

OPEN

Effects of psychological care in patients with endometriosis

A systematic review protocol

Juan Gao, MB^a, Han-Qiao Liu, MB^b, Yan Wang, MB^a, Ya-Li Shang, MB^a, Fang Hu, MB^{a,*}

Abstract

Background: This systematic review will be proposed for investigating the effects of psychological care (PC) in patients with endometriosis.

Methods: We will search the following 7 electronic databases from inception to the present: MEDLINE, EMBASE, SinoMed, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, Chinese Biomedical Literature Database, and China National Knowledge Infrastructure. We will include randomized controlled trials for evaluating the effects of PC in patients with endometriosis. Cochrane risk of bias tool will be used to evaluate the methodological quality for each included study. Two authors will independently carry out the study selection, data extraction, and methodological quality evaluation. Any disagreements will be solved by a third author through discussion.

Results: This proposed systematic review will use high-quality evidence-based medicine to evaluate the efficacy and safety of PC for endometriosis. The primary outcomes include depression and anxiety. The secondary outcomes consist of pain intensity, health-related quality of life, and adverse events.

Conclusion: The findings of this study will provide convincing evidence to determine whether PC therapy is an effective and safe intervention for patients with endometriosis.

PROSPERO registration number: PROSPERO CRD42019123292.

Abbreviations: CIs = confidence intervals, PC = psychological care, RCTs = randomized controlled trials.

Keywords: effect, endometriosis, psychological care, randomized controlled trial

1. Introduction

Endometriosis is a very common gynecological disorder,^[1–3] which often impacts reproductive-aged women, and is one of the most common reasons for infertility.^[4–6] Previous study has reported that its prevalence ranges from 5% to 10% in premenopausal women, and it can affect about 35% of those with subfertility.^[7] It is characterized as the presence of ectopic endometrial tissue outside the uterus.^[8–10] This disorder often manifests as dyspareunia, cyclic menstrual pain, chronic pelvic pain, and dyschezia.^[11–13] Patients who experience such condition often complain that it severely affects their quality

No ethic approval is needed in this study because it will not involve individual patient data. The results of this study will be published in a peer-reviewed journal.

This study is supported by the Shaanxi Science and Technology Department Science and Technology Development Plan Project (2014K11-02-04-09). The supporter did not involve any parts of this study.

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

^a Gynecology Department, Second Affiliated Hospital of Shaanxi University of Chinese Medicine, Xianyang, ^b Gynecology Department, College of Pharmacy, Hubei Medical College, Shiyan, China.

^{*} Correspondence: Fang Hu, Department of Gynecology, Second Affiliated Hospital of Shaanxi University of Chinese Medicine, No.5 Weiyang West Road, Xianyang 712000, China (e-mail: fanghu007@yeah.net).

Copyright © 2019 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Medicine (2019) 98:10(e14772)

Received: 12 February 2019 / Accepted: 12 February 2019 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000014772 of life, as well as the psychological conditions, such as depression and anxiety. $^{\left[14-16\right] }$

A variety of clinical studies have reported that psychological care (PC) can be effectively used to treat psychological conditions in patients with endometriosis.^[17–24] However, up to the present, no systematic review and meta-analysis have been conducted to explore the effects of PC for patients with endometriosis. Therefore, in the present proposed systematic review, we will specifically assess the effects of PC for the patients with endometriosis.

2. Methods

2.1. Review registration

This systematic review protocol has been registered in PROS-PERO with number of CRD42019123292. It has been reported abiding to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) Protocols statement guidelines.^[25]

2.2. Eligibility criteria for included studies

2.2.1. Types of studies. This study will include randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that have assessed all forms of PC for patients with endometriosis. However, the other studies, such as non-clinical trials, non-control studies, non-RCTs, and quasi-RCTs will not be considered for inclusion.

2.2.2. Types of participants. All patients with endometriosis are clinically diagnosed as having psychological conditions, such as depression and anxiety will be fully considered for inclusion without any restrictions.

2.2.3. Type of interventions. The participants in the experimental group can receive any forms of PC interventions.

However, the combination of PC with other treatments will not be considered. The participants in the control group can receive any therapies, except the PC.

2.2.4. Type of outcomes. The primary outcome includes depression and anxiety, as measured by depression anxiety stress scales or any other instruments. The secondary outcomes consist of pain intensity, as assessed by visual analog scale or any other scales; health-related quality of life, as evaluated by 36-item short form survey, or any other tools; as well as any adverse events.

2.3. Strategy of literature searches

The following electronic databases will be searched from their inceptions to the present without language restrictions: MED-LINE, EMBASE, SinoMed, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, Chinese Biomedical Literature Database, and China National Knowledge Infrastructure. Any potential studies for assessing the effects of PC for endometriosis will be fully considered for inclusion. The sample of detailed search strategy for Cochrane Library is presented in Table 1. Identical search strategies will also be applied to other electronic databases.

Additionally, clinical registry, and reference lists of included RCTs and relevant reviews will also be searched. Besides, we will also search grey literature, such as dissertations to avoid missing any potentially eligible studies.

