An Evolutionary Perspective on Yeast Mating-Type Switching

Sara J. Hanson*^{,†,‡} and Kenneth H. Wolfe^{*,†,1}

*Conway Institute, and [†]School of Medicine, University College Dublin, Dublin 4, Ireland, and [†]Department of Molecular Biology, Colorado College, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903

ABSTRACT Cell differentiation in yeast species is controlled by a reversible, programmed DNA-rearrangement process called matingtype switching. Switching is achieved by two functionally similar but structurally distinct processes in the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. In both species, haploid cells possess one active and two silent copies of the mating-type locus (a three-cassette structure), the active locus is cleaved, and synthesis-dependent strand annealing is used to replace it with a copy of a silent locus encoding the opposite mating-type information. Each species has its own set of components responsible for regulating these processes. In this review, we summarize knowledge about the function and evolution of mating-type switching components in these species, including mechanisms of heterochromatin formation, *MAT* locus cleavage, donor bias, lineage tracking, and environmental regulation of switching. We compare switching in these well-studied species to others such as *Kluyveromyces lactis* and the methylotrophic yeasts *Ogataea polymorpha* and *Komagataella phaffii*. We focus on some key questions: Which cells switch mating type? What molecular apparatus is required for switching? Where did it come from? And what is the evolutionary purpose of switching?

KEYWORDS mating-type switching; yeast genetics; evolution; sporulation; homothallism

ACCHAROMYCES cerevisiae is a single-celled organism whose cells come in three types, called **a**, α , and **a**/ α . Two principles of cellular differentiation that are almost universal in multicellular eukaryotes are violated in this yeast. First, instead of differentiated cells being genetically identical and varying only at the level of gene expression, in S. cerevisiae the three cell types differ in their DNA content at the genetic locus (MAT) that specifies cell type. Second, whereas determination of cell type in multicellular organisms is a largely irreversible process in which cells cannot regain pluripotency after progressing to a differentiated state, the two haploid cell types of yeast (**a** and α) are able to interconvert in a reversible manner by means of a programmed DNA-rearrangement process called mating-type switching. Indeed, in a unicellular organism such as yeast it is essential that any DNA rearrangements that occur during differentiation must be reversible, because there is no distinction between the germline and

somatic cells. Every cell must retain the capacity to produce every other type of cell.

Mating-type switching was the subject of early studies in S. cerevisiae genetics and molecular biology (Oshima 1993; Barnett 2007; Klar 2010). Its mechanism of switching is complex, involving multiple components and multiple levels of regulation (Haber 2012). Dissection of how cell-type specification and mating-type switching are controlled in S. cerevisiae led to breakthroughs in our understanding of many other fundamental cellular processes including homologous recombination, cell signaling pathways, gene silencing, and mechanisms of transcriptional regulation (Herskowitz 1989; Rusche et al. 2003; Bardwell 2005; Li and Johnson 2010; Haber 2012). In fact, the idea of using arrows and T-bar symbols in network diagrams to symbolize gene activation and repression, respectively, is attributable to Ira Herskowitz (Botstein 2004) whose laboratory discovered the cassette mechanism of switching in S. cerevisiae.

Despite our detailed knowledge of the switching mechanism in *S. cerevisiae*, there has been little investigation of the evolutionary origins of this process. Switching seemed to appear abruptly within the family Saccharomycetaceae (Butler *et al.* 2004), with a similar but independently arising

Copyright © 2017 by the Genetics Society of America

doi: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.117.202036

Manuscript received August 2, 2016; accepted for publication March 10, 2017 Available freely online through the author-supported open access option. ¹Corresponding author: Conway Institute, School of Medicine, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland. E-mail: kenneth.wolfe@ucd.ie

process also occurring in the very distantly related fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe (Klar 2007; Nielsen and Egel 2007; Ni et al. 2011). However, insights into the evolution of switching have recently come from studies of methylotrophic yeasts (Hanson et al. 2014; Maekawa and Kaneko 2014; Riley et al. 2016) and of Kluyveromyces lactis (Barsoum et al. 2010a; Rajaei et al. 2014). The goal of this review is to summarize our current knowledge of the evolution of switching in yeasts, its components and regulation, and the evolutionary forces that underlie its maintenance in nature. Due to space limitations, we have concentrated on topics of particular evolutionary relevance, and on more recent publications. For aspects of mating and mating-type switching not covered here, and for a view of the historical context, we refer readers to several excellent review articles and books (Herskowitz 1989; Heitman et al. 2007; Madhani 2007; Haber 2012; Klar et al. 2014).

Cell-Type Specification in Saccharomycotina

The life cycle of budding yeasts (Figure 1) is primarily comprised of three cell types: haploids of two isogamous mating types, **a** and α , and **a**/ α diploids (Herskowitz 1988; Madhani 2007). The two types of haploid are often called mating types because they describe mating behavior: mating occurs only between **a** cells and α cells. Mating-type switching is the process by which a haploid **a** cell can become a haploid α cell, by changing its genotype at the mating-type (*MAT*) locus from *MAT***a** to *MAT* α , or vice versa. Although it is historically called mating-type switching, the process could also be called celltype switching.

All three cell types can divide mitotically given favorable environmental conditions, but, in S. cerevisiae, vegetatively growing haploid cells of opposite mating types will mate readily if they meet (Merlini et al. 2013). Haploid a cells express the G protein-coupled receptor Ste2, which detects the α -factor mating pheromone expressed by haploid α cells. Reciprocally, haploid α cells express the receptor Ste3, which binds the **a**-factor pheromone expressed by haploid **a** cells. Interaction between a pheromone and its receptor in either haploid cell type triggers a MAP-kinase signaling cascade resulting in G₁-phase arrest of mitotic proliferation, formation of a mating projection (shmoo) polarized toward the pheromone source, and finally mating by cell and nuclear fusion to generate a diploid zygote (Bardwell 2005; Jones and Bennett 2011; Merlini et al. 2013). Diploids are induced to undergo meiosis and sporulation by nutrient-limiting conditions in the environment (specifically starvation for nitrogen in the presence of a nonfermentable carbon source), resulting in the formation of an ascus. The ascus normally contains four haploid spores (two **a**'s and two α 's) that germinate upon restoration of favorable conditions (Honigberg and Purnapatre 2003; Piekarska et al. 2010; Neiman 2011).

Species within the fungal phylum Ascomycota vary as to whether they prefer to grow vegetatively as haploids ("haplontic") or as diploids ("diplontic") (Phaff *et al.* 1966).



Figure 1 Schematic life cycle of S. cerevisiae.

Whereas natural isolates of S. cerevisiae are primarily diploid, many other yeast species are primarily haploid, including K. lactis, S. pombe, and the methylotrophic yeasts such as Ogataea (Hansenula) polymorpha (Dujon 2010). Consistent with these ploidy preferences, S. cerevisiae mates spontaneously (even in rich media) and uses an environmental cue only for sporulation. In contrast, in haplontic yeasts, mating and sporulation are co-induced by poor environments and usually occur in succession without intervening diploid mitotic cell divisions, for example in Zygosaccharomyces, Kluyveromyces, Ogataea, Clavispora, and Schizosaccharomyces (Herman and Roman 1966; Gleeson and Sudbery 1988; Booth et al. 2010; Merlini et al. 2013; Sherwood et al. 2014). Sporulation of the zygote immediately after nuclear fusion results in a characteristic "dumbbell-shaped" ascus that retains the outline of the two shmooing haploid cells that formed it (Kurtzman et al. 2011).

The *MAT* locus controls processes dictating cell-type identity (Herskowitz 1989; Johnson 1995). For haploid cells (both **a** and α), cell type-specific processes include the induction of competence to mate and the repression of sporulation, whereas diploid cells require repression of mating and the ability to initiate meiosis and sporulation. Other processes also differ between haploid and diploid cells, such as the choice of location for formation of the next bud (axial *vs.* bipolar patterns; Chant and Pringle 1995), and the preferred mechanism for double-strand DNA (dsDNA) break repair (homologous recombination in diploids *vs.* nonhomologous end joining in haploids; Kegel *et al.* 2001; Valencia *et al.* 2001).

The *MAT***a** and *MAT* α alleles (sometimes called idiomorphs) of the *MAT* locus are completely dissimilar in sequence. In *S. cerevisiae* the *MAT* α allele contains two genes, *MAT* α 1 and *MAT* α 2, and the *MAT* α allele contains a single gene, *MAT* α 1 (Figure 2). These three genes code for transcription regulators. They determine the cell type of the haploid by activating or repressing expression of **a**-specific (**a**sg) and α -specific (α sg) genes (Johnson 1995; Galgoczy *et al.* 2004; Haber 2012). There are \sim 5–12 asg's and α sg's, depending on the species (Sorrells *et al.* 2015). In addition to these, a shared set of haploid-specific genes (hsg's) (\sim 12–16 in number) that facilitate mating is constitutively expressed in both **a** and α cells but not in **a**/ α diploids (Booth *et al.* 2010), and a larger group of \sim 100 general pheromone-activated genes is induced in haploids of both types once a pheromone signal from the opposite type of haploid is detected (Sorrells *et al.* 2015). For example, in *S. cerevisiae*, the pheromone genes *MFA1* and *MF* α 1 are an asg and an α sg, respectively; the pheromone signaling pathway G protein-subunit genes *GPA1*, *STE4*, and *STE18* are hsg's, and the MAP kinase *FUS3* is a general pheromone-activated gene (Sorrells *et al.* 2015).

In *S. cerevisiae* haploid α cells, the *MAT* α 1 gene codes for the HMG-domain transcription activator α 1 (previously referred to as an " α -domain" protein but now recognized as a divergent HMG domain; Martin *et al.* 2010), and the *MAT* α 2 gene for the homeodomain-transcription repressor α 2. The α 1 and α 2 proteins can both individually form complexes with the constitutively expressed Mcm1 (MADS domain) protein which binds upstream of **a**sg's and α sg's. In α cells, transcription of α sg's is activated because the α 1-Mcm1 complex recruits the transcription factor Ste12 to their promoters, while transcription of **a**sg's is repressed because the α 2-Mcm1 complex recruits the Tup1-Ssn6 corepressor (Figure 3).

In *S. cerevisiae* haploid **a** cells, the *MAT* locus contains only the *MAT***a**1 gene coding for the homeodomain protein **a**1, but this protein is not required for **a** cell-type identity. The identity of **a** cells is instead defined by the absence of both α 1, the activator of α sg's; and α 2, the repressor of **a**sg's. Instead of requiring an **a**-specific activator, **a**sg's are activated by Mcm1 and Ste12, which are constitutively expressed in all cell types (Figure 3). Thus in *S. cerevisiae*, the **a** cell type is the default type, and yeast cells lacking a *MAT* locus will mate with haploid α cells.

In \mathbf{a}/α diploid cells of S. cerevisiae, α sg's, \mathbf{a} sg's, and hsg's are all repressed. These cells have $MAT\alpha 1$ and $MAT\alpha 2$ genes at the MAT locus on one chromosome, and MATa1 on the other (Figure 2), which results in formation of the $a1-\alpha 2$ heterodimer of the two homeodomain proteins. The $a1-\alpha 2$ dimer directly represses transcription of hsg's, and indirectly suppresses α sg's through repression of *MAT* α 1 (Figure 3). Transcription of asg's in diploids is repressed by α 2-Mcm1 as in haploid α cells. Because *S. cerevisiae* uses the formation of a heterodimer to sense heterozygosity of its MAT locus, and because this heterodimer is a repressor, there are no "diploidspecific" genes in S. cerevisiae (Galgoczy et al. 2004). Instead, diploid-specific processes such as meiosis and sporulation are repressed in haploids. This repression is achieved via the hsg RME1, a haploid-specific activator that transcribes IRT1, a noncoding RNA which in turn represses IME1, the master inducer of meiosis (van Werven et al. 2012). Thus the combined action of RME1 and IRT1 inverts the output of the hsg regulatory logic to restrict IME1 expression to diploids (Figure 3). Saccharomyces cerevisiae



Figure 2 Gene organization in the *MAT*, *HML*, and *HMR* loci on *S*. *cerevisiae* chromosome *III*. Shading indicates genes whose transcription is repressed.

IME1 expression also requires the environmental signals of nitrogen and glucose depletion that initiate meiosis (Neiman 2011). No genes have constitutive diploid (\mathbf{a}/α) specific expression in the same way that hsg's, α sg's, and **a**sg's have constitutive cell type-specific expression in haploids.

The asg's and α sg's regulated by the *MAT* locus primarily include genes for pheromones, their receptors, and signaling proteins required for recognition of cells with the opposite mating type (Johnson 1995; Galgoczy et al. 2004). Binding of the pheromones to their receptors triggers a signaling cascade called the pheromone response pathway, which induces further gametic differentiation toward mating competence in haploids (Wittenberg and La Valle 2003; Merlini et al. 2013). This cascade culminates in the activation of the transcription factor Ste12, which is required for the expression of a large number of genes responsible for mating-the general pheromone-activated genes (Roberts et al. 2000; Sorrells et al. 2015). Ste12 is expressed in all cell types but when haploids detect a pheromone, Ste12 becomes substantially more active at pheromone-responsive promoters because the Fus3 MAP kinase inactivates two proteins, Dig1 and Dig2, that inhibit Ste12 (van Drogen et al. 2001). Ste12 is required for expression of all asg's, $\alpha sg's$, and hsg's. It binds to hsg promoters as a dimer that can be occluded by the $a1-\alpha 2$ complex in diploids, and it is brought to α sg promoters by α 1-Mcm1. Ste12 also activates **a**sg promoters in conjunction with Mcm1, although asg regulation has undergone recent dramatic evolutionary change (Sorrells et al. 2015).

Rewiring of the Logic Circuit After Whole-Genome Duplication in Saccharomycetaceae

The cell type-specification circuit of *S. cerevisiae* has undergone extensive reorganization since it diverged from other species in the yeast family Saccharomycetaceae, such as *Candida albicans* and *K. lactis*. The reorganization involved three distinct steps: the gain of α 2 binding sites upstream of **a**sg's to



Figure 3 Logic of the cell type-specification circuits in Saccharomycetaceae species. Solid colors represent cell types (green, **a**; pink, α ; brown, **a**/ α), and outline colors represent gene sets. The genotype (1) of a cell's *MAT* locus specifies the regulatory proteins present in that cell (2; checkboxes), which act at promoters (3) to generate appropriate transcription of the three gene sets (4; **as**g's, α sg's, and hsg's) and determine the cell type (5). The yellow boxes describe the rewiring event that occurred when *MAT***a**2 was lost, coinciding with the WGD. The diagram summarizes information from the post-WGD species *S. cerevisiae* and the non-WGD species *K. lactis, L. kluyveri*, and *C. albicans* (Tsong *et al.* 2003, 2006; Booth *et al.* 2010; Baker *et al.* 2012; Sorrells *et al.* 2015).

repress them in α haploids (Tsong *et al.* 2006), the gain of Ste12 binding sites upstream of asg's so that they are expressed by default (Sorrells et al. 2015), and the complete loss of the MATa2 gene. MATa2 codes for an HMG-domain transcription activator¹ called **a**2, which acts as an activator of asg's in C. albicans and K. lactis (Tsong et al. 2003, 2006; Baker et al. 2012; Sorrells et al. 2015). Interestingly, the second and third of these steps occurred on the same branch of the phylogeny as the whole-genome duplication (WGD) (Sorrells et al. 2015), but whether they predated or postdated the WGD is not known. Recent phylogenomic analysis shows that the WGD was in fact an interspecies hybridization between two yeast lineages: one related to Zygosaccharomyces/ Torulaspora, and one related to Kluyveromyces/Lachancea/ Eremothecium (Marcet-Houben and Gabaldon 2015). MATa2 is present in most non-WGD species including these two parental lineages, but is absent in S. cerevisiae and all other post-WGD lineages including early diverging ones such as

Vanderwaltozyma polyspora (Scannell et al. 2007; Wolfe et al. 2015).

