



# Tuberculosis Burden and Determinants of Treatment Outcomes According to Age in Brazil: A Nationwide Study of 896,314 Cases Reported Between 2010 and 2019

Beatriz Barreto-Duarte<sup>1,2,3,4†</sup>, Mariana Araújo-Pereira<sup>1,2,5†</sup>, Betânia M. F. Nogueira<sup>1,2,6,7</sup>, Luciana Sobral<sup>8,9</sup>, Moreno M. S. Rodrigues<sup>10</sup>, Artur T. L. Queiroz<sup>2,11</sup>, Michael S. Rocha<sup>2,6</sup>, Vanessa Nascimento<sup>2,6,9</sup>, Alexandra B. Souza<sup>12,13</sup>, Marcelo Cordeiro-Santos<sup>12,13,14</sup>, Afrânio L. Kritski<sup>4,15</sup>, Timothy R. Sterling<sup>16</sup>, María B. Arriaga<sup>1,2,5†</sup> and Bruno B. Andrade<sup>1,2,3,4,5,7,8,9,16\*†</sup>

### OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by: Sara Manti,

Sara Mantı, University of Catania, Italy

#### Reviewed by:

Antonio Gennaro Nicotera, University of Messina, Italy Lia Possuelo, Universidade de Santa Cruz do Sul, Brazil

\*Correspondence:

Bruno B. Andrade bruno.andrade@fiocruz.br

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Infectious Diseases–Surveillance, Prevention and Treatment, a section of the journal Frontiers in Medicine

> Received: 07 May 2021 Accepted: 02 July 2021 Published: 27 July 2021

#### Citation:

Barreto-Duarte B, Araújo-Pereira M, Nogueira BMF, Sobral L, Rodrigues MMS, Queiroz ATL, Rocha MS, Nascimento V, Souza AB, Cordeiro-Santos M, Kritski AL, Sterling TR, Arriaga MB and Andrade BB (2021) Tuberculosis Burden and Determinants of Treatment Outcomes According to Age in Brazil: A Nationwide Study of 896,314 Cases Reported Between 2010 and 2019. Front. Med. 8:706689. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.706689 <sup>1</sup> Laboratório de Inflamação e Biomarcadores, Instituto Gonçalo Moniz, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Salvador, Brazil,
<sup>2</sup> Multinational Organization Network Sponsoring Translational and Epidemiological Research Initiative, Salvador, Brazil,
<sup>3</sup> Curso de Medicina, Universidade Salvador, Laureate Universities, Salvador, Brazil, <sup>4</sup> Programa de Pós-Graduação em Cílnica Médica, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, <sup>5</sup> Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, <sup>5</sup> Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador, Brazil, <sup>6</sup> Instituto Brasileiro para Investigação da Tuberculose, Fundação José Silveira, Salvador, Brazil, <sup>7</sup> Programa de Pós-graduação em Ciências da Saúde, Faculdade de Medicina da Bahia, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador, Brazil, <sup>8</sup> Curso de Medicina, Centro Universitário Faculdade de Tecnologia e Ciências, Salvador, Brazil, <sup>9</sup> Escola Bahiana de Medicina e Saúde Pública, Salvador, Brazil, <sup>10</sup> Laboratório de Análise e Visualização de Dados, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Porto Velho, Brazil, <sup>11</sup> Center of Data and Knowledge Integration for Health, Instituto Gonçalo Moniz, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Salvador, Brazil, <sup>12</sup> Fundação Medicina Tropical Doutor Heitor Vieira Dourado, Manaus, Brazil, <sup>13</sup> Programa de Pós-Graduação em Medicina Tropical, Universidade do Estado do Armazonas, Manaus, Brazil, <sup>14</sup> Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, <sup>16</sup> Drograma Acadêmico de Tuberculose da Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, <sup>16</sup> Drograma Acadêmico de Tuberculose da Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, <sup>16</sup> Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, TN, United States

Approximately 1.4 million people die annually worldwide from tuberculosis. Large epidemiologic studies can identify determinants of unfavorable clinical outcomes according to age, which can guide public health policy implementation and clinical management to improve outcomes. We obtained data from the national tuberculosis case registry; data were reported to the Brazilian National Program (SINAN) between 2010 and 2019. Clinical and epidemiologic variables were compared between age groups (child: <10 years, young: 10–24 years, adult: 25–64 years, and elderly: ≥65 years). Univariate comparisons were performed together with second-generation p-values. We applied a backward stepwise multivariable logistic regression model to identify characteristics in each age group associated with unfavorable TB treatment outcomes. There were 896,314 tuberculosis cases reported during the period. Tuberculosis incidence was highest among adult males, but the young males presented the highest growth rate during the period. Directly observed therapy (DOT) was associated with protection against unfavorable outcomes in all age groups. The use of alcohol, illicit drugs, and smoking, as well as occurrence of comorbidities, were significantly different between age groups. Lack of DOT, previous tuberculosis, race, location of tuberculosis disease, and HIV infection were independent risk factors for unfavorable outcome depending on

1

the age group. The clinical and epidemiological risk factors for unfavorable tuberculosis treatment outcomes varied according to age in Brazil. DOT was associated with improved outcomes in all age groups. Incidence according to age and sex identified adults and young males as the groups that need prevention efforts. This supports implementation of DOT in all populations to improve tuberculosis outcomes.

Keywords: tuberculosis, age, outcomes, pulmonary TB, extrapulmonary TB

# INTRODUCTION

Tuberculosis (TB) remains a major public health problem worldwide, accounting for 10 million new cases and 1.4 million deaths in 2019 according to the World Health Organization (WHO) (1). Brazil is among the 22 high TB burden countries identified by the WHO, which account for 82% of TB cases worldwide (1). Previous studies have assessed the dynamics of age on TB epidemiology in different settings around the world (2, 3) and also in Brazil (4). Recently, an investigation of a national database identified important associations between adolescence and TB notification over several years in South Africa (5). Additional studies using nationwide datasets in TB-endemic countries can help delineate strategies focused on age groups to identify interventions that could improve outcomes.

The Brazilian National Plan to Control Tuberculosis (PNCT) was implemented in 1999. Following WHO recommendations, the program set goals of a cure rate >85% and loss to follow-up <5% (6). In addition, the PNCT employed in 2003 directly observed therapy (DOT), which is a tool proposed by the WHO for supervising and documenting the intake of anti-TB medication (7), to reduce the incidence of TB drug resistance and increase the likelihood of successful treatment outcomes. However, in non-research settings, DOT has not been uniformly implemented among all persons treated for TB in Brazil. TB control at the population level requires a better understanding of the clinical and epidemiological characteristics of the people affected by TB. This was facilitated by the Information System for Notifiable Diseases (SINAN), a health information platform of mandatory notification and continuous update, created in 1993 and maintained by the Ministry of Health of Brazil (8). SINAN collects standardized data, from diagnosis to treatment outcome from all Brazilian states, to inform and guide health professionals and policymakers about TB epidemiology in Brazil, as well as measuring the impact of the policies implemented (6).

Through the SINAN database, there is valuable information for TB control in Brazil, such as clinical and demographic characteristics, proven risk factors for TB treatment outcomes, and the actual outcomes. Moreover, it is feasible to analyze such characteristics over time, enabling the understanding of TB epidemiology nationwide over several years. In the present study, SINAN data from a 10-year period (2010–2019) were analyzed to assess temporal changes in incidence, TB clinical manifestations, and clinical outcomes, in individuals stratified by age group and biological sex.

# METHODS

# **Ethics Statement**

All data available for the years 2010–2019 were obtained from the government platform (publicly available) pre-processed by the Ministry of Health, which included verification of duplicate registration, consistency, and completeness of registered data (8), following the regulations of Resolution No. 466/12 on Research Ethics of the National Health Council, Brazil. This study was exempt from Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval by the IRB at Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Salvador.

# **Overall Study Design**

We performed an observational study comparing the baseline clinical and sociodemographic characteristics and outcome determinants according to four age groups, which were defined as follows: children (0–9.9 years) (9); young (10–24.9 years) (10); adults (25–64.9 years); and elderly ( $\geq$ 65 years) following a previously published guideline (11). The primary hypothesis was that the general characteristics of TB patients registered in the SINAN database as well as the main risk factors for unfavorable treatment outcomes varied according to the age of the patients.

# **Data Collection**

The present study obtained data from SINAN (access date 9/22/2020), available on the website (8) and implemented, supported, and maintained by the Brazilian Ministry of Health. SINAN monitors information on more than 40 reportable diseases, including TB (12). Between January 2010 and December 2019, there were 896,314 TB cases reported to SINAN, diagnosed by bacteriology/positive culture, chest radiography or histopathology or clinical criteria, in the case of extrapulmonary TB, according to Brazilian TB guidelines (6). In addition to the type of TB diagnosis, SINAN notification forms also collected data on individual characteristics, including clinical (HIV, diabetes, smear, and culture among others) and sociodemographic (sex, age, ethnicity, and literacy) data. All variables used in this study are shown in detail in **Table 1**.

