SCIENTIFIC OPINION



Safety of a feed additive consisting of ethyl cellulose for all animal species (Association Management & Regulatory Services Ltd)

EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed (FEEDAP) Vasileios Bampidis | Giovanna Azimonti | Maria de Lourdes Bastos | Henrik Christensen | Birgit Dusemund | Mojca Durjava | Maryline Kouba | Marta López-Alonso | Secundino López Puente | Francesca Marcon | Baltasar Mayo | Alena Pechová | Mariana Petkova | Fernando Ramos | Roberto Edoardo Villa | Ruud Woutersen | Montserrat Anguita | Jaume Galobart | Paola Manini | Maria Vittoria Vettori | Matteo Innocenti

Correspondence: feedap@efsa.europa.eu

Abstract

Following a request from the European Commission, the EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed (FEEDAP) was asked to deliver a scientific opinion on the safety of ethyl cellulose as a technological feed additive for all animal species. In its previous opinions on the safety and efficacy of the product, the FEEDAP Panel could not conclude on proper identification and characterisation as required for a feed additive. The occurrence of potential toxic impurities could also not be assessed. Based on the new data provided, the feed additive ethyl cellulose was properly identified and characterised and was shown to meet the specifications set for the food additive. Therefore, the conclusions of the safety assessment reached in the previous opinions for ethyl cellulose meeting the food additive specifications, apply to the ethyl cellulose under assessment as a feed additive. The feed additive is considered safe for all animal species, the consumer and the environment. In the absence of data, the FEEDAP Panel is not in the position to conclude on the safety for the user.

KEYWORDS

characterisation, ethyl cellulose, safety, technological additive

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and no modifications or adaptations are made.

© 2024 European Food Safety Authority. EFSA Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH on behalf of European Food Safety Authority.

CONTENTS

Ab	stract.		1		
1.	Intro	duction	3		
		Background and terms of reference as provided by the European Commission			
		Additional information			
2.		and methodologies			
	2.1.	Data	3		
	2.2.	Methodologies	4		
3.	Assessment				
		Characterisation			
	3.2.	Safety	5		
4.	Cond	clusions	5		
Abbreviations					
Conflict of interest					
Re	questo	or	6		
Qu	estion	number	6		
Со	pyrigh	nt for non-EFSA Content	6		
Panel members					
Ref	References				

1 | INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and terms of reference as provided by the European Commission

Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003¹ establishes the rules governing the Community authorisation of additives for use in animal nutrition and, in particular, Article 9 defines the terms of the authorisation by the Commission.

The applicant, Association Management & Regulatory Services Ltd, on behalf of Evonik Degussa and DSM, is seeking a Community authorisation of ethyl cellulose E 463 as a feed additive to be used as a stabiliser for all animal species (Table 1).

Category of additive	Technological additives
Functional group of additive	Stabilisers
Description	Ethyl cellulose E 462
Target animal category	All animal species
Applicant	Association Management & Regulatory Services Ltd, on behalf of Evonik Degussa and DSM
Type of request	Now oninion

TABLE 1 Description of the substances.

On 2 July 2020, the Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed (FEEDAP) of the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), in its opinion on the safety and efficacy of the product, could not conclude on proper identification and characterisation as required for a feed additive. The occurrence of potential toxic impurities could also not be assessed. After evaluating the data, it also reclassified the additive as a stabiliser and not a binder.

The Commission gave the possibility to the applicant to submit supplementary information and data in order to complete the assessment and to allow a revision of the EFSA's opinion. The new data have been received on 5 May 2021 and the applicant has been requested to transmit them to EFSA as well.

In view of the above, the Commission asks the Authority to deliver a new opinion on ethyl cellulose E 462 as a feed additive for all animal species based on the additional data submitted by the applicant, in accordance with Article 29(1)(a) of Regulation (EC) No 178/2002.²

1.2 | Additional information

Ethyl cellulose (E462) is currently authorised as a feed additive for all animal species, without a minimum and a maximum content.

