

Oritavancin vs Standard of Care for Treatment of Nonendovascular Gram-Positive Bloodstream Infections

Ryan P. Moenster,¹ Ashleigh Wallace-Lacey,² Hannah Western,³ Seth Tiefenaur,³ Anosha Abdulbasir,³ Justin Albers,³ Jonathan Doty,³ Hartley Abner,³ Danielle Skouby,¹ Michael Lorenz,¹ Rebecca Fong,¹ Jyoti Arora,⁴ and Travis W. Linneman¹

¹Clinical Pharmacy Services, VA St Louis Health Care System, St. Louis, Missouri, USA, ²Pharmacy Services, VA St Louis Health Care System, St. Louis, Missouri, USA, ³Department of Pharmacy Practice, St Louis College of Pharmacy at UHSP, St. Louis, Missouri, USA, and ⁴Division of Biostatistics, Washington University in St Louis School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA

Background. Data is limited comparing oritavancin (ORT) to the standard-of-care (SOC) for the treatment gram-positive blood stream infections (BSI).

Methods. This was a retrospective study of all patients in the Veteran's Affairs Health Care System treated with at least 1 dose of oritavancin or at least 5 days of vancomycin, daptomycin, ceftaroline, ampicillin, ampicillin-sulbactam, nafcillin, oxacillin, or cefazolin for a documented gram-positive BSI from 1 January 2015 to 30 June 2021. Patients with polymicrobial blood cultures or positive cultures from other sites were included if the organisms were sensitive to the incident antimicrobial; no concomitant antimicrobials could be used once the incident agent was started. Individuals were also excluded if they were diagnosed with endocarditis, had a neutrophil count 96-hours of treatment before the incident antimicrobial was started.

The primary composite outcome was clinical failure, defined as all-cause mortality within 30-days from the end of therapy, or blood cultures positive for the incident organisms ≥ 72 hours after administration of the first dose and ≤ 30 days after the administration of the final dose of the study antimicrobial, or any drug or line-related readmissions within 30-days of hospital discharge.

Results. Two hundred-forty patients were identified for screening with 96 meeting criteria (27 in ORT and 69 in SOC groups). Baseline characteristics were generally balanced between groups except more patients in the ORT group received >96 -hours of treatment before the incident antimicrobial was started (70.3% (19/27) vs 13.04% (9/69); $P < .001$). The pathogen most prevalent was methicillin susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA) (ORT 33.3% (9/27) vs SOC 46.4% (32/69)). Clinical failure occurred in 7.4% (2/27) in the ORT group and 17.4% (12/69) in SOC ($P = .34$). No components of the primary outcome were significantly different between groups, but AKI did occur more commonly in the SOC group (27.5% (19/69) vs 3.7% (1/27); $P = .01$).

Conclusions. ORT appears to be a safe and effective option when directly compared to the SOC for non-endocarditis BSIs.

Keywords. bloodstream infection; oritavancin; outpatient parenteral antimicrobial therapy.

Gram-positive bloodstream infections (BSIs) remain a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in the United States; published mortality rates vary greatly by geography and comorbidities but are generally between 12% and 34% [1]. For some gram-positive pathogens, such as *Staphylococcus aureus*, current recommendations are to treat for ≥ 4 weeks in cases of complicated non-line-associated BSIs [2].

This length of treatment can be problematic for many patients. Outpatient parenteral antimicrobial therapy (OPAT) has revolutionized the management of many infectious

diseases, including BSIs, and allowed patients to safely complete treatment at home; however, many patients do not have the support system in place to safely administer these therapies outside of a directly observed setting. Additionally, long-term intravenous (IV) access is associated with complications. In one study observing patients at the Cleveland Clinic receiving OPAT, 9% (176/1950) of the OPAT courses experienced a vascular access complication; occlusion was the most common event [3]. In a study conducted in the United Kingdom, line-related complications among patients receiving OPAT were significantly more common than drug-related adverse effects at 5.9% vs 2.4% [4]. Drug-related adverse effects are still a significant issue associated with OPAT. Vancomycin remains one of the most prescribed OPAT agents and is associated with acute kidney injury (AKI) at rates of 5% to 43% [5]. There are clear advantages to avoiding line placement and longer treatment durations with traditional antimicrobials for BSIs when these agents demonstrate in vitro activity and are appropriately dosed.

