

An updated SYSCILIA gold standard (SCGSv2) of known ciliary genes, revealing the vast progress that has been made in the cilia research field

Suly Saray Villa Vasquez^a, John van Dam^b, and Gabrielle Wheway^{a,*}

^aFaculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom; ^bTheoretical Biology and Bioinformatics, Department of Biology, Science Faculty, Utrecht University, 3584 CH Utrecht, Netherlands

ABSTRACT Cilia are microtubule-based organelles with important functions in motility and sensation. They contribute to a broad spectrum of developmental disorders called ciliopathies and have recently been linked to common conditions such as cancers and congenital heart disease. There has been increasing interest in the biology of cilia and their contribution to disease over the past two decades. In 2013 we published a “Gold Standard” list of genes confirmed to be associated with cilia. This was published as part of the SYSCILIA consortium for systems biology study dissecting the contribution of cilia to human health and disease, and was named the Syscilia Gold Standard (SCGS). Since this publication, interest in cilia and understanding of their functions have continued to grow, and we now present an updated SCGS version 2. This includes an additional 383 genes, more than doubling the size of SCGSv1. We use this dataset to conduct a review of advances in understanding of cilia biology 2013–2021 and offer perspectives on the future of cilia research. We hope that this continues to be a useful resource for the cilia community.

Monitoring Editor

Fanni Gergely
University of Cambridge

Received: May 4, 2021

Revised: Sep 20, 2021

Accepted: Sep 28, 2021

INTRODUCTION

Cilia are microtubule-based cell surface organelles with important functions in motility and sensation. There are three subclasses of cilia, defined by their microtubule ultrastructure. First, motile cilia are found in large numbers on the epithelial cells of the reproductive tracts, brain ventricles, and respiratory tract. Cells with motile cilia are often called multiciliated cells (MCCs). These cilia have backbones (axonemes) of rings of nine microtubule doublets, with a central pair of microtubules, and dynein arms allowing the cilia to beat in a coordinated motion to facilitate fluid flow over the cell surface (Legendre *et al.*, 2021). Second, nodal cilia are a population of cilia that exist transiently in embryonic development at the embryonic node. They lack the central pair of microtubules but retain motility and function in directional fluid flow at the node to establish left-

right asymmetry in the embryo (Nonaka *et al.*, 1998). Finally, primary cilia are single nonmotile organelles found on the surfaces of all other epithelial cells in the body and some other cell types such as fibroblasts. They lack the central pair of microtubules and dynein arms. They do not beat and their primary functions are in chemosensation, mechanosensation, and, in the retina, photosensation (Wheway *et al.*, 2018). The outer segment of the photoreceptor cell of the retina is a huge and highly specialized primary cilium (Bujakowska *et al.*, 2017).

Until several decades ago, primary cilia were believed to be vestigial organelles with no significant function. They were assumed to have lost their motility and been rendered redundant. This view was challenged in the early 2000s when it was demonstrated that primary cilia are required for normal kidney function, with the discovery that IFT88, mutated in a mouse model of polycystic kidney disease, is required for cilium assembly (Pazour *et al.*, 2000). This led to molecular investigations that identified the primary cilium as a sensory organelle, with mechanosensory roles in the kidney mediated by polycystins in the cilium membrane (Yoder *et al.*, 2002; Nauli *et al.*, 2003). This was an important discovery, as it uncovered the role of the primary cilium in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease, one of the most common human genetic diseases (Ong and Wheatley, 2003). Primary cilia have subsequently been shown to be central signaling organelles, with roles in signal transduction

This article was published online ahead of print in MBoC in Press (<http://www.molbiolcell.org/cgi/doi/10.1091/mbc.E21-05-0226>) on October 6, 2021.

*Address correspondence to: Gabrielle Wheway (g.wheway@soton.ac.uk).

Abbreviations used: BP, biological processes; GO, gene ontology; IFT, intraflagellar transport; MCC, multiciliated cell; SCGS, SYSCILIA gold standard; v1, version 1; v2, version 2.

© 2021 Vasquez *et al.* This article is distributed by The American Society for Cell Biology under license from the author(s). Two months after publication it is available to the public under an Attribution–Noncommercial–Share Alike 4.0 International Creative Commons License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0>).

“ASCB®,” “The American Society for Cell Biology®,” and “Molecular Biology of the Cell®” are registered trademarks of The American Society for Cell Biology.

in the Hedgehog (Huangfu *et al.*, 2003) and Wnt and PDGFR α signaling pathways (Schneider *et al.*, 2005; Simons *et al.*, 2005). They play important roles throughout development, from very early embryogenesis. The clinical consequences of the aberrant development or function of primary cilia extend beyond polycystic kidney disease to encompass a spectrum of severe inherited human disorders known collectively as the ciliopathies (Oud *et al.*, 2017).

Much of our understanding of the basic structure and function of cilia has derived from the study of ciliated model organisms including the single-celled eukaryotes *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, *Paramecium tetraurelia*, *Tetrahymena thermophila*, and *Trypanosoma brucei* (Vincensini *et al.*, 2011). Study of these organisms provided us with the first proteomes of the cilium (Pazour *et al.*, 2005; Smith *et al.*, 2005; Broadhead *et al.*, 2006; Arnaiz *et al.*, 2009) and basal body (Kilburn *et al.*, 2007), constructed using approaches such as high-throughput proteomics and comparative genomics. *Caenorhabditis elegans* and *Drosophila melanogaster* were useful models for early characterization of genes encoding ciliary proteins through genome-wide identification of promoters containing an X-box and study of genes under control of ciliary transcription factors (Blacque *et al.*, 2005; Efimenko *et al.*, 2005; Laurençon *et al.*, 2007). These simple model organisms show some striking conservation with humans and have been useful for identifying and characterizing orthologues of human ciliopathy genes (Keller *et al.*, 2005; Chen *et al.*, 2006), further developed through studies of vertebrate models such as *Xenopus tropicalis*, *X. laevis*, and zebrafish (Song *et al.*, 2016; Rao and Kulkarni, 2021). Rat and mouse have been important mammalian models for understanding and modelling the role of cilia in human health and disease (Norris and Grimes, 2012). Collectively, many high-throughput genomic, proteomic, and gene expression studies in model organisms and humans have contributed to ciliary databases such as Cildb (Arnaiz *et al.*, 2009, 2014) and the ciliary proteome (Gherman *et al.*, 2006) and ciliome (Inglis *et al.*, 2006). These databases comprise lists of genes and proteins identified in high-throughput ciliary studies in different ciliated model organisms and humans, but are generally assembled using computational methods and not curated by human experts (with perhaps the exception of Nogales-Cadenas *et al.*, 2009).

