# Relationship between parents' dietary care and food diversity among preschool children in Japan

Midori Ishikawa<sup>1,\*</sup>, Kumi Eto<sup>2</sup>, Mayu Haraikawa<sup>3</sup>, Nobuo Yoshiike<sup>4</sup> and Tetsuji Yokoyama<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Health Promotion, National Institute of Public Health, 2-3-6 Minami, Wako, Saitama 351-0197, Japan: <sup>2</sup>School of Nutrition Sciences, Kagawa Nutrition University, Sakado, Saitama, Japan: <sup>3</sup>Department of Child Studies, Faculty of Child Studies, Seitoku University, Matsudo, Chiba, Japan: <sup>4</sup>Department of Nutrition, Faculty of Health Sciences, Aomori University of Health and Welfare, Hamadate, Aomori, Japan

Submitted 25 September 2020: Final revision received 12 February 2021: Accepted 1 March 2021: First published online 5 March 2021

### Abstract

*Objective:* To identify the relationship between preschool children's dietary diversity and parents' care behaviours related to their diet including contents of foods and snacks, mealtime practice and parent–child communication.

*Design:* Cross-sectional study. Data were extracted from the National Nutrition Survey on Preschool Children in 2015 by Japan's Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare.

*Setting:* The distribution of food diversity score (FDS) (maximum of eight points) was confirmed. The participants were divided into higher ( $\geq$ 4 points) and lower ( $\leq$ 3 points) food diversity groups. A comparison between the two groups examined parents' socio-economic status, children's health and living conditions, and parental care concerning children's diets (thirteen items). A multiple regression analysis was performed relating FDS to the factors of parental socio-economic status and child health, and a logistic regression analysis was conducted to identify factors of parental care related to the higher food diversity group.

Participants: 2143 persons from households with children aged 2-6 years.

*Results:* Parental care concerning children's diets was the factor most strongly associated with children's FDS. Those factors most strongly associated with higher food diversity were nutritional balance of foods (OR: 1.76; 95% CI 1.44, 2.16; P < 0.0001), snack contents (OR: 1.41; 95% CI 1.07, 1.86; P = 0.014) and regular mealtimes (OR: 1.30; 95% CI 1.08, 1.55; P = 0.005).

*Conclusions:* The findings indicate the importance of parents paying attention to the contents of children's foods and snacks, ensuring that children eat regularly, and increasing the diversity of their diets.

Keywords Preschool children Food diversity Parental care Nutritional balance Regular mealtimes

Eating a variety of foods in early childhood is particularly well recognised as being important for optimal nutritional status across the life course<sup>(1–3)</sup>. According to the United Nations FAO, food variety refers to the consumption of a mixture of foods from a range of food groups<sup>(4)</sup>. The FAO global dietary guidelines recommend that people should eat a wide variety of food for a balanced diet and, as such, introduced the food diversity score (FDS). The FDS assesses the diet of people at the local level, whereby diversity in the number of food groups can be used as an indicator to assess the nutritional quality of the whole diet and has been promoting the assessment

of household and individual dietary diversity worldwide  $^{(4,5)}$ .

In previous studies, a dietary plan with practical food amount based on a variety of foods within preschool children's energy requirements was proposed<sup>(6,7)</sup>. However, many young children develop unbalanced diets due to picky eating, among other habits<sup>(8,9)</sup>. The National Nutrition Survey on Preschool Children (NNSPC) conducted in Japan reported that approximately 80% of parents expressed frequent concerns about the dietary habits of their children<sup>(10)</sup>. Studies have particularly linked limited dietary variety to low intakes of fruits and

NS Public Health Nutrition

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Email ishikawa.m.aa@niph.go.jp

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Nutrition Society. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

vegetables and high intakes of unhealthy processed food<sup>(11,12)</sup>, with possible consequences including obesity<sup>(12)</sup>.

Preschool children's dietary behaviours and diet quality are associated with home environment and parental behaviours<sup>(13,14)</sup>. Many parents recognise the need to be aware of and closely manage their children's diet to ensure food diversity, including contents of foods and snacks (e.g., nutritional balance, flavouring and seasoning, and amount of food), mealtime practice (e.g., regular mealtimes and chewing well) and parent–child communication (e.g., cooking meals with children and eating together)<sup>(10)</sup>. Previous research has related parents and children cooking meals together to higher food diversity of children's diets<sup>(15)</sup>. However, few academic studies have comprehensively examined how parental care behaviours are related to children's food diversity.

Therefore, the aim of the current study was to identify the relationship between preschool children's food diversity and their parents' care behaviours with regard to their diet including contents of foods and snacks, mealtime practice and parent–child communication.

### Methods

Data for the study were retrieved from the NNSPC, which was conducted on September 2015 by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (MHLW) in Japan<sup>(10)</sup>. The NNSPC aims to obtain basic data for promoting breast-feeding and improving the eating habits of infants and preschool children by understanding the actual conditions of nutrition and diet of infants and preschoolers across the nation. This survey is conducted every 10 years. The survey method and items were examined by establishing an expert study group in the MHLW.

### Study population and procedure

Figure 1 shows the study population and procedure. Children aged ≤6 years as of 31 May 2015 were randomly selected from households among 1106 districts for the Comprehensive Survey of Living Conditions, conducted by the MHLW. Three districts affected by heavy rain in September 2015 were excluded from the survey target area. First, the MHLW explained the survey method to the prefectures. Subsequently, the prefectural public health centre employed investigators to visit the households selected for this survey. The investigators asked the children's mothers (or caregivers) to complete a questionnaire, which was collected at a later date. In total, 2992 households with 3936 children aged  $\leq 6$  years participated in the survey. The response rate of the survey was 56.8%. The questionnaires associated with sixty-five children were excluded because information on age was not available. Finally, 3871 questionnaires were collected for analysis<sup>(10)</sup>.

