META-ANALYSIS

e-ISSN 1643-3750 © Med Sci Monit, 2016; 22: 234-243 DOI: 10.12659/MSM.895622

Received: 2015.08.11 **Associations Between Genetic Variants in** Accepted: 2015.09.10 Published: 2016.01.22 19p13 and 19q13 Regions and Susceptibility to **Alzheimer Disease: A Meta-Analysis** ACDE 1 Jie Bao Authors' Contribution: 1 Global Health Institute, Wuhan University, Wuhan, Hubei, P.R. China Study Design A 2 Wuhan Women and Children Medical Care Center, Wuhan, Hubei, P.R. China AB 2 Xiao-jie Wang Data Collection B DFG 1 Zong-fu Mao Statistical Analysis C Data Interpretation D Manuscript Preparation E Literature Search F Funds Collection G **Corresponding Author:** Jie Bao, e-mail: jiebaodr@yeah.net Source of support: Departmental sources Background: Alzheimer disease (AD) has become an epidemic within the growing elderly population and effective therapies of AD have not been discovered. Genetic factors accounted for over 70% of the incidence of AD and the disease-related polymorphisms are located on chromosome 19, which is one of several prominent chromosomes related to the development of AD. Many inconsistent associations between polymorphisms in ABCA7, CD33, and TOMM40 genes and the susceptibility to AD have been suggested by several independent studies. Material/Methods: A comprehensive literature search for studies involving the association between gene polymorphisms and AD was performed, and we finally selected 3 genes (4 polymorphisms) for the meta-analysis: ABCA7 (rs3764650), CD33 (rs3865444), and TOMM40 (rs157580, rs2075650). Results: A total of 25 articles investigating 3 genes (4 polymorphisms) were included in the meta-analysis. The pooled results of 4 polymorphisms were all significantly associated with the susceptibility to AD. The pooled effect of ABCA7 rs3764605 allele G was significantly associated with an increased the risk of AD (OR=1.20, 95% CI: 1.14–1.26, P value <0.001). Similarly, our evidence suggested that allele A of TOMM40 rs2075650 polymorphism was a risk factor for AD (OR=2.87, 95% CI: 2.46-3.34, P value <0.001). Alleles A of CD33 rs3865444 and A of TOMM40 rs157580 were both protective factors for AD onset (OR=0.94, 95% CI: 0.90-0.98, P value=0.003; OR=0.62, 95% CI: 0.57-0.66, P value <0.001). **Conclusions:** Results from the meta-analysis revealed that the pooled ABCA7 rs376465, CD33 rs3865444, TOMM40 rs157580, and rs2075650 variants were significantly associated with the susceptibility to AD. However, the association differed significantly between Asian and Caucasian groups for SNPs of CD33 rs3865444, TOMM40 rs157580, and rs2075650. **MeSH Keywords:** Alzheimer Disease • Chromosome Aberrations • Polymorphism, Single Nucleotide Full-text PDF: http://www.medscimonit.com/abstract/index/idArt/895622





MEDICAL

SCIENCE

MONITOR

Background

Alzheimer disease (AD) is a complicated neurodegenerative disease common in elderly people [1] and it has become a major threat to the growing elderly population due to the lack of effective therapies [2]. Several strategies, including the prevention of amyloid buildup and the promotion of amyloid removal, have been tested in a range of clinical trials. However, none of these strategies showed significant efficacy [3–5]. More attention has been paid to those factors that affect the disease in its early stage and it is estimated that genetic risk factors contribute to approximately over 70% of the incidence of AD [6]. As a result of this, the identification of genetic risk factors enables us to understand the disease mechanism in a sensible way [7].

Until now, only apolipoprotein E ε 4 (*APOE4*) allele has been confirmed to be associated with increased risk of AD development [8]. The *APOE4* gene is located in chromosome 19, which is one of several prominent chromosomes associated with the development of AD [8]. Recently, other genes in chromosome 19 associated with altered risks of AD, including *ABCA7* (ATP-Binding Cassette, sub-family A, member 7), *CD33* (Siglec-3), and *TOMM40* (Translocase of the Outer Mitochondrial Membrane 40) [9–11], have been identified by several large-scale genomewide association studies focusing on disease-associated single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs).

The important role of ABCA7rs3764650 polymorphism (location: 19p13) in AD pathogenesis has been investigated by several studies that suggested different associations between gene SNP and AD [12-15]. Apart from that, the CD33 (location: 19q13) gene was observed to be associated with various immune functions, including cell adhesion, anti-inflammatory signaling, and endocytosis [16]. The protective allele A of rs3865444 in this gene has been revealed to be associated with a reduced risk of AD in genome-wide studies [9,10]. Furthermore, the TOMM40 gene is also located in the 19q13 region, which is approximately 15 kb closer to APOE. Therefore, the TOMM40 gene should be investigated carefully due to the linkage disequilibrium with the e4 allele [8]. Relevant research also indicated that a kind of a mitochondrial protein is coded by the TOMM40 gene [17]. Moreover, the mitochondrial dysfunction is closely associated with aging, particularly for AD [18]. The genetic variants of rs157580 and rs2075650 in TOMM40 have been revealed to be associated with altered risk of AD in independent genome-wide studies since 2008 [19,20].

Considering these inconsistent associations between polymorphisms in the above genes and the development of AD, it is critical to carry out a meta-analysis in order to produce a consistent result. Additionally, differences in sample sizes and heterogeneous populations may be considered as potential sources of heterogeneity among individual studies. Therefore, we performed the present meta-analysis with increased statistical power using a well-established method to assess the association between genetic mutation and disease [21] through incorporating all available published data. This meta-analysis on all eligible related studies was performed to evaluate the association between polymorphism of *ABCA7* rs3764650 or *CD33* rs3865444 or *TOMM40* rs157580/rs2075650 and the susceptibility to AD.

