Enzymatic hydrolysis of fumonisins in the gastrointestinal tract of broiler chickens

B. Grenier,^{*,†,1} H. E. Schwartz-Zimmermann,[‡] C. Gruber-Dorninger,[†] I. Dohnal,[†] M. Aleschko,[†] G. Schatzmayr,[†] W. D. Moll,[†] and T. J. Applegate^{*,§}

*Department of Animal Sciences, Purdue University, W. Lafayette, IN; [†]BIOMIN Research Center, Tulln,

Austria; [†]Christian Doppler Laboratory for Mycotoxin Metabolism and Center for Analytical Chemistry, Department of Agrobiotechnology (IFA-Tulln), University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna, Tulln, Austria: and [§]Department of Poultry Science, University of Georgia, Athens

ABSTRACT Fumonisins (FB) are among the most frequently detected mycotoxins in feedstuffs and finished feed, and recent data suggest that the functions of the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) in poultry species might be compromised at doses ranging from 10 to 20 mg/kg, close to field incidences and below the US and EU guidelines. Strategies are therefore necessary to reduce the exposure of poultry to FB. In the present study, we assessed the efficacy of fumonisin esterase FumD (EC 3.1.1.87, commercial name $FUMzyme^{\mathbb{R}}$) to cleave the tricarballylic acid side chains of FB, leading to the formation of non-toxic hydrolyzed fumonisins in the GIT of broiler chickens. Broiler chickens were fed for 14 d (7 to 21 d of age) 3 different diets (6 birds/cage, 6 cages/diet), i) control feed (negative control group), ii) feed contaminated with 10 mg FB/kg (FB group), and iii) feed contaminated with 10 mg FB/kg and supplemented with 100 units of FUMzyme[®]/kg (FB+FUMzyme[®] group). To determine the degree of reduction of FB in the GIT, 2 characteristics were analyzed. First, the sphinganineto-sphingosine ratio in the serum and liver was determined as a biomarker of effect for exposure to FB.

Second, the concentration of fumonisin B_1 and its hydrolyzed forms was evaluated in the gizzard, the proximal and distal parts of the small intestine, and the excreta. Significantly reduced sphinganine-to-sphingosine ratios in the serum and liver of the $FB+FUMzume^{\mathbb{R}}$ group (serum: 0.15 ± 0.01 ; liver: 0.17 ± 0.01) compared to the FB group (serum: 0.20 ± 0.01 ; liver: 0.29 \pm 0.03) proved that supplementation of broiler feed with $FUMzyme^{\mathbb{R}}$ was effective in partially counteracting the toxic effect of dietary FB. Likewise, FB concentrations in digesta and excreta were significantly reduced in the $FB+FUMzyme^{\mathbb{R}}$ group compared to the FB group (P < 0.05; up to 75%). FUM*zyme*[®] furthermore partially counteracted FB-induced up-regulation of cytokine gene expression (IL-8 and IL-10) in the jejunum. The FB group showed significantly higher gene expression of IL-8 and IL-10 compared to the negative control group (IL-8: fold change = 2.9 ± 1.1 , P < 0.05; IL-10: fold change = 3.6 ± 1.4 , P < 0.05), whereas IL-8 and IL-10 mRNA levels were not significantly different in the FB+FUM $zyme^{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}}$ group compared to the other 2 groups. In conclusion, $FUMzyme^{\mathbb{R}}$ is suitable to detoxify FB in chickens and maintain gut functions.

Key words: fumonisins, fumonisin esterase, sphinganine, sphingosine, gastrointestinal tract

2017 Poultry Science 96:4342–4351 http://dx.doi.org/10.3382/ps/pex280

INTRODUCTION

Function Function Function (FB) are a group of mycotoxins produced by *Fusarium verticillioides*, a common fungal contaminant of corn and other cereals (Marasas, 2001). Funon-

Received May 10, 2017.

isin B_1 (**FB**₁) is the most abundant and toxic of this class and has been linked to various diseases in humans and animals. The role of FB₁ as an etiologic agent in diseases such as equine leukoencephalomalacia and porcine pulmonary edema has been established (reviewed by Voss et al., 2007). An association between dietary exposure to FB₁ and occurrences of human esophageal cancer in southern Africa and primary liver cancer in China was reported (reviewed by Soriano et al., 2005), and the toxin was classified as possibly carcinogenic to humans (group 2B carcinogen) by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2002).

Most contamination of food and feed with FB occurs at concentrations lower than those causing overt

[©] The Author 2017. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Poultry Science Association. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com.

Accepted September 4, 2017.

¹Corresponding author: bertrand.grenier@biomin.net

4343

clinical symptoms (Streit et al., 2013a). However, the ingestion of subclinical doses of FB has been reported to result in immunologic and metabolic disturbances that may lead to susceptibility to diseases and metabolic disorders. In pigs, FB doses of 3 to 30 mg/kg of feed (considered realistic to occasional doses according to the scale of Grenier and Applegate, 2013) may predispose the animals to lung inflammation (Hallov et al., 2005), intestinal colonization by opportunistic pathogenic bacteria (Oswald et al., 2003), and digestive disorders (including villus alterations and reduced peptidase activity; Piva et al., 2005; Lessard et al., 2009). According to published studies, it seems that poultry species are able to tolerate higher doses of FB, at least in terms of performance response, with no effect on growth of broiler chickens up to 75 to 100 mg FB/kg of feed (Ledoux et al., 1992; Henry et al., 2000; Broomhead et al., 2002). This relative tolerance in avian species to toxins from *Fusarium* in general might be explained either by their low sensitivity to the mechanisms of toxicity of fusariotoxins or by differences in toxicokinetic properties (Guerre et al., 2015). Regarding FB, it already has been demonstrated that the toxin absorption is very low in poultry compared to pigs (3- to 10-fold lower; Guerre et al., 2015; Grenier and Applegate, 2013). Nonetheless, the very poor absorption of FB (less than 5%) implies that a substantial part of FB remains in the gastrointestinal tract (GIT), and therefore intestinal epithelial cells are exposed to high FB concentrations. In agreement with that, new evidence has emerged that broiler chickens fed with 10 to 20 mg FB/kg of feed (EU and US guidance levels set at 20 and 50 mg/kg, respectively) were more susceptible to necrotic enteritis and coccidiosis (Antonissen et al., 2015a; Grenier et al., 2016), and underwent digestive and immune disturbances (Antonissen et al., 2015a; Grenier et al., 2015).

