

IP Hydrogen Bonding Very Important Paper

 How to cite:
 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 23461–23465

 International Edition:
 doi.org/10.1002/anie.202008148

 German Edition:
 doi.org/10.1002/ange.202008148

Ion Selectivity of Water Molecules in Subnanoporous Liquid-Crystalline Water-Treatment Membranes: A Structural Study of Hydrogen Bonding

Ryusuke Watanabe, Takeshi Sakamoto, Kosuke Yamazoe, Jun Miyawaki, Takashi Kato,* and Yoshihisa Harada*

Abstract: We demonstrate hydrogen-bonded structures of water in self-organized subnanoporous water treatment membranes obtained using synchrotron-based high-resolution soft X-ray emission spectroscopy. The ion selectivity of these water treatment membranes is usually understood by the size compatibility of nanochannels in the membrane with the Stokes radius of hydrated ions, or by electrostatic interaction between charges inside the nanochannels and such ions. However, based on a comparison between the hydrogenbonded structures of water molecules in the nanochannels of the water treatment membrane and those surrounding the ions, we propose a definite contribution of structural consistency among the associated hydrogen-bonded water molecules to the ion selectivity. Our observation delivers a novel concept to the design of water treatment membranes where water molecules in the nanochannel can be regarded as a part of the material that controls the ion selectivity.

Membrane technologies for producing fresh water from seawater or brackish water have attracted attention because separation can be done with relatively low energy and a simple process.^[1] The separation technologies of reverse osmosis (RO) and nano-filtered membranes have been developed.^[2-4] Recently, self-organized nano- or subnanoporous liquid-crystalline (LC) membrane materials^[5–8] with uniform pore diameter size have been reported to show

-	
[*]	R. Watanabe, Dr. J. Miyawaki, Prof. Y. Harada Department of Advanced Materials Science, Graduate School of Frontier Sciences, The University of Tokyo 5-1-5, Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8561 (Japan) E-mail: harada@issp.u-tokyo.ac.jp
	Dr. T. Sakamoto, Prof. T. Kato Department of Chemistry and Biotechnology, School of Engineering, The University of Tokyo 7-3-1, Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656 (Japan) E-mail: kato@chiral.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp
	Dr. K. Yamazoe, Dr. J. Miyawaki, Prof. Y. Harada Institute for Solid State Physics (ISSP), The University of Tokyo 5-1-5, Kashiwanoha, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8581 (Japan)
Ø	Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202008148.
	© 2020 The Authors. Angewandte Chemie International Edition published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which

the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

unique properties for water treatment^[5,7,8] while for normal RO and nano-filtered membranes the pore sizes are distributed. In the self-organized LC membranes, a consistent size of water channels having a subnanometer to nanometer scale is formed by self-assembly of columnar (Col) or bicontinuous cubic (Cub_{bi}) LC monomers, which are preserved by in situ polymerization.^[5–8] The nanopores have a diameter ranging from 0.6 to 2.2 nm depending on the design of the self-assembled and monomer chemical structures.^[7,8] To achieve more efficient separation properties and have deeper insight into the behavior of water molecules in such materials, it is important to study the hydrogen-bonded structures of the molecules in the nanochannels.

For the Col and Cub_{bi} LC membranes obtained by polymerization of an ionic monomer, it is very interesting that a divalent sulfate ion (SO_4^{2-}) with larger ionic radius permeates the membranes more effectively than a monovalent ion (Cl⁻).^[7] For example, the permeability of MgSO₄ is $73 \pm 5\%$, which is more than double the $30 \pm 6\%$ value for NaCl. The mechanism of selective ion permeation is generally explained by the relationship between the sizes of ions and pores, which is typically the case for ion removal in a composite RO membrane. However, this behavior cannot be explained by the sieving effect and implies the presence of another driving force for ion selectivity. He and co-workers discussed that apart from the size of ion hydration nuclei relative to the pore size, the energy required for dehydration plays an essential role in the ion selectivity of bioinspired graphene nanopores.^[9] Kawakami and co-workers reported that the electrostatic interaction of water molecules with water treatment membranes affected the permeability of water.^[10] These discussions are consistent with MD simulations applied for different sizes of nanochannels,^[11-14] where the determinant factors for the ion selectivity are the pore size, end groups in the nanopores, membrane surface charge, and properties of ion hydration.