Material and Methods

Search Strategies

All of the potential eligible studies were screened based on the electronic databases PubMed, EMBASE, Medline, and China National Knowledge Internet (CNKI) up to 1 December 2014 using advanced searching strategies. Five MeSH terms, "Alzheimer Disease", "Single-nucleotide Polymorphism", "*ABCA7*", "*CD33*" and "*TOMM40*", were used to search for relevant articles. Systematic searching was performed using the combination of "Alzheimer Disease", "Single-nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP)" and each one of "*ABCA7*", "*CD33*" and "*TOMM40*" with other similar eligible terms. Additional studies were screened manually from the references contained in each eligible study.

Study selection and data extraction

The following 4 criteria were used to determine the inclusion of studies: (1) studies must assess the association between polymorphism of *ABCA7*rs3764650 or *CD33*rs3865444 or *TOMM40*rs157580/rs2075650 and the susceptibility to AD; (2) case-control studies must be based on humans; (3) sufficient information should be accessible (e.g., the study sample size for each research group, allele or genotype frequencies, effect sizes, and other useful information); (4) the diagnose of AD should meet the clinical criteria set by the World Health Organization.

A predesigned data collection form was used by 2 independent reviewers to collect the following data: first author name, year of publication, country of research, ethnicity of study population, type of AD, mean age of the case and control groups, sex ratio in the case and control groups, and frequency distributions of allele and numbers of different genotypes in the case and control groups. If several subpopulations were presented in the original articles, then they were considered as separate studies in this meta-analysis. Finally, relevant studies were selected and key data were collected by 2 independent reviewers.

Statistical analysis

The associations between *ABCA7* rs3764650 or *CD33* rs3865444 or *TOMM40* rs157580 or rs2075650 polymorphisms and the

susceptibility to AD were quantified using odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The final ORs and 95% CIs for each SNP were pooled from individual study ORs and 95% CIs. Pooled ORs and 95% CIs were estimated by allelic models. For each SNP, statistical heterogeneity among individual studies was inferred by Q test and I² statistic; these 2 heterogeneity tests were used to calculate the variability among individual studies and the combined I² metric was derived to assess the percentage of variation. Meta-analysis was first performed with the fixed-effects model. If the P value of the Q test was greater than 0.05 and the I² statistic result was less than 50% $(P_{h} > 0.05 \text{ and } I^{2} < 50\%)$, then there was no significant heterogeneity among individual studies and the fixed-effects model was suitable for analysis. On the other hand, if the P value of Q test was less than or equal to 0.05 or if the I² statistic result was greater than or equal to 50% ($P_h \leq 0.05$ or $I^2 \geq 50\%$), then significant heterogeneity was presented in these studies [22] and the a random-effects model was appropriate for meta-analysis. Furthermore, subgroup analyses were performed by ethnic groups (Caucasian and Asian) to explore the effects of ethnicity on the association between gene polymorphisms and the susceptibility to AD. Publication bias was indicated by the funnel plot and plot asymmetry was confirmed by the rank correlation test. If the *P* value of the rank correlation test was greater than 0.05, then there is no significant evidence of publication bias, and a symmetrical inverted funnel was approximately presented in the plot; otherwise, there was significant publication bias. The robustness of these statistical results was evaluated by the sensitivity analysis. A 2-sided *P* value of 0.05 was selected as the significance level and all statistical analyses were performed using R software (Version 3.1.2, Copyright(C) 2014 The R Foundation for Statistical Computing).

Results

Study inclusion and characteristics

A total of 175 articles were initially identified based on the predefined searching strategies, including 71 articles for *ABCA7*, 60 articles for *TOMM40*, and 44 articles for *CD33*. Then 33 of the 175 articles were excluded because of duplication and 142 articles were screened manually for potential available information. After that, 119 of 142 articles were further excluded for several reasons. For example, some articles did not include essential information to evaluate the effect size and others did not have full-text available for review. Consequently, 23 case-control articles were included in

Supplementary Table 1. Characteristics of included studies for *ABCA7* gene.

ABCA7	No.	First Author	Year	Country	Ethnicity	Case	Control	OR (95% CI)
rs3764650 T>G	01	Lambert	2009	France	Caucasians	2025	5328	1.29 (1.15–1.45)
	02	Harold	2009	UK/Ireland	Caucasians	2226	4704	1.29 (1.15–1.45)
	03	Harold	2009	Germany	Caucasians	555	824	0.98 (0.76–1.27)
	04	Harold	2009	USA	Caucasians	551	960	1.20 (0.94–1.54)
	06	Hollingworth (ADNI)	2011	USA	Caucasians	151	177	1.01 (0.58–1.76)
	07	Hollingworth (GERAD2)	2011	UK	Caucasians	3262	3320	1.32 (1.15–1.52)
	09	Hollingworth (deCODE)	2011	Iceland	Caucasians	925	612	1.23 (0.93–1.63)
	10	Hollingworth (AD-IG)	2011	USA	Caucasians	709	971	1.15 (0.83–1.59)
	11	Hollingworth (EADI1)	2011	France	Caucasians	2751	2620	1.31 (1.15–1.49)
	12	Hollingworth (CHARGE)	2011	Netherlands	Caucasians	1239	10813	1.09 (0.92–1.29)
	13	Hollingworth (MAYO2)	2011	USA	Caucasians	2490	4114	1.24 (1.10–1.40)
	14	Tan	2012	China	Asians	612	612	1.04 (0.88–1.24)
	15	Chung	2013	Korea	Asians	290	554	1.05 (0.85–1.29)
	16	Miyashita	2013	Japan	Asians	891	844	1.13 (1.01–1.26)
	17	Carrasquillo	2014	America	Caucasians	132	2486	1.08 (0.69–1.69)
	18	Liu	2014	China	Asians	350	283	1.10 (0.87–1.40)
	19	Omoumi	2014	Canada	Caucasians	580	524	1.32 (0.97–1.80)

Supplementary Table 2. Characteristics of included studies for CD33 gene.