Figure 3 summarizes the logic of the cell type-specification circuit in multiple yeast species, and how the output of this logic circuit remained unchanged by the rewiring event (Tsong et al. 2006; Sorrells et al. 2015). In species such as C. albicans and K. lactis that retain the MATa2 gene, repression of **a**sg expression is not required in α cells, because **a**sg's are not on by default, so the repression of asg's by $\alpha 2$ occurs only in S. cerevisiae. Therefore C. albicans MAT-deletion strains are sterile rather than defaulting to haploid **a** mating behavior (Tsong et al. 2003). The phylogenetic relationship (Figure 4) suggests that the cell specification circuit in C. albicans and K. lactis (Figure 3) represents the ancestral state of the network. Loss of a2 in the post-WGD lineage was only possible because, prior to this event, the promoters of asg's in this lineage gained direct DNA-binding sites for Ste12 (Sorrells et al. 2015). In the ancestral situation, Ste12 was brought to asg promoters indirectly by a protein-protein interaction with a2, as occurs in K. lactis. An intermediate state survives in K. wickerhamii and Lachancea kluyveri, where some asg's have "hybrid" promoters that are both repressed by $\alpha 2$ in α cells and activated by **a**2 in **a** cells (Baker *et al.*) 2012). In addition to the loss of MATa2 in the post-WGD

¹Confusingly, the gene name *MATa*² was used previously for an ORF located upstream of *MATa*¹ in the *MATa* allele in *S. cerevisiae* (Astell *et al.* 1981). There is no evidence that this *S. cerevisiae* Codes for a functional protein. It is actually a copy of part of the 3' end of the *MATa*² homeodomain gene, due to *MATa*²'s partial overlap with the X-repeat region. This ORF is unrelated to the *MATa*² HMG-domain transcription activator gene discussed here, which is present only in non-WGD species.

clade of Saccharomycetaceae, other variations in *MAT* gene content can be found in the CUG-Ser (*Candida*) clade, in which multiple species lack the homeodomain genes *MATa1* and/or *MAT* α 2, and one species appears to have no *MAT* genes at all (Butler *et al.* 2009; Butler 2010).

Cell-type specification in yeasts is achieved by combinatorial regulatory gene presence or absence (Figure 3). The requirement for one copy of each *MAT* allele (*MAT*a or *MAT* α) to form the heterodimeric repressor acts as a sensor of ploidy, ensuring that only diploid cells are competent for meiotic entry (Haag 2007), and that diploid cells are incompetent for additional mating events that would result in aneuploidy. Furthermore, the presence of only one active *MAT* allele in haploid cells prevents the expression and recognition of selfpheromone that would otherwise induce mating processes in the absence of a mating partner. It has therefore been suggested that the structure of the *MAT* locus acts as a "developmental switch" that triggers mating and sporulation responses at the appropriate stages of the yeast life cycle (Perrin 2012).

Heterothallism and Two Types of Homothallism

Classical mycology makes a distinction between homothallic (self-fertile) and heterothallic (self-sterile) species of fungi. In homothallic species, any strain can mate with any other strain. In heterothallic species, strains fall into mating types (usually two of them) such that mating is only possible between strains of different types. Mating types are not the same as genders. For example, a single mycelium of the heterothallic filamentous ascomycete *Podospora anserina* can produce gametes with both male and female morphology, but each gamete is only able to fuse with a gamete that has the opposite morphology and that comes from a thallus (vegetative body) of the opposite mating type (Coppin *et al.* 1997).

The definition of homothallism becomes more finessed when applied to cells instead of strains, which is crucial for yeasts because they are unicellular organisms. At the cellular level there are two very different forms of homothallism: primary and secondary (Lin and Heitman 2007; Almeida et al. 2015; Inderbitzin and Turgeon 2015; Wilson et al. 2015). In primary homothallic species, any cell can mate with any other cell. That is, any two haploid cells can go through cell fusion and nuclear fusion to form a diploid. In contrast, in secondary homothallic species, mating occurs only between two cells with opposite mating types, just like in heterothallic species. However, cells of secondary homothallic species can switch their mating types quite easily. Consequently in these species, a strain, which is a population of cells that has been grown from a single progenitor cell, does not have a permanent mating type. Any strain can mate with any other strain because, even if the two progenitors originally had the same mating type, some cells in the cultures grown from them will switch their mating types, enabling them to mate with unswitched cells from the other strain. S. cerevisiae is a familiar example of a secondary homothallic species, although most laboratory strains and many natural isolates of this species have become heterothallic due to mutations in the *HO* gene (Mortimer 2000; Katz Ezov *et al.* 2010). Indeed, matingtype switching was one of the first discoveries in *S. cerevisiae* genetics because the isolates used by Carl Lindegren and Øjvind Winge in the 1930s were, respectively, mutant and wild type for this gene (Winge and Roberts 1949; Mortimer 2000).

Secondary homothallism by mating-type switching has been rigorously examined in Saccharomycotina (S. cerevisiae and its relatives) and Taphrinomycotina (S. pombe) (Klar 2007; Haber 2012; Klar et al. 2014; Lee and Haber 2015). In contrast, surprisingly little is known about the molecular basis of primary homothallism. In Pezizomycotina, primary homothallics such as some *Cochliobolus* species have a single type of MAT locus containing genes normally found in both alleles, whose structures indicate that they were formed by recombination between MAT chromosomes of heterothallic ancestors (Yun et al. 1999; Inderbitzin and Turgeon 2015). In Taphrinomycotina, it has recently been proposed that the pathogen Pneumocystis is a primary homothallic because it too has MAT genes from both alleles in close proximity on the same chromosome (Almeida et al. 2015). In Saccharomycotina, in our opinion, the only known strong candidates for primary homothallism are Debaryomyces hansenii and Scheffersomyces (Pichia) stipitis (Riley et al. 2016). They both have MATa1, MATa2, and MATa1 genes in proximity (Butler 2010), and meiotic recombination has been reported in S. stipitis crosses (Melake et al. 1996; Bajwa et al. 2010). However, the functions of their MAT genes and the details of what pheromone/receptor interactions occur in primary homothallic yeasts remain almost completely uninvestigated. Most fundamentally, it is unclear if and how cells of these yeasts avoid responding to their own pheromones. It has been suggested that primary homothallic filamentous fungi possibly sidestep this problem by expressing different pheromones and receptors in male and female tissues (Coppin et al. 1997; Martin et al. 2013), but this proposal has not been investigated experimentally and this solution is not available to unicellular yeasts (Billiard et al. 2012).

Multiple Mechanisms of Yeast Mating-type Switching

Programmed differentiation processes mediated by genomic DNA rearrangement are rare, with only a handful of examples known among all living organisms, and can affect single genes or entire genomes (Zufall *et al.* 2005). For organisms in which the germline genome is sequestered from the somatic genome, changes to somatic DNA can be irreversible and involve the loss of genes in differentiated tissues. For example, rearrangements during lymphocyte differentiation in vertebrates involve recombination between separate loci, resulting in antibody diversity and a robust immune response (Jung *et al.* 2006; Saha *et al.* 2010). Chromatin diminution during somatic tissue development in nematodes results in a more streamlined somatic genome by removal of heterochromatic regions (Muller *et al.* 1996; Muller and Tobler 2000). Ciliates,



Figure 4 Phylogenetic tree of phylum Ascomycota showing major clades, *MAT*-locus organization, and known or inferred mating-type switching mechanisms. Based on Riley *et al.* (2016), with placement of *A. rubescens* as in Shen *et al.* (2016). Mating-type switching does not occur in species with only one *MAT*-like locus or in *Aspergillus nidulans*, which is a primary homothallic species.

unicellular eukaryotes whose germline DNA is harbored in a micronucleus, dramatically fragment and amplify somatic DNA during development of the macronucleus (Chen *et al.* 2014). Unicellular organisms that do not contain separate germline and somatic DNA cannot make permanent changes to their genomes during development, as they will be transmitted to offspring. Instead, programmed DNA rearrangements underlying cell-type specification in these organisms must be reversible (Nieuwenhuis and Immler 2016). In addition to mating-type switching in yeasts, examples of reversible rearrangements include the shuffling of variant surface glycoprotein genes in kinetoplastids (Li 2015) and phase variation in *Salmonella* (Simon *et al.* 1980), both of which facilitate evasion of the host immune system.

Switching in S. cerevisiae involves unidirectional DNA replacement. The current gene content at the MAT locus of a haploid cell is replaced by copying a reserve version of the MAT genes of the opposite allele, stored at a transcriptionally silent location elsewhere in the genome (Haber 2012; Lee and Haber 2015). This process requires the genome to have three copies of mating-type sequence information, all of which are on chromosome III in S. cerevisiae: the active MAT locus (either MATa or MAT α), two silent loci termed *HML* (containing the reserve copy of *MAT* α sequence information), and HMR (containing the reserve copy of MATa sequence information). All three loci are flanked by identical sequence regions called X and Z (Figure 5). The Y region in the center comes in two forms, Ya and Y α , that are allelic but completely different in sequence. During switching, the actively expressed MAT locus is cleaved by the endonuclease HO. Guided by homology at the X and Z regions, the cleaved MAT locus uses HML or HMR as a template for DNA repair with a strong preference for the silent locus containing the mating-type information opposite to the current MAT genotype (Haber 2012). This mechanism of switching was named the cassette model (Hicks and Herskowitz 1977; Hicks et al. 1977) because the sequences at HML and HMR become inserted into the MAT locus for playback like cassette tapes in a player, and the three loci (MAT, HML, and HMR) are often described as cassettes.

Although mating-type switching in S. cerevisiae is often called gene conversion, it is more accurately described as a synthesis-dependent strand annealing (SDSA) process because of the nonhomology of the Y regions between the outgoing and incoming alleles (Ira et al. 2006). Switching is initiated when the HO endonuclease makes a dsDNA break at the Y-Z junction of MAT. The 3' end of a DNA strand from the Z region beside MAT then invades the donor (HMR or HML) locus and is extended by DNA polymerase through the donor Y region and into the X region, after which it reinvades the MAT locus. Finally, the second strand of the new Y region at MAT is synthesized in the direction from X to Z. Switching is slow, taking \sim 70 min, and is >1000 times more error prone than normal DNA replication (Hicks et al. 2010, 2011). Even though the newly synthesized MAT DNA will generally be replaced the next time the cell switches mating type, the high error rate nevertheless imposes an evolutionary cost because sometimes the errors will render the MAT genes nonfunctional.

Mating-type switching in the fission yeast *S. pombe* uses an analogous, but structurally unrelated, means to accomplish the same goal (Arcangioli and Thon 2004; Nielsen 2004; Egel 2005; Klar 2007; Klar *et al.* 2014). The *S. pombe* genome also contains one active (*mat1*) and two silenced (*mat2* and *mat3*) mating-type loci (Figure 5). The proteins specifying the two mating types *P* (plus) and *M* (minus) include two HMG-domain transcription factors (Pc and Mc) and a home-odomain transcription factor (Pi), and thus share similarities with the *S. cerevisiae* proteins, but the mechanism of switching is different from that in *S. cerevisiae*. Instead of cleavage

by an endonuclease, a fragile chromosomal site consisting of an epigenetic mark at the *mat1* locus in switching-competent cells leads to a dsDNA break during replication. Repair of the break by SDSA using *mat2* or *mat3* as a donor is facilitated by homologous flanking regions, H1 and H2, which are analogous to the X and Z regions of *S. cerevisiae* but do not share sequence similarity or synteny with them (Beach and Klar 1984). The mechanisms used by *S. pombe* to silence expression of *mat2* and *mat3*, and to bias the SDSA event to the locus containing the opposite mating type, are very different from those in *S. cerevisiae* (see below). The difference in mechanisms used to create the dsDNA break means that only one of the four mitotic grandchildren of a cell switches mating type in homothallic *S. pombe* strains, whereas two of the four switch in *S. cerevisiae* (Klar 2007; Hanson *et al.* 2014).

The lack of homology in every aspect of mating-type switching between S. cerevisiae and S. pombe has led to the remarkable conclusion that these distantly related species acquired their complex switching processes independently (Egel 2005; Lee et al. 2010; Rusche and Rine 2010). In this regard, it should be noted that S. cerevisiae and S. pombe underwent independent evolutionary transitions to unicellularity from a multicellular common ancestor (Nagy et al. 2014). Secondary homothallism by reversible changing of cell type is unknown in multicellular fungi and would not appear to serve any purpose in a multicellular context, so it is unlikely to have existed in the common ancestor of S. cerevisiae and S. pombe. A process known as mating-type switching has been described in some Pezizomycotina, in which half the progeny obtained by self-fertilization of a homothallic parent are heterothallic (Perkins 1987). This process has not been well characterized at the molecular level in any species, but it is consistent with models in which a recurrent inversion toggles between heterothallic and primary homothallic forms of a MAT locus (Chitrampalam et al. 2013), or in which a recurrent deletion converts a primary homothallic MAT locus into a heterothallic one (Witthuhn et al. 2000). There are no well-documented examples in Pezizomycotina of yeast-style secondary homothallism by a reversible switch between two mating types, each of which is self-sterile (Nieuwenhuis and Immler 2016).

In 2014, mating-type switching was reported in a clade of Saccharomycotina containing methylotrophic yeasts (family Pichiaceae; Figure 4) (Hanson *et al.* 2014; Maekawa and Kaneko 2014). These haploid yeasts contain one copy each of *MAT*a and *MAT* α loci, flanked by a pair of sequences forming an inverted repeat (IR) that are orthologous to the X or Z regions of the Saccharomycetaceae (Figure 5). One of the *MAT* loci is proximal to a heterochromatic region of the genome, a centromere in the case of *O. polymorpha* and a telomere in the case of *Komagataella phaffii* (these methylotrophic species are often called by their obsolete names *H. polymorpha* and *P. pastoris*, respectively). This arrangement confers repression of transcription on one *MAT* locus, while allowing active expression of the other locus, to specify cell type. Mating-type switching in these species occurs by



Figure 5 Organization of repeat sequences flanking the *MAT* loci in four species (Klar 2007; Hanson *et al.* 2014). In *K. phaffii*, the region that becomes inverted during mating-type switching is 138-kb long, and was recently discovered to contain a centromere at its approximate center (Coughlan *et al.* 2016). CEN, centromere; TEL, telomere.

recombination between the IRs, leading to inversion of the entire *MAT* region including all the genes located between *MAT*a and *MAT* α (19 kb in *O. polymorpha*, 138 kb in *K. phaffii*), swapping the positions of the active and repressed *MAT* loci relative to the centromere or telomere. It is important to note that switching in these species involves a reciprocal exchange of DNA: the previously expressed *MAT* genes become silenced, the previously silenced *MAT* genes become activated, and there is no new DNA synthesis. By contrast, in *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe*, the previously expressed *MAT* genes are degraded by exonucleases and replaced by newly synthesized DNA copied from the silent loci.

In recent work, we found evidence for similar flip-flop, mating-type switching mechanisms in two other yeasts (Riley *et al.* 2016). One is *Pachysolen tannophilus*, a haploid species in the methylotrophs clade (Figure 4). In response to

nitrogen limitation, it inverts a 9-kb genomic region flanked by two identical sequences that form a 2-kb IR, similar to O. polymorpha. This 9-kb region has MATa1 and MATa2 genes at one end and $MAT\alpha 1$ and $MAT\alpha 2$ at the other end, and these loci are separated by \sim 4 kb of noncoding DNA. While this process strongly resembles mating-type switching in O. polymorpha, its regulatory consequences have not been investigated. More significantly, a similar switching mechanism appears to operate in Ascoidea rubescens. Phylogenetically, A. rubescens was previously placed as deep lineage of Saccharomycotina that is an outgroup to all three major clades of this subphylum (Riley et al. 2016), although a recent phylogenomic study (Shen et al. 2016) placed it closer to family Saccharomycetaceae, as shown in Figure 4. Strains of A. rubescens were found to be polymorphic for the orientation of a 50-kb chromosomal region beside a telomere (Riley *et al.* 2016). Again, the invertible region is completely noncoding except for *MATa1/a2* genes at one end and *MAT* α 1/ α 2 genes at the other, and the region is flanked by a 2-kb IR. Although it has not yet been shown that the orientation of the region can be induced to change, or that orientation affects expression of the *MAT* genes, the structure of the *A. rubescens* locus points to mating-type switching by inversion of a section of chromosome by recombination in the IR, placing either *MATa* or *MAT* α genes beside the telomere.

