

Plasma advanced oxidation products as an additional tool in assessment of post-infarction heart failure

Journal of International Medical Research 2022, Vol. 50(12) I–14 © The Author(s) 2022 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/03000605221139711 journals.sagepub.com/home/imr



Tatjana Cvetkovic^{1,2}, Sandra Saric³, Nikola Stefanovic⁴, Vladana Stojiljkovic^{1,2}, Branka Djordjevic¹, Dijana Stojanovic⁵, Mina Cvetkovic⁶ and Marina Deljanin Ilic^{3,7}

Abstract

Objective: To define which oxidative stress markers could be used as diagnostic tools in the assessment of post-infarction heart failure (HF).

Methods: This observational study enrolled patients with HF that were divided into three subgroups (ejection fraction [EF] \geq 50%; EF 40–49%; EF < 40%) and age- and sex-matched healthy control subjects. The plasma concentrations of advanced oxidation protein products (AOPP), thiobarbituric acid reactive substances, catalase activity and free thiols were determined in all participants.

Results: The study enrolled 81 patients with HF and 68 healthy control subjects. There were significant differences in the values of oxidative stress markers between patients and controls. Oxidative stress parameters did not differ between the subgroups of patients, except for AOPP, which was significantly higher in the EF < 40% group. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses showed an association between AOPP and HF in the EF \geq 50% group, while receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis identified a cut-off value of 60.89 µmol/l for AOPP. **Conclusions:** Based on the ROC curve analysis of AOPP and the higher significance in the multivariate analyses for patients with EF \geq 50%, these current results suggest that AOPP could be a useful additional tool in the assessment of post-infarction HF.

	⁵ Institute of Pathophysiology, Medical Faculty, University of Nis, Nis, Serbia
¹ Institute of Biochemistry, Medical Faculty, University of	⁶ Institute of Cardiology, Deutsches Herzzentrum Berlin,
Nis, Nis, Serbia	Berlin, Germany
² Centre of Medical and Clinical Biochemistry, University	⁷ Department of Internal Medicine, Medical Faculty,
Clinical Centre, Nis, Serbia	University of Nis, Nis, Serbia
³ Institute for Treatment and Rehabilitation "Niska Banja",	Corresponding author:
Nis, Serbia	Vladana Stojiljkovic, Medical Faculty, University of Nis, Bul.
⁴ Department of Pharmacy, Medical Faculty, University of	Dr Zorana Djindjica 81, 18000 Nis, Serbia.
Nis, Nis, Serbia	Email: vladana93@hotmail.com

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

Keywords

Post-infarction heart failure, ejection fraction, advanced oxidation protein products, oxidative stress

Date received: 3 August 2022; accepted: 1 November 2022

Introduction

The most common cause of heart failure (HF) is myocardial infarction (MI) despite the development and advances in both diagnostics and treatment.¹ The aetiology of most cases of HF is increased oxidative stress and the subsequent changes in cardiomyocyte function.^{2,3} Clinical and experimental studies have shown that oxidative damage is a key pathophysiological event in post-infarction chronic HF,⁴ while the heart muscle itself is an important source of free radical production.⁵ The most important breaking point is the moment of reperfusion of the ischaemic tissue, when a second wave of myocardial damage occurs, due to the production of reactive oxidative species.⁶ Free radicals damage the heart tissue through the process of lipid peroxidation, oxidative modification of proteins and DNA, which leads to decreased cardiac contractility, reduced ion transport and calcium cycle disorders.⁷ High metabolic activity of cardiomyocytes determines the possibility of intensive production of free radicals.⁸ The resulting oxidative stress leads to changes in gene expression and cell death, which causes a more intensive process of myocardial remodelling and impairment of heart function, and leads to progression of disease.³

When a MI occurs, cardiomyocyte death triggers repair mechanisms that include scar formation and ventricular remodelling.⁹ Ventricular remodelling is characterized by thinning of the chamber walls, changes in its geometry and even further cardiomyocyte loss.¹⁰ Since the myocardium consists of both extracellular matrix and cardiomyocytes, the remodelling process occurs in both tissue components.¹¹ The heart muscle cells go through apoptosis, and the rest of the cardiomyocytes usually respond to ischaemia by becoming hypertrophic, whereas the extracellular matrix usually degrades and fibrosis makes up for its loss.^{12,13} There are various risk factors that can increase the possibility of developing HF after MI. For example, age is the most dominant, since an increase of 10 years can increase the risk of post-infarction HF by up to 50%.¹⁴ Other risk factors are female sex, hypertension and diabetes mellitus.¹⁴ It is yet to be determined, however, whether the ischaemia or reperfusion plays a more dominant role in the complex remodelling process and development of HF with preserved ejection fraction (EF).15

Advanced oxidation protein products (AOPP), which are plasma proteins that are oxidized by exposure to reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the bloodstream, were first identified in uraemia.¹⁶ However, as a consequence of their ability to cause endothelial injury, and even possibly cardiomyocyte apoptosis, it is quite evident that they could be involved in the development of cardiac damage.^{16,17} AOPP can also directly trigger an oxidative burst in neutrophils and monocytes.¹⁸ Together with other free radicals, this might be a signal for nuclear factor kappa B activation, leading to atherogenesis, partially by oxidative modification of the low-density lipoprotein (LDL) particle, leukocyte attraction, and proliferation of smooth muscle cells.¹⁹

Ejection fraction, as an important parameter of myocardial function, if reduced, can lead to poor nutrition and potentially greater susceptibility to peripheral tissue damage.²⁰ It is possible to differentiate patients with HF into those with preserved and reduced EF.²¹

The aim of this current study was to define which of the following oxidative stress variables, AOPP, thiobarbituric reactive substances in plasma (TBARS_P) or red blood cells (TBARS_{RBC}), catalase (CAT) activity and free thiols, can be used as diagnostic tools in the assessment of post-infarction HF.