A database was prepared by the Maternal and Child Health Division, Department of Equal Employment and Children's Family, MHLW.

The NNSPC has two types of questionnaires, one of which is restricted to infants aged <2 years and the other of which encompasses children aged 2–6 years. Data obtained from the latter questionnaire were used in the current study. In total, 2143 persons responded to all items consistent with the purpose of the current study.

### Measurement

Children's dietary patterns in relation to the eight food groups (grains, fish, meat, eggs, soyabeans/soya products, vegetables, fruit and milk) were evaluated as objective variables, as well as their intake of processed foods, whereby four items (sweetened beverages, confectioneries, instant noodles and fast food) were investigated. The survey inquired how often the children consumed foods in each group ( $\geq 2$  times/d, once a day, 4–6 d/week, 1–3 d/week or less than once a week or rarely)<sup>(10,16)</sup>. The FAO's FDS was applied to assess the nutritional quality of the whole diet<sup>(5)</sup>.

Thirteen items assessed parents' care behaviour in relation to children's diets based on previous studies, which confirm their validity and reliability, with professional support to provide nutrition consultation. We posed the question 'Are you (parent) careful about your child's diet?' with regard to the following thirteen items: (1) food : seven items comprising nutritional balance, flavouring and seasoning, size or softness, assorted arrangements and colours (colour and placement of the cooked foods on the plate), amount and snack (contents, amount)<sup>(17-20)</sup>; (2) mealtime practice: three items comprising regular mealtimes, chewing well, table manners<sup>(17,21)</sup> and (3) parent-child communication: three items comprising enjoyment of eating, eating together, cooking together<sup>(17,18)</sup>. Of the thirteen items related to parental care emphasised in the current study, five items (nutrition balance, amount, regular mealtimes, table manners and eating together) were researched in both the 2005 and 2015 surveys, and eight other items were newly added in the 2015 survey. Each item was scored based on 'yes' or 'no' responses.

Explanatory variables related to parents included their relationships with their children, age of mother, current employment status of mother, household structure (i.e., presence of other children, grandparents and others), subjective economic status, leisure time (i.e., affluent, somewhat, neither, not so much, unable to afford at all), the place where the child spends time during the day (i.e., nursery school, kindergarten, centres for early childhood education and care, grandparents and relatives, or none of the above) and lifestyle regarding eating breakfast with parents. In addition, data concerning children's age; height; weight; nutritional status (degree of obesity); food



Fig. 1 (colour online) Study population and procedure diagram of the current study

allergies; tooth decay and time spent on TV, video and games were obtained.

# Nutritional status of children

The nutritional status of children was determined based on body weight and height. The degree of obesity (%) was calculated using the following formula: self-reported body weight (g) – standard body weight (g) for height/ standard body weight (g) for height × 100. The judgement criteria for the degree of obesity were 'obese' ( $\geq$ 30 %), 'overweight' (20–30 %), 'tendency to be overweight' (15–20 %), 'standard' (–15 to +15 %), 'tendency to be underweight' (< 15 % to < 20 %) and 'underweight' (< 20 %). The standard body weight was calculated using the formula of standard body weight for height in Japanese children<sup>(22,23)</sup>. The formula does not consider age because the standard body weight for height curves was almost identical for children aged 1–6 years<sup>(24)</sup>.

Height and weight were self-reported questions because there is a rule that the same item should not be surveyed repeatedly to the people by different surveys according to the regulations of the MHLW. As the height and weight of children are surveyed by the National Growth Survey on Preschool Children in 2010<sup>(24)</sup>, these data were not measured in the NNSPC and were asked in a self-reported method. However, in Japan, many parents measure the physique of an infant or preschool child at home; it is also often measured at day care centres and kindergartens. Therefore, it might be consid-

ered that several measured values were described in the survey.

# Statistical analysis

The FDS of children comprised the total number of eight food groups being consumed at least once a  $dav^{(4,10,19)}$ . The FDS was one point if consumption occurred once or more per day or zero points if less than that. There were eight types of foods; thus, the maximum score was eight points. Once the FDS distribution was identified, the FDS was divided into two groups according to medians: (1) three or fewer points and (2) four or more points<sup>(4)</sup>. The processed food score was calculated according to the total number of four food items (sweetened beverages, confectioneries, instant noodles and fast food) being consumed at least once a day<sup>(4)</sup>. As in the case of FDS, the processed food score was calculated as a score of one point if the food type was consumed at least once a day or zero points if less than that. There were four types of foods; thus, the maximum score was four points.

The sex of the parent who answered the questionnaire, age and socio-economic status as well as children's sex; nutritional status; food allergies; tooth decay and time spent on TV, video and games were compared between the two FDS groups. Furthermore, the total value calculated from the thirteen items of the parent's care behaviour in children's diets was compared between the two FDS groups.

Multiple regression analysis analysed relationships between FDS scores and several variables, including the

### Parents' care and food diversity in children

total value of the parent's care behaviours in children's diets; subjective socio-economic status; food allergies; tooth decay and time spent on TV, video and games, as well as the child's age and mother's age. The continuous variables included the total value of the parent's care behaviours in children's diets, the child's age and the mother's age. The nominal variables such as subjective socio-economic status; food allergies; tooth decay and time spent on TV, video and games were converted to an ordinal scale.

Next, we used logistic regression to specifically analyse the relationship between the variables and the higher FDS group. Multivariate analysis was performed for each of the thirteen items measuring parental care in relation to children's diets using a logistic regression model that adjusted for the parent's relationship with the child, child's sex, employment status of the mother and household structure (model 1).

Additional multivariate analysis was performed for each of the thirteen items measuring parental care in relation to children's diets using a logistic regression model that adjusted for the parent's relationship with the child, child's sex, employment status of the mother, household structure, subjective economic status, leisure time and place where the child spends time during the day (model 2).