2.4. Data collection

2.4.1. Study selection. Two authors will independently scan the title and abstract for each study initially. Then, full-texts will be read to further identify the potentially eligible studies for inclusion. All study selection will be carried out according to the predefined eligibility criteria, and the whole process will be presented in the PRISMA flowchart. If any divergences regarding the study selection exist between 2 authors, a third author will be consulted through discussion.

2.4.2. Data extraction. Two authors will independently extract the data from each included according to the predefined data collection form. Any divergences between 2 authors will be resolved through discussion with a third author. The form

..

comprises of title, first author, publication year, location, patient characteristics, diagnosed criteria, eligibility criteria, number of patients, details of randomization, blinding, interventions in both experimental and control groups, all outcome measurements, and adverse events.

2.4.3. Dealing with missing data. Any missing data or insufficient information will be contacted by the primary authors of original studies by email. If those data are not available, then we will just analyze the available data. Moreover, we will also discuss its impacts as a limitation.

2.5. Risk of bias assessment for included studies

Two authors will independently assess the methodological quality for each included RCT by using the Cochrane Collaboration Tool. Any disagreements regarding the risk of bias evaluation between 2 authors will be consulted a third author and will be solved through discussion. This tool comprises of 7 items, and each item will be judged as high risk of bias, unclear risk of bias, or low risk of bias.

2.6. Treatment effect measurement

The results of continuous data will be measured by using the mean difference or standardized mean difference with 95% confidence intervals (CIs), while the results of dichotomous data will be measured by using risk ratio with 95% CIs.

2.7. Heterogeneity assessment

Heterogeneity among eligible studies will be assessed by using I^2 test. Acceptable heterogeneity will be considered if $I^2 \leq 50\%$. Otherwise, significant heterogeneity will be regarded if $I^2 > 50\%$.

2.8. Data synthesis

RevMan 5.3 software will be used for all data pooling and metaanalysis conducting. If acceptable heterogeneity is detected, a fixed-effect model will be used, and meta-analysis will be conducted if it is possible. If substantial heterogeneity is identified, a random-effect model will be used, and meta-analysis

Table 1

Number	Search terms
1	Mesh descriptor: (endometriosis) explode all trees
2	((endometrioma*) or (endometrioses*) or (endometromata*) or (dysmenorrhea*) or (dyspareunia*) or (dyschezia*) or (pelvic pain*) or (dysuria*) or (pain symptom*)):ti, ab, kw
3	Or 1-2
4	(psychological care) explode all trees
5	((psychological*) or (care*) or (nursing*) or (advanced care*) or (therapy*) or (treatment*) or (standard care*) or (intervention*) or (self care*)):ti, ab, kw
6	Or 4-5
7	MeSH descriptor: (depressive disorder) explode all trees
8	MeSH descriptor: (depression) explode all trees
9	MeSH descriptor: (anxiety) explode all trees
	((depressive [*]) or (symptom [*]) or (symptoms [*]) or (emotional [*]) or (depressions [*]) or (mood [*]) or (disorder [*]) or (stress [*]) or (distress [*]) or (generalized anxiety disorder [*])):ti, ab, kw
10	Or 7-9
11	MeSH descriptor: (randomized controlled trial) explode all trees
12	((controlled trial) or (clinical trial) or (randomly) or (randomized) or (trial) or (clinical study) or (placebo) or (sham) or (control)):ti, ab, kw
13	Or 11-12
14	3 and 6 and 10 and 13

will be carried out according to the results of subgroup analysis. If there is reasonable heterogeneity after the subgroup analysis, then meta-analysis will be performed. Otherwise, data will not be pooled, and meta-analysis will not be conducted. Instead, we will just report a narrative summary.

2.9. Subgroup analysis

Subgroup analysis will be carried out to detect any potential reasons that cause the significant heterogeneity. It will be conducted according to the different characteristics of study or patients, treatments, controls, and outcome measurements.

2.10. Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis will be performed to identify the robustness of the results by taking away low-quality studies.

2.11. Publication bias

In this systematic review, funnel plot^[26] and Egg regression^[27] will be conducted if sufficient eligible studies (more than 10 RCTs) are included.

3. Discussion

Endometriosis is a common cause of infertility in females. A variety of managements are used to treat this condition, such as medication, physical therapy, and PC. Although lots of clinical studies have shown that PC can effectively reduce the psychological symptoms, such as depression, and anxiety, its efficacy has not assessed scientifically or systematically.^[17–24] To our best knowledge, no systematic review or meta-analysis has addressed to assess the effects and safety of PC for patients with endometriosis that have been published. It is crucial to determine whether PC is an effective intervention for relieving psychological condition in patients with endometriosis. The present systematic review and meta-analysis will provide important information to benefits patients, clinicians, as well as the health policy-maker with a comprehensive understanding of the effects of PC intervention.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: Juan Gao, Yan Wang, Fang Hu.

- Data curation: Juan Gao, Ya-Li Shang, Fang Hu.
- Formal analysis: Juan Gao, Han-Qiao Liu, Yan Wang, Ya-Li Shang.