EFSA issued one opinion on the safety and efficacy of ethyl cellulose (E 462) (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2020).

The EFSA Panel on Food Additives and Nutrient Sources added to Food (ANS) adopted in 2017 an opinion on the reevaluation of celluloses E 460(i), E 460(ii), E 461, E 462, E 463, E 464, E 465, E 466, E 468 and E 469 as food additives (EFSA ANS Panel, 2018) and the EFSA Panel on Food Additives and Flavourings (FAF) adopted in 2022 an opinion on the re-evaluation of sodium carboxy methyl cellulose (E 466) as a food additive in foods for infants below 16 weeks of age and follow-up of its re-evaluation as a food additive for uses in foods for all population groups (EFSA FAF Panel, 2022).

2 | DATA AND METHODOLOGIES

2.1 | Data

The present assessment is based on data submitted by the applicant in the form of supplementary information³ to previous applications of the same products.⁴

The FEEDAP Panel used the data provided by the applicant together with data from other sources, such as previous risk assessments by EFSA or other expert bodies, peer-reviewed scientific papers, other scientific reports, to deliver the present output.

¹Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2003 on the additives for use in animal nutrition. OJ L 268, 18.10.2003, p. 29. ²Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 January 2002 laying down the general principles and requirements of food law, establishing the European Food Safety Authority and laying down procedures in matters of food safety. OJ L 31, 1.2.2002, p. 1.

³FEED dossier reference: EFSA-Q-2021-00734.

⁴FEED dossier reference: FAD-2011-0023.

2.2 | Methodologies

The approach followed by the FEEDAP Panel to assess the safety and the efficacy of active substance (trade name of the product) is in line with the principles laid down in Regulation (EC) No 429/2008⁵ and the relevant guidance documents: Guidance on the identity, characterisation and conditions of use of feed additives (EFSA FEEEDAP Panel, 2017), Guidance on technical requirements for regulated food and feed product applications to establish the presence of small particles including nanoparticles (EFSA Scientific Committee, 2021), Guidance on risk assessment of nanomaterials to be applied in the food and feed chain: human and animal health (EFSA Scientific Committee, 2021).

3 | ASSESSMENT

Dossier	LINK TO DOSSIER SHAREPOINT
Previous opinion on the same product	Previous opinion 2020
Previous dossier	Link to DMS
Authorisation	Feed additive Link to Regulation(s) Food additive
Opinions from other Panels/bodies	ANS Opinion sodium carboxy methyl cellulose (E 466) for NANO issues

Ethyl cellulose E 462 is intended to be used as a technological additive (functional group: stabilisers) in feed for all animal species with no minimum or maximum content.

In its previous opinions (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2020), the Panel was not in the position to properly identify and characterise the additive. No analytical data to support the identification of the active substance and the batch-to-batch consistency of the additive, as well as information on dusting potential of the additive and its particle size distribution, was made available. In addition, owing the lack of analytical data, the occurrence of potential toxic impurities in the additive could not be assessed. In the absence of a proper identification, the FEEDAP Panel limited its conclusion on safety to ethyl cellulose meeting food additive specifications. The Panel concluded that ethyl cellulose is safe for all animal species, consumers and the environment, but could not conclude on the safety for users due to the absence of data.

In order to address the limitations in the data submitted in the original application, the applicant provided new data on the characterisation of the additive and on its impurities.

3.1 Characterisation

Ethyl cellulose is identified with the single Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) number 9004-57-3 and the European Inventory of Existing Chemical Substances (EINECS) number 232-674-9. It is manufactured reacting partially depolymerised cellulose with ethyl chloride

Ethyl cellulose is in the form of white to off-white granules or powder and is insoluble in water.

