

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Preventive Medicine Reports



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/pmedr

The relationship between pesticide exposures and primary headaches in adults: A cross-sectional study based on Rafsanjan cohort study

Alireza Vakilian^{a,b}, Parvin Khalili^c, Zahra Jamali^a, Amir Moghadam -Ahmadi^{a,d}, Nazanin Jalali^{a,b}, Movahedeh Mohamadi^a, Seyed Hamid Pakzadmoghadam^e, Fatemeh Ayoobi^{f,*}

^a Non-Communicable Diseases Research Center, Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Rafsanjan, Iran

^b Neurology Department, School of Medicine, Non-Communicable Diseases Research Center, Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Rafsanjan, Iran

^c Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Rafsanjan, Iran

^d Neuro-Immunology Research Scholar, Neurological Research Laboratory, Jefferson Hospital for Neuroscience, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA, USA

e Department of Anaesthesiology, School of Medicine, Ali Ibn Abitaleb Educational and Treatment Hospital, Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Rafsanjan

^f Occupational Safety and Health Research Center, NICICO, World Safety Organization and Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Rafsanjan, Iran

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T					
Keywords: Primary headaches Pesticide exposure Prospective epidemiological research studies in Iran Rafsanjan cohort study	<i>Objectives</i> : Toxic substances can trigger headaches. The prevalence of pesticide use and headaches was high among the population of Rafsanjan. <i>Methods</i> : A cross-sectional study was used to collect data from 9991 adults who participated via sampling people aged $35-70$ years old of both genders from the Rafsanjan Cohort Study (RCS) in Iran. Demographic characteristics, habits, chronic primary headache (CPH), and episodic primary headache (EPH) were measured. <i>Results</i> : The prevalence of CPH and EPH were 7.4 % and 29.9 % respectively. The multivariable model showed the odds of EPH increased significantly by the pesticide exposure on farm OR: 1.16 (1.02–1.34), in yard OR: 1.18 (1.01–1.39), duration of pesticide exposure in yard > median OR: 1.35 (1.06–1.73), at home OR: 1.31 (1.17–1.46), duration of pesticide exposure at home \leq median OR: 1.24 (1.10–1.40) and > median OR: 1.38 (1.22–1.57). Also, pesticide preparation OR: 1.20 (1.03–1.39), duration of exposure in pesticide preparation \leq median OR: 1.31 (1.04–1.57) increased odds of EPH. These results showed that the odds of CPH increased in participants using pesticides at home OR: 1.22 (1.02–1.48), duration of pesticide exposure at home > median OR: 1.37 (1.11–1.70), and duration of pesticide exposures (18 %) and duration of pesticide exposure (25 %). <i>Conclusion:</i> As evidenced by the obtained results there is a relationship hatween preticide exposure and					

Conclusions: As evidenced by the obtained results, there is a relationship between pesticide exposure and headaches.

1. Introduction

Toxic substances such as lead, insecticides, organophosphate pesticides, chemical solvents, acetaldehyde from alcohol (a hangover), carbon tetrachloride, and various home cleaners can trigger headaches. This is often accompanied by disrupting the cell's magnesium metabolism, which sets off a chain of events that culminate in a variety of headaches, or more severe conditions (such as neurological deficit) (Cottingham, 2014). The least prevalent type of vascular headache is a toxic headache. Causes that originate within the body are notoriously difficult to identify. Disturbances in any organ of the body, including the liver, kidneys, and intestines, can result in the accumulation of toxins that are damaging to the body. When a toxic headache is induced by exposure to a toxin, it is considered an environmental disease

E-mail address: ayoobi.fatemeh@gmail.com (F. Ayoobi).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2024.102621

Received 9 September 2023; Received in revised form 14 January 2024; Accepted 17 January 2024

Available online 22 January 2024

2211-3355/© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Abbreviations: RCS, Rafsanjan Cohort Study; PERSIAN, Prospective epidemiological research studies in Iran; CPH, chronic primary headache; EPH, episodic primary headache; RUMS, Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences; WSI, Wealth score index; MET, Metabolic equivalent of task; BMI, body mass index; OR, odds ratios; Ops, organophosphates; ACHE, Acetylcholinesterase.