In the present study, we investigated hydrogen-bonded structures of water in the nanostructured water treatment LC membranes formed by in situ polymerization of compound **1** (Figure 1 a) with synchrotron-based high-resolution X-ray emission spectroscopy (XES) (Figure 1b). We demonstrate the hydrogen-bonded configuration of hydrating water of the ionic solute to understand the microscopic mechanism of the selective ion permeation of monovalent chloride and divalent sulfate ions in LC membranes with subnanopores.

XES is a unique tool for probing the element-specific valence electronic structure of materials.^[15] Figure 2 a displays



Figure 1. a) Molecular structure and illustration of an LC monomer benzyl triethylammonium tetrafluoroborate (1; top). The LC monomers were self-assembled to form a bicontinuous cubic liquid-crystal (Cub_b) structure (middle left). Ions are selectively transported (middle center) through subnanopores composed of a stack of the four LC monomers, where water forms specific hydrogen-bonded structures (middle right). Tetraethylammonium tetrafluoroborate [N(C₂H₅)₄BF₄] (**2**) as a reference has the same moiety as compound **1** (bottom). b) Soft X-ray emission detection from water in the LC membrane.

how the valence electronic structure of water is obtained after oxygen 1s core excitation. Decay of the O 1s core hole by selective transition from the p-symmetric $1b_2$, $3a_1$, and $1b_1$ valence states accompanies the X-ray emission. Because the XES process obeys the strict symmetry selection rule, O 1s XES is very sensitive to changes in the local hydrogen-bonded configuration of water.^[16] This is demonstrated in Figure 2b by comparison of XES spectra for an isolated water molecule with a hydrogen-bonded water molecule. The most striking change upon condensation from the gas phase to the liquid



Figure 2. a) Valence electronic states of an H₂O molecule probed by Xray emission spectroscopy. b) Three distinct 1b₁, 3a₁, and 1b₂ orbitals of an H₂O molecule (top) are significantly modified by the effect of hydrogen bonding in liquid H₂O (middle). Interaction of an H₂O molecule with 1 mol L⁻¹ compound **2** [N(C₂H₅)₄BF₄] solution further modifies the energy of each orbital from liquid H₂O, as demonstrated in the difference spectrum (bottom).

phase is the splitting of the single $1b_1$ peak in an H_2O molecule to double $1b_1'$ and $1b_1''$ peaks in liquid H_2O . The $1b_1'$ peak position is smoothly connected to the corresponding peak in H_2O ice^[17,18] and can be associated with tetrahedrally coordinated H_2O molecules (effectively four hydrogen bonds per molecule). The $1b_1''$ peak between the $1b_1'$ and the gasphase $1b_1$ peaks can be associated with highly distorted hydrogen-bonded H_2O molecules.^[18-20] For aqueous salt solutions, the XES profile of water is further modulated due to a change in the hydrogen-bonded structures of water molecules hydrating the solutes.^[21,22] This is demonstrated at the bottom of Figure 2b and is discussed below in detail.

An LC polymer film was prepared from compound **1** by spin-coating and photopolymerization (see Experimental details in the Supporting Information). Figure 1 a is a schematic drawing of an LC membrane with the Cub_{bi} phase. Water runs only through nanochannels with a pore size of ca. 0.6 nm,^[7a] which are formed by in situ polymerization of self-assembly of **1**. We employed compound **2** bearing the

triethylammonium moiety (also shown in Figure 1 a) as a model compound to obtain insights into the ionic interactions between the cationic moiety and the inorganic anions (SO_4^{2-}, CI^-) because this cationic moiety plays a key role in the nanochannel of **1**.

XES spectra of pure liquid H_2O absorbed in the LC membrane and hydrating water of permeating solutes, $MgSO_4$ and NaCl were collected at the SPring-8 BL07LSU HORNET station using a high-resolution XES spectrometer.^[23] A custom-made ambient pressure cell was used to expose the LC membrane to humidity-controlled moisture and nitrogen as a carrier gas. By carefully increasing the humidity, it was possible to control the accumulation of H_2O molecules in the LC membrane (Figure 1b).

