

# Analysis of the 2012 Canadian Community Health Survey-Mental Health demonstrates employment insecurity to be associated with mental illness

II-Ho Kim, PhD<sup>a,b</sup>, Cyu-Chul Choi, PhD<sup>c</sup>, Karen Urbanoski, PhD<sup>d</sup>, Jungwee Park, PhD<sup>e</sup>, Ji Man Kim, PhD<sup>f,\*</sup>10

#### Abstract

A growing number of people depend on flexible employment, characterized by outsider employment and lower levels of job security. This study investigated whether there was a synergistic effect of employment status and job insecurity on mental disorders.

This study used data from the 2012 Canadian Community Health Survey-Mental Health (CCHS) of 13,722 Canada's labor force population aged 20 to 70. Data were collected from January to December, 2012, using computer-assisted personal interviewing. As combining employment status with perceived job insecurity, we formed five job categories: secure full-time, full-time insecure, part-time secure, part-time insecure employment, and unemployment.

Results showed that, regardless of employment status (full-time vs part-time), insecure employment was significantly associated with high risk of mental disorders. Furthermore, the odds ratios for insecure employment were similar to those for unemployment. Male workers who are full-time, but with insecure jobs, were more likely to experience mental disorders than female workers.

This study's findings imply that while perceived job insecurity may be a critical factor for developing mental health problems among workers, providing effective health care services can mitigate an excessive health risk for the most vulnerable employment, especially for insecure part-time employment and unemployment.

**Abbreviations:** CCHS = Canadian Community Health Survey, CHRA = Canadian Human Rights Act, PNCQ = Perceived Needs for Care Questionnaire, WHO-CIDI = World Health Organization Composite International Diagnostic Interview.

Keywords: employment status, help-seeking behaviors, job insecurity, mental health problems, unmet needs

#### Editor: Flavio Palmieri.

The CCHS data are available on the Statistics Canada website.

This research received no external funding.

The authors have no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are publicly available.

<sup>a</sup> Center for Collaborative Research on Population and Society, Dongguk University, Seoul, Korea, <sup>b</sup> Department of Psychiatry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, <sup>c</sup> Western University Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Windsor, Ontario, Canada, <sup>d</sup> Institute for Mental Health Policy Research, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health and University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada, <sup>e</sup> Statistics Canada and University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, <sup>†</sup>Department of Healthcare Management, Eulji University, Gyeonggi, Korea.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: Ji Man Kim, Department of Healthcare Management, Eulji University, 553 Sanseong-daero, Seongnam-si, Gyeonggi-do, 13135, Korea (e-mail: mann25@gmail.com).

Copyright © 2021 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial License 4.0 (CCBY-NC), where it is permissible to download, share, remix, transform, and buildup the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be used commercially without permission from the journal.

How to cite this article: Kim IH, Choi CC, Urbanoski K, Park J, Kim JM. Analysis of the 2012 Canadian Community Health Survey-Mental Health demonstrates employment insecurity to be associated with mental illness. Medicine 2021;00 (00).Medicine 2021;100:50(e28362).

Received: 5 May 2020 / Received in final form: 3 November 2021 / Accepted: 30 November 2021

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000028362

# 1. Introduction

Employment and work conditions have been shown to be key determinants of health and health disparities.<sup>[1]</sup> In particular, flexible employment (outsider employment and job insecurity) is known as exerting a profound impact on the material and psychosocial conditions of working life.<sup>[2]</sup> Foremost, labor market dualization poses a serious threat to population health, as it has generated a sharp division between outsider and insider employment arrangements.<sup>[2,3]</sup> Outsider employment refers to forms of work that deviate from the standard employment model, and includes part-time, temporary, and casual jobs that do not guarantee permanence or benefits. Insider employment refers to the standard model of full-time, permanent employment that offers a comprehensive package of occupational benefits including health insurance, pension security, and disability income. Over the last few decades, however, new types of flexible employment such as part-time, contract, on-call, and home-based work have arisen significantly.<sup>[2-4]</sup> The changing working pattern in flexible employment implies that epidemiological research cannot simply describe these jobs under the umbrella of "work precariousness." It needs to pay attention to the ways in which the dimensions of flexible employment interweave and link health. Up-to-date, it is much less clear how flexible employment affects mental health and what are the roles played by two potential variables (i.e., job insecurity and outsider work).