CD33	No.	First Author	Year	Country	Ethnicity	Case	Control	OR (95% CI)
rs3965444 C>A	01	Logue(Logue)	2011	USA	Caucasians	513	496	0.95 (0.70–1.29)
	02	Hollingworth(GERAD1)	2011	Europe	Caucasians	3333	1225	0.91 (0.82–1.00)
	03	Hollingworth(EADI1)	2011	Europe	Caucasians	2025	5328	0.89 (0.82–0.99)
	04	Hollingworth(deCODE)	2011	Europe	Caucasians	925	612	0.85 (0.68–1.04)
	05	Naj(ADGC-GWAS)	2011	USA	Caucasians	8309	7366	0.88 (0.84–0.93)
	06	Naj(ADGC-REP)	2011	USA	Caucasians	3531	3565	0.92 (0.85–0.99)
	07	Carrasquillo(Jacksonville)	2011	USA	Caucasians	492	920	0.91 (0.77–1.08)
	08	Carrasquillo(Rochester)	2011	USA	Caucasians	312	1577	0.93 (0.77–1.12)
	09	Carrasquillo(Autopsy)	2011	USA	Caucasians	298	97	0.90 (0.64–1.27)
	10	Carrasquillo(Norway)	2011	Europe	Caucasians	327	541	0.98 (0.80–1.21)
	11	Carrasquillo(Poland)	2011	Europe	Caucasians	467	187	1.20 (0.92–1.57)
	12	Carrasquillo(ARUK)	2011	Europe	Caucasians	642	730	0.95 (0.81–1.11)
	13	Deng	2012	China	Asians	190	193	0.48 (0.35–0.66)
	14	Chung	2013	Korea	Asians	290	554	0.70 (0.53–0.92)
	15	Tan	2013	China	Asians	612	612	1.44 (1.18–1.76)
	16	Lambert(ADGC)	2013	Europe	Caucasians	10273	10892	0.89 (0.86–0.93)
	17	Lambert(CHARGE)	2013	Europe	Caucasians	1315	12968	1.00 (0.92–1.10)
	18	Lambert(EADI)	2013	Europe	Caucasians	2243	6017	0.90 (0.83–0.97)
	19	Lambert(GERAD)	2013	Europe	Caucasians	3177	7277	0.89 (0.77–1.02)
	20	Lambert	2013	Austria	Caucasians	210	829	1.08 (0.82–1.42)
	21	Lambert	2013	Belgium	Caucasians	878	661	0.95 (0.79–1.14)
	22	Lambert	2013	Finland	Caucasians	422	562	1.08 (0.89–1.30)
	23	Lambert	2013	Germany	Caucasians	972	2378	1.00 (0.89–1.13)
	24	Lambert	2013	Greece	Caucasians	256	229	0.79 (0.52–1.20)
	25	Lambert	2013	Hungary	Caucasians	125	100	0.94 (0.60–1.47)
	26	Lambert	2013	Italy	Caucasians	1729	720	1.12 (0.97–1.30)
	27	Lambert	2013	Spain	Caucasians	2121	1921	0.94 (0.85–1.04)
	28	Lambert	2013	Sweden	Caucasians	797	1506	0.99 (0.87–1.12)
	29	Lambert	2013	UK	Caucasians	490	1066	1.00 (0.84–1.19)
	30	Lambert	2013	USA	Caucasians	572	1340	0.93 (0.80–1.09)
	31	Miyashita	2013	Japan	Asians	891	844	1.04 (0.92–1.18)
	32	Omoumi	2014	Canada	Caucasians	580	524	0.76 (0.63–0.91)
	33	Walker	2014	USA	Caucasians	97	96	1.13 (0.74–1.70)

Supplementary Tal	ole 3.	Characteristics	of included	studies	for T	ОММ40	gene.
-------------------	--------	-----------------	-------------	---------	-------	-------	-------

ТОММ40	No.	First Author	Year	Country	Ethnicity	Case	Control	OR (95% CI)
rs157580 T>G	01	Takei	2009	Japan	Asians	539	700	0.62 (0.53–0.73)
	02	Harold	2009	UK/Ireland	Caucasians	2227	4833	0.66 (0.61–0.71)
	03	Harold	2009	Germany	Caucasians	555	823	0.67 (0.57–0.80)
	04	Harold	2009	USA	Caucasians	1159	2187	0.57 (0.51–0.64)
	05	Carrasquillo(Stage I)	2009	USA	Caucasians	844	1255	0.51 (0.45–0.59)
	06	Carrasquillo(Stage II)	2009	USA	Caucasians	1547	1209	0.58 (0.52–0.65)
	07	Naj(Discovery)	2010	USA	Caucasians	931	1104	0.66 (0.57–0.75)
	08	Naj(Replication)	2010	USA	Caucasians	1242	1737	0.49 (0.36–0.68)
	09	Cervantes	2011	Spain	Caucasians	955	869	0.74 (0.63–0.88)
	10	Valant	2012	USA	Caucasians	308	215	0.48 (0.36–0.64)
	11	Ma	2013	China	Asians	787	791	0.72 (0.57–0.92)
	12	Bagnoli	2013	Italy	Caucasians	282	269	0.72 (0.57–0.92)
rs2075650 G>A	01	Harold	2009	UK/Ireland	Caucasians	2227	4832	2.48 (2.27–2.70)
	02	Harold	2009	Germany	Caucasians	555	824	2.31 (1.92–2.77)
	03	Harold	2009	USA	Caucasians	1159	2187	2.68 (2.37–3.02)
	04	Carrasquillo(Stage I)	2009	USA	Caucasians	844	1255	2.89 (2.49–3.36)
	05	Carrasquillo(Stage II)	2009	USA	Caucasians	1547	1209	3.93 (3.39–4.57)
	06	Naj(Discovery)	2010	USA	Caucasians	931	1104	2.96 (2.50–3.50)
	07	Naj(Replication)	2010	USA	Caucasians	1242	1737	5.72 (3.63–9.02)
	08	Cervantes	2011	Spain	Caucasians	955	869	2.94 (2.31–3.74)
	09	Valant	2012	USA	Caucasians	308	215	3.39 (2.40–4.80)
	10	Schott	2012	UK	Caucasians	114	102	4.30 (2.61–7.06)
	11	Ma	2013	China	Asians	787	791	1.52 (1.19–1.94)
	12	Chung	2013	Korea	Asians	290	554	3.57 (2.51–5.06)
	13	Bagnoli	2013	Italy	Caucasians	280	272	2.10 (1.46–3.01)
	14	Omoumi	2014	Canada	Caucasians	580	524	2.58 (2.04–3.25)