The conservation of local gene order (synteny) around the *MAT* locus between the methylotrophs and the Saccharomycetaceae (Hanson *et al.* 2014) indicates that the two-locus inversion mechanism as seen in the methylotrophs and the three-locus (*MAT*, *HML*, and *HMR*) SDSA switching system as seen in *S. cerevisiae* share a common ancestor. It is likely that the two-locus system corresponds to a simpler ancestral mechanism of switching (Hanson *et al.* 2014), and this conclusion is supported by the existence of switching by inversion in both *A. rubescens* and the methylotrophs, regardless of which of the proposed phylogenetic positions of *A. rubescens* is correct (Riley *et al.* 2016; Shen *et al.* 2016).

More broadly, despite the variations in gene content, synteny around the *MAT* locus is reasonably well conserved among all ascomycetes. Although there have been many rearrangements in its vicinity, physical linkage of *MAT* to one or more neighboring genes (*SLA2, SUI1, NVJ2, APC5,* and *APN2*; none of which have known roles in mating) is widely conserved among the three subphyla: Saccharomycotina (budding yeasts), Pezizomycotina (filamentous ascomycetes), and Taphrinomycotina (fission yeasts) (Figure 4; Butler *et al.* 2004; Gordon *et al.* 2011; Riley *et al.* 2016). This conservation indicates that cell type has been specified by the same genetic locus throughout all of ascomycete evolution, even though there has been extensive turnover of the homeodomain and HMG-domain genes contained at *MAT* itself.

An ancestral mating-type switching system based on inversion of two *MAT* loci at least partly resolves the previously perplexing observation that two nonhomologous switching systems, both highly complex, appeared to have arisen independently and abruptly in the *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe* clades. We can hypothesize that a relatively simple ancestral inversion system has been made progressively more complex in the *S. cerevisiae* lineage through the addition of structural components and regulatory mechanisms, as discussed below.

Evolution of Mating-Type Switching Components

MAT-locus cassettes

The most obvious difference in complexity between the switching mechanisms of methylotrophs and those of *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe* is the number of *MAT*-locus copies each mechanism uses. The SDSA mechanism of *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe* requires a reserve copy of each *MAT*-locus allele as well as the active locus (three cassettes total), whereas the inversion mechanism of methylotrophs only requires one copy of each allele (two cassettes total). To guide the DNA recombination steps, the three-cassette system also requires two different sequences each to be present in triplicate (the X and Z regions; Figure 5), whereas a minimal two-cassette system requires only one sequence in duplicate (the IR) as seen in *O. polymorpha*, *P. tannophilus*, and *A. rubescens*. However, it must be noted that the methylotroph *K. phaffii* contains two sets of IRs in the *MAT* region and thus more closely resembles the X and Z structure of *S. cerevisiae* (Figure 5). In this species, mating-type switching occurs by recombination between the outer set of IRs. The function of its inner IRs is unclear, but exchange between them may act to restore collinearity between homologous chromosomes in diploids, enabling meiotic recombination to occur in the large (123-kb) interval between these IRs (Hanson *et al.* 2014).

In S. cerevisiae, four regions of sequence identity between MAT and the HM loci have traditionally been defined: W, X, Z1, and Z2 (Astell et al. 1981; Haber 2012), each a few hundred bp long. X and Z1 occur in three copies in the genome; whereas W and Z2 are regions that extend the similarity between MAT and HML, but not HMR, and so occur in two copies. In comparisons of other Saccharomycetaceae species, we found that in some cases the W and Z2 regions have negative length, i.e., the regions of similarity between MAT and HMR are longer than between MAT and HML (Gordon et al. 2011). Some species even have two HMR loci on different chromosomes, with different lengths of flanking sequence identity to MAT. We therefore do not think that W and Z2 have any functional significance separate from the roles of X and Z1, so for simplicity we use the names X and Z (instead of Z1) to refer to the triplicated regions, and ignore any extensions not shared by all the silent loci (Figure 5). We also usually draw the MAT locus in the order Z, Y, X, because in most Saccharomycetaceae species other than the genus Saccharomyces, the Z region is closest to HML and the telomere of the chromosome (Gordon et al. 2011). Saccharomyces sustained a bizarre trio of rearrangements, wherein MAT, HML, and HMR each became inverted by separate events (Fabre et al. 2005). The net effect of these three inversions, which are unique to the genus Saccharomyces, was to keep the orientations of HML, MAT, and HMR parallel, but with their X regions now closest to the left end of the chromosome.

Among Saccharomycetaceae species with the threecassette system, the X and Z regions show very unusual evolutionary dynamics. To guide the DNA strand exchanges that occur during SDSA, the cell needs the sequences on each side of *MAT* to be identical to those beside *HML* and *HMR*, but the actual sequences that are triplicated vary enormously among species (Figure 6; Gordon *et al.* 2011; Wolfe *et al.* 2015). They usually consist of parts of some *MAT* genes and/or parts of the neighboring chromosomal genes. For example, in *S. cerevisiae* the X region contains the 3' end of the *MAT* α 2 gene, and the Z region contains the 3' end of the *MAT* α 1 gene. Switching from *MAT* α to *MAT* α replaces the 5' ends of the two *MAT* α genes (on Y α) with the whole *MAT* α 1 gene (on Y α), while switching from *MAT* α to *MAT* α does the opposite. Comparison among Saccharomycetaceae species reveals a remarkable diversity of ways that the X and Z repeats are organized relative to the four *MAT* genes (Figure 6). The primary evolutionary constraints on X and Z appear to be (1) to maintain homogeneity of the three copies so that DNA repair is efficient (they have a very low rate of nucleotide substitution; Kellis *et al.* 2003); and (2) to avoid containing any complete *MAT* genes within X or Z, so that the only intact genes at the *MAT* locus are ones that can be formed or destroyed by replacement of the Y region during switching.

The diversity of organization of X and Z regions and their nonhomology among species is consistent with evidence that these regions have repeatedly been deleted and recreated during yeast evolution (Gordon et al. 2011). Comparative genomics shows that chromosomal DNA flanking the MAT locus has been progressively deleted during Saccharomycetaceae evolution, with the result that the chromosomal genes neighboring MAT differ among species. These progressive deletions have been attributed to recovery from occasional errors that occurred during attempted mating-type switching over evolutionary timescales (Gordon et al. 2011). Each time a deletion occurs, the X and Z regions need to be replaced, which must require retriplication (by copying MAT-flanking DNA to HML and HMR) to maintain the switching system. We only see the chromosomes that have successfully recovered from these accidents, because the others have gone extinct.

Gene silencing

Gene silencing mechanisms in the Ascomycota are highly diverse and these processes appear to be very rapidly evolving, particularly within the Saccharomycetaceae. In S. pombe, assembly of heterochromatic regions, including centromeres, telomeres, and the silent MAT-locus cassettes, requires many components conserved with multicellular eukaryotes including humans and fruit flies; making it a popular model for studying the mechanisms of heterochromatin formation and maintenance (Perrod and Gasser 2003). The two silent cassettes are contained within a 20-kb heterochromatic region bordered by 2-kb IR sequences (Singh and Klar 2002). Heterochromatin formation in the 20-kb region initiates at a 4.3-kb sequence (cenH, resembling the outer repeat units of S. pombe centromeres) located between the silent MAT cassettes (Grewal and Jia 2007), where the RNA-induced transcriptional silencing (RITS) complex, which includes RNA-interference (RNAi) machinery, is recruited by small interfering RNA expressed from repeat sequences present within cenH (Hall et al. 2002; Noma et al. 2004). RITS-complex association with cenH is required for Clr4-mediated methylation of lysine 9 of histone H3 (H3K9me2/3). H3K9 hypoacetylation and methylation is necessary for recruitment of the chromodomain protein Swi6, which is in turn needed for recruitment of chromatin-modifying factors that propagate heterochromatin formation across the silent cassettes (Nakayama et al. 2001; Yamada et al. 2005; Grewal and Jia 2007; Allshire and Ekwall 2015). The fact that a centromere-like sequence is involved in silencing the silent MAT loci of S. pombe may be significant in terms of how this silencing system evolved. The *S. pombe MAT* locus is not linked to the centromere, and the *cenH* repeat at *mat2,3* is the only noncentromeric copy of this repeat in the *S. pombe* genome.

In contrast, heterochromatin formation in S. cerevisiae differs dramatically from that in other eukaryotes (Hickman et al. 2011). Although components of the RNAi pathway can be found in the Taphrinomycotina, Pezizomycotina, and in some Saccharomycotina species, it is absent in S. cerevisiae (Drinnenberg et al. 2009). S. cerevisiae also lacks homologs of Swi6 and Clr4, and thus does not use H3K9 methylation for chromatin modification. Instead, S. cerevisiae has replaced this mechanism of transcriptional repression with one directed in large part by the histone deacetylase Sir2 (Rusche et al. 2003; Hickman et al. 2011; Moazed 2011; Haber 2012). Transcriptionally repressed regions of the S. cerevisiae genome include the silent MAT cassettes and the telomeres. The mechanisms of repression in these two genomic regions share many components (Wellinger and Zakian 2012), but transcriptional silencing at the MAT cassettes is stronger and more robust than that at the telomeres (Haber 2012). HMR and HML are flanked by the *cis*-acting E and I silencer sequences that serve as the sites of recruitment for silencing proteins. Silencer sequences are directly bound by Orc1, Rap1, and Abf1, which recruit Sir1 and the SIR complex (Sir2/Sir3/Sir4). Sir2 is an NAD+ histone deacetylase, and Sir3 and Sir4 bind deacetylated histones H3 and H4. This has led to a model for progressive spreading of heterochromatin across the MAT cassettes by Sir2 histone deacetylation, followed by SIR-complex recruitment. As well as silencing transcription at HML and HMR, the SIR complex also protects the Y/Z junctions in these loci from cleavage by HO endonuclease during switching in S. cerevisiae. This protection mechanism seems to have been lost in C. glabrata, a close relative of S. cerevisiae that has lost the SIR1 gene; overexpression of HO in C. glabrata is lethal, probably due to cleavage of HML (Boisnard et al. 2015).

Examination of the silencing components required for transcriptional repression of HMR and HML in other Saccharomycetaceae species has demonstrated the rapid evolution of this process. The silencer sequences that nucleate the formation of heterochromatin across the silent loci have a high nucleotide-substitution rate within the Saccharomyces genus (Teytelman et al. 2008), and the binding sites for Orc1, Rap1, and Abf1 are absent in the non-WGD yeast K. lactis (Astrom et al. 2000; Sjostrand et al. 2002). Sir1, which is present only in post-WGD species and the Zygosaccharomyces/ Torulaspora clade (Figure 4), has undergone expansion and contraction in copy number within these species. Four members of a Sir1 family have been identified in S. uvarum (syn. S. bayanus), all of which contribute to HMR and HML silencing (Gallagher et al. 2009). In addition, Sir1, Sir4, and the silencer sequences are incompatible in interspecies hybrids between S. cerevisiae and S. uvarum, further demonstrating the rapid evolution of these components (Zill et al. 2010, 2012).

Several important differences in the silencing mechanisms in *K. lactis* relative to *S. cerevisiae* have been identified,



Figure 6 Seven ways to organize a *MAT* locus in family Saccharomycetaceae. The X and Z repeats, which occur in three copies in the genome (at *MAT*, *HML*, and *HMR*), overlap with parts of different *MAT* genes in different species. Each cartoon illustrates how the *MAT* genes are arranged, relative to the X and Z regions, in a group of species. The horizontal lines in each cartoon represent sequence that is shared between the *MAT* and *MAT* alleles, while the bubbles represent the divergence between the Y α and Ya regions. Blue arrows represent the neighboring chromosomal genes, which also vary among species (Gordon *et al.* 2011).

including a requirement of Sum1 for repression of *HMR* and *HML* (Hickman and Rusche 2009). The SUM1 complex, which represses α sg's as well as meiotic genes in *S. cerevisiae* (Zill and Rine 2008), localizes with Sir2 to repress both *HMR* and *HML* in *K. lactis*. Intriguingly, although Sir4 also localizes to *HML*, it is absent from *HMR*. Since *K. lactis* also lacks Sir3, because Sir3 is a paralog of Orc1 that arose from the WGD (Hickman and Rusche 2009; Hickman *et al.* 2011), the histone-associating factors that silence *HMR* in *K. lactis* are still unknown. Additional roles for Ume6, which is required for meiotic gene repression in *S. cerevisiae*, have been described for repression of *HMR* and *HML* in *K. lactis* (Barsoum *et al.* 2010b).

In methylotrophic yeasts, the silencing of one copy of the *MAT* locus is conferred by its proximity to a heterochromatic region of the genome, but the components required for transcriptional repression are unknown. In *O. polymorpha*, one copy of the *MAT* locus is located next to a centromere, which structurally resembles the regional centromeres of *S. pombe*, *Neurospora crassa*, and *C. albicans* rather than the point cen-

tromeres of the Saccharomycetaceae family (Roy and Sanyal 2011; Coughlan *et al.* 2016). The *O. polymorpha* centromere is bound by the centromeric histone variant Cse4 (CenH3), and this binding extends into the proximal *MAT* locus cassette (Hanson *et al.* 2014). However, the direct or indirect contribution of Cse4 to transcriptional repression of this *MAT* locus has not been determined.

In *K. phaffii*, one copy of the *MAT* locus is adjacent to a telomere. Intriguingly, expression of the *MAT* genes from this locus is reduced rather than completely silenced (Hanson *et al.* 2014), similar to the variegated expression observed in subtelomeric regions in *S. cerevisiae* (telomere position effect) (Gottschling *et al.* 1990). This mechanism for silencing *MAT*-locus cassettes has previously been described for the *HML* (*MTL3*) locus in *C. glabrata*, which has lost Sir1 and does not use silencer sequences for initiation of heterochromatin formation (Ramirez-Zavaleta *et al.* 2010). The implications of concurrent expression of *MAT* genes in haploid cells for the expression of **a**sg's and α sg's are unknown.

The genes required for S. pombe-like transcriptional silencing, including Clr4 (H3K9 methyltransferase), Epe1 (H3K9me demethylase), and Swi6 (H3K9me-binding chromodomain protein) were lost at a very early stage of the evolution of the Saccharomycotina subphylum, before the divergence between the Saccharomycetaceae, methylotrophs, and CUG-Ser clades (Figure 4; Riley et al. 2016). RNAi components were also lost in many lineages, including the methylotrophs and many Saccharomycetaceae. These losses predate the inferred emergence of mating-type switching in Saccharomycotina (Figure 4). In contrast, the SIR silencing system appears to be relatively young because the genes SIR1, SIR3, and SIR4 are only found in the family Saccharomycetaceae. Because all switching systems require a mechanism to repress transcription of the silent MAT loci, these observations indicate that, prior to the origin of the SIR proteins, another mechanism must have existed to silence the silent MAT genes. It is possible that this mechanism is connected to the centromeric and/or telomeric locations of MAT genes. Elucidation of the silencing mechanisms in methylotrophic species is likely to provide valuable insight into this evolutionary transition from RNAi/Swi6-mediated to Sir2-mediated silencing (Hickman et al. 2011).

MAT-locus cleavage

In species that switch mating types by SDSA, such as *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe*, the first step in the process is the formation of a dsDNA break in the old *MAT* locus. This break will subsequently be repaired by using a silent *MAT* gene (from *HML/HMR* or *mat2/mat3*) as the template for new DNA synthesis. In contrast, in methylotrophs that switch by a flip-flop mechanism, the first step is the initiation of nonallelic homologous recombination (NAHR) between the two copies of the IR. Whether the methylotrophs employ an endonuclease to initiate the NAHR (analogous to Spo11 in meiotic recombination) is not currently known.

The mechanisms of dsDNA-break formation differ completely among the three species in which it has been studied in detail: S. cerevisiae, K. lactis, and S. pombe. The S. pombe mechanism is not fully understood, but it does not involve an endonuclease. Instead, the dsDNA break arises from an imprint (epigenetic mark) on one strand of the MAT-locus DNA (Arcangioli and Thon 2004; Klar et al. 2014). The nature of this mark has been controversial, but it involves a replication pause that leaves either a single-strand nick or two ribonucleotides (probably from an incompletely removed Okazaki fragment primer) at a specific site on one DNA strand (Holmes et al. 2005; Dalgaard 2012). When the imprinted MAT locus is replicated, the imprint gives rise to a double-strand break which is then repaired by switching. Notably, this process is not regulated: mating-type switching occurs in one of the four grandchildren of every S. pombe cell (in homothallic strains), regardless of environmental or other signals. In this regard, switching in S. pombe resembles switching in S. cerevisiae and differs from the inducible switching seen in methylotrophs.