XES measurements of the hydrating water in the MgSO₄ and NaCl solutions were performed by simply circulating concentration-controlled (1 molL⁻¹ and 3 molL⁻¹ for NaCl and 1 molL⁻¹ and 2.5 molL⁻¹ for MgSO₄) solutions through the tube. One XES spectrum was obtained in a few hours by scanning approximately $100 \times 100 \ \mu\text{m}^2$ area, giving time- and space-averaged information for hydrogen-bonded structure of water. Details of the experimental setup and operating condition are described elsewhere.^[24] All measurements were done at room temperature.

The O 1s XES spectra of the LC membrane (Figure 3, spectra **A**, **B**) are compared with bulk liquid H₂O (Figure 3, spectrum **C**). First, we examined the contribution of ether O atoms in the LC membrane to the O 1s XES spectra by flowing dried N₂ gas through the tube. With a relative humidity of 10%, we obtained spectrum **A** in Figure 3. The relative area intensity of the XES spectra of the bulk liquid H₂O to spectrum **A** is 6.1, as evaluated from Figure 3. This value is close to the expected relative XES intensity of 7.3 estimated from the experimental geometry (detailed estimates are discussed in the Supporting Information). Therefore, we conclude that spectrum **A** roughly represents the electronic structure of ether O atoms in the LC membrane. Next, to extract the electronic structure of water absorbed by the LC membrane, spectrum **A** was subtracted from the XES



Figure 3. O 1s XES spectra of ether oxygen atoms in the LC membrane (**A**, green), H_2O in the LC membrane with the bulk liquid H_2O (**B**, black), and the bulk liquid H_2O (**C**, blue). Relevant oxygen moieties in each spectrum are shown on the right.

spectrum of the fully humidified (95% relative humidity) LC membrane (spectrum B' in Figure S2), and the result is shown as spectrum B in Figure 3. The relative area intensity of spectrum **B** to spectrum **A** is 1.5, which is much larger than the expected intensity of 0.36 for water fully incorporated into the subnanopores (detailed estimates are discussed in the Supporting Information). The large signal intensity of spectrum **B** should be due to accumulation of bulk liquid H₂O on the LC membrane after the subnanopores are filled with H₂O molecules. With an equal integrated area intensity between 515 and 530 eV, spectrum C for the bulk liquid H_2O was subtracted from spectrum **B** (Figure 4a). The obtained profile directly reflects the modulation of the hydrogen-bonded structures of hydrating water molecules from the bulk liquid H_2O . The two significant valleys in the plot at the $1b_1'$ (525.7 eV) and $1b_{1''}$ (526.5 eV) positions indicate the loss of



Figure 4. a) Modulation of the valence 1b₁ structure of H₂O in the LC membrane from bulk liquid H₂O, and reproduction of the 1b₁ profile by three Gaussian peaks ($\Delta 1b_1'$, $\Delta 1b_1''$, and $\Delta 1b_1^{LC}$). b) Valence electronic structure of H₂O in the LC membrane compared with 1.0 mol L⁻¹ and 2.5 mol L⁻¹ MgSO₄, as well as 1.0 mol L⁻¹ and 3.0 mol L⁻¹ NaCl aqueous solutions.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 23461–23465 © 2020 The Authors. Angewandte Chemie International Edition published by Wiley-VCH GmbH www.angewandte.org 23463

water species specific to the bulk liquid H₂O. The further decrease of the 1b₁' peak compared to the 1b₁'' peak implies the destruction of tetrahedrally (four-fold) coordinated H₂O molecules (Figure 2b)^[15,16] in the LC membrane. Deconvolution of the 1b₁ profile into Gaussian peaks (Figure 4a) requires the presence of a broad positive peak $\Delta 1b_1^{LC}$ centered around the middle of the $\Delta 1b_1'$ and $\Delta 1b_1''$ valleys. The $\Delta 1b_1^{LC}$ peak must represent the hydrogen-bonded structures of water in the subnanopore of the LC membrane because the $\Delta 1b_1^{LC}$ peak compensates for the loss of the bulk liquid H₂O components ($\Delta 1b_1'$ and $\Delta 1b_1''$). The broad profile of the $\Delta 1b_1^{LC}$ peak implies the presence of several hydrogen-bonded configurations of water in the subnanopores of the LC membrane because the $\Delta 1b_1^{LC}$ peak implies the presence of several hydrogen-bonded configurations of water in the subnanopores of the LC membrane.