Several studies have demonstrated that outsiders suffer from high levels of mental health problems.<sup>[2,5]</sup> The authors contest

that while the detrimental health effects of outsider employment are real and indisputable, the health disparities in the labor market account for work conditions. Specifically, job insecurity, as a key feature of outsider work, may be a primary determinant of social and health disparities. The central significance of job insecurity is not only in the threat of unemployment, but also in the threat to the most important aspects of people's lives: livelihood, careers, status, and resources (e.g., materials, information), as well as identity at work.<sup>[6]</sup> Due to unpredictable job maintenance and financial security, insecurely employed workers share with the unemployed a sense of powerlessness, which engenders enormous frustration and strain.<sup>[6,7]</sup> Traditionally, job insecurity thought to be most prevalent among outsider jobs that typically involve temporary or part-time hours, low pay, and high physical demand.<sup>[2,8]</sup> Thus, outsider workers with job insecurity can be placed at a high risk of mental health problems.

Since the 1970s, the labor market structure in most industrialized societies has been changed in a way to increase job insecurity even among those workers with middle and upper income, full time and professional occupations.<sup>[9,10]</sup> Upper employment classes, differentiated by income and welfare, etc, may influence some degree of vulnerability to job insecurity and its impact on mental health.<sup>[2]</sup> Compared to part-time, outsider workers, who are a marginalized segment of the labor market, however, the threat of unemployment is less likely to inflict mental damage to full-time, insider workers due to their better financial security and resources.<sup>[2]</sup> Thus, there may be a diverse synergistic pattern in the associations between employment status, job insecurity, and mental health outcomes. However, to the best of our knowledge, which specifies the health disparity between outsider and insider employment in this link, is still very limited.

Furthermore, it is necessary to explore the roles of potentially attributable variables, such as help-seeking behaviors and unmet health care needs in explaining the flexible employment-related health inequalities. Stress and coping theories propose that poor health behaviors can be a deleterious strategy for coping with work-related stress, which would in turn lead to poor mental health.<sup>[11-13]</sup> Alcohol consumption, in particular, has been linked to workplace stressors of outsiders such as occupational demands, shift work, repetitive duties, and/or work overload.<sup>[12-14]</sup> Insecurely employed part-time workers are more likely to depend on smoking or alcohol as a way to cope with stress.<sup>[13,14]</sup> In addition, research shows that only one in four Canadian workers feel comfortable discussing mental health problems with their employers.<sup>[15]</sup> Outsider workers with job insecurity are less likely to seek adequate health care for mental health problems, mainly due to fear of layoffs as well as lack of extended health coverage.<sup>[7,16]</sup> However, no systematic attempt to date investigate whether these behaviors or unmet needs contribute to the health disparity for flexible employees. The purpose of this quantitative meta-analysis study was to determine whether there exists a synergistic effect of employment status and job insecurity on mental disorders. In order to address these gaps in the literature, this study examined

- 1. the combined effects between employment status and job insecurity on mental disorders; and
- purported mechanisms by which employment status and job insecurity affect mental health, involving in health behaviors, help-seeking behaviors, and unmet health care needs.

## 2. Methods and materials

## 2.1. Data source

Data for this study were derived from the 2012 Canadian Community Health Survey-Mental Health (CCHS), a nationally representative cross-sectional survey conducted by Statistics Canada.<sup>[17]</sup> The CCHS was drawn from the entire Canadian population at least 15 years of age and living in the 10 provinces. Multi-stage cluster, random sampling procedure was used to select respondents; those residing in the Territories and geographically remote areas, on Indian reserves, or in institutions, as well as full-time members of the armed forces were excluded. Data were collected from January to December 2012, using computer-assisted personal interviewing. Out of an initial 36,433 eligible units, 29,088 households (80%) agreed to participate in the survey, and 25,113 participants completed the interview (final response rate = 69%). The final sample represents 28.3 million Canadians. In the present study, only the Canadian economically active population in age 20 to 74 was considered. Individuals with missing data on job status, smoking status, and drinking status were also excluded leading to a final count of 13,722. Along with the data, Statistics Canada also provides sampling weights to allow for valid inferences about the whole population and bootstrap weights for calculation of confidence intervals.

#### 2.2. Measures

**2.2.1. Mental disorders.** We used the World Health Organization Composite International Diagnostic Interview 3.0 (WHO-CIDI) criteria to determine which respondents suffered from mental disorders in the past 12 months. Mental health disorders accounted for cases from 5 disorders: major depressive disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, bipolar disorder, alcohol use disorder, and other drug use disorder. A single binary variable was derived to indicate that the respondent met the criteria for a mood, anxiety, or substance use disorder in the year prior to the survey. Although not comprehensive, these disorders are the most prevalent in general population samples and are therefore suitable for identifying probable caseness in the workplace.