It is now well established that the initial mechanism leading to the toxicity and carcinogenicity of FB is related to the disruption of the sphingolipid metabolism that occurs as a result of the inhibition of ceramide synthase (Soriano et al., 2005; Voss et al., 2007). The inhibition of ceramide synthase is attributed to the structural similarity of FB to the 2 sphingoid bases, sphinganine (Sa) and sphingosine (So). This inhibition results in an increase of Sa, So, and their metabolites, all of which are implicated in cellular signals regulating cell growth, differentiation, survival, and apoptosis (Merrill et al., 2001). As Sa is an intermediate in the de novo biosynthesis of ceramides, and So an intermediate of ceramide turnover, ceramide synthase inhibition increases Sa concentration more than So concentration. This effect of FB on sphingolipid metabolism has been reported in a variety of animals, including avian species (with dietary FB as low as 2 mg/kg in ducks; Tardieu et al., 2006) even though growth is not affected at relatively high dosages. Therefore, exposure of animals to FB is widely assessed by measuring the concentrations of Sa and So in biological matrices (serum, liver, and kidney) and by determining the Sa-to-So (Sa/So) ratio.

The contamination of feed with mycotoxins is a continuing feed safety issue leading to economic losses in animal production (Bryden, 2012). Consequently, a variety of methods for decontamination of feed has been developed, with mycotoxin detoxifying agents supplemented as feed additives considered to be the most promising approach that is most commonly used (Kolosova and Stroka, 2011). Due to the extensive use of these feed additives, the European Union in 2009 introduced a new group of additives designated "substances for reduction of the contamination of feed by mycotoxins: substances that can suppress or reduce the absorption of mycotoxins (mycotoxin binders), or modify their mode of action (mycotoxin modifiers)" [Regulation (EC) No 386/2009]. The structural and chemical diversity of mycotoxins implies that a unique and specific approach has to be developed for each mycotoxin. For instance, mycotoxin binders, such as clavs, are quite effective with respect to aflatoxins, but fail to prevent toxic effects of *Fusarium* mycotoxins, such as FB (Kolosova and Stroka, 2011). The conversion of FB to their hydrolyzed forms (**HFB**) can be achieved by enzymatic degradation using fumonisin esterase FumD (EC 3.1.1.87), an enzyme of bacterial FB catabolism (Heinl et al., 2010; Hartinger and Moll, 2011) that has recently been commercialized as $\mathrm{FUM}zyme^{(\mathrm{R})}$ and authorized by the EU for the use in poultry and pigs. In addition to elimination of the parent toxin, a successful detoxification process facilitates the formation of nontoxic metabolites. This has been investigated in pigs that were orally treated with high doses of FB_1 and its hydrolyzed form HFB₁, and no sign of toxicity (i.e., with respect to effects on Sa/So ratio, mucosal immunity, and intestinal and hepatic lesions) was reported in HFB₁-treated pigs compared to FB₁-treated pigs (Grenier et al., 2012). Furthermore, HFB₁ showed a greatly reduced reproductive toxicity (Collins et al., 2006; Voss et al., 2009) and hepatotoxicity (Howard et al., 2002) in rodents. Likewise, the partially hydrolyzed fumonisin B_1 forms a and b (**pHFB**_{1a} and **pHFB**_{1b}), which are formed as intermediate products of FB degradation by $FUMzyme^{\mathbb{R}}$, did not affect the Sa/So ratio in rats (Hahn et al., 2015).

FUM*zyme*[®] was shown to be effective as a FBdegrading feed additive in pigs and turkeys. In both species, it was shown to facilitate gastrointestinal degradation of dietary FB₁ and to prevent an increase of the Sa/So ratio in serum (Masching et al., 2016). It furthermore neutralized toxic effects of dietary fumonisins on the liver, lung, jejunum, and immune response of pigs (Grenier et al., 2013). The aim of the present study was to assess the efficacy of FUM*zyme*[®] in broiler chickens that received a diet contaminated with 10 mg FB/kg. As FB remain in the GIT and may compromise intestinal functions, we evaluated the concentration of FB and their metabolites in the GIT (gizzard and proximal and distal parts of the small intestine) and in excreta of the birds after the enzymatic treatment as a biomarker of exposure. Concentrations of Sa and So were measured in the serum and liver as biomarkers of effect. Furthermore, as 10 mg FB/kg of feed was recently reported to affect the gene expression of cytokines related to intestinal inflammation in broiler chickens (Grenier et al., 2015), the effect of FB and $FUMzyme^{(R)}$ on cytokine gene expression in the jejunum was evaluated in the present study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental Birds and Housing, Diet Formulation, Study Design, and Sampling

All animal care and use procedures for the experiment were approved by the Purdue University Animal Care and Use Committee. A 14-day feeding study was conducted with 7-day-old male broilers (Ross 708). For artificial contamination of feed with FB, culture material of Fusarium verticillioides (M-3125; Desjardins et al., 1992) was grown on rice, homogenized, freezedried, and mixed into the basal diet. The culture material contained 15.4 mg/g FB₁ + fumonisin B₂ (**FB₂**). A batch of FUMzyme[®] was produced by fermentation of a recombinant Pichia pastoris strain, which secretes fumonisin esterase FumD into the fermentation medium (Heinl et al., 2010). The fermentation medium containing FumD was separated from biomass by centrifugation and microfiltration and spray-dried with maltodextrin as carrier to achieve a FumD concentration of 3.500 units (U) per gram (one U is the enzymatic activity that releases 1 μ mol tricarballylic acid per min from 100 μ M FB₁ in 20 mM Tris-Cl buffer pH 8.0 with 0.1 mg/mL BSA at $30^{\circ}C$).