Funding acquisition: Fang Hu.

Investigation: Fang Hu.

- Methodology: Juan Gao, Han-Qiao Liu, Yan Wang, Ya-Li Shang.
- Project administration: Fang Hu.
- Resources: Juan Gao, Han-Qiao Liu, Yan Wang, Ya-Li Shang, Fang Hu.

Software: Juan Gao, Han-Qiao Liu.

Supervision: Yan Wang, Ya-Li Shang.

- Validation: Han-Qiao Liu, Fang Hu.
- Visualization: Juan Gao, Ya-Li Shang.
- Writing original draft: Juan Gao, Yan Wang, Ya-Li Shang, Fang Hu.
- Writing review and editing: Juan Gao, Han-Qiao Liu, Yan Wang, Ya-Li Shang, Fang Hu.

References

- Shafrir AL, Farland LV, Shah DK, et al. Risk for and consequences of endometriosis: a critical epidemiologic review. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2018;51:1–5.
- [2] Zondervan KT, Becker CM, Koga K, et al. Endometriosis. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2018;4:9.
- [3] Borghese B, Santulli P, Marcellin L, et al. Definition, description, clinicopathological features, pathogenesis and natural history of endometriosis: CNGOF-HAS endometriosis guidelines. Gynecol Obstet Fertil Senol 2018;46:156–67.
- [4] Máté G, Bernstein LR, Török AL. Endometriosis is a cause of infertility. Does reactive oxygen damage to gametes and embryos play a key role in the pathogenesis of infertility caused by endometriosis? Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 2018;9:725.
- [5] Tomassetti C, D'Hooghe T. Endometriosis and infertility: insights into the causal link and management strategies. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2018;51:25–33.
- [6] Donnez J, García-Solares J, Dolmans MM. Ovarian endometriosis and fertility preservation: a challenge in 2018. Minerva Ginecol 2018;70: 408–14.
- [7] Macer ML, Taylor HS. Endometriosis and infertility. Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am 2012;39:535–49.
- [8] Sousa AC, Capek S, Amrami KK, et al. Neural involvement in endometriosis: review of anatomic distribution and mechanisms. Clin Anat 2015;28:1029–38.
- [9] Makiyan Z. Endometriosis origin from primordial germ cells. Organogenesis 2017;13:95–102.
- [10] Coxon L, Horne AW, Vincent K. Pathophysiology of endometriosisassociated pain: a review of pelvic and central nervous system mechanisms. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2018;51:53–67.
- [11] Alderman MH 3rd, Yoder N, Taylor HS. The systemic effects of endometriosis. Semin Reprod Med 2017;35:263–70.
- [12] Minebois H, De Souza A, Mezan de Malartic C, et al. Endometriosis and miscarriage: systematic review. Gynecol Obstet Fertil Senol 2017; 45:393–9.
- [13] Johnston JL, Reid H, Hunter D. Diagnosing endometriosis in primary care: clinical update. Br J Gen Pract 2015;65:101–2.
- [14] Marinho MCP, Magalhaes TF, Fernandes LFC, et al. Quality of life in women with endometriosis: an integrative review. J Womens Health (Larchmt) 2018;27:399–408.
- [15] Aerts L, Grangier L, Streuli I, et al. Psychosocial impact of endometriosis: from co-morbidity to intervention. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2018;50:2–10.
- [16] Laganà AS, La Rosa VL, Rapisarda AMC, et al. Anxiety and depression in patients with endometriosis: impact and management challenges. Int J Womens Health 2017;9:323–30.
- [17] Buggio L, Barbara G, Facchin F, et al. Self-management and psychological-sexological interventions in patients with endometriosis: strategies, outcomes, and integration into clinical care. Int J Womens Health 2017;9:281–93.
- [18] Yu Y. Analysis of psychological characteristics and nursing strategies of patients with infertility caused by endometriosis. World Med Inf Digest 2018;18:257.
- [19] Zhang H. Nursing and observation of patients with endometriosis combined with laparoscopic surgery. World Med Inf Digest 2017; 17:209.
- [20] Huang L, Li CT, Li RY, et al. Effects of psychological nursing on patients with endometriosis. J Mudanjiang Med Coll 2016;37:114–5.
- [21] He CL, Lao YK, Zhang LX. Analysis of the effect of psychological nursing intervention on quality of life in patients with endometriosis. China Modern Drug Appl 2015;9:222–3.
- [22] Xiao CY. Clinical nursing analysis of 42 cases of endometriosis. Chin Commun Phys 2014;30:151.
- [23] Lv H, Gao YM. Nursing care of patients with endometriosis. China Modern Drug Appl 2013;7:121–2.
- [24] Hei YX, He HX. Preoperative, intraoperative and postoperative care for laparoscopic surgery in patients with endometriosis. Chin Med Guide 2012;10:310–1.
- [25] Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ 2015;349:g7647.
- [26] Sutton AJ, Duval SJ, Tweedie RL, et al. Empirical assessment of effect of publication bias on meta-analyses. BMJ 2000;320:1574–7.
- [27] Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, et al. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997;315:629–34.