^{*} Corresponding author at: Occupational Safety and Health Research Center, NICICO, World Safety Organization and Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Rafsanjan 7739794454, Iran.

(Cottingham, 2014).

Pesticides are primarily utilized in agriculture (Lu et al., 2010) and cause a variety of neurobehavioral problems, although migraine was found in many pesticide users (Chetty-Mhlanga, 2021). According to multiple researches, the most prevalent negative effect of pesticide use was headaches (Mattiazzi, xxxx). Pesticides are made up of chemicals from a variety of chemical groups that have strong organic development and a large range of linked rebellious actions (Mesnil, 2021). Herbicides are the most common pesticides, followed by bug sprays, anti-parasitic, and fungicides. Many of them are GJIC modulators and operate as endocrine disruptors (Mesnil, 2021).

However, few studies have looked into the impact of toxin consumption on headaches. The most common symptom of pesticide exposure, according to the Mattiazi 2020 study, was a headache, which occurred in 31 % of cases (Mattiazzi, xxxx). Chatty Mélanga proposed a prospective study protocol in 2018 that evaluates the side effects of pesticides over several years, and the headache was one of the aspects regarded as side effects in this cohort (Chetty-Mhlanga, 2018).

Mwabulambo estimated that 95 % of pesticide users in Tanzania used organophosphate pesticides in a 2018 research. The most common neurological symptoms reported were physical weakness (57.1 %) followed by sweating, headache (40.7 %), anorexia depression (29.3 %), and irritation (26.4 %). Pesticide applicants had acetylcholinesterase levels below the limit value in 27 percent of them (Mwabulambo, 2018).

In 2020, Burralli's study looked at the effects of toxins in Brazil, 50 percent of assistants and 31 % of applicators suffered from headaches (Buralli, 2020). Dizziness, tremor, and limb paresthesia were all prevalent symptoms. A higher percentage of cases, ranging from 50 to 60 percent, showed signs of despair and anxiety. Complications were more likely among assistants in general (Buralli, 2020).

In this study, we looked at pesticides and headaches from a different angle. There have always been triggers for migraine and other primary headaches. Barometric pressure shifts, intense lights, noise, scents, and sunlight were examples of stimulators. Triggers can be found in the home or at work. The next point is that one of the cornerstones of treatment is reducing headache stimuli both at home and at work. it can reduce the morbidity of primary headaches, especially migraine, by regulating environmental stressors, and this helps to reduce primary headaches (Friedman and De Ver Dye, 2009). One of the causes of primary headaches and migraine is air pollution. Changes in air pressure, particles in the air, and types of toxic gases such as SO2, NO2, CO, and O3 in the air were effective factors. Other environmental factors that may trigger headaches in sensitive persons include pollution, exposure to environmental allergens, indoor illumination, indoor air quality, and exposure to chemicals or odors (Friedman and De Ver Dye, 2009).

Toxins' role in the emergence of neurodegenerative diseases like Alzheimer's and Parkinson's disease, as well as in migraine and depression, and neurodevelopmental disorders, like autism spectrum diseases, and ADHD, as well as epilepsy, has been discussed in some studies. Toxins contribute to the development of more of these diseases by interfering with the function of gap junctions and cannikins (Mesnil, 2021). Another study discovered a short-term link between ambient air pollution and migraine, which had a synergistic effect on migraine when combined with temperature (Lee, 2018).

Toxins and insecticides, as previously mentioned, may play a role in neurological illnesses. In this study, we wanted to see if there was a link between exposure to toxins in the farm, yard, and home and primary headaches, but we did not want to look at the consequence of toxins in general, including headaches.