Six replicate cages (6 birds per cage) were fed experimental diets formulated to contain i) basal feed (negative control), ii) feed artificially contaminated with 10 $mg/kg FB_1 + FB_2$, or iii) feed artificially contaminated with 10 mg/kg $FB_1 + FB_2$ and supplemented with 100 $U/kg FUMzyme^{\mathbb{R}}$ (analysis of the $FUMzyme^{\mathbb{R}}$ activity in the final diet was 78.5 U/kg \pm 2.0). FUMzyme[®] is intended to be used at a dosage of 15 to 300 U/kgfeed (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2016). In this study, we applied a dosage of 100 U/kg, which is within this range. FB_1 and FB_2 levels in all diets were analyzed by Romer Labs GmbH (Romer Labs, Union, MO) via multitoxin LC-MS/MS. Presence of other major mycotoxins in the diets was evaluated (IFA, Tulln, Austria) as described by Streit et al. (2013b). Deoxynivalenol and zearalenone were found to be naturally present in all final diets, and concentrations measured in feed for individual groups ranged from 0.590 to 0.846 mg/kg, and 0.033 to 0.049 mg/kg, respectively. Ingredient formulation, nutrient composition, and FB content of the diets are reported in Tables 1 and 2. Birds were housed in stainless-steel battery cages equipped with nippletype waterers and thermostatically controlled heaters. The mortality of birds was recorded daily. Body weight

Table 1. Diet formulation and nutrient specification

Item	Starter diet
Ingredient (% of diet)	
Corn	53.98
Soybean meal (48% CP)	38.05
Soy oil	3.52
Sodium chloride	0.48
DL-Methionine	0.25
Threonine	0.07
L-Lysine HCl	0.10
Limestone	1.68
Monocalcium phosphate	1.52
Vitamin and mineral premix ¹	0.35
Nutrient composition (calculated)	
ME, kcal/kg	3066
CP, %	22.43
Ca, %	1.01
Nonphytate P, %	0.43
Met, $\%$	0.59
Thr, %	0.92
Lys, %	1.34

¹Supplied the following per kilogram of diet: iron, 50.2 mg; copper, 7.7 mg; manganese, 125.1 mg; zinc, 125.1 mg; iodine, 2.1 mg; selenium, 0.3 mg; vitamin A, 13,233 IU; vitamin D₃, 6,636 IU; vitamin E, 44.1 IU; vitamin K activity, 4.5 mg; thiamine, 2.2 mg; riboflavin, 6.6 mg; pantothenic acid, 24.3 mg; niacin, 88.2 mg; pyridoxine, 3.3 mg; folic acid, 1.1 mg; biotin, 0.3 mg; vitamin B₁₂, 24.8 mcg; choline, 669.8 mg. ME, Metabolizable Energy; CP, Crude Protein.

 Table 2. Experimental treatments and fumonisin content of diets

Treatment group	$\begin{array}{c} FB_1 \\ (mg/kg \\ feed)^1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} FB_2\\ (mg/kg\\ feed)^1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Total \ FB} \\ {\rm (mg/kg} \\ {\rm feed})^1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm FUM} zyme^{\rm (B)} \\ {\rm (U/kg} \\ {\rm feed} \end{array}$
Negative control	0.02	/	$0.02 \\ 11.0 \\ 10.2$	/
FB	8.2	2.8		/
FB+FUMzyme [®]	7.8	2.4		78.5

¹ \mathbf{FB}_1 , fumonisin B₁; \mathbf{FB}_2 , fumonisin B₂; \mathbf{FB} , fumonisins.

and feed intake were measured per cage at the beginning and at the end of the trial (7 and 21 d of age), and feed conversion ratio was calculated as the feed intake during this period divided by the body weight gain over the same period.

All the birds were euthanized by an overdose of carbon dioxide. Blood was collected from the jugular veins of broilers (6 replicates/diet, pool of 2 birds/replicate), incubated at room temperature for 30 min for coagulation, and centrifuged at $1,000 \times \text{g}$ for 20 min at 4°C . The serum samples were stored at -80°C until analysis. Livers were removed from 2 birds per cage, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C prior to sphingolipid analyses. A small piece of mid-jejunum was taken from one bird per cage and placed in a cryovial containing RNAlater (Ambion Inc., Austin, TX) for subsequent RNA isolation. All the birds were sampled for determination of FB_1 and its metabolites in digesta. Digesta contents of 6 birds (from the same cage) were pooled and considered as one replicate per diet. The digesta contents from the gizzard, the proximal part of the small intestine (SI) (i.e., content from the duodenum to the mid jejunum), and the distal part of the SI (i.e., content from the mid jejunum to the ileocecal junction) were collected by gentle squeezing, which were then stored at -20°C until analysis. Similarly, fresh excreta from each cage were collected and pooled to represent one replicate.

High-performance Liquid Chromatography Tandem Mass Spectrometry Based Analysis of Sphingolipids

Determination of So and Sa in serum was performed as described by Antonissen et al. (2015b). Liver samples were homogenized and extracted as described by Grenier et al. (2015). The obtained extracts were diluted 7.5-fold before injection of 1 μ l. Highperformance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS) analysis of So and Sa was done according to Masching et al. (2016). Briefly, a 1290 Infinity series HPLC system (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany) was equipped with a Kinetex C18 column (150 \times 2.1 mm, 2.6 μ m; Phenomenex, Torrace, CA) and coupled to a Triple Quad 5500 mass spectrometer (AB Sciex, Framingham, MA). The instrument was operated in selected reaction monitoring mode, using the following transitions for quantification: m/z 300.3 to 252.3 for So and m/z 302.3 to 60.1 for Sa. Concentrations of So and Sa were determined based on external standard calibration functions (So and Sa standards were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids, Inc., Alabaster, AL). Results were corrected for recovery (So 64%, Sa 59%), which was determined by spiking of 6 different concentration levels (in triplicates) into blank liver homogenates. Recovery was consistent over the range of concentrations studied. Limit of Quantification (LOQ) of the method is 0.071 μ g/g liver for Sa and 0.056 $\mu g/g$ liver for So; Limit of Detection (LOD) is 0.024 μ g/g liver for Sa and 0.019 μ g/g liver for So. The concentration of Sa and So in the samples of this trial were well within the calibrated range, between 0.375 and 4.5 μ g Sa/g liver and between 3.6 and 8.7 μg So/g liver.