Patients and methods

Study population

This observational study enrolled consecutive patients diagnosed with symptomatic post-infarction HF in the Department of Functional Diagnostics, Institute for Treatment and Rehabilitation "Niska Banja", Nis, Serbia between January 2019 and June 2019. Healthy volunteers without HF were enrolled while attending the Institute for Treatment and Rehabilitation for routine examinations over the same time frame and were age- and sex-matched to the patient group. The exclusion criteria for the participation in the study were as follows: (i) presence of malignant disease; (ii) acute infectious; and (iii) autoimmune disease.

Heart failure was defined according to universal definition of HF as a clinical syndrome caused by a structural or functional cardiac abnormality, with elevated natriuretic peptide (BNP) levels and pulmonary/ systemic congestion.²² The patients with HF were further divided into three subgroups according to the EF: HFpEF – HF with preserved EF (\geq 50%); HFmrEF – HF with moderately preserved EF (40–49%); and HFrEF – HF with reduced EF (<40%). All patients underwent two-dimensional transthoracic echocardiography using an ACUSON Sequoia 256 ultrasound system (Siemens Healthcare GmbH, Erlangen, Germany) and a 2.5 MHz probe. The data were analysed according to current American Echocardiography Association guidelines.²³

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committees of the Institute for Treatment and Rehabilitation "Niska Banja", Nis, Serbia (approval no. 4574/1) and the Faculty of Medicine, University of Nis, Nis, Serbia (approval no. 6558). The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the instructions of good clinical practice. Written informed consent for participation in the study was obtained from all study participants.

Blood collection and biochemical analyses

A venous blood sample was taken from each participant after an overnight fast. The venous punction was performed using a standard procedure on the cubital veins and blood was collected into closed system vacuum containers. Potassium ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid was used as an anticoagulant agent for complete blood count analyses. The complete blood count was determined using a Celltac MEK-6510K automatic analyser (Nihon Kohden, Tokyo, Japan). A regular serum tube with gel separator was used to gather blood for the rest of the analyses performed. The blood samples were centrifugated at 2000g for 10 min at 4°C using a Hettich Universal 320R centrifuge (Andreas Hettich GmbH, Tuttlingen, Germany) in order to separate the sera and plasma. Routine biochemical parameters and lipid profiles were determined immediately using standard biochemical methods on an AU680 Clinical Chemistry Analyser (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA). BNP levels were determined by chemiluminescent microparticle micro assay using an ARCHITECT i2000SR immunoassay analyser (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL, USA). Plasma and serum samples were stored at -70°C until further analysis.

Data collection

Patient data were collected from a survey that each patient was asked complete. Medical history data were also collected from their patient records at the Institute for Treatment and Rehabilitation "Niska Banja", Nis, Serbia. Patients were selected from a group admitted for post-infarction rehabilitation during 2019. The healthy control subjects completed the same survey as the patients. Being healthy volunteers, they did not have medical history data to have collected. All participant data were deidentified to ensure anonymity.

Determination of TBARS_P and TBARS_{RBC}

The lipid peroxidation products were determined indirectly via the products of the lipid peroxidation reaction with thiobarbituric acid (TBA). TBARS_P was determined using a previously reported method.²⁴ In brief, 0.3 ml of plasma and FeSO₄ were used to increase the sensitivity. The optical density (OD) was measured at 535 nm using a Thermo ScientificTM MultiskanTM FC Microplate Photometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Vantaa, Finland), recalculated as $1.56 \times 105 \,\mathrm{M^{-1} \, cm^{-1}}$ and expressed in µmol/1.24 TBARS_{RBC} was determined using a previously reported method.²⁵ In brief, 0.4 ml of washed RBC were resuspended in 1.6 ml of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). Then 1 ml of 30% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) was added and the mixture incubated on ice for 1 h. After centrifugation at 4000 g using a Hettich Universal 320R centrifuge (Andreas Hettich GmbH) for 10 min at 4°C, 0.25 ml of 1% TBA was added and the mixture was boiled for 15 min. The OD of the coloured compound that was formed was determined at 532 nm using a Thermo ScientificTM MultiskanTM FC Microplate Photometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). By dividing the result with the haemoglobin concentration previously determined during the routine blood analyses, TBARS_{RBC} was expressed as nmol/gr Hb.²⁵

Determination of plasma AOPP

The concentration of AOPP was determined using a spectrophotometric method according to a previous publication.¹⁶ In brief, plasma was added to a mixture of water, acetic acid and potassium-iodide. The OD was measured at 340 nm using a Thermo ScientificTM MultiskanTM FC Microplate Photometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and compared with the chloramine T solution added to the same mix as the sample. Results were expressed as µmol of chloramine T equivalent in a litre of plasma per mg of total proteins in plasma.¹⁶

Determination of plasma CAT activity

Plasma CAT activity was determined according to a previous report and was based on the formation of a stable coloured complex between H₂O₂ and molybdenum salts.²⁶ In brief, 40 µl of plasma, standard or blank probe was mixed with 1.2 ml of H_2O_2 and incubated for 10 min at 37°C. Then, 0.8 ml of 10% TCA was added and the tubes were centrifugated at 2000 g using Hettich Universal 320R centrifuge а (Andreas Hettich GmbH) for 10 min at 4°C. Then 200 µl of supernatant was mixed with 100 µl of ammonium-molybdite and the OD of the yellow-coloured complex was determined at 410 nm using a Thermo ScientificTM MultiskanTM FC Microplate Photometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). CAT activity was defined as the CAT needed to dissolve 1 µmol of H₂O₂ in the environment applied and expressed as U/l of blood.

Determination of free thiols

The levels of free thiols (SH) in plasma were determined using a modified method according to a previous report.²⁷ The OD was determined at 412 and 630 nm using a Thermo ScientificTM MultiskanTM FC Microplate Photometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The thiol concentration was expressed per litre of plasma.