To explore the origin of the selective ion permeation $(73 \pm 5\% \text{ for MgSO}_4 \text{ and } 30 \pm 6\% \text{ for NaCl})$, hydrogen-bonded structures of H₂O in the LC membrane were compared with those in the MgSO₄ and NaCl hydrations (Figure 4b). We measured XES for two different concentrations of each solute, 1 molL⁻¹ and 2.5 molL⁻¹ for MgSO₄ and 1 molL⁻¹ and 3 mol/l for NaCl, to see if the concentration affects the hydrogen-bonded structures of hydrating water. This is crucial for evaluating the stability of the selective ion permeation if the hydrogen-bonded structures of water dominantly control the ion selectivity. The normalized difference XES spectra for the two solutes from bulk liquid H₂O reflect the modulation of the hydrogen-bonded structures of hydrating water (Figure 4b).

In the MgSO₄ solution, hydrating water has hydrogenbonded structures similar to those of water in the subnanopores of the LC membrane, which is significantly different from water in the NaCl solution. At least in the measured concentration range, it is found that the XES profile primarily depends on the ionic species and is not affected by the concentration of each salt. Below the critical concentration range around $3 \text{ mol } L^{-1}$ for MgSO₄ and $5 \text{ mol } L^{-1}$ for NaCl, where the hydration spheres of cations and anions overlap and form contact ion pairs,^[25,26] hydrogen-bonded structures do not change with concentration for each salt, which would also be responsible for the effective transportation of MgSO₄ into the subnanopores of the LC membrane. Not only the XES profile that reflects the modulation of the hydrogenbonded structures, but we also evaluate the ratio of modulation compared with the net XES intensity for water molecules in the subnanopores of the LC membrane and hydrating water of the permeating solutes. By integrating the absolute value of the difference XES spectra in the displayed energy range from 515 eV to 530 eV (Figure 4b), we found the ratio is 0.074 for water molecules in the subnanopore while 0.079 for water hydrating MgSO₄ at 2.5 mol L⁻¹. Intriguingly the extent of XES modulation is comparable between water molecules in the subnanopores of the LC membrane and hydrating water of MgSO4 near the critical concentration (3 mol L⁻¹). This implies the hydrogen-bonded structures of all the water molecules are modulated in the subnanopore with 0.6 nm diameter and at the critical concentration of MgSO₄ solution.

From the above results, we conclude that the consistency of the hydrogen-bonded structures of water in the subnano-

pores of the LC membrane and hydrating water contributes to the high permeability of the MgSO₄ solution. The hydrogenbonded structures of water in the above two regions may affect the permeability of ions when the net gain in free energy as a sum of mixing enthalpy and mixing entropy is different. We expect the net gain is maximized when the two water regions have similar hydrogen-bonded structures, although careful considerations of the free energy are necessary. The ion-selective permeation should also be thermally controlled. At elevated temperatures, more distorted and less-dense hydrogen-bonded structures are expected for the hydrating water of MgSO4, while more fluctuation of the ionic groups will significantly perturb the hydrogen-bonded structure of water in the subnanopore of the LC membrane. Consequently, the net loss of mixing entropy on temperature change must be studied. Theoretically, Tang and Kim investigated the temperature effects on the ion-selective permeation of carbon nanotubes, and noted the possibility that the change in the hydration energy of ions for the temperature decrease of 150 K (from 450 K to 300 K) affected the ion-selective permeation.^[27] However, the effect of thermal fluctuation may be small for carbon nanotubes. In the case of the LC membrane, it remains a challenge to understand the temperature effect on the ion-selective permeation.

