Allergo J Int (2022) 31:137–140 https://doi.org/10.1007/s40629-022-00214-9



Anaphylaxis to drug excipients

Wolfgang Pfützner

Received: 14 March 2022 / Accepted: 5 April 2022 / Published online: 31 May 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Summary In addition to the therapeutic agent, drugs contain excipients such as stabilizers, preservatives, solubilizers, or dyes, some of which are identical to additives in foods. Anaphylaxis to these excipients is probably an underestimated problem. After the first descriptions of anaphylactic reactions to drug excipients appeared more than 30 years ago, the number of corresponding reports has increased significantly over the years. However, a diagnostic gap exists in the clarification of drug allergic reactions when the index product is not known and/or is not available for testing. In the present work, individual excipients are presented as examples for which publications on anaphylaxis are available. Furthermore, the options of allergological testing both in vivo and in vitro are discussed. The pathogenesis of such reactions is still unresolved in many cases, and current concepts are briefly presented in the conclusion. With increasing knowledge about anaphylaxis to drug excipients, it is assumed that these can then be recognized more often and diagnostically clarified.

Keywords Allergy \cdot Polyethylene glycol \cdot Polysorbate \cdot Carboxymethyl cellulose \cdot Patent blue \cdot Gelatin

Abbreviations

α-Gal	Galactose- α -1,3-galactose
BAT	Basophil activation tests
PEG	Polyethylene glycol

Prof. Dr. W. Pfützner (🖂) Allergy Center Hessen, Department of Dermatology and Allergology, University Hospital Marburg, Philipps-Universität Marburg, Baldinger Straße, 35043 Marburg, Germany wolfgang.pfuetzner@med.uni-marburg.de

Background

Drugs are also referred to as medicines. The Latin word "medicare" means, among other things, "to cure" and "to treat," but also "to make effective" or "to mix". The latter illustrates that a drug usually does not contain only one substance (namely the active ingredient), but is composed of a mixture of different components. In addition to the therapeutic agent, these are excipients that fulfill different functions. For example, adjuvants are intended to enhance the desired therapeutic effect, stabilizers, preservatives and antioxidants to ensure a longer shelf life, and colorants and flavorings to favorably influence the qualitative properties of a drug. Many of these excipients are also found in foodstuffs, where they are given E numbers, which follow a general numerical classification system developed by the European Economic Community 50 years ago [1].

As important as excipients are for the manufacturing process, the stability and the therapeutic pharmacokinetics of drugs, their relevance for triggering undesirable adverse events, especially with regard to the development of allergic reactions, was considered to be very low until recently. However, numerous casuistic observations show that they are also capable of inducing anaphylaxis and should therefore be considered as potential elicitors in the diagnostic workup, although the respective pathophysiological mechanism is not always clear. In the following, some examples of excipient-induced anaphylaxis by drugs are presented.

Patent blue V (E 131)

Patent blue V is a synthetic triarylmethane dye used primarily in the food industry, so that sensitization is also possible via food intake [2]. In pharmaceuticals, it may be added to laxatives or cold remedies, for example, or used as a pure substance to mark sentinel lymph nodes or varicoceles. The incidence of intraoperative hypersensitivity to this dye is estimated to be up to 5% [3], which usually manifests as urticaria [4–6]. Allergological testing is primarily performed by means of skin tests [7], with the possible risk of permanent pigmentation, particularly in the case of intradermal tests. Furthermore, basophil activation tests (BAT) have been described for diagnostic purposes [8].

Polysorbate 80 (E 433)

Polysorbate 80 is a polyoxyethylated ester of oleic acid and sorbitol, which is also commercially available as Tween[®] 80. It is a surfactant used in drug manufacture due to its emulsifying properties with good solubilization for lipophilic substances in aqueous bases. Thus, this excipient is particularly found in injectables such as local anesthetics, glucocorticosteroids that can be applied intramuscularly, and various biologics (e.g., omalizumab, adalimumab, ustekinumab, erythropoietin, darbepoetin). Reports of anaphylaxis to polysorbate 80 repeatedly appear in the literature, also described as cross-reactive reactions to polyethylene glycol (PEG; see below) [9–12]. A prick test can be performed for diagnosis [13]. False-positive reactions are possible in the intradermal test, which can be seen in particular when applying volumes > 0.02 ml [14].