Oritavancin (ORT), a long-acting lipoglycopeptide, is an attractive option for the treatment of gram-positive BSIs. Its long half-

Received 28 June 2023; editorial decision 24 July 2023; accepted 28 July 2023; published online 31 July 2023

Correspondence: Ryan P. Moenster, Pharm.D., IDSA, Department of Pharmacy Practice, St Louis College of Pharmacy at UHSP, 1 Pharmacy Place, St Louis, MO 63110 (Ryan.moenster@uhsp.edu).

Open Forum Infectious Diseases®

© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Infectious Diseases Society of America. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

<https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofad411>

life, rapidly bactericidal activity, and beneficial safety profile could allow for this agent to be administered to patients with gram-positive BSIs as single or multiple doses and avoid the need for chronic line placement and OPAT [6]. The current data available for ORT use in gram-positive BSIs are limited to noncomparative studies, clinical registries, and small cohort analyses [7, 8].

We conducted a retrospective cohort study of patients in the Veterans Affairs (VA) Health Care System who have been treated with at least 1 dose of IV ORT for a gram-positive BSI and compared those patients with individuals receiving the standard-of-care (SOC) treatment for gram-positive BSIs.

METHODS

The present study was a retrospective evaluation of all patients treated within the VA Health Care System from 1 January 2015 to 30 June 2021 for at least 1 positive blood culture with any of the following organisms:

- Methicillin-resistant *S aureus* (MRSA) or methicillin-sensitive *S aureus* (MSSA)
- *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *S lugdunensis*, *S cohnii*, *S hominis*, *S haemolyticus*, *S simulans*, or *S warnerii*
- *Enterococcus faecalis* or *E faecium*
- *Streptococcus pyogenes*, *S agalactiae*, *S dysgalactiae*, *S sanguinis*, *S anginosus*, *S gallolyticus*, *S constellatus*, *S oralis*, *S salivarius*, or *S mutans*

These patients must have also received at least 1 inpatient dose of ORT or the SOC, defined as at least 5 inpatient days of therapy with 1 of the following agents: vancomycin, daptomycin, ceftaroline, IV ampicillin, ampicillin-sulbactam, cefazolin, nafcillin, or oxacillin. Additionally, patients could not receive any concomitant antibiotics after the studied antibiotic was initiated. Patients were excluded from evaluation if any of the following applied: a diagnosis of infective endocarditis, either a neutrophil count <500 cells/mm [3] or a diagnosis of HIV at the time that the blood culture was drawn, another organism in blood culture that was not susceptible to the studied antibiotic, or a culture from another sterile site growing an organism that was not susceptible to the studied antibiotic.

Patients were first identified by querying the VA Informatics and Computing Infrastructure data for blood culture positivity and administration of the appropriate number of days of an antibiotic of interest. Once a cohort of patients was identified from the initial query, further inclusion/exclusion criteria were applied by accessing the patients' chart information through the VA's Compensation and Pension Record Interchange (CAPRI) and Joint Legacy Viewer (JLV). Patients who met all inclusion criteria and none of the exclusion criteria were deemed to be a part of the final full cohort, and the rest of the study data was collected by the study team from CAPRI and JLV.

Because a history of IV drug use (IVDU) and medication non-compliance are often factors that can influence whether a patient is assessed as a good candidate to receive OPAT and therefore if they received ORT, data on IVDU and medication noncompliance were also collected. Patients were considered to have a history of IVDU (ie, not an active IVDU) if (1) this was mentioned in one of the chart notes for the incident hospitalization and (2) they did not have a positive result upon urine drug screen within the previous year. Patients were assessed as being noncompliant with medications if, upon JLV review, there was a documented gap in filling any medications for chronic disease states (hypertension, gout, diabetes, etc) ≥ 30 days in the previous year.

Approval and Patient Consent

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the VA St Louis Health Care System. Because of the retrospective nature of the study, it would not have been possible to contact all patients involved, and a waiver of informed consent was granted by the board.