In the case of water molecules inside the subnanopores of the LC membrane, triethylammonium ionic groups covering the inside wall of the subnanopores neighbor a majority of the water molecules. Because this is dominated by the interaction between water and the alkyl chains connected to the charged nitrogen, we can compare the hydrogen-bonded structures of water neighboring the triethylammonium cations by compound 2 through O 1s XES. Our difference XES spectrum of compound **2** against pure H_2O water (Figure 2b, bottom) shows a profile similar to that of water inside the subnanopores of the LC membrane (Figure 4b). Slight differences to the right and left of the two $1b_1$ valleys can be explained by a blue shift of the $\Delta 1b_1^{LC}$ peak relative to the $\Delta 1b_1'$ and $\Delta 1b_1'$ valleys. The difference of the $\Delta 1b_1^{LC}$ peak implies the presence of interference effects among hydrating water of the triethylammonium ionic groups in the quite limited space of the subnanopore.

In conclusion, we have studied the hydrogen-bonded structures of water in the subnanopore of an LC membrane to explore the mechanism of its selective transport of ions, in particular the unexpected high permeability for large Mg²⁺ and SO_4^{2-} ions. For the selective permeability of ions, we propose a possible contribution of structural consistency between the hydrogen-bonded structures of water in the subnanopores and hydration layer surrounding the ions, in addition to the competition between the pore size and the ionic radius, the energy barrier for dehydration, and the electrostatic interaction between the charges in the subnanopore. The ion selectivity should appear as a result of the complicated intertwining of all the above properties, which are modulated by selecting the ionic groups that protrude into the subnanopores of the LC membrane. Water can be regarded as a part of the LC membrane contributing to its ion selectivity, which is similar to the perspective for the role of hydrating water that controls many functions of biological systems.

Acknowledgements

This work was carried out by joint research by the Synchrotron Radiation Research Organization and the Institute for Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo (Proposal Numbers 2017B7403 and 20207507). This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP19H05715 and JP19H05717 (Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Area: Aquatic Functional Materials). We thank Hitoshi Washizu and Le Van Sang for providing the schematic image of compound **2** in solution.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: hydrogen bonding · liquid crystals · membranes · water nanochannels · X-ray emission spectroscopy

- a) M. A. Shannon, P. W. Bohn, M. Elimelech, J. G. Georgiadis, B. J. Mariñas, A. M. Mayes, *Nature* **2008**, *452*, 301–310; b) J. R. Werber, C. O. Osuji, M. Elimelech, *Nat. Rev. Mater.* **2016**, *1*, 16018.
- [2] R. J. Petersen, J. Membr. Sci. 1993, 83, 81-150.
- [3] K. Peng Lee, T. C. Arnot, D. Mattia, *J. Membr. Sci.* **2011**, *370*, 1 22.
- [4] A. W. Mohammad, Y. H. Teow, W. L. Ang, Y. T. Chung, D. L. Oatley-Radcliffe, N. Hilal, *Desalination* 2015, 356, 226–254.
- [5] a) M. Zhou, T. J. Kidd, R. D. Noble, D. L. Gin, Adv. Mater. 2005, 17, 1850–1853; b) M. Zhou, P. R. Nemade, X. Lu, X. Zeng, E. S. Hatakeyama, R. D. Noble, D. L. Gin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 9574–9575; c) S. M. Dischinger, M. J. McGrath, K. R. Bourland, R. D. Noble, D. L. Gin, J. Membr. Sci. 2017, 529, 72–79.
- [6] a) T. Kato, M. Yoshio, T. Ichikawa, B. Soberats, H. Ohno, M. Funahashi, *Nat. Rev. Mater.* 2017, *2*, 17001; b) T. Ichikawa, M. Yoshio, A. Hamasaki, S. Taguchi, F. Liu, X. Zeng, G. Ungar, H. Ohno, T. Kato, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, *134*, 2634–2643.
- [7] a) M. Henmi, K. Nakatsuji, T. Ichikawa, H. Tomioka, T. Sakamoto, M. Yoshio, T. Kato, *Adv. Mater.* 2012, 24, 2238–2241; b) T. Sakamoto, T. Ogawa, H. Nada, K. Nakatsuji, M. Mitani, B. Soberats, K. Kawata, M. Yoshio, H. Tomioka, T. Sasaki, M. Kimura, M. Henmi, T. Kato, *Adv. Sci.* 2018, 5, 1700405.
- [8] a) K. Hamaguchi, D. Kuo, M. Liu, T. Sakamoto, M. Yoshio, H. Katayama, T. Kato, ACS Macro Lett. 2019, 8, 24-30; b) M.