# **Outcome Definition**

For this study, a favorable treatment outcome was defined as cure or completed treatment; unfavorable outcome was defined as treatment failure, lost to follow-up, relapse, or death during treatment. The definitions for clinical and bacteriological cure, failure, lost to follow-up and death for both cohorts corresponded with those in the Manual of Recommendations for the Control of TB of Brazil (6). The outcomes "unknown," "ongoing treatment," and "transferred out" were not considered for the univariate and

#### TABLE 1 | Population characteristics by year.

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	
Characteristics	N = 87,171	N = 89,735	N = 88,229	N = 88,339	N = 86,967	N = 87,105	N = 87,820	N = 82,303	N = 96,292	N = 92,353	$\chi^2$ trend <i>p</i> -value
Female, <i>n</i> (%)	28,867 (33.1)	29,407 (32.8)	28,734 (32.6)	28,788 (32.6)	27,824 (32.0)	27,109 (31.1)	27,202 (31.0)	27,734 (30.0)	29,195 (30.3)	27,909 (30.2)	0.46
Age group, n (%)											0.827
Child	1,594 (1.82)	1,641 (1.83)	1,572 (1.78)	1,618 (1.85)	1,373 (1.57)	1,324 (1.52)	1,461 (1.68)	1,558 (1.78)	1,755 (2.01)	1,770 (2.03)	
Young	14,894 (17.08)	15,454 (17.22)	15,391 (17.4)	15,195 (17.20)	14,871 (17.06)	15,442 (17.71)	15,822 (18.15)	17,127 (19.64)	18,838 (21.61)	19,555 (22.43)	
Adult	62,576 (71.79)	64,279 (71.63)	63,196 (71.63)	63,135 (71.47)	62,522 (71.72)	61,884 (70.99)	61,841 (70.9)	64,296 (73.76)	66,190 (75.93)	62,292 (71.45)	
Elderly	7,766 (8.9)	8,062 (8.98)	7,752 (8.78)	8,005 (9.06)	7,818 (8.97)	8,114 (9.3)	8,309 (9.53)	8,948 (10.3)	9,099 (10.4)	8,239 (9.45)	
Ethnicity, n (%)											0.108
Asian	755 (0.87)	729 (0.81)	729 (0.83)	681 (0.77)	624 (0.72)	592 (0.68)	580 (0.66)	715 (0.77)	729 (0.76)	698 (0.76)	
Black	11,681 (13.4)	12,169 (13.6)	11,985 (13.6)	11,407 (12.9)	11,345 (13.0)	11,041 (12.7)	11,182 (12.7)	11,552 (12.5)	12,364 (12.8)	11,922 (12.9)	
Indigenous	889 (1.02)	1,009 (1.12)	898 (1.02)	958 (1.08)	893 (1.03)	1,017 (1.17)	989 (1.13)	930 (1.01)	951 (0.99)	930 (1.01)	
Pardo	35,898 (41.2)	38,310 (42.7)	38,575 (43.7)	39,433 (44.6)	39,221 (45.1)	40,155 (46.1)	41,137 (46.8)	44,548 (48.3)	47,118 (48.9)	45,354 (49.1)	
White	29,960 (34.4)	30,438 (33.9)	29,616 (33.6)	28,866 (32.7)	27,792 (32.0)	27,346 (31.4)	26,887 (30.6)	27,583 (29.9)	28,387 (29.5)	26,243 (28.4)	
Literate, n (%)	38,459 (44.1)	49,055 (54.7)	48,724 (55.2)	48,702 (55.1)	47,978 (55.2)	48,241 (55.4)	48,781 (55.5)	51,194 (55.5)	54,922 (57.0)	51,851 (56.1)	0.16
HIV infection, n (%)	9,659 (11.1)	10,080 (11.2)	10,089 (11.4)	10,174 (11.5)	10,383 (11.9)	10,097 (11.6)	9,736 (11.1)	10,119 (11.0)	9,970 (10.4)	9,124 (9.88)	<0.001
ART, n (%)	23 (0.24)	21 (0.24)	32 (0.32)	128 (1.26)	953 (9.18)	2,102 (20.81)	3,292 (33.81)	3,967 (39.2)	4,020 (40.32)	3,211 (35.19)	<0.001
Alcohol consumption, n (%)	12,851 (14.7)	13,853 (15.4)	13,953 (15.8)	14,097 (16.0)	14,176 (16.3)	15,174 (17.4)	15,568 (17.7)	16,858 (18.3)	18,227 (18.9)	17,015 (18.4)	0.958
Illicit drug use, n (%)	334 (0.38)	2,098 (2.34)	2,687 (3.05)	3,269 (3.70)	5,579 (6.42)	10,342 (11.9)	11,423 (13.0)	13,467 (14.6)	15,165 (15.7)	14,522 (15.7)	<0.001
Smoking habits, n (%)	106 (0.12)	240 (0.27)	1,457 (1.65)	2,096 (2.37)	5,597 (6.44)	15,826 (18.2)	18,826 (21.4)	21,328 (23.1)	23,560 (24.5)	22,490 (24.4)	<0.001
Diabetes n (%)	5,101 (5.85)	5,593 (6.23)	5,727 (6.49)	5,922 (6.70)	5,707 (6.56)	6,117 (7.02)	6,385 (7.27)	6,785 (7.35)	7,356 (7.64)	7,318 (7.92)	0.447
Smear positive, n (%)	47,715 (54.9)	48,957 (56.5)	47,961 (56.2)	47,134 (53.4)	46,739 (53.8)	45,971 (53.9)	45,501 (53.8)	45,290 (51.1)	46,153 (50.0)	42,985 (48.8)	0.169
Culture positive, n (%)	11,387 (13.1)	12,321 (13.7)	12,968 (14.7)	13,859 (15.7)	14,972 (17.2)	18,020 (20.7)	18,358 (20.9)	20,367 (22.1)	21,267 (22.1)	15,656 (17.0)	0.03
Abnormal X-ray, n (%)	69,168 (79.3)	71,150 (79.3)	68,990 (78.2)	68,053 (77.0)	65,748 (75.6)	63,258 (72.6)	63,371 (72.2)	64,885 (70.3)	67,911 (70.5)	64,515 (69.9)	0.01
TB Status, n (%)											0.399
New case	72,151 (82.8)	74,144 (82.6)	72,552 (82.2)	72,476 (82.0)	70,951 (81.6)	70,300 (80.7)	70,631 (80.4)	73,970 (80.1)	77,091 (80.1)	73,906 (80.0)	
Prior TB	14,687 (16.8)	15,330 (17.1)	15,354 (17.4)	15,550 (17.6)	15,729 (18.1)	16,518 (19.0)	16,874 (19.2)	17,996 (19.5)	18,874 (19.6)	18,004 (19.5)	
Treatment, n (%)											<0.001ª, 0.015 <sup>b</sup>
Received DOT	36,870 (42.3)	40,673 (45.3)	41,717 (47.3)	40,771 (46.2)	37,291 (42.9)	29,423 (33.8)	30,736 (35.0)	32,899 (35.6)	34,594 (35.9)	22,364 (24.2)	
No received DOT	38,266 (43.9)	37,247 (41.5)	34,682 (39.3)	38,073 (43.1)	35,459 (40.8)	30,986 (35.6)	32,387 (36.9)	33,580 (36.4)	33,868 (35.2)	26,953 (29.2)	
Type of TB, n (%)											0.684
EPTB	11,580 (13.3)	12,058 (13.4)	11,906 (13.5)	11,893 (13.5)	11,172 (12.8)	10,747 (12.3)	11,096 (12.6)	11,477 (12.4)	12,177 (12.6)	11,804 (12.8)	
PTB	72,567 (83.2)	74,650 (83.2)	73,181 (82.9)	73,431 (83.1)	72,871 (83.8)	73,549 (84.4)	74,023 (84.3)	78,103 (84.6)	81,120 (84.2)	77,914 (84.4)	
PTB and EPTB	2,996 (3.44)	3,005 (3.35)	3,123 (3.54)	2,889 (3.27)	2,854 (3.28)	2,764 (3.17)	2,665 (3.03)	2,674 (2.90)	2,958 (3.07)	2,568 (2.78)	
Comorbidity, n (%)											0.56
Cancer	805 (0.92)	802 (0.89)	877 (0.99)	758 (0.86)	744 (0.86)	755 (0.87)	787 (0.90)	770 (0.83)	851 (0.88)	770 (0.83)	
COPD	161 (0.18)	165 (0.18)	197 (0.22)	109 (0.12)	109 (0.13)	112 (0.13)	117 (0.13)	125 (0.14)	153 (0.16)	143 (0.15)	
Hypertension	7,885 (9.05)	8,301 (9.25)	8,091 (9.17)	8,576 (9.71)	8,573 (9.86)	8,796 (10.1)	9,030 (10.3)	9,706 (10.5)	9,867 (10.2)	9,269 (10.0)	
Renal disease	78 (0.09)	80 (0.09)	77 (0.09)	69 (0.08)	80 (0.09)	59 (0.07)	73 (0.08)	74 (0.08)	60 (0.06)	62 (0.07)	

(Continued)