Outcomes

The primary outcome was clinical failure, which was a composite of (1) all-cause mortality within 30 days of the end of therapy, (2) positive blood culture result with the incident organism ≥ 72 hours after administration of the first dose of the studied drug and ≤ 30 days from the administration of the final dose, or (3) any drug- or line-related readmissions within 30 days of hospital discharge. Secondary outcomes included individual components of the primary outcome, hospital length of stay, time to blood culture clearance, all-cause 30-day readmission rate, and rates of AKI. A drug-related readmission was defined as any readmission within the VA system in the 30 days after discharge that was deemed by the admitting team, based on CAPRI review, to be due to a drug-related adverse effect (eg, rashes, AKI, other laboratory abnormalities related to the primary antibiotic therapy). A line-related readmission was any readmission in the 30 days after discharge to correct an issue with the patient's long-term IV access (eg, line-related infection, line occlusion, line dislodgement) as documented in the CAPRI system. One dose of ORT therapy was considered equivalent to 14 days of treatment, given the long half-life of the drug and how it was studied in the SOLO I and II trials [9, 10]. Patients were evaluated in 3 cohorts: the full cohort (all those meeting inclusion/exclusion criteria), one based on an inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW), and patients being treated for *S aureus* BSI (MSSA and MRSA).

Statistical Analysis

Considering that (1) 30-day mortality rates for *S aureus* BSIs remain close to 20%, (2) composite clinical outcomes in other studies reveal broadly ranging success rates in treating *S aureus* BSIs (50%–75%), and (3) internal VA data demonstrated that approximately 3500 patients had received a lipoglycopeptide for some

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics

Characteristic	Group, No. (%) or Median [IQR]		P Value
	Oritavancin (n = 27)	Standard of Care (n = 69)	
Age, y	62 [15]	69 [9]	.05
Sex: female	1 (3.7)	0	.281
Ethnicity: white	18 (69.2)	53 (81.5)	.419
Weight, kg	90.9 [19.3]	93.5 [28.3]	.997
BMI, kg/m ²	27.6 [5.3]	30 [10]	.625
Median SCr 1 y prior to admission, mg/dL	1.08 [0.23]	1.03 [0.55]	.776
CrCl, mL/min	103.5 [60.5]	88.5 [51.6]	.079
CrCl ≤59 mL/min at treatment initiation	16 (23.2)	3 (11.1)	.257
History			
IVDU	5 (18.5)	4 (5.8)	.111
OPAT use within 2 y	1 (3.7)	3 (4.4)	>.99
Medication noncompliance	9 (33.3)	10 (14.5)	.735
MRSA in previous 2 y	4 (14.8)	8 (11.6)	.735
VRE in previous 2 y	0	0	—
Current MRSA nasal swab positivity	5 (18.5)	16 (23.2)	.619
Listed β-lactam allergy	5 (18.5)	11 (15.9)	.761
Temperature at time of blood culture, °F	98.4 [2.6]	98.4 [1.1]	.689
Pitt bacteremia score	0 [0]	0 [0]	.782
>96 h of other antibiotics before incident antibiotic	19 (70.4)	9 (13)	<.001
Organisms cultured			
MSSA	9 (33.3)	32 (46.4)	...
MRSA	6 (22.2)	17 (24.6)	...
<i>S epidermidis</i>	5 (18.5)	7 (10.1)	...
<i>E faecalis</i>	0	6 (8.7)	...
<i>E faecium</i>	1 (3.7)	0	...
<i>S hominis</i>	0	2 (2.9)	...
<i>S lugdunensis</i>	1 (3.7)	0	...
<i>S oralis</i>	1 (3.7)	0	...
<i>S simulans</i>	0	1 (1.45)	...
<i>S warnerii</i>	0	1 (1.45)	...
<i>S haemolyticus</i>	1 (3.7)	0	...
<i>S dysgalactiae</i>	0	1 (1.45)	...
<i>S agalactiae</i>	0	1 (1.45)	...
<i>S anginosus</i>	0	1 (1.45)	...
Other GP not listed	3 (11.1)	0	...
Likely source of infection			
SSTI	17 (62.9)	28 (40.6)	...
Central line	2 (7.4)	4 (5.8)	...
Urinary	1 (3.7)	5 (7.3)	...
Pneumonia	0	3 (4.4)	...
Other	6 (22.2)	13 (18.8)	...
Unknown	1 (3.7)	16 (23.2)	...
Other cultures positive in addition to blood cultures	6 (22.2)	25 (36.2)	.187
SCr, mg/dL			
Initiation	0.91 [0.24]	1.05 [0.67]	.146
Nadir	0.87 [0.3]	0.9 [0.53]	.118
Peak	1.07 [0.23]	1.17 [0.84]	.093
WBC, cells/mm ³			
Initiation	9.3 [5.8]	6 [6.8]	.796
Nadir	8.5 [4.3]	6.2 [3.9]	.087
Peak	9.7 [5.6]	10.9 [6]	.504
Vancomycin troughs, mcg/mL			
1st	...	12.8 [9.8]	...
2nd	...	18.05 [9]	...
3rd	...	19 [4.2]	...