2.2.2. Employment status. The main independent variable was employment status, which was constructed into those with full time secure jobs, full time insecure jobs, part time secure jobs, part time insecure jobs, and unemployed. In order to combine to form employment status, first, a single-item questionnaire of job insecurity used to assess the extent to which the respondent's job was secure in the past year. This question was rated by a 5-point scale from strongly disagree to strongly agree. We dichotomized responses into two groups and designate "strongly disagree" and "disagree" as job insecurity and "strongly agree," "agree," and "neutral" as job security. Second, based on the questionnaire asking about the number of hours worked per week at the main job, we indicated full-time employment, if the respondents answered that they worked 30 hours or more. Otherwise, we determined as part-time employment. Third, we combined variables of job insecurity and employment status. Respondents with full-time jobs and job security were designated to full-time secure job category and those with full-time jobs and job insecurity as full-time insecure category. Similarly, we formed part-time secure and part-time insecure categories. Finally, unemployment is defined as respondent who did not have a job, had actively looked for work in the past 4 weeks.

www.md-journal.com

**2.2.3.** Health behaviors, help-seeking behaviors, and unmet needs. Volume of alcohol consumption was calculated by multiplying the number of times alcohol was consumed during the past 12 months by the number of drinks consumed on one occasion. Evidence suggests these indicators, albeit correlated, represent distinct dimensions with independent effects on health.<sup>[18]</sup> Respondents were classified as current smokers, former smokers, and never smokers.

A binary variable was constructed to indicate whether the respondent sought professional help for problems with emotions, mental health, and/or use of alcohol or drugs. Professional help in this context includes visits to psychiatrists, other physicians, psychologists, counsellors, nurses, or social workers. A companion binary variable will be derived to indicate assistance from non-professionals. This includes seeking advice or counsel from family members, friends, co-workers and supervisors. Unmet needs were assessed with the Perceived Needs for Care Questionnaire (PNCQ).<sup>[19]</sup> A binary variable will be derived to indicate unmet needs for care, according to whether the respondent felt there was a time when they needed, but did not receive, mental health care.

**2.2.4.** Potential covariates. Demographic factors (age, sex, marital status, and immigrant status) and socioeconomic factors (education and income) were considered as potential covariates. Age was divided into three groups of 20 to 34, 35 to 54, and 55 to 74. However, chronological age (20 years or older) were used as continuous variables. Marital status had three categories: married (reference), widowed/separated/divorced, and single. Education level was split into "less than high school," "high school diploma," "college degree," and "university degree or more (reference)." Immigration status was categorized as "yes" and "no." Income was measured by 10 deciles, which were divided into 3 groups: low (deciles 1–3), average (deciles 4–7), and high (deciles 7–10, reference).

## 2.3. Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were calculated to understand the different characteristics among five employment categories (full-time secure, full-time insecure, part-time secure, part-time insecure, and unemployment). For the five samples, proportions for sociodemographic variables such as age, sex, marital status, education, and immigrant status were compared. Means and standard deviations were calculated for continuous variables such as age and alcohol consumption.

Hierarchical logistic regression analyses were performed to examine the combined effect of employment status and job insecurity on mental disorders. Variables were entered step-wise at each level. In model 1, the main independent variables were job status (five employment categories) and the control variables were age, sex, marital status, education, income, and immigrant status to assess differences in mental disorders among five employment categories (reduced model). In model 2, the reference model was re-examined in expanded models that included measures of alcohol consumption and smoking status. Finally, the model 2 was expanded to include help-seeking behaviors and unmet needs (full model). In these tests, while assessing the correlation between health behaviors, professional and non-professional help, and unmet needs on mental disorders, they allowed us to examine the significance of these variables in explaining the differences in mental health among five job categories. Since the 2012 CCHS followed a complicated multistage survey design, sampling weights and bootstrap variance estimation procedures were applied to this study's regression modelling.

Researchers will use the CCHS-Mental Health data from Statistics Canada. In general, the secondary analysis of existing data does not require ethical approval or institutional review board review.

#### 3. Results

Table 1 shows the descriptive characteristics of this study sample by five employment status. The sample consisted of people with full-time secure jobs (71%), full-time insecure jobs (7%), parttime secure jobs (12.9%), part-time insecure jobs (2%), and unemployed (6%). The sample consisted of similar proportions of men (49.4%) and women (50.6%). Men were more likely than women to have full-time secure jobs (53.5% vs 46.5%), while women had more part-time secure or insecure jobs (71.4% vs 28.6%; 68.5% vs 31.5%, respectively). Immigrants accounted for approximately 17.6% of this sample and were relatively evenly distributed amongst all employment status. However, immigrants were more likely than non-immigrants to be unemployed. In comparison, married respondents had the highest rate of full-time secure jobs (59.3%), whereas single respondents had the highest unemployment rates. Respondents with a college or higher degree were more likely to have full-time secure or insecure jobs, but those with high school diploma or less education had more part-time insecure jobs or unemployment. In general, slightly <50% of respondents reported high annual earnings, while 20.7% reported having low annual income. In comparison, full-time workers (secure and insecure) were more likely to have highest annual income, but part-time workers and the unemployed had lower annual income.