Age-Specific Determinants of TB Outcomes

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	
Others	11,850 (13.6)	12,640 (14.1)	12,529 (14.2)	11,944 (13.5)	11,710 (13.5)	12,359 (14.2)	12,543 (14.3)	13,621 (14.8)	13,844 (14.4)	14,201 (15.4)	
No condition	66,390 (76.2)	67,745 (75.5)	66,451 (75.3)	66,878 (75.7)	65,750 (75.6)	65,017 (74.6)	65,270 (74.3)	68,007 (73.7)	71,517 (74.3)	67,904 (73.5)	
Outcome description, $n$ (%)											<b>&lt;0.001</b> ª, 0.366 <sup>b</sup>
Cure	59,870 (68.7)	62,367 (69.5)	59,817 (67.8)	60,694 (68.7)	59,521 (68.4)	57,977 (66.6)	59,388 (67.6)	61,493 (66.6)	60,981 (63.3)	18,516 (20.0)	
Death	6,635 (7.61)	6,686 (7.45)	6,560 (7.44)	6,702 (7.59)	6,833 (7.86)	7,019 (8.06)	6,881 (7.84)	7,152 (7.75)	7,003 (7.27)	4,917 (5.32)	
Failure	668 (0.77)	681 (0.76)	694 (0.79)	735 (0.83)	1,070 (1.23)	1,473 (1.69)	1,480 (1.69)	1,587 (1.72)	1,683 (1.75)	1,120 (1.21)	
Loss follow up	10,643 (12.2)	10,683 (11.9)	11,017 (12.5)	11,653 (13.2)	11,395 (13.1)	10,731 (12.3)	10,991 (12.5)	11,644 (12.6)	12,021 (12.5)	4,841 (5.24)	
Relapse	1,860 (2.13)	1,992 (2.22)	2,116 (2.40)	2,193 (2.48)	1,755 (2.02)	1,668 (1.91)	1,872 (2.13)	2,063 (2.24)	2,075 (2.15)	1,361 (1.47)	
Transferred out	5,804 (6.66)	5,954 (6.64)	5,932 (6.72)	4,708 (5.33)	4,837 (5.56)	4,905 (5.63)	4,759 (5.42)	5,194 (5.63)	6,202 (6.44)	7,138 (7.73)	
Outcome, n (%)											<b>0.012</b> <sup>a</sup> , 0.694 <sup>b</sup>
Unfavorable	25,610 (30.0)	25,996 (29.4)	26,319 (30.6)	25,991 (30.0)	25,890 (30.3)	25,796 (30.8)	25,983 (30.4)	27,640 (31.0)	28,984 (32.2)	19,377 (51.1)	
Favorable	59,870 (70.0)	62,367 (70.6)	59,817 (69.4)	60,694 (70.0)	59,521 (69.7)	57,977 (69.2)	59,388 (69.6)	61,493 (69.0)	60,981 (67.8)	18,516 (48.9)	
Bold font indicates statistical s adults (25-64.9 years); elderly	significance. Data a (≥65 years).	ire shown as numl	ber and frequency	(percentage). Dat	a were compared	between years us	sing the Pearson's	$\chi^{2}$ trend test. Age	Groups: Children	(0–9.9 years); you	ng (10–24.9 years);
כטוווטמווטטוו וטכוואכסוו בטיט מ.	110 ZU 10.										

chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; TB, Tuberculosis; PTB, Pulmonary Tuberculosis; EPTB, Extrapulmonary Tuberculosis. directly observed treatment: COPD. <sup>b</sup> Comparisons between 2010 and 2018. DOT, directly observed treatment; COPD

multivariate analysis of the TB treatment outcomes. The outcome definitions are shown in **Supplementary Table 1**.

### **Statistical Analysis**

The TB notification rate was determined by dividing the annual number of notified TB patients by the estimated mid-year population (according to data from the Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística, IBGE) (13) multiplied by 100,000. The annual mid-year population estimate was derived from the 2010 national census and adjusted for annual population growth assuming linear growth between census estimates. Quantitative variables were presented as medians and interquartile range (IQR), while categorical variables were presented as percentages (%). Categorical and trend analysis for social and clinical characteristics were assessed using the Chi-squared test ( $\chi^2$ ) and  $\chi^2$  test for trend), except for age and TB rates, for which the Mann-Kendall (MK) test was used to identify differences among years. During review of the data, some inconsistencies were observed, such as children from 0 to 4 years old with habits of consumption of tobacco, alcohol, and illegal drugs. Due to the lack of a way to confirm these data, consumption habits of these substances were excluded from the analysis in this age group.

To perform a backward stepwise logistic regression, all clinical and epidemiological parameters were included in the univariate analyses and were included in the multivariable models to evaluate unfavorable outcome of the treatment. P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. In addition to the *p*-value, the second-generation *p*-value ( $p\delta$ -value) and the delta-gap ( $\Delta$ ) (when applicable) were calculated to evaluate the outcomes (8). For interpretation, we considered all cases in which  $p\delta = 0$  were clinically of interest, and statistically significant; when  $p\delta = 1$ , the data affirmed only the effects that were null or almost null and that had little clinical interest, which would confirm the lack of association. When  $p\delta = 0.5$ , then the data were inconclusive. In case of  $p\delta = 0$ , the delta-gap ( $\Delta$ ) was calculated, which is defined as the distance between the intervals in  $\delta$  units. The delta-gap value was directly related with the difference in distribution of values between the groups; the higher the delta value, the greater the effect size (14).

# RESULTS

# **Population Characteristics Over Time**

Overall, there were 896,314 TB cases reported in Brazil between 2010 and 2019. Most TB patients were male (66.9–70%) and the age group most affected was 25–64 years (70.9–75.93%). Most of the patients self-reported their race as *pardo* (41.2–49.1%) and 44.1–56.1% were literate. The percentage of patients co-infected with HIV declined over time ( $\chi^2$ trend *p* < 0.001), being significantly lower in 2019. Over time, the frequency of patients who reported alcohol consumption, of those diagnosed with DM, and with smear-positive TB remained similar (**Table 1**). The proportion of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Mtb) positive cultures increased over time (13.1–22.1,  $\chi^2$ trend *p* = 0.03) while abnormal findings on chest x-rays became less frequent ( $\chi^2$ trend *p* = 0.01; **Table 1**). The incidence of TB remained stable over time in men (MK-trend *p* = 0.808) and women (MK-trend

TABLE 1 | Continued



p = 0.709; **Figure 1A**). However, within each sex, changes in TB incidence according to age group differed over the study period (**Figure 1B**). The incidence in adult (MK-trend p = 0.12), elderly (MK-trend p = 0.121), young (MK-trend p = 0.751) and child females (MK-trend p = 0.779) remained stable. In young men, TB incidence increased significantly over the study period (MK-trend p = 0.0007), while the incidence in elderly (MK-trend p = 0.004) and adult men (MK-trend p = 0.004) decreased. The TB incidence in male children did not change significantly (**Figure 1B**).

In all the years evaluated, the proportion of cases that were new exceeded 80% (80–82.8%), while the proportion treated with DOT decreased over time ( $\chi^2$ trend p < 0.001), even when we did not take 2019 into account (whose patients were still being treated,  $\chi^2$ trend p = 0.015; **Table 1**). The most frequent form of TB was pulmonary (PTB) (82.9–84.6%), followed by extrapulmonary TB (EPTB) (12.3–13.5%) and, finally, combined PTB-EPTB disease (2.78–3.54%). The most frequent comorbidity in all years was hypertension (9–10.5%), followed by DM (5.85–7.92%). Between 2010 and 2018 the cure rate of patients undergoing TB treatment was over 60% (63.3–68.7%), while the death rate ranged between 7.61 and 7.27%. Many patients diagnosed in 2019 were likely still in treatment when data were collected, and therefore there were missing values for this variable. Of note, we found a large number of missing and poorly filled variables in the data, shown in detail in **Supplementary Table 2**.

### Analysis by Age Group

Approximately 70% (n = 632,211) of TB diagnoses in Brazil during the study period were made in people 25–64 years old (**Table 2**). This was the age group that had the highest proportion