Table 1. Continued

Characteristic	Group, No. (%) or Median [IQR]		P Value
	Oritavancin (n = 27)	Standard of Care (n = 69)	
4th		17.8 [8.2]	...
5th		18.1 [5.7]	...
Antimicrobials used
Ampicillin-sulbactam		2 (2.9)	...
Ampicillin		4 (5.8)	...
Ceftaroline		3 (4.3)	...
Daptomycin		2 (2.9)	...
Nafcillin		5 (7.2)	...
Oxacillin		4 (5.8)	...
Vancomycin		23 (33.3)	...
Cefazolin		26 (37.7)	...
Length of, d			...
Hospital stay	12 [9]	5 [3]	<.001
Treatment ^a	14 [14]	14.5 [17]	.986
Time between, d			...
Initial culture and start of incident antimicrobial	6 [3]	2 [2]	<.001
Oritavancin administration and hospital discharge	0 [1]
Doses of oritavancin administered	
1	19 (70.4)		...
2	4 (14.8)		...
3	3 (11.1)		...
4	1 (3.7)		...

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CrCl, creatinine clearance; GP, gram positive; IVDU, intravenous drug use; MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; MSSA, methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus*; OPAT, outpatient parenteral antibiotic therapy; SCR, serum creatinine; SSTI, skin and skin structure infection; VRE, vancomycin-resistant enterococci; WBC, white blood cell.

^aThe treatment duration for oritavancin therapy was calculated as follows: each dose of oritavancin = 14 days of treatment.

indication during the study period, we assumed that 25% of patients in ORT group and 40% in the SOC group would have a negative outcome [11]. Given these parameters and assumptions to achieve a 2-sided α of .05 and a β of .2, an overall 165 patients were needed per group. Continuous variables were assessed with the Student *t* test and categorical variables with the chi-square test.

To correct for selection bias in the patients who were assigned treatment with ORT, an IPTW method of propensity score matching was employed for 1 cohort. Factors included in propensity score calculation were history of IVDU, previous OPAT within the last 2 years, history of medication noncompliance, Pitt bacteremia score >2, any cultures in the previous 2 years growing MRSA or vancomycin-resistant enterococci, current MRSA nasal swab positivity, creatinine clearance ≤ 59 mL/min at treatment initiation, planned treatment duration >2 weeks, and charted allergy to β -lactams. Weighting was calculated as follows:

Patients treated with ORT: 1/proensity score
 SOC group: 1/(1 - propensity score)

In an attempt to identify independent risk factors associated with the composite outcome of clinical failure, a nested case-control analysis was also performed. To achieve this, a univariate analysis and multivariate logistic regression (for variables

in the univariate analysis with a $P \leq .2$) were performed on the full cohort, the *S aureus* cohort, and the IPTW cohort. Variables included in the analysis were receipt of ORT, receipt of >1 dose of ORT, MRSA isolated from the blood, *Enterococcus* isolated from the blood, daptomycin therapy, vancomycin therapy, and obesity (body mass index ≥ 30).

RESULTS

Initial query of the VA Informatics and Computing Infrastructure database according to the parameters of time frame, blood culture of interest, and antibiotic of interest identified 236 patients: 106 receiving ORT and 130 treated with SOC. After review of CAPRI and JLV and application of other inclusion/exclusion criteria by the study team, 96 patients met criteria for inclusion into the full cohort: 27 receiving ORT and 69 treated with SOC. Most patients were excluded for not having a positive blood culture result (ORT group, n = 60) and not receiving the correct duration of antibiotics (SOC group, n = 17).

Baseline characteristics were well balanced between groups; however, in the ORT group, more patients received >96 hours of other antibiotic treatment before starting ORT, and more patients had a history of medication noncompliance. The most common source of infection was skin and skin structure (46.8%, 45/




Figure 1. Primary outcome: full cohort. ORT, oritavancin; SOC, standard of care.