the analysis. [2,6,8–12,14,20,23–36]. Studies performed by Hollingworth [9], Naj [10], Harold [20], and Lambert [29] were considered as independent studies because they included different AD subgroup analyses by different countries. Similarly, studies by Naj [10] and Carrasquillo [23] were also treated as several independent studies because they were 2-stage studies including discovery and replication. Therefore, 25 published articles were included in this meta-analysis. The detailed characteristics of these studies are presented in the Supplementary Tables 1–3. All the research subjects came from Europe, Asia, and North America. A total of 19 739 cases and 39 746 controls were included for *ABCA7* polymorphism studies. Studies for *CD33* comprised 49 414 cases and 73 933 controls, whereas studies for *TOMM40* comprised 11 490 cases and 16 094 controls. The study populations and structures varied among all studies and only a few studies stated that the study populations were matched by race and environmental

Authors, country	Year	Cases	Controls	1	OR (95% CI)
ABCA7, rs3764650					
Lambert, France	2009	2025	5328		1.29 [1.15, 1.45]
Harold, UK/Ireland	2009	2226	4704	8	1.29 [1.15, 1.45]
Harold, Germany	2009	555	824	F#4	0.98 [0.76, 1.27]
Harold, USA	2009	551	960	H= 4	1.20 [0.94, 1.54]
Holingworth (ANDI), USA	2011	151	177	 1	1.01 [0.58, 1.76]
Holingworth (GERAD2), USA	2011	3262	3320	-	1.32 [1.15, 1.52]
Holingworth (deCODE), Iceland	2011	925	612	H=-4	1.23 [0.93, 1.63]
Holingworth (AD-IG), USA	2011	709	971	H=-1	1.15 [0.83, 1.59]
Holingworth (EADI1), France	2011	2751	2620	-	1.31 [1.15, 1.49]
Holingworth (CHARGE), The Netherlands	2011	1239	10813	Han I	1.09 [0.92, 1.29]
Holingworth (MAYO2), USA	2011	2490	4114		1.24 [1.10, 1.40]
Tan, China	2012	612	612	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	1.04 [0.88, 1.23]
Chung, Korea	2013	290	554	н н и –	1.05 [0.85, 1.29]
Miyashita, Japan	2013	891	844		1.13 [1.01, 1.26]
Carrasquillo, America	2014	132	2486	<u>н</u> ен	1.08 [0.69, 1.69]
Liu, China	2014	350	283	H=-1	1.10 [0.87, 1.40]
Omoumi, Canada	2014	580	524	i-a-i	1.32 [0.97, 1.80]
 RE model				•	1.20 [1.14, 1.26]
		0.05	0.25 Odds rati	1.00 4.00 os [95% CI]	

Authors, country	Year	Cases	Controls		OR (95% CI)
CD33, rs3865444					
Holingworth (GERAD2), Europe	2011	3333	1225		0.91 [0.82, 1.00]
Holingworth (EADI1), Europe	2011	2025	5328		0.89 [0.81, 0.98]
Holingworth (deCODE), Europe	2011	925	612	H=H	0.85 [0.69, 1.05]
Naj (ADGC-GWAS), USA	2011	8309	7366	н	0.88 [0.84, 0.93]
Naj (ADGC-REP), USA	2011	3531	3565	E	0.92 [0.85, 0.99]
Carrasquillo (Jacksonville), USA	2011	492	920	нң	0.91 [0.77, 1.08]
Carrasquillo (Rochetser), USA	2011	312	1577	нн	0.93 [0.77, 1.12]
Carrasquillo (Autopsy), USA	2011	298	97	⊢ •1	0.90 [0.64, 1.27]
Carrasquillo (Norway), Europe	2011	327	541	HH	0.98 [0.80, 1.21]
Carrasquillo (Poland), Europe	2011	467	187		1.20 [0.92, 1.57]
Carrasquillo (ARUK), Europe	2011	642	730	н і н	0.95 [0.81, 1.11]
Logue, USA	2011	513	496	H	0.95 [0.70, 1.29]
Deng, China	2012	190	193 ⊢		0.48 [0.35, 0.66]
Cgung, Korea	2013	290	554	⊢ ∎-1	0.70 [0.53, 0.92]
Tan, China	2013	612	612	H#H	1.44 [1.18, 1.76]
Lambert (ADGC), Europe	2013	10273	10892	н	0.89 [0.86, 0.93]
Lambert (CHARGE), Europe	2013	1315	12968		1.00 [0.91, 1.09]
Lambert (EADI), Europe	2013	2243	6017	E	0.90 [0.83, 0.97]
Lambert (GERAD), Europe	2013	3177	7277	нé	0.89 [0.77, 1.02]
Lambert (Austria), Europe	2013	210	829	H#H	1.08 [0.82, 1.42]
Lambert (Belgium), Europe	2013	878	878	HH	0.95 [0.79, 1.14]
Lambert (Finland), Europe	2013	422	562	HHH I	1.08 [0.89, 1.31]
Lambert (Germany), Europe	2013	972	2378	ė	1.00 [0.89, 1.13]
Lambert (Greece), Europe	2013	256	229	⊢ ∎ H	0.79 [0.52, 1.20]
Lambert (Hungary), Europe	2013	125	100	H-	0.94 [0.60, 1.47]
Lambert (Italy), Europe	2013	1729	720	i n	1.12 [0.97, 1.30]
Lambert (Spain), Europe	2013	2121	1921	÷.	0.94 [0.85, 1.04]
Lambert (Sweden), Europe	2013	797	1506	HH I	0.99 [0.87, 1.12]
Lambert (UK), Europe	2013	490	1066	HH .	1.00 [0.84, 1.19]
Lambert (USA), USA	2013	572	1340	нн	0.93 [0.80, 1.09]
Miyashita, Japan	2013	891	844	Here	1.04 [0.92, 1.18]
Omumi, Canada	2013	580	524	HH	0.76 [0.63, 0.91]
Walker, USA	2014	97	96	HI	1.13 [0.75, 1.71]
RF model				0	0 94 [1 90 0 98]
					0.74[1.70, 0.70]
		0.05	0.25 Odds rati	1.00 4.00 os [95% C]]	