Regarding the help-seeking behaviors, full-time secure workers were the least likely to have received professional help (10.5%) and non-professional help (16.8%) among all five employment groups. It is followed by part-time secure (13.8% for professional, 21.7% for non-professional), full-time insecure workers (16.2% for professional, 25.8% for non-professional), the unemployed (17.8% for professional, 26.6% for nonprofessional), and part-time insecure (19.3% for professional, 30.7% for non-professional). Compared to their secure counterparts, full-time insecure and part-time insecure workers reported a higher probability of having unmet needs (4.6% vs 10.1% and 6.8% vs 18.5%). In terms of mental disorders, a significantly higher prevalence rate was found among full-time insecure (17.8%) and part-time insecure respondents (18.5%) than fulltime secure (9.4%) and part-time secure counterparts (10.9%). The unemployed group had the highest risk of having a mental illness (20.4%) and psychological distress.

Table 2 shows the results of the multiple logistic regression models for variations of mental disorders among five employment groups and the impacts of health and help-seeking behaviors (smoking, drinking, seeking help, and unmet needs) on this link. As shown in model 1, there was a negative association between age and mental disorders. Men, more than women, are more likely to have a risk of mental disorders. Not currently married and living with a lower income and nonimmigrant status were associated with significantly higher odds of mental disorders than their counterparts. After controlling for demographic and socioeconomic variables, the odds of having Table 1

Descriptive statistics among employment status and job insecurity in the Canadian labour force.										
	Total N=13722 N (%)	Full-time secure N = 9746 N (71%)	Full-time insecure N = 962 N (7%)	Part-time secure N = 1769 N (12.9%)	Part-time insecure N = 270 N (2%)	Unemployed N = 830 N (6%)				
Sov	(7	( ))	( • • 7	(,	(	()				
Mala	6776 (10 1)	5010 (50 S)	502 (52 2)	EUC (20 C)	95 (21 5)	409 (40 0)				
Fomolo	6046 (50 G)	JZTS (JS.J)	JUS (JZ.3)	1062 (71 4)	195 (695)	400 (49.2)				
Feilide Immigrapou	0940 (30.0)	4000 (40.0)	409 (47.7)	1203 (71.4)	100 (00.0)	422 (30.6)				
Ven	2/10 (17 6)	1600 (17 0)	160 (16 0)	210 (17 5)	45 (16 7)	105 (00 0)				
Tes	2419 (17.0)	1002 (17.3)	102 (10.0)	310 (17.3) 1450 (02.5)	40 (10.7)	100 (22.0) 6 45 (77.7)				
NU Marital atatus	11303 (62.4)	0004 (02.7)	000 (03.Z)	1439 (62.3)	223 (03.3)	043 (77.7)				
Married (common law)	7051 (57.0)		405 (50 4)		101 (40 5)	0.40 (41.7)				
Warried/common law	7851 (57.2)	5775 (59.3)	485 (50.4)	1021 (57.7)	131 (48.5)	346 (41.7)				
Widowed/separated /divorced	1898 (13.8)	1291 (13.2)	1/1 (1/.8)	248 (14.0)	48 (17.8)	120 (14.5)				
Single	3973 (29.0)	2680 (27.5)	306 (31.8)	500 (28.3)	91 (33.7)	364 (43.9)				
education			70 (0.4)		05 (0.0)					
Less than high school	1213 (8.8)	803 (8.2)	78 (8.1)	184 (10.4)	25 (9.3)	108 (13.0)				
High school diploma	3015 (22.0)	2051 (21.0)	181 (18.8)	463 (26.2)	65 (24.1)	222 (26.7)				
I rade certificate/college degree	5904 (43.0)	4259 (43.7)	411 (42.7)	749 (42.3)	111 (41.1)	318 (38.3)				
University degree or higher	3590 (26.2)	2633 (27.0)	292 (30.4)	373 (21.1)	69 (25.6)	182 (21.9)				
Income										
Low	2845 (20.7)	1442 (14.8)	221 (23.0)	600 (33.9)	119 (44.1)	409 (49.3)				
Average	4122 (30.0)	2919 (30.0)	304 (31.6)	552 (31.2)	78 (28.9)	225 (27.1)				
High	6755 (49.2)	5385 (55.3)	437 (45.4)	617 (34.9)	73 (27.0)	196 (23.6)				
Smoking status										
Never	4650 (33.9)	3239 (33.2)	295 (30.7)	702 (39.7)	89 (33.0)	268 (32.3)				
Former	5545 (40.4)	3998 (41.0)	416 (43.2)	700 (39.6)	111 (41.1)	259 (31.2)				
Current	3520 (25.7)	2505 (25.7)	250 (26.0)	366 (20.7)	70 (25.9)	302 (36.4)				
Professional help										
Not received	12068 (87.9)	8724 (89.5)	806 (83.8)	1524 (86.2)	218 (80.7)	680 (81.9)				
Received	1650 (12.0)	1020 (10.5)	156 (16.2)	245 (13.8)	52 (19.3)	148 (17.8)				
non-professional help										
Not received	11106 (80.9)	8101 (83.1)	714 (74.2)	1385 (78.3)	187 (69.3)	606 (73.0)				
Received	2603 (19.0)	1640 (16.8)	248 (25.8)	383 (21,7)	83 (30.7)	221 (26.6)				
Unmet needs						( /				
No	12900 (94.0)	9302 (95.4)	865 (89.9)	1648 (93.2)	220 (81.5)	730 (88.0)				
Yes	822 (6.0)	444 (4.6)	97 (10.1)	121 (6.8)	50 (18.5)	100 (12.0)				
Mental illness	022 (010)	(		(0.0)	00 (1010)	100 (1210)				
No	11860 (86.4)	8603 (88 3)	764 (794)	1520 (85.9)	210 (77 8)	647 (78 0)				
Ves	1520 (11 1)	917 (9 <i>I</i> )	171 (17.8)	1020 (00.0)	50 (18 5)	169 (20 /)				
100	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)				
٨ae	12 3 (0 1)	12 3 (0 2)	13 0 (0 6)		1/1 Q (1 5)	386 (07)				
Alcohol consumption (litres)	42.3 (0.1) 204.0 (5.5)	42.3 (U.Z) 217.2 (6.0)	40.0 (0.0) 103.5 (13.3)	43.4 (0.0) 155.6 (0.6)	172 Q (24 8)	163 1 (15 /)				
Alconor consumption (intes)	204.0 (0.0)	217.2 (0.3)	190.0 (10.0)	133.0 (8.0)	112.3 (24.0)	103.1 (13.4)				