Rafsanjan, in the south of Iran, has specific characteristics, with its largest pistachio jungle in the world. Therefore, farmers are the main residents of Rafsanjan who are highly exposed to various agricultural toxins (Hakimi, 2021). About 100,000 L of pesticides—mostly Organ phosphorus—each year are received in the 80,000 ha of pistachio orchards in Rafsanjan (Dehghani, 2011). Surprisingly, less than eighty percent of farmers, when applying pesticides, have no protection against

them and more than fifty percent discard the pesticide containers in the environment (Tavakolian Ferdosieyeh, 2012). Toxins and insecticides, as previously mentioned, may play a role in neurological illnesses. In this study, we wanted to see if there was a link between exposure to toxins in the farm, yard, and home and primary headaches and we aimed to investigate the presence and extent of exposure to pesticides or its absence in participants with primary episodic and chronic headaches in the population of Rafsanjan cohort study (RCS).

2. Methods

2.1. Study population

The statistical population of this study was derived from the RCS population, launched in 2014 (Hakimi, 2021) as part of the prospective epidemiological studies of Iran (PERSIAN) (Poustchi, 2018). RCS included a total of 10,000 participants of both genders aged 35 to 70 years from four predetermined areas of Rafsanjan city both urban and suburban. Among all participants, 9991 people had a complete questionnaire and entered our study. Subjects with incomplete toxin-use questionnaires were excluded from the study (31 males and 25 females). The protocol of the present study was designed in accordance Persian cohort study. Accuracy and precision of all methods were performed by the relevant guidelines and regulations and all subjects signed the consent form to enter the study. The names of the participants were kept confidential and only the data were available to the study investigators. Also, this study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences (RUMS) (Ethical code: IR. RUMS.REC.1400.016).

2.2. Data collection

Demographic and medical questionnaires were asked by expert interviewers. Demographic characteristics included age, sex, educational status, socioeconomic status (Wealth score index: WSI), anthropometric (body mass index: BMI), physical activity (Metabolic equivalent of task: MET) and medical characteristics included hypertension, chronic primary headache (CPH), episodic primary headache (EPH), and family history of headache. Also, habits included smoking, opium, and alcohol consumption (Hakimi, 2021). The question asked about the family history of headache was as follows Whether or not any first degree relatives have chronic recurrent headaches.

MET was calculated for 24 h, using the METs specified for each activity by a 22-item questionnaire. WSI was calculated by multiple correspondence analysis (MCA) of the economic and social characteristics of participants to determine socio-economic status by a 27-question questionnaire (Hakimi, 2021).

In the cohort questionnaire, the status of EPH and CPH was asked through interviews with questions about chronic and intermittent headaches a year before the enrollment date. CPH was defined as headaches occurring at least half of the month and lasting for at least three consecutive months. Migraine disease was considered as a type of both EPH and. EPH was defined as experiencing persistent headaches at least once in a lifetime with a duration of more than 4 h (Hakimi, 2021). Secondary causes of headaches such as headaches associated with head or neck injury, cranial or cervical vascular disorders, non-vascular intracranial disorders, infection, and psychiatric disorders (somatization or psychotic disorders) were not included in the answers to these questions. The sub-classification of primary headache types was not included in this interview (Hakimi, 2021).

2.2.1. Exposure assessment

A self-report questionnaire asking about the quantity of exposure to pesticides with 38 questions about activities performed in a year before the enrollment date. The questions included the use of pesticides on the farm (applying pesticides in farms, greenhouses, or agricultural fields),

2.3. Statistical analysis

use in the yard (applying pesticides at home for plants), use at home (applying insecticides at home), pesticide preparation (mixing/loading pesticides or insecticides), manage spraying pesticide (directing spray operations), duration of exposure to pesticide questions (the number of times pesticides were applied in the year *average length of time (minutes) spent applying pesticides). The frequency of pesticide exposure was divided into three categories (no, \leq median, and >median minutes per year). Unfortunately, the type of agricultural pesticides was not asked.

Total exposure included subjects that had exposure to the pesticide on the farm or in the yard or at home or pesticide preparation or managed to spray pesticide.