Figure 1. The forest plot of *ABCA7* genetic variation with AD.

Figure 2. The forest plot of CD33 genetic
variation with AD.

Authors, country	Year	Cases	Controls		OR (95% CI)
TOMMA0 rc2075650					
Carracquillo (Stage II) IISA	2000	15/17		-	3 03 [3 38 / 56]
Carrasquillo (Stage II), USA	2009	844			2 80 [2 10 2 36]
Harold IISA	2009	1159			2.69 [2.47, 3.50]
Harold Germany	2009	555		ня	2.00 [2.07, 0.05]
Harold, UK/Ireland	2009	2227		E	2.48 [2.27, 2.70]
Nai (Replication), USA	2010	1242		_ ⊢►	5.72, [3.63, 9.02]
Nai (Discovery), USA	2010	931		H al l	2.96 [2.50, 3.50]
Cervantes, Spain	2011	955		HEH	2.94 [2.31, 3.74]
Schott, UK	2012	114		⊢→	4.30 [2.61, 7.07]
Valant, USA	2012	308		⊢ ≖ ⊣	3.39 [2.40, 4.79]
Bagnoli, Italy	2013	280		⊢∗⊣	2.10 [1.46, 3.02]
Chung, Korea	2013	290		H#H	3.57 [2.51, 5.07]
Ma, China	2013	787		HeH	1.52 [1.19, 1.94]
Omoumi, Canada	2014	580		HEH	2.58 [2.04, .3.26]
RE model for subgroup				\diamond	2.87 [2.46, 3.34]
TOMMA0 vc157590					
Correctuille (Stage II) USA	2000	15/7			0 50 [0 52 0 65]
Carrasquillo (Stage II), USA	2009	1347 844	e e		0.56 [0.52, 0.05]
Harold IISA	2005	1159			0.57 [0.45, 0.58]
Harold Germany	2009	555	нян		0.67 [0.57, 0.04]
Harold IIK/Ireland	2009	2227	н		0.66 [0.61 0.71]
Takei, Japan	2009	539	H		0.62 [0.53, 0.73]
Nai (Replication), USA	2010	1242	⊢∎⊣		0.49 [0.36, 0.67]
Nai (Discovery), USA	2010	931			0.66 [0.58, 0.76]
Cervantes, Spain	2011	955	H H I		0.74 [0.63, 0.87]
Valant, USA	2012	308	⊢∎⊣		0.48 [0.36, 0.64]
Bagnoli, Italy	2013	282	HEH		0.72 [0.57, 0.91]
Ma, China	2013	787	HEH		0.72 [0.57, 0.91]
RE model for subgroup			\$		0.62 0.57, 0.66]
		0.05	0.25 1. Odds ratios [95	00 4.00 % Cl]	

Figure 3. The forest plot of *TOMM40* genetic variation with AD.

factors. Several genotype methods were mentioned in the included research.

Meta-analyses results

The results of meta-analysis for ABCA7 rs3764605 polymorphism are presented in Figure 1. The pooled OR was 1.20 (95% CI: 1.14-1.26, P value < 0.001), which suggested that ABCA7 rs3764605 allele G was significantly associated with an increased risk of AD. As indicated by Figure 1, only 6 of 17 the studies showed significant association between ABCA7 rs3764605 polymorphism and AD. Similarly, meta-analysis of CD33 polymorphism rs3865444 is displayed in Figure 2, which revealed that the allele A of rs3865444 was a protective factor for AD onsets (OR=0.94, 95% CI: 0.90-0.98, P value=0.003). Furthermore, evidence indicated that the 2 polymorphisms of TOMM40 had opposite associations (Figure 3); genetic variant A of rs157580 was significantly associated with a reduced susceptibility to AD (OR=0.62, 95% CI: 0.57-0.66, P value < 0.001), while allele A of rs2075650 polymorphism was a risk factor for AD (OR=2.87, 95% CI: 2.46–3.34, P value <0.001).

Subgroup analysis

Table 1 shows that all polymorphisms except rs3764650 in *ABCA7* (P_h =0.362, I²=20.42%) had significant heterogeneity among individual studies. Therefore, subgroup analyses by ethnicity (Asian and Caucasian) indicated significantly different ORs and 95% Cls for polymorphisms of rs157580, rs2075650, and rs3865444. For instance, *CD33* rs3865444 and *TOMM40* rs2075650 polymorphisms in the Asian group did not have significant association with the susceptibility to AD (OR=0.86, 95% CI=0.58–1.27; OR=2.45, 95% CI=0.45–4.45).