The agent of *MAT*-locus cleavage in *S. cerevisiae* is the HO endonuclease, whose mechanism and evolution have been

studied extensively. HO cleaves the S. cerevisiae MAT locus at an \sim 18-bp recognition sequence that spans the junction between the Y and Z regions (Nickoloff et al. 1990). The recognition sequence lies within the $MAT\alpha 1$ gene, because the 3' end of this gene extends from Y α into the Z region (Figure 6), and coincides with a short conserved amino acid motif (FAQQ) in the $\alpha 1$ protein. The recognition-site specificity of HO endonuclease in species other than S. cerevisiae has not been investigated experimentally, but the HO gene is known to catalyze switching in the C. glabrata clade (Edskes and Wickner 2013; Boisnard et al. 2015) and in Naumovozyma castellii (Drinnenberg et al. 2009). Moreover, the Y-Z junction occurs at or near the FAQQ motif in the $MAT\alpha 1$ gene of all Saccharomycetaceae species that have HO, so HO is likely to cut at this site in all these species (Butler *et al.*) 2004; Gordon et al. 2011).

Phylogenetic analysis of the HO endonuclease has established its relationship to a selfish genetic element, an intein found in some alleles of the VMA1 gene coding for a subunit of vacuolar H⁺-ATPase in S. cerevisiae (Hirata et al. 1990; Gimble and Thorner 1992; Haber and Wolfe 2005; Koufopanou and Burt 2005). Inteins are insertions in protein sequences analogous to introns in gene sequences (Dalgaard et al. 1997; Gogarten et al. 2002). The intein in the Vma1 protein is called VDE or PI-SceI (Gimble and Thorner 1992). The initial translation product from VMA1 alleles that contain VDE is a chimeric precursor polypeptide in which VDE interrupts the mature Vma1 protein. VDE has two domains. Its protein-splicing domain enables VDE to autoexcise from the precursor polypeptide, making Vma1 functional by ligating its N- and C-terminal halves together with a new peptide bond. Its DNA-endonuclease domain enables VDE to "home," that is, it enables the VDE-encoding sequence to spread selfishly through a yeast population. Homing occurs during meiosis in diploids that are heterozygotes for VMA1 alleles with and without the intein (Gimble and Thorner 1992; Fukuda et al. 2006). The endonuclease domain of VDE cleaves the intein-less allele of VMA1 in a site-specific manner; its recognition sequence spans the intein insertion site, so it only recognizes VMA1 alleles that lack the VDE coding region. The cleaved intein-less allele is then repaired by gene conversion from the intein-containing allele, leading to super-Mendelian inheritance of the element. The endonuclease domain of VDE is a member of the large LAGLIDADG family of homing endonucleases, named after a conserved and semipronounceable peptide sequence motif (Gimble 2000). HO does not selfpropagate in this manner, but instead appears to be a former intein that has been adapted to function in mating-type switching (Gimble and Thorner 1992; Keeling and Roger 1995).

The *HO* gene is significantly more closely related to the VDE intein of *VMA1*, which is one of the very few inteins found in fungi (most are in bacteria), than to other inteins. *HO* does not exist in Saccharomycotina outside the family Saccharomycetaceae, and on the phylogenetic tree it first appears on the branch leading to the common ancestor of *Zygosaccharomyces/Torulaspora* and the post-WGD clade (Figure 4). Even though HO does not undergo protein

splicing, motifs that are conserved among the proteinsplicing domains of inteins are also conserved in HO (Pietrokovski 1994); in fact, these motifs are more strongly conserved in HO than in VDE (Haber and Wolfe 2005). The details of how an intein, an ancient mobile genetic element found in all domains of life, turned into HO are still unknown. The DNA manipulations initiated by the HO and VDE proteins are similar: cleavage of dsDNA at a specific site in the recipient locus, leading to copy-and-paste repair by SDSA from a donor that does not contain the cleavage site, guided by flanking sequences that are identical between recipient and donor. The key evolutionary innovation during the evolution of HO was that the endonuclease became redeployed to cleave a recipient site that is not the HO locus itself, but a completely different place in the genome (MAT). Relative to VDE and other inteins, HO has acquired an extra domain at its C terminus, a zinc finger DNA-binding domain that is required for cleavage of MAT (Nahon and Raveh 1998; Bakhrat et al. 2004). The gain of this domain is likely to have been important for the evolutionary retargeting of HO to the MAT locus (Bakhrat et al. 2004; Butler et al. 2004).

Importantly, the *HO* gene was acquired after the threecassette, *MAT*-locus system had emerged in Saccharomycetaceae (Figure 4; Butler *et al.* 2004). Orthologs of HO are not found in clades that branched off before the point marked in Figure 4. *K. lactis* contains a sequence that was initially proposed to be an *HO* pseudogene (Fabre *et al.* 2005), but does not share synteny with *HO* (Butler 2007). *HO* appears to be a member of a small family of paralogous intein-zinc finger fusion genes in Saccharomycetaceae, many of which are pseudogenes. In addition, the acquisition of *HO* coincides with the gain of *SIR1* (Hickman *et al.* 2011), which may relate to the role of Sir1 in preventing HO from cleaving *HMR* and *HML* by changes to chromatin structure (Haber and Wolfe 2005).

Instead of HO, K. lactis employs two other domesticated selfish genetic elements to induce cleavage of its MAT locus. K. lactis differs from S. cerevisiae by having two separate mechanisms for MAT \rightarrow MAT a switching and MAT a \rightarrow *MAT* α switching (Barsoum *et al.* 2010a; Rajaei *et al.* 2014). Both of these mechanisms involve making a dsDNA break in the outgoing MAT locus by processes that resemble the first steps of mobilization of DNA transposons. Cleavage of the MAT α locus for switching to MAT a is induced by α 3, a gene present at both *MAT* α and *HML* (Barsoum *et al.* 2010a). This gene was named α 3 because it is a third gene located in the Y_{α} region of the K. lactis MAT_{α} allele (Astrom et al. 2000), but the name is somewhat misleading because $\alpha 3$ is not a regulator of transcription like $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 2$. Rather, it is part of an arcane mechanism for generating a double-strand break in $MAT\alpha$ during the $MAT\alpha \rightarrow MATa$ switch. The α 3 protein is similar to the DNA transposase of Mutator-like elements (MULEs), a family within the Mutator superfamily of DNA transposons (class II mobile elements) (Neuveglise et al. 2005; Wicker *et al.* 2007). The α 3 protein is brought to the *MAT* α locus by Rme1 (also called Mts1 in *K. lactis*), where it cuts at two sites on either side of the $MAT\alpha3$ gene, excising the gene, and leaving behind a double-strand break. These steps are similar to the "cut" part of the cut-and-paste mechanism that MULE elements use to transpose. Surprisingly, it is the copy of the α 3 gene located in the *HML* locus, rather than *MAT* α 3, that is expressed and translated into the α 3 protein necessary for successful cleavage of the *MAT* locus (Barsoum *et al.* 2010a). It is perhaps for this reason that the dynamics of the silencer elements flanking *HML* in *K. lactis* are different from those in *S. cerevisiae* (Hickman and Rusche 2009).

When K. lactis switches in the opposite direction, from MATa to MATa, the outgoing MATa locus is cleaved by Kat1, a member of the Roamer family of hobo/Activator/ Tam3 (hAT) DNA transposases (Rajaei et al. 2014). Kat1 cuts between the MATa1 and MATa2 genes to create the doublestrand break needed for SDSA with HML. The ends of the break are covalently closed into hairpin caps, a characteristic feature of the breaks made when hAT family elements transpose, which are subsequently resolved by Mre11 nuclease (Barsoum et al. 2010a). The KAT1 gene is not located near MAT or HML/HMR, but its expression is activated by Rme1. It is interesting that Rme1 stimulates mating-type switching in both directions, but its role in one direction is as a transcription factor, whereas its role in the other direction seems to be only as a DNA- and protein-binding factor (it binds to the *MAT* α 3 gene and probably interacts with the α 3 protein) (Barsoum et al. 2010a). Kat1-protein expression is also modulated by a natural frameshift in the KAT1 gene that requires ribosomal slippage for correct translation. Syntenic orthologs of the α *3* and *KAT1* genes are present only within the genus Kluyveromyces, suggesting that this switching mechanism is genus specific (Figure 4; Barsoum et al. 2010a; Rajaei et al. 2014). The order of evolutionary recruitment of α 3 and Kat1 into the mating-type switching process is unknown, as is the mechanism of dsDNA-break formation in the three-cassette system that preceded it in the common ancestor of Saccharomyces and Kluyveromyces. Some other species of Saccharomycetaceae have genes similar to MULE or Roamer transposases that are distant paralogs of α *3* and *KAT1* (Sarilar *et al.* 2015; Wolfe et al. 2015), but these have not been implicated in mating-type switching.

Mobile elements as endonucleases

The discovery that HO, α 3, and Kat1 are all domesticated versions of selfish genetic elements is intriguing. Inteins and DNA transposons take advantage of the cell's repair system for broken chromosomes to spread through the population at a rate faster than expected under genetic drift (Burt and Trivers 2008). Super-Mendelian inheritance of inteins is achieved by homing in diploids as described above, enabling the intein-containing allele at a particular locus to spread vertically through the population. DNA transposons that mobilize by a cut-and-paste mechanism, such as MULE and hAT elements, can also increase their numbers in a population at a faster rate than expected under drift. However, for these elements, the increase occurs horizontally by transposition to additional sites in the genome. The copy number of the

element will increase if the double-strand break formed during excision from the old site is repaired using a second (transposon-containing) copy of the old site as a donor. In diploids, the second allele of the old site can act as a donor in this way. Thus a diploid cell that is homozygous for a transposon at one insertion site can give rise to a mitotic descendant that is still homozygous at the original site as well as heterozygous at a new insertion site, increasing the copy number of the transposon from two to three (Burt and Trivers 2008). Alternatively, in either haploids or diploids, if the chromosomal region of the old site replicates before excision occurs, then the sister chromatid formed by replication can act as a donor for repair (Burt and Trivers 2008). However, the latter mechanism will only increase the transposon's copy number if mobilization occurs in an interval of S phase when the old site has replicated but the new site has not.

It has been hypothesized that sexual reproduction may be driven by selfish elements as a means to spread themselves more efficiently in a population (Hickey 1982; Rose 1983; Keeling and Roger 1995). Homing endonucleases in particular are proposed to have a cycle of vertical proliferation within a population until a 100% allele frequency is reached, which will then inevitably be followed by degeneration of the element because it can proliferate no further, unless it is transmitted horizontally to another population or its site specificity drifts to a new target (Gimble 2000; Burt and Koufopanou 2004). This cycle of degradation might be escaped if the element were to integrate into a process that increases the probability of outcrossing. The domestication of HO for mating-type switching has been suggested as an example of a selfish gene driving sexual reproduction; if mating-type switching was initially a passive process that occurred at a low frequency, then a selfish element that increased the frequency of switching (and therefore the frequency of sexual reproduction) could spread more rapidly in a population (Keeling and Roger 1995). Experimental studies in yeast have demonstrated the increased spread of selfish elements via sexual reproduction, even at a fitness cost to the cell, and have presented evidence that selfish elements can increase the rate of sporulation in S. cerevisiae (Futcher 1988; Goddard et al. 2001; Kelly et al. 2012; Giraldo-Perez and Goddard 2013; Harrison et al. 2014). An important caveat to this hypothesis, however, is that in S. cerevisiae mating-type switching increases the rate of haplo-selfing rather than outcrossing (see below), which would not facilitate the proliferation of a selfish element in a population.

For a DNA transposon in a haplontic yeast such as *K. lactis*, gaining control of mating-type switching could nevertheless be a desirable goal in some circumstances. Specifically, it would be advantageous to any type of transposon for which repairing the site of excision by interhomolog repair (in diploid cells) leads to more efficient spreading than intersister chromatid repair (in haploid cells after DNA replication). For example, if a transposon excised early in the cell cycle before replication began, intersister repair would not be possible, but the interhomolog repair pathway would be available if

the cell were diploid. Therefore, a transposon of this type requires its haploid host cell to mate if it is to spread selfishly. It would be advantageous for the transposon to put its transposase under the same regulatory signals (e.g., nitrogen starvation) that induce mating or meiosis so that it only attempted to mobilize in diploids. For a transposon in a "lonely" isolated haploid cell (lacking a partner of the opposite mating type) it would be a master stroke if induction of the cut phase of the transposon's mobilization cycle also induced cutting of the haploid's MAT locus; so that mating-type switching occurs, a diploid is formed by mating, and the transposon can complete its mobilization-becoming homozygous at the old site and heterozygous at a new site. This hypothesis provides a rationale for a link between cut-andpaste DNA transposons and the control of switching, but it cannot be applied to inteins unless homing is frequently off target.

The connection between MAT-locus cleavage mechanisms and selfish elements raises many questions about how these mechanisms evolved (Rusche and Rine 2010). Was an ancestral cleavage mechanism supplanted on two separate occasions, by HO and α 3/Kat1, suggesting rapid turnover of mechanisms? Was switching ever a passive process that did not require an induced DNA break? HO-deficient strains of S. cerevisiae are still capable of switching mating types, albeit at a frequency \sim 1,000,000-fold lower than in wild-type strains (Herskowitz 1988); so an ancestral mechanism that relied only on spontaneous breakage and homologous recombination is perhaps plausible. Some other yeasts, such as L. waltii, have a three-cassette MAT-locus structure but lack both HO and α3/KAT1 genes (Di Rienzi et al. 2011). L. waltii contains several hAT transposons (Rover family) (Souciet et al. 2009; Bleykasten-Grosshans and Neuveglise 2011; Sarilar et al. 2015) and has been shown to switch mating types (Di Rienzi et al. 2011). The two-cassette system in methylotrophs may also be informative in this respect, because in these species mating-type switching is inducible under nutrient-limiting conditions (Tolstorukov et al. 1982; Hanson et al. 2014; Maekawa and Kaneko 2014). The inducibility of switching suggests that recombination between the IRs is not a passive process, but no candidates for the endonuclease or recombinase responsible have been identified. Furthermore, in K. phaffii, nutrient-limiting conditions induce recombination only between the outer set of IRs at its MAT loci. Neither the inner IRs nor other sets of IRs present at its centromeres recombine during nutrient limitation (Hanson et al. 2014; Coughlan et al. 2016). This specificity suggests that switching in methylotrophs involves targeted recombination rather than induction of a general mechanism for NAHR.

Evolution of Mating-Type Switching Regulation

Mating-type switching is inherently risky due to the need to make a double-strand break in a haploid genome. It is therefore tightly regulated both in direction, to ensure that it produces a cell of the required mating type, and in timing, to ensure that switching only occurs when it is most likely to result in successful mating. As discussed below, regulation is effected through multiple controls: on the choice of donor locus, by tracking the cell lineage and controlling the point in the cell cycle when switching occurs, and in some species by regulating switching in response to environmental conditions.

Donor bias

Because the three-cassette systems of *S. cerevisiae* and *S. pombe* include silent copies of both *MAT* alleles, the choice of template for repair of the double-strand break at *MAT* cannot be random. Random choice of a donor would result in a successful ("productive") mating-type switch only 50% of the time, the others being futile *MAT* $a \rightarrow MATa$ or *MAT* $a \rightarrow MATa$ are independent of the choice of donor to the opposite allele in 80–90% of switching events by mechanisms that are independent of the sequences present in the silent loci themselves (Klar *et al.* 1982).

In S. cerevisiae, a recombination enhancer (RE) sequence present on the left arm of chromosome III biases repair of the MAT locus in MATa cells toward HML as the donor, leading to a high frequency of use of HML which normally contains the silent α cassette and hence productive switching (Wu and Haber 1996; Wu *et al.* 1997). In *MAT* α cells, the RE is bound by the α 2-Mcm1 complex, inhibiting the use of *HML* which is \sim 17 kb away. This complex is not present in *MAT*a cells. The presence of an RE located between MAT and HML may explain why these two loci are physically linked on the same chromosome in all known Saccharomycetaceae species, and why (apart from in some exceptional S. cerevisiae strains) the genotype of HML is always HML α , whereas HMR**a** is usually found on a separate chromosome (Oshima 1993; Gordon et al. 2011; Vakirlis et al. 2016). Synteny is exceptionally well conserved in the genomic regions between MAT and HML including the RE, suggesting that the linkage between MAT and RE is constrained, even though the DNA sequence of RE itself is not strongly conserved (Zhou et al. 2001). In most species other than S. cerevisiae, the fact that using $HML\alpha$ as a donor for MAT repair is an intramolecular reaction, whereas the use of HMRa is an intermolecular reaction with a different chromosome, may create a bias toward using $HML\alpha$ in MATa cells where the RE is not bound (Coic et al. 2006; Agmon et al. 2009).