To address this, in 2013 we published version 1 of the SYSCILIA gold standard (SCGSv1; van Dam *et al.*, 2013), a manually curated list of known ciliary components compiled with expert review of each entry. This was born out of a need for a robust positive control set of high-confidence ciliary genes to aid interpretation of the multiple large datasets being produced by hypothesis-neutral screening approaches implemented in the collaborative European research program SYSCILIA (<http://www.syscilia.org/index.shtml>). This positive control set proved instrumental in quantifying the enrichment of known ciliary genes in these screening results, allowing us to evaluate the success of such screening strategies and confidence in our novel findings (Slaats *et al.*, 2015; Wheway *et al.*, 2015; Boldt *et al.*, 2016; Lambacher *et al.*, 2016). This original list was focused on primary cilia genes, with less consideration of motile cilia genes.

In the eight years since this resource was published, the paper has been a useful resource for other groups analyzing screening data (Gupta *et al.*, 2015; Roosing *et al.*, 2015; Shim *et al.*, 2016; Puspapati *et al.*, 2018; Gheiratmand *et al.*, 2019), for evolutionary genetics studies (Nevers *et al.*, 2017; Shulman and Tsou, 2017), and in prioritization of candidate genes from exome and genome sequencing of ciliopathy patients (Shaheen *et al.*, 2016). The field of ciliary biology has advanced rapidly since the publication of SCGSv1, and in response we now provide an updated SCGS, including updated annotation of all genes, achieved through systematic literature

searching and candidate gene analysis. The result is the SCGSv2, listing 686 genes, a major increase from SCGSv1, which contained 303 genes. We advance the utility of this dataset by grouping entries into two main categories, first-order and second-order cilia genes, elaborating a concept first put forward by Reiter and Leroux (2017). Reiter and Leroux propose that ciliopathies fall into two categories: first-order ciliopathies, which are diseases caused by aberrations in genes encoding proteins localized to the cilium, and second-order ciliopathies, which arise as a result of defects in genes that encode proteins not localizing to the cilium but having a role in cilium formation or function. Similarly, we annotate genes as first-order if they encode proteins that localize to the cilium or basal body and second-order if they encode proteins that do not localize to the cilium or basal body but otherwise have roles in cilium structure or function. SCGSv1 was focused on primary cilia genes, and in SCGSv2 we expand this to include motile cilia genes also. We review the new entries to give a perspective on recent advances in understanding of cilium structure and function and the role of cilia in development and disease.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Three hundred eighty-three additional gold standard cilia genes were identified, producing the SCGSv2 of 686 genes, a major increase from SCGSv1 which contained 303 genes (Supplemental Table S1). Five hundred thirty-nine of these 686 (78.6%) are first-order cilia genes, and 133 are second-order (19.4%). Fourteen have not had their protein localization reported and so are not designated as first-order or second-order. A retrospective analysis of SCGSv1 shows that 273 of the 303 genes were first-order cilia genes (90.1%) and 25 were second-order (8.3%). This may suggest that since the publication of SCGSv1 an increasing awareness of cilia outside of the cilia community has led to more study of the cilium functions of proteins. Alternatively or additionally, it may suggest that the discovery of first-order cilium genes is becoming saturated, and so a proportional increase in second-order cilia gene discovery has been seen more recently.

Fifty-seven of the 383 new genes (14.9%) were originally qualified as predicted in the SCGSv1 paper. These 57 genes appeared in experimental and bioinformatics screens without in-depth validation of their function or localization and were provided as an appendix to the SCGSv1. One hundred eighty-seven of the new 383 genes (48.8%) were predicted in CiliaCarta (van Dam *et al.*, 2019), demonstrating the validity of this Bayesian-based approach to predicting ciliary function from genomic, proteomic, transcriptomic, and evolutionary data.

Of the novel cilium genes identified, there are clear trends in the biological pathways that these genes are associated with. An enrichment analysis of Gene Ontology terms (Ashburner *et al.*, 2000; Carbon *et al.*, 2009; The Gene Ontology Consortium, 2019) describing biological processes (GO BP terms) in the list of new cilia genes compared with SCGSv1 shows that the new list of genes is particularly enriched for genes involved in cell stress responses, (de)ubiquitination, autophagy, aging, DNA repair, chromatin remodeling, multiple signaling pathways, and regulation of cardiac growth.