Publication bias and sensitivity analysis

Funnel plots were constructed to assess publication bias for each genetic variants group (Figure 4A: *ABCA7*rs3764650, Figure 4B: *CD33*rs3865444, Figure 4C: *TOMM40*rs2075650, Figure 4D: *TOMM40*rs157580). *P* value of the rank correlation test for each polymorphism was greater than 0.05 (Table 1: *ABCA7*rs3764650, *P* value=0.433; *CD33*rs3865444, *P* value=0.794; *TOMM40*-rs157580, *P* value=0.945; *TOMM40*rs2075650, *P* value=0.233), which suggested that there was no significant publication bias

Table 1. Meta-analysis of four polymorphisms and AD susceptibility.

Cono	CND						Duglug	TA11 2	12	D	P _{funnel plot}	Ethn	icity
Gene SNI	JNP	OK (95% CI)	F-vulue	IAU	•	heterogeneity	asymmetry	Caucasians	Asians				
ABCA7	rs3764650	1.20 (1.14–1.26)	<0.001	0.002	20.42%	0.3615	0.433	1.24 (1.19–1.31)	1.10 (1.01–1.19)				
CD33	rs3865444	0.94 (0.90–0.98)	0.003	0.007	63.91%	<0.0001	0.794	0.93 (0.90–0.95)	0.86 (0.58–1.27)				
TOMM40	rs157580	0.62 (0.57–0.66)	<0.001	0.009	61.97%	0.0031	0.945	0.61 (0.56–0.66)	0.65 (0.56–0.73)				
	rs2075650	2.87 (2.46–3.34)	<0.001	0.067	88.05%	<0.0001	0.233	2.84 (2.54–3.13)	2.45 (0.45–4.45)				

* Pooled odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals



Figure 4. Funnel plot for publication bias of all included studies by genetic mutations.

in each polymorphism study. The sensitivity analyses results revealed that no individual study significantly affected the overall value of ORs and 95% CIs.

Discussion

In this meta-analysis, a systematic overview of case-control studies for assessing the association between genetic variants and the susceptibility to AD was performed. All the eligible databases were searched electronically and the potential articles were screened to be included in this meta-analysis. Eventually, 25 articles investigating 4 SNPs of 3 AD candidate genes were included in this meta-analysis. The pooled results showed significant association between the 4 SNPs (rs3764650, rs157580, rs2075650, and rs3865444) and the susceptibility to AD. Two SNPs (rs3764650 and rs2075650) were found to be significantly associated with increased risk of AD, whereas the other 2 SNPs (rs3865444 and rs157580) were significantly associated with a decreased risk of AD. In addition, subgroup analyses suggested that the association between SNPs and the susceptibility to AD was significantly different between the Asian and Caucasian groups for SNP of rs157580, rs2075650, and rs3865444.

ABCA7 is a new member of the A-subfamily, which is also an integral transmembrane adenosine triphosphates-binding cassette transporter [37]. The biogenesis of high-density lipoprotein was mediated by its protein and combined with helical apolipoproteins and cellular lipid [37]. Moreover, cholinergic dysfunction, amyloid precursor protein processing, and A β production of deposition, which are the neuropathologic landmarks of AD, are all regulated by local lipid homeostasis integrity [38]. Using reverse transcription-PCR analysis and Northern blot, previous studies concluded that the *ABCA7* gene is expressed in human brain, particularly on the CA1 hippocampal neurons [39–41]. Therefore, functions of the *ABCA7* gene were considered to have important

associations with the susceptibility to AD. Furthermore, the results of our meta-analysis confirmed that rs3764650 allele G of *ABCA7* was associated with a 20% increase in the risk of AD development. In addition, the evidence suggested that the association between SNP (rs157580, rs2075650, and rs3865444) and the susceptibility to AD differed significantly between the Asian and Caucasian groups. Unfortunately, there was no available data investigating how genetic variants in Africans affect the susceptibility to AD, whereas another SNP, rs115550680 in *ABCA7*, has been discovered to be significantly associated with AD in African-Americans [42]. Based on Haploview, polymorphism of rs115550680 is in linkage disequilibrium with rs3764650. Among these 19 studies selected for our analysis, only 7 provided exact genotype numbers; therefore, meta-analysis was carried out using the allelic model only.

As a member of the sialic acid-binding immunoglobulin-like lectins (Siglec) family, the CD33 gene is located on chromosome 19q13.3 region [43]. Previous research suggested that the main function of CD33 related to Siglecs is immunological regulation, which is involved in the microglial cleansing process. Studies also suggested that the amyloid plaques in AD can be prevented [44,45]. CD33 rs3865444 polymorphism was first suggested by GWAS studies as a risk factor for AD [9,10]. Moreover, Griciuc et al. reported that the increased expressions of CD33 in microglial cells were observed in AD patients [46]. However, inconsistent associations between rs3865444 polymorphism and the susceptibility to AD were suggested by several independent case-control studies. On the other hand, our meta-analysis results revealed that rs3865444 allele A contributed significantly to reduced risk of AD. However, the effect of rs3865444 allele A on the susceptibility to AD differed significantly between the Asian and Caucasian groups, as indicated by the subgroup analyses. Although the rs3865444 risk allele (C) was found to have greater cell surface expression of CD33 in African-Americans, the complete data were not available [47]. Among included studies on rs3865444, only 4 were based on Asians. Therefore, we strongly recommend that further studies should be carried out on Africans and South Asians to confirm the association between rs3865444 SNP and the susceptibility to AD in other ethnic groups.