Figure 2. Secondary outcomes: full cohort. AKI, acute kidney injury; ORT, oritavancin; SOC, standard of care.

96), and MSSA was the most common organism identified (42.7%, 41/96). Only 1 patient in the study was female and most patients were White (74%, 71/96). The most common antibiotics used in the SOC group were cefazolin (n = 26) and vancomycin (n = 23). Most patients (70.4%, 19/27) received a single dose of ORT. See [Table 1](#) for complete baseline characteristics.

Full Cohort

Overall 15.6% (15/96) of patients developed the composite outcome of clinical failure: 9 with all-cause mortality (9.4%), 1 with a subsequent positive blood culture result, and 5 (5.2%) with readmittance within 30 days of hospital discharge for a drug- or line-related complication.

In the full cohort of patients 7.4% (2/27) in the ORT group and 18.8% (13/69) in the SOC group had the primary outcome of clinical failure ($P = .336$). There were no significant differences in any of the components of the primary outcome ([Figure 1](#)). Hospital length of stay was a median 12 days in the ORT group and 5 days in the SOC group ($P < .001$); all-cause 30-day readmission was not significantly different between groups. AKI occurred in 3.7% (1/27) of the ORT group and 27.5% (19/69) of the SOC group ($P = .011$) ([Figure 2](#)). In this cohort, only the variables of MRSA isolated in blood

Table 2. Univariate Analysis: Full Cohort

Covariate	Clinical Failure	No Clinical Failure	P Value
Received oritavancin therapy	2	25	.227
Received >1 dose of oritavancin	2	6	.392
MRSA isolated from the blood	7	16	.019
<i>Enterococcus</i> isolated from the blood	0	7	.976
Received daptomycin	2	0	.976
Received vancomycin	5	18	.271
BMI ≥ 30	4	39	.195
Received >96 h of prior antibiotics	2	26	.200
Other cultures positive	3	28	.353

Bold indicates $P < .2$.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*.

Table 3. Multivariate Analysis: Full Cohort

Covariate	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P Value
MRSA isolated from blood	3.52 (1.06–11.76)	.04
BMI ≥ 30	.44 (.12–1.61)	.217
Received >96 h of prior antibiotics	.38 (.07–1.92)	.241

Bold indicates $P < .05$.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*.

culture, body mass index ≥ 30 , and >96 hours of prior antibiotics met criteria for inclusion in the multivariate regression model ([Table 2](#)). In the multivariate regression, only MRSA isolated in blood culture was significantly associated with clinical failure (odds ratio, 3.52; 95% CI, 1.06–11.76; $P = .04$). Full multivariate results are available in [Table 3](#).

S aureus Cohort

In the cohort of patients being treated for *S aureus* (n = 64), 13.3% (2/15) in the ORT group vs 20.4% (10/49) in the SOC group had clinical failure; all-cause mortality was 6.7% (1/15) for ORT and 12.2% (6/49) for SOC ([Figure 3](#)). AKI occurred in 6.7% (1/15) of the ORT group and 24.5% (12/49) of the SOC group ([Figure 4](#)). Only MRSA isolated in blood culture was included in the multivariate regression model ([Table 4](#)), but this was not significantly associated with clinical failure (odds ratio, 2.74; 95% CI, .73–10.2; $P = .134$).

IPTW Cohort

IPTW was applied to both groups (ORT and SOC), and there was no significant difference in the primary outcome as compared with the full cohort. The univariate analysis in the IPTW cohort yielded significance among the variables of MRSA isolated from the blood ($P = .0038$) and receipt of >1 dose of ORT therapy ($P = .057$). In the multivariate regression model, absence of MRSA isolation was significantly protective of clinical failure (odds ratio, 0.12; 95% CI, .03–.52).




Figure 3. Primary outcomes: cohort treated for *Staphylococcus aureus*. ORT, oritavancin; SOC, standard of care.

It was decided post hoc to evaluate the cohort of patients receiving >96 hours of antibiotics prior to the initiation of the study medication; however, this group was small (n = 28). In this cohort, 10.5% (2/19) treated with ORT had clinical failure, as opposed to no patients in the SOC group; the failures in the ORT group occurred from all-cause mortality (n = 1) and a drug- or line-related readmission (n = 1). This cohort was deemed too small for univariate or multivariate regression.