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS software, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc.). A probability (P) value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### Results

Figure 2 shows the children's FDS distribution. Scores ranged from 0 to 8 points, and there was a normal distribution with a median of four points. The median was divided into two groups:  $\geq$ 4 points (*n* 1151) and  $\leq$ 3 points (*n* 992).

Table 1 compares the characteristics of mother's age (P = 0.001) and employment status, family members living together, subjective economic status and leisure time, and the places where children spend the day according to FDS group. The mean age of mother (36.3 years) in the 'higher FDS' group was 1 year older than those in the 'lower FDG' group (35.5 years) (P = 0.001). The subjective economic status of the 'higher FDS' group was better than that of the 'lower FDS' group (P < 0.0001). In addition, children of the higher FDS group were more likely to be in nursery school (P = 0.041), whereas children of the lower FDS group were more commonly in kindergarten (P = 0.034). A higher proportion of parents in the lower FDS group tended to skip breakfast (P = 0.0002). There were no significant differences in the other variables between the two groups.

Table 2 compares the children's age; sex; nutritional status; food allergies; tooth decay and time spent on TV, video or games between the two FDS groups. The nutritional status did not point to a significant relationship with FDS. In total, 92.1 and 93.6 % of children in the higher and



Fig. 2 (colour online) Distribution of food diversity score in children

lower FDS groups, respectively, were included in the standard range for Japanese children. The children in the lower FDS group had more tooth decay (P=0.006), whereas those in the higher FDS group had spent < 2 h/d on TV, video or games during the weekdays (P=0.005) and weekends (P=0.002).

Table 3 presents differences in food intake between two FDS groups. The higher FDS group had higher frequencies of grains, fish, meat, eggs, soyabeans/soya products, vegetables, fruits and milk intake than the lower FDS group but less frequencies of instant noodle and fast-food intake.

Table 4 compares the proportion of parental care in relation to children's diets (thirteen items) between the two FDS groups. In the higher FDS group, the proportion of parents who reported being careful with respect to nutritional balance (P < 0.001), flavouring and seasoning (P = 0.004), assorted arrangement and colours (P = 0.002), contents of snack (P < 0.001), amount of snack (P = 0.015), regular mealtimes (P < 0.001), chewing well (P = 0.002), enjoying eating (P = 0.032) and eating together (P = 0.030) were significantly higher than in the lower FDS group.

Table 5 shows the results of the multiple regression analysis of factors related to FDS. Parental care behaviours concerning children's diets were strongly associated with children's FDS. The total number of the items of parental care of children's diets (P < 0.001) and mother's age (P = 0.01) were positively associated with FDS, whereas subjective economic status (P = 0.003) and TV, video or games during the weekdays (P = 0.01) were negatively associated with FDS.

Table 6 shows the results of the associations between 'parental care of children's diets' and 'FDS group' using stepwise multivariate analysis.

A number of model 1 variables were identified as predictors for FDS. Five of seven factors in the food category were positively and significantly associated with FDS: nutritional balance (OR = 1.91; 95 % CI 1.56, 2.35; P < 0.001); flavouring and seasoning (OR = 1.24; 95 % CI 1.03, 1.48; P = 0.022) and assorted arrange and colours (OR = 1.35; 95 % CI 1.08,

### 402

Table 1 Parents' socio-economic status by food diversity group\*

			Food				
			High (≥4 pc	ner bints)	Lov (≤3 po	ver pints)	
			( <i>n</i> 1151,	53.7 %)	(n 992, 4	46·3 %)	
			п	%	п	%	Р
Relationship with their child		Mother	1130	98.2	968	97.6	0.338
		Father	21	1.8	24	2.4	
Age of mother (years old)†		Mean	36.3		35.5		0.001
		SD		5.0		5.3	
Employment status of mother	Currently work	Yes	654	56.8	558	56.3	0.791
		No	497	43.2	434	43.7	
Household structure (whether living together or not)	Single	Mother or father and one child	40	3.5	46	4.6	0.439
		Mother or father and	40	3.5	25	2.5	
		grandparent and one child					
	Two generations	Mother and father and one	192	16.7	150	15.1	
	0	child					
		Mother and father and	684	59.3	599	60.5	
	Thuse were eventions	Criticiteri Mathan and fathan and	104	10.0	170	474	
	Inree generations	Mother and rather and	194	16.9	170	17.1	
	0.1	grandparent and children			~		
	Others	others (living together with non-family adults)	1	0.1	2	0.2	
Subjective economic status		Affluent	98	8∙5	79	8.0	<0.0001
		Somewhat	282	24.5	166	16.7	
		Neither	379	32.9	328	33.1	
		Not so much	299	26.0	325	32.7	
		Unable to afford at all	93	8.1	93	9.4	
		Do not want answer	0	0.0	1	0.1	
Leisure time		Affluent	93	8.1	81	8.2	0.398
		Somewhat	274	23.8	216	21.8	
		Neither	244	21.2	233	23.5	
		Not so much	416	36.1	371	37.3	
		Unable to afford at all	124	10.8	90	9.1	
		Do not want answer	0	0.0	1	0.1	
Place where the child spends	Nursery school	Yes	491	42.7	380	38.3	0.041
time during the day	Nulsely selicer	No	660	57.3	612	61.7	0 0 4 1
and during the day	Kindergarten	Ves	415	36.1	402	40.5	0.034
	Rinderganen	No	736	63.0	590	59.5	0.004
	Centres for early childhood	Vec	730	6.7	64	6.5	0.825
	oducation and care	No	1074	0.7	0.20	02.5	0.020
	Grandparenta and	NO	1074	93.3	920	93.5	0.000
		Tes No	49	4.3	00	04.1	0.092
	Nere of the choice	NO Xee	140	95.7	934	94.1	0.005
	None of the above	res	142	12.3	123	12.4	0.905
l ifaatula	Estima busclefest		1109	8/./	809	01.0	0 0000
Litestyle	Ealing breaklast		1103	95.8	910	91.7	0.0002
			39	3.4	68	6.9	
		2-3 d/week	4	0.4	1	0.1	
		1 d or less per week	5	0.4	13	1.3	
		I do not eat at all	0	0.0	0	0.0	

 $\chi^2$  test. †*t* test.