Gene Expression Analysis by Quantitative Reverse Transcription PCR

Intestinal tissues (mid-jejunum) were processed in lysing bead tubes containing guanidine-thiocyanate acid phenol (QIAzol reagent, Qiagen, Valencia, CA) for use with the FastPrep-24 (MP Biomedicals). Concentrations, integrity, and quality of RNA were determined spectrophotometrically using Nanodrop ND1000 (Fisher Scientific, St. Louis, MO). Two micrograms of total RNA were treated with DNase I (Sigma Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO), as some genes were lacking introns. RNA was reverse-transcribed using M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega, Madison, WI). Quantitative real-time PCR was performed using the iCycler iQ realtime PCR detection system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) with the iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad). Thermal cycling conditions for the PCR reactions were 95°C for 5 min followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 s, 55°C for 20 s, and 72°C for 20 seconds. To verify the absence of genomic DNA in the RNA preparations, quantitative PCR was performed on non-reverse-transcribed RNA preparations. Each sample was assessed in duplicate on 2 separate plates (in triplicate in case of a high coefficient of variation). The specificity of the PCR products was checked at the end of the reaction by analyzing the curve of dissociation. In addition, the amplicon size was verified by electrophoresis. The genes studied were IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, SOCS1, IL-17, IL-21, and IFN- γ , and the sequences of the primers used are detailed in Table 3. Amplification efficiency and initial fluorescence were determined by using the Data Analysis for Real-Time PCR method (Peirson et al., 2003). Then, values obtained were normalized to both housekeeping genes encoding glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase and $\beta 2$ -µglobuline. Finally, gene expression was expressed relative to the control group.

HPLC-MS/MS Based Analysis of FB₁, pHFB₁a, pHFB₁b, and HFB₁ in Digesta and Excreta

The sample preparation method for determination of FB₁, pHFB₁a, pHFB₁b, and HFB₁ in digesta and feces included 3-fold extraction of 1.00 ± 0.01 g of homogenized sample (thorough stirring with a spoon) with 10 mL, 10 mL, and 5 mL of ACN/water/formic acid (74/25/1, v/v/v) by shaking for 30 min, 20 min, and 10 min, respectively. Shaking was performed on a GFL 3017 rotary shaker (GFL, Burgwedel, Germany) at 200 rpm. Supernatants obtained by centrifugation (2,655 × g, 10 min) were combined, vortexed, diluted 1 + 3 with extraction solvent, and centrifuged at 14,000 × g for 10 min prior to LC-MS/MS analysis as described by Hahn et al. (2015). LOD (LOQ) values in fresh GIT samples were 0.05 (0.12) μ g/g for HFB₁, 0.08 (0.23) μ g/g for pHFB₁a and b, and 0.12 (0.38) μ g/g for FB₁.

Statistics

Data were subjected to analysis of variance using IBM SPSS statistics software (Version 19.0, IBM corp., Armonk, New York 2010). The data were first analyzed as a completely randomized design with the experimental unit as a cage of birds (one bird/cage or a pool of birds/cage) to examine the overall effects of diets. The Fisher's Least Significance Difference test was then used as a post hoc test or the Games-Howell test when equal variances could not be assumed (Levene statistic). Statements of significance were $P \leq 0.05$ unless noted otherwise. In addition, the gene expression was considered "biologically" significant across treatments when both P-value ≤ 0.05 and cut-off value of > 2 or < -2 for

\mathbf{T}	Table 3	3. Nucleotide see	puence of primers	for quantitative	real-time PCR
--------------	---------	-------------------	-------------------	------------------	---------------

GENE ¹	PRIMER SEQUENCE	AMPLICON		ENSEMBL ACCESS $\#$	REFERENCES
		Size	muon		
Housekeep	ing genes				
GAPDH	F (300 nM) TCCTAGGATACACAGAGGACCA	151 bp	2(499)	ENSGALG00000014442	Grenier et al., 2015
	R (300 nM) CGGTTGCTATATCCAAACTCA				
$\beta 2$ - μ glob.	F (300 nM) CCACCCAAGATCTCCATCAC	92 bp	0	ENSGALG0000002160	Present study
	R (300 nM) AACGTCCAGTCGTCGTTGA				
Pro-inflam	matory cytokines				
IL-1 β	F (300 nM) GCATCAAGGGCTACAAGCTC	131 bp	1(87)	ENSGALG0000000534	Adedokun et al., 2012
	R (300 nM) CAGGCGGTAGAAGATGAAGC				
IL-6	F (300 nM) GAATGTTTTAGTTCGGGCACA	130 bp	0	ENSGALG00000010915	Grenier et al., 2015
TT o	R (300 nM) TTCCTAGAAGGAAATGAGAATGC	1 1 0 1	2 (1210)		
1L-8	F (300 nM) GCGGCCCCCCACTGCAAGAAT	146 bp	2(1210)	ENSGALG00000011670	Gremer et al., 2015
	R (300 nm) TCACAGIGGIGCATCAGAAIIGAGC				
Treg signa	ture				
IL-10	F (300 nM) GCTGAGGGTGAAGTTTGAGG	121 bp	2(1127)	ENSGALG0000000892	Grenier et al., 2015
	R (300 nM) AGACTGGCAGCCAAAGGTC				
SOCS1	F (300 nM) CAAGCGGATTTCAGTAGCATC	110 bp	no intron	ENSGALG0000007158	Grenier et al., 2015
	R (300 nM) GGCTCAGACTTCAGCTTCTCA				
Th17 and	Th1 signature				
IL-17	F (300 nM) TATCAGCAAACGCTCACTGG	110 bp	1(666)	ENSGALG00000016678	Grenier et al., 2015
	R (300 nM) AGTTCACGCACCTGGAATG	-	. ,		
IL-21	F (300 nM) GCTTTCAAAGACAATTGACCATC	106 bp	2(3765)	ENSGALG00000011844	Grenier et al., 2015
	R (300 nM) TACAGCTGTGAGCAGGCATC				
IFN- γ	F (300 nM) AGCTGACGGTGGACCTATTATT	259 bp	2(998)	ENSGALG0000009903	Hong et al., 2006
	R (300 nM) GGCTTTGCGCTGGATTC				

 $^{1}\beta$ 2-µglob., β 2-µglobuline; GAPDH, Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; IFN- γ , Interferon- γ ; IL, Interleukin; SOCS1, Suppressor of cytokine signaling 1.