The feed additive ethyl cellulose is claimed to be manufactured to meet the specifications set for its use as a food additive, 6 i.e. ethoxyl groups \geq 44% and \leq 50%, loss on drying < 3% and sulfated ash < 0.4%. In the original application, no evidence of the identity of the active substance in the additive was provided, as well as no analyses of sulfated ash, impurities, dusting potential of the additive; only partial information on particle size distribution was available.

In the present application, the identity of the active substance was confirmed by the analysis by infrared (IR) spectroscopy of seven recent batches of the additive. The analysis of three batches of the additive showed the following results: ethoxyl groups 48.4%–48.9%, loss on drying 0.5%–2.6% and sulfated ash 0.3% in the three batches, which demonstrated compliance with the proposed specifications for use as a food additive.

Three batches of the additive 9 were analysed for cadmium, lead, mercury and arsenic concentrations, showing the following results: cadmium < limit of detection (LOD, two samples) - 0.2 mg/kg, lead < LOD (two samples) - 1 mg/kg, mercury < LOD and arsenic < LOD (one sample) - 3 mg/kg.

Polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs), polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs), dioxin-like polychlorinated biphenyls (DL-PCBs) and non-DL-PCBs were analysed in three batches of the additive. The calculated upper bound (UB)

⁵Commission Regulation (EC) No 429/2008 of 25 April 2008 on detailed rules for the implementation of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the preparation and the presentation of applications and the assessment and the authorisation of feed additives. OJ L 133, 22.5.2008, p. 1.

⁶Commission Regulation (EU) No 231/2012 of 9 March 2012 laying down specifications for food additives listed in Annexes II and III to Regulation (EC) No 1333/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council Text with EEA relevance. OJ L 83,22.3.2012, p. 1–295.

⁷Technical dossier/Annex 8.

⁸Supplementary Information September 2023/Annex II.

⁹Supplementary Information September 2023/Annex II. Limits of detection: cadmium 0.5 mg/kg; lead 0.5 mg/kg; mercury 0.5 mg/kg; arsenic 0.5 mg/kg.

concentration was < 0.345 ng WHO $_{2005}$ -TEQ/kg for the sum of PCDD/Fs, and < .552 ng WHO $_{2005}$ -TEQ/kg for the sum of PCCD/Fs and DL-PCBs. The UB for the sum of non-DL-PCBs ranged between 1.95 and 2.42 μ g/kg. In addition, in two batches of the raw pulp material from which ethyl cellulose is derived, the calculated UB concentration was < 0.07 ng WHO $_{2005}$ -TEQ/kg for the sum of PCDD/Fs and < 0.113 ng WHO $_{2005}$ -TEQ/kg for the sum of PCDD/Fs and DL-PCBs. The UB for the sum of non-DL-PCBs was < 0.41 μ g/kg. In the sum of PCDD/Fs and Sum of PCDD/Fs and Sum of non-DL-PCBs was < 0.41 μ g/kg. In the sum of PCDD/Fs and Sum of non-DL-PCBs was < 0.41 μ g/kg. In the sum of PCDD/Fs and Sum of non-DL-PCBs was < 0.41 μ g/kg. In the sum of PCDD/Fs and Sum of non-DL-PCBs was < 0.41 μ g/kg. In the sum of PCDD/Fs and Sum of non-DL-PCBs was < 0.41 μ g/kg. In the sum of PCDD/Fs and Sum of non-DL-PCBs was < 0.41 μ g/kg. In the sum of PCDD/Fs and Sum of non-DL-PCBs was < 0.41 μ g/kg. In the sum of PCDD/Fs and Sum of non-DL-PCBs was < 0.41 μ g/kg. In the sum of PCDD/Fs and Sum of non-DL-PCBs was < 0.41 μ g/kg. In the sum of PCDD/Fs and Sum of non-DL-PCBs was < 0.41 μ g/kg. In the sum of PCDD/Fs and Sum of non-DL-PCBs was < 0.41 μ g/kg. In the sum of PCDD/Fs and Sum of non-DL-PCBs was < 0.41 μ g/kg. In the sum of PCDD/Fs and Sum of non-DL-PCBs was < 0.41 μ g/kg. In the sum of PCDD/Fs and Sum of non-DL-PCBs was < 0.41 μ g/kg. In the sum of PCDD/Fs and Sum of non-DL-PCBs was < 0.41 μ g/kg. In the sum of PCDD/Fs and Sum of non-DL-PCBs was < 0.41 μ g/kg. In the sum of PCDD/Fs and Sum of non-DL-PCBs was < 0.41 μ g/kg.