The total duration of exposure included the sum of the duration of exposure on the farm and duration of exposure in the yard and duration of exposure at home and duration of exposure in pesticide preparation and duration of exposure in managed spraying pesticide.

Comparisons were made between participants with headaches and non-headaches using the chi-square test for categorical variables and the T-test for quantitative variables. Due to the non-normality of the distribution of the duration of pesticide exposure in people, we divided the people into 2 groups based on the median in Tables 2 and 3. To investigate the relationship between pesticide exposure and CPH or EPH used Logistic regression models. Confounders were sequentially used in models according to their purported strengths regarding pesticide exposure and headache. Afterward, variables with a p-value < 0.25 were considered for multivariate analysis (Mickey and Greenland, 1989; Bendel and Afifi, 1977). Adjusted model included age (continuous variable), gender (male/female), education years (continuous variable), BMI (continuous variable), hypertension (yes/no), family history of primary headache (yes/no), smoking (yes/no), opium consumption (yes/no), alcohol consumption (yes/no) and physical activity (continuous variable). P-values < 0.05 and 95 % confidence intervals were considered statistically significant.

Table 1

Prevalence of episodic primary headache and chronic primary headache based on general characteristics in adult population of Rafsanjan cohort study launched in 2014.

Demographic	Male (n=4624)						Female (n=5311)					
characteristics	CPH (n=193)	No CPH (n=4431)	P- value	EPH (n=887)	No EPH (n=3737)	P- value	CPH (n=548)	No CPH (n=4762)	P- value	EPH (n=2002)	No EPH (n=3309)	P- value
Age. years			0.94			< 0.001			0.34			< 0.001
Mean±SD	50.2 ± 10.5	50.2±9.7		48.6±9.5	50.5±9.8		50.1±9.2	49.7±9.4		48.8±9.1	50.3±9.5	
Education. years			0.07			0.6			< 0.001			0.17
Mean±SD BMI	8.9±4.9	9.54±4.9	0.5	9.4±4.8	9.5±4.9	0.21	6.9±4.8	7.7±5.0	0.32	$7.8{\pm}5.0$	$7.6{\pm}5.0$	< 0.001
$Mean \pm SD$	$26.3{\pm}4.3$	26.1±4.3		$26.0{\pm}4.5$	$26.2{\pm}4.3$		29.5±4.9	29.3±4.9		$29.0{\pm}4.8$	$29.5{\pm}5.0$	
WSI Mean+SD	01+00	0.2+1.0	0.26	01+10	0.2+1.0	0.16	0.3+1.0	0.1+1.0	0.002	0.1+1.0	0.2+1.0	0.16
Physical activity	0.1±0.9	0.2 ± 1.0	0.017	0.1 ± 1.0	0.2 ± 1.0	0.35	-0.3±1.0	-0.1±1.0	0.81	-0.1±1.0	-0.2±1.0	0.26
Mean±SD	$38.6{\pm}7.5$	$40.1{\pm}8.4$		$40.3{\pm}8.1$	$40.0{\pm}8.5$		37.7 ± 3.4	$37.7{\pm}3.3$		$37.8{\pm}3.2$	$37.7{\pm}3.4$	
Hypertension- n (%)			0.007			0.06			0.001			0.14
Yes	52(26.9)	846(19.1)		192	706(18.9)		198	1396		577(28.9)	1017	
No	141	3584		(21.7)	3030		(36.2)	(29.3) 3364		1423	(30.8)	
NO	(73.1)	(80.9)		(78.4)	(81.1)		(63.8)	(70.7)		(71.2)	(69.3)	
Family history of primary headache - n			<0.001			<0.001			<0.001			<0.001
Yes	69(35.8)	878(19.8)		274	673(18.0)		243	1422		803(40.1)	862(26.1)	
				(30.9)			(44.3)	(29.9)				
No	124	3553		613	3064		305	3340		1199	2447	
Smoking- n (%)	(04.3)	(80.2)	0.93	(09.1)	(82.0)	0.04	(55.7)	(70.1)	0.003	(59.9)	(74.0)	0.18
Yes	100	2310		489	1921(51.		24(4.4)	110(2.3)		58(2.9)	76(2.3)	
	(52.6)	(52.3)		(55.4)	6)							
No	90(47.4)	2108		393	1805		522	4650		1942	3230	
Opium		(4/./)	0.77	(44.0)	(48.4)	0.68	(95.6)	(97.7)	0.009	(97.1)	(97.7)	0.28
consumption- n (%)												
Yes	90(47.4)	2044		414	1720		33(6.0)	178(3.7)		72(3.6)	139(4.2)	
		(46.3)		(46.9)	(46.2)					1000		
No	100	2374		468	2006		513	4582		1928	3167	
Alcohol consumption-	(32.0)	(33.7)	0.13	(33.1)	(33.8)	0.15	(94.0)	(90.3)	0.94	(90.4)	(93.0)	0.79
n (%)												
Yes	49(25.8)	935(21.2)		204 (23.1)	780(20.9)		1(0.2)	8(0.2)		3(0.2)	6(0.2)	
No	141	3483		678	2946		545	9752		1997	3300	
	(74.2)	(78.8)		(76.9)	(79.1)		(99.8)	(99.8)		(99.9)	(99.8)	