In the new list of cilia genes, one of the most enriched types of genes is those with GO BP terms relating to cellular response to external/environmental stimulus (GO:0071496/GO:0104004, fold enrichment 14.75/6.47, $p = 1.20 \times 10^{-13}/2.54 \times 10^{-10}$). There is particular enrichment of genes with roles in response to stress (GO:0006950, 2.46-fold enrichment, $p = 1.74 \times 10^{-10}$), cellular response to nutrient levels/starvation (GO:0031669/GO:0042594, fold enrichment 10.87/10.09, $p = 5.90 \times 10^{-08}/6.66 \times 10^{-07}$), response

to decreased oxygen levels (GO:0036293, 6.21-fold enrichment, $p = 7.73 \times 10^{-06}$), and cellular response to radiation (GO:0071478, 6.21-fold enrichment, $p = 7.73 \times 10^{-06}$), including DNA repair (GO:0006281, 8.54-fold enrichment, $p = 6.37 \times 10^{-05}$). This suggests a recent increase in understanding of the role of cilia in sensing the cell environment and orchestrating the response to cell stress. In many cases of shock or stress, the literature suggests that rapid responses such as ciliogenesis or cilium resorption are executed via rapid protein degradation via the ubiquitin–proteasome system (UPS). For example, it has been shown that MIB1, which represses ciliogenesis by ubiquitinating CEP131 and PCM1 at centriolar satellites, is abruptly inactivated in response to cell stress, leading to loss of CEP131 and PCM1 ubiquitination and stimulation of ciliogenesis, even in proliferating cells (Villumsen et al., 2013).

Indeed, in addition to MIB1 E3 ligase, many more other genes involved in ubiquitination and even more involved in protein deubiquitination (GO:0016579) are found in SCGSv2 than in SCGS1 (10.87-fold enrichment, $p = 5.90 \times 10^{-08}$). MIB1-mediated ubiquitination and degradation of PCM1 during serum starvation-induced ciliogenesis is antagonized by deubiquitinating enzyme USP9X (Wang et al., 2019). USP9X further contributes to cell cycle-dependent ciliogenesis; in the G0/G1/S phase, USP9X is recruited to the centrosome by NPHP5, where it protects NPHP5 from ubiquitination, promoting cilia assembly. In the G2/M phase, USP9X dissociates from the centrosome, allowing BBS11/TRIM32 (E3 ligase) to K63 ubiquitinate NPHP5, triggering protein delocalization and loss of cilia. USP14 has been shown to control ciliogenesis, cilia length, and localization of mediators of Hedgehog (Hh) signaling in cilia through deubiquitination and stabilization of KIF7 (Massa et al., 2019). USP8 deubiquitinates HIF1a to control ciliogenesis in normoxia (Troilo et al., 2014) and antagonizes Smo ubiquitination (Ma et al., 2016). SUMOylation has also been implicated in the trafficking of Smo into cilia (Ma et al., 2016), further broadening our understanding of how protein degradation pathways control cilium structure and function. The increase in understanding of the protein modifications involved in protein metabolism relevant to ciliogenesis and cilium function is reflected in the enrichment of terms in SCGSv2 related to positive regulation of phosphorylation (GO:0042327, 3.98-fold enrichment, $p = 7.59 \times 10^{-11}$), positive regulation of kinase activity (GO:0033674, 4.97-fold enrichment, $p = 1.46 \times 10^{-10}$), protein modification by small protein removal (GO:0070646, 11.64-fold enrichment, $p = 4.87 \times 10^{-09}$), and positive regulation of protein modification process (GO:0031401, 2.98-fold enrichment, $p = 4.33 \times 10^{-08}$).

In the years since the publication of SCGSv1, it has also become apparent that autophagy plays a role in this rapid ciliogenesis/cilium resorption process. Indeed, genes with GO BP terms relating to regulation of autophagy (GO:0010506) are enriched in the new additions to SCGSv2 (3.3-fold enrichment, $p = 1.45 \times 10^{-02}$). This includes ATG3 and ATG5, which are required for rapid degradation of OFD1 at centriolar satellites in response to serum starvation (Tang et al., 2013). This landmark publication in *Nature* led to a suite of papers in recent years describing autophagic processes removing “cilia roadblocks” to promote ciliogenesis, control cilium length, and control cell volume (Jang et al., 2016; Orhon et al., 2016; Hsiao et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2018; Struchtrup et al., 2018; Boukhalfa et al., 2020). The interest in autophagy of cilia components has even led to the suggestion of a specific term for this process, “ciliophagy” (Cloonan et al., 2014). It has long been observed that serum starvation can induce ciliogenesis in cell culture, and this recent work studying the UPS, the SUMOylation pathway, and autophagy has provided insights into the mechanisms and dynamics of this process.

While cilia have been recognized as signaling hubs for a number of years now, the extent to which the cilium plays a role in almost every signaling pathway in the cell was perhaps unprecedented. Since the publication of SCGSv1, the cilium has been reported as playing a role in IGF signaling (Yeh et al., 2013), FGF signaling (Kunova Bosakova et al., 2019), Hippo/YAP/TAZ signaling (Kim et al., 2015), prostaglandin signaling (Jin et al., 2014), notch signaling (Boskovski et al., 2013), mTOR signaling (Zhong et al., 2016; Park et al., 2018) and TGFbeta signaling (Clement et al., 2013). TGF-beta signaling through the cilium was shown to be important for cardiomyogenesis (Clement et al., 2013), and GO BP terms relating to cardiac muscle growth (GO:0055021, 6.99-fold enrichment, $p = 4.77 \times 10^{-03}$) are also enriched in the new cilia genes of SCGSv2. While one of the earliest discoveries in 9 + 0 cilia biology was the role of nodal cilia in establishing leftward nodal fluid flow, breaking left–right symmetry for proper heart looping (Nonaka et al., 1998), more recently there have been advances in understanding of the role of primary cilia in later heart development and function and the contribution of cilia defects to congenital heart disease (Li et al., 2015; Scott et al., 2017; Toomer et al., 2019). Overall, however, there is significant underrepresentation of genes involved in developmental processes such as brain development, limb morphogenesis, heart looping and left–right asymmetry in the new cilia gene list compared with SCGS1, suggesting that in recent years smaller gains have been made in understanding of the role of cilia in early developmental processes.