The same three batches of the additive 12 and the two batches of raw pulp material 13 were analysed for aflatoxins (B1, B2, G1, G2) concentration, showing values below the limit of quantification (LOQ) of 0.1 μ g/kg. The three batches of the additive were also analysed and for pesticides (organochlorine pesticides and pyrethroids, organophosphorus pesticides) which were not detected in any sample.

Three batches of the additive¹⁴ were analysed for microbiological contamination by determination of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* spp. with no detection in 1 g and in 25 g, respectively. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Staphylococcus aureus* were also absent in 1 g of each batch of the additive. Total aerobic microbial counts and total yeast and moulds counts were <100 colony-forming unit (CFU).¹⁵

The detected amounts of the above undesirable substances and the microbial impurities do not raise safety concerns. The dusting potential of three batches of the ethyl cellulose was determined using the Stauber-Heubach method and showed values on average of 3211 mg/m³ (range 1138–4656 mg/m³) (mg airborne dust per m³ of air).

Three batches of the additive were analysed for particle size distribution by laser diffraction. The results showed that 0.57%-2.80% of the particles had a size below $98\,\mu m$, 0.38%-1.22% of the particles was below $52\,\mu m$ and 0.14%-0.18% of the particles was below $10\,\mu m$. In addition, the applicant analysed the same batches with scanning electron microscopy (SEM). However, in line with the opinion of the FAF Panel on the re-evaluation of sodium carboxy methyl cellulose (E 466) (EFSA FAF Panel, 2022), the FEEDAP Panel notes that 'currently no standardised methods are available for the polysaccharide thickening and gelling agents used as food additives, such as sodium carboxy methyl cellulose (E 466) to measure the particle size distribution by number'.

The FAF Panel further considered that: 'Based on the data on particle size distribution [...] and the criteria set in the relevant EFSA Scientific Committee Guidance (EFSA Scientific Committee, 2021), the Panel concluded that the presence of small particles, including nanoparticles, cannot be confirmed or excluded in the pristine food additive'; in addition, 'The Panel noted, however, that polysaccharide thickening, and gelling agents used as feed additives, to exert their technical function in general swell in liquid environments. This also applies to carboxymethyl cellulose. The FAF Panel considers that carboxymethyl cellulose will not be present in the gastrointestinal tract in the pristine form taking into account the capacity to absorb and swell in water, and the volume of fluid in the stomach and gastrointestinal tract'. The FEEDAP Panel considers that the above would apply also to ethyl cellulose used as a feed additive.

3.2 | Safety

In its previous opinion (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2020), the FEEDAP Panel concluded that a proper identification and characterisation of ethyl cellulose as a feed additive was not possible. Therefore, the FEEDAP Panel could only assess the safety of ethyl cellulose meeting the specifications set for its use as a food additive. In particular, the Panel concluded that ethyl cellulose meeting the specifications set for its use as a food additive (i) is considered safe for all animal species, (ii) is of no concern for consumer safety, (iii) is safe for the environment, (iv) in the absence of data, the FEEDAP Panel was not in the position to conclude on the safety for the user.

The FEEDAP Panel considers that ethyl cellulose has been fully characterised in the current application and complies with the specifications set for its use as a food additive. Therefore, the conclusions on the safety of ethyl cellulose reached in the previous opinion apply to ethyl cellulose as a feed additive.

