



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Vie scope® laryngoscope versus Macintosh laryngoscope with personal protective equipment during intubation of COVID-19 resuscitation patient



Dear Editor,

Airway management is a key element of resuscitation procedures. However, due to the current COVID-19 pandemic, medical personnel should complete medical procedures wearing full personal protective equipment (PPE) for aerosol-generating procedures (AGP) [1]. PPE-AGP should be used especially during cardiopulmonary resuscitation, including airway management [2]. Emergency intubation using direct laryngoscopy carries a significant risk of failure. As many authors indicate, the effectiveness of the first intubation attempt with a Macintosh laryngoscope is from 57.6% to 89.9% [3,4]. The use of PPE-AGP may reduce the efficiency of medical procedures and prolong their duration [5,6]. Intubation methods alternative to Macintosh laryngoscope may be a suitable solution. An example of a new type of laryngoscope is Vie Scope® (Adroit Surgical, Oklahoma City, USA), which is a self-contained, battery-powered, disposable scope that takes advantage of a closed circular tube with a beveled end to visualize the vocal cords (Fig. 1). The light is transmitted through the sidewall of the tube from end to end as well as within the lumen of the tube. The intubation procedure using Vie Scope® should be based on the following steps – the intubator should: (1) insert scope and identify glottis opening between vocal cords, (2) pass the bougie under direct vision between the vocal cords into the trachea, (3) remove the scope leave the bougie in place, (4) pass the endotracheal tube over the bougie into the trachea, (5) remove the bougie, (6) ventilate.

The aim of this study was to evaluate intubation performance by paramedics wearing PPE-AGP using Macintosh laryngoscope and Vie Scope® laryngoscope under simulated resuscitation of COVID-19 patient.

The study was designed as a prospective randomized crossover simulation trial. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Polish Society of Disaster Medicine (No. 15.11.2019. IRB). 42 working paramedics took part in the study. Voluntary informed consent was obtained from each participant. None of the participants

had previous experience with the Vie Scope® laryngoscope. Before the examination, the participants took part in the presentation of the laryngoscope and the method of correct intubation using it. The study participants then attended a 20-minute practical training course during which they performed endotracheal intubation using Vie Scope® under normal airway conditions. During the target study, paramedics dressed in full PPE-AGP were to perform endotracheal intubation with continuous chest compression. Endotracheal intubation was performed using Macintosh laryngoscope (MAC; blade no.3) as well as with Vie Scope® laryngoscope (VSC). The advanced SimMan 3G adult patient simulator (Stavanger, Norway) was used to simulate a patient requiring endotracheal intubation. A standard intubation guide was used for MAC and a bougie guide for VSC. Each participant had a maximum of 3 attempts to intubate using each device. Both the order of participants and intubation methods were random. A detailed randomization procedure is presented in Supplementary Fig. 1. The data were blinded for the team interpreting the results. The results were analyzed using the statistical package STATISTICA 13.3EN (Tibco Inc., USA) or Review Manager 5.4EN (Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK). Group differences in dichotomous data are expressed as odds ratios (ORs) and group differences in continuous data as mean differences (MDs), both with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The fixed-effect model was used to pool the results.

Duration of intubation when one attempt needed between distinct intubation methods varied and amounted to 44 (IQR; 40.5–53)sec. For MAC and 28.5(IQR; 25–38.5)sec. For VSC (MD = 15.30; 95%CI: 13.69, 16.91; $p < 0.001$). Median overall intubation time with MAC was 47 s (IQR; 41.5–95.5) and was statistically significantly longer than with VSC – 29 s. (IQR; 25–39; MD = 27.30; 95%CI: 22.43, 32.17; $p < 0.001$). First attempt success rate with MAC was 50.0% vs. 92.9% for VSC (MD = 0.08; 95%CI: 0.02, 0.29; $p < 0.001$), and overall success rate was 90.5 vs. 100% respectively (MD = 0.10; 95%CI: 0.01, 1.93; $p = 0.13$). The ease of intubation is based on the VAS scale (0 – „easy procedure” to „10” – difficult procedure) varied and amounted to 5 (IQR, 4–8) vs. 2 (IQR; 2–5) points (MD = 2.75; 95%CI: 2.34, 3.16; $p < 0.001$).

In conclusion, under the conditions of intubation performed by paramedics wearing PPE-AGP with continuous chest compression, the results of the study indicate higher efficiency of intubation with Vie Scope® compared to Macintosh laryngoscope in terms of both the efficiency of the first intubation attempt and the time of the procedure. Further studies are required to confirm the results.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2020.08.085>.



Fig. 1. Intubation using Vie Scope laryngoscope

Declaration of Competing Interest

None.

References

- [1] Ruetzler K, Smereka J, Ludwin K, Drozd A, Szarpak L. Respiratory protection among healthcare workers during cardiopulmonary resuscitation in COVID-19 patients. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2020 May 11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2020.05.014> S0735–6757 (20)30354–5.
- [2] Pruc M, Golik D, Szarpak L, Adam I, Smereka J. COVID-19 in healthcare workers. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2020 May 8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2020.05.017> S0735–6757 (20)30355–7.
- [3] Sakes JC, Mosier JM, Patanwala AE, et al. The utility of the C-MAC as a direct laryngoscope for intubation in the emergency department. *J Emerg Med.* 2016;51(4):349–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jemermed.2016.05.039>.
- [4] Mallick T, Verma A, Jaiswal S, et al. Comparison of the time to successful endotracheal intubation using the Macintosh laryngoscope or KingVision video laryngoscope in the emergency department: a prospective observational study. *Turk J Emerg Med.* 2020; 20(1):22–7. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2452-2473.276381>.
- [5] Malysz M, Dabrowski M, Böttiger BW, et al. Resuscitation of the patient with suspected/confirmed COVID-19 when wearing personal protective equipment: a

- randomized multicenter crossover simulation trial. *Cardiol J.* 2020 May 18. <https://doi.org/10.5603/CJ.a2020.0068>.
- [6] Ludwin K, Bialka S, Czyzewski L, et al. Video laryngoscopy for endotracheal intubation of adult patients with suspected/ confirmed COVID-19. A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Disaster Emerg Med J.* 2020;5(2): 85–97. <https://doi.org/10.5603/DEMJA2020.0023>.

Maciej Maslanka

*Maria Sklodowska-Curie Medical Academy in Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland
Polish Society of Disaster Medicine, Warsaw, Poland*

Jacek Smereka

*Polish Society of Disaster Medicine, Warsaw, Poland
Department of Emergency Medical Service, Wroclaw Medical University,
Wroclaw, Poland*

Lukasz Czyzewski

*Polish Society of Disaster Medicine, Warsaw, Poland
Department of Nephrology Nursing, Medical University of Warsaw,
Warsaw, Poland*

Jerzy Robert Ladny

*Polish Society of Disaster Medicine, Warsaw, Poland
Clinic of Emergency Medicine, Bialystok Medical University, Bialystok,
Poland*

Marek Dabrowski

*Polish Society of Disaster Medicine, Warsaw, Poland
Department of Medical Education, Poznan University of Medical Sciences,
Poznan, Poland*

Lukasz Szarpak

*Polish Society of Disaster Medicine, Warsaw, Poland
Bialystok Oncology Center, Bialystok, Poland
Corresponding author at: Bialystok Oncology Center, Ogrodowa 12, 15-
027 Bialystok, Poland.*

E-mail address: lukasz.szarpak@gmail.com

8 July 2020