Statistical analyses

Power calculations using G-power version 3.1.9.7 software determined that the minimum number of study participants was 50 in total with a type I error rate of 0.05 and study power of 0.9.^{28,29}

All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Data are expressed as mean \pm SD or n of participants (%). Continuous data were analysed for normality of distribution using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Student's *t*-test (for normally distributed data) and Mann-Whitney U-test (for not normally distributed data) were used for the comparison of continuous demographic and oxidative stress parameters between patient and control groups. The Kruskal-Wallis test with Mann–Whitney U-test was used *post hoc* to compare oxidative stress parameters in relation to EF patient groups. Categorical data were compared using χ^2 -test. A *P*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was produced and the area under the curve (AUC) was calculated to evaluate the significance of the analysed biomarkers in diagnosing HF. This procedure was performed on all HF patients versus all non-HF controls. The cut-off

point on the ROC curve that maximized its discriminative index was defined (i.e. Youden index, which was calculated as the sensitivity minus the false-positive rate). The sensitivity, specificity, positive likelihood ratio, negative likelihood ratio, positive predictive value and negative predictive value at that cut-off point were calculated for the markers. Multivariate logistic regression analysis, adjusted for age, sex, hypertension and diabetes mellitus,¹⁴ was performed to examine the influence of significant univariate oxidative stress predictors on EF. The reporting of this study conforms to the REMARK guidelines.³⁰

Results

This observational study enrolled 81 patients diagnosed with symptomatic post-infarction HF and 34 age- and sex-matched healthy volunteers without signs and symptoms of HF. The demographic and clinical characteristics of the patient and control groups are shown in Table 1. The demographic characteristics of the two groups matched, except for the ratio of smokers and non-smokers; and hypertension and diabetes mellitus were more frequent in patients than in controls. There were significant differences in the values of certain haematological and biochemical parameters between the patient and control groups, especially those parameters related to renal function such as urea, creatinine and estimated glomerular filtration rate (P < 0.001 for these comparisons; Table 2). These findings indicate that HF after MI was associated with the quality of function of other organ systems. There were significant differences between the two groups in the TBARS_{RBC}, TBARS_P, AOPP and free thiols (P < 0.05 for these comparisons; Table 3).

Oxidative stress parameters did not differ significantly between the three subgroups of patients with HF stratified according to the EF, except for AOPP

	Patient subgroup			
Characteristic	EF < 40% n = 33 (40.7%)	EF 40-49% n=26 (31.1%)	EF≥50% n=22 (27.2%)	Control group n = 68
Sex				
Male	27 (81.8)	22 (84.6)	18 (81.8)	55 (80.9)
Female	6 (18.2)	4 (15.4)	4 (18.2)	13 (19.1)
Age, years				. ,
<65	19 (57.6)	18 (69.2)	7 (31.8)	36 (52.9)
≥65	14 (42.4)	8 (30.8)	15 (68.2)	32 (47.1)
Smoking				
Smokers	26 (78.8)	15 (57.7)	16 (72.7)	28 (41.2)
Non-smokers	7 (21.2)	11 (42.3)	6 (27.3)	40 (58.8)
Hypertension	32 (97.0)	24 (92.3)	22 (100.0)	14 (20.6)
Diabetes mellitus	15 (45.5)	8 (30.8)	6 (27.3)	6 (8.8)
NYHA class				
I	0 (0.0)	6 (23.1)	18 (81.8)	-
II	3 (9.1)	12 (46.2)	2 (9.1)	_
III	10 (30.3)	2 (7.7)	2 (9.1)	_
IV	20 (60.6)	6 (23.1)	0 (0.0)	-
Body mass index, kg/m ²	$\textbf{27.88} \pm \textbf{3.32}$	$\textbf{27.31} \pm \textbf{1.95}$	$\textbf{27.91} \pm \textbf{2.88}$	$\textbf{25.89} \pm \textbf{3.10}$
Waist circumference, cm	$\textbf{92.48} \pm \textbf{10.08}$	$\textbf{92.83} \pm \textbf{9.86}$	$\textbf{93.90} \pm \textbf{9.22}$	$\textbf{92.15} \pm \textbf{6.71}$
eGFR _{CG} , ml/min	$\textbf{70.86} \pm \textbf{21.70}$	$\textbf{80.47} \pm \textbf{19.63}$	$\textbf{69.8} \pm \textbf{17.98}$	$\textbf{92.59} \pm \textbf{21.82}$
eGFR _{MDRD} , ml/min/1.73 m ²	$\textbf{58.21} \pm \textbf{16.60}$	$\textbf{67.54} \pm \textbf{16.54}$	$\textbf{58.17} \pm \textbf{10.56}$	$\textbf{73.95} \pm \textbf{12.53}$

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients with symptomatic post-infarction heart failure (HF) (n=81) stratified according to ejection fraction (EF) compared with the healthy control group (n=68).

Data presented as mean \pm SD or *n* of participants (%).

NYHA, New York Heart Association Functional Classification; eGFR_{CG}, estimated glomerular filtration rate according to Cockcroft-Gault formula; eGFR_{MDRD}, estimated glomerular filtration rate according to Modification of Diet in Renal Disease formula.

(Table 4). AOPP was significantly higher in patients with an EF < 40% compared with patients with an EF of 40–49% and \geq 50% (P=0.007). When considering the clinical manifestations of HF based on the New York Heart Association (NYHA) Functional Classification, the current results showed significant differences in BNP, TBARS_P and free thiols between patients with class I/II HF and patients with class III/IV HF (P < 0.05 for all comparisons), whereas no significant differences were observed for TBARS_{RBC}, AOPP and CAT levels (Table 5).