Abbreviations: Chronic primary headache (CPH); Episodic primary headache (EPH); Body Mass Index (BMI); Wealth Score Index (WSI).

Results are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation for continuous variables and as number of participants (column percentage) for categorical variables. Betweengroup comparisons were performed by Independent Samples T-test for continuous variables, and chi-square for categorical variables.

Table 2

Prevalence of Chronic primary headache and Episodic primary headache according to pesticide exposure in adult population of Rafsanjan cohort study launched in 2014.**

Pesticide Use	Male n (%)						Female <i>n</i> (%)					
	CPH (n=193)	No CPH (n=4431)	P- value	EPH (n=887)	No EPH (n=3737)	P- value	CPH (n=548)	No CPH (n=4762)	P- value	EPH (n=2002)	No EPH (n=3309)	P- value
Use in Farm			0.66			0.04			0.63			0.69
Yes	71(37.0)	1702		367	1406		11(2.0)	82(1.7)		37(1.8)	56(1.7)	
		(38.6)		(41.5)	(37.8)							
No	121	2710		518	2313		533	4655		1958	3231	
	(63.0)	(61.4)		(58.5)	(62.2)		(98.0)	(98.3)		(98.2)	(98.3)	
Duration of			0.08			0.13			0.53			0.73
(minutes / year)												
No	121	2710		518	2313		533	4655		1958	3231	
110	(63.0)	(61.4)		(58.5)	(62.2)		(98.0)	(98.3)		(98.2)	(98.3)	
<median< td=""><td>45(23.5)</td><td>844(19.1)</td><td></td><td>186</td><td>703(18.9)</td><td></td><td>7(1.3)</td><td>62(1.3)</td><td></td><td>29(1.4)</td><td>40(1.2)</td><td></td></median<>	45(23.5)	844(19.1)		186	703(18.9)		7(1.3)	62(1.3)		29(1.4)	40(1.2)	
				(21.0)								
>median	26(13.5)	858(19.5)		181	703(18.9)		4(0.7)	19(0.4)		8(0.4)	15(0.5)	
				(20.5)								
Use in Yard			0.28			0.61			0.7			0.02
Yes	23(12.0)	654(14.8)		135	542(14.6)		29(5.3)	234(4.9)		118(5.9)	145(4.4)	
	1.00	0750		(15.3)	01.55		=1 (4500		1070	01.40	
NO	169	3758 (PE 2)		750	3177 (95.4)		516	4503		1878	3142	
Duration of	(88.0)	(83.2)	0.35	(84.8)	(83.4)	0.68	(94.7)	(93.1)	0.82	(94.1)	(95.0)	0.02
exposure in Yard			0.00			0.00			0.02			0.02
(minutes/ year)												
No	169	3758		750	31.77		516	4503		1878	3142	
	(88.0)	(85.2)		(84.8)	(85.5)		(94.7)	(95.1)		(94.1)	(95.6)	
