

CORRECTION

Correction: Ambiguity in the processing of Mandarin Chinese relative clauses: One factor cannot explain it all

Michael P. Mansbridge, Katsuo Tamaoka, Kexin Xiong, Rinus G. Verdonschot


There is an error in the supplementary file [S1 Tables](#). Within Table A, the variable names under RC condition are labeled incorrectly as “Plausibility” and “Verification”. They should instead read “ORC” and “SRC” respectively. Please view the correct [S1 Tables](#) below.

Supporting information

S1 Tables. These are the tables for Experiment 1 and 2. Within S1 Tables, Tables A-to-H are provided. Tables A to C detail the means and LME models for Experiment 1. The remainder of the tables detail the means and LME models for Experiment 2. (PDF)

Reference

1. Mansbridge MP, Tamaoka K, Xiong K, Verdonschot RG (2017) Ambiguity in the processing of Mandarin Chinese relative clauses: One factor cannot explain it all. *PLoS ONE* 12(6): e0178369. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178369> PMID: 28594939



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Mansbridge MP, Tamaoka K, Xiong K, Verdonschot RG (2017) Correction: Ambiguity in the processing of Mandarin Chinese relative clauses: One factor cannot explain it all. *PLoS ONE* 12(10): e0186618. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186618>

Published: October 12, 2017

Copyright: © 2017 Mansbridge et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.