Univariate analyses demonstrated that the plasma level of AOPP (EF \geq 50%: P < 0.001;

EF 40–49%: P = 0.003; EF < 40%: P = 0.001), CAT (EF $\ge 50\%$: P = 0.002; EF 40– 49%: P = 0.002; EF < 40%: P < 0.001), TBARS_{RBC} (EF $\ge 50\%$: P = 0.011; EF 40– 49%: P = 0.012; EF < 40%: P = 0.012) and free thiols (EF < 40%: P = 0.025) were significantly associated with EF (Table 6). After adjustment for age, sex, diabetes mellitus and hypertension, multivariate logistic analyses demonstrated that the plasma level of AOPP (EF $\ge 50\%$: P = 0.010; EF < 40%: P = 0.047) and TBARS_P (EF $\ge 50\%$: P =0.047; EF < 40%: P = 0.026) were significantly associated with EF (Table 6).

As shown in Figure 1, the AUC of the ROC curve of AOPP plasma level was 0.908

Laboratory characteristic	Patient group n=81	Control group $n = 68$	t/Z*	Statistical analyses ^a
Blood glucose, mmol/l	6.39 ± 2.72	$\textbf{5.42} \pm \textbf{1.13}$	-3.071*	P = 0.002
Urea, mmol/l	$\textbf{8.68} \pm \textbf{5.00}$	5.75 ± 1.88	-5.366*	
Creatinine, µmol/l	119.76 \pm 69.56	$\textbf{90.34} \pm \textbf{16.49}$	-5.557*	P < 0.001
Uric acid, µmol/l	$\textbf{388.54} \pm \textbf{94.38}$	$\textbf{320.37} \pm \textbf{85.06}$	4.592	P < 0.001
eGFR _{CG} , ml/min	$\textbf{72.33} \pm \textbf{22.34}$	$\textbf{92.59} \pm \textbf{21.82}$	-5.240*	P < 0.001
eGFR _{MDRD} , ml/min/1.73 m ²	$\textbf{60.20} \pm \textbf{17.18}$	$\textbf{73.95} \pm \textbf{12.53}$	-5.660*	P < 0.001
Na, mmol/l	141.72 ± 3.18	144.79 ± 3.80	-0.530	P < 0.001
K, mmol/l	$\textbf{4.62} \pm \textbf{0.52}$	$\textbf{4.40} \pm \textbf{0.34}$	-2.539*	P = 0.011
Ca, mmol/l	2.30 ± 0.15	$\textbf{2.35} \pm \textbf{0.30}$	-0.324*	NS
P, mmol/l	1.21 ± 0.26	$\textbf{1.13} \pm \textbf{0.20}$	-1. 449 *	NS
Fibrinogen, g/l	$\textbf{3.76} \pm \textbf{0.75}$	$\textbf{3.13} \pm \textbf{0.63}$	5.540	P < 0.001
TC, mmol/l	$\textbf{4.71} \pm \textbf{1.31}$	$\textbf{5.76} \pm \textbf{1.12}$	-5.012*	P < 0.001
LDL-C, mmol/l	$\textbf{2.94} \pm \textbf{1.01}$	$\textbf{3.81} \pm \textbf{0.89}$	-5.328*	P < 0.001
HDL-C, mmol/l	$\textbf{1.04} \pm \textbf{0.24}$	1.17 ± 0.27	-3.008*	P = 0.003
TG, mmol/l	1.71 ± 0.86	1.91 ± 1.12	-0.362*	NS
RBC ($\times 10^{12}/L$)	$\textbf{4.47} \pm \textbf{0.58}$	$\textbf{4.70} \pm \textbf{0.35}$	-0.957	P = 0.004
RBC sedimentation rate	$\textbf{24.54} \pm \textbf{18.18}$	$\textbf{12.91} \pm \textbf{7.85}$	- 4.648 *	P < 0.001
WBC × 10 ⁹ /1	$\textbf{8.02} \pm \textbf{1.99}$	6.45 ± 1.89	-4.575*	P < 0.001
Hb, g/l	135.69 ± 16.46	$\textbf{139.12} \pm \textbf{10.58}$	-1.666*	NS
BNP, pg/ml	125.27 \pm 116.79	13.94 ± 7.54	-6.687*	P < 0.001

Table 2. Biochemical and haematological characteristics of the patients with symptomatic post-infarction heart failure (n = 81) compared with the healthy control group (n = 68).

Data presented as mean \pm SD.

^aData were compared with Student's t-test (for normally distributed data) and Mann–Whitney U-test (for not normally distributed data; *Z values shown); NS, no significant between-group difference ($P \ge 0.05$).

eGFR_{CG}, estimated glomerular filtration rate according to Cockcroft-Gault formula; eGFR_{MDRD}, estimated glomerular filtration rate according to Modification of Diet in Renal Disease formula; Na, sodium; K, potassium; Ca, calcium; P, phosphorus; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; RBC, red blood cells; WBC, white blood cells; Hb, haemoglobin; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide.

Table 3. Oxidative stress markers of the patients with symptomatic post-infarction heart failure (n = 81) compared with the healthy control group (n = 68).

Oxidative stress marker	Patient group n=81	Control group $n = 68$	Statistical analyses ^a
TBARS _{RBC} , nmol/g Hb	18.43±11.99	$\textbf{5.43} \pm \textbf{1.72}$	P < 0.001
TBARS _P , μmol/l	19.47 ± 7.37	17.06 ± 9.64	P < 0.005
CAT, U/I	$\textbf{68.86} \pm \textbf{31.72}$	$\textbf{79.06} \pm \textbf{30.18}$	NS
AOPP, µmol/mg	$\textbf{98.52} \pm \textbf{26.52}$	$\textbf{49.83} \pm \textbf{22.51}$	<i>P</i> < 0.001
SH, μmol/l	$\textbf{295.27} \pm \textbf{116.79}$	$\textbf{215.24} \pm \textbf{94.72}$	P < 0.00 I

Data presented as mean \pm SD.