Enrichment of genes with the GO BP terms chromatin organization (GO:0006325, 11.64-fold enrichment, $p = 4.87 \times 10^{-09}$), histone modification (GO:0016570), and covalent chromatin modification (GO:0016569; both 9.32-fold enriched, $p = 6.97 \times 10^{-06}$) in SCGSv2 represents an increase in understanding of transcriptional regulation of ciliogenesis, and also of the dual roles of histone-modifying enzymes in histone modification and other functions in the cilium. This includes KDM5C, which is involved in regulating ciliogenesis by regulating actin gene expression, and also through directly binding to the actin cytoskeleton, creating a responsive “actin gate” that involves ARP2/3 activity and IFT (Yeyati et al., 2017), and TRRAP, an essential component of multiple histone acetyltransferase complexes, which regulates multiciliated cell formation (Wang et al., 2018). There has also been an increase in understanding of how various transcription factors regulate ciliogenesis, such as MCM2, which binds to transcription start sites of cilia-inhibiting genes to control ciliogenesis in postmitotic cells (Casar Tena et al., 2019), and MYB transcription factor, which plays a role in multiciliogenesis, as progenitors exit the cell cycle and amplify their centrioles (Tan et al., 2013). Furthermore, transcription factor ATOH1 controls ciliogenesis in neuron progenitors (Chang et al., 2019) and transcription factor SREBF1 activates expression of PLA2G3 to repress cilium formation in cancer cells (Gijs et al., 2015). Recent studies have also expanded understanding of the role of RFX transcription factors RFX2 and 7 in regulating coordinated ciliogenesis (Chung et al., 2014; Manojlovic et al., 2014). Furthermore, it has been shown that some transcription factors have secondary functions in cilia, such as SALL1 transcription factor, which interacts with factors related to cilia function, including the negative regulators of ciliogenesis CCP110 and CEP97 (Bozal-Basterra et al., 2018). Additionally, post-transcriptional regulation of cilia genes is beginning to be understood with the discovery that pre-mRNA splicing factors regulate splicing of cilia genes (Wheway et al., 2015; Buskin et al., 2018) and NUDT16L1 (SDOS) posttranscriptionally regulates cilia genes by binding and regulating translation of cilia mRNAs (Avolio et al., 2018).

Finally, it is an interesting observation that the new genes in SCGSv2 are enriched for the GO BP term aging (GO:0007568, 9.32-fold enrichment, $p = 6.97 \times 10^{-06}$). While there are few publications directly linking cilia to aging (Carroll and Korolchuk, 2018), it is well known that the cilium plays a central role in nutrient sensing, and reduced responsiveness of nutrient-sensing pathways is associated with aging. The nutrient-sensing role of cilia in aging may become more apparent in future research. Furthermore, recent research has linked cilia defects to induction of cell senescence (Jeffries *et al.*, 2019) and conversely has shown that depolarization of senescent cell plasma membrane leads to primary cilia defects and a resultant failure to inhibit growth factor signaling (Carroll *et al.*, 2017). Senescence has been described as a feature of some ciliopathies such as nephronophthisis type 7 (Lu *et al.*, 2016). This is significant, because cilia are classically associated with developmental disorders, yet may also play a role in aging and associated disease, which are some of the most costly burdens to our society today, both economically and socially.

The aim of this study was to produce a high-confidence list of cilia genes annotated by cilia experts. The approach prioritized the exclusion of false positives over the exclusion of false negatives, and as a result the list is highly stringent and not a completely comprehensive list of all cilia and basal body genes. Absence of a gene from this list does not necessarily mean that gene does not play a role in ciliogenesis or cilium structure or function, but inclusion of a gene in this list means that it is highly confidently associated with these processes in humans. The literature search did not include gray literature or literature in preprint servers before peer review, and as a result, the most recently identified and characterized genes will not be included. The literature search focused on human genes (with “human” included as search term) and the titles of search results were reviewed for mention of genes in humans or vertebrate models so that cilia genes that have been described in model organisms but for which the orthologue has not been well characterized in humans/human cell lines will be omitted. The resulting list is a stringent, high-confidence list of genes involved in ciliogenesis and cilium structure and function with a focus on human cilia and ciliopathy genes. For more comprehensive lists of genes that include candidate genes, likely false positives, and genes that have no orthologue in humans, we direct the reader to CiliaCarta (van Dam *et al.*, 2019) or cildb (Arnaiz *et al.*, 2014).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

[Request a protocol](#) through *Bio-protocol*.

On 1 January 2021, a systematic review of Medline was conducted using the following MESH terms: (((((((((((((((cili*[Title/Abstract]) NOT ciliarybody[Title/Abstract]) AND (“2013/05/01”[Date-Publication]: “3000”[Date-Publication])) AND English[Language]) AND Humans[Mesh])) NOT ciliate[Title/Abstract]) AND Humans[Mesh])) NOT ciliary muscle[Title/Abstract]) AND Humans[Mesh])) NOT Ciliary Neurotrophic Factor Receptor[Title/Abstract]) AND Humans[Mesh])) NOT cilioretinal[Title/Abstract]) AND Humans[Mesh])) NOT ciliochoroidal[Title/Abstract]. This returned 4548 results. Each title was assessed for mention of gene names, or for the word “screen.” Where novel genes or screen results were reported in vertebrates, human cells, or human cell lines, abstracts and figures were studied to identify the nature of protein function and immunofluorescence or immunogold electron microscopy images showing the localization of the protein. Official gene symbol, Ensembl gene ID, any associated OMIM ID, curators note, relevant PubMed IDs, and localization were recorded in a spreadsheet.

In addition to the systematic literature search, the 286 genes predicted to be cilia genes in the CiliaCarta (van Dam *et al.*, 2019) study were specifically included in a Medline search using the MESH terms cili*[Title/Abstract]) AND [gene name 1] OR [gene name 2] ... OR [gene name n]. Every paper from this search was studied in depth to identify any reported protein functions in cilia and localization.

Once all genes were extracted from this systematic review into a results table (Table S1 in the Supplemental Material), every entry was independently reviewed by a second cilia expert, who entered additional data and annotated the “curators note” column on this table.