#### TABLE 2 | Population characteristics by age categories.

	Child	Young	Adult	Elderly	
Characteristics	<i>N</i> = 15,666	<i>N</i> = 162,589	<i>N</i> = 632,211	<i>N</i> = 82,112	p-value
Female, n (%)	7,434 (47.5)	56,772 (34.9)	187,995 (29.7)	29,269 (35.6)	0.063
Ethnicity, n (%)					0.793
Asian	78 (0.50)	1,252 (0.77)	4,548 (0.72)	924 (1.13)	
Black	1,412 (9.01)	20,271 (12.5)	86,369 (13.7)	8,173 (9.95)	
Indigenous	1,099 (7.02)	2,101 (1.29)	4,952 (0.78)	1,181 (1.44)	
Pardo	7,180 (45.8)	78,417 (48.2)	288,677 (45.7)	33,797 (41.2)	
White	4,566 (29.1)	48,387 (29.8)	197,042 (31.2)	32,087 (39.1)	
Literate, n (%)	2,199 (14.0)	111,724 (68.7)	347,495 (55.0)	26,372 (32.1)	<0.001
HIV infection, n (%)	556 (3.55)	8,976 (5.52)	87,800 (13.9)	1,804 (2.20)	0.002
ART, n (%)	108 (0.69)	2,245 (1.38)	14,933 (2.36)	413 (0.50)	0.624
Alcohol consumption, $n$ (%)	0 (0.00)	12,728 (7.83)	130,850 (20.7)	7,726 (9.41)	<0.001
Illicit drug use, n (%)	0 (0.00)	17,962 (11.0)	60,237 (9.53)	493 (0.60)	0.002
Smoking habits, n (%)	0 (0.00)	15,320 (9.42)	87,890 (13.9)	7,988 (9.73)	0.003
Diabetes, n (%)	49 (0.31)	1,563 (0.96)	46,480 (7.35)	13,742 (16.7)	<0.001
Smear positive, $n$ (%)	1,557 (10.7)	91,707 (57.8)	333,002 (54.0)	36,669 (45.9)	<0.001
Culture positive, $n$ (%)	656 (4.19)	30,014 (18.5)	117,133 (18.5)	10,992 (13.4)	0.008
Abnormal X-ray, n (%)	11,096 (70.8)	119,658 (73.6)	469,800 (74.3)	63,793 (77.7)	0.739
TB Status, n (%)					0.09
New case	14,378 (91.8)	140,149 (86.2)	500,527 (79.2)	69,958 (85.2)	
Prior TB	1,227 (7.83)	22,057 (13.6)	129,414 (20.5)	11,672 (14.2)	
Supervised treatment, n (%)					0.958
Received DOT	5,839 (37.3)	65,867 (40.5)	243,834 (38.6)	30,481 (37.1)	
No received DOT	5,492 (35.1)	61,134 (37.6)	242,838 (38.4)	30,681 (37.4)	
Type of TB, n (%)					0.004
EPTB	4,276 (27.3)	18,174 (11.2)	82,180 (13.0)	10,712 (13.0)	
PTB	10,700 (68.3)	140,432 (86.4)	528,018 (83.5)	69,258 (84.3)	
PTB+EPTB	688 (4.39)	3,904 (2.40)	21,659 (3.43)	2,083 (2.54)	
Comorbidity, n (%)	х <i>У</i>	, , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		<0.001
Cancer	33 (0.21)	948 (0.58)	5,059 (0.80)	1,854 (2.26)	
COPD	6 (0.04)	25 (0.02)	492 (0.08)	868 (1.06)	
Hypertension	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	34,245 (5.42)	53,849 (65.6)	
Renal disease	1 (0.01)	80 (0.05)	295 (0.05)	336 (0.41)	
Others	2,103 (13.4)	79,727 (49.0)	41,834 (6.62)	3,485 (4.24)	
No condition	13,523 (86.3)	81,798 (50.3)	550,279 (87.0)	21,712 (26.4)	
Outcome description. $n$ (%)	, ( )	, , , ,	, , , ,	, , ,	0.343
Cure	10.842 (69.2)	107.159 (65.9)	393,360 (62,2)	47.141 (57.4)	
Death	412 (2.63)	3.542 (2.18)	46.871 (7.41)	15.256 (18.6)	
Failure	60 (0.38)	1.652 (1.02)	8.619 (1.36)	832 (1.01)	
Loss follow up	899 (5.74)	21,985 (13.5)	78,524 (12.4)	3,932 (4.79)	
Relapse	591 (3.77)	1,708 (1.05)	12,801 (2.02)	3,718 (4.53)	
Transferred out	1,220 (7.79)	10,143 (6.24)	39,130 (6.19)	4,558 (5.55)	
Outcome, n (%)	· · · · ·	, - \- /	, - \ /	, <u>(</u> )	0.113
Unfavorable	3,182 (22.7)	39,030 (26.7)	185,945 (32.1)	28,296 (37.5)	
Favorable	10 842 (77 3)	107 159 (73 3)	393 360 (67 9)	47 141 (62 5)	

Bold font indicates statistical significance. Data are shown as number and frequency (percentage). Data were compared between years using the Pearson's  $\chi^2$ trend test. Age Groups: Children (0–9.9 years); young (10–24.9 years); adults (25–64.9 years); elderly ( $\geq$ 65 years).

DOT, directly observed treatment; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; TB, Tuberculosis; PTB, Pulmonary Tuberculosis; EPTB, Extrapulmonary Tuberculosis. Others comorbidities: It did not include hypertension, kidney disease, cancer, and COPD.



of people reporting at least one previous episode of TB (20.5%,  $\chi^2$  trend p < 0.008) among the four age groups evaluated in this study, as well as a higher proportion of persons living with HIV (PLWH) (13.9%,  $\chi^2$  trend *p* < 0.003), alcohol consumption (20.7%,  $\chi^2$ trend *p* < 0.001), and smoking (13.9%,  $\chi^2$ trend *p* < 0.001; Figure 2A). As expected, the frequency of literate people was significantly different between subgroups, given that the first subgroup consisted of children mainly of preschool age ( $\chi^2$ trend p < 0.008; Table 2). Children also had the lowest percentage of PTB (68.3%,  $\chi^2$  trend p < 0.004) and the highest proportion of EPTB among the age groups of the study (27.3%; Supplementary Figure 1). Consistent with this result, children also had a higher percentage of chest X-rays considered clinically normal (14.8%,  $\chi^2$ trend p = 0.012), in addition to a higher frequency of negative sputum smears (19.1%,  $\chi^2$  trend p < 0.001), negative TB culture (6.87%,  $\chi^2$ trend p < 0.001) and lower detection of DM (0.31%,  $\chi^2$  trend *p* < 0.001, **Figure 2B**). Children also had a higher proportion of cure after treatment (69.22%,  $\chi^2$ trend p < 0.001, **Figure 2C**). Young people more frequently reported illicit drug use (11%,  $\chi^2$ trend p < 0.001, **Figure 2A**), while elderly individuals were more likely diagnosed with DM (16.7%,  $\chi^2$ trend p <0.001), hypertension (65.6%,  $\chi^2$ trend p < 0.001) and also had a higher mortality rate (18.6%,  $\chi^2$ trend p < 0.001; **Figures 2B,C**).

### Anti-TB Treatment Outcomes Over Time

Over time, the proportion of treatment outcomes did not differ substantially (**Table 1**), but there was some variability according to age group (**Figure 2C**,  $\chi^2$ trend p < 0.001). Younger patients had a higher proportion of cure. Among unfavorable outcomes (non-cure), loss to follow-up was more frequent in young and adults, while death was more frequent in the elderly (**Table 2** and **Figure 2C**). These outcomes were grouped as favorable and unfavorable (**Table 3** and **Supplementary Figure 2**). Except for children, the other groups of patients with non-cure had a higher percentage of HIV co-infection (**Figure 2D**). The most affected

#### **TABLE 3** | Population characteristics by categorical outcome.

	All	Unfavorable	Favorable		
Characteristics	<i>N</i> = 818,210	N = 257586	<i>N</i> = 560624	p-value	<i>p</i> δ-value
Female, <i>n</i> (%)	259,110 (31.7)	72269 (28.1)	186841 (33.3)		1
Age group, n (%)				0.241	0.5
Child	14,024 (1.71)	3,182 (1.23)	10,842 (1.93)		
Young	146,189 (17.87)	39,030 (12.15)	107,159 (19.11)		
Adult	559,305 (68.35)	185,945 (72.18)	373,360 (66.6)		
Elderly	75,437 (9.22)	28,296 (10.9)	47,141 (8.4)		
Ethnicity n (%)				0.593	1
Asian	6,223 (0.76)	1,802 (0.70)	4,421 (0.79)		
Black	106,135 (13.0)	37,494 (14.6)	68,641 (12.2)		
Indigenous	8,708 (1.06)	2,149 (0.83)	6,559 (1.17)		
Pardo	371,627 (45.4)	120,177 (46.7)	251,450 (44.9)		
White	262,253 (32.1)	74,681 (29.0)	187,572 (33.5)		
Literate, n (%)	445,662 (54.5)	125,377 (48.7)	320,285 (57.1)	0.294	$0 (\Delta = 0.14)$
HIV infection, n (%)	91,882 (11.2)	49,642 (19.3)	42,240 (7.53)	0.004	$0 (\Delta = 1.38)$
ART, n (%)	15,527 (16.9)	7,650 (15.41)	7,877 (18.64)	0.89	1
Alcohol consumption, n (%)	131,687 (16.0)	57,421 (22.3)	74,266 (13.2)	0.065	$0 (\Delta = 0.67)$
Illicit drug use, n (%)	68,557 (8.37)	32,511 (12.6)	36,046 (6.42)	0.3	$0 (\Delta = 0.08)$
Smoking habits, n (%)	88,922 (10.87)	34,522 (13.4)	54,396 (9.7)	0.449	1
Diabetes, n (%)	56,282 (6.88)	16,557 (6.43)	39,725 (7.09)	0.786	1
Smear positive, n (%)	428,296 (53.6)	123,759 (49.3)	304,537 (55.6)	0.453	1
Culture positive, n (%)	148,436 (18.1)	44,187 (17.2)	104,249 (18.6)	0.748	1
Abnormal X-ray, n (%)	612,756 (74.9)	194,509 (75.5)	418,247 (74.6)	1	1
TB Status, n (%)				0.014	$0 (\Delta = 1.02)$
New case	665,236 (81.3)	181,561 (70.5)	483,675 (86.3)		
Prior TB	150,310 (18.4)	74,248 (28.8)	76,062 (13.6)		
Treatment, n (%)				0.012	$0 (\Delta = 0.96)$
Received DOT	333,100 (40.7)	73,073 (28.4)	260,027 (46.4)		
No received DOT	320,530 (39.2)	108,547 (42.1)	211,983 (37.8)		
Type of TB				0.697	1
EPTB	105,523 (12.9)	31,243 (12.1)	74,280 (13.2)		
PTB	686,346 (83.9)	214,434 (83.2)	471,912 (84.2)		
PTB and EPTB	26,234 (3.21)	11,812 (4.59)	14,422 (2.57)		
Comorbidity, n (%)				0.88	1
Cancer	7,320 (0.89)	2,565 (1.00)	4,755 (0.85)		
COPD	1,301 (0.16)	640 (0.25)	661 (0.12)		
Hypertension	80,806 (9.88)	27,707 (10.8)	53,099 (9.47)		
Kidney disease	659 (0.08)	371 (0.14)	288 (0.05)		
Others	115,928 (14.2)	33,592 (13.0)	82,336 (14.7)		
No condition	612,173 (74.8)	192,703 (74.8)	419,470 (74.8)		

Bold font indicates statistical significance. Data are shown as number and frequency (percentage). Data were compared between years using the Pearson's  $\chi^2$ trend test. Age Groups: Children (0–9.9 years); young (10–24.9 years); adults (25–64.9 years); elderly ( $\geq$ 65 years).