^aData were compared with Mann–Whitney U-test (for not normally distributed data); NS, no significant between-group difference ($P \ge 0.05$).

TBARS_{RBC}, thiobarbituric reactive substances in red blood cells; Hb, haemoglobin; TBARS_R thiobarbituric reactive substances in plasma; CAT, catalase; AOPP, advanced oxidation protein products; SH, free thiols.

	Patient subgroup	os $n=81$			
Oxidative stress marker	HFpEF EF \geq 50% n = 22	HFmrEF EF 40–49% n = 26	HFrEF EF < 40% n = 33	Statistical analyses ^a	
TBARS _P , μmol/l TBARS _{RBC} , nmol/g Hb CAT, U/l AOPP, μmol/mg	$18.25 \pm 7.43 \\ 15.46 \pm 10.20 \\ 67.45 \pm 31.25 \\ 94.30 \pm 19.78$	$\begin{array}{c} 21.25 \pm 7.58 \\ 17.11 \pm 12.22 \\ 68.29 \pm 29.40 \\ 86.12 \pm 29.68 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20.09 \pm 7.06 \\ 20.54 \pm 11.43 \\ 70.24 \pm 34.58 \\ 109.59 \pm 19.72 \end{array}$	Chi-square = 1.795; NS Chi-square = 3.432; NS Chi-square = 0.083; NS Chi-square = 10.019; P = 0.007 Post hoc analysis HFmrEF versus HFrEF: Z = -3.131; P = 0.002 HFpEF versus HFrEF: Z = -2.543; P = 0.011	
SH, μmol/l	$\textbf{302.79} \pm \textbf{59.35}$	$\textbf{286.50} \pm \textbf{91.22}$	$\textbf{294.06} \pm \textbf{120.67}$	Chi-square = 2.906 ; NS	

Table 4. Oxidative stress markers of the patients with symptomatic post-infarction heart failure (HF) (n = 81) stratified according to ejection fraction (EF).

Data presented as mean \pm SD.

^aData were compared using the Kruskal–Wallis test with Mann–Whitney *U*-test as a *post hoc* test; the patients were assigned to three subgroups according to the EF: HFpEF – HF with preserved EF (\geq 50%), HFmrEF – HF with moderately preserved EF (40–49%), and HFrEF – HF with reduced EF (<40%); NS, no significant between-group difference ($P \geq 0.05$). TBARS_P thiobarbituric reactive substances in plasma; TBARS_{RBC}, thiobarbituric reactive substances in red blood cells; Hb, haemoglobin; CAT, catalase; AOPP, advanced oxidation protein products; SH, free thiols.

Table 5. Oxidative stress markers of the patients with symptomatic post-infarction heart failure (n = 81) stratified according to the New York Heart Association (NYHA) Functional Classification.

NY		IA class I + II	NYH	IA class III $+$ IV		Statistical	
Oxidative stress marker	ative stress marker n Mean \pm SD		n	$Mean\pmSD$	Z	analyses ^a	
BNP, pg/ml	41	$\textbf{44.34} \pm \textbf{34.02}$	40	$\textbf{208.22} \pm \textbf{113.36}$	-7.444	P < 0.001	
TBARS _P , μmol/l	41	$\textbf{21.33} \pm \textbf{8.07}$	40	17.56 ± 6.11	-2.083	P = 0.037	
TBARS _{RBC} , nmol/g Hb	41	19.58 ± 12.44	40	17.25 ± 11.55	-0.935	NS	
CAT, U/I	41	$\textbf{68.64} \pm \textbf{29.3I}$	40	69.08 ± 34.39	-0.142	NS	
AOPP, µmol/mg	41	$\textbf{95.00} \pm \textbf{28.93}$	40	$\textbf{102.13} \pm \textbf{23.62}$	-0.997	NS	
SH, µmol/l	41	$\textbf{310.61} \pm \textbf{78.55}$	40	$\textbf{279.48} \pm \textbf{107.84}$	-2.613	P = 0.009	

Data presented as mean \pm SD.

^aData were compared with Mann–Whitney *U*-test (for not normally distributed data); NS, no significant between-group difference ($P \ge 0.05$).

BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; TBARS_P thiobarbituric reactive substances in plasma; TBARS_{RBC}, thiobarbituric reactive substances in red blood cells; Hb, haemoglobin; CAT, catalase; AOPP, advanced oxidation protein products; SH, free thiols.

(95% confidence interval: 0.851, 0.965), indicating the significance of plasma AOPP level in the clinical diagnosis of HF. Sensitivity, specificity and the predictive cut-off value of plasma AOPP are presented in Table 7.

Discussion

Research suggests that chronic HF can potentially be classified in the so-called group of oxidative diseases.^{2,31,32} Oxidative stress, as a state of disrupted balance

EF group compared with control group	In don on don t	Univariate analyses			Multivariate analyses		
	Independent predictor	B (95% CI)	OR	P-value	B (95% CI)	Exp (B)	P-value
HFpEF	TBARS _{RBC}	0.454	1.575	P = 0.011	1.551	4.716	NS
$EF \ge 50\%$	TBARS _P	-0.018	0.982	NS	-0.249	0.779	P = 0.047
	CAT	0.059	1.061	P = 0.002	0.033	1.034	NS
	AOPP	0.061	1.063	P < 0.00 I	0.167	1.182	P=0.010
	SH	0.010	1.010	NS	0.028	1.028	NS
HFmrEF	TBARS _{RBC}	0.449	1.566	P = 0.012	1.529	4.614	NS
EF 40-49%	TBARS _P	0.006	1.006	NS	-0.214	0.808	NS
	CAT	0.058	1.059	P = 0.002	0.028	1.029	NS
	AOPP	0.044	1.045	P = 0.003	0.121	1.129	NS
	SH	0.008	1.008	NS	0.026	1.027	NS
HFrEF	TBARS _{RBC}	0.448	1.565	P = 0.012	1.521	4.575	NS
EF < 40%	TBARSP	-0.045	0.956	NS	-0.28 I	0.755	P = 0.026
	CAT	0.069	1.071	P < 0.001	0.031	1.031	NS
	AOPP	0.060	1.062	P = 0.001	0.127	1.136	P = 0.047
	SH	0.011	1.012	P = 0.025	0.031	1.032	NS

Table 6. Univariate and multivariate analysis of association between oxidative stress markers and ejection fraction (EF) value.

