound Care 2020 Aug;33(8):418-427 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1097/01.ASW.0000669920.94084.c1] [Medline: 32530822]
- Singh M, Pawar M, Bothra A, Maheshwari A, Dubey V, Tiwari A, et al. Personal protective equipment induced facial dermatoses in healthcare workers managing Coronavirus disease 2019. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2020 Aug;34(8):e378-e380 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/jdv.16628] [Medline: 32396675]
- 21. Gheisari M, Araghi F, Moravvej H, Tabary M, Dadkhahfar S. Skin reactions to non-glove personal protective equipment: an emerging issue in the COVID-19 pandemic. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2020 Jul;34(7):e297-e298 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/jdv.16492] [Medline: 32302444]
- 22. Preventing and Treating Occupationally Induced Dermatologic Conditions During COVID-19. American Academy of Dermatology Association. URL: <u>https://www.aad.org/public/diseases/coronavirus/occ-induced</u> [accessed 2020-06-02]
- Maliyar K, Sachdeva M, Mufti A, Yeung J. Reply to: "Skin damage among health care workers managing coronavirus disease 2019". J Am Acad Dermatol 2020 Aug;83(2):e169-e170 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2020.05.037] [Medline: 32417418]
- 24. Bothra A, Das S, Singh M, Pawar M, Maheswari A. Retroauricular dermatitis with vehement use of ear loop face masks during COVID-19 pandemic. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2020 Oct;34(10):e549-e552 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/jdv.16692] [Medline: 32491204]
- 25. Hu K, Fan J, Li X, Gou X, Li X, Zhou X. The adverse skin reactions of health care workers using personal protective equipment for COVID-19. Medicine (Baltimore) 2020 Jun 12;99(24):e20603 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000020603] [Medline: 32541493]
- 26. Jiang W, Cao W, Liu Q. Wearing the N95 mask with a plastic handle reduces pressure injury. J Am Acad Dermatol 2020 Jun;82(6):e191-e192 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2020.04.001] [Medline: 32283239]
- 27. Pei S, Xue Y, Zhao S, Alexander N, Mohamad G, Chen X, et al. Occupational skin conditions on the front line: a survey among 484 Chinese healthcare professionals caring for Covid-19 patients. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2020 Aug;34(8):e354-e357 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/jdv.16570] [Medline: 32362062]
- Goldust M, Shivakumar S, Kroumpouzos G, Murrell DF, Mueller SM, Navarini AA. Where do we stand as dermatologists in combat with COVID-19. Dermatol Ther 2020 Jul;33(4):e13638 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/dth.13638] [Medline: 32441435]
- Hua W, Zuo Y, Wan R, Xiong L, Tang J, Zou L, et al. Short-term skin reactions following use of N95 respirators and medical masks. Contact Dermatitis 2020 Aug;83(2):115-121 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/cod.13601] [Medline: 32406064]
- Scarano A, Inchingolo F, Lorusso F. Facial Skin Temperature and Discomfort When Wearing Protective Face Masks: Thermal Infrared Imaging Evaluation and Hands Moving the Mask. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020 Jun 27;17(13):4624 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3390/ijerph17134624] [Medline: 32605056]

```
https://derma.jmir.org/2021/1/e22789
```