NS Public Health Nutrition

1.69; P = 0.009). The content and amount of snack category were positively and significantly associated with FDS; contents (OR = 1.72; 95% CI 1.32, 2.25; P < 0.001) and amount (OR = 1.23; 95% CI 1.03, 1.48; P = 0.026). Two of the three factors in the 'mealtime practice' category were positively associated with FDS, namely regular mealtimes (OR = 1.45; 95% CI 1.21, 1.73; P < .0.001) and chewing well (OR = 1.34; 95% CI 1.10, 1.63; P = 0.003). With regard to the parent–child communication category, only eating together (OR = 1.23; 95 % CI 1.02, 1.48; P = 0.034) was significantly associated with FDS.

The model 2 analysis confirmed the variables associated with FDS. For 'food', the same results as those identified for model 1 above were achieved for nutritional balance (OR = 1.91; 95 % CI 1.56, 2.35; P < 0.0001) and flavouring and seasoning (OR = 1.24; 95 % CI 1.03, 1.48; P = 0.020).

### Table 2 Child health and lifestyle situation by food diversity group\*

				Food diversity	v score group		
			Higher (≥4	4 points)	Lower (≤	3 points)	
			( <i>n</i> 1151,	( <i>n</i> 1151, 53·7 %) ( <i>n</i> 992, 46·3 %)			
			n	%	n	%	Р
Agot	Years old						0.208
Ager	Mean		4.2		4.3		
	SD			1.1		1.1	
Sex	Male		582	50.6	522	52.6	0.342
	Female		569	49.4	470	47.4	
Nutritional status‡	Height (cm)		100.8	8.6	100.9	8.3	0.836
·	Weight (kg)		15.8	2.9	15.9	2.8	0.550
	+30% ≤ (obes	itv)	4	0.4	10	1.0	0.151
	+30 to 20% (or	verweight)	14	1.2	12	1.2	
	+15 to 20% (or	verweight	36	3.1	21	2.1	
	tendency)	0					
	-15  to + 15%	(standard)	1060	92.1	928	93.6	
	-15 to -20% ( tendency)	<ul> <li>–15 to –20% (underweight tendency)</li> </ul>		2.2	14	1.4	
	≤-20% (under	weight)	12	1.0	7	0.7	
Food allergy symptoms		Yes	184	16.0	162	16.3	0.829
		No	967	84.0	880	83.7	
Tooth decay		Yes	194	16.9	214	21.6	0.006
2		No	955	83.1	777	78.4	
Time spent on TV, video or	games						
•	Weekday	None	16	1.4	13	1.3	0.005
	,	<2 h/d	908	78.9	725	73.1	
		≥2 h/d	227	19.7	254	25.6	
	Weekend	None	10	0.9	9	0.9	0.002
		<2 h/d	704	61.1	533	53.7	
		≥2 h/d	437	38.0	450	45.4	

 $\chi^2$  test. †: *t* test.

NS Public Health Nutrition

‡The standard body weight for height in Japanese children.

However, slightly different yet still significant results were found related to assorted arrangements and colours (OR = 1·34; 95 % CI 1·07, 1·68; P = 0.011). Furthermore, snack contents and snack amounts were associated with FDS (OR = 1·72; 95 % CI 1·31, 2·24; P < 0.001; OR = 1·23; 95 % CI 1·03, 1·48; P = 0.027, respectively). Similarly, for the 'mealtime practice' category, the same results were identified for chewing well (OR = 1·34; 95 % CI 1·10, 1·63; P = 0.004); however, slightly different values were found regarding the association between FDS and regular mealtimes (OR = 1·44; 95 % CI 1·21, 1·72; P < 0 0.001). In the parent–child communication category, eating together (OR = 1·22; 95 % CI 1·01, 1·48; P = 0.037) was again the only factor significantly associated with FDS.

The results of the stepwise analysis identified several predictors for FDS, including nutritional balance of food (OR = 1.76; 95 % CI 1.44, 2.16; P < 0.001), snack contents (OR = 1.41; 95 % CI 1.07, 1.86; P = 0.0014) and regular mealtimes (OR = 1.30; 95 % CI 1.08, 1.55; P = 0.005).

# Discussion

The current study identified that lower food diversity was associated with a higher likelihood that parents skipped breakfast and greater consumption of processed or fast foods, as well as more time spent per day on TV, video or games. Furthermore, the higher FDS group was associated with greater parental care about the contents of children's diets and qualitative aspects of eating, such as regular mealtimes and eating together

In Japan, where the social trend of people owning and spending time on smart phones or tablet PC has been increasing, it has been reported that a mother's unhealthy lifestyle correlates strongly with prolonged screen time among school-aged children<sup>(25)</sup>. A European longitudinal study targeted 2–9-year-old children and indicated the effects of TV viewing and other screen activities for young children, both on their consumption of sugary drinks and an increase in BMI<sup>(26)</sup>. In Japan as well, it would be necessary to study the effect of screen time during early children's food and snack intake.