Gelatin (E 441)

Gelatin is a hydrocolloid mixture of animal products whose main ingredient is bovine or porcine collagen. Due to its hygroscopic properties, it is used in drug manufacture, among other things as a thickening agent to increase the viscosity of liquid drugs (e.g., Gelafundin[®], Braun Melsungen AG, Melsungen, Germany). Other uses include as a stabilizer in the encapsulation of tablets, for coating suppositories and implants, and as a hemostyptic [15-20]. Anaphylactic reactions are IgE-mediated to both gelatin [15, 16] and the sugar galactose- α -1,3-galactose (α -Gal) contained therein [17-19]. Diagnostics include skin tests with the original preparation (prick test, if negative and application possible intradermal test starting at a dilution of 10-3) and the detection of specific IgE antibodies against gelatin and/or α -Gal in commercially available assays. BAT are also possible [20]. If these tests are negative, oral provocation tests can be performed, which may include challenge with beef or pork ± cofactors of summation anaphylaxis in addition to the original preparation [21].

Carboxymethyl cellulose (E 466)

Carboxymethyl cellulose is a synthetic polymer in which individual hydroxyl groups are linked to a car-

boxymethyl group. It shows a high ability to bind water and serves as a solubilizer, stabilizer, binder and lubricant. In pharmaceuticals, carboxymethyl cellulose is used as a "tablet disintegrant"; it is also an ingredient in injection preparations (e.g., glucocorticosteroids, hormones, X-ray contrast media), laxatives, tear substitutes/ophthalmics and in hydrocolloid wound dressings. Anaphylaxis to carboxymethyl cellulose has been described after intra-articular injection of for example glucocorticosteroids, oral administration of BaSO₄ contrast media and topical application of eye drops [22-25]. Diagnostics include skin tests, although the prick test is often negative, so that an intradermal test (dilution $10^{-2}/10^{-1}$) must then be considered. Furthermore, different cellular in vitro assays can be performed [25, 26], and oral provocation tests are possible. While in various reports, however, no reaction was seen even with amounts as high as 250 mg, one patient developed urticaria after ingestion of 30 mg of carboxymethyl cellulose [26].

Benzyl alcohol (E 1519)

Benzyl alcohol is a fragrance and aroma substance that can be found, for example, in oils of jasmine flowers, rosemary, cloves, meadow clover or balsam of Peru. It is used in the manufacture of pharmaceuticals as a solubilizer, preservative or antimicrobial substance. Examples of anaphylactic reactions include systemic hypersensitivity to the administration of chemotherapeutic agents (cytarabine, vincristine) and injection of heparin or cobalamin, respectively, to which benzyl alcohol was added [27, 28]. A positive immediate-type reaction was seen by intradermal testing at a concentration of 0.009% (control test negative) [28].

Polyethylene glycol (E 1521)

Polyethylene glycol (PEG), also known as macrogol, is an ethylene oxide polymer whose molecular weight is between 200 and 35,000 g/mol, depending on the number of ethylene oxide molecules. It is highly hygroscopic and thus exhibits very good water solubility. In the pharmaceutical industry, it is used as a solubilizer in which drugs or their surrounding shells (e.g., nanoparticles) are pegylated, furthermore as a "disintegrant" of tablets or as a pure substance serving as a laxative. Anaphylaxis to PEG has been described not only in individual case reports but also in case series [9, 29, 31]. Typical triggers are laxatives (>50%), injection solutions (e.g., glucocorticosteroids), nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and antibiotics [29]. Diagnostic work-up includes skin prick and intradermal testing (max. concentration 10⁻¹), for which PEG of higher molecular weights (≥ 2000) should be used. Furthermore, BAT (both with PEG as pure substance and pegylated phospholipid particles, commercially