The patients were assigned to three subgroups according to the EF: HFpEF – HF with preserved EF (\geq 50%), HFmrEF – HF with moderately preserved EF (40–49%), and HFrEF – HF with reduced EF (<40%).

Cl, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; TBARS_{RBC}, thiobarbituric reactive substances in red blood cells; TBARS_P, thiobarbituric reactive substances in plasma; CAT, catalase; AOPP, advanced oxidation protein products; SH, free thiols;

NS, no significant association ($P \ge 0.05$).

between prooxidants and antioxidant molecules and mechanisms, in the direction of higher production and activity of prooxidants, plays a significant role in the development of HF.³¹ The results of various studies suggest that free radicals may be involved in cardiomyocyte hypertrophy, apoptosis and mechanisms that mediate myocardial remodelling, by participating in the shift from compensatory hypertrophy to progressive dilation of the heart chambers.^{33,34} With respect to skeletal muscle, a variety of alterations specific to muscle structure and metabolic state, including muscle atrophy, alterations in fibre type, reduced activity of mitochondrial enzymes and decreased volume density of mitochondria, have all been associated with HFrEF.35

However, most of the oxidative stress parameters that were examined in this current

study did not differ significantly among patients stratified according to their EF. The exception was the value of AOPP, which was significantly higher in patients with EF < 40% compared with patients with EF of 40–49% and >50%. In a previous study, peripheral oxidative stress was found not to be detectable in post-infarction HF, even when HF was characterized by progressive remodelling in the subjects examined.³⁶ It was assumed that during late post-infarction myocardial remodelling, ROS were produced mostly in the heart tissue itself.36,37 The peripheral innate antioxidant systems neutralized levels of oxidative stress biomarkers in bodily fluids, making it hardly possible to be detected in their samples.³⁷ In the current study, the levels of AOPP were the highest in the EF < 40 % group. When comparing the EF > 50% and EF 40–49% groups, the



Figure 1. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of the utility of plasma levels of advanced oxidation protein products in the clinical diagnosis of heart failure (area under the curve = 0.908; cut-off point = $60.89 \mu mol/l$).

Table 7. Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis of the utility of plasma levels of advanced oxidation protein products (AOPP) in the clinical diagnosis of heart failure.

		At the cut-off point of 60.89 μmol/l for AOPP					
	Area under the curve	Sensitivity	Specificity	Positive likelihood ratio	Negative likelihood ratio	Positive predictive value*	Negative predictive value*
AOPP, µmol/l	0.908 (95% CI: 0.851, 0.965)	0.889	0.735	3.35	0.15	88.67	73.94

*In this study population of 81 patients with heart failure.

CI, confidence interval.

subgroup with preserved EF showed the higher levels of AOPP. This result was in accordance with a hypothesis that HF with preserved EF has an inflammatory background along with microvascular dysfunction compared with the group with reduced EF.³⁸ The inflammation that is ongoing in patients with $\text{EF} \ge 50\%$ is a promoting factor for oxidative stress,³⁸ which might be an explanation for the differences found among the investigated subgroups in the current study.

Markers of oxidative protein damage have been shown to be a risk factor for atherosclerotic cardiovascular complications in dialysis patients and have been associated with thickening of the intima of the carotid artery.³⁹ Oxidative damage that occurs and affects proteins has a significant influence on the pathogenesis of eccentric hypertrophy in the left ventricle.⁴⁰ This current study showed a significant link between the development of HF with different EF values with almost all oxidative stress parameters through univariate logistic regression analysis. When adjusted for age, sex, hypertension and diabetes mellitus, a multivariate analysis identified AOPP as a marker that could potentially be used during patient assessments. The ROC curve analysis for AOPP showed a cut-off value of 60.89 µmol/l. Considering the fact that AOPP levels were significant in the EF > 50% and EF < 40% groups of patients, it may be useful to include this marker in the assessment of these patients. Patients with $EF \ge 50\%$ belong to the high priority patients for follow-up assessment because their heart function is still preserved enough and allows tertiary prevention of further cardiovascular events and HF. It should be noted that AOPP levels did not differ between patients with NYHA class I+II and III + IV. These current results may indicate that AOPP is better to be combined with other measurements of heart function and physiology such as EF. Methodologically speaking, AOPP can be introduced as a quick and easy analysis to determine in these patients.⁴¹

Patients with post-infarction HF were selected and investigated in this current study. Therefore, AOPP qualifies as a marker that could be useful to determine in prognosis assessment after MI. An increase in the concentration of AOPP was also found in non-uraemic patients with coronary heart disease, which confirms their role in the process of atherosclerosis.⁴² AOPP is highly associated with intima thickness in the carotid arteries and may be associated with cardiovascular events.³⁹ High levels of AOPP in plasma significantly increase the atherosclerotic plaques in hypercholesterolemic rabbits.⁴³ This previous study showed *in vivo* that an increase in plasma AOPP levels promote inflammation, as evidenced by increased tumour necrosis factor- α levels, enhanced plasma macrophage invasion and smooth muscle cell proliferation in the arterial wall.⁴³