Tom40 is a subunit of the translocase of the outer membrane (TOM), which is encoded by the *TOMM40* gene. *Tom40* is located in the mitochondria and is involved in transporting cytoplasmic peptides and proteins during mitochondrial biogenesis [48]. The role of *Tom40* in regulating protein traffic across the outer mitochondrial membrane appears to be important in the development of AD, perhaps because of the unique bioenergetic requirements of neurons [49,50]. Mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative imbalance have been linked to neuronal cell death and AD [48]. Two polymorphisms of *TOMM40* were first found to be significantly associated with AD in genome-wide studies. However, inconsistent associations were

reported by basic case-control studies due to different study methods and target populations. To identify the genetic association between SNPs and susceptibility to AD, genomewide analysis is a viable method with great statistical power. However, genotype data were only available in Asians and Caucasians and further studies need to be performed in other ethnic groups to assess the effect of ethnicity on the overall association between gene SNP and the development of AD.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate 4 SNPs of 3 different genes located on the same chromosome – chromosome 19. The present meta-analysis has several limitations. First, some articles without sufficient genotype information were excluded and this may have introduced selection bias and reduced the statistical power. Second, the meta-analysis method is not able to cope with different study designs and population structures, which might contribute to the heterogeneity among individual studies.

Conclusions

Significant associations between the 4 SNPs (rs3764650, rs157580, rs2075650, and rs3865444) and susceptibility to AD were suggested by our study. Meta-analysis based on 19 739 cases and 39 746 controls confirmed that ABCA7 rs3764650 allele G is a risk factor of AD in both Caucasians and Asians. Furthermore, meta-analysis including 49 414 cases and 73 933 controls suggest that CD33 rs3865444 allele A is a protective factor of AD in Caucasians but this association was not significant in Asians. In addition, meta-analyses of 2 polymorphisms in TOMM40 (rs157580 and rs2075650) gene yielded contrary results; SNP of rs157580 was significantly associated with a reduced risk of AD, whereas SNP of rs2075650 was significantly associated with an increased risk of AD, but the association between the Asian and Caucasian groups was significantly different, as indicated by the heterogeneity test. Subgroup analysis by ethnicity was limited by the small sample size in Asians. Therefore, we recommend that well-designed studies with larger sample sizes should be performed. Genetic variants and other factors, such as individual biological characteristics and environmental factors, particularly in African and Asian populations, should be investigated together to assess the interaction between different factors that may significantly affect development of AD.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge our colleagues for their helpful comments on this paper.

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References:

- 1. Carrillo MC: Leveraging global resources to end the Alzheimer's pandemic. Alzheimers Dement, 2013; 9: 363–65
- Valant V, Keenan BT, Anderson CD et al: TOMM40 in cerebral amyloid angiopathy related intracerebral hemorrhage: Comparative genetic analysis with Alzheimer's disease. Transl Stroke Res, 2012; 3: 102–12
- O'Banion MK: It may take more than a shot: alternatives to immunotherapy for Alzheimer's disease.Biol Psychiatry, 2013; 74: 316–17
- 4. Golde TE: Open questions for Alzheimer's disease immunotherapy. Alzheimers Res Ther, 2014; 6: 3
- Panza F, Logroscino G, Imbimbo BP, Solfrizzi V: Is there still any hope for amyloid-based immunotherapy for Alzheimer's disease? Curr Opin Psychiatry, 2014; 27: 128–37
- 6. Walker DG, Whetzel AM, Serrano G et al: Association of CD33 polymorphism rs3865444 with Alzheimer's disease pathology and CD33 expression in human cerebral cortex. Neurobiol Aging, 2015; 36(2): 571–82
- Lambert JC, Grenier-Boley B, Chouraki V et al: Implication of the immune system in Alzheimer's disease: evidence from genome-wide pathway analysis. J Alzheimers Dis, 2010; 20: 1107–18
- Bagnoli S, Piaceri I, Tedde A et al: TOMM40 polymorphisms in Italian Alzheimer's disease and frontotemporal dementia patients. Neurol Sci, 2013; 34: 995–98
- 9. Hollingworth P, Harold D, Sims R et al: Common variants at ABCA7, MS4A6A/ MS4A4E, EPHA1, CD33 and CD2AP are associated with Alzheimer's disease. Nat Genet, 2011; 43: 429–35
- Naj AC, Jun G, Beecham GW et al: Common variants at MS4A4/MS4A6E, CD2AP, CD33 and EPHA1 are associated with late-onset Alzheimer's disease. Nat Genet, 2011; 43: 436–41
- Carrasquillo MM, Zou F, Pankratz VS et al: Genetic variation in PCDH11X is associated with susceptibility to late-onset Alzheimer's disease. Nat Genet, 2009; 41: 192–98
- Tan L, Yu JT, Zhang W et al: Association of GWAS-linked *loci* with late-onset Alzheimer's disease in a northern Han Chinese population. Alzheimers Dement, 2013; 9: 546–53
- Vasquez JB, Fardo DW, Estus S: ABCA7 expression is associated with Alzheimer's disease polymorphism and disease status. Neurosci Lett, 2013; 556: 58–62
- Miyashita A, Koike A, Jun G et al: SORL1 is genetically associated with lateonset Alzheimer's disease in Japanese, Koreans and Caucasians. PLoS One, 2013; 8: e58618
- Shulman JM, Chen K, Keenan BT et al: Genetic susceptibility for Alzheimer disease neuritic plaque pathology. JAMA Neurol, 2013; 70: 1150–57
- Crocker PR, McMillan SJ, Richards HE: CD33-related siglecs as potential modulators of inflammatory responses. Ann NY Acad Sci, 2012; 1253: 102–11
- 17. Swerdlow RH, Khan SM: A "mitochondrial cascade hypothesis" for sporadic Alzheimer's disease. Med Hypotheses, 2004; 63: 8–20
- David DC, Hauptmann S, Scherping I et al: Proteomic and functional analyses reveal a mitochondrial dysfunction in P301L tau transgenic mice. J Biol Chem, 2005; 280: 23802–14
- Abraham R, Moskvina V, Sims R et al: A genome-wide association study for late-onset Alzheimer's disease using DNA pooling. BMC Med Genomics, 2008; 1: 44
- Harold D, Abraham R, Hollingworth P et al: Genome-wide association study identifies variants at CLU and PICALM associated with Alzheimer's disease. Nat Genet, 2009; 41: 1088–93
- Ntais C, Polycarpou A, Ioannidis JP: Meta-analysis of the association of the cathepsin D Ala224Val gene polymorphism with the risk of Alzheimer's disease: a HuGE gene-disease association review.Am J Epidemiol, 2004; 159: 527–36
- 22. Ben-Zeev O, Doolittle MH, Singh N et al: Synthesis and regulation of lipoprotein lipase in the hippocampus. J Lipid Res, 1990; 31: 1307–13
- 23. Carrasquillo MM, Belbin O, Hunter TA et al: Replication of EPHA1 and CD33 associations with late-onset Alzheimer's disease: a multi-centre case-control study. Mol Neurodegener, 2011; 6: 54
- 24. Carrasquillo MM, Khan Q, Murray ME et al: Late-onset Alzheimer disease genetic variants in posterior cortical atrophy and posterior AD. Neurology, 2014; 82: 1455–62
- Cervantes S, Samaranch L, Vidal-Taboada JM et al: Genetic variation in APOE cluster region and Alzheimer's disease risk. Neurobiol Aging, 2011; 32: 2107 e7–17