SD = standard deviation.

mental disorders were higher for full-time insecure (OR 1.86, 95%CI: 1.35–2.55) and part-time insecure (OR 2.06, 95%CI: 1.30–3.27) employment and unemployment (OR 1.78, 95%CI: 1.32–2.41) than full-time secure employment. However, no significant differences in mental disorders were detected between full-time and part-time employment (model 1).

As shown in model 2, the volume of alcohol consumption was positively associated with mental disorders. Compared to neversmokers, current smokers had the highest risk of mental disorders (OR 1.83, 95% CI: 1.43–2.36) followed by former smokers (OR 1.40, 95% CI: 1.11–1.77). After additional adjustment for smoking and alcohol consumption, the odds in mental disorders among five employment groups were slightly increased. Model 3 shows that receiving any type of help, professional or non-professional, was positively associated with mental disorders (OR 4.29, 95% CI: 3.32–5.55; OR 3.04, 95% CI: 2.40–3.84, respectively). Unmet health care needs were significantly correlated to mental disorders (OR 3.54, 95% CI: 2.60–4.83). With the addition of help-seeking behaviors and unmet health care needs, the differences in mental disorders among five employment categories were significantly diminished. In particular, the excessive risks of mental disorders among part-time insecure employment and unemployment were decreased.

## 4. Discussions

This study investigated whether there was a synergistic effect of employment status and job insecurity on mental disorders. This study also sought to identify the roles of health behaviors, helpseeking behaviors, and unmet health care needs on this relationship. In contrast with cumulative empirical evidence, this study based on a Canadian representative sample failed to find any combined effect between outsider employment and job insecurity on mental disorders and health disparities between fulltime and part-time employment. Instead, insecure employment was associated with high risks of mental disorders. Previous research has indicated that flexible employment (outsider employment and job insecurity) are generally considered of as Table 2

Logistic regression model assessing risk factors for mental disorders among employment status and job insecurity.