If the protein localization was reported as cilium, axoneme, basal body, or part thereof, the gene was scored as a first-order cilium gene. If a protein’s localization was reported as any other cell location, including centriole, centrosome, and centriolar satellite, but not explicitly basal body, the gene was scored as a second-order cilium gene.

Once finally compiled, Ensembl gene IDs were filtered to identify which we predicted in the SCGSv1 paper, and which were predicted in the CiliaCarta paper.

Gene Ontology enrichment analysis of SCGSv2 was conducted using amiGO (Carbon *et al.*, 2009) Enrichment of gene ontology terms relating to biological processes in SCGSv2 compared with SCGSv1 was conducted using a binomial test, with Bonferroni correction of the p value to account for multiple testing. Ensembl gene IDs were used as input in amiGO.com which accesses the Panther database.

REFERENCES

- Arnaiz O, Cohen J, Tassin AM, Koll F (2014). Remodeling Cildb, a popular database for cilia and links for ciliopathies. *Cilia* 3, 9-2530-2533-2539. eCollection 2014.
- Arnaiz O, Malinowska A, Klotz C, Sperling L, Dadlez M, Koll F, Cohen J (2009). Cildb: a knowledgebase for centrosomes and cilia. Database: The Journal of Biological Databases and Curation 2009, bap022.
- Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, Davis AP, Dolinski K, Dwight SS, Eppig JT, *et al.* (2000). Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium. *Nat Genet* 25, 25–29.
- Avolio R, Järvelin AI, Mohammed S, Agliarulo I, Condelli V, Zoppoli P, Calice G, Samataro D, Bechara E, Tartaglia GG, *et al.* (2018). Protein Syndesmos is a novel RNA-binding protein that regulates primary cilia formation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 46, 12067–12086.
- Blacque OE, Perens EA, Boroevich KA, Inglis PN, Li CM, Warner A, Khattraj J, Holt RA, Ou GS, Mah AK, *et al.* (2005). Functional genomics of the cilium, a sensory organelle. *Curr Biol* 15, 935–941.
- Boldt K, van Reeuwijk J, Lu Q, Koutroumpas K, Nguyen TM, Texier Y, van Beersum SE, Horn N, Willer JR, Mans DA, *et al.* (2016). An organelle-specific protein landscape identifies novel diseases and molecular mechanisms. *Nat Commun* 7, 11491.
- Boskovski MT, Yuan S, Pedersen NB, Goth CK, Makova S, Clausen H, Brueckner M, Khokha MK (2013). The heterotaxy gene GALNT11 glycosylates Notch to orchestrate cilia type and laterality. *Nature* 504, 456–459.
- Boukhalfa A, Nascimbeni AC, Ramel D, Dupont N, Hirsch E, Gayral S, Laffargue M, Codogno P, Morel E (2020). PI3K2alpha-dependent and VPS34-independent generation of PI3P controls primary cilium-mediated autophagy in response to shear stress. *Nat Commun* 11, 294.
- Bozal-Basterra L, Martín-Ruiz I, Pirone L, Liang Y, Sigurðsson JO, Gonzalez-Santamarta M, Giordano I, Gabicagogeascoa E, de Luca A, Rodríguez JA, *et al.* (2018). Truncated SALL1 impedes primary cilia function in Townes-Brocks syndrome. *Am J Hum Genet* 102, 249–265.
- Broadhead R, Dawe HR, Farr H, Griffiths S, Hart SR, Portman N, Shaw MK, Ginger ML, Gaskell SJ, McKean PG, Gull K (2006). Flagellar motility is required for the viability of the bloodstream trypanosome. *Nature* 440, 224–227.
- Bujakowska KM, Liu Q, Pierce EA (2017). Photoreceptor cilia and retinal ciliopathies. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspect Biol* 9, 10.1101/cshperspect.a028274.