\leq median	17(8.8)	411(9.3)		82(9.2)	346(9.3)		17(3.1)	148(3.1)		68(3.4)	97(3.0)	
>median	6(3.2)	242(5.5)		53(6.0)	195(5.2)		12(2.2)	86(1.8)		50(2.5)	48(1.4)	
Use in Home			0.18			< 0.001			0.08			0.001
Yes	134	2869		633	2370		460	3861		1679	2643	
	(69.8)	(65.0)		(71.6)	(63.7)		(84.4)	(81.5)		(84.1)	(80.4)	
NO	58(30.2)	1542		(28.4)	1349		85(15.6)	876(18.5)		317(15.9)	644(19.6)	
Duration of		(33.0)	0.42	(20.4)	(30.3)	< 0.001			< 0.001			< 0.001
exposure in Home (minutes/ year)												
No	58(30.4)	1542		251	1349		85(15.6)	876(18.5)		317(15.9)	644(19.6)	
		(35.0)		(28.4)	(36.3)							
\leq median	76(93.8)	1622		350	1348		212	2151		877(44.0)	1487	
		(36.8)		(39.6)	(36.3)		(39.0)	(45.4)			(45.2)	
>median	57(29.8)	1245		282	1020		247	1710		801(40.1)	1156	
Doctinido		(28.2)	0.06	(32.0)	(27.4)	0.002	(45.4)	(36.1)	0.01		(35.2)	0.41
Prenaration			0.00			0.002			0.81			0.41
Yes	47(24.5)	1357		308	1096		9(1.8)	72(1.5)		27(1.3)	54(1.6)	
		(30.8)		(34.8)	(29.5)							
No	145	3054		576	2623		536	4665		1969	3233	
	(75.5)	(69.2)		(65.2)	(70.5)		(98.4)	(98.5)		(98.7)	(98.4)	
Duration of exposure in pesticide preparation			0.009			0.001			0.96			0.62
(minutes/ year)	145	3054		576	2623		536	4665		1960	3033	
110	(75.5)	(69.3)		(65.2)	(70.5)		(98.4)	(98.5)		(98.7)	(98.4)	
<median< td=""><td>34(17.7)</td><td>709(16.0)</td><td></td><td>180</td><td>563(15.1)</td><td></td><td>8(1.5)</td><td>65(1.4)</td><td></td><td>25(1.2)</td><td>48(1.5)</td><td></td></median<>	34(17.7)	709(16.0)		180	563(15.1)		8(1.5)	65(1.4)		25(1.2)	48(1.5)	
				(20.4)								
>median	13(6.8)	647(14.7)		127 (14.4)	533(14.4)		1(0.1)	7(0.1)		2(0.1)	6(0.1)	
Manage Spraying			0.92			0.07			0.19			0.19
Yes	43(22.4)	975(22.1)		216 (24.4)	802(21.6)		23(4.2)	264(5.6)		98(4.9)	189(5.7)	
No	149	3437		669	2917		522	4473		1898	3098	
	(77.6)	(77.9)		(75.6)	(78.4)		(95.8)	(94.4)		(95.1)	(94.3)	
Duration of exposure in pesticide			0.99			0.02			0.15			0.14
(minutes/ year)												
No	149	3437		669	2917		522	4473		1898	3098	
	(77.6)	(77.9)		(75.6)	(78.4)		(95.8)	(94.4)		(95.1)	(94.3)	

(continued on next page)

Table 2 (continued)