In Japan's NNSPC, the proportion of parental participants who ensured the nutritional balance of foods (72.0%) was higher than those who were careful about snack contents (12.4%) and having regular mealtimes (45.0%). The effects of meal timing and frequency on children's health have been a research topic for many years, and changing the behaviour of parents and children who do not have regular mealtimes is a complex issue. Previous study findings have indicated a close relationship between NS Public Health Nutrition

# Table 3 Food intakes by food diversity group

			Fo	Food diversity score group				
			Higl (≥4 po	her pints)	Lov (≤3 p	ver oints)		
			( <i>n</i> 1151, 53·7 %)		( <i>n</i> 992, 46·3 %)			
	Food category	Frequency	n	%	n	%	$P^{^{\star}}$	
Food group	Grain	≥ 2 times per day Once a day 4–6 d/week 1–3 d/week	1137 12 1 0	98·8 1·0 0·1 0	958 21 11 2	96·6 2·1 1·1 0·2	0.002	
	Fish	Less than once a week Have not eaten yet $\geq 2$ times per day Once a day 4–6 d/week	1 0 116 244 290	0.1 0 10.1 21.2 25.2	0 4 10 213	0.0 0.0 0.4 1.0 21.5	<0.0001	
	Meat	Less than once a week Have not eaten yet $\geq 2$ times/d Once a day 4–6 d/week	400 40 1 264 386 365	39.9 3.5 0.1 22.9 33.5 31.7	81 2 16 48 583	8.2 0.2 1.6 4.8 58.8	<0.0001	
	Eggs	1–3 d/week Less than once a week Have not eaten yet $\geq$ 2 times/d Once a day 4–6 d/week	132 3 1 85 430 336	11.5 0.3 0.1 7.4 37.3 29.2	325 18 2 4 48 425	32·8 1·8 0·2 0·4 4·8 42·9	<0.0001	
	Soyabeans and soya products	1–3 d/week Less than once a week Have not eaten yet ≥ 2 times/d Once a day 4–6 d/week	230 54 16 150 417 307	20.0 4.7 1.4 13.0 36.2 26.7	407 93 15 6 31 363	41.0 9.4 1.5 0.6 3.1 36.6	<0.0001	
	Vegetables	1–3 d/week Less than once a week Have not eaten yet $\geq$ 2 times per day Once a day 4–6 d/week	240 36 1 836 273 28	20.9 3.1 0.1 72.7 23.7 2.4	488 100 4 331 241 271	49·2 10·1 0·4 33·4 24·3 27·3	<0.0001	
	Fruit	1–3 d/week Less than once a week Have not eaten yet ≥ 2 times/d Once a day 4–6 d/week	13 1 221 521 216	1.1 0.1 0.0 19.2 45.2 18.8	125 21 3 12 66 379	12.6 2.1 0.3 1.2 6.7 38.2	<0.0001	
	Milk	1–3 d/week Less than once a week Have not eaten yet ≥ 2 times/d Once a day 4–6 d/week	154 38 1 533 499 52	13.4 3.3 0.1 46.3 43.4 4.5	398 132 5 237 285 263	40·1 13·3 0·5 23·9 28·8 26·5	<0.0001	
Processed food	Sweetened beverage	1–3 d/week Less than once a week Have not eaten yet ≥ 2 times/d Once a day 4–6 d/week	45 10 12 125 239 165 370	3.9 0.9 1.0 10.9 20.8 14.3 32.1	164 36 7 95 198 160 339	16.5 3.6 0.7 9.6 19.9 16.1 34.2	0.491	
	Confectionery	Less than once a week Have not eaten yet $\geq 2$ times /d Once a day 4-6 d/week 1-3 d/week	224 28 149 555 198 177	19.5 2.4 13.0 48.1 17.2 15.4	183 17 108 483 189 167	18.5 1.7 10.9 48.8 19.0 16.8	0.212	
	Instant noodle	Less than once a week Have not eaten yet $\geq$ 2 times/d	64 8 0	5.6 0.7 0	42 3 0	4·2 0·3 0	<0.0001	

#### Table 3 Continued

		Foo	р			
		High (≥4 pc	ier bints)	Low (≤3 po		
		( <i>n</i> 1151,	53.7 %)	( <i>n</i> 992, 4		
Food category	Frequency	n	%	n	%	P
	Once a day	2	0.2	3	0.3	
	4–6 d/week	9	0.8	5	0.5	
	1–3 d/week	77	6.7	121	12.2	
	Less than once a week	804	69.8	738	74.4	
	Have not eaten vet	259	22.5	125	12.6	
Fast food	> 2 times/d	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.004
	Once a day	4	0.4	1	0.1	
	4–6 d/week	11	1.0	7	0.7	
	1–3 d/week	110	9.5	126	12.7	
	Less than once a week	941	81.7	816	82.3	
	Have not eaten yet	85	7.4	42	4.2	
		Ismean	SE	Ismean	SE	
Food diversity score†	8 points/d	5.3	1.3	2.3	0.8	0.0002
Processed food score‡	4 points/d	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.8	<0.0001

 $^{*}\chi^{2}$  test

Public Health Nutrition

+ANCOVA. Food diversity score: the total number of eight food groups (grain, fish, meat, eggs, soyabeans and soya products, vegetables, fruit and milk) eaten at least once a day.

‡Adjusted relationship for the child, sex of child, employment status of mother, family living together, subjective economic status and leisure time, caregiver of the child during the day. Processed food score: the total number of four food items (sweetened beverage, confectionery, instant noodle and fast food) eaten at least once a day.

children's mealtimes and their parents' working times and other lifestyle elements<sup>(27)</sup>.

It may be possible to change parents' behaviour and increase dietary diversity by providing nutritional guidance on the contents of foods and snacks consumed both in and outside out of the home<sup>(28)</sup>. In addition, to combat picky eating habits, it is important to promote interventions that support skills for food choice and preparation<sup>(29)</sup> and food environments that change the diet quality at home<sup>(15,30,31)</sup>. According to Helland *et al.*, behaviours that can improve food diversity in early childhood include modelling, responsive feeding, repeated exposure and enjoyable meals<sup>(11)</sup>.

According to the results of the NNSPC, 41.9% of 2–3year-old and 28.9% of 5-year-old and above children consume sweetened beverages and confectionaries twice a day or more, as snacks. The proportion of those who did not have a fixed snack time was  $43.7\%^{(10)}$ . As such, early childhood nutritional education should include information on both snack contents and timing.