S. pombe contains two RE sequences, SRE2 and SRE3, located proximally to *mat2* and *mat3*, respectively (Figure 5; Jia *et al.* 2004; Jakociunas *et al.* 2013). The recombination promoting complex (Swi2/Swi5) localizes differentially across the entire silenced region, including the SRE sequences, in a cell-type specific manner (Jia *et al.* 2004). Swi2 expression is in part regulated by the Mat-Mc protein (Matsuda *et al.* 2011; Yu *et al.* 2012), and the levels of Swi2 influence the biased repair to the appropriate cassette, with higher expression in M cells resulting in preferential repair by *mat2*, and lower expression in P cells resulting in preferential repair by *mat3*.

The need for a donor-bias mechanism is unique to species with three-cassette switching systems. The two-cassette system

in methylotrophs does not encounter this problem because there is no choice of donor to be made: switching always swaps the single expressed MAT locus with the single silent one. However, if inversion of the MAT region in methylotrophs occurs by mitotic NAHR between the two copies of the IR, then resolution of the Holliday junction is likely to result in only 50% of the attempted switching events being productive, with the other 50% being resolved as noncrossovers that fail to invert the MAT region (Hanson et al. 2014). Switching may therefore be only 50% productive in methylotrophs as compared to 80-90% in S. cerevisiae and S. pombe. This hypothesis has not been directly tested experimentally, but is supported by experiments with P. methanolica (formerly P. pinus) in which Tolstorukov et al. (1982) found that the proportion of switched cells appearing in a liquid culture after induction reached a plateau of 50%. Although this observation suggests that methylotroph cells take on a great amount of risk with a relatively small probability of reward, the NAHR mechanism may be less susceptible to failure leading to chromosome breakage than the SDSA mechanism, and it may function to maintain approximately equal numbers of each mating type within a population.

Lineage tracking and cell-cycle regulation

The developmental timing of mating-type switching is also strictly regulated in S. cerevisiae. Switching can occur only during G₁ phase in haploid cells that have divided at least once (Strathern and Herskowitz 1979). This tight regulation is primarily due to control of expression of HO, which has one of the largest and most complex promoters in the S. cerevisiae genome, and to the rapid degradation of the HO protein. HO expression is restricted to haploids but not diploids, to mothers but not daughters, and to the G₁-S transition point in the cell cycle (Stillman 2013). HO expression is haploid specific because, like other hsg's, it is repressed in diploids by the a1- α 2 heterodimer. HO expression is confined to the G₁ phase of the cell cycle because it requires the G₁-specific SBF complex, comprised of Swi4 and Swi6. HO expression also shows cell-lineage tracking, an unusual form of gene regulation that operates via the Ash1 protein. Ash1 binds to the HO promoter and represses it by recruiting the Rpd3(L) histone deacetylation complex, but Ash1 protein is predominantly confined to daughter cells (Bobola et al. 1996; Stillman 2013). This differential protein localization is achieved by a mechanism that transports ASH1 messenger RNA into daughter cells, resulting in daughter-specific ASH1 translation, and hence repression of HO (Cosma 2004; Haber 2012). In contrast, mother cells lack Ash1 and express HO. Because HO expression occurs just prior to DNA replication (S phase), both the mother cell and its next (second) daughter cell will inherit the switched MAT genotype. The first daughter cell, having not yet budded, will produce a daughter of the original mating type. Thus, in S. cerevisiae, switched cells appear in pairs alongside two unswitched cells. This process of cell-lineage tracking, in combination with the axial budding pattern of haploid cells, ensures that switched cells are in close physical proximity to a potential mating partner (Nasmyth 1982; Gimeno and Fink 1992; Knop 2011).

In *S. pombe*, which divides by fission and does not have morphologically distinct mother and daughter cells, the inheritance of the epigenetic mark responsible for doublestrand break induction dictates which cells are competent for switching (Egel 2005; Klar 2007). A cell that generates the epigenetic mark during DNA replication will pass the mark to one of the two daughter cells it makes after fission, which will then be able to produce one switched granddaughter cell (Arcangioli and Thon 2004).

The possibility of cell-lineage tracking in O. polymorpha or K. phaffii has not yet been investigated. A study in P. methanolica found no evidence for such a mechanism, as both mother and daughter cells were capable of switching, but as in S. cerevisiae switching seemed to occur only in cells that have already budded once (Tolstorukov and Benevolenskii 1981: Tolstorukov et al. 1982). As discussed above, it is possible that instead of lineage tracking, the availability of mating partners in methylotrophs is ensured by the low productivity of switching (50% success) due to unbiased resolution of Holliday junctions formed in the IR. If inversion of the MAT region instead occurs by a pathway independent of homologous recombination such as a site-specific recombinase, or if proteins that bias the resolution of Holliday junctions in favor of crossovers (analogous to S. cerevisiae Zip3 in meiosis; Oke et al. 2014) are involved, then a bias toward >50% productive switching could be achieved in methylotrophs.

Response to environmental conditions

In most yeast species, processes related to mating and sporulation, including mating-type switching, are coordinated with nutrient availability. It is unsurprising that this is the case, given the likely benefits of recombination under conditions of environmental stress. However, S. cerevisiae is an exception to this generalization. In S. cerevisiae, which is diplontic, only meiosis and sporulation are controlled by nutrient availability. Mating-type switching and mating occur spontaneously in vegetatively growing haploid S. cerevisiae, even in rich media. In poor conditions, diploid S. cerevisiae cells make a complex decision between sporulation, quiescence, and foraging by pseudohyphal growth (Honigberg 2016). Four conditions must be met for diploid S. cerevisiae to enter into meiosis (Freese et al. 1982; Piekarska et al. 2010; Neiman 2011; Broach 2012): (1) The cells must be starving for nitrogen (or possibly other nutrients), which results in arrest of the cells in G_1 phase. (2) Glucose must be absent from the environment, (3) while a nonfermentable carbon source is present, stimulating respiration, which is essential for sporulation. Finally, (4) the cells must have the a/α genotype at the MAT locus. These conditions result in the integration of several signaling pathways that converge on the large and complex promoter of the transcription factor IME1. *IME1* activates the expression of early meiosis genes, including IME2 and NDT80, which in turn lead to induction of the later stages of meiosis (Brar et al. 2012).

In haplontic yeast species, switching, mating, meiosis, and sporulation are coordinated and coregulated processes (Gleeson and Sudbery 1988; Barsoum *et al.* 2011; Sherwood *et al.* 2014). In some, induction is even required for expression of the matingtype genes and pheromones (Kelly *et al.* 1988; Sherwood *et al.* 2014), which are constitutive in *S. cerevisiae*. For many haplontic yeasts, such as *O. polymorpha* (Gleeson and Sudbery 1988) and *K. lactis* (Barsoum *et al.* 2010a), environmental nutrient levels act as a signal that normally induces a suite of steps comprising switching, mating, meiosis, and sporulation. These species have a "fused" sexual cycle (Sherwood *et al.* 2014) in which meiosis follows immediately after mating without requiring a separate trigger. However, examination of the molecular mechanisms regulating these processes in several haplontic species has revealed substantial variation in how they are coordinated, demonstrating that extensive changes in the regulatory pathways have occurred during Ascomycota evolution.

In K. lactis, Rme1 (Mts1) plays a central role in switching, mating, and meiosis, and is under environmental regulation. Haploid K. lactis cells repress switching and mating under vegetative growth conditions (Barsoum et al. 2010a). Rme1 is required for activation of both of these processes, in addition to having a role conserved with S. cerevisiae Rme1 in suppressing meiosis genes (Barsoum et al. 2010a; Booth et al. 2010). Activation of K. lactis RME1 by phosphate and glucose depletion is required for expression of hsg's to facilitate mating, in contrast to the constitutive expression of hsg's in vegetative S. cerevisiae haploids. In addition, although repression of *RME1* in diploids is mediated by $\mathbf{a}1$ - $\alpha 2$ in *K*. lactis and S. cerevisiae, all other hsg's in K. lactis have lost $a_{1-\alpha 2}$ repression and instead are activated by Rme1 (Booth et al. 2010). Vegetative expression of hsg's in L. kluyveri and their lack of regulation by Rme1 suggest that repression of hsg's by a1- α 2 (as in S. cerevisiae) is the ancestral condition, and that hsg regulation in the K. lactis lineage has both lost $a_{1-\alpha 2}$ repression and gained Rme1 activation (Booth et al. 2010).

Clavispora (Candida) lusitaniae, a haploid species in the CUG-Ser clade, also has a fused sexual cycle in which mating proceeds directly into meiosis (Sherwood et al. 2014). These processes are induced by starvation, which in the laboratory is achieved by growth on dilute potato dextrose agar (Reedy et al. 2009), a medium deficient in nitrogen (Sholberg 1981). C. lusitaniae does not undergo mating-type switching, but it has reassigned the functions of two key regulators of mating and meiosis. The transcription factor Ste12 and the kinase Ime2 are both required for both mating and meiosis in C. lusitaniae, whereas in S. cerevisiae they have specific roles in mating and meiosis, respectively (Sherwood et al. 2014). This regulatory rewiring is limited to the C. lusitaniae lineage, as other haplontic species including K. lactis, Yarrowia lipolytica, and K. phaffii do not require STE12 for meiosis (Sherwood et al. 2014). Notably, C. lusitaniae has lost $MAT\alpha 2$ and therefore lacks the $a_{1-\alpha 2}$ repressor necessary for meiosis in S. cerevisiae (Reedy et al. 2009). This loss of $MAT\alpha 2$ may be related to the rewiring of other regulatory pathways. In addition, Ime2 has known nonmeiotic functions in S. cerevisiae (Strudwick et al. 2010), and is involved in other developmental processes outside of the Saccharomycotina (Hutchison and Glass 2010; Irniger 2011; Hutchison *et al.* 2012).

Induction of switching, mating, and sporulation in *S. pombe* is analogous to that in Saccharomycotina. As in other haploid yeasts, induction of these processes is mediated by environmental conditions: nitrogen starvation and the induction of stress response and mating-pheromone-activated signaling pathways (Davey 1998; Otsubo and Yamamoto 2012). These signals converge on the activation of *S. pombe STE11*, an HMG-domain transcription factor analogous in response and function to *S. cerevisiae STE12* but not homologous to it (Sugimoto *et al.* 1991). Ste11 activates genes required for cell and nuclear fusion, as well as mating-type genes and pheromones (Otsubo and Yamamoto 2012; Merlini *et al.* 2013). It is further needed for activation of Mei2, an RNA-binding protein required for entry into meiosis.

Methylotrophic yeasts also require nutrient conditional activation of mating-type switching, mating, and sporulation (Gleeson and Sudbery 1988; Lahtchev 2002; Hanson et al. 2014; Maekawa and Kaneko 2014), but the regulatory genes involved have not yet been identified. RME1, STE12, and *IME2* are present in the genomes of both *O. polymorpha* and K. phaffii, although IME1 could not be identified. Analysis of the upstream regions of transcriptionally coregulated mating genes in K. phaffii did not identify the Rme1 binding motif conserved between S. cerevisiae and K. lactis (Rebnegger et al. 2014), but this does not exclude the possibility of direct or indirect Rme1 regulation of these genes. Given the diversity of regulatory mechanisms for the coordinated activation of switching, mating, and sporulation in Saccharomycotina, the regulatory mechanisms in methylotrophs may be informative as to the ancestral state.

Resporulation as the Purpose of Mating-Type Switching

Although the mechanisms of mating-type switching have been explored in detail in several species, the evolutionary *raison d'être* of this process has received much less consideration. Switching is an error-prone process, as is clear from the elevated point-mutation rate at the newly synthesized *MAT* locus (Hicks *et al.* 2010) and the litany of gene deletions and transpositions that have occurred beside *MAT* during the evolution of the Saccharomycetaceae family (Gordon *et al.* 2011). From an evolutionary perspective, switching must therefore serve a function or provide a benefit to the host species that outweighs its mutagenic cost; otherwise natural selection would not have maintained the switching apparatus in so many species.

When thinking about the evolutionary advantage of matingtype switching, we need to disentangle the advantage of switching from the advantage of diploidy over haploidy as the primary mitotic growth form. Some yeast species that can switch mating types, such as *K. lactis* and *O. polymorpha*, are haplontic. Switching in these species normally leads immediately to mating and sporulation, without intervening diploid mitoses, so they appear to shun the often-cited advantages of a diploid mitotic lifecycle, such as the masking of recessive deleterious alleles or the ability to repair DNA by homologous recombination (Herskowitz 1988). S. cerevisiae is diplontic because it mates without requiring a nutritional signal, not because it can switch mating types. The phylogenetic distribution of diplonty vs. haplonty as the primary mitotic growth form of yeast species has not been studied in detail and is complicated by the existence of hybrids and species with cryptic sexual cycles (Dujon 2010). As a generalization, many post-WGD Saccharomycetaceae are diplontic and many outgroups to the WGD are haplontic (i.e., non-WGD Saccharomycetaceae, methylotrophs, and fully sexual CUG-Ser clade species), but there are several exceptions to this rule of thumb. For species that have the option of either haploid or diploid mitosis, the relative advantages of each may depend on the environmental context (Zorgo et al. 2013).

It could be argued that because mating-type switching increases the frequency of mating, the benefits of mating-type switching are the same as the benefits of sexual reproductionthat is, the ability to reassort beneficial alleles and purge deleterious ones (McDonald et al. 2016)-but this argument only applies if switching leads to outcrossing. The argument is consistent with the link between switching and transposable elements. Following spore germination, a diploid can be formed in one of three ways: by outcrossing (amphimixis), by intratetrad mating (automixis), or by haplo-selfing (mating between a mother and daughter cell after mating-type switching) (Greig and Leu 2009). Population genomic studies of S. paradoxus have led to estimates of the rates of these methods of diploidization in natural populations, with 94% of sexual generations resulting in intratetrad mating, 5% in haplo-selfing, and 1% in outcrossing (Tsai et al. 2008). Outcrossing has also been estimated to be as infrequent as 1 in every 50,000 generations in S. cerevisiae (Ruderfer et al. 2006), and the rate of outcrossing in S. cerevisiae is of the same order of magnitude as in L. kluyveri which cannot switch mating types (Friedrich et al. 2015). Thus mating-type switching appears not to be a significant factor contributing to the frequency of outcrossing in Saccharomyces.

Switching has the net effect of converting haploid cells into diploids. Yeast cells are not motile, so a haploid cell can only mate if it detects a haploid of the opposite type within shmooing distance. The effect of mating-type switching is that a haploid can create a mating partner for itself when no other potential partners are nearby. Several aspects of S. cerevisiae's lifecycle indicate that the formation of diploids is a trait that has been strongly selected for in this species, leading to diplonty. First, in tetrad asci, the four haploid spores are arranged in a tetrahedral pyramid shape that ensures that every spore is positioned beside spores of the opposite mating type (Nasmyth 1982). Second, in circumstances where the sporulating diploid cell does not have sufficient resources to form a tetrad, the most common outcome is that a dyad (twospored ascus) is formed instead, and a phenomenon termed spore number control results in the preferential production of dyads containing two nonsister spores that have compatible MAT loci to facilitate mating (Taxis et al. 2005; Lacefield and Ingolia 2006; Knight and Goddard 2016). Third, the axial budding pattern of haploid cells, in combination with S. cerevisiae's restriction of mating-type switching to mother cells, ensures that when a spore germinates, *MAT***a** and *MAT* α cells will be as close to one another as possible in the developing colony and therefore able to mate (Gimeno and Fink 1992). Fourth, asci of S. cerevisiae are "persistent," meaning that the four spores are likely to remain together before germination (Knop 2006), and scanning electron microscopy of asci reveals interspore bridges that may promote intratetrad mating (Coluccio and Neiman 2004). Notably, these features of S. cerevisiae asci are not found in asci of haplontic species such as S. pombe, consistent with selection for features facilitating rapid diploidization in S. cerevisiae (Coluccio and Neiman 2004; Taxis et al. 2005; Knop 2006). Fifth and perhaps foremost, mating ability in S. cerevisiae is constitutive and does not require induction.