²Number of introns spanned in the design of primers; the brackets report the total size of introns in bp.

fold change were seen, as commonly reported in transcriptomics studies.

RESULTS

A feeding trial was performed to evaluate the efficacy of $FUMzyme^{\mathbb{R}}$ in chickens. Groups of animals (Table 2) received either basal feed (negative control group), FB-contaminated feed (10 mg/kg FB₁ + FB₂; FB group), or FB-contaminated feed supplemented with $FUMzyme^{\mathbb{R}}$ (10 mg/kg FB₁ + FB₂, 100 U/kg $FUMzyme^{\mathbb{R}}$; FB+FUMzyme^{\mathbb{R}} group) for 14 days. As expected, none of the experimental diets had an effect on body weight gain, feed intake, or feed conversion ratio of birds during the 2-week feeding period (data not shown, but body weight average at 21 d was about 735 g).

Effect of Treatments on The Sphingolipid Metabolism of Birds – biomarker of Effect of FB

As expected, the analysis of the sphingoid base content showed an increase of the Sa/So ratio in the serum and liver of birds fed a FB-contaminated diet as compared to birds fed an uncontaminated diet (Figure 1). Addition of $FUMzyme^{\mbox{\tiny B}}$ to the FB-containing diet significantly reduced this specific increase of the Sa/So ratios in the serum and liver (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Sphinganine (Sa)/sphingosine (So) ratio in serum and liver. Values are means \pm SEM for 6 replicates (pool of 2 birds/replicate) for each treatment. Means with no common superscript are significantly different (P < 0.05).

Effect of Treatments on The Content of FB₁ and its Partially and Fully Hydrolyzed Forms in the Digestive Tract and The Excreta of Birds – biomarker of Exposure to FB

 FB_1 was recovered in high concentrations from the different parts of the intestinal tract and the excreta of birds fed a FB-contaminated diet without $FUM_{zyme}^{(R)}$ addition (Figure 2). This is in line with a low intestinal absorption of FB in poultry (reviewed by Guerre, 2015) and other animals. The recovery of low concentrations of pHFB₁a and pHFB₁b indicates that FB are hydrolyzed in the digestive tract of chickens, as also



Figure 2. Funonisin B1 (FB₁), partially hydrolyzed funonisin B₁ (pHFB₁a), partially hydrolyzed funonisin B₁ (pHFB₁b), and hydrolyzed funonisin B₁ (HFB₁) in digestive tract and excreta. The figure does not display the negative control group, as neither FB₁ nor its metabolites were above the limit of quantification in samples of these birds. Values are means \pm SEM for 6 replicates (pool of 6 birds/replicate) for each treatment. For FB₁, means with no common superscript are significantly different (P < 0.05). Also displayed are the chemical structures of funonisin B₁ (FB₁), partially hydrolyzed funonisin B₁ (pHFB₁a, pHFB₁b), and hydrolyzed funonisin B₁ (HFB₁) – adapted from Hahn et al. (2015).

has been observed for turkeys (Masching et al., 2016) and different mammalian species (Shephard et al., 1994; Fodor et al., 2008; Hahn et al., 2015), and has been ascribed to the gut microbiota (rather than to the natural hydrolysis of FB in the culture material or in the feed). The difference between $pHFB_1a$ and $pHFB_1b$ is the carbon position of the tricarballylic acid chain left after partial hydrolysis. If the remaining tricarballylic acid chain is attached to C14 of the FB backbone, the compound is called $pHFB_1b$. If it is attached to C15, the compound is called $pHFB_1a$ (as shown in Figure 2, adapted from Hahn et al., 2015). The inclusion of 100 U $FUMzyme^{\mathbb{R}}/kg$ in the FB-containing diet strongly reduced the exposure of birds to FB in the intestinal tract (Figure 2). $FUMzyme^{\mathbb{R}}$ decreased the FB content by 3.5 times in the gizzard, by 3.5times in the proximal part of the SI, by 3.9 times in the distal part of the SI, and by 2.6 times in the excreta. HFB₁ and pHFB₁ concentrations increased accordingly. Data from the control birds are not presented in Figure 2, as only traces of FB_1 (less than 0.1 $\mu g/g$ and 0.3 $\mu g/g$ FB₁ in the intestinal tract and excreta, respectively) and no HFB_1 or $pHFB_1$ were detected.

Effect of Treatments on The Expression of Genes Related to Inflammatory and Immune Responses in The Jejunum of Birds

The expression of several genes related to inflammation and T cell responses (Th₁, Th₁₇, T_{reg}) was assessed in the jejunum of birds following the 2 wk of exposure to the experimental diets. The ingestion of FB without FUM*zyme*[®] addition (FB group) resulted in significant up-regulation of mRNAs encoding for interleukin (**IL**)-8 and IL-10 (Figure 3; p = 0.048 and 0.029, respectively, compared to the negative control group). Also, higher levels of IL-17 and suppressor of cytokine signaling 1 (**SOCS1**) mRNAs were detected in the FB group compared to the negative control group (Figure 3; *P*-values = 0.194 and 0.045, respectively), but the fold changes were below the cutoff values set at > 2 or < -2. The addition of FUM*zyme*[®] to the feed (FB+FUM*zyme*[®]) 4348

GRENIER ET AL.



Figure 3. Cytokine gene expression in the jejunum. Values are means \pm SEM for 6 replicates (one bird/replicate) for each treatment. Means with no common superscript are significantly different (P < 0.05). IFN- γ , Interferon- γ ; IL, Interleukin; SOCS1, Suppressor of cytokine signaling 1.

group) enabled a numerical reduction in IL-8 and IL-10 expression compared to the FB group (Figure 3). However, expression of IL-6, and to a lesser extent IL-21, was found slightly increased in the jejunum of birds fed FB+FUM*zyme*[®] compared to birds of the negative control group (Figure 3; P = 0.024 for IL-6 but below the cut off > 2; non-significant for IL-21).