	I	Nodel 1	Model 2		Model 3	
Independent variables	OR	95%CI	OR	95%CI	OR	95%CI
Intercept	0.12	0.07-0.21	0.08	0.05-0.14	0.06	0.03-0.12
Age	0.97	0.97-0.98	0.97	0.96-0.98	0.97	0.96-0.98
Sex (ref = male)						
Female	0.82	0.69-0.98	1.01	0.83-1.21	0.73	0.59-0.89
Immigrancy (ref = yes)						
No	2.20	1.70-2.83	1.80	1.39-2.33	1.41	1.06–1.87
Marital status (ref = married)						
Widowed/separated/divorced	1.58	1.11-2.23	1.54	1.07-2.22	1.25	0.89–1.75
Single	1.67	1.36-2.04	1.55	1.26-1.90	1.47	1.16-1.86
education (ref = university +)						
Less than high school	1.16	0.80-1.67	0.88	0.58-1.33	1.32	0.83-2.10
High school diploma	1.23	0.93-1.61	1.09	0.83-1.44	1.33	0.98-1.81
Trade certificate/college	1.21	0.95-1.55	1.11	0.86-1.43	1.35	1.02-1.78
Income (ref = high)						
Average	1.23	0.98-1.53	1.29	1.03-1.62	1.13	0.89–1.44
Low	1.64	1.28-2.11	1.71	1.31-2.22	1.49	1.14–1.95
Job status (ref = full-time secure)						
Full-time insecure	1.86	1.35-2.55	1.95	1.42-2.69	1.72	1.22-2.43
Part-time secure	1.12	0.84-1.49	1.24	0.93-1.66	1.13	0.81-1.56
Part-time insecure	2.06	1.30-3.27	2.18	1.39-3.40	1.61	0.96-2.69
Unemployed	1.78	1.32-2.41	1.84	1.36-2.50	1.28	0.86-1.90
Alcohol consumption (volume)			1.00	1.00-1.01	1.00	1.00-1.01
Smoking status (ref = never)						
Former			1.40	1.11-1.77	1.19	0.93-1.53
Current			1.83	1.43-2.36	1.67	1.25-2.21
Professional help (ref = not received)						
Received					4.29	3.32-5.55
Non-professional help (ref = not received)						
Received					3.04	2.40-3.84
Unmet needs (ref=no)						
Yes					3.54	2.60-4.83

95%Cl: 95% confidence interval, OR = odds ratio.

being conducive to lower level of mental health.<sup>[3]</sup> In the Canada's labor force population, however, job insecurity seemed to be a primary factor determining mental disorders, but parttime work itself did not. A theory proposes that if workers choose part-time work as a temporary stepping stone toward permanent employment, being a part-time worker neither pre-figures a sense of job insecurity, nor is it necessarily associated with poor health outcomes.<sup>[20,21]</sup> This study's findings added research evidence suggesting that securely employed part-timer workers may enjoy the same levels of mental health as securely employed full-time workers. In contrast, insecurely employed full- and part-time workers seemed to share with the unemployed a sense of powerlessness, leading to enormous mental health problems.<sup>[6,7]</sup>

In the same vein, this study's findings suggested that full-time workers with perceived job insecurity reported significantly poorer mental health than those with perceived job security. The odds ratio of mental disorders for those workers was even slightly higher than that for the unemployed. Previous research indicated that the adverse impact of job insecurity on health could be understood as the most serious problem for vulnerable segments including young workers, older workers, pregnant workers, workers with language disabilities or for whom English is not a first language.<sup>[22]</sup> This result showed that job insecurity disproportionately afflicted such full-time occupations. This study's finding was in line with recent evidence illustrating that the fear of unemployment was also common among middle- and even upper-income workers, such as research assistants, lecturers, nurses, and office staff.<sup>[9,22]</sup> Making plans for the future might not be possible under insecure work conditions; even at middleor upper-income levels, job insecurity leads to serious social repercussions and mental health problems.

In agreement with a large volume of evidence, this study's finding showed that current smoking and alcohol consumption were positively associated with mental disorders.<sup>[2,23]</sup> After additionally controlling these health behaviors, the associations between insecure employment and mental disorders remained relatively unchanged. Perhaps, this result may partly reflect previous evidence suggesting that drinking and smoking behaviors were not related with job strain among precarious work.<sup>[24]</sup> However, this result may be partly attributable to the failure of price-related health promotion policies among working population. In this study, the current smoking rate among five employment groups was much higher than 19.9% in Canadian national prevalence in 2011. The proportions of current smokers among employment categories ranged from 20.7% to 26.0%, with the exception of the highest proportion of smokers among unemployed people (36.4%). Despite high prices on cigarettes, cigarette smoking seems to service as a coping strategy for the stressful and uncontrollable unemployment status.<sup>[25]</sup> Contrary to smoking, part-time workers and unemployed people were less likely to consume alcohol than full-time workers. This finding confirmed existing meta-analysis evidence using longitudinal

studies, indicating the negative association between unemployment and alcohol consumption.<sup>[26]</sup> The likelihood of abstinence among part-time workers and unemployed could be related to their financial difficulty purchasing alcohol or tobacco.