4 | CONCLUSIONS

Based on the data provided, the feed additive ethyl cellulose was properly identified and characterised and was shown to meet the specifications set for the food additive. Therefore, the conclusions of the safety assessment reached in the previous opinion for ethyl cellulose meeting the food additive specifications, apply to ethyl cellulose as a feed additive. The additive is considered safe for all animal species, the consumer safety and the environment. In the absence of data, the FEEDAP Panel is not in the position to conclude on the safety for the user.

¹⁰Supplementary Information September 2023/Annex III. Upper bound concentrations are calculated on the assumption that all values of the different congeners below the limit of quantification are equal to the limit of quantification. TEQ = toxic equivalency factors for dioxins, furans and dioxin-like PCBs established by WHO in 2005 (Van den Berg et al., 2006).

¹¹Technical dossier/Annex 5 and Annex 6.

¹²Supplementary Information September 2023/Annex III.

¹³Technical dossier/Annex 4.

¹⁴Technical dossier/Annex 3 and Supplementary Information September 2023/Annex II.

 $^{^{15}} Supplementary Information September 2023/Annex II.$

 $^{^{16}\}mbox{Supplementary Information September 2023/Annex I.}$

ABBREVIATIONS

ANS EFSA Scientific Panel on Additives and Nutrient Sources added to Food

CAS Chemical Abstracts Service

CFU colony-forming unit

DL dioxin-like DM dry matter

EINECS European Inventory of Existing Chemical Substances

FAF EFSA Panel on Food Additives and Flavourings

FEEDAP EFSA Scientific Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed

IR infrared

LOD limit of detection
LOQ limit of quantification
PCBs polychlorinated biphenyls

PCDDs polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins PCDFs polychlorinated dibenzofurans SEM Scanning electron microscopy

TEQ toxic equivalent UB upper bound

WHO World Health Organization

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

If you wish to access the declaration of interests of any expert contributing to an EFSA scientific assessment, please contact interestmanagement@efsa.europa.eu.

REQUESTOR

European Commission

QUESTION NUMBER

EFSA-Q-2021-00734

COPYRIGHT FOR NON-EFSA CONTENT

EFSA may include images or other content for which it does not hold copyright. In such cases, EFSA indicates the copyright holder and users should seek permission to reproduce the content from the original source.

PANEL MEMBERS

Vasileios Bampidis, Giovanna Azimonti, Maria de Lourdes Bastos, Henrik Christensen, Birgit Dusemund, Mojca Durjava, Maryline Kouba, Marta López-Alonso, Secundino López Puente, Francesca Marcon, Baltasar Mayo, Alena Pechová, Mariana Petkova, Fernando Ramos, Roberto Edoardo Villa, Ruud Woutersen.