DISCUSSION

In this national cohort of veterans being treated for gram-positive BSIs with either ORT or SOC, there was no difference with respect to the composite outcome of clinical failure between groups in any of the cohorts evaluated. Numerically more patients in the SOC group experienced all-cause 30-day mortality, and significantly more treated with the SOC developed AKI. Length of stay was significantly greater in the ORT group, and only the isolation of MRSA from the incident blood culture was identified as a significant predictor of clinical failure in the full and IPTW cohorts.

The low number of drug- or line-related readmissions occurring in the SOC group was surprising, but this could be related to the exclusion of many deeper-seated infections and shorter overall durations of therapy (median duration for SOC group, 14 days). It was not as surprising that length of stay was significantly greater for patients receiving ORT; this likely reflects that these patients were not OPAT candidates and were being held inpatient until all options for treatment had been considered. ORT therapy did appear safer, as there were significantly fewer cases of AKI in this group. This difference may be related to the fact that the SOC antibiotics that patients received required more frequent monitoring and there were more laboratories available to identify AKI in the SOC group than the ORT group.

Since their development, there has been interest in using long-acting lipoglycopeptides such as ORT for the treatment of BSIs. ORT is particularly attractive given that its elimination half-life is ~245 hours [6].




Figure 4. Secondary outcomes: cohort treated for *Staphylococcus aureus*. AKI, acute kidney injury; ORT, oritavancin; SOC, standard of care.

Table 4. Univariate Analysis: *Staphylococcus aureus* Cohort

Covariate	Clinical Failure	No Clinical Failure	P Value
Received oritavancin therapy	2	13	.542
Received >1 dose of oritavancin	2	6	.348
MRSA isolated from the blood	7	16	.081
<i>Enterococcus</i> isolated from the blood	—	—	—
Received daptomycin	2	0	.974
Received vancomycin	4	10	.293
BMI ≥30	4	26	.303
Received >96 h of prior antibiotics	2	16	.337
Other cultures positive	2	20	.167

Bold indicates $P \leq .2$.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*.

Peak plasma concentration has been found to be close to 140 mcg/mL; however, <10% of the peak concentration remains in the serum 24 hours postinfusion [6, 12, 13]. Despite this fact, in a pharmacokinetic evaluation of patients involved in the SOLO I and II trials with available pharmacokinetic data, serum levels remained >1 mcg/mL for ~504 hours. This is particularly informative given that the Food and Drug Administration–approved minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) breakpoint for ORT to *S aureus* is ≤0.12 mcg/mL; after a single 1200-mg dose, serum ORT concentrations should remain above the MIC breakpoint for *S aureus* for >40 days [6, 13]. Additionally, ORT is among the most rapidly bactericidal antibiotics available against *S aureus*, noted to achieve 3-log reduction in colony-forming units per milliliter in 0.5 hours [14].

Unfortunately, clinical evidence with ORT for the treatment of BSIs is mostly limited to registries and small case series or cohort studies [7, 8, 15, 16]. One cohort study from 2017 examined 5 patients who received treatment for MSSA BSI. All patients were treated with only a single dose and 3 of 5 achieved cure [15]. In another study, 3 patients were treated with ORT to facilitate transition to hospice care. Two of these patients died within the 15 days of ORT treatment, and 1 lived for 5 weeks [16]. In 2018 Schulz et al [7] reported the outcomes of 17 patients who were treated for complex gram-positive infections with multiple

doses of ORT, all of whom achieved clinical success. Two of these patients were treated for BSIs or an endovascular infection; 1 patient received an initial 1200-mg dose, then 800 mg weekly for 3 doses; the other received an initial 1200-mg dose, followed by 800 mg weekly for 11 doses and then a subsequent treatment course for an additional 5 weeks [7].

Perhaps the most robust picture of ORT for the treatment of BSIs comes from the CHROME registry [8], a retrospective observational program describing patients treated with ORT from 2014 to 2017 in the United States for a variety of infections. In this registry, 7 patients were treated with ORT for BSIs: 5 for primary infection and 2 secondary to skin and skin structure infection, with 3 of 7 isolates being *S aureus*. All 7 patients received single-dose therapy with 1200 mg, and all achieved clinical cure [8].