Chronic oxidative stress can be monitored through lowering of free thiols in plasma, because reactive species oxidize them quite easily.44 Research has demonstrated a decrease in plasma thiol,⁴⁵ which is probably most related to the oxidation of cysteine in human serum albumin.46 In addition, an increase in plasma carbonyl has been documented without identifying the proteins involved.40,47 Research suggests that the plasma thiols/disulfide state might be an early biomarker of atherosclerosis.48 Since there are both nutritional and therapeutic agents that neutralize the oxidized redox state, there have been suggestions that they could be used to prevent and protect against cardiovascular disease.49,50 In a cohort study, free thiols predicted the risk of cardiovascular events, as well as mortality.⁵¹ The current results did not show significant differences in the concentration of free thiols among the groups of patients with HF stratified according to the EF. However, the difference in the concentration of free thiols was significant when NYHA class I + IIpatients were compared with NYHA class III + IV patients in the current study. These current results suggest that even though free thiol levels might not be as adequate as comparing EF as a measure of heart function, they might be quite useful in distinguishing the severity of the patient's

condition in addition to classifying them into an NYHA class. However, more research should be conducted to draw conclusions on this issue, with a potentially larger number of patients.⁵²

This current study had several limitations. First, the number of participants was relatively small. In order to produce strong conclusions regarding this population and to provide recommendations regarding the use of a particular diagnostic marker will require a larger study population. Secondly, the healthy volunteers that formed the control group included a small proportion of smokers and those with diabetes mellitus and hypertension. Since these characteristics can influence the biochemical markers that were examined in this current study. the multivariate logistic regression analyses adjusted for age, sex, hypertension and diabetes mellitus.

In conclusion, these current findings suggest that AOPP might participate in the pathogenetic process of HF development after MI as this biomarker was observed to have different concentrations depending on the EF. Based on the ROC curve analysis of AOPP and the higher significance in the multivariate analyses for patients with $EF \ge 50\%$, these current results suggest that AOPP could be a useful additional tool in the assessment of HF development in patients after MI.

Author contributions

This research was conducted, worked on and reported by all authors equally.

Declaration of conflicting interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development, Republic of Serbia (project no. 451-03-9/2022-14/200113).

ORCID iD

Vladana Stojiljkovic D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2862-5665

References

- 1. Roger VL. Epidemiology of heart failure. *Circ Res* 2013; 13: 646–659.
- Tsutsui H, Kinugawa S and Matsushima S. Oxidative stress and heart failure. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2011; 301: H2181–H2190.
- Sawyer DB. Oxidative Stress in Heart Failure: What Are We Missing? Am J Med Sci 2011; 342: 120–124.
- Seddon M, Looi YH and Shah AM. Oxidative stress and redox signaling in cardiac hypertrophy and heart failure. *Heart* 2007; 93: 903–907.
- Taverne YJ, Bogers AJ, Duncker DJ, et al. Reactive Oxygen Species and the Cardiovascular System. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2013; 2013: 862423.
- Niccoli G, Burzotta F, Galiuto L, et al. Myocardial no-reflow in humans. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009; 54: 281–292.
- Jeroudi MO, Hartley CJ and Bolli R. Myocardial reperfusion injury: role of oxygen radicals and potential therapy with antioxidants. *Am J Cardiol* 1994; 73: 2B–7B.
- D'Oria R, Schipani R, Leonardini A, et al. The Role of Oxidative Stress in Cardiac Disease: From Physiological Response to Injury Factor. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2020; 2020: 5732956.
- Sun Y. Myocardial repair/remodelling following infarction: roles of local factors. *Cardiovasc Res* 2009; 81: 482–490.
- Braunwald E and Pfeffer MA. Ventricular enlargement and remodeling following acute myocardial infarction: mechanisms and management. *Am J Cardiol* 1991; 68: 1D–6D.
- Frangogiannis NG. The extracellular matrix in myocardial injury, repair, and remodeling. J Clin Invest 2017; 127: 1600–1612.
- Zhu D, Xie H, Wang X, et al. Catestatin A Novel Predictor of Left Ventricular Remodeling After Acute Myocardial Infarction. *Sci Rep* 2017; 7: 44168.

- Türkoğlu C, Gür M, Şeker T, et al. The predictive value of M30 and oxidative stress for left ventricular remodeling in patients with anterior ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Coron Artery Dis* 2016; 27: 690–695.
- Jenča D, Melenovský V, Stehlik J, et al. Heart failure after myocardial infarction: incidence and predictors. *ESC Heart Fail* 2021; 8: 222–237.
- Gronda E, Sacchi S, Benincasa G, et al. Unresolved issues in left ventricular postischemic remodeling and progression to heart failure. *J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown)* 2019; 20: 640–649.
- Witko-Sarsat V, Friedlander M, Capeillère-Blandin C, et al. Advanced oxidation protein products as a novel marker of oxidative stress in uremia. *Kidney Int* 1996; 49: 1304–1313.
- Zhao Y, McLaughlin D, Robinson E, et al. Nox2 NADPH oxidase promotes pathologic cardiac remodeling associated with Doxorubicin chemotherapy. *Cancer Res* 2012; 70: 9287–9297.
- Venturini D, Simão ANC and Dichi I. Advanced oxidation protein products are more related to metabolic syndrome components than biomarkers of lipid peroxidation. *Nutr Res* 2015; 35: 759–765.
- Malekmohammad K, Bezsonov EE and Rafieian-Kopaei M. Role of Lipid Accumulation and Inflammation in Atherosclerosis: Focus on Molecular and Cellular Mechanisms. *Front Cardiovasc Med* 2021; 8: 707529.
- Schwinger RHG. Pathophysiology of heart failure. *Cardiovasc Diagn Ther* 2021; 11: 263–276.
- Dunlay SM, Roger LV, Weston AS, et al. Longitudinal changes in ejection fraction in heart failure patients with preserved and reduced ejection fraction. *Circ Heart Fail* 2012; 5: 720–726.
- 22. Bozkurt B, Coats AJS, Tsutsui H, et al. Universal definition and classification of heart failure: a report of the Heart Failure Society of America, Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology, Japanese Heart Failure Society

and Writing Committee of the Universal Definition of Heart Failure: Endorsed by the Canadian Heart Failure Society, Heart Failure Association of India, Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand, and Chinese Heart Failure Association. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2021; 23: 352–380.