REFERENCES

- EFSA ANS Panel (EFSA Panel on Food Additives and Nutrient Sources added toFood), Younes, M., Aggett, P., Aguilar, F., Crebelli, R., Di Domenico, A., Dusemund, B., Filipič, M., Jose Frutos, M., Galtier, P., Gott, D., Gundert-Remy, U., Georg Kuhnle, G., Lambré, C., Leblanc, J.-C., Lillegaard, I. T., Moldeus, P., Mortensen, A., Oskarsson, A., ... Woutersen, R. A. (2018). Scientific opinion on the re-evaluation of celluloses E 460(i), E 460(ii), E 461, E 462, E 463, E 464, E 465, E 466, E 468 and E 469 as food additives. EFSA Journal, 16(1), 5047. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2018.5047
- EFSA FAF Panel (EFSA Panel on Food Additives and Flavourings), Younes, M., Aquilina, G., Castle, L., Degen, G., Engel, K.-H., Fowler, P. J., Frutos Fernandez, M. J., Fürst, P., Gürtler, R., Husøy, T., Manco, M., Mennes, W., Moldeus, P., Passamonti, S., Shah, R., Waalkens-Berendsen, I., Wright, M., Dusemund, B., ... Gundert-Remy, U. (2022). Opinion on the re-evaluation of sodium carboxy methyl cellulose (E 466) as a food additive in foods for infants below 16 weeks of age and follow-up of its re-evaluation as food additive for uses in foods for all population groups. *EFSA Journal*, 20(12), 7665. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2022.7665
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (ÉFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), Rychen, G., Aquilina, G., Azimonti, G., Bampidis, V., Bastos, M. L., Bories, G., Chesson, A., Cocconcelli, P. S., Flachowsky, G., Gropp, J., Kolar, B., Kouba, M., López-Alonso, M., López Puente, S., Mantovani, A., Mayo, B., Ramos, F., Saarela, M., ... Innocenti, M. L. (2017). Guidance on the identity, characterisation and conditions of use of feed additives. *EFSA Journal*, *15*(10), 5023. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2017.5023
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances usedin Animal Feed), Bampidis, V., Azimonti, G., Bastos, M. L., Christensen, H., Dusemund, B., Kos Durjava, M., Kouba, M., López-Alonso, M., López Puente, S., Marcon, F., Mayo, B., Pechová, A., Petkova, M., Ramos, F., Sanz, Y., Villa, R. E., Woutersen, R., Bories, G., ... Aquilina, G. (2020). Scientific opinion on the safety and efficacy of ethyl cellulose for all animal species. *EFSA Journal*, *18*(7), 6210. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2020.6210
- EFSA Scientific Committee, More, S., Bampidis, V., Benford, D., Bragard, C., Halldorsson, T., Hernández-Jerez, A., Bennekou, S. H., Koutsoumanis, K., Lambré, C., Machera, K., Naegeli, H., Nielsen, S., Schlatter, J., Schrenk, D., Silano (deceased), V., Turck, D., Younes, M., Castenmiller, J., ... Schoonjans, R. (2021). Guidance on technical requirements for regulated food and feedproduct applications to establish the presence of small particles including nanoparticles. EFSA Journal, 19(8), 6769. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2021.6769
- EFSA Scientific Committee, More, S., Bampidis, V., Benford, D., Bragard, C., Halldorsson, T., Hernández-Jerez, A., Hougaard Bennekou, S., Koutsoumanis, K., Lambré, C., Machera, K., Naegeli, H., Nielsen, S., Schlatter, J., Schrenk, D., Silano, V., Turck, D., Younes, M., Castenmiller, J., ... Schoonjans, R. (2021). Guidance on risk assessment of nanomaterials to be applied in the food and feed chain: Human and animal health. *EFSA Journal*, *19*(8), 6768. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2021.6768

Van den Berg, M., Birnbaum, L.S., Denison, M., De Vito, M., Farland, W., Feeley, M., Fiedler, H., Hakansson, H., Hanberg, A., Haws, L., Rose, M., Safe, S., Schrenk, D., Tohyama, C., Tritscher, A., Tuomisto, J., Tysklind, M., Walker, N., & Peterson, R.E. (2006). The 2005 World Health Organization reevaluation of human and Mammalian toxic equivalency factors for dioxins and dioxin-like compounds. *Toxicological Sciences*, 93(2), 223–241. https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfl055

How to cite this article: EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), Bampidis, V., Azimonti, G., Bastos, M.L., Christensen, H., Dusemund, B., Durjava, M., Kouba, M., López-Alonso, M., Puente, S. L., Marcon, F., Mayo, B., Pechová, A., Petkova, M., Ramos, F., Villa, R. E., Woutersen, R., Anguita, M., Galobart, J., ... Innocenti, M. (2024). Safety of a feed additive consisting of ethyl cellulose for all animal species (Association Management & Regulatory Services Ltd). *EFSA Journal*, *22*(2), e8636. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2024.8636