In addition, to broaden the food diversity of children, it is important to consider the content of snacks. Prior research has identified fruits, milk and dairy products among the top ten most frequently eaten foods and beverages consumed as snacks by children in Australia, China, Mexico and the USA; however, confectionery, cookies, candy, ice creams and cakes are also seen among the top ten snacks. These undermine the nutritional benefits of healthier foods and contribute to poor dental health<sup>(19)</sup>. Some dental investigations of preschool children suggest a significant relationship between snack items (e.g., sweet buns) and caries<sup>(32–34)</sup>. Evidence of the effects of snacks on health status, especially in children, is still weak. The lack of consistent evidence related to this issue may be partly due to a non-standardised definition of snack contents<sup>(35)</sup>. In the future, researchers should investigate snack contents and amounts within the early childhood population in Japan to inform public policy for healthy snacks in this age group.

There were several limitations to the current study that should be addressed. First, the response rate of the survey was only 56.8%. We relied on the 2015 database of the NNSPC conducted by the MHLW. In that investigation, 3871 questionnaires were collected from 3936 children; however, only 2143 participants responded to all of the survey items. The most unanswered items concerned height, weight and subjective economic status. It may have been difficult for some parents to subjectively gauge their economic status. However, the height and weight might be measurable at homes, daycare centres or kindergartens.

Second, the items related to the effects of the food habits of parents on the food diversity of children are limited in the NNSPC. Parental habits may influence the food diversity of the children and parents<sup>(13,14,17,21,31)</sup>. Furthermore, information regarding socio-economic status was self-reported. Analysing the actual situation through socio-economic status is necessary for the survey rather than relying on self-reported information. In the future, therefore, cross-referencing the data from the NNSPC with those of other national surveys that measure actual socio-economic status (e.g., Comprehensive Survey of Living Conditions) may be necessary for analysis.

### 406

Table 4 Parent's care on diet of child by food diversity group

			Fo	Food diversity score group				
			Higher (≥	Higher (≥4 points) Lower (≤3 points)				
			( <i>n</i> 1151, 53·7 %) ( <i>n</i> 992, 46·3 %)		46·3 %)			
			n	%	n	%	P	
Parent's care on diet of child (	(thirteen items)							
Food	Nutritional balance	Yes No	923 228	80·2 19·8	662 330	66∙7 33∙3	<0.0001	
	Flavouring and seasoning	Yes No	477 674	41∙4 58∙6	351 641	35∙4 64∙6	0.004	
	Size or softness	Yes No	248 903	21∙6 78∙4	191 801	19∙3 80∙7	0.190	
	Assorted arrangements and colours	Yes No	253 898	22∙0 78∙0	164 828	16∙5 83∙5	0.002	
	Amount	Yes No	569 582	49∙4 50∙6	452 540	45∙6 54∙4	0.074	
Snack	Contents	Yes No	189 962	16∙4 83∙6	98 894	9.9 90.1	<0.0001	
	Amount	Yes No	464 687	40∙3 59∙7	349 643	35∙2 64∙8	0.015	
Mealtime practice	Regular mealtimes	Yes No	576 575	50∙0 50∙0	399 593	40∙2 59∙8	<0.0001	
	Chewing well	Yes No	356 795	30∙9 69∙1	246 746	24∙8 75∙2	0.002	
	Table manners	Yes No	794 357	69∙0 31∙0	660 332	66∙5 33∙5	0.226	
Parent-child communication	Enjoyment of eating	Yes No	592 559	51∙4 48∙6	464 528	46∙8 53∙2	0.032	
	Eating together	Yes No	826 325	71⋅8 28⋅2	669 323	67∙4 32∙6	0.030	
	Cooking together	Yes No	134 1017	11.6 88.4	96 896	9.7 90.3	0.143	
			Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Р	
Total number of the parent's c	are on diet of child (thirteen points)†		5.6	2.7	4.8	2.6	<0.0001	

 $\chi^2$  test. †*t* test.

### **Table 5** Factors related to food diversity score (n 2143)\*,†,‡

Factors	Standardised parameter estimate	Р
Total number of the parental care on diet of child	0.16	<0.0001
Age of mother	0.06	0.01
Age of child	-0.04	0.08
Subjective economic status	-0.06	0.003
Time spent on TV, video or games (weekday)	-0.06	0.01
Skipping breakfast of mother	-	
Food allergy symptoms	-	
Tooth decay	-	

P: selected by stepwise method.

\*Factors related to FDS: total number of the parent's care on diet of children, subjective economic status, food allergies, tooth decay, time spent on TV, video or game, child's age and mother's age.

†Continuous variable: total number of the parent's care on diet of children, child's age and mother's age.

 $\pm$ Nominal variable (ordinal scale): subjective economic status (1: affluent, somewhat, 2: neither, 3: not so much, unable to afford at all, do not want answer), food allergies symptoms (1: yes, 2: no), tooth decay, (1: yes, 2: no) and time spent on TV, video or game (1: none, 2:< 2 h/d, 3:> 2 h/d).

### Conclusion

The current study assessed relationships between young children's dietary diversity and parental care behaviours regarding foods and found that parental care was a predictor of greater food diversity. Children's diets are strongly based on parents' care concerning the contents of children's foods and snacks and regular mealtimes. The results of the current study can be used to inform efforts to develop and implement nutritional guidance education for parents and nutrition staff, including school meal providers.

### Availability of data and materials

Permission for the use of the dataset in the current study was obtained from the MHLW, Japan. All data belong to the MHLW, and the database cannot be used for other studies.



