

Letter to the Editor

Response to: Comment on “Prevalence and Risk Factors for Diabetic Lower Limb Amputation: A Clinic-Based Case Control Study”

Beverly T. Rodrigues , Venkat N. Vangaveti, and Usman H. Malabu 

Department of Diabetes and Endocrinology, The Townsville Hospital and College of Medicine and Dentistry, James Cook University, 100 Angus Smith Drive, Douglas, QLD 4814, Australia

Correspondence should be addressed to Usman H. Malabu; umalabu@gmail.com

Received 5 December 2017; Accepted 19 December 2017; Published 20 February 2018

Academic Editor: Kim Connelly

Copyright © 2018 Beverly T. Rodrigues et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The observations by Bakhtiyari and Mansournia on our study [1] were received with great interest. We believe our study was consistent with a case-control format [2]. In particular, our target population was selected from subjects diagnosed with diabetic foot ulcer (DFU) at the local high-risk foot clinic, effectively fulfilling the criteria of *control* (DFU without amputations) and *case* (DFU with amputation), as previously described [3]. The identified risk factors were computed amongst those with and without limb amputations. We do not believe our study was cross-sectional, being retrospective in design, and it was not conducted at a specific point in time rather for a period from January 2011 to December 2013; both are in agreement with the definition of a case-control study [4]. The prevalence of diabetic limb amputation quoted in our study was for our high-risk diabetic foot clinic and not for the general population of North Eastern Australia which was clearly outlined in the title as *clinic-based* [5]. Interestingly, in line with Bakhtiyari's and Mansournia's observation of a case-control study, our sampling was based on the outcome (amputation) and was known in advance prior to conducting the study [3]. Furthermore, in keeping with a case-control study, we did not undertake propensity matching since cases and controls were outcomes rather than exposures [4]. With respect to our discussion on the prevalence of diabetic limb amputation, comparing

clinic-based and non-clinic-based studies, the point was noted but we clearly stated our study was similar to those reported by others [6]. Overall, we do not think the title of our article was confusing since it tallied with the methodology and content of the article.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] M. Bakhtiyari and M. A. Mansournia, “Comment on “Prevalence and risk factors for diabetic lower limb amputation: a clinic-based case control study”,” *Journal of Diabetes Research*, vol. 2017, Article ID 6015326, 1 pages, 2017.
- [2] B. T. Rodrigues, V. N. Vangaveti, and U. H. Malabu, “Prevalence and risk factors for diabetic lower limb amputation: a clinic-based case control study,” *Journal of Diabetes Research*, vol. 2016, Article ID 5941957, 7 pages, 2016.
- [3] P. Büttner and R. Muller, *Epidemiology*, Oxford University Press, 2015.
- [4] S. Lewallen and P. Courtright, “Epidemiology in practice: case-control studies,” *Community Eye Health*, vol. 11, no. 28, pp. 57-58, 1998.

- [5] C. T. Le and J. R. Boen, *Health and Numbers: Basic Biostatistical Methods*, John Wiley, Chichester, 1995.
- [6] W. Amogne, A. Reja, and A. Amare, "Diabetic foot disease in Ethiopian patients: a hospital based study," *Ethiopian Journal of Health Development*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 17–21, 2011.