Pesticide Use	Male n (%) Female n (%)											
	CPH (n=193)	No CPH (n=4431)	P- value	EPH (n=887)	No EPH (n=3737)	P- value	CPH (n=548)	No CPH (n=4762)	P- value	EPH (n=2002)	No EPH (n=3309)	P- value
\leq median	19(9.9)	441(10.0)		84(9.5)	376(10.1)		16(2.9)	219(4.6)		85(4.3)	150(4.6)	
>median	24(12.5)	534(12.1)		132 (14.9)	426(11.5)		7(1.3)	45(1.0)		13(0.6)	39(1.1)	
Total exposures			0.68			0.36			0.16			0.001
Yes	158	3681		747	3092		467	3946		1710	2704	
	(82.3)	(83.4)		(84.4)	(83.1)		(85.7)	(83.3)		(85.7)	(82.3)	
No	34(17.7)	731(16.6)		138 (15.6)	627(16.9)		78(14.3)	791(16.7)		286(14.3)	583(17.7)	
Total duration of exposures (minutes/ year)			0.88			0.35			0.03			<0.001
No	34(17.7)	731(16.6)		138 (15.6)	627(16.9)		78(14.3)	791(16.7)		286(14.3)	583(17.7)	
\leq median	52(27.1)	1170		225	997(26.8)		292	2680		1118	1855	
		(26.5)		(25.4)			(53.7)	(56.6)		(56.1)	(56.5)	
>median	106	2510		522	2094		174	1266		591(29.6)	849(25.8)	
	(55.2)	(56.9)		(59.0)	(56.3)		(32.0)	(26.7)				

Abbreviations: Chronic primary headache (CPH); Episodic primary headache (EPH).

Results are expressed as number of participants (column percentage). Between-group comparisons were performed by chi-square test.

3. Results

The age of participants was 35–70 years with a mean of 50.1 ± 9.8 in men and 49.7 ± 9.4 in women. The results of the statistical analysis are presented in Tables 1–3.

Table 1 presented CPH and EPH among men and women based on general characteristics. According to this table, 7.4 % (193 males and 548 females) and 29.9 % (887 males and 2002 females) of this population had CPH and EPH respectively. The average age in the EPH group was lower than healthy people and this difference was significant in both genders (P < 0.001), also, BMI in the EPH group (P < 0.001), education (P < 0.001) and WSI (P = 0.002) in CPH group in females; and physical activity in men with CPH (P = 0.017) were significantly lower than healthy participants (Table 1).

The prevalence of hypertension in the CPH group was higher in both genders (26.9 % in men and 36.2 % in women) than in healthy individuals, and this difference was significant (P = 0.007 in men and P = 0.001 in women). Also, in the CPH group, the prevalence of a family history of primary headache was significantly more than no CPH group in both genders (men = 35.8 % and women = 44.3 %) and similar results were observed in the EPH group (men = 30.9 % and women = 40.1 %) (P < 0.001). In the EPH group, the percentage of male smokers (55.4 %) was higher than in the no EPH group, and in the CPH group, the percentage of female smokers (4.4 %) was higher than healthy group and these differences were significant (P = 0.04 in men and P = 0.003 in women). It is noteworthy that, the prevalence of CPH was significantly more in opium consumption in females (P = 0.009) (Table 1).

Table 2 shows the prevalence of CPH and EPH according to pesticide exposure by gender. The prevalence of EPH was significantly higher in men who were exposed to pesticides on the farm (P = 0.04), at home (P < 0.001), in the preparation of pesticides (P = 0.002), and also, the duration of exposure to the pesticide at home \leq median (P < 0.001), the duration of pesticide exposure in pesticide preparation \leq median (P = 0.001) and duration of pesticide exposure for manage spraying pesticide > median (P = 0.02). The prevalence of EPH was significantly higher in women who were exposed to pesticides in the yard (P = 0.02), at home (P = 0.001), the duration of exposure to pesticides in the yard \leq median (P = 0.02), and the duration of exposure to pesticides at home \leq median (P = 0.02).