# Table 6 Relationship between food diversity and parent's care on diet of child (n 2143)\*

				Model 1†			Model 2‡			Stepwise		
			OR	95 % CI	Р	OR	95 % CI	Р	OR	95 % CI	Р	
Parent's care on diet of child (th	hirteen items)											
Food	Nutritional balance	Yes No	1.91 1.00	1.56, 2.35	<0.0001	1.91 1.00	1.56, 2.35	<0.0001	1.76 1.00	1.44, 2.16	<0.0001	
	Flavouring and seasoning	Yes No	1⋅24 1⋅00	1.03, 1.48	0.022	1⋅24 1⋅00	1.03, 1.48	0.020				
	Size or softness	Yes No	1⋅17 1⋅00	0.94, 1.45	0.160	1.17 1.00	0.94, 1.45	0.163				
	Assorted arrangements and colours	Yes No	1.35 1.00	1.08, 1.69	0.009	1.34 1.00	1.07, 1.68	0.011				
	Amount	Yes	1.18 1.00	0.99, 1.41	0.061	1.19 1.00	1.00, 1.41	0.057				
Snack	Contents	Yes	1.72 1.00	1.32, 2.25	<0.0001	1.72 1.00	1.31, 2.24	<0.0001	1.41 1.00	1.07, 1.86	0.014	
	Amount	Yes	1.23 1.00	1.03, 1.48	0.026	1.23 1.00	1.03, 1.48	0.027				
Mealtime practice	Regular mealtimes	Yes	1.45 1.00	1.21, 1.73	<0.0001	1.44 1.00	1.21, 1.72	<0.0001	1⋅30 1⋅00	1.08, 1.55	0.005	
	Chewing well	Yes	1.34 1.00	1.10, 1.63	0.003	1.34 1.00	1.10, 1.63	0.004	1.20 1.00	0.98, 1.46	0.076	
	Dietary manners	Yes	1.11	0.92, 1.34	0.273	1.11	0.92, 1.34	0.282				
Parent-child communication	Enjoyment of eating	Yes	1.16 1.00	0.97, 1.38	0.097	1.16 1.00	0.97, 1.38	0.100				
	Eating together	Yes	1.23 1.00	1.02, 1.48	0.034	1.22 1.00	1.01, 1.48	0.037				
	Cooking together	Yes No	1.20 1.00	0.90, 1.60	0.216	1.19 1.00	0.89, 1.58	0.246				

\*Food diversity score (0:  $\leq$  3 points; 1:  $\geq$  4 points).

+Model 1: adjusted for the relationship with the child (mother or father), child's sex, employment status of parent (yes or no) and family members in the household (other children, grandparents and others).

#Model 2: adjusted for the relationship with the child (mother or father), child's sex, employment status of parent (yes or no), family living together (other children, grandparents and others), subjective economic status (affluent, somewhat, neither, not so much or unable to afford at all), leisure time (affluent, somewhat, neither, not so much, unable to afford at all) and place where the child spends time during the day (nursery school, kindergarten, centre for early childhood education and care, with grandparents, with relatives, staying at home).

### 408

### Acknowledgements

Financial support: This work was supported by the Health and Labour Sciences Research Grant in 2018 for the 'Development of a food, nutrition, and dietary guide for healthy development in early childhood' (H29 Sukoyaka Ippan-003). The funders had no role in study design, data collection/analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript. Conflict of interest: The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest. Authorship: M.I. designed the research and wrote the manuscript, and T.Y. provided statistical analysis support. K.E., M.H., T.Y. and N.Y. contributed to interpreting the data and revising the manuscript for scientific clarity. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript. Ethics of human subject participation: The present study is based on anonymised data available in the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan. The current study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving research study participants were approved by the Ethics Committee of the National Institute of Public Health, Wako, Saitama, Japan (NIPH-TRN#12021, February 1, 2018).

# References

- 1. World Health Organization (2018) Meeting the challenge of a new era for achieving healthy diet and nutrition. *Outcomes of the 2nd Global Nutrition Policy Review.* Geneva: WHO. http://www.who.int/nutrition/events/2016\_ side-event-outcome-2nd-globalnutritionpolicyreview-2dec/ en/ (accessed September 2020).
- Nishida C (2018) Preliminary results of the 2nd Global nutrition policy review: a global perspective. Meeting the challenge of a new era for achieving healthy diet and nutrition. *Outcomes of the 2nd Global Nutrition Policy Review*. Geneva: WHO. http://www.who.int/nutrition/events/2016\_side-event-presentation-Nishida-preliminaryresults-2ndGNPR.pdf?ua=1 (accessed September 2020).
- 3. Herman DR, Baer MT, Adams E *et al.* (2014) Life course perspective: evidence for the role of nutrition. *Matern Child Health J* **18**, 450–461.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) (2013) Guidelines for measuring household and individual dietary diversity. http://www.fao.org/3/a-i1983e.pdf (accessed September 2020).
- Food and Agriculture Organization (2018) Dietary ssessment: a resource guide to method selection and application in low resource settings. http://www.fao.org/3/ i9940en/I9940EN.pdf (accessed September 2020).
- 6. More JA & Emmett PM (2015) Evidenced-based, practical food portion sizes for preschool children and how they fit into a well-balanced, nutritionally adequate diet. *J Hum Nutr Diet* **28**, 135–154.
- 7. Richter LM, Daelmans B, Lombardi J *et al.* (2017) Investing in the foundation of sustainable development: pathway to scale up for early childhood development. *Lancet* **389** 103–118.
- Black MM, Walker SP, Fernald LCH *et al.* (2017) Early childhood development coming of age: through the life course. *Lancet* **389** 77–90.
- 9. Sandvik P, Ek A, Eli K *et al.* (2019) Picky eating in an obesity intervention for preschool-aged children what role does it

play, and does the measurement instrument matter? *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* **16**, 76. doi: 10.1186/s12966-019-0845-y.