E	Excipient	Diagnostics			
		Skin tests	Laboratory tests	Challenge tests	
	Patent blue V	Prick (10 ⁻¹ /pure) [6] i. d. (10 ⁻²) [6]	BAT ^a [7]	-	
	Polysorbate 80	Prick (pure) i. d. (10 ⁻² , 10 ⁻¹) [9]	BAT ^a	200 mg ^b p.o. [9]	
	Gelatin (Galactose- α -[1,3]	Prick $(10^{-1}, \text{ pure})$ [16] i. d. $(10^{-3}-10^{-1})$ [16]	lgE ^c [14, 16] BAT ^a [19]	Beef-/porcine meet (α-Gal) [20]	
	Carboxymethyl cellulose	Prick (10µg/ml) [<mark>24</mark>] i. d. (10 ⁻² –10 ⁻¹) [24]	CAST ^a [24] BAT ^a [25]	30 mg ^b [25]	
	Benzyl alcohol	i.d. (0.009%) [27]	-	-	
	Polyethylene glycol	Prick $(10^{-2}, \text{ pure})$ [28, 32] i. d. $(10^{-3}-10^{-1})$ [28, 32]	lgE ^a [8] BAT ^d [32]	7.1 g ^e	
DAT Describile Activation Test, CACT Callular Allerny Chimulation Test i d			an Tant i din		

Table 1	Diagnostics of anaphylaxis to drug excipients

BAT Basophile Activation Test, CAST Cellular Allergy Stimulation Test, *i. d.* intradermal, *p.o.* per os

^aNo commercial test

^bMaximal dose

^cThermo Fisher, Freiburg, Germany

^dBühlmann, Basel, Switzerland

^eMaximal cumulative dose

available; Table 1) and oral provocation tests (e.g., with macrogol) can be performed [9, 29–31].

Lactose

Lactose is a disaccharide of the sugars galactose and glucose and is added as a stabilizer to drugs such as dry powder inhalers, glucocorticosteroid injection solutions or vaccines. Anecdotal reports of anaphylaxis to lactose in drugs in patients with cow milk allergy attribute these to impurities with milk protein components or galactose-containing oligosaccharides similar to galactose- α -1,3-galactose, rather than allergic reactions to lactose itself [32, 33].

Pathogenesis and conclusion

The pathogenesis of anaphylaxis to excipients in drugs is often unclear. Positive prick tests and BAT suggest IgE-mediated immediate reactions in at least some of the reactions [6-9, 13, 26, 28-30]. For gelatinand α -Gal-mediated reactions, IgE-mediated sensitizations are well documented, and detection of PEGspecific IgE antibodies has also been reported [9]. It is speculated whether some excipients become reactive antigen only after binding as a hapten to a carrier [34]. Another possibility discussed is a complement-mediated immune response, as in the case of PEG-induced reactions [29]. The observation that intramuscular injection of a pegylated nanoparticle COVID-19 (coronavirus disease 2019) vaccine was easily tolerated by a patient who had a history of anaphylactic reactions after ingestion of PEG-containing drugs and showed positive skin tests or BAT to PEG or PEG-containing vaccines, respectively, may suggest that the form of administration, the mode of presentation, or the