Dosing of ORT for BSI treatment remains somewhat controversial in the available literature. Two patients discussed earlier received initial loading doses of 1200 mg, followed by 800 mg weekly for varying durations. These patients had complex infections—one with vancomycin-resistant enterococci and the other an endovascular infection caused by *S lugdunensis* [8]. There remains a similar paucity of data with ORT for the treatment of osteomyelitis: another complex typically gram-positive-driven infection that can occasionally be caused by or lead to secondary BSIs. In the available literature describing ORT in the treatment of osteomyelitis, there remains a similar lack of standardization in dosing, particularly with regard to frequency and total number of doses; however, nearly all cases are managed with multiple doses [8, 17, 18]. In the present study, endocarditis was a criterion for exclusion, and there were few patients who had osteomyelitis (classified as skin and skin structure infection—primary source). Most patients here were treated with single-dose therapy, and this was likely appropriate because most BSIs were not secondary to deeper-seated infections. All patients, regardless of how many doses they received, were administered 1200 mg of ORT. Additionally, patients in the present study were not severely ill, as demonstrated by their median Pitt bacteremia score of 0. Single-dose therapy for less complex BSIs also appears to be supported by pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic data: ORT is a concentration-dependent bactericidal agent, and one of the pharmacokinetic indices most associated with antibacterial activity is the ratio of peak serum concentration to MIC [9, 19, 20]. Based on these data, the sustained plasma concentrations, and the low MICs to gram-positive pathogens, single-dose therapy for non-deep-seated BSIs appears justifiable.

The present study is not without limitations. The retrospective nonrandomized nature creates several issues, not the least of which is the lack of standardization of ORT dosing or the timing when doses occurred; furthermore, the frequency of ORT dosing was not collected or evaluated, only the total number of doses that the patient received. Additionally, significantly more patients in the ORT group received >96 hours of other antibiotics before being treated with ORT (70.4%), possibly

confounding results. Finally, the analysis was underpowered, as not enough patients nationally within the VA Health Care System receiving ORT for a gram-positive BSI met inclusion criteria. Because power was not met, the possibility of a type II error within the study does exist.

An IPTW method of propensity score matching was implemented to mitigate selection bias, and this cohort showed no difference in outcomes from the full unmatched cohort. While more patients treated with ORT initially received >96 hours of other antibiotics and the time from initial culture to ORT administration was greater than the time to administration of SOC therapy in the other group (median, 6 vs 2 days), we believe that this study provides an accurate picture of how clinicians are approaching ORT in the treatment of BSIs, at least within the VA Health Care System—it is an option of last resort in patients who are likely not OPAT candidates, and the medication is not being administered until immediately prior to discharge (median time between ORT administration and hospital discharge, 0 days). Of note, all patients who received ORT had cleared their blood cultures by the time when ORT was administered.

This study, to date, is the only evaluation comparing ORT vs SOC for the treatment of gram-positive BSIs. An additional strength is the significant number of *S aureus* BSIs present. *S aureus* BSIs are typically associated with high morbidity and mortality and treated for a duration ≥ 4 weeks in many cases; this evaluation demonstrated similar outcomes between patients treated with ORT and those who received SOC. While the study did not meet power, it remains the largest study of a population of patients across the United States receiving ORT for a BSI and informs how this antibiotic is currently being used for BSIs in the health care system.

CONCLUSIONS

Patients treated with ORT within the VA Health Care System for some of the most common non-deep-seated gram-positive BSIs had outcomes similar to patients treated with SOC. This first comparative study suggests that ORT is a potentially safe and effective option for the management of gram-positive BSIs, but prospective studies with standardized dosing regimens and initiation times are needed to better define optimal courses of treatment.

Notes

Financial support. This work was supported by an investigator-initiated trial grant from Melinta Therapeutics. Only the authors managed and analyzed data; the role of the funding source was merely supportive. The authors alone had final say over the contents of this manuscript.

Potential conflicts of interest. R. P. M. reports receiving honoraria as a member of the Melinta Therapeutics speaker's bureau. All other authors report no potential conflicts. While this work was supported by an investigator-initiated trial grant from Melinta Therapeutics, and R.P.M. has received honoraria in the past as a member of the Melinta Therapeutics speakers bureau, only the authors collected, reviewed, and analyzed data.

Additionally, only the listed author's developed conclusions and prepared the manuscript.