The maintenance of mating-type switching is simpler to rationalize in haplontic species that have fused sexual cycles with only transient diploid states. Cells that require an environmental signal to diploidize may grow for several haploid generations before mating conditions are encountered. This increases the probability that such a cell may not find a mating partner. The simultaneous induction of mating-type switching and mating would therefore be more likely to result in successful diploidization and subsequent sporulation. However, if a mating partner is present when mating is induced, matingtype switching will be unnecessary and should be repressed (such as by pheromone-signaling pathways) (Barsoum *et al.* 2011), although this hypothesis has not been tested in haplontic species.

What evolutionary pressures might act to maintain matingtype switching in diplontic species like S. cerevisiae? Mortimer proposed that it could be maintained because occasional haplo-selfing events are necessary to purge recessive deleterious alleles that accumulate during generations of asexual reproduction or intratetrad mating in the population (Mortimer et al. 1994; Mortimer 2000; Magwene 2014). This problem is exclusive to diplontic species, because in haplontic species the recessive alleles will be exposed during haploid mitotic growth. In fact, S. cerevisiae populations are polymorphic for the ability to switch, with null alleles of the HO locus being present in 25% of natural isolates in one study (Katz Ezov et al. 2010). Losses of switching can also be inferred to have occurred in two species in the family Saccharomycetaceae (L. kluyveri and Kazachstania africana) (Gordon et al. 2011), as well as in the large CUG-Ser clade of species related to C. albicans (Butler et al. 2009). One could therefore argue that although there has been evolutionary pressure to maintain switching in most yeast species, this pressure can sometimes disappear.

Our laboratory has proposed an alternative hypothesis, that switching is maintained by selection for the ability of a cell to resporulate after germinating (Gordon *et al.* 2011; Hanson *et al.* 2014). This hypothesis can be applied to both diplontic and haplontic species, and is based on the concept of a "lonely

spore," first proposed by Herskowitz (1988). A lonely spore is one that is physically isolated from any other spores of the same species, so that when it germinates it will give rise to a haploid colony unless it is capable of mating-type switching, in which case some cells in the colony will be diploid. The factors determining when a spore germinates are not well understood, but it seems likely that the control of germination timing is under strong selection. Spores are survival structures that can protect a cell during times when vegetative growth is not possible. In environmental conditions that are improving (changing from inhospitable to hospitable), a spore that germinates earlier than its peers will have a competitive advantage. However, if it germinates too early, the environment may not be sufficient and it could die. Because only diploid cells can form spores, we have argued that a mating-type switching system enables spores to try germinating earlier (to test the environment); because if the environment is inhospitable they can form new spores after as few as two rounds of cell division. In contrast, germination is an allor-nothing commitment for a yeast strain that cannot switch mating types, because once it germinates it cannot make new spores unless it finds a mating partner. By computer simulation, we have shown that features such as donor bias and lineage tracking increase the proportion of diploid cells (or haploid cells with a potential mating partner) in the microcolony formed from a germinating spore, so the emergence of these features can be explained by natural selection for an increased ability of germinating cells to resporulate (Hanson et al. 2014). The change from a two-cassette to a threecassette switching system can also be explained by this model, because donor bias is only possible in a three-cassette system.

If the purpose of mating-type switching systems is to maximize the ability of microcolonies to form new spores, the benefit of switching could be a direct one related to cell survival as envisaged above, or an indirect one related to cell dispersal. S. cerevisiae is not carried by wind and instead relies on vectors such as insects for its introduction into new environments (Mortimer and Polsinelli 1999; Goddard et al. 2010; Stefanini et al. 2012). The spore wall enables spores to survive digestion by Drosophila melanogaster (Coluccio et al. 2008). Thus, for S. cerevisiae, the induction of sporulation by a poor environment may be a means to disperse into a new and perhaps more favorable environment after meiotic recombination and the generation of potentially advantageous genotypic variation (Neiman 2011). The passage of spores through the gut of *D. melanogaster* has also been shown to increase the rate of outcrossing in S. cerevisiae (Reuter et al. 2007), suggesting that spores in asci become separated from one another during dispersal. Whether this spore separation also results in an increased rate of haploselfing is not known.

Mating-type switching can be viewed as a form of reproductive assurance (Nieuwenhuis and Immler 2016): it enables haploid cells to mate, even if they are immotile and dispersed at low density in the environment. Secondary homothallism by switching thus guarantees that most cells can mate, without losing the benefits of having separate mating types (such as increased genetic diversity through outcrossing) that are absent in primary homothallism. While we agree with this view, we would suggest that in yeasts, which have the option of long-term mitotic reproduction without sex, the purpose of assuring mating is not to assure reproduction but to assure that spores can be formed.

Perspectives

Recent advances (Hanson et al. 2014; Maekawa and Kaneko 2014; Riley et al. 2016) allow us to postulate that the ancestral mating-type switching system in the fungal subphylum Saccharomycotina consisted of a two-cassette, flip-flop system, which later developed into the more complex three-cassette SDSA system of family Saccharomycetaceae. The ancestral flip-flop system originated prior to the divergence between A. rubescens, the methylotrophs, and the Saccharomycetaceae (Figure 4). We do not know what mechanism was used to repress transcription of the silent MAT locus in this ancestor, but it cannot have been either the H3K9me2/3 system (which was already lost) or the SIR system (which had not yet been invented), so centromeric or telomeric silencing seems likely. One possible, albeit speculative, way that the first two-locus system might have originated is that a diploid cell of a heterothallic species could have sustained a chromosomal rearrangement that moved one of the MAT alleles onto the same chromosome as the other allele, but in a heterochromatic region (centromeric or telomeric). Recombination between repetitive elements such as transposons could then have allowed flip-flop switching to emerge, inverting the region containing the two MAT alleles.

One of the most intriguing aspects of mating-type switching is the extent of parallels between the switching systems of subphyla Taphrinomycotina (S. pombe) and Saccharomycotina (S. cerevisiae, K. lactis, the methylotrophs, and A. rubescens). Since these subphyla share a multicellular common ancestor, and mating-type switching does not occur in multicellular fungi, it appears beyond doubt that the Saccharomycotina and Taphrinomycotina switching systems are a dramatic example of convergent genomic evolution in which each system independently developed silent cassettes and donor bias. At present we have little information about what the ancestors of the S. pombe system looked like. The unicellular (yeast) lifestyle emerged from multicellular ancestors on at least five separate occasions during fungal evolution (Nagy et al. 2014). Taphrinomycotina and Saccharomycotina are two of these clades, but we can also wonder whether switching may have emerged in the other three clades, or why it did not. These three clades are all in Basidiomycota. One, which includes the genus Cryptococcus, has been studied extensively and shows heterothallism with no evidence of switching, but the others which include the genera Sporobolomyces and Malessezia are much less investigated.

A final more philosophical question concerns why cell-type specification in secondary homothallic yeasts like *S. cerevisiae* includes a DNA-rearrangement mechanism at all. Cell differentiation

in most other eukaryotes is achieved using normal transcription factor networks, so why do yeasts use a chromosomal rearrangement to change mating types? It is interesting to compare the MAT system to the white/opaque switching system of C. albicans. This species has two yeast cell morphologies that are specified by the two stable states of a gene regulatory circuit with feed-forward loops (Zordan et al. 2007). In the opaque state, the Wor1 transcription factor is active and Efg1 is repressed; while in the white state, Efg1 is active and Wor1 is repressed; but the DNA content of white and opaque cells is identical. Switching between the two states occurs at a frequency of 5–16% (Zordan et al. 2007). Why can yeast mating type not be controlled by a simple network like this? The answer is that the states of a regulatory network cannot segregate in meiosis. If cell type were specified by the state of a network, a network in the \mathbf{a}/α state would need to be able to go through meiosis and produce spores with only **a** or α networks, which seems impossible. Cell type needs to have Mendelian inheritance, so it needs to be controlled by alleles of a chromosomal locus. Therefore, in turn, if haploids are to switch mating types, they must be able to alter the DNA of that locus to exchange the alleles in a reversible manner.

Acknowledgments

Research in our laboratory is supported by Science Foundation Ireland (13/IA/1910) and the European Research Council (268893).

Literature Cited

- Agmon, N., S. Pur, B. Liefshitz, and M. Kupiec, 2009 Analysis of repair mechanism choice during homologous recombination. Nucleic Acids Res. 37: 5081–5092.
- Allshire, R. C., and K. Ekwall, 2015 Epigenetic regulation of chromatin states in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 7: a018770.
- Almeida, J. M., O. H. Cisse, A. Fonseca, M. Pagni, and P. M. Hauser, 2015 Comparative genomics suggests primary homothallism of *Pneumocystis* species. MBio 6: e02250-14.
- Arcangioli, B., and G. Thon, 2004 Mating type cassettes: structure, switching and silencing, *The Molecular Biology of Schizo*saccharomyces Pombe, edited by R. Egel. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Astell, C. R., L. Ahlstrom-Jonasson, M. Smith, K. Tatchell, K. A. Nasmyth *et al.*, 1981 The sequence of the DNAs coding for the mating-type loci of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Cell 27: 15–23.
- Astrom, S. U., A. Kegel, J. O. Sjostrand, and J. Rine, 2000 *Kluyveromyces lactis* Sir2p regulates cation sensitivity and maintains a specialized chromatin structure at the cryptic alpha-locus. Genetics 156: 81–91.
- Bajwa, P. K., D. Pinel, V. J. Martin, J. T. Trevors, and H. Lee, 2010 Strain improvement of the pentose-fermenting yeast *Pichia stipitis* by genome shuffling. J. Microbiol. Methods 81: 179–186.
- Baker, C. R., L. N. Booth, T. R. Sorrells, and A. D. Johnson, 2012 Protein modularity, cooperative binding, and hybrid regulatory states underlie transcriptional network diversification. Cell 151: 80–95.
- Bakhrat, A., M. S. Jurica, B. L. Stoddard, and D. Raveh, 2004 Homology modeling and mutational analysis of Ho endonuclease of yeast. Genetics 166: 721–728.

- Bardwell, L., 2005 A walk-through of the yeast mating pheromone response pathway. Peptides 26: 339–350.
- Barnett, J. A., 2007 A history of research on yeasts 10: foundations of yeast genetics. Yeast 24: 799–845.
- Barsoum, E., P. Martinez, and S. U. Astrom, 2010a Alpha3, a transposable element that promotes host sexual reproduction. Genes Dev. 24: 33–44.
- Barsoum, E., J. O. O. Sjostrand, and S. U. Astrom, 2010b Ume6 Is required for the *MATa/MAT* α cellular identity and transcriptional silencing in *Kluyveromyces lactis*. Genetics 184: 999–1011.
- Barsoum, E., N. Rajaei, and S. U. Astrom, 2011 RAS/cyclic AMP and transcription factor Msn2 regulate mating and mating-type switching in the yeast *Kluyveromyces lactis*. Eukaryot. Cell 10: 1545–1552.
- Beach, D. H., and A. J. Klar, 1984 Rearrangements of the transposable mating-type cassettes of fission yeast. EMBO J. 3: 603–610.
- Billiard, S., M. Lopez-Villavicencio, M. E. Hood, and T. Giraud, 2012 Sex, outcrossing and mating types: unsolved questions in fungi and beyond. J. Evol. Biol. 25: 1020–1038.
- Bleykasten-Grosshans, C., and C. Neuveglise, 2011 Transposable elements in yeasts. C. R. Biol. 334: 679–686.
- Bobola, N., R. P. Jansen, T. H. Shin, and K. Nasmyth, 1996 Asymmetric accumulation of Ash1p in postanaphase nuclei depends on a myosin and restricts yeast mating-type switching to mother cells. Cell 84: 699–709.
- Boisnard, S., Y. Zhou Li, S. Arnaise, G. Sequeira, X. Raffoux et al., 2015 Efficient mating-type switching in *Candida glabrata* induces cell death. PLoS One 10: e0140990.
- Booth, L. N., B. B. Tuch, and A. D. Johnson, 2010 Intercalation of a new tier of transcription regulation into an ancient circuit. Nature 468: 959–963.
- Botstein, D., 2004 Ira Herskowitz: 1946–2003. Genetics 166: 653–660.
- Brar, G. A., M. Yassour, N. Friedman, A. Regev, N. T. Ingolia *et al.*, 2012 High-resolution view of the yeast meiotic program revealed by ribosome profiling. Science 335: 552–557.
- Broach, J. R., 2012 Nutritional control of growth and development in yeast. Genetics 192: 73–105.
- Burt, A., and V. Koufopanou, 2004 Homing endonuclease genes: the rise and fall and rise again of a selfish element. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 14: 609–615.
- Burt, A., and R. Trivers, 2008 Genes in Conflict: The Biology of Selfish Genetic Elements. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Butler, G., 2007 The evolution of *MAT*: the Ascomycetes, pp. 3–18 in *Sex in Fungi*, edited by J. Heitman, J. W. Kronstad, J. W. Taylor, and L. A. Cassleton. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
- Butler, G., 2010 Fungal sex and pathogenesis. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 23: 140–159.
- Butler, G., C. Kenny, A. Fagan, C. Kurischko, C. Gaillardin *et al.*, 2004 Evolution of the *MAT* locus and its Ho endonuclease in yeast species. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101: 1632–1637.
- Butler, G., M. D. Rasmussen, M. F. Lin, M. A. Santos, S. Sakthikumar et al., 2009 Evolution of pathogenicity and sexual reproduction in eight *Candida* genomes. Nature 459: 657–662.
- Chant, J., and J. R. Pringle, 1995 Patterns of bud-site selection in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Cell Biol. 129: 751–765.
- Chen, X., J. R. Bracht, A. D. Goldman, E. Dolzhenko, D. M. Clay *et al.*, 2014 The architecture of a scrambled genome reveals massive levels of genomic rearrangement during development. Cell 158: 1187–1198.
- Chitrampalam, P., P. Inderbitzin, K. Maruthachalam, B. M. Wu, and K. V. Subbarao, 2013 The *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* mating type locus (*MAT*) contains a 3.6-kb region that is inverted in every meiotic generation. PLoS One 8: e56895.

- Coic, E., G. F. Richard, and J. E. Haber, 2006 Saccharomyces cerevisiae donor preference during mating-type switching is dependent on chromosome architecture and organization. Genetics 173: 1197–1206.
- Coluccio, A., and A. M. Neiman, 2004 Interspore bridges: a new feature of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* spore wall. Microbiology 150: 3189–3196.
- Coluccio, A. E., R. K. Rodriguez, M. J. Kernan, and A. M. Neiman, 2008 The yeast spore wall enables spores to survive passage through the digestive tract of *Drosophila*. PLoS One 3: e2873.
- Coppin, E., R. Debuchy, S. Arnaise, and M. Picard, 1997 Mating types and sexual development in filamentous ascomycetes. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 61: 411–428.
- Cosma, M. P., 2004 Daughter-specific repression of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae HO*: Ash1 is the commander. EMBO Rep. 5: 953–957.
- Coughlan, A. Y., S. J. Hanson, K. P. Byrne, and K. H. Wolfe, 2016 Centromeres of the yeast *Komagataella phaffii (Pichia pastoris)* have a simple inverted-repeat structure. Genome Biol. Evol. 8: 2482–2492.
- Dalgaard, J. Z., 2012 Causes and consequences of ribonucleotide incorporation into nuclear DNA. Trends Genet. 28: 592–597.
- Dalgaard, J. Z., A. J. Klar, M. J. Moser, W. R. Holley, A. Chatterjee et al., 1997 Statistical modeling and analysis of the LAGLIDADG family of site-specific endonucleases and identification of an intein that encodes a site-specific endonuclease of the HNH family. Nucleic Acids Res. 25: 4626–4638.
- Davey, J., 1998 Fusion of a fission yeast. Yeast 14: 1529–1566.
- Di Rienzi, S. C., K. C. Lindstrom, R. Lancaster, L. Rolczynski, M. K. Raghuraman *et al.*, 2011 Genetic, genomic, and molecular tools for studying the protoploid yeast, *L. waltii*. Yeast 28: 137–151.
- Drinnenberg, I. A., D. E. Weinberg, K. T. Xie, J. P. Mower, K. H. Wolfe *et al*, 2009 RNAi in budding yeast. Science 326: 544–550.
- Dujon, B., 2010 Yeast evolutionary genomics. Nat. Rev. Genet. 11: 512–524.
- Edskes, H. K., and R. B. Wickner, 2013 The [URE3] prion in *Candida*. Eukaryot. Cell 12: 551–558.
- Egel, R., 2005 Fission yeast mating-type switching: programmed damage and repair. DNA Repair (Amst.) 4: 525–536.
- Fabre, E., H. Muller, P. Therizols, I. Lafontaine, B. Dujon *et al.*, 2005 Comparative genomics in hemiascomycete yeasts: evolution of sex, silencing and subtelomeres. Mol. Biol. Evol. 22: 856–873.
- Freese, E. B., M. I. Chu, and E. Freese, 1982 Initiation of yeast sporulation of partial carbon, nitrogen, or phosphate deprivation. J. Bacteriol. 149: 840–851.
- Friedrich, A., P. Jung, C. Reisser, G. Fischer, and J. Schacherer, 2015 Population genomics reveals chromosome-scale heterogeneous evolution in a protoploid yeast. Mol. Biol. Evol. 32: 184–192.
- Fukuda, T., K. Ohta, and Y. Ohya, 2006 Investigation of the mechanism of meiotic DNA cleavage by VMA1-derived endonuclease uncovers a meiotic alteration in chromatin structure around the target site. Eukaryot. Cell 5: 981–990.
- Futcher, A. B., 1988 The 2 micron circle plasmid of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Yeast 4: 27–40.
- Galgoczy, D. J., A. Cassidy-Stone, M. Llinas, S. M. O'Rourke, I. Herskowitz *et al.*, 2004 Genomic dissection of the cell-typespecification circuit in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101: 18069–18074.
- Gallagher, J. E., J. E. Babiarz, L. Teytelman, K. H. Wolfe, and J. Rine, 2009 Elaboration, diversification, and regulation of the Sir1 family of silencing proteins in *Saccharomyces*. Genetics 181: 1477–1491.
- Gimble, F. S., 2000 Invasion of a multitude of genetic niches by mobile endonuclease genes. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 185: 99–107.
- Gimble, F. S., and J. Thorner, 1992 Homing of a DNA endonuclease gene by meiotic gene conversion in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Nature 357: 301–306.