composition of an excipient could be significant in triggering anaphylaxis [35]. More research is needed in this area to develop a better understanding of the pathogenesis and clinical relevance of anaphylactic reactions to drug excipients.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Conflict of interest W. Pfützner has received funding for research projects from Thermo Fisher, Freiburg, Germany.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- 1. Wikipedia. Richtlinie des Rats zur Angleichung der Rechtsvorschriften der Mitgliedstaaten für färbende Stoffe, die in Lebensmitteln verwendet werden dürfen. https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Richtlinie_des_Rats_zur_Angleichung_der_Rechtsvorschriften_der_Mitglied staaten_f%C3%BCr_f%C3%A4rbende_Stoffe,_die_in_Lebensmitteln_verwendet_werden_d%C3%BCrfen. Accessed 11.03.2022.
- 2. Treudler R, Simon J. Anaphylaxis to food additives. Allergo J Int. 2022; https://doi.org/10.1007/s40629-022-00203-y.
- 3. Krishna MT, York M, Chin T, Gnanakumaran G, Heslegrave J, Derbridge C, et al. Multi-centre retrospective analysis of anaphylaxis during general anaesthesia in the United Kingdom: aetiology and diagnostic performance of acute serum tryptase. Clin Exp Immunol. 2014;178:399–404.
- 4. Mertes PM, Malinovsky JM, Mouton-Faivre C, Bonnet-Boyer MC, Benhaijoub A, Lavaud F, et al. Anaphylaxes to dyes during the perioperative period: reports of 14 clinical cases. JAllergy Clin Immunol. 2008;122:348–52.
- 5. Hunting AS, Nopp A, Johansson SG, Andersen F, Wilhelmsen V, Guttormsen AB. Anaphylaxis to patent blue V. I. Clinical aspects. Allergy. 2010;65:117–23.
- 6. Leung M, McCusker C, Ben-Shoshan M. Anaphylaxis to patent blue dye in a 17-year-old boy. BMJ Case Rep. 2019;12:e226191.
- 7. Haque RA, Wagner A, Whisken JA, Nasser SM, Ewan PW. Anaphylaxis to patent blue V: a case series and proposed diagnostic protocol. Allergy. 2010;65:396–400.
- 8. Boita M, Mietta S, Bommarito L, Rolla G. Basophilactivation test in the diagnosis of patent blue V anaphylaxis. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2015;115:78–9.
- 9. Stone CA Jr, Liu Y, Relling MV, Krant MS, Pratt AL, Abreo A, et al. Immediate hypersensitivity to polyethylene glycols and polysorbates: more common than we have recognized. JAllergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2019;7:1533–40.
- 10. Palacios Castano MI, Venturini Diaz M, Lobera Labairu T, González Mahave I, Del Pozo Gil MD, Blasco Sarramián A.

Anaphylaxis due to the excipient polysorbate 80. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol. 2016;26:394–6.

- 11. Perino E, Freymond N, Devouassoux G, Nicolas JF, Berard F. Xolair-induced recurrent anaphylaxis through sensitization to the excipient polysorbate. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2018;120:664–6.
- 12. Limaye S, Steele RH, Quin J, Cleland B. An allergic reaction to erythropoietin secondary to polysorbate hypersensitivity. JAllergy Clin Immunol. 2002;110:530.
- Pérez-Pérez L, García-Gavín J, Piñeiro B, Zulaica A. Biologicinduced urticaria due to polysorbate 80: usefulness of prick test. Br J Dermatol. 2011;164:1119–20.
- 14. Wagner N, Podda M. High volume of polysorbate-containing (Tween[®] 80) solutions induces false-positive results in intradermal test. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2018;32:1972–6.
- 15. Sakaguchi M, Inouye S. Anaphylaxis to gelatin-containing rectal suppositories. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2001;108:1033–4.
- 16. Lied GA, Lund KB, Storaas T. Intraoperative anaphylaxis to gelatin-based hemostatic agents: a case report. J Asthma Allergy. 2019;12:163–7.
- 17. Uyttebroek A, Sabato V, Bridts CH, De Clerk LS, Ebo DG. Anaphylaxis to succinylated gelatin in a patient with meat allergy: galactose-α-(1,3)-galactose (α-gal) as antigenic determinant. JClin Anesth. 2014;26:574–6.
- 18. Vidal C, Mende-Brea P, Lopez-Freire S, Gonzalez-Vidal T. Vaginal capsules: an unexpected probable source of exposure to α -Gal. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol. 2016;26:388–9.
- Hawkins RB, Fritschak HL, Kron IL, Ghanta RK. Bioprosthetic aortic valve degeneration associated with allergy to galactose-alpha-1,3-galactose. J Card Surg. 2016;31:446–8.
- 20. Apostolou E, Deckert K, Puy R, Sandrini A, de Leon MP, Douglass JA, et al. Anaphylaxis to Gelofusine[®] confirmed by in vitro basophil activation test: a case series. Anaesthesia. 2006;61:264–8.
- 21. Weins A, Eberlein B, Biedermann T. Diagnostik des α -Gal-Syndroms. Hautarzt. 2019;70:36–43.
- 22. Schuster C, Wüthrich B, Hartmann K, Kuhn M. Anaphylaxis to E466. Allergy. 2000;55:303–4.
- 23. Muroi N, Nishibori M, Fujii T, et al. Anaphylaxis from the carboxymethylcellulose component of barium sulfate suspension. NEngl J Med. 1997;337:1275–7.