- Buskin A, Zhu L, Chichagova V, Basu B, Mozaffari-Jovin S, Dolan D, Droop A, Collin J, Bronstein R, Mehrotra S, et al. (2018). Disrupted alternative splicing for genes implicated in splicing and ciliogenesis causes PRPF31 retinitis pigmentosa. *Nat Commun* 9, 4234.
- Carbon S, Ireland A, Mungall CJ, Shu S, Marshall B, Lewis S, Hub A, Group WPW (2009). AmiGO: online access to ontology and annotation data. *Bioinformatics* 25, 288–289.
- Carroll B, Korolchuk VI (2018). Nutrient sensing, growth and senescence. *FEBS J* 285, 1948–1958.
- Carroll B, Nelson G, Rabanal-Ruiz Y, Kucheryavenko O, Dunhill-Turner NA, Chesterman CC, Zahari Q, Zhang T, Conduit SE, Mitchell CA, et al. (2017). Persistent mTORC1 signaling in cell senescence results from defects in amino acid and growth factor sensing. *J Cell Biol* 216, 1949–1957.
- Casar Tena T, Maerz LD, Szafranski K, Groth M, Blätte TJ, Donow C, Matysik S, Walther P, Jeggo PA, Burkhalter MD, Philipp M (2019). Resting cells rely on the DNA helicase component MCM2 to build cilia. *Nucleic Acids Res* 47, 134–151.
- Chang CH, Zanini M, Shirvani H, Cheng JS, Yu H, Feng CH, Mercier AL, Hung SY, Forget A, Wang CH, et al. (2019). Atoh1 controls primary cilia formation to allow for SHH-triggered granule neuron progenitor proliferation. *Dev Cell* 48, 184–199.e185.
- Chen N, Mah A, Blacque OE, Chu J, Phgora K, Bakhom MW, Newbury CR, Khattri J, Chan S, Go A, et al. (2006). Identification of ciliary and ciliopathy genes in *Caenorhabditis elegans* through comparative genomics. *Genome Biol* 7, R126.
- Chung MI, Kwon T, Tu F, Brooks ER, Gupta R, Meyer M, Baker JC, Marcotte EM, Wallingford JB (2014). Coordinated genomic control of ciliogenesis and cell movement by RFX2. *Elife* 3, e01439.
- Clement CA, Ajbro KD, Koefoed K, Vestergaard ML, Veland IR, Henriques de Jesus MP, Pedersen LB, Benmerah A, Andersen CY, Larsen LA, Christensen ST (2013). TGF- β signaling is associated with endocytosis at the pocket region of the primary cilium. *Cell Rep* 3, 1806–1814.
- Cloonan SM, Lam HC, Rytter SW, Choi AM (2014). “Ciliophagy”: the consumption of cilia components by autophagy. *Autophagy* 10, 532–534.
- Efimenko E, Bubbs K, Mak HY, Holzman T, Leroux MR, Ruvkun G, Thomas JH, Swoboda P (2005). Analysis of *xbx* genes in *C. elegans*. *Development* 132, 1923–1934.
- Gheiratmand L, Coyaude E, Gupta GD, Laurent EM, Hasegan M, Prosser SL, Gonçalves J, Raught B, Pelletier L (2019). Spatial and proteomic profiling reveals centrosome-independent features of centriolar satellites. *EMBO J* 38, e101109.
- Gherman A, Davis EE, Katsanis N (2006). The ciliary proteome database: an integrated community resource for the genetic and functional dissection of cilia. *Nat Genet* 38, 961–962.
- Gijs HL, Willemarck N, Vanderhoydonc F, Khan NA, Dehairs J, Derua R, Waelkens E, Taketomi Y, Murakami M, Agostinis P, et al. (2015). Primary cilium suppression by SREBP1c involves distortion of vesicular trafficking by PLA2G3. *Mol Biol Cell* 26, 2321–2332.
- Gupta GD, Coyaude E, Gonçalves J, Mojarad BA, Liu Y, Wu Q, Gheiratmand L, Comartin D, Tkach JM, Cheung SW, et al. (2015). A dynamic protein interaction landscape of the human centrosome–cilium interface. *Cell* 163, 1484–1499.
- Hsiao CJ, Chang CH, Ibrahim RB, Lin IH, Wang CH, Wang WJ, Tsai JW (2018). Gli2 modulates cell cycle re-entry through autophagy-mediated regulation of the length of primary cilia. *J Cell Sci* 131.
- Huangfu DW, Liu AM, Rakeman AS, Murcia NS, Niswander L, Anderson KV (2003). Hedgehog signalling in the mouse requires intraflagellar transport proteins. *Nature* 426, 83–87.
- Inglis PN, Boroevich KA, Leroux MR (2006). Piecing together a ciliome. *Trends Genet* 22, 491–500.
- Jang J, Wang Y, Lalli MA, Guzman E, Godshalk SE, Zhou H, Kosik KS (2016). Primary cilium-autophagy-Nrf2 (PAN) axis activation commits human embryonic stem cells to a neuroectoderm fate. *Cell* 165, 410–420.
- Jeffries EP, Di Filippo M, Galbiati F (2019). Failure to reabsorb the primary cilium induces cellular senescence. *FASEB J* 33, 4866–4882.
- Jin D, Ni TT, Sun J, Wan H, Amack JD, Yu G, Fleming J, Chiang C, Li W, Papierniak A, et al. (2014). Prostaglandin signalling regulates ciliogenesis by modulating intraflagellar transport. *Nat Cell Biol* 16, 841.
- Keller LC, Romijn EP, Zamora I, Yates III JR, Marshall WF (2005). Proteomic analysis of isolated *Chlamydomonas* centrioles reveals orthologs of ciliary-disease genes. *Curr Biol* 15, 1090–1098.
- Kilburn CL, Pearson CG, Romijn EP, Meehl JB, Giddings TH Jr., Culver BP, Yates JR 3rd, Winey M (2007). New *Tetrahymena* basal body protein components identify basal body domain structure. *J Cell Biol* 178, 905–912.