DOT, directly observed treatment; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; TB, Tuberculosis; PTB, Pulmonary Tuberculosis; EPTB, Extrapulmonary Tuberculosis. Other comorbidities: did not include hypertension, kidney disease, cancer, and COPD.

group was adults (p = 0.013,  $p\delta = 0$ ), followed by young (p = 0.05,  $p\delta = 0$ ) and elderly people (p = 0.006,  $p\delta = 0$ ; **Figure 2D**). Adults and young people with an unfavorable outcome also had higher frequencies of prior TB cases (**Figure 2E**), both with p = 0.007 and  $p\delta = 0$ . In all age groups, the use of DOT was more frequent in patients with a favorable outcome (**Figure 2F**).

### **TB** in Children

Children diagnosed with TB between the years 2010 and 2019 maintained a similiar proportion of sex distribution over the years (**Table 1**), as well as the incidence per 100 thousand inhabitants, did not change significantly (**Figure 1B**). As in other age groups, the most common form of TB in children was PTB, but the frequency of EPTB was relatively high, representing



**FIGURE 3** [ Backward stepwise logistic regression model test independent associations between all the relevant clinical and epidemiological parameters and treatment outcome in children (0–9 years). The unfavorable outcome was used as reference to test associations. Only parameters which remained with p < 0.05 in in the adjusted model (95%CI: 95% confidence interval) were plotted. Adjustment was performed for each parameter: race (reference: indigenous); male (reference: female); illiterate(reference: literate); prior TB (reference: new case); no DOT (reference: Received DOT).Pulmonary TB (reference: Pulmonary and Extrapulmonary TB); Extrapulmonary TB (Reference: Pulmonary and Extrapulmonary TB); Pulmonary and Extrapulmonary TB (reference: no alcohol consumption); Diabetes (reference: no diabetes); Illicit drug use (reference: no illicit drug use); Smoking habit (reference: no smoking). Cancer (reference: no condition); COPD (reference: no condition); Kidney disease (reference: no condition); Hypertension (reference: no condition); Abnormal chest X-ray (reference: normal chest X-ray). TB, tuberculosis; DOT, directly observed treatment; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

27.3% of cases (**Supplementary Figure 1**). Children also had a low smear-positive rate (35.8%) being diagnosed by this method (**Supplementary Figure 1**). A binomial logistic regression analysis was performed to test independent associations between the parameters analyzed and treatment outcomes in children (**Figure 3**). We found that the unfavorable outcomes were increased in patients with prior TB (adjusted odds ratio [aOR]: 4.83, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 2.17–10.76, p < 0.001), in those who did not undergo DOT (aOR: 3.38, 95% CI: 1.88–6.08, p < 0.001) and in those who presented with simultaneously PTB-EPTB (aOR: 3.45, 95% CI: 1.3–9.14, p = 0.013).

# TB in the Young

Young patients diagnosed with TB between 2010 and 2019 showed a significant change ( $\chi^2$ trend *p*-value = 0.048) in the distribution by sex over the years (**Table 1** and **Figure 1B**). This change was characterized by a significant increase in TB incidence in men over the study period (MK-trend *p* = 0.0007), while the TB incidence in women remained relatively stable (MK-trend *p* = 0.751; **Figure 1B**). The most common (86.4%) form of TB in young patients was PTB, (**Supplementary Figure 1**) and a high positive smear rate was observed (76.9%, **Supplementary Figure 1**). Binomial logistic regression analysis showed results similar those in children, with prior TB (aOR: 3.17, 95%CI: 2.90–3.47, *p* < 0.001) and no DOT indication (aOR: 2.96, 95% CI: 2.76–3.17, *p* < 0.001) independently associated with unfavorable treatment outcome (**Figure 4**). Additional factors associated with unfavorable

outcomes were male (aOR: 1.18, 95%CI: 1.10–1.27, p < 0.001), illiteracy (aOR: 1.49, 95%CI: 1.31–1.69, p < 0.001), HIV infection (aOR: 2.69, 95%CI: 2.35–3.08, p < 0.001), illicit drug use (aOR: 1.99, 95%CI: 1.82–2.17, p < 0.001), smoking (aOR: 1.50, 95% CI: 1.36–1.65, p < 0.001), and kidney disease (aOR: 9.89, 95%CI: 1.66–59.11, p = 0.012).

# **TB in Adults**

Adults diagnosed with TB showed a similar proportion of men and women between 2010 to 2019 (Table 1), but in terms of incidence, there was a significant decrease only in male sex (women MK-trend p = 0.12; men MK-trend p =0.004) during the 10-year study period (Figure 1B). Similar to the rest of the population, the most common type of TB was pulmonary (86.5%, Supplementary Figure 1). In adults, the proportion of positive sputum was slightly lower than that found in young people, corresponding to 70.5% of the TB adult population (Supplementary Figure 1). The binomial logistic regression analysis showed similar results to children and young patients, with prior TB (aOR: 2.35, 95% CI: 2.26-2.44, p < 0.001) and no DOT (aOR: 2.29, 95% CI: 2.60–2.79, *p* < 0.001) independently associated with unfavorable outcome (Figure 5). As seen among children, the presence of both PTB-EPTB was associated with unfavorable outcomes (aOR: 1.17, 95%CI: 1.07-1.28, p < 0.001) and as in young people, the following factors were also associated with unfavorable outcomes: HIV infection (aOR: 2.42, 95%CI: 2.31–2.53, *p* < 0.001), illicit drug use (aOR: 1.94, 95%CI: 1.86–2.03, *p* < 0.001) smoking (aOR: 1.19, 95%CI:

Characteristics	model	Odds ratio (95% CI)		p-value
Page (White)	unadjusted		1.09 (0.97-1.22)	0.127
Race (winte)	adjusted	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	0.64 (0.47-0.88)	0.006
Mala	unadjusted		1.26 (1.23-1.29)	<0.001
Wale	adjusted	•	1.18 (1.10-1.27)	0.009
llitoroto	unadjusted	$\diamond$	1.73 (1.65-1.81)	<0.001
Interate	adjusted	H♠I	1.49 (1.31-1.69)	<0.001
Dries TD	unadjusted	. ♦	3.20 (3.11-3.30)	<0.001
PHOFIB	adjusted	<b>⊢</b> ♦–1	3.17 (2.90-3.47)	<0.001
	unadjusted	$\diamond$	1.92 (1.87-1.97)	<0.001
Nobol	adjusted	H∳H	2.96 (2.76-3.17)	<0.001
HIV infection	unadjusted	$\diamond$	4.42 (4.22-4.63)	<0.001
	adjusted	<b>⊢</b> ◆1	2.69 (2.35-3.08)	<0.001
	unadjusted	$\diamond$	2.53 (2.44-2.63)	<0.001
licit Drug Ose	adjusted	•	1.99 (1.82-2.17)	<0.001
Our a bin or hashifte	unadjusted	$\diamond$	2.01 (1.93-2.09)	<0.001
Smoking habits	adjusted	•	1.50 (1.36-1.65)	<0.001
Kidney	unadjusted		1.76 (1.21-2.77)	<0.001
disease	adjusted		●●● 9.89 (1.66-59.11)	0.012
	r O	1 2 3 4 5	- \\ 10	
		Association with unfavorable outcome		

**FIGURE 4** Backward stepwise logistic regression model test independent associations between all the relevant clinical and epidemiological parameters and treatment outcome in young group (10–24 years). The unfavorable outcome was used as reference to test associations. Only parameters which remained with *p* < 0.05 in in the adjusted model (95%CI: 95% confidence interval) were plotted. Adjustment was performed for each parameter: race (reference: indigenous); male (reference: female); illiterate (reference: literate); prior TB (reference: new case); no DOT (reference: Received DOT). Pulmonary TB (reference: Pulmonary and Extrapulmonary TB); Extrapulmonary TB (Reference: Pulmonary and Extrapulmonary TB); Extrapulmonary TB (Reference: no alcohol consumption); Diabetes (reference: no diabetes); Illicit drug use (reference: no condition); Alcohol consumption (reference: no condition); COPD (reference: no condition); Kidney disease (reference: no condition); Hypertension (reference: no condition); ODT) (reference: no condition); Kidney disease (reference: no condition); Hypertension (reference: no condition); COPD (reference: no condition); Kidney disease (reference: no condition); Hypertension (reference: no condition); COPD (reference: no condition); Kidney disease (reference: no condition); Hypertension (reference: no condition); COPD (reference: normal chest X-ray). TB, tuberculosis; DOT, directly observed treatment; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; Other comorbidities, did not include HAS, kidney disease, cancer, and COPD.