In this study, professional and non-professional help-seeking behaviors and unmet health care needs were significantly associated with mental disorders. With the addition of these variables to the model, the associations between flexible employment (i.e., job insecurity) and mental health problems were virtually disappeared, with exemption of the high risk of mental disorders in insecure full-time employment. It may be partially attributable to high proportion of seeking professional and non-professional help among insecure part-time employment/unemployment, which is related to their poor mental health.<sup>[27,28]</sup> Compared to secure full-time workers, insecure fulland part-time workers and unemployed were more likely to seek both professional and non-professional help. However, this result indicates that the burden of unmet needs was more acute in workers who suffer from perceived job insecurity or unemployment. This study found a synergistic effect of two relevant flexible employment on unmet health care needs (i.e., secure full-time (4%) and part-time (6.8%), insecure full-time (10.8%) and parttime (18.5%), unemployment (12%)). Despite the Canada's universal comprehensive health coverage, vulnerable workers appear to have insufficient supplemental health coverage and health care service. The unmet needs seem to substantially act as a mechanism by which employment inequality contributes to poor mental health.

This study has several limitations. First, The Canadian Community Health Survey-Mental Health (CCHS)-Mental Health surveys were conducted in 2002 and 2012. The 2012 CCHS-Mental Health is the most recent data. Although this is the most recent nationally representative survey data available, it is more than 8 years old. By employing the cross-sectional design of the 2012 CCHS, this study's results cannot determine the causal relationships between five employment groups and mental disorders. Furthermore, the study design cannot rule out the possibility of selection bias, which is known as the "healthy worker effect." People with poor mental health would move to insecure part-time jobs or unemployment. However, the "healthy worker effect" is unlikely to influence this study's findings, since the Canadian Human Rights Act (CHRA) prohibits employment discrimination for people with mental health disabilities or addiction. Exiting evidence from a U.S. longitudinal study also suggests that there was less common mechanism of selection bias.<sup>[29]</sup> Finally, single item of self-rated job insecurity can contain several potential sources of bias. However, subjective job insecurity refers to an individual's perception of threat to job continuity, and mirrors the discordance between the level of job security that an individual experiences and the level of one's preference.<sup>[30-32]</sup> Despite this study's several limitations, this study's findings will contribute to a better understanding of the effect of dynamic flexible employment on mental health problems. Furthermore, the finding can be generalized to the Canadian population, since this study utilized the representative sample of the 2012 CCHS.

#### 5. Conclusions

This study suggests that workers with perceived job insecurity, alongside with unemployed, are significantly associated with mental disorders, regardless of employment status (full-time vs part-time). The impact of insecure employment on mental disorders was similar to that of unemployment. Results indicate that help-seeking behaviors and unmet health care needs to significantly explain the excessive risks of mental health problems among vulnerable workers with perceived job insecurity and unemployed people. These findings imply that subjective job insecurity, rather than part-time employment itself, seemed to be a strong predictor to determine poor mental health. Effective health care service can mitigate health inequality of job insecurity.

#### Author contributions

Conceptualization: Jungwee Park.

Data curation: Cyu-Chul Choi, Karen Urbanoski, Jungwee Park.

Formal analysis: Il-Ho Kim, Cyu-Chul Choi, Jungwee Park. Methodology: Il-Ho Kim, Jungwee Park.

Withouology. II-110 Killi, Juligwee Tark

Supervision: Karen Urbanoski.

Validation: Ji Man Kim.

Writing - original draft: Il-Ho Kim, Ji Man Kim.

Writing - review & editing: Ji Man Kim.