- Kim J, Jo H, Hong H, Kim MH, Kim JM, Lee JK, Heo WD (2015). Actin remodelling factors control ciliogenesis by regulating YAP/TAZ activity and vesicle trafficking. *Nat Commun* 6, 6781.
- Kunova Bosakova M, Nita A, Gregor T, Varecha M, Gudernova I, Faflek B, Barta T, Basheer N, Abraham SP, Balek L, Tomanova M, et al. (2019). Fibroblast growth factor receptor influences primary cilium length through an interaction with intestinal cell kinase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 116, 4316–4325.
- Lambacher NJ, Bruel AL, van Dam TJ, Szymanska K, Slaats GG, Kuhns S, McManus GJ, Kennedy JE, Gaff K, Wu KM, et al. (2016). TMEM107 recruits ciliopathy proteins to subdomains of the ciliary transition zone and causes Joubert syndrome. *Nat Cell Biol* 18, 122–131.
- Laurençon A, Dubruielle R, Efimenko E, Grenier G, Bissett R, Cortier E, Roland V, Swoboda P, Durand B (2007). Identification of novel regulatory factor X (RFX) target genes by comparative genomics in *Drosophila* species. *Genome Biol* 8, R195.
- Legendre M, Zaragosi LE, Mitchison HM (2021). Motile cilia and airway disease. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 110, 19–33.
- Li Y, Klena NT, Gabriel GC, Liu X, Kim AJ, Lemke K, Chen Y, Chatterjee B, Devine W, Damerla RR, et al. (2015). Global genetic analysis in mice unveils central role for cilia in congenital heart disease. *Nature* 521, 520.
- Liu Z-Q, Lee JN, Son M, Lim J-Y, Dutta RK, Maharjan Y, Kwak S, Oh GT, Byun K, Choe S-K, Park R (2018). Ciliogenesis is reciprocally regulated by PPARA and NR1H4/FXR through controlling autophagy in vitro and in vivo. *Autophagy* 14, 1011–1027.
- Lu D, Rauhauser A, Li B, Ren C, McEnery K, Zhu J, Chaki M, Vadnagara K, Elhadi S, Jetten AM, et al. (2016). Loss of Glis2/NPHP7 causes kidney epithelial cell senescence and suppresses cyst growth in the Kif3a mouse model of cystic kidney disease. *Kidney Int* 89, 1307–1323.
- Ma G, Li S, Han Y, Li S, Yue T, Wang B, Jiang J (2016). Regulation of smoothed trafficking and hedgehog signaling by the SUMO pathway. *Dev Cell* 39, 438–451.
- Manojlovic Z, Earwood R, Kato A, Stefanovic B, Kato Y (2014). RFX7 is required for the formation of cilia in the neural tube. *Mech Dev* 132, 28–37.
- Massa F, Tammaro R, Prado MA, Cesana M, Lee BH, Finley D, Franco B, Morleo M (2019). The deubiquitinating enzyme Usp14 controls ciliogenesis and Hedgehog signaling. *Hum Mol Genet* 28, 764–777.
- Nauli SM, Alenghat FJ, Luo Y, Williams E, Vassilev P, Li X, Elia AE, Lu W, Brown EM, Quinn SJ, et al. (2003). Polycystins 1 and 2 mediate mechanosensation in the primary cilium of kidney cells. *Nat Genet* 33, 129–137.
- Nevers Y, Prasad MK, Poidevin L, Chennen K, Allot A, Kress A, Ripp R, Thompson JD, Dollfus H, Poch O, Lecompte O (2017). Insights into ciliary genes and evolution from multi-level phylogenetic profiling. *Mol Biol Evol* 34, 2016–2034.
- Nogales-Cadenas R, Abascal F, Díez-Pérez J, Carazo JM, Pascual-Montano A (2009). CentrosomeDB: a human centrosomal proteins database. *Nucleic Acids Res* 37, D175–D180.
- Nonaka S, Tanaka Y, Okada Y, Takeda S, Harada A, Kanai Y, Kido M, Hirokawa N (1998). Randomization of left-right asymmetry due to loss of nodal cilia generating leftward flow of extraembryonic fluid in mice lacking KIF3B Motor protein. *Cell* 95, 829–837.
- Norris DP, Grimes DT (2012). Mouse models of ciliopathies: the state of the art. *Dis Model Mech* 5, 299–312.
- Ong AC, Wheatley DN (2003). Polycystic kidney disease—the ciliary connection. *Lancet* 361, 774–776.
- Orhon I, Dupont N, Zaidan M, Boitez V, Burtin M, Schmitt A, Capiod T, Viau A, Beau I, Kuehn EW, et al. (2016). Primary-cilium-dependent autophagy controls epithelial cell volume in response to fluid flow. *Nat Cell Biol* 18, 657–667.
- Oud MM, Lamers IJ, Arts HH (2017). Ciliopathies: genetics in pediatric medicine. *J Pediatric Genetics* 6, 18–29.
- Park SM, Lim JS, Ramakrishna S, Kim SH, Kim WK, Lee J, Kang HC, Reiter JF, Kim DS, Kim HH, Lee JH (2018). Brain somatic mutations in MTOR disrupt neuronal ciliogenesis, leading to focal cortical dyslamination. *Neuron* 99, 83–97.e87.
- Pazour GJ, Agrin N, Leszyk J, Witman GB (2005). Proteomic analysis of a eukaryotic cilium. *J Cell Biol* 170, 103–113.
- Pazour GJ, Dickert BL, Vucica Y, Seeley ES, Rosenbaum JL, Witman GB, Cole DG (2000). Chlamydomonas IFT88 and its mouse homologue, polycystic kidney disease gene *tg737*, are required for assembly of cilia and flagella. *J Cell Biol* 151, 709–718.
- Pusapati GV, Kong JH, Patel BB, Krishnan A, Sagner A, Kinnebrew M, Briscoe J, Aravind L, Rohatgi R (2018). CRISPR screens uncover genes that regulate target cell sensitivity to the morphogen Sonic Hedgehog. *Dev Cell* 44, 271.