DISCUSSION

The main mechanism of FB toxicity is via disruption of sphingolipid metabolism due to the structural similarity between the 2 sphingoid bases, Sa and So, and FB. The inhibition of ceramide synthase by FB results in accumulation of Sa and to a lesser extent of So. Accumulation of sphingoid bases and the accompanying increase in the Sa/So ratio in tissues following FB exposure has been demonstrated to be a useful biomarker in a variety of mammalian, avian, and piscine species (Voss et al., 2007). Although the Sa/So ratio is considered a biomarker of an effect, it seems to be a more suitable biomarker to evaluate the exposure of animals to FB than the analysis of FB concentrations in biological matrices such as blood, liver, or kidney. This is especially true in avian species in which the very low absorption of FB hampers the detection of the toxins in these matrices (Grenier et al., 2015). As expected, the ingestion of FB in our experiment resulted in a significant increase of the Sa/So ratio in both the serum and liver (Figure 1). This effect was significantly reduced by the addition of $FUMzyme^{\mathbb{R}}$ to contaminated feed (Figure 1). The decrease of the Sa/So ratio upon $FUMzyme^{\mathbb{R}}$ addition suggests that the enzyme reduced the exposure to FB. This was confirmed by measuring concentrations of FB and its metabolites in the GIT and in excreta. Although FB_2 is known to be equally or less toxic compared to FB_1 in vivo, we restricted our analysis of FB degradation products to FB_1 , which is more prevalent than FB_2 (here 3x as high as FB_2 concentration in the experimental diets), and the most thoroughly studied from a toxicological standpoint (Voss et al., 2007). The detection of only very low concentrations of pHFB₁a and pHFB₁b, and no detection of HFB_1 in the FB group (Figure 2) indicated that FB₁ was hydrolyzed in the GIT with very low efficiency. Therefore, most of the FB_1 hydrolysis that occurred in the GIT of the $FB+FUMzyme^{\mathbb{R}}$ group animals (Figure 2) can be attributed to the activity of $FUMzyme^{\mathbb{R}}$. The proportion of FB_1 left in the GIT after ingestion of the mycotoxin and the enzyme in the $FB+FUMzyme^{\mathbb{R}}$ group was 37% in the gizzard, 38% in the proximal SI, 25% in the distal SI, and 34% in the excreta compared to the total metabolites (FB₁ + $pHFB_1 + HFB_1$). It appeared that the hydrolysis of FB_1 started already in the gizzard with significant presence of HFB₁ but also of pHFB₁b, which is the first metabolite produced by $\overline{FUMzyme^{\mathbb{R}}}$. Full hydrolysis to HFB_1 seemed to follow downstream the gizzard, although partially hydrolyzed forms of FB_1 (re)appeared in the distal SI. This would deserve further investigations on the pharmacokinetic of these metabolites.

The strong reduction of FB exposure in the GIT is of major interest, as this toxin is poorly absorbed and therefore remains in the intestinal tract of birds. Poultry have been long considered very resistant to FB, with concentrations up to 75 to 100 mg/kg not affecting performance in chickens (Ledoux et al., 1992; Henry et al., 2000; Broomhead et al., 2002), which is in accordance with our results on bird performance. However, the effect of FB in the GIT of chickens had never been investigated until very recently (Rauber et al., 2012; Antonissen et al., 2015a; Antonissen et al., 2015b; Grenier et al., 2015; Grenier et al., 2016). The present study shows that even at a dose as low as 10 mg FB/kg, the mucosal immunity of the jejunum might be affected. This is in accordance with our previous report showing local modulation of the intestinal immune response when chickens were exposed to increasing concentrations of FB (Grenier et al., 2015). In the present study, the exposure of the jejunal epithelium to the toxin resulted in higher expression levels of IL-8 and IL-10 mR-NAs (Figure 3). By reducing exposure of the gut to FB, $FUMzyme^{\mathbb{R}}$ reduced the FB induced up-regulation of IL-10 and IL-8, and thereby counteracted a negative effect of dietary FB on gut immunity. However, a slight increase in IL-6 expression (significant but below typical fold change cutoff > 2) also has been observed in this group. These cytokines are characterized by both pro- and anti-inflammatory properties. In pathological conditions, such as chronic intestinal inflammation, these immune mediators along with other cytokines have been shown to be increased. This increase may be related to intestinal permeability impairment, possibly leading to translocation of bacteria. An effect on cytokine production already has been demonstrated for another mycotoxin produced by Fusarium species, deoxynivalenol, especially in the pig model in in vitro, ex vivo, and in vivo studies (Cano et al., 2013; Grenier and Applegate, 2013; Pinton and Oswald, 2014). Mycotoxins rarely occur individually in feedstuffs (Streit et al., 2013a; Streit et al., 2013b), and co-occurring mycotoxins might amplify the effect of FB as previously demonstrated in pigs (reviewed by Grenier and Oswald, 2011). Although the toxicity of FB in poultry is still a matter of debate, recent data (including this study) pointed to the susceptibility of birds to metabolic and immunologic disturbances at subclinical doses of FB. Therefore, further investigations are necessary to characterize these effects of FB in more detail. Also, lower dietary FB concentrations should be tested. The level of contamination investigated here is most likely to be encountered in the case of unfavorable weather conditions during crop production, whereas lower contamination levels could be expected in the case of more favorable conditions.

Our experimental design did not include a set of birds on control feed and supplemented with FUM*zyme*[®], as safety of FUM*zyme*[®] already had been established in previous trials. As no adverse effects were observed upon administration of doses up to 30,000 U/kg, the European Food Safety Authority (**EFSA**) concluded that the enzyme is safe for use at a maximum recommended dose of 300 U/kg (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2016). Although not reported in poultry, an effect on the Sa/So ratio of the enzyme alone is not expected, as already demonstrated in pigs (Masching et al., 2016). Therefore, the current study provides new information of FUM*zyme*[®] in poultry, and at dosage below the EFSA recommendation.