1.14–1.24, p < 0.001), and kidney disease (aOR: 3.11, 95%CI: 1.37–7.07, p = 0.007). Being male (aOR: 1.08, 95%CI: 1.04–1.13, p < 0.001), white (aOR: 0.64, 95%CI: 0.53–0.77, p < 0.001) black (aOR: 0.79, 95%CI: 0.64–0.97, p = 0.027), Asian (aOR: 0.62, 95%CI: 0.46–0.84, p = 0.002), pardo (aOR: 0.69, 95%CI: 0.56–0.85, p = 0.001), alcohol consumption (aOR: 1.41, 95%CI: 1.36–1.47, p < 0.001), illiteracy (aOR: 1.18, 95%CI: 1.13–1.24, p < 0.001), abnormal chest X-ray (aOR: 1.15, 95%CI: 1.05–1.15, p = 0.002), cancer (aOR: 1.33, 95%CI: 1.09–1.64, p = 0.006) or COPD (aOR: 1.78, 95%CI: 1.05–3.02, p = 0.032) were also significantly associated in this subpopulation.

# TB in Elderly

The changes concerning biological sex affected by TB in the elderly population between the years 2010 and 2019 showed a pattern similar to that found in the adult population, with little change in frequencies over the years (**Table 1**) but showed a significant decrease only in male sex with regard to TB

incidence per 100 thousand population (women MK-trend p = 0.121; men MK-trend p = 0.004, **Figure 1B**). The frequency of PTB in this population was very close to that found in young people and adults (84.4%, Supplementary Figure 1), but the proportion with smear-positive disease was considerably lower, at 62% (Supplementary Figure 1). Unlike other subpopulations, ethnicity was not associated with unfavorable outcome in elderly (Figure 6). However, factors such as prior TB (aOR: 1.60, 95%CI: 1.38–1.85, *p* < 0.001), HIV infection (aOR: 2.69, 95%CI: 1.99–3.64, *p* < 0.001), alcohol consumption (aOR: 1.22, 95%CI: 1.04–1.44, p = 0.015), illicit drugs use (aOR: 2.01, 95%CI: 1.31–3.08, *p* < 0.001) and smoking (aOR: 1.57, 95%CI: 1.38-1.79, p < 0.001), in addition to cancer (aOR: 1.69, 95%CI: 1.69–2.47, *p* = 0.007), COPD (aOR: 1.98, 95%CI: 1.28–3.05, p = 0.002), other comorbidities (aOR: 1.72, 95%CI: 1.34–2.19, p < 0.001) and no DOT (aOR: 1.80, 95%CI: 1.62–2.00, p < 0.001) were associated with unfavorable outcome.

Characteristics	model	Odds ratio (95% CI)		p-value
Race (White)	unadjusted	$\Leftrightarrow$	1.21 (1.13-1.30)	<0.001
	adjusted	<b>i∳i</b>	0.64 (0.52-0.79)	<0.001
Race (Black)	unadjusted	Ŕ	1.70 (1.58-1.82)	<0.001
	adjusted	<b>₩</b>	0.79 (0.64-0.97)	0.027
Paco (Asian)	unadjusted	$\diamond$	1.23 (1.12-1.35)	<0.001
Race (Asiali)	adjusted	♦↓	0.62 (0.46-0.84)	0.002
Race (Pardo)	unadjusted	$\bowtie$	1.47 (1.38-1.58)	<0.001
	adjusted	•	0.69 (0.56-0.85)	0.001
N# - 1 -	unadjusted	$\diamond$	1.30 (1.28-1.31)	<0.001
Male	adjusted		1.08 (1.04-1.13)	<0.001
11:4 4 -	unadjusted	$\diamond$	1.22 (1.20-1.24)	<0.001
interate	adjusted	•	1.18 (1.13-1.24)	<0.001
D	unadjusted	$\diamond$	2.61 (2.58-2.64)	<0.001
Prior IB	adjusted	•	2.35 (2.26-2.44)	<0.001
	unadjusted	$\diamond$	2.69 (2.60-2.79)	<0.001
	adjusted	•	1.84 (1.82-1.87)	<0.001
Dulmanama TD	unadjusted	$\diamond$	0.55 (0.53-0.57)	<0.001
Pulmonary IB	adjusted	•	0.87 (0.79-0.95)	0.002
Extranulmenery TP	unadjusted	$\diamond$	0.49 (0.48-0.51)	<0.001
Extrapulitionary TB	adjusted	•	0.80 (0.71-0.90)	<0.001
Pulmonary and	unadjusted	$\diamond$	1.82 (1.77-1.87)	<0.001
Extrapulmonary TB	adjusted	•	1.17 (1.08-1.28)	<0.001
HIV infaction	unadjusted	$\diamond$	3.58 (3.52-3.63)	<0.001
	adjusted	•	2.42 (2.31-2.53)	<0.001
Alcohol	unadjusted	$\diamond$	2.00 (1.97-2.02)	<0.001
consumption	adjusted	•	1.41 (1.36-1.47)	<0.001
	unadjusted	$\diamond$	2.48 (2.43-2.52)	<0.001
liicit Drug Use	adjusted	•	1.94 (1.86-2.03)	<0.001
0	unadjusted	$\diamond$	1.55 (1.53-1.58)	<0.001
Smoking habits	adjusted	•	1.19 (1.14-1.24)	<0.001
Canaar	unadjusted	$\diamond$	1.06 (0.99-1.12)	0.083
Cancer	adjusted	<b>•</b> ••	1.33 (1.09-1.64)	0.006
COPD	unadjusted	$\mapsto$	1.85 (1.54-2.22)	<0.001
	adjusted		1.78 (1.05-3.02)	0.032
Kidney	unadjusted		2.76 (2.17-3.52)	<0.001
disease	adjusted	<b>⊢</b> →	► 3.11 (1.37-7.07)	0.007
Abnormal	unadjusted	$\diamond$	1.09 (1.06-1.12)	<0.001
chest x-ray	adjusted	•	1.15 (1.05-1.25)	0.002

Association with unfavorable outcome

FIGURE 5 | Backward stepwise logistic regression model test independent associations between all the relevant clinical and epidemiological parameters and treatment outcome in adult group (25–64). The unfavorable outcome was used as reference to test associations. Only parameters which remained with p < 0.05 in in the adjusted model (95%CI: 95% confidence interval) were plotted. Adjustment was performed for each parameter: race (reference: indigenous); male (reference: female); illiterate(reference: literate); prior TB (reference: new case); no DOT (reference: DOT indication).Pulmonary TB (reference: Pulmonary and Extrapulmonary TB); Extrapulmonary TB (Reference: Pulmonary and Extrapulmonary TB); Pulmonary and Extrapulmonary TB (reference: Pulmonary TB); HIV infection (reference: without *(Continued)*)

FIGURE 5 | HIV infection); Alcohol Consumption (reference: no alcohol consumption); Diabetes (reference: no diabetes); Illicit drug use (reference: no illicit drug use); Smoking habit (reference: no smoking). Cancer (reference: no condition); COPD (reference: no condition); Kidney disease (reference: no condition); Hypertension (reference: no condition); Other comorbidities (reference: no condition); Abnormal chest X-ray (reference: normal chest X-ray). TB, tuberculosis; DOT, directly observed treatment; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

Characteristics	model	Odds ratio (95% CI)		p-value
Brian TB	unadjusted	$\diamond$	1.75 (1.68-1.83)	<0.001
	adjusted	₩1	1.60 (1.38-1.85)	<0.001
	unadjusted	$\diamond$	1.54 (1.49-1.60)	<0.001
	adjusted	•	1.80 (1.62-2.00)	<0.001
HIV infection	unadjusted	Ŕ	3.11 (2.82-3.44)	<0.001
	adjusted	<b>⊢</b> ♦−−−−1	2.69 (1.99-3.64)	<0.001
Alcohol	unadjusted	$\diamond$	1.57 (1.49-1.65)	<0.001
consumption	adjusted	•	1.22 (1.04-1.44)	0.015
Ilicit Drugs Use	unadjusted	Ŕ	1.53 (1.27-1.86)	<0.001
	adjusted	<b>⊢</b>	2.01 (1.31-3.08)	<0.001
	unadjusted	$\diamond$	1.54 (1.46-1.62)	<0.001
Smoking habits	adjusted	<b>I∳</b> I	1.57 (1.38-1.79)	<0.001
•	unadjusted	$\Diamond$	1.32 (1.20-1.46)	<0.001
Cancer	adjusted	<b>⊢♦</b> −−−1	1.69 (1.16-2.47)	0.007
0000	unadjusted	$\mapsto$	1.86 (1.61-2.14)	<0.001
COPD	adjusted	<b>⊢</b> →	1.98 (1.28-3.05)	0.002
Others	unadjusted	ĸ	1.69 (1.57-1.82)	<0.001
Comorbidities	adjusted	<b>⊢♦</b> −1	1.72 (1.34-2.19)	<0.001

Association with unfavorable outcome

**FIGURE 6** Backward stepwise logistic regression model test independent associations between all the relevant clinical and epidemiological parameters and treatment outcome in elderly group ( $\geq$ 65 years). The unfavorable outcome was used as reference to test associations. Only parameters which remained with *p* < 0.05 in in the adjusted model (95%CI: 95% confidence interval) were plotted. Adjustment was performed for each parameter: race (reference: indigenous); male (reference: female); illiterate(reference: literate); prior TB (reference: new case); no DOT (reference: DOT indication); Pulmonary TB (reference: Pulmonary and Extrapulmonary TB); Extrapulmonary TB (Reference: Pulmonary and Extrapulmonary TB); Pulmonary and Extrapulmonary TB (reference: Pulmonary and Extrapulmonary TB); Pulmonary and Extrapulmonary TB (reference: no illicit drug use); Smoking habit (reference: no smoking). Cancer (reference: no condition); COPD (reference: no condition); Kidney disease (reference: no condition); Hypertension (reference: no condition); Other comorbidities (reference: no condition); Abnormal chest X-ray (reference: normal chest X-ray). TB, tuberculosis; DOT, directly observed treatment; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; Other comorbidities: It did not include HAS, kidney disease, cancer, and COPD.