References

1. Mun SJ, Kim SH, Kim HT, Wi YM. The epidemiology of bloodstream infection contributing to mortality: the difference between community-acquired, healthcare-associated, and hospital-acquired infections. *BMC Infect Dis* **2022**; 22:336.
2. Liu C, Bayer A, Cosgrove SE, et al. Clinical practice guidelines by the infectious diseases society of America for the treatment of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections in adults and children. *Clin Infect Dis* **2011**; 52:e18–55.
3. Shrestha N, Shrestha J, Everett A, Carroll D, Gordon S, Rehm SJ. 758: treatment complications during outpatient parenteral antimicrobial therapy (OPAT) administered at home. *Open Forum Infect Dis* **2014**; 1(suppl 1):S214.
4. Underwood J, Marks M, Collins S, Logan S, Pollara G. Intravenous catheter-related adverse events exceed drug-related adverse events in outpatient parenteral antimicrobial therapy. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **2019**; 74:787–90.
5. Van Hal SJ, Paterson DL, Lodise TP. Systematic review and meta-analysis of vancomycin-induced nephrotoxicity associated with dosing schedules that maintain troughs between 15 and 20 milligrams per liter. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2013**; 57:734–44.
6. Oritavancin. Package insert. Lincolnshire: Melinta Therapeutics; **2019**.
7. Schulz LT, Dworkin E, Dela-Pena J, Rose WE. Multiple-dose oritavancin evaluation in a retrospective cohort of patients with complicated infections. *Pharmacotherapy* **2018**; 38:152–9.
8. Redell M, Sierra-Hoffman M, Assi M, et al. The CHROME study, a real-world experience of single- and multiple-dose oritavancin for the treatment of gram-positive infections. *Open Forum Infect Dis* **2019**; 6:ofz479.
9. Corey GR, Kabler H, Mehra P, et al. Single-dose oritavancin in the treatment of acute bacterial skin infections. *N Engl J Med* **2014**; 370:2180–90.
10. Corey GR, Good S, Jiang H, et al. Single-dose oritavancin versus 7–10 days vancomycin in the treatment of gram-positive acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections: the SOLO II noninferiority study. *Clin Infect Dis* **2015**; 60:254–62.
11. Holland TL, Arnold C, Fowler VG. Clinical management of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia: a review. *JAMA* **2014**; 312:1330–41.
12. Brade KD, Rybak JM, Rybak MJ. Oritavancin: a new lipoglycopeptide antibiotic in the treatment of gram-positive infections. *Infect Dis Ther* **2016**; 5:1–15.
13. Rubino CM, Bhavnani SM, Moeck G, Bellibas SE, Ambrose PG. Population pharmacokinetic analysis for a single 1,200 mg dose of oritavancin using data from two pivotal phase 3 clinical trials. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2015**; 59:3365–72.
14. Sweeney D, Shinabarger DL, Arhin FF, Belley A, Moeck G, Pillar CM. Comparative in vitro activity of oritavancin and other agents against methicillin-susceptible and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Diag Microbiol Infect Dis* **2017**; 87:121–8.
15. Stewart CL, Turner MS, Frens JJ, Snider CB, Smith JR. Real-world experience with oritavancin therapy in invasive gram-positive infections. *Infect Dis Ther* **2017**; 6: 277–89.
16. Datta R, McManus D, Topal J, Juthani-Mehta M. Long-acting lipoglycopeptides for gram-positive bacteremia at the end of life to facilitate hospice care: a report of 3 cases. *Open Forum Infect Dis* **2018**; 5:ofx277.
17. Chastain DB, Davis A. Treatment of chronic osteomyelitis with multidose oritavancin: a case series and literature review. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* **2019**; 53: 429–34.
18. Van Hise NW, Chundi V, Didwania V, et al. Treatment of acute osteomyelitis with once-weekly oritavancin: a two-year, multicenter, retrospective study. *Drugs Real World Outcomes* **2020**; 7(suppl 1):41–5.
19. Boylan CJ, Campanale K, Iversen PW, Phillips DL, Zeckel ML, Parr TR. Pharmacodynamics of oritavancin (LY333328) in neutropenic-mouse thigh model of *Staphylococcus aureus* infection. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **2003**; 47:1700–6.
20. Hoover RK, Krsak M, Molina KC, Shah K, Redell M. Kimyrsa, an oritavancin-containing product: clinical study and review of properties. *Open Forum Infect Dis* **2022**; 9:ofac090.