#### References

- Braveman P, Gottlieb L. The social determinants of health: it's time to consider the causes of the causes. Public Health Rep 2014;129(Suppl 2):19–31.
- [2] Kim IH, Muntaner C, Vahid Shahidi F, Vives A, Vanroelen C, Benach J. Welfare states, flexible employment, and health: a critical review. Health Policy 2012;104:99–127.
- [3] Lindbeck A, Snower DJ. Insiders versus outsiders. J Econ Perspect 2001;15:165–88.
- [4] Häusermann S, Schwander H. Varieties of dualization? Labor market segmentation and insider-outsider divides across regimes. The Age of Dualization: The Changing Face of Inequality in Deindustrializing Societies. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 2012;27–51.
- [5] Artazcoz L, Benach J, Borrell C, Cortès I. Social inequalities in the impact of flexible employment on different domains of psychosocial health. J Epidemiol Community Health 2005;59:761–7.
- [6] Joelson L, Wahlquist L. The psychological meaning of job insecurity and job loss: results of a longitudinal study. Soc Sci Med 1987;25:179– 82.
- [7] Domenighetti G, d'Avanzo B, Bisig B. Health effects of job insecurity among employees in the Swiss general population. Int J Health Serv 2000;30:477–90.
- [8] Elovainio M, Kuusio H, Aalto AM, Sinervo T, Heponiemi T. Insecurity and shiftwork as characteristics of negative work environment: psychosocial and behavioural mediators. J Adv Nurs 2010;66:1080–91.
- [9] Lewchuk W, Lafleche M, Dyson D, et al. It's More Than Poverty: Employment Precarity and Household Well-Being. Toronto, ON: Poverty and Employment Precarity in Southern Ontario; 2013.
- [10] Pedulla DS. The hidden costs of contingency: employers' use of contingent workers and standard employees' outcomes. Soc Forces 2013;92:691–722.
- [11] Schreuder K, Roelen C, Koopmans P, Groothoff J. Job demands and health complaints in white and blue collar workers. Work 2008;31:425– 32.
- [12] Frone MR. Work stress and alcohol use. Alcohol Res Health 1999;23:284–91.
- [13] Marchand A, Blanc ME. Occupation, work organization conditions, and alcohol misuse in Canada: an 8-year longitudinal study. Subst Use Misuse 2011;46:1003–14.
- [14] Siegrist J, Rödel A. Work stress and health risk behavior. Scand J Work Environ Health 2006;473–81.
- [15] Sunderland A, Findlay LC. Perceived need for mental health care in Canada: results from the 2012 Canadian community health surveymental health: Statistics Canada Ottawa; 2013.
- [16] Reichert AR, Augurzky B, Tauchmann H. Self-perceived job insecurity and the demand for medical rehabilitation: does fear of unemployment reduce health care utilization? Health Econ 2015;24:8–25.
- [17] Statistics Canada. Canadian Community Health Survey–Mental Health (CCHS). Ministry of Industry Ottawa, Canada; 2013.

- [19] Meadows G, Harvey C, Fossey E, Burgess P. Assessing perceived need for mental health care in a community survey: development of the Perceived Need for Care Questionnaire (PNCQ). Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2000;35:427–35.
- [20] Kalleberg AL, Reskin BF, Hudson K. Bad jobs in America: standard and nonstandard employment relations and job quality in the United States. Am Sociol Rev 2000;256–78.
- [21] Hudson K. The new labor market segmentation: labor market dualism in the new economy. Soc Sci Res 2007;36:286–312.
- [22] Cheng Y, Chen CW, Chen CJ, Chiang TL. Job insecurity and its association with health among employees in the Taiwanese general population. Soc Sci Med 2005;61:41–52.
- [23] Kim IH, Muntaner C, Khang YH, Paek D, Cho SI. The relationship between nonstandard working and mental health in a representative sample of the South Korean population. Soc Sci Med 2006;63:566–74.
- [24] Mezuk B, Bohnert AS, Ratliff S, Zivin K. Job strain, depressive symptoms, and drinking behavior among older adults: results from the health and retirement study. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci 2011;66:426-34.

- [25] De Vogli R, Santinello M. Unemployment and smoking: does psychosocial stress matter? Tob Control 2005;14:389–95.
- [26] Temple MT, Fillmore KM, Hartka E, Johnstone B, Leino EV, Motoyoshi M. A meta-analysis of change in marital and employment status as predictors of alcohol consumption on a typical occasion. Br J Addict 1991;86:1269–81.
- [27] Catalano R, Rook K, Dooley D. Labor markets and help-seeking: a test of the employment security hypothesis. J Health Soc Behav 1986;27:277–87.
- [28] Reichert AR, Tauchmann H. Workforce reduction, subjective job insecurity, and mental health. J Econ Behav Organ 2017;133:187–212.
- [29] Eaton WW, Muntaner C, Bovasso G, Smith C. Socioeconomic status and depressive syndrome: the role of inter-and intra-generational mobility, government assistance, and work environment. J Health Soc Behav 2001;42:277–94.
- [30] Hartley J, Jacobson D, Klandermans B, Van Vuuren T. Job Insecurity: Coping with Jobs at Risk. 1990;Sage Publications Ltd,
- [31] Roskies E, Louis-Guerin C. Job insecurity in managers: antecedents and consequences. J Organ Behav 1990;11:345–59.
- [32] Helbling L, Kanji S. Job insecurity: differential effects of subjective and objective measures on life satisfaction trajectories of workers aged 27-30 in Germany. Soc Indic Res 2018;137:1145–62.