# DISCUSSION

In the present study, we investigated the epidemiologic characteristics of TB in the Brazilian population between 2010 and 2019 through data from SINAN. We assessed favorable and unfavorable outcomes as well as the factors associated with each. We focused on identifying the specific risk factors for unfavorable outcomes in each age group, aiming to provide more detailed information for targeted interventions in each group. Our results highlight the importance of DOT for success of TB treatment and encourages the amplification of this strategy in the country, as has been recommend by WHO since 1993. DOT was associated with a considerable increase in favorable outcomes in all studied age groups.

We evaluated the population characteristics of TB cases over a 10-year period. The TB incidence rate in Brazil remained high, and of the cases reported between 2010 and 2019 most were male (2:1), adults, and self-reported as *pardo*. This profile is similar to that observed in previous years in Brazil (15). The decrease in the incidence reported between the years 2011–2016 suggests that there was a positive impact of the expansion of public policies leading to an economic incentive, since patients who received cash transfer from governmental programs were about 7% more likely to have a favorable TB treatment outcome (16). In addition, the increase in incidence recorded between the years 2017–2018 corresponds to the end of the implementation of GeneXpert, resulting in a greater use of this as a TB diagnosis strategy, which was between 2013 and 2017, thus being an effective strategy for the optimizing diagnosis even when sputum smear is negative.

As also found in previous years, substance use (alcohol, tobacco, and illicit drugs) was commonly reported in the studied population, but there was a significant increase throughout the years. The increase in the prevalence of tobacco smoking in this population is a surprising finding, as it is in the opposite direction of the general population in Brazil (17). Conversely, illicit drug use seemed to follow the national trend of increasing over the years. Although there is no information about which specific drugs were responsible for our findings, national surveys have demonstrated an increase in the use of marijuana and cocaine in the Brazilian population in the past decade. The use of these drugs is particularly prevalent and growing in young males, which is compatible with the findings of the present study (18-20). This is an important finding in the young population, particularly since we also found that substance use was significantly associated with unfavorable TB treatment outcomes. Moreover, previous studies have shown that the use of these substances are also risk factors for developing TB (17, 21, 22).

Another interesting finding was that the prevalence of DM in our population was similar to the general population (23). Although there has been an increase in DM prevalence in Brazil in recent years, the increase was not statistically significant in our study population (24, 25). One of the reasons for the relatively low prevalence of DM in our study could be the fact that many cases of DM reported in SINAN are self-reported. A systematic review of DM prevalence in Brazil has shown that studies that used complex diagnoses (self-reported and laboratory investigation) of DM have found a much higher prevalence than studies that relied solely on self-report. Of note, Brazilian TB treatment guidelines suggest but do not mandate DM testing in TB patients (as with HIV testing is, following WHO recommendation) (6). Emphasis on the importance of detecting DM is important to reduce the underdiagnosis of DM.

The proportion of TB cases with positive cultures increased over time. This may be due to greater access to the test and to technical improvements. As an example, a study performed in a state reference laboratory found an increase of 61.5% in the positive results for Mtb after the implementation of a semiautomated procedure (26). It is possible that health professionals may be more aware of the importance of performing cultures for all TB cases. Another hypothesis pertains to the recommendation to perform universal culture and DST on all presumed TB cases, made by WHO and followed by the Brazilian MoH after 2015. It is worth noting that, despite the improvement, the access to Mtb culture in Brazil is still far from ideal. In 2019, only 24% of patients with new cases of TB had cultures performed (12), which likely means that many patients treated for TB did not have TB. As expected, our analysis found that the number of positive cultures in children was low compared to the other age groups, which is likely due to the difficulty in collecting sputum (27), and that children often have paucibacillary disease, with a higher percentage of nodular lesions and fewer cavitary lung lesions. Another important observation was that children had a higher frequency of EPTB and a higher frequency of both PTB and EPTB, possibly due to immunological immaturity, which can contribute to the hematogenous spread of the disease in children.

TB is one of the most common opportunistic infections in persons living with HIV (PLWH) and the main cause of death in this population (28). The prevalence of HIV/TB co-infection has declined between 2010 and 2019 worldwide, with rates of co-infection decreasing from 1.7 million to about 900 thousand people (1). In Brazil, the Ministry of Health (MoH) has reported an increase in HIV diagnosis, but a reduction in the number of AIDS cases and deaths related to HIV. Our study also found a significant reduction in the cases of HIV/TB co-infection, but HIV infection was significantly associated with a greater number of unfavorable outcomes in all age groups, except for children.

The decline of HIV/TB follows the reduction in AIDS cases in Brazil, which is a reflection of the expansion in HIV diagnosis, HIV prevention efforts, as well as access to treatment. Since 2013, ART has been offered to all PLWH, regardless of CD4 count (29).In 2017, Brazil began providing dolutegravir (DTG), a very effective antiretroviral with fewer side effects, as part of the first line scheme. As a result, in 2018, 86% of PLWH knew their status, 67% were on ART and 60% were virally suppressed (29). The reduction in HIV/TB cases and the higher proportion of people on ART are important factors explaining the decrease in TB mortality rate noted in our analysis and reinforces the essential role of HIV care policies in the control of TB.

Interestingly there was no difference in treatment outcomes among the age groups, but there were risk factors for unfavorable outcomes specific for each age group; knowing them is essential to guide public health interventions (4). For example, it was noted in our analysis that, in disagreement with all the other curves evaluated by age that showed a reduction or stabilization, the number of young men with TB significantly increased over recent years. This subpopulation has a social risk behavior associated with a higher prevalence of illicit drug use, and once diagnosed with TB, young people have difficulty staying in care. Thus, the young age group is an important target for public health measures and knowing detailed information about them is key.

Finally, we have evaluated patient characteristics according to treatment outcomes. Along the years studied, there was an increase in unfavorable outcomes, mainly caused by higher rates of treatment failure. After adjustment for confounders alcohol consumption, illicit drug use, tobacco smoking, HIV infection, kidney disease, prior TB, and having PTB-EPTB were associated with unfavorable outcomes. First, our results highlight the already known strong connection of TB and social factors, such as race and substance use (30, 31). It is not within the scope of this work to detail the reasons why these characteristics affect TB outcome, but factors such as adherence to therapy, access to care and time to diagnosis may be related and need to be further investigated. Comorbidities like HIV (32) and kidney disease have previously been shown to be associated with worse outcomes (33, 34). Surprisingly, DM was not found to affect treatment outcomes, and our hypothesis for this was discussed earlier. Having PTB-EPTB and previous episodes have previously been shown to be associated with worse outcomes (35). The first may be due to the greater difficulty of treatment (35) as well as disease severity, while the second could be due to patient non-adherence, or an increased risk of drug resistance in TB relapse cases.

This study had some limitations. It is unclear whether reporting of information was uniform throughout the country. There was a high level of under-reporting for several variables in the questionnaire. In addition, when assessing patient outcomes, 2019 was incomplete since some patients were still in follow-up. It is important to know that, with exception of name, ethnicity, age, HIV-infection, and more "clinical" variables, other fields such as consumption habits and literacy presented a considerable number of missing data.

With the above limitations noted, a study of this magnitude is extremely important to provide a national view of TB in Brazil over the past decade. This allowed us to investigate not only how public policies applied during that time period influenced the rates and treatment outcomes of TB patients in the country, but also to identify interventions that might improve TB treatment outcomes. Increased implementation of DOT in Brazil across all age groups would likely improve TB treatment outcomes in the country.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: the data was extracted from the public database: DataSUS (TABNET)—http://tabnet. datasus.gov.br (in Brazilian Portuguese).