- Gimeno, C. J., and G. R. Fink, 1992 The logic of cell division in the life cycle of yeast. Science 257: 626.
- Giraldo-Perez, P., and M. R. Goddard, 2013 A parasitic selfish gene that affects host promiscuity. Proc. Biol. Sci. 280: 20131875.
- Gleeson, M. A., and P. E. Sudbery, 1988 Genetic analysis in the methylotrophic yeast Hansenula polymorpha. Yeast 4: 293–303.
- Goddard, M. R., D. Greig, and A. Burt, 2001 Outcrossed sex allows a selfish gene to invade yeast populations. Proc. Biol. Sci. 268: 2537–2542.
- Goddard, M. R., N. Anfang, R. Tang, R. C. Gardner, and C. Jun, 2010 A distinct population of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in New Zealand: evidence for local dispersal by insects and humanaided global dispersal in oak barrels. Environ. Microbiol. 12: 63–73.
- Gogarten, J. P., A. G. Senejani, O. Zhaxybayeva, L. Olendzenski, and E. Hilario, 2002 Inteins: structure, function, and evolution. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 56: 263–287.
- Gordon, J. L., D. Armisen, E. Proux-Wera, S. S. ÓhÉigeartaigh, K. P. Byrne *et al.*, 2011 Evolutionary erosion of yeast sex chromosomes by mating-type switching accidents. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108: 20024–20029.
- Gottschling, D. E., O. M. Aparicio, B. L. Billington, and V. A. Zakian, 1990 Position effect at *S. cerevisiae* telomeres: reversible repression of Pol II transcription. Cell 63: 751–762.
- Greig, D., and J. Y. Leu, 2009 Natural history of budding yeast. Curr. Biol. 19: R886–R890.
- Grewal, S. I., and S. Jia, 2007 Heterochromatin revisited. Nat. Rev. Genet. 8: 35–46.
- Haag, E. S., 2007 Why two sexes? Sex determination in multicellular organisms and protistan mating types. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 18: 348–349.
- Haber, J. E., 2012 Mating-type genes and *MAT* switching in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 191: 33–64.
- Haber, J. E., and K. Wolfe, 2005 Evolution and function of HO and VDE endonucleases in fungi, pp. 161–176 in *Inteins and Homing Endonucleases*, edited by M. Belfort, V. Derbyshire, B. Stoddard, and D. Wood. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Hall, I. M., G. D. Shankaranarayana, K. Noma, N. Ayoub, A. Cohen et al., 2002 Establishment and maintenance of a heterochromatin domain. Science 297: 2232–2237.
- Hanson, S. J., K. P. Byrne, and K. H. Wolfe, 2014 Mating-type switching by chromosomal inversion in methylotrophic yeasts suggests an origin for the three-locus *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* system. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111: E4851–E4858.
- Harrison, E., R. C. MacLean, V. Koufopanou, and A. Burt, 2014 Sex drives intracellular conflict in yeast. J. Evol. Biol. 27: 1757–1763.
- Heitman, J., J. W. Kronstad, J. W. Taylor, and L. A. Cassleton (Editors), 2007 Sex in Fungi: Molecular Determination and Evolutionary Implications. American Society of Microbiology, Washington, DC.
- Herman, A., and H. Roman, 1966 Allele specific determinants of homothallism in *Saccharomyces lactis*. Genetics 53: 727–740.
- Herskowitz, I., 1988 Life cycle of the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiol. Rev. 52: 536–553.
- Herskowitz, I., 1989 A regulatory hierarchy for cell specialization in yeast. Nature 342: 749–757.
- Hickey, D. A., 1982 Selfish DNA: a sexually-transmitted nuclear parasite. Genetics 101: 519–531.
- Hickman, M. A., and L. N. Rusche, 2009 The Sir2-Sum1 complex represses transcription using both promoter-specific and longrange mechanisms to regulate cell identity and sexual cycle in the yeast *Kluyveromyces lactis*. PLoS Genet. 5: e1000710.
- Hickman, M. A., C. A. Froyd, and L. N. Rusche, 2011 Reinventing heterochromatin in budding yeasts: Sir2 and the origin recognition complex take center stage. Eukaryot. Cell 10: 1183–1192.

- Hicks, J. B., and I. Herskowitz, 1977 Interconversion of yeast mating types. II. Restoration of mating ability to sterile mutants in homothallic and heterothallic strains. Genetics 85: 373–393.
- Hicks, J. B., J. N. Strathern, and I. Herskowitz, 1977 The cassette model of mating-type interconversion, pp. 457–462 in DNA Insertion Elements, Plasmids and Episomes, edited by A. I. Bukhari, J. A. Shapiro, and S. L. Adhya. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York.
- Hicks, W. M., M. Kim, and J. E. Haber, 2010 Increased mutagenesis and unique mutation signature associated with mitotic gene conversion. Science 329: 82–85.
- Hicks, W. M., M. Yamaguchi, and J. E. Haber, 2011 Real-time analysis of double-strand DNA break repair by homologous recombination. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108: 3108–3115.
- Hirata, R., Y. Ohsumi, A. Nakano, H. Kawasaki, K. Suzuki *et al.*, 1990 Molecular structure of a gene, *VMA1*, encoding the catalytic subunit of H(+)-translocating adenosine triphosphatase from vacuolar membranes of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Biol. Chem. 265: 6726–6733.
- Holmes, A. M., A. Kaykov, and B. Arcangioli, 2005 Molecular and cellular dissection of mating-type switching steps in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 25: 303–311.
- Honigberg, S. M., 2016 Similar environments but diverse fates: responses of budding yeast to nutrient deprivation. Microb. Cell 3: 302–328.
- Honigberg, S. M., and K. Purnapatre, 2003 Signal pathway integration in the switch from the mitotic cell cycle to meiosis in yeast. J. Cell Sci. 116: 2137–2147.
- Hutchison, E. A., and N. L. Glass, 2010 Meiotic regulators Ndt80 and Ime2 have different roles in *Saccharomyces* and *Neurospora*. Genetics 185: 1271–1282.
- Hutchison, E. A., J. A. Bueche, and N. L. Glass, 2012 Diversification of a protein kinase cascade: IME-2 is involved in nonself recognition and programmed cell death in *Neurospora crassa*. Genetics 192: 467–482.
- Inderbitzin, P., and B. G. Turgeon, 2015 Pondering mating: *Pneumocystis jirovecii*, the human lung pathogen, selfs without mating type switching, in contrast to its close relative *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. MBio 6: e00583–e00515.
- Ira, G., D. Satory, and J. E. Haber, 2006 Conservative inheritance of newly synthesized DNA in double-strand break-induced gene conversion. Mol. Cell. Biol. 26: 9424–9429.
- Irniger, S., 2011 The Ime2 protein kinase family in fungi: more duties than just meiosis. Mol. Microbiol. 80: 1–13.
- Jakociunas, T., L. R. Holm, J. Verhein-Hansen, A. Trusina, and G. Thon, 2013 Two portable recombination enhancers direct donor choice in fission yeast heterochromatin. PLoS Genet. 9: e1003762.
- Jia, S., T. Yamada, and S. I. Grewal, 2004 Heterochromatin regulates cell type-specific long-range chromatin interactions essential for directed recombination. Cell 119: 469–480.
- Johnson, A. D., 1995 Molecular mechanisms of cell-type determination in budding yeast. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 5: 552–558.
- Jones, Jr., S. K., and R. J. Bennett, 2011 Fungal mating pheromones: choreographing the dating game. Fungal Genet. Biol. 48: 668–676.
- Jung, D., C. Giallourakis, R. Mostoslavsky, and F. W. Alt, 2006 Mechanism and control of V(D)J recombination at the immunoglobulin heavy chain locus. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 24: 541–570.
- Katz Ezov, T., S. L. Chang, Z. Frenkel, A. V. Segre, M. Bahalul *et al.*, 2010 Heterothallism in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* isolates from nature: effect of *HO* locus on the mode of reproduction. Mol. Ecol. 19: 121–131.
- Keeling, P. J., and A. J. Roger, 1995 The selfish pursuit of sex. Nature 375: 283.
- Kegel, A., J. O. Sjostrand, and S. U. Astrom, 2001 Nej1p, a cell type-specific regulator of nonhomologous end joining in yeast. Curr. Biol. 11: 1611–1617.

- Kellis, M., N. Patterson, M. Endrizzi, B. Birren, and E. S. Lander, 2003 Sequencing and comparison of yeast species to identify genes and regulatory elements. Nature 423: 241–254.
- Kelly, A. C., F. P. Shewmaker, D. Kryndushkin, and R. B. Wickner, 2012 Sex, prions, and plasmids in yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 109: E2683–E2690.
- Kelly, M., J. Burke, M. Smith, A. Klar, and D. Beach, 1988 Four mating-type genes control sexual differentiation in the fission yeast. EMBO J. 7: 1537–1547.
- Klar, A. J., 2007 Lessons learned from studies of fission yeast mating-type switching and silencing. Annu. Rev. Genet. 41: 213–236.
- Klar, A. J., 2010 The yeast mating-type switching mechanism: a memoir. Genetics 186: 443–449.
- Klar, A. J., J. B. Hicks, and J. N. Strathern, 1982 Directionality of yeast mating-type interconversion. Cell 28: 551–561.
- Klar, A. J., K. Ishikawa, and S. Moore, 2014 A unique DNA recombination mechanism of the mating/cell-type switching of fission yeasts: a review. Microbiol. Spectr. 2. DOI: 10.1128/microbiolspec.MDNA3-0003-2014.
- Knight, S. J., and M. R. Goddard, 2016 Sporulation in soil as an overwinter survival strategy in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. FEMS Yeast Res. 16: fov102.
- Knop, M., 2006 Evolution of the hemiascomycete yeasts: on life styles and the importance of inbreeding. Bioessays 28: 696–708.
- Knop, M., 2011 Yeast cell morphology and sexual reproduction—a short overview and some considerations. C. R. Biol. 334: 599–606.
- Koufopanou, V., and A. Burt, 2005 Degeneration and domestication of a selfish gene in yeast: molecular evolution vs. sitedirected mutagenesis. Mol. Biol. Evol. 22: 1535–1538.
- Kurtzman, C. P., J. W. Fell, and T. Boekhout (Editors), 2011 The Yeasts, a Taxonomic Study. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Lacefield, S., and N. Ingolia, 2006 Signal transduction: external signals influence spore-number control. Curr. Biol. 16: R125– R127.
- Lahtchev, K., 2002 Basic genetics of *Hansenula polymorpha*, pp. 8–20 in *Hansenula Polymorpha: Biology and Applications*, edited by G. Gellissen. Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany.
- Lee, C. S., and J. E. Haber, 2015 Mating-type gene switching in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiol. Spectr. 3: MDNA3-0013-2014.
- Lee, S. C., M. Ni, W. Li, C. Shertz, and J. Heitman, 2010 The evolution of sex: a perspective from the fungal kingdom. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 74: 298–340.
- Li, B., 2015 DNA double-strand breaks and telomeres play important roles in *Trypanosoma brucei* antigenic variation. Eukaryot. Cell 14: 196–205.
- Li, H., and A. D. Johnson, 2010 Evolution of transcription networks—lessons from yeasts. Curr. Biol. 20: R746–R753.
- Lin, X., and J. Heitman, 2007 Mechanisms of homothallism in fungi and transitions between heterothallism and homothallism, pp. 35–57 in *Sex in Fungi*, edited by J. Heitman, J. W. Kronstad, J. W. Taylor, and L. A. Cassleton. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
- Madhani, H. D., 2007 *From a to Alpha*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York.
- Maekawa, H., and Y. Kaneko, 2014 Inversion of the chromosomal region between two mating type loci switches the mating type in *Hansenula polymorpha*. PLoS Genet. 10: e1004796.
- Magwene, P. M., 2014 Revisiting Mortimer's genome renewal hypothesis: heterozygosity, homothallism, and the potential for adaptation in yeast, pp. 37–48 in *Ecological Genomics: Ecology and the Evolution of Genes and Genomes* (Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology, Vol. 781), edited by C. R. Landry, and N. Aubin-Horth. Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Marcet-Houben, M., and T. Gabaldon, 2015 Beyond the wholegenome duplication: phylogenetic evidence for an ancient in-

terspecies hybridization in the baker's yeast lineage. PLoS Biol. 13: e1002220.

