Comments on: Glycerol-preserved corneal tissue in emergency corneal transplantation: An alternative for fresh corneal tissue in COVID-19 crisis

Dear Editor;

We read the article by Gupta *et al.* on "Glycerol-preserved corneal tissue in emergency corneal transplantation: An alternative for fresh corneal tissue in COVID-19 crisis"^[1] with profound interest and want to congratulate the authors for their research work. Glycerol-preserved cornea (GPC) has important utility in emergency keratoplasty where the availability of fresh corneal tissue (FCT) is questionable in the developing world.^[2] We would like to appreciate the authors for comparing the GPC and FCT in corneal transplantations. However, we would like to draw attention to clarify our concerns regarding this published article.

First of all the title of the article in unjustified as the data presented in the study does not belong to the COVID era. The whole article is not explaining anything related to the COVID era, but just a paragraph at the end cannot justify the article title. To make a statement in the title, the data should be around that time domain.

The next point lies in statistical analysis as this section mentioned about Mann-Whitney U test, *t*-test, and Chi-square test. However, Table 4 uses Fisher's exact test, which is not mentioned in the methodology.^[1]

In the result section, it is mentioned that there was 100% graft failure in glycerol-preserved tissue. We believe that it is worth to classify graft failure in terms of primary graft failure and secondary graft failure. The authors have described retransplanting with optical grade tissues in 11 eyes in the FCT group and 5 eyes in the GPC group, but the GPC group showed graft failure in all 34 cases. The fate of the other 29 cases of graft failure is not mentioned. Besides, the authors mentioned that there was a reinfection in 11 eyes in the FCT group. It would have been informative if the management of graft infection was mentioned in terms of conservative or surgical.

Furthermore, there is repetition and duplication of data of the GPC group mentioned in results, in Table 2 and Tables 3 and 4 with a previous article published in 2017 in IJO with PMID 28724812.^[3] Publishing or reusing the same dataset is considered as an overlapping publication or salami slicing.^[4] In our opinion, duplication of data is wrong on ethical grounds if it is used for the same purpose for different titles.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

Anuradha Raj, Nikhil Agrawal

Department of Ophthalmology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Bathinda, Punjab, India

Correspondence to: Dr. Anuradha Raj, Department of Ophthalmology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Bathinda - 151 001, Punjab, India. E-mail: dranuradha_sagar@yahoo.com

References

- Gupta N, Dhasmana R, Maitreya A, Badahur H. Glycerol-preserved corneal tissue in emergency corneal transplantation: An alternative for fresh corneal tissue in COVID-19 crisis. Indian J Ophthalmol 2020;68:1412-6.
- Michael RF, Geoffrey CT, Lloyd W, Matt O. The use of glycerol-preserved corneas in the developing world. Middle East Afr J Ophthalmol 2010;17:38-43.
- 3. Gupta N, Upadhyay P. Use of glycerol-preserved corneas for corneal transplants. Indian J Ophthalmol 2017;65:569-73.
- Sarwar U, Nicolaou M. Fraud and deceit in medical research. J Res Med Sci 2012;17:1077-81.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code:	Website:
回避9岁间	www.ijo.in
	DOI: 10.4103/ijo.IJO_2223_20

Cite this article as: Raj A, Agrawal N. Comments on: Glycerol-preserved corneal tissue in emergency corneal transplantation: An alternative for fresh corneal tissue in COVID-19 crisis. Indian J Ophthalmol 2021;69:169.

© 2020 Indian Journal of Ophthalmology | Published by Wolters Kluwer - Medknow