In conclusion, the present experiment provides clear evidence that the enzyme used is able to hydrolyze FB in the GIT of chickens, and therefore reduces the exposure to the toxin in both systemic and intestinal tissues. Until now, very few processes have been reported to successfully decontaminate FB-containing feed or detoxify FB within the GIT. Based on the presented results, as well as previous studies in pigs and turkeys (Grenier et al., 2013; Masching et al., 2016), the use of FUM*zyme*[®] as a feed additive is a promising approach.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

B. Grenier is supported by a postdoctoral fellowship financed by BIOMIN. The authors would like to thank the Federal Ministry of Science, Research and Economy, the National Foundation for Research, Technology and Development, and BIOMIN Holding GmbH for funding the Christian Doppler Laboratory for Mycotoxin Metabolism. In addition, we are grateful to Sylvia Caha (Christian Doppler Laboratory for Mycotoxin Metabolism and Center for Analytical Chemistry, Tulln, Austria), Veronika Slavik (Christian Doppler Laboratory for Mycotoxin Metabolism and Center for Analytical Chemistry), Oliver Greitbauer (BIOMIN Research Center, Tulln, Austria), Petra Mayrhofer (BIOMIN Research Center), and Corinna Kern (BIOMIN Research Center) for carrying out sample preparations.

REFERENCES

- Adedokun, S. A., K. M. Ajuwon, L. F. Romero, and O Adeola. 2012. Ileal endogenous amino acid losses: Response of broiler chickens to fiber and mild coccidial vaccine challenge. Poult. Sci. 91:899– 907. doi 10.3382/ps.2011-01777.
- Antonissen, G., S. Croubels, F. Pasmans, R. Ducatelle, V. Eeckhaut, M. Devreese, M. Verlinden, F. Haesebrouck, M. Eeckhout, S. De Saeger, B. Antlinger, B. Novak, A. Martel, and F. Van Immerseel. 2015a. Fumonisins affect the intestinal microbial homeostasis in broiler chickens, predisposing to necrotic enteritis. Vet. Res. 46:98.
- Antonissen, G., F. Van Immerseel, F. Pasmans, R. Ducatelle, G. P. J. Janssens, S. De Baere, K. C. Mountzouris, S. Su, E. A. Wong, B. De Meulenaer, M. Verlinden, M. Devreese, F. Haesebrouck, B. Novak, I. Dohnal, A. Martel, and S. Croubels. 2015b. Mycotoxins deoxynivalenol and fumonisins alter the extrinsic component of intestinal barrier in broiler chickens. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 63:10846–10855.
- Broomhead, J. N., D. R. Ledoux, A. J. Bermudez, and G. E. Rottinghaus. 2002. Chronic effects of fumonisin B1 in broilers and turkeys fed dietary treatments to market age. Poult. Sci. 81:56– 61.
- Bryden, W. L. 2012. Mycotoxin contamination of the feed supply chain: Implications for animal productivity and feed security. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 173:134–158.
- Cano, P. M., J. Seeboth, F. Meurens, J. Cognie, R. Abrami, I. P. Oswald, and L. Guzylack-Piriou. 2013. Deoxynivalenol as a new factor in the persistence of intestinal inflammatory diseases: an emerging hypothesis through possible modulation of Th17mediated response. PLoS ONE 8:e53647.
- Collins, T. F., R. L. Sprando, T. N. Black, N. Olejnik, R. M. Eppley, M. E. Shackelford, P. C. Howard, J. I. Rorie, M. Bryant, and D. I. Ruggles. 2006. Effects of aminopentol on in utero development in rats. Food. Chem. Toxicol. 44:161–169.
- Desjardins, A. E., R. D. Plattner, D. D. Shackelford, J. F. Leslie, and P. E. Nelson. 1992. Heritability of fumonisin B1 production in Gibberella fujikuroi mating population A. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 58:2799–2805.
- EFSA FEEDAP, Panel. 2016. Safety and efficacy of fumonisin esterase (FUM*zyme*[®]) as a technological feed additive for all avian species. EFSA J. 14:4617–4626.
- Fodor, J., K. Balogh, M. Weber, M. Miklos, L. Kametler, R. Posa, R. Mamet, J. Bauer, P. Horn, F. Kovacs, and M. Kovacs. 2008. Absorption, distribution and elimination of fumonisin B₁ metabolites in weaned piglets. Food. Addit. Contam. Part A Chem. Anal. Control Expo. Risk Assess. 25:88–96.
- Grenier, B., and T. Applegate. 2013. Modulation of intestinal functions following mycotoxin ingestion: Meta-analysis of published experiments in animals. Toxins 5:396–430.
- Grenier, B., A.-P. F. L. Bracarense, H. E. Schwartz, J. Lucioli, A.-M. Cossalter, W.-D. Moll, G. Schatzmayr, and I. P. Oswald. 2013. Biotransformation approaches to alleviate the effects induced by *Fusarium* mycotoxins in swine. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 61:6711– 6719.
- Grenier, B., A.-P. F. L. Bracarense, H. E. Schwartz, C. Trumel, A.-M. Cossalter, G. Schatzmayr, M. Kolf-Clauw, W.-D. Moll, and I. P. Oswald. 2012. The low intestinal and hepatic toxicity of hydrolyzed fumonisin B1 correlates with its inability to alter the metabolism of sphingolipids. Biochem. Pharmacol. 83:1465– 1473.
- Grenier, B., I. Dohnal, R. Shanmugasundaram, S. Eicher, R. Selvaraj, G. Schatzmayr, and T. Applegate. 2016. Susceptibility of broiler chickens to coccidiosis when fed subclinical doses of deoxynivalenol and fumonisins—special emphasis on the immunological response and the mycotoxin interaction. Toxins 8:231.
- Grenier, B., and I. Oswald. 2011. Mycotoxin co-contamination of food and feed: Meta-analysis of publications describing toxicological interactions. World Mycotoxin J. 4:285–313.
- Grenier, B., H. E. Schwartz-Zimmermann, S. Caha, W. D. Moll, G. Schatzmayr, and T. J. Applegate. 2015. Dose-dependent effects on sphingoid bases and cytokines in chickens fed diets prepared with *Fusarium verticillioides* culture material containing fumonisins. Toxins 7:1253–1272.