### **ETHICS STATEMENT**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Comitê de Ética em Pesquisa, Instituto Gonçalo Moniz, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil. Written informed consent from the participants' legal guardian/next of

### REFERENCES

- 1. World Health Organization. Global Tuberculosis Report 2020. (2020). 232 p.
- Cowger TL, Wortham JM, Burton DC. Epidemiology of tuberculosis among children and adolescents in the USA, 2007-17: an analysis of national surveillance data. *Lancet Public Health.* (2019) 4:e506–e16. doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(19) 30134-3
- Sulis G, Roggi A, Matteelli A, Raviglione MC. Tuberculosis: epidemiology and control. *Mediterr J Hematol Infect Dis.* (2014) 6:e2014070. doi: 10.4084/mjhid.2014.070
- 4. Martins-Melo FR, Bezerra JMT, Barbosa DS, Carneiro M, Andrade KB, Ribeiro ALP, et al. The burden of tuberculosis and attributable risk factors in Brazil, 1990-2017: results from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Popul Health Metrics.* (2020) 18:10. doi: 10.1186/s12963-020-0 0203-6
- 5. Bunyasi EW, Mulenga H, Luabeya AKK, Shenje J, Mendelsohn SC, Nemes E, et al. Regional changes in tuberculosis disease burden

kin was not required to participate in this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

BB-D, MA-P, MA, LS, MSR, VN, AS, and BA contributed to conception and design of the study. BB-D, MA-P, MA, MMSR, AQ, TS, and BA performed the data curation. BB-D, MA-P, and MA processed and analyzed the data, and worked on data visualization. BB-D, MA-P, MA, BN, TS, and BA wrote the first draft of the manuscript. MC-S and AK revised and contributed to the structuring of the article. TS and BA supervised the research. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version of the manuscript.

# FUNDING

This study was supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH U01 AI069923 and NIAID 1 P30AI110527-03), CCASAnet, RePORT-Brazil Tennessee Center for AIDS Research (TNCFAR), BB-D and MA-P received a research fellowship from the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) (Finance code: 001). MA received a fellowship from the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa da Bahia (FAPESB). The work of BA is supported by the Intramural Research Program of the Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (FIOCRUZ) and the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq), Brazil.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Mrs. Elze Leite (FIOCRUZ, Brazil) for logistics and administrative support.

### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed. 2021.706689/full#supplementary-material

among adolescents in South Africa (2005-2015). *PLoS One.* (2020) 15:e0235206. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0235206

- Ministério da Saúde do Brasil. Manual de Recomendações Para o Controle da Tuberculose no Brasil. (2013). 288 p.
- 7. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Core Curriculum on Tuberculosis:* What the Clinician Should Know (2014).
- Ministério da Saúde do Brasil, Secretaria de Vigilância em Saúde. Sistema de Informação de Agravos de Notificação (2020).
- de Onis M, Onyango AW, Borghi E, Siyam A, Nishida C, Siekmann J. Development of a WHO growth reference for schoolaged children and adolescents. *Bull World Health Organ.* (2007) 85:660–7. doi: 10.2471/BLT.07.043497
- 10. World Health Organization. Adolescent health in the South-East Asia Region (2020).
- 11. Singh Bajorek B. Defining 'elderly' clinical S, in guidelines Pharm practice pharmacotherapy. Pract for (Granada). (2014)12:489. doi: 10.4321/S1886-365520140004 00007

- 12. Ministério da Saúde do Brasil, Secretaria de Vigilância em Saúde. *Boletim Epidemiológico Tuberculose* (2020).
- Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística. Projeções da população: Brasil e unidades da federação: revisão 2018. (2018). 57 p.
- Araujo NCN, Cruz CMS, Arriaga MB, Cubillos-Angulo JM, Rocha MS, Silveira-Mattos PS, et al. Determinants of losses in the latent tuberculosis cascade of care in Brazil: a retrospective cohort study. *Int J Infect Dis.* (2020) 93:277–83. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2020.02.015
- Augusto CJ, Carvalho Wda S, Goncalves AD, Ceccato M, Miranda SS. Characteristics of tuberculosis in the state of Minas Gerais, Brazil: 2002-2009. *J Bras Pneumol.* (2013) 39:357–64. doi: 10.1590/S1806-37132013000300013
- Ministério da Saúde do Brasil, Secretaria de Vigilância em Saúde. Manual de Recomendações Para o Controle da Tuberculose no Brasil. (2019). 288 p.
- Malta DC, Silva AGD, Machado IE, Sa A, Santos FMD, Prates EJS, et al. Trends in smoking prevalence in all Brazilian capitals between 2006 and 2017. J Bras Pneumol. (2019) 45:e20180384. doi: 10.1590/1806-3713/e20180384
- Fischer B, Malta M, Messas G, Ribeiro M. Introducing the evidence-based population health tool of the Lower-Risk Cannabis Use Guidelines to Brazil. *Braz J Psychiatry*. (2019) 41:550–5. doi: 10.1590/1516-4446-2018-0239
- Abdalla RR, Madruga CS, Ribeiro M, Pinsky I, Caetano R, Laranjeira R. Prevalence of cocaine use in Brazil: data from the II Brazilian national alcohol and drugs survey (BNADS). *Addict Behav.* (2014) 39:297– 301. doi: 10.1016/j.addbeh.2013.10.019
- Jungerman FS, Menezes PR, Pinsky I, Zaleski M, Caetano R, Laranjeira R. Prevalence of cannabis use in Brazil: data from the I Brazilian National Alcohol Survey (BNAS). *Addict Behav.* (2010) 35:190–3. doi: 10.1016/j.addbeh.2009.09.022
- Amere GA, Nayak P, Salindri AD, Narayan KMV, Magee MJ. Contribution of smoking to tuberculosis incidence and mortality in high-tuberculosis-burden countries. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2018) 187:1846–55. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwy081
- Imtiaz S, Shield KD, Roerecke M, Samokhvalov AV, Lonnroth K, Rehm J. Alcohol consumption as a risk factor for tuberculosis: meta-analyses and burden of disease. *Eur Respir J.* (2017) 50:1700216. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00216-2017
- Telo GH, Cureau FV, de Souza MS, Andrade TS, Copes F, Schaan BD. Prevalence of diabetes in Brazil over time: a systematic review with metaanalysis. *Diabetol Metab Syndr*. (2016) 8:65. doi: 10.1186/s13098-016-0181-1
- 24. Calderon RI, Arriaga MB, Lopez K, Barreda NN, Sanabria OM, Froes Neto JF, et al. High prevalence and heterogeneity of Dysglycemia in patients with tuberculosis from Peru: a prospective cohort study. *BMC Infect Dis.* (2019) 19:799. doi: 10.1186/s12879-019-4416-2
- Barreda NN, Arriaga MB, Aliaga JG, Lopez K, Sanabria OM, Carmo TA, et al. Severe pulmonary radiological manifestations are associated with a distinct biochemical profile in blood of tuberculosis patients with dysglycemia. *BMC Infect Dis.* (2020) 20:139. doi: 10.1186/s12879-020-4843-0
- Costa AF, Alves SLA, Santana IG, Dias DXR, Manrique EJC. Increase in the diagnosis of mycobacteremia after the implementation of semi-automated blood culture at a Brazilian public health laboratory. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop.* (2019) 52:e20180267. doi: 10.1590/0037-8682-0267-2018

- Burroughs M, Beitel A, Kawamura A, Revai K, Ricafort R, Chiu K, et al. Clinical presentation of tuberculosis in culture-positive children. Pediatric Tuberculosis Consortium. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* (1999) 18:440– 6. doi: 10.1097/00006454-199905000-00008
- 28. World Health Organization. Tuberculosis and HIV. (2020).
- 29. UNAIDS. The Gap Report. (2014). 422 p.
- Duarte R, Lonnroth K, Carvalho C, Lima F, Carvalho ACC, Munoz-Torrico M, et al. Tuberculosis, social determinants and co-morbidities (including HIV). *Pulmonology*. (2018) 24:115–9. doi: 10.1016/j.rppnen.2017.11.003
- Hargreaves JR, Boccia D, Evans CA, Adato M, Petticrew M, Porter JD. The social determinants of tuberculosis: from evidence to action. *Am J Public Health*. (2011) 101:654–62. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2010.199505
- 32. Arriaga MB, Amorim G, Queiroz ATL, Rodrigues MMS, Araujo-Pereira M, Nogueira BMF, et al. Novel stepwise approach to assess representativeness of a large multicenter observational cohort of tuberculosis patients: the example of RePORT Brazil. Int J Infect Dis. (2021) 103:110– 8. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2020.11.140
- Baghaei P, Marjani M, Tabarsi P, Moniri A, Rashidfarrokhi F, Ahmadi F, et al. Impact of chronic renal failure on anti-tuberculosis treatment outcomes. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis.* (2014) 18:352–6. doi: 10.5588/ijtld.13.0726
- Cheng KC, Liao KF, Lin CL, Liu CS, Lai SW. Chronic kidney disease correlates with increased risk of pulmonary tuberculosis before initiating renal replacement therapy: a cohort study in Taiwan. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. (2018) 97:e12550. doi: 10.1097/MD.000000000012550
- 35. Prado TN, Rajan JV, Miranda AE, Dias ED, Cosme LB, Possuelo LG, et al. Clinical and epidemiological characteristics associated with unfavorable tuberculosis treatment outcomes in TB-HIV co-infected patients in Brazil: a hierarchical polytomous analysis. *Braz J Infect Dis.* (2017) 21:162–70. doi: 10.1016/j.bjid.2016.11.006

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Barreto-Duarte, Araújo-Pereira, Nogueira, Sobral, Rodrigues, Queiroz, Rocha, Nascimento, Souza, Cordeiro-Santos, Kritski, Sterling, Arriaga and Andrade. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.