- 24. Carbonelll A, Miralles JC, Escudero AI, Martínez A, Pineda F, Aldana D. Urticaria-angioedema due to carboxymethylcellulose eye drops. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol. 2012;22:288–9.
- Bigliardi PL, Izakovic J, Weber JM, Bircher A. Anaphylaxis to the carbohydrate carboxymethylcellulose in parenteral corticosteroid preparations. Dermatology. 2003;207:100–3.
- Brockow K, Bauerdorf F, Kugler C, Darsow U, Biedermann T. "Idiopathic" anaphylaxis caused by carboxymethylcel- lulose in ice cream. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2021;9:555–7.
- 27. Wilson JP, Solimando DA, Edwars MS. Parenteral benzyl alcohol-induced hypersensitivity reaction. Drug Intell Clin Pharm. 1986;20:689–91.
- 28. Turvey SE, Cronin B, Arnold AD, Twarog FJ, Dioun AF. Adverse reactions to vitamin B12 injections due to benzyl alcohol sensitivity: successful treatment with intranasal cynaocobalamin. Allergy. 2004;59:1023–4.
- 29. Wenande E, Garvey LH. Immediate-type hypersensitivity to polyethylene glycols: a review. Clin Exp Allergy. 2016;46:907–22.
- 30. Pickert J, Hennighausen I, Mühlenbein S, Möbs W, Pfützner W. Immediate-type hypersensitivity to polyethylene glocol (PEG) including a PEG-containing Covid-19 vaccine revealed by intradermal testing. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol. 2021; https://doi.org/10.18176/jiaci.0720.
- 31. Sohy C, Vandenplas O, Sibille Y. Usefulness of oral macrogol challenge in anaphylaxis after intra-articular injection of corticosteroid preparation. Allergy. 2008;63:478–9.
- 32. Santoro A, Andreozzi L, Giampaolo R, Mastrorilli C, Caffarelli C. Allergic reactions to cow's milk proteins in medications in childhood. Acta Biomed. 2019;90(3):91–3.
- 33. AndradeALMB, Riccetto AGL, Vilela MMDS, de Oliveira DN, Catharino RR, da Silva MTN. Anaphylactic reaction to galactose-derived oligosaccharide residues from lactose used as a drug excipient. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2018;29:207–10.
- 34. Johansson SG, Nopp A, Oman H, Stahl-Skov P, Hunting AS, Guttormsen AB. Anaphylaxis to patent blue V. II. A unique IgE-mediated reaction. Allergy. 2010;65:124–9.
- 35. Hennighausen I, Pickert J, Mühlenbein S, Möbs C, Pfützner W. Successfull vaccination of a patient with hypersensitivity against polyethylene glycol and polysorbate. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol. 2022; https://doi. org/10.18176/jiaci.0801.