- Guerre, P. 2015. Fusariotoxins in avian species: Toxicokinetics, metabolism and persistence in tissues. Toxins 7:2289–2305.
- Hahn, I., V. Nagl, H. E. Schwartz-Zimmermann, E. Varga, C. Schwarz, V. Slavik, N. Reisinger, A. Malachová, M. Cirlini, S. Generotti, C. Dall'Asta, R. Krska, W.-D. Moll, and F. Berthiller. 2015. Effects of orally administered fumonisin B₁ (FB₁), partially hydrolysed FB₁, hydrolysed FB₁ and N-(1-deoxy-D-fructos-1-yl) FB₁ on the sphingolipid metabolism in rats. Food Chem. Toxicol. 76:11–18.
- Halloy, D. J., P. G. Gustin, S. Bouhet, and I. P. Oswald. 2005. Oral exposure to culture material extract containing fumonisins predisposes swine to the development of pneumonitis caused by Pasteurella multocida. Toxicology 213:34–44.
- Hartinger, D., and W. Moll. 2011. Fumonisin elimination and prospects for detoxification by enzymatic transformation. World Mycotoxin J. 4:271–283.
- Heinl, S., D. Hartinger, M. Thamhesl, E. Vekiru, R. Krska, G. Schatzmayr, W. D. Moll, and R. Grabherr. 2010. Degradation of fumonisin B1 by the consecutive action of two bacterial enzymes. J. Biotechnol. 145:120–129.
- Henry, M. H., R. D. Wyatt, and O. J. Fletcher. 2000. The toxicity of purified fumonisin B1 in broiler chicks. Poult. Sci. 79: 1378–1384.
- Howard, P. C., L. H. Couch, R. E. Patton, R. M. Eppley, D. R. Doerge, M. I. Churchwell, M. M. Marques, and C. V. Okerberg. 2002. Comparison of the toxicity of several fumonisin derivatives in a 28-day feeding study with female B6C3F₁ mice. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 185:153–165.
- International Agency for Research on Cancer. 2002. Some traditional herbal medicines, some mycotoxins, naphthalene and styrene. IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, Lyon, IARCPress 82:301–366.
- Kolosova, A., and J. Stroka. 2011. Substances for reduction of the contamination of feed by mycotoxins: A review. World Mycotoxin J. 4:225–256.
- Ledoux, D. R., T. P. Brown, T. S. Weibking, and G. E. Rottinghaus. 1992. Fumonisin toxicity in broiler chicks. J. Vet. Diagn. Invest. 4:330–333.
- Lessard, M., G. Boudry, B. Sève, I. P. Oswald, and J.-P. Lallès. 2009. Intestinal physiology and peptidase activity in male pigs are modulated by consumption of corn culture extracts containing fumonisins. J. Nutr. 139:1303–1307.
- Marasas, W. F. 2001. Discovery and occurrence of the fumonisins: A historical perspective. Environ. Health Perspect. 109: 239–243.
- Masching, S., K. Naehrer, H. E. Schwartz-Zimmermann, M. Sarandan, S. Schaumberger, I. Dohnal, V. Nagl, and D. Schatzmayr. 2016. Gastrointestinal degradation of fumonisin B₁ by carboxylesterase FumD prevents fumonisin induced alteration of sphingolipid metabolism in turkey and swine. Toxins 8.
- Merrill, A. H., M. C. Sullards, E. Wang, K. A. Voss, and R. T. Riley. 2001. Sphingolipid metabolism: Roles in signal transduction and disruption by fumonisins. Environ. Health Perspect. 109:283–289.
- Oswald, I. P., C. Desautels, J. Laffitte, S. Fournout, S. Y. Peres, M. Odin, P. Le Bars, J. Le Bars, and J. M. Fairbrother. 2003. Mycotoxin fumonisin B₁ increases intestinal colonization by pathogenic *Escherichia coli* in pigs. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69:5870–5874.
- Peirson, S. N., J. N. Butler, and R. G. Foster. 2003. Experimental validation of novel and conventional approaches to quantitative real-time PCR data analysis. Nucleic Acids Res. 31:e73.
- Pinton, P., and I. Oswald. 2014. Effect of deoxynivalenol and other type B trichothecenes on the intestine: A review. Toxins 6:1615– 1643.
- Piva, A., G. Casadei, G. Pagliuca, E. Cabassi, F. Galvano, M. Solfrizzo, R. T. Riley, and D. E. Diaz. 2005. Activated carbon does not prevent the toxicity of culture material containing fumonisin B1 when fed to weanling piglets. J. Anim. Sci. 83:1939–1947.
- Rauber, R. H., P. Dilkin, A. O. Mallmann, A. Marchioro, C. A. Mallmann, A. Borsoi, and V. P. Nascimento. 2012. Individual and combined effects of *Salmonella typhimurium* lipopolysaccharide and fumonisin B1 in broiler chickens. Poult. Sci. 91: 2785–2791.
- Shephard, G. S., P. G. Thiel, E. W. Sydenham, R. Vleggaar, and J. F. Alberts. 1994. Determination of the mycotoxin fumonisin B1

and identification of its partially hydrolysed metabolites in the faeces of non-human primates. Food Chem. Toxicol. 32:23–29.

- Soriano, J. M., L. González, and A. I. Catalá. 2005. Mechanism of action of sphingolipids and their metabolites in the toxicity of fumonisin B1. Prog. Lipid Res. 44:345–356.
- Streit, E., K. Naehrer, I. Rodrigues, and G. Schatzmayr. 2013a. Mycotoxin occurrence in feed and feed raw materials worldwide: Long-term analysis with special focus on Europe and Asia. J. Sci. Food Agric. 93:2892–2899.
- Streit, E., C. Schwab, M. Sulyok, K. Naehrer, R. Krska, and G. Schatzmayr. 2013b. Multi-mycotoxin screening reveals the occurrence of 139 different secondary metabolites in feed and feed ingredients. Toxins 5:504–523.
- Tardieu, D., S. T. Tran, A. Auvergne, R. Babilé, G. Benard, J. D. Bailly, and P. Guerre. 2006. Effects of fumonisins on liver and kidney sphinganine and the sphinganine to sphingosine ratio during chronic exposure in ducks. Chem.-Biol. Interact. 160: 51–60.
- Voss, K. A., R. T. Riley, M. E. Snook, and J. G. Waes. 2009. Reproductive and sphingolipid metabolic effects of fumonisin B₁ and its alkaline hydrolysis product in LM/Bc mice: Hydrolyzed fumonisin B₁ did not cause neural tube defects. Toxicol. Sci. 112:459– 467.
- Voss, K. A., G. W. Smith, and W. M. Haschek. 2007. Fumonisins: Toxicokinetics, mechanism of action and toxicity. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 137:299–325.