- 23. Feigenbaum H. *Echocardiography*. 5th ed. Philadelphia: Lea & Febiger, 1994.
- Andreeva LI, Kozhemiakin LA and Kishkun AA. Modification of the method of determining lipid peroxidation in a test using thiobarbituric acid. *Lab Delo* 1988; 11: 41–43.
- Jain SK, Levine NS, Duett J, et al. Elevated lipid peroxidation levels in red blood cells of streptozotocin-treated diabetic rats. *Metabolism* 1990; 39: 971–975.
- Goth L. A simple method for determination of serum catalase activity and revision of reference range. *Clin Chim Acta* 1991; 196: 143–151.
- Ellman GL. Tissue sulfhydryl groups. Arch Biochem Biophys 1959; 82: 70–77.
- Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang AG, et al. G*Power 3: a flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behav Res Methods* 2007; 39: 175–191.
- Faul F, Erdfelder E, Buchner A, et al. Statistical power analyses using G*Power 3.1: tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behav Res Methods* 2009; 41: 1149–1160.
- McShane LM, Altman DG, Sauerbrei W, et al. REporting recommendations for tumour MARKer prognostic studies (REMARK). Br J Cancer 2005; 93: 387–391.
- Takimoto E and Kass DA. Role of oxidative stress in cardiac hypertrophy and remodeling. *Hypertension* 2007; 4: 241–248.
- 32. Ide T, Tsutsui H, Kinugawa S, et al. Direct evidence for increased hydroxyl radicals originating from superoxide in the failing myocardium. *Circ Res* 2000; 86: 152–157.
- Singh N, Dhalla AK, Seneviratne C, et al. Oxidative stress and heart failure. *Mol Cell Biochem* 1995; 147: 77–81.
- Saric S, Cvetkovic T, Petrovic D, et al. Correlation between oxidative stress parameters and left ventricular geometry in patients

with chronic heart failure. *Acta Facultatis Medicae Naissensis* 2020; 37: 241–251.

- 35. Kumar AA, Kelly DP and Chirinos JA. Mitochondrial Dysfunction in Heart Failure with Preserved Ejection Fraction. *Circulation* 2019; 139: 1435–1450.
- 36. Li H, Lawson JA, Reilly M, et al. Quantitative high performance liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometric analysis of the four classes of F(2)isoprostanes in human urine. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1999; 96: 13381–13386.
- Inoue T, Ide T, Yamato M, et al. Timedependent changes of myocardial and systemic oxidative stress are dissociated after myocardial infarction. *Free Radic Res* 2009; 43: 37–46.
- Hage C, Löfgren L, Michopoulos F, et al. Metabolomic Profile in HFpEF vs HFrEF Patients. J Card Fail 2020; 26: 1050–1059.
- Zhou Q, Wu S, Jiang J, et al. Accumulation of circulating advanced oxidation protein products is an independent risk factor for ischemic heart disease in maintenance hemodialysis patients. *Nephrology (Carlton)* 2012; 17: 642–649.
- 40. Dimitrijevic ZM, Salinger Martinovic SS, Nikolic VN, et al. Protein carbonyl content is a predictive biomarker of eccentric left ventricular hypertrophy in hemodialysis patients. *Diagnostics (Basel)* 2019; 9: 202.
- Selmeci L, Seres L, Antal M, et al. Advanced oxidation protein products (AOPP) for monitoring oxidative stress in critically ill patients: a simple, fast and inexpensive automated technique. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2005; 43: 294–297.
- 42. Barsotti A, Fabbi P, Fedele M, et al. Role of advanced oxidation protein products and Thiol ratio in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *Clin Biochem* 2011; 44: 605–611.
- Liu SX, Hou FF, Guo ZJ, et al. Advanced Oxidation Protein Products Accelerate Atherosclerosis Through Promoting Oxidative

Stress and Inflammation. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2006; 26: 1156–1162.

- 44. Turell L, Radi R and Alvarez B. The thiol pool in human plasma: the central contribution of albumin to redox processes. *Free Radic Biol Med* 2013; 65: 244–253.
- McMurray J, Chopra M, Abdullah I, et al. Evidence of oxidative stress in chronic heart failure in humans. *Eur Heart J* 1993; 14: 1493–1498.
- 46. Nagumo K, Tanaka M, Chuang VT, et al. Cys34-cysteinylated human serum albumin is a sensitive plasma marker in oxidative stress-related chronic diseases. *PLoS One* 2014; 9: e85216.
- 47. Katunga LA and Anderson EJ. Carbonyl Stress as a Therapeutic Target for Cardiac Remodeling in Obesity/Diabetes. *Austin J Pharmacol Ther* 2014; 2: 1047.
- 48. Ashfaq S, Abramson JL, Jones DP, et al. The relationship between plasma levels of oxidized and reduced thiols and early atherosclerosis in healthy adults. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006; 47: 1005–1011.
- Lobo V, Patil A, Phatak A, et al. Free radicals, antioxidants and functional foods: Impact on human health. *Pharmacogn Rev* 2010; 4: 118–126.
- Nuttall SL, Kendall MJ and Martin U. Antioxidant therapy for the prevention of cardiovascular disease. *QJM* 1999; 92: 239–244.
- Abdulle AE, Bourgonje AR, Kieneker LM, et al. Serum free thiols predict cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality in the general population: a prospective cohort study. *BMC Med* 2020; 18: 130.
- 52. Yordanova MG. Research of Oxidative Stress and Serum Thiols as a Criterion for the Antioxidant Barrier in Patients with Heart Failure (NYHA FC III-IV). On J Cardio Res & Rep 2020; 4: DOI: 10.33552/ OJCRR.2020.04.000578.