- Chung SJ, Lee JH, Kim SY et al: Association of GWAS top hits with late-onset Alzheimer disease in Korean population. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord, 2013; 27: 250–57
- Deng YL, Liu LH, Wang Y et al: The prevalence of CD33 and MS4A6A variant in Chinese Han population with Alzheimer's disease. Hum Genet, 2012; 131: 1245–49
- Lambert JC, Heath S, Even G et al: Genome-wide association study identifies variants at CLU and CR1 associated with Alzheimer's disease. Nat Genet, 2009; 41: 1094–99
- Lambert JC, Ibrahim-Verbaas CA, Harold D et al: Meta-analysis of 74,046 individuals identifies 11 new susceptibility *loci* for Alzheimer's disease. Nat Genet, 2013; 45: 1452–58
- Liu LH, Xu J, Deng YL et al: A complex association of ABCA7 genotypes with sporadic Alzheimer disease in Chinese Han population. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord, 2014; 28: 141–44
- Logue MW, Schu M, Vardarajan BN et al: A comprehensive genetic association study of Alzheimer disease in African Americans. Arch Neurol, 2011; 68: 1569–79
- 32. Ma XY, Yu JT, Wang W et al: Association of TOMM40 polymorphisms with late-onset Alzheimer's disease in a Northern Han Chinese population. Neuromolecular Med, 2013; 15: 279–87
- 33. Naj AC, Beecham GW, Martin ER et al: Dementia revealed: novel chromosome 6 locus for late-onset Alzheimer disease provides genetic evidence for folate-pathway abnormalities. PLoS Genet, 2010; 6: e1001130
- 34. Omoumi A, Fok A, Greenwood T et al: Evaluation of late-onset Alzheimer disease genetic susceptibility risks in a Canadian population. Neurobiol Aging, 2014; 35: 936 e5–12
- Schott JM: Using CSF biomarkers to replicate genetic associations in Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging, 2012; 33: 1486 e9–15
- Takei N, Miyashita A, Tsukie T et al: Genetic association study on in and around the APOE in late-onset Alzheimer disease in Japanese. Genomics, 2009; 93: 441–48
- Tanaka N, Abe-Dohmae S, Iwamoto N, Yokoyama S: Roles of ATP-binding cassette transporter A7 in cholesterol homeostasis and host defense system. J Atheroscler Thromb, 2011; 18: 274–81
- Poirier J: Apolipoprotein E and Alzheimer's disease. A role in amyloid catabolism. Ann NY Acad Sci, 2000; 924: 81–90
- 39. Ikeda Y, Abe-Dohmae S, Munehira Y et al: Posttranscriptional regulation of human ABCA7 and its function for the apoA-I-dependent lipid release. Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 2003; 311: 313–18
- Langmann T, Mauerer R, Zahn A et al: Real-time reverse transcription-PCR expression profiling of the complete human ATP-binding cassette transporter superfamily in various tissues. Clin Chem, 2003; 49: 230–38
- 41. Kim WS, Fitzgerald ML, Kang K et al: Abca7 null mice retain normal macrophage phosphatidylcholine and cholesterol efflux activity despite alterations in adipose mass and serum cholesterol levels. J Biol Chem, 2005; 280: 3989–95
- Reitz C, Jun G, Naj A et al: Variants in the ATP-binding cassette transporter (ABCA7), apolipoprotein E 4,and the risk of late-onset Alzheimer disease in African Americans. JAMA, 2013; 309: 1483–92
- Crocker PR, Paulson JC, Varki A: Siglecs and their roles in the immune system. Nat Rev Immunol, 2007; 7: 255–66
- Cao H, Crocker PR: Evolution of CD33-related siglecs: regulating host immune functions and escaping pathogen exploitation? Immunology, 2011; 132: 18–26
- 45. Salminen A, Kaarniranta K: Siglec receptors and hiding plaques in Alzheimer's disease. J Mol Med (Berl), 2009; 87: 697–701
- Griciuc A, Serrano-Pozo A, Parrado AR et al: Alzheimer's disease risk gene CD33 inhibits microglial uptake of amyloid beta. Neuron, 2013; 78: 631–43
- Raj T, Ryan KJ, Replogle JM et al: CD33: increased inclusion of exon 2 implicates the Ig V-set domain in Alzheimer's disease susceptibility. Hum Mol Genet, 2014; 23: 2729–36
- 48. Roses AD: An inherited variable poly-T repeat genotype in TOMM40 in Alzheimer disease. Arch Neurol, 2010; 67: 536–41
- Mattson MP: Pathways towards and away from Alzheimer's disease. Nature, 2004; 430: 631–39
- Herculano-Houzel S: Scaling of brain metabolism with a fixed energy budget per neuron: implications for neuronal activity, plasticity and evolution. PLoS One, 2011; 6: e17514