- Rao VG, Kulkarni SS (2021). *Xenopus* to the rescue: a model to validate and characterize candidate ciliopathy genes. *Genesis* 59, e23414.
- Reiter JF, Leroux MR (2017). Genes and molecular pathways underpinning ciliopathies. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 18, 533–547.
- Roosing S, Hofree M, Kim S, Scott E, Copeland B, Romani M, Silhavy JL, Rosti RO, Schroth J, Mazza T, et al. (2015). Functional genome-wide siRNA screen identifies KIAA0586 as mutated in Joubert syndrome. *Elife* 4, e06602.
- Schneider L, Clement CA, Teilmann SC, Pazour GJ, Hoffmann EK, Satir P, Christensen ST (2005). PDGFR alpha alpha signaling is regulated through the primary cilium in fibroblasts. *Curr Biol* 15, 1861–1866.
- Scott CA, Marsden AN, Rebagliati MR, Zhang Q, Chamling X, Searby CC, Baye LM, Sheffield VC, Slusarski DC (2017). Nuclear/cytoplasmic transport defects in BBS6 underlie congenital heart disease through perturbation of a chromatin remodeling protein. *PLoS Genet* 13, e1006936.
- Shaheen R, Szymanska K, Basu B, Patel N, Ewida N, Faqeh E, Al Hashem A, Derar N, Alsharif H, Aldahmesh MA, et al. (2016). Characterizing the morbid genome of ciliopathies. *Genome biology* 17, 242.
- Shim H, Kim JH, Kim CY, Hwang S, Kim H, Yang S, Lee JE, Lee I (2016). Function-driven discovery of disease genes in zebrafish using an integrated genomics big data resource. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44, 9611–9623.
- Shulman AS, Tsou MF (2017). Probing cilia-associated signaling proteomes in animal evolution. *Dev Cell* 43, 653–655.
- Simons M, Gloy J, Ganner A, Bullerkotte A, Bashkurov M, Kronig C, Schermer B, Benzing T, Cabello OA, Jenny A, et al. (2005). Inversin, the gene product mutated in nephronophthisis type II, functions as a molecular switch between Wnt signaling pathways. *Nat Genet* 37, 537–543.
- Slaats GG, Wheway G, Foletto V, Szymanska K, van Balkom BW, Logister I, Den Ouden K, Keijzer-Veen MG, Lilien MR, Knoers NV, et al. (2015). Screen-based identification and validation of four new ion channels as regulators of renal ciliogenesis. *J Cell Sci* 128, 4550–4559.
- Smith JC, Northey JG, Garg J, Pearlman RE, Siu KW (2005). Robust method for proteome analysis by MS/MS using an entire translated genome: demonstration on the ciliome of *Tetrahymena thermophila*. *J Proteome Res* 4, 909–919.
- Song Z, Zhang X, Jia S, Yelick PC, Zhao C (2016). Zebrafish as a model for human ciliopathies. *J Genet Genomics* 43, 107–120.
- Struchtrup A, Wiegner A, Stork B, Ruther U, Gerhardt C (2018). The ciliary protein RPGRIP1L governs autophagy independently of its proteasome-regulating function at the ciliary base in mouse embryonic fibroblasts. *Autophagy* 14, 567–583.
- Tan FE, Vladar EK, Ma L, Fuentealba LC, Hoh R, Espinoza FH, Axelrod JD, Alvarez-Buylla A, Stearns T, Kintner C, Krasnow MA (2013). Myb promotes centriole amplification and later steps of the multiciliogenesis program. *Development* 140, 4277–4286.
- Tang Z, Lin MG, Stowe TR, Chen S, Zhu M, Stearns T, Franco B, Zhong Q (2013). Autophagy promotes primary ciliogenesis by removing OFD1 from centriolar satellites. *Nature* 502, 254–257.
- The Gene Ontology Consortium (2019). The gene ontology resource: 20 years and still GOing strong. *Nucleic Acids Res* 47, D330–D338.
- Toomer KA, Yu M, Fulmer D, Guo L, Moore KS, Moore R, Drayton KD, Glover J, Peterson N, Ramos-Ortiz S, et al. (2019). Primary cilia defects causing mitral valve prolapse. *Sci Transl Med* 11.
- Troilo A, Alexander I, Muehl S, Jaramillo D, Knobloch KP, Krek W (2014). HIF1alpha deubiquitination by USP8 is essential for ciliogenesis in normoxia. *EMBO Rep* 15, 77–85.
- van Dam TJ, Wheway G, Slaats GG, Group SS, Huynen MA, Giles RH (2013). The SYSCILIA gold standard (SCGSv1) of known ciliary components and its applications within a systems biology consortium. *Cilia* 2, 7-2530-2532-2537.
- van Dam TJP, Kennedy J, van der Lee R, de Vrieze E, Wunderlich KA, Rix S, Dougherty GW, Lambacher NJ, Li C, Jensen VL, et al. (2019). CiliaCarta: An integrated and validated compendium of ciliary genes. *PLoS One* 14, e0216705.
- Villumsen BH, Danielsen JR, Povlsen L, Sylvestersen KB, Merdes A, Beli P, Yang YG, Choudhary C, Nielsen ML, Mailand N, Bekker-Jensen S (2013). A new cellular stress response that triggers centriolar satellite reorganization and ciliogenesis. *EMBO J* 32, 3029–3040.
- Vincensini L, Blisnick T, Bastin P (2011). 1001 model organisms to study cilia and flagella. *Biol Cell* 103, 109–130.
- Wang P, Xia J, Zhang L, Zhao S, Li S, Wang H, Cheng S, Li H, Yin W, Pei D, Shu X (2019). SNX17 recruits USP9X to antagonize MIB1-mediated ubiquitination and degradation of PCM1 during serum-starvation-induced ciliogenesis. *Cells* 8.
- Wang Z, Plasschaert LW, Aryal S, Renaud NA, Yang Z, Choo-Wing R, Pessotti AD, Kirkpatrick ND, Cochran NR, Carbone W, et al. (2018). TRRAP is a central regulator of human multiciliated cell formation. *J Cell Biol* 217, 1941–1955.
- Wheway G, Nazlamova L, Hancock JT (2018). Signaling through the primary cilium. *Frontiers Cell Dev Biol* 6, 8.
- Wheway G, Schmidts M, Mans DA, Szymanska K, Nguyen TM, Racher H, Phelps IG, Toedt G, Kennedy J, Wunderlich KA, et al. (2015). An siRNA-based functional genomics screen for the identification of regulators of ciliogenesis and ciliopathy genes. *Nat Cell Biol* 17, 1074–1087.
- Yeh C, Li A, Chuang JZ, Saito M, Cáceres A, Sung CH (2013). IGF-1 activates a cilium-localized noncanonical Gβγ signaling pathway that regulates cell-cycle progression. *Dev Cell* 26, 358–368.
- Yeyati PL, Schiller R, Mali G, Kasioulis I, Kawamura A, Adams IR, Playfoot C, Gilbert N, van Heyningen V, Wills J, et al. (2017). KDM3A coordinates actin dynamics with intraflagellar transport to regulate cilia stability. *J Cell Biol* 216, 999–1013.
- Yoder BK, Hou XY, Guay-Woodford LM (2002). The polycystic kidney disease proteins, polycystin-1, polycystin-2, polaris, cystin, are co-localized in renal cilia. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 13, 2508–2516.
- Zhong M, Zhao X, Li J, Yuan W, Yan G, Tong M, Guo S, Zhu Y, Jiang Y, Liu Y (2016). Tumor suppressor folliculin regulates mTORC1 through primary cilia. *J Biol Chem* 291, 11689–11697.