- 10. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (MHLW) (2016) Report on National nutrition survey on preschool children. https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/000013 4208.html (accessed September 2020).
- Helland SH, Bere E & Øverby NC (2016) Study protocol for a multi-component kindergarten-based intervention to promote healthy diets in toddlers: a cluster randomized trial. *BMC Public Health* 16, 273. doi: 10.1186/s12889-016-2952-x.
- Fernandez C, Kasper NM, Miller AL *et al.* (2016) Association of dietary variety and diversity with body mass index in US preschool children. *Pediatrics* **137**, e20152307. doi: 10. 1542/peds.2015-2307.
- 13. Spurrier NJ, Magarey AA, Golley R *et al.* (2008) Relationships between the home environment and physical activity and dietary patterns of preschool children: a cross-sectional study. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* **5**, 31. doi: 10.1186/1479-5868-5-31.
- Romanos-Nanclares A, Zazpe I, Santiago S *et al.* (2018) Influence of parental healthy-eating attitudes and nutritional knowledge on nutritional adequacy and diet quality among preschoolers: the SENDO Project. *Nutrients* **10**, 1875. doi: 10.3390/nu10121875.
- Ishikawa M, Eto K, Miyoshi M *et al.* (2019) Parent–child cooking meal together may relate to parental concerns about the diets of their toddlers and preschoolers: a cross-sectional analysis in Japan. *Nutr J* 18, 76. doi: 10.1186/s12937-019-0480-0.
- Kamata Y, Kurasawa N, Tohmata Y *et al.* (2018) Validity of food intake frequency questionnaire "National survey of pre-school children" for food group intake: a cross-sectional study at nursery schools in Sendai City. *Kousei no Shihyo* 65, 29–34. (in Japanese).
- Yee AZ, Lwin MO & Ho SS (2017) The influence of parental practices on child promotive and preventive food consumption behaviors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 14, 47. doi: 10.1186/s12966-017-0501-3.
- Blaine R, Kachurak A, Davison KK *et al.* (2017) Food parenting and child snacking: a systematic review. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 14, 146. doi: 10.1186/s12966-017-0593-9.
- Wang D, van der Horst K, Jacquier EF *et al.* (2018) Snacking patterns in children: a comparison between Australia, China, Mexico, and the US. *Nutrients* **10**, 198. doi: 10.3390/ nu10020198.
- Russell CG, Worsley A & Liem DG (2015) Parents' food choice motives and their associations with children's food preferences. *Public Health Nutr* 18, 1018–10127. doi: 10.1017/S1368980014001128.
- Metcalfe JJ, Fiese BH, STRONG Kids 1 Research Team (2018) Family food involvement is related to healthier dietary intake in preschool-aged children. *Appetite* 1, 195–200, doi: 10. 1016/j.appet.2018.03.021.
- The Japanese Society for Pediatric Endocrinology (2012) Assessment of physical status for Japanese infants and children, 111–143. (in Japanese). http://jspe.umin.jp/jspe\_test/ medical/taikaku.html (accessed September 2020).
- 23. Kato N, Takimoto H & Yokoyama T (2012) Technical report for Japanese National Growth Survey for infants and children in 2010. In Report of a Study on Statistical Analyses, Methods, and Utilization of Japanese National Growth Survey for Infants and Children, pp.111–143. https://www.niph.go.jp/ soshiki/07shougai/hatsuiku/houkoku120617.pdf (accessed September 2020).
- 24. Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare (MHLW) (2012) Study team on statistical analysis of assessment of physical growth in Japanese children. A manual for the assessment of physical

growth in Japanese children. Tokyo: MHLW, 48. (in Japanese).

- 25. Yamada M, Sekine M & Tatsuse T (2018) Parental internet use and lifestyle factors as correlates of prolonged screen time of children in Japan: results from the Super Shokuiku School Project. *J Epidemiol* **28**, 407–413.
- Olafsdottir S, Berg C, Eiben G *et al.* (2014) Young children's screen activities, sweet drink consumption and anthropometry: results from a prospective European study. *Euro J Clin Nutr* 68, 223–228.
- Bekelman TA, Bellows LL, Clark L *et al.* (2019) An ecocultural perspective on eating-related routines among lowincome families with preschool-aged children. *Qual Health Res* 29, 1345–1357. doi: 10.1177/1049732318814540.
- Moreira T, Severo M, Oliveira A *et al.* (2015) Eating out of home and dietary adequacy in preschool children. *Br J Nutr* **114**, 297–305.
- Muehlhoff E, Wijesinha-Bettoni R, Westaway E *et al.* (2017) Linking agriculture and nutrition education to improve infant and young child feeding: lessons for future programmes. *Matern Child Nutr* 13, e12411. doi: 10.1111/ mcn.12411.
- 30. Robson SM., Ziegler ML, McCullough MB *et al.* (2019) Changes in diet quality and home food environment in

preschool children following weight management. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act **16**. doi: 10.1186/s12966-019-0777-6.

- Ishikawa M, Eto K, Haraikawa M *et al.* (2018) Multi-professional meetings on health checks and communication in providing nutritional guidance for infants and toddlers in Japan: a cross-sectional, national survey-based study. *BMC Pediatr* 18, 325. doi: 10.1186/s12887-018-1292-7.
- 32. Goto M, Yamamoto Y, Saito R *et al.* (2019) The effect of environmental factors in childcare facilities and individual lifestyle on obesity among Japanese preschool children: a multivariate multilevel analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)* **98**. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000017490.
- 33. Mukouyama C, Koike Y & Hirohara T (2018) Transitional changes in the prevalence of dental caries in children and preventive strategies: a review of nationwide annual surveys in Japan. Oral Health Prev Dent 16, 107–111.
- Watanabe M, Wang DH, Ijichi A *et al.* (2014) The influence of lifestyle on the incidence of dental caries among 3-year-old Japanese children. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 11, 12611–12622.
- 35. Younginer NA, Blake CE, Davison KK *et al.* (2016) "What do you think of when I say the word 'snack'?" Towards a cohesive definition among low-income caregivers of preschoolage children. *Appetite* **8**, 35–40.