Gupta, Y. Suzuki, T. Sakamoto, M. Yoshio, S. Torii, H. Katayama, T. Kato, *ACS Macro Lett.* **2019**, *8*, 1303–1308.

- [9] Z. He, J. Zhou, X. Lu, B. Corry, ACS Nano 2013, 7, 10148– 10157.
- [10] T. Kawakami, M. Nakada, H. Shimura, K. Okada, M. Kimura, *Polym. J.* **2018**, 50, 327–336.
- [11] C. Peter, G. Hummer, Biophys. J. 2005, 89, 2222-2234.
- [12] M. Thomas, B. Corry, T. A. Hilder, Small 2014, 10, 1453-1465.
- [13] S. Faucher, N. Aluru, M. Z. Bazant, D. Blankschtein, A. H. Brozena, J. Cumings, J. Pedro de Souza, M. Elimelech, R. Epsztein, J. T. Fourkas, A. G. Rajan, H. J. Kulik, A. Levy, A. Majumdar, C. Martin, M. McEldrew, R. P. Misra, A. Noy, T. A. Pham, M. Reed, E. Schwegler, Z. Siwy, Y.-H. Wang, M. Strano, J. Phys. Chem. C 2019, 123, 21309–21326.
- [14] H. Li, J. S. Francisco, X. C. Zeng, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2015, 112, 10851–10856.
- [15] A. Nilsson, L. G. M. Pettersson, Surf. Sci. Rep. 2004, 55, 49-167.
- [16] T. Fransson, Y. Harada, N. Kosugi, N. A. Besley, B. Winter, J. Rehr, L. G. M. Pettersson, A. Nilsson, *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 7551–7569.
- [17] E. Gilberg, M. J. Hanus, B. Foltz, J. Chem. Phys. 1982, 76, 5093 5097.
- [18] T. Tokushima, Y. Harada, O. Takahashi, Y. Senba, H. Ohashi, L. G. M. Pettersson, A. Nilsson, S. Shin, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 2008, 460, 387–400.
- [19] C. Huang, K. T. Wikfeldt, T. Tokushima, D. Nordlund, Y. Harada, U. Bergmann, M. Niebuhr, T. M. Weiss, Y. Horikawa, M. Leetmaa, M. P. Ljungberg, O. Takahashi, A. Lenz, L. Ojamäe, A. P. Lyubartsev, S. Shin, L. G. M. Pettersson, A. Nilsson, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2009**, *106*, 15214–15218.
- [20] Associating the 1b₁ peak position with the number of hydrogen bond has been debated in the past decade. Detailed analyses of the XES spectra and temperature-dependence data provide unique, constructive information about the local hydrogenbonded structures of liquid water. See, for example: A. Nilsson, L. G. M. Pettersson, *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 8998.
- [21] Y. L. Jeyachandran, F. Meyer, A. Benkert, M. Bär, M. Blum, W. Yang, F. Reinert, C. Heske, L. Weinhardt, M. Zharnikov, J. Phys. Chem. B 2016, 120, 7687–7695.
- [22] Z. Yin, L. Inhester, S. T. Veedu, W. Quevedo, A. Pietzsch, Ph. Wernet, G. Groenhof, A. Föhlisch, H. Grubmüller, S. Techert, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2017, 8, 3759–3764.
- [23] Y. Harada, M. Kobayashi, H. Niwa, Y. Senba, H. Ohashi, T. Tokushima, Y. Horikawa, S. Shin, M. Oshima, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 2012, 83, 013116.
- [24] K. Yamazoe, Y. Higaki, Y. Inutsuka, J. Miyawaki, Y. Cui, A. Takahara, Y. Harada, *Langmuir* 2017, 33, 3954–3959.
- [25] V. N. Afanasiev, A. N. Ustinov, J. Struct. Chem. 2008, 49, 881– 888.
- [26] E. F. Aziz, A. Zimina, M. Freiwald, S. Eisebitt, W. Eberhardt, J. Chem. Phys. 2006, 124, 114502.
- [27] D. Tang, D. Kim, Chem. Phys. 2014, 428, 14-18.

Manuscript received: June 8, 2020 Version of record online: October 19, 2020