RenderX

- Campbell V, Middleton D, Donnelly J, Hunter H. Localized mid-face miliaria as a consequence of filtering face piece respirator use during the COVID-19 pandemic. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2020 Aug;34(8):e375-e376 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/jdv.16624] [Medline: 32386442]
- 32. Zheng Y, Lai W. Dermatology staff participate in fight against Covid-19 in China. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2020 May;34(5):e210-e211. [doi: 10.1111/jdv.16390] [Medline: 32201983]
- Li C, Shen J, Ju Y, Huang X, Huang L, Zeng K. [Management and prevention of common skin problems during epidemic prevention and control of COVID-19]. Nan Fang Yi Ke Da Xue Xue Bao 2020 Mar 29;40(2):168-170 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.12122/j.issn.1673-4254.2020.02.05] [Medline: 32376531]
- Han C, Shi J, Chen Y, Zhang Z. Increased flare of acne caused by long-time mask wearing during COVID-19 pandemic among general population. Dermatol Ther 2020 Jul;33(4):e13704 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/dth.13704] [Medline: 32472634]
- 35. Navarro-Triviño FJ, Ruiz-Villaverde R. Therapeutic approach to skin reactions caused by personal protective equipment (PPE) during COVID-19 pandemic: An experience from a tertiary hospital in Granada, Spain. Dermatol Ther 2020 Nov;33(6):e13838 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/dth.13838] [Medline: 32543015]
- 36. Yu Q, Li W, Yang L. A case of goggle-mask-related impetigo at the time of the COVID-19 pandemic. Dermatol Ther 2020 Jul;33(4):e13636 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/dth.13636] [Medline: 32436604]
- Sernicola A, Chello C, Cerbelli E, Adebanjo GAR, Parisella FR, Pezzuto A, et al. Treatment of nasal bridge ulceration related to protective measures for the COVID-19 epidemic. Int Wound J 2020 Oct;17(5):1520-1522 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/iwj.13397] [Medline: 32379379]
- Balato A, Ayala F, Bruze M, Crepy M, Gonçalo M, Johansen J, et al. European Task Force on Contact Dermatitis statement on coronavirus disease-19 (COVID-19) outbreak and the risk of adverse cutaneous reactions. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2020 Aug;34(8):e353-e354. [doi: 10.1111/jdv.16557] [Medline: 32356382]
- Zuo Y, Hua W, Luo Y, Li L. Skin reactions of N95 masks and medial masks among health-care personnel: A self-report questionnaire survey in China. Contact Dermatitis 2020 Aug;83(2):145-147 [FREE Full text] [doi: <u>10.1111/cod.13555</u>] [Medline: <u>32297349</u>]
- Szepietowski J, Matusiak L, Szepietowska M, Krajewski P, Białynicki-Birula R. Face Mask-induced Itch: A Self-questionnaire Study of 2,315 Responders During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Acta Derm Venereol 2020 May 28;100(10):adv00152 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2340/00015555-3536] [Medline: 32449781]
- 41. Yin Z. Covid-19: countermeasure for N95 mask-induced pressure sore. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2020 Jul;34(7):e294-e295 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/jdv.16490] [Medline: 32302449]
- 42. Chowdhury MM, Bevan N, Ryan K. Covid-19: virtual occupational skin health clinics for healthcare workers. BMJ 2020 Jun 18;369:m2281. [doi: 10.1136/bmj.m2281] [Medline: 32554389]
- 43. Gefen A, Ousey K. Prevention of skin damage caused by the protective equipment used to mitigate COVID-19. J Wound Care 2020 Jun 02;29(6):311 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.12968/jowc.2020.29.6.311] [Medline: 32530779]

Abbreviations

ACD: allergic contact dermatitis

ICD: irritant contact dermatitis

PPE: personal protective equipment

Edited by G Eysenbach; submitted 23.07.20; peer-reviewed by N Mohammad Gholi Mezerji, B Skidmore; comments to author 09.08.20; revised version received 21.08.20; accepted 17.04.21; published 06.05.21

Please cite as: Wilcha RJ Does Wearing a Face Mask During the COVID-19 Pandemic Increase the Incidence of Dermatological Conditions in Health Care Workers? Narrative Literature Review JMIR Dermatol 2021;4(1):e22789 URL: https://derma.jmir.org/2021/1/e22789 doi: 10.2196/22789 PMID:

©Robyn-Jenia Wilcha. Originally published in JMIR Dermatology (http://derma.jmir.org), 06.05.2021. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR

RenderX

Dermatology Research, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on http://derma.jmir.org, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.