- Martin, S. H., E. T. Steenkamp, M. J. Wingfield, and B. D. Wingfield, 2013 Mate-recognition and species boundaries in the ascomycetes. Fungal Divers. 58: 1–12.
- Martin, T., S. W. Lu, H. van Tilbeurgh, D. R. Ripoll, C. Dixelius *et al.*, 2010 Tracing the origin of the fungal alpha1 domain places its ancestor in the HMG-box superfamily: implication for fungal mating-type evolution. PLoS One 5: e15199.
- Matsuda, E., R. Sugioka-Sugiyama, T. Mizuguchi, S. Mehta, B. Cui et al., 2011 A homolog of male sex-determining factor SRY cooperates with a transposon-derived CENP-B protein to control sex-specific directed recombination. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108: 18754–18759.
- McDonald, M. J., D. P. Rice, and M. M. Desai, 2016 Sex speeds adaptation by altering the dynamics of molecular evolution. Nature 531: 233–236.
- Melake, T., V. V. Passoth, and U. Klinner, 1996 Characterization of the genetic system of the xylose-fermenting yeast *Pichia stipitis*. Curr. Microbiol. 33: 237–242.
- Merlini, L., O. Dudin, and S. G. Martin, 2013 Mate and fuse: how yeast cells do it. Open Biol. 3: 130008.
- Moazed, D., 2011 Mechanisms for the inheritance of chromatin states. Cell 146: 510–518.
- Mortimer, R. K., 2000 Evolution and variation of the yeast (*Saccharomyces*) genome. Genome Res. 10: 403–409.
- Mortimer, R., and M. Polsinelli, 1999 On the origins of wine yeast. Res. Microbiol. 150: 199–204.
- Mortimer, R. K., P. Romano, G. Suzzi, and M. Polsinelli, 1994 Genome renewal: a new phenomenon revealed from a genetic study of 43 strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* derived from natural fermentation of grape musts. Yeast 10: 1543–1552.
- Muller, F., and H. Tobler, 2000 Chromatin diminution in the parasitic nematodes Ascaris suum and Parascaris univalens. Int. J. Parasitol. 30: 391–399.
- Muller, F., V. Bernard, and H. Tobler, 1996 Chromatin diminution in nematodes. Bioessays 18: 133–138.
- Nagy, L. G., R. A. Ohm, G. M. Kovacs, D. Floudas, R. Riley et al., 2014 Latent homology and convergent regulatory evolution underlies the repeated emergence of yeasts. Nat. Commun. 5: 4471.
- Nahon, E., and D. Raveh, 1998 Targeting a truncated Ho-endonuclease of yeast to novel DNA sites with foreign zinc fingers. Nucleic Acids Res. 26: 1233–1239.
- Nakayama, J., J. C. Rice, B. D. Strahl, C. D. Allis, and S. I. Grewal, 2001 Role of histone H3 lysine 9 methylation in epigenetic control of heterochromatin assembly. Science 292: 110–113.
- Nasmyth, K. A., 1982 Molecular genetics of yeast mating type. Annu. Rev. Genet. 16: 439–500.
- Neiman, A. M., 2011 Sporulation in the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 189: 737–765.
- Neuveglise, C., F. Chalvet, P. Wincker, C. Gaillardin, and S. Casaregola, 2005 Mutator-like element in the yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica* displays multiple alternative splicings. Eukaryot. Cell 4: 615–624.
- Ni, M., M. Feretzaki, S. Sun, X. Wang, and J. Heitman, 2011 Sex in fungi. Annu. Rev. Genet. 45: 405–430.
- Nickoloff, J. A., J. D. Singer, and F. Heffron, 1990 In vivo analysis of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* HO nuclease recognition site by site-directed mutagenesis. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10: 1174–1179.
- Nielsen, O., 2004 Mating-type control and differentiation, *The Molecular Biology of Schizosaccharomyces Pombe*, edited by R. Egel. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Nielsen, O., and R. Egel, 2007 The mat genes of Schizosaccharomyces pombe: expression, homothallic switch, and silencing, pp. 143–157 in Sex in Fungi, edited by J. Heitman, J. W. Kronstad, J. W. Taylor, and L. A. Cassleton. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.

- Nieuwenhuis, B. P., and S. Immler, 2016 The evolution of mating-type switching for reproductive assurance. Bioessays 38: 1141–1149.
- Noma, K., T. Sugiyama, H. Cam, A. Verdel, M. Zofall *et al.*, 2004 RITS acts in cis to promote RNA interference-mediated transcriptional and post-transcriptional silencing. Nat. Genet. 36: 1174–1180.
- Oke, A., C. M. Anderson, P. Yam, and J. C. Fung, 2014 Controlling meiotic recombinational repair - specifying the roles of ZMMs, Sgs1 and Mus81/Mms4 in crossover formation. PLoS Genet. 10: e1004690.
- Oshima, Y., 1993 Homothallism, mating-type switching, and the controlling element model in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, pp. 291–304 in *The Early Days of Yeast Genetics*, edited by M. N. Hall, and P. Linder. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York.
- Otsubo, Y., and M. Yamamoto, 2012 Signaling pathways for fission yeast sexual differentiation at a glance. J. Cell Sci. 125: 2789–2793.
- Perkins, D. D., 1987 Mating-type switching in filamentous ascomycetes. Genetics 115: 215–216.
- Perrin, N., 2012 What uses are mating types? The "developmental switch" model. Evolution 66: 947–956.
- Perrod, S., and S. M. Gasser, 2003 Long-range silencing and position effects at telomeres and centromeres: parallels and differences. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 60: 2303–2318.
- Phaff, H. J., M. W. Miller, and E. M. Mrak, 1966 *The Life of Yeasts*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Piekarska, I., J. Rytka, and B. Rempola, 2010 Regulation of sporulation in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Acta Biochim. Pol. 57: 241–250.
- Pietrokovski, S., 1994 Conserved sequence features of inteins (protein introns) and their use in identifying new inteins and related proteins. Protein Sci. 3: 2340–2350.
- Rajaei, N., K. K. Chiruvella, F. Lin, and S. U. Astrom, 2014 Domesticated transposase Kat1 and its fossil imprints induce sexual differentiation in yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111: 15491– 15496.
- Ramirez-Zavaleta, C. Y., G. E. Salas-Delgado, A. De Las Penas, and I. Castano, 2010 Subtelomeric silencing of the MTL3 locus of *Candida glabrata* requires yKu70, yKu80, and Rif1 proteins. Eukaryot. Cell 9: 1602–1611.
- Rebnegger, C., A. B. Graf, M. Valli, M. G. Steiger, B. Gasser *et al.*, 2014 In *Pichia pastoris*, growth rate regulates protein synthesis and secretion, mating and stress response. Biotechnol. J. 9: 511–525.
- Reedy, J. L., A. M. Floyd, and J. Heitman, 2009 Mechanistic plasticity of sexual reproduction and meiosis in the *Candida* pathogenic species complex. Curr. Biol. 19: 891–899.
- Reuter, M., G. Bell, and D. Greig, 2007 Increased outbreeding in yeast in response to dispersal by an insect vector. Curr. Biol. 17: R81–R83.
- Riley, R., S. Haridas, K. H. Wolfe, M. R. Lopes, C. T. Hittinger *et al.*, 2016 Comparative genomics of biotechnologically important yeasts. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 113: 9882–9887.
- Roberts, C. J., B. Nelson, M. J. Marton, R. Stoughton, M. R. Meyer *et al.*, 2000 Signaling and circuitry of multiple MAPK pathways revealed by a matrix of global gene expression profiles. Science 287: 873–880.
- Rose, M. R., 1983 The contagion mechanism for the origin of sex. J. Theor. Biol. 101: 137–146.
- Roy, B., and K. Sanyal, 2011 Diversity in requirement of genetic and epigenetic factors for centromere function in fungi. Eukaryot. Cell 10: 1384–1395.
- Ruderfer, D. M., S. C. Pratt, H. S. Seidel, and L. Kruglyak, 2006 Population genomic analysis of outcrossing and recombination in yeast. Nat. Genet. 38: 1077–1081.
- Rusche, L. N., and J. Rine, 2010 Switching the mechanism of mating type switching: a domesticated transposase supplants a domesticated homing endonuclease. Genes Dev. 24: 10–14.

- Rusche, L. N., A. L. Kirchmaier, and J. Rine, 2003 The establishment, inheritance, and function of silenced chromatin in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 72: 481–516.
- Saha, N. R., J. Smith, and C. T. Amemiya, 2010 Evolution of adaptive immune recognition in jawless vertebrates. Semin. Immunol. 22: 25–33.
- Sarilar, V., C. Bleykasten-Grosshans, and C. Neuveglise, 2015 Evolutionary dynamics of hAT DNA transposon families in Saccharomycetaceae. Genome Biol. Evol. 7: 172–190.
- Scannell, D. R., A. C. Frank, G. C. Conant, K. P. Byrne, M. Woolfit et al., 2007 Independent sorting-out of thousands of duplicated gene pairs in two yeast species descended from a whole-genome duplication. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 104: 8397–8402.
- Shen, X. X., X. Zhou, J. Kominek, C. P. Kurtzman, C. T. Hittinger et al., 2016 Reconstructing the backbone of the Saccharomycotina yeast phylogeny using genome-scale data. G3 (Bethesda) 6: 3927–3939.
- Sherwood, R. K., C. M. Scaduto, S. E. Torres, and R. J. Bennett, 2014 Convergent evolution of a fused sexual cycle promotes the haploid lifestyle. Nature 506: 387–390.
- Sholberg, P. L., 1981 Nitrogen source corrects a potato-dextrose agar medium deficient in supporting mycelial growth of *Monilinia* spp. Plant Dis. 65: 649–651.
- Simon, M., J. Zieg, M. Silverman, G. Mandel, and R. Doolittle, 1980 Phase variation: evolution of a controlling element. Science 209: 1370–1374.
- Singh, G., and A. J. Klar, 2002 The 2.1-kb inverted repeat DNA sequences flank the mat2,3 silent region in two species of Schizosaccharomyces and are involved in epigenetic silencing in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Genetics 162: 591–602.
- Sjostrand, J. O., A. Kegel, and S. U. Astrom, 2002 Functional diversity of silencers in budding yeasts. Eukaryot. Cell 1: 548–557.
- Sorrells, T. R., L. N. Booth, B. B. Tuch, and A. D. Johnson, 2015 Intersecting transcription networks constrain gene regulatory evolution. Nature 523: 361–365.
- Souciet, J. L., B. Dujon, C. Gaillardin, M. Johnston, P. V. Baret *et al.*, 2009 Comparative genomics of protoploid Saccharomycetaceae. Genome Res. 19: 1696–1709.
- Stefanini, I., L. Dapporto, J. L. Legras, A. Calabretta, M. Di Paola et al., 2012 Role of social wasps in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* ecology and evolution. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 109: 13398– 13403.
- Stillman, D. J., 2013 Dancing the cell cycle two-step: regulation of yeast G1-cell-cycle genes by chromatin structure. Trends Biochem. Sci. 38: 467–475.
- Strathern, J. N., and I. Herskowitz, 1979 Asymmetry and directionality in production of new cell types during clonal growth: the switching pattern of homothallic yeast. Cell 17: 371–381.
- Strudwick, N., M. Brown, V. M. Parmar, and M. Schroder, 2010 Ime1 and Ime2 are required for pseudohyphal growth of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* on nonfermentable carbon sources. Mol. Cell. Biol. 30: 5514–5530.
- Sugimoto, A., Y. Iino, T. Maeda, Y. Watanabe, and M. Yamamoto, 1991 Schizosaccharomyces pombe ste11+ encodes a transcription factor with an HMG motif that is a critical regulator of sexual development. Genes Dev. 5: 1990–1999.
- Taxis, C., P. Keller, Z. Kavagiou, L. J. Jensen, J. Colombelli et al., 2005 Spore number control and breeding in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: a key role for a self-organizing system. J. Cell Biol. 171: 627–640.
- Teytelman, L., M. B. Eisen, and J. Rine, 2008 Silent but not static: accelerated base-pair substitution in silenced chromatin of budding yeasts. PLoS Genet. 4: e1000247.
- Tolstorukov, I. I., and S. V. Benevolenskii, 1981 Study of the mechanisms of mating and self-diploidization in haploid yeasts *Pichia pinus*. II. Mutations in the mating type locus. Sov. Genet. 16: 853–856.

- Tolstorukov, I. I., S. V. Benevolensky, and B. D. Efremov, 1982 Genetic control of cell type and complex organization of the mating type locus in the yeast *Pichia pinus*. Curr. Genet. 5: 137–142.
- Tsai, I. J., D. Bensasson, A. Burt, and V. Koufopanou, 2008 Population genomics of the wild yeast *Saccharomyces paradoxus*: quantifying the life cycle. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 105: 4957– 4962.
- Tsong, A. E., M. G. Miller, R. M. Raisner, and A. D. Johnson, 2003 Evolution of a combinatorial transcriptional circuit: a case study in yeasts. Cell 115: 389–399.
- Tsong, A. E., B. B. Tuch, H. Li, and A. D. Johnson, 2006 Evolution of alternative transcriptional circuits with identical logic. Nature 443: 415–420.
- Vakirlis, N., V. Sarilar, G. Drillon, A. Fleiss, N. Agier *et al.*, 2016 Reconstruction of ancestral chromosome architecture and gene repertoire reveals principles of genome evolution in a model yeast genus. Genome Res. 26: 918–932.
- Valencia, M., M. Bentele, M. B. Vaze, G. Herrmann, E. Kraus et al., 2001 NEJ1 controls non-homologous end joining in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nature 414: 666–669.
- van Drogen, F., V. M. Stucke, G. Jorritsma, and M. Peter, 2001 MAP kinase dynamics in response to pheromones in budding yeast. Nat. Cell Biol. 3: 1051–1059.
- van Werven, F. J., G. Neuert, N. Hendrick, A. Lardenois, S. Buratowski *et al.*, 2012 Transcription of two long noncoding RNAs mediates mating-type control of gametogenesis in budding yeast. Cell 150: 1170–1181.
- Wellinger, R. J., and V. A. Zakian, 2012 Everything you ever wanted to know about *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* telomeres: beginning to end. Genetics 191: 1073–1105.
- Wicker, T., F. Sabot, A. Hua-Van, J. L. Bennetzen, P. Capy *et al.*, 2007 A unified classification system for eukaryotic transposable elements. Nat. Rev. Genet. 8: 973–982.
- Wilson, A. M., P. M. Wilken, M. A. van der Nest, E. T. Steenkamp, M. J. Wingfield *et al.*, 2015 Homothallism: an umbrella term for describing diverse sexual behaviours. IMA Fungus 6: 207–214.
- Winge, O., and C. Roberts, 1949 A gene for diploidization in yeasts. C. R. Trav. Lab. Carlsberg., Ser. Physiol. 24: 341–346.
- Wittenberg, C., and R. La Valle, 2003 Cell-cycle-regulatory elements and the control of cell differentiation in the budding yeast. Bioessays 25: 856–867.
- Witthuhn, R. C., T. C. Harrington, B. D. Wingfield, J. P. Steimel, and M. J. Wingfield, 2000 Deletion of the *MAT-2* mating-type gene during uni-directional mating-type switching in *Ceratocystis*. Curr. Genet. 38: 48–52.
- Wolfe, K. H., D. Armisen, E. Proux-Wera, S. S. ÓhÉigeartaigh, H. Azam et al., 2015 Clade- and species-specific features of

genome evolution in the Saccharomycetaceae. FEMS Yeast Res. 15: fov035.

- Wu, X., and J. E. Haber, 1996 A 700 bp cis-acting region controls mating-type dependent recombination along the entire left arm of yeast chromosome III. Cell 87: 277–285.
- Wu, X., C. Wu, and J. E. Haber, 1997 Rules of donor preference in *Saccharomyces* mating-type gene switching revealed by a competition assay involving two types of recombination. Genetics 147: 399–407.
- Yamada, T., W. Fischle, T. Sugiyama, C. D. Allis, and S. I. Grewal, 2005 The nucleation and maintenance of heterochromatin by a histone deacetylase in fission yeast. Mol. Cell 20: 173–185.
- Yu, C., M. J. Bonaduce, and A. J. Klar, 2012 Going in the right direction: mating-type switching of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* is controlled by judicious expression of two different *swi2* transcripts. Genetics 190: 977–987.
- Yun, S. H., M. L. Berbee, O. C. Yoder, and B. G. Turgeon, 1999 Evolution of the fungal self-fertile reproductive life style from self-sterile ancestors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96: 5592– 5597.
- Zhou, Z., K. Sun, E. A. Lipstein, and J. E. Haber, 2001 A Saccharomyces servazzii clone homologous to Saccharomyces cerevisiae chromosome III spanning KAR4, ARS 304 and SPB1 lacks the recombination enhancer but contains an unknown ORF. Yeast 18: 789–795.
- Zill, O. A., and J. Rine, 2008 Interspecies variation reveals a conserved repressor of alpha-specific genes in *Saccharomyces* yeasts. Genes Dev. 22: 1704–1716.
- Zill, O. A., D. Scannell, L. Teytelman, and J. Rine, 2010 Co-evolution of transcriptional silencing proteins and the DNA elements specifying their assembly. PLoS Biol. 8: e1000550.
- Zill, O. A., D. R. Scannell, J. Kuei, M. Sadhu, and J. Rine, 2012 Evolutionary analysis of heterochromatin protein compatibility by interspecies complementation in *Saccharomyces*. Genetics 192: 1001–1014.
- Zordan, R. E., M. G. Miller, D. J. Galgoczy, B. B. Tuch, and A. D. Johnson, 2007 Interlocking transcriptional feedback loops control white-opaque switching in *Candida albicans*. PLoS Biol. 5: e256.
- Zorgo, E., K. Chwialkowska, A. B. Gjuvsland, E. Garre, P. Sunnerhagen et al., 2013 Ancient evolutionary trade-offs between yeast ploidy states. PLoS Genet. 9: e1003388.
- Zufall, R. A., T. Robinson, and L. A. Katz, 2005 Evolution of developmentally regulated genome rearrangements in eukaryotes. J. Exp. Zoolog. B Mol. Dev. Evol. 304: 448–455.

Communicating editor: J. Rine