The prevalence of CPH was significantly higher in women who were exposed to pesticides at home > median (P < 0.001) and in the men who were exposed to pesticides during the preparation of pesticides \leq median (P = 0.009) (Table 2). The prevalence of EPH was significantly

higher in women who have more pesticide exposures (P = 0.001). Also, the percentage of women who have CPH and EPH were significantly higher in total duration of pesticide exposures > median (P = 0.03 and P = 0.001 respectively).

In Table 3, the relationship between headaches and pesticide exposure was examined. The odds ratios (OR) of CPH were associated with pesticide exposure on the farm, in the yard, at home, pesticide preparation, managed spraying of pesticide, and duration of exposure in all of them in the Univariate model. The multivariable model has been examined by adjusting for confounding variables age, gender, education years, BMI, hypertension, family history of primary headache, smoking, opium consumption, Alcohol consumption, and physical activity. According to the data in Table 3, none of the variables had a significant relationship with the prevalence of CPH except pesticide use at home (OR: 1.22; 95 % CI 1.02 to 1.48), duration of exposure to pesticide at home > median (OR: 1.37, 95 % CI 1.11 to1.70) and duration of pesticide exposure in pesticide preparation > median (OR: 0.47, 95 % CI 0.27 to 0.82). These results showed that the odds of CPH increased in participants using pesticides at home and the duration of exposure to pesticides at home was 22 % and 37 % respectively.

There was a relationship between the prevalence of EPH and the exposure to pesticides on the farm, at home, pesticide preparation, managed spraying pesticide, and duration of exposure in all of them in the Univariate model. After adjusting the confounders in the multivariable model, the relationship between the EPH with the exposure to pesticides on the farm (OR: 1.16; 95 % CI 1.02 to 1.34), in the yard (OR: 1.18, 95 % CI 1.01 to 1.39), duration of exposure to pesticide in yard >median (OR: 1.35, 95 % CI 1.06 to 1.73), at home (OR: 1.31, 95 % CI 1.17 to 1.46), duration of exposure to pesticide at home < median (OR: 1.24, 95 % CI 1.10 to 1.40) and > median (OR: 1.38, 95 % CI 1.22 to 1.57) was significant and exposure to pesticides increased odds of EPH. Also, pesticide preparation (OR: 1.20, 95 % CI 1.03 to 1.39), duration of exposure in pesticide preparation < median (OR: 1.31, 95 % CI 1.09 to 1.57) and duration of exposure in manage spraying pesticide > median (OR: 1.28, 95 % CI 1.04 to 1.57) increased odds EPH 20 %, 31 % and 28 % respectively (Table 3).

The odds ratios of EPH had a significant association with total pesticide exposures (OR: 1.18, 95 % CI 1.04 to 1.33) and total duration of pesticide exposures > median (OR: 1.25, 95 % CI 1.09 to 1.43) in the multivariable model. These results showed that the odds of EPH increased in those who have more total pesticide exposures and duration of exposure to pesticides by 18 % and 25 % respectively.

Table 3

The relationship between pesticide exposure with chronic primary headache and episodic primary headache in adult population of Rafsanjan cohort study, launched in 2014.

Pesticide	CPH		EPH			
Use	Univarate	Multivariable	Univarate	Multivariable		
	OR (95 %Ci) ^a	OR (95 %Ci) b	OR (95 %Ci) ^a	OR (95 %Ci) ^b		
Use in Farm						
No	1	1	1	1		
Yes	0.52	1.00	0.62	1.16		
	(0.41–0.65)	(0.76–1.33)	(0.55–0.70)	(1.02–1.34)		
Duration of	exposure on Fari	n (minutes/year)				
No	1	1	1	1		
\leq median	0.65	1.17	0.65	1.16		
	(0.48–0.86)	(0.85–1.62)	(0.55–0.76)	(0.97 - 1.39)		
>median	0.39	0.79	0.59	1.16		
	(0.27–0.56)	(0.52–1.19)	(0.50–0.70)	(0.96–1.40)		
Use in Yard						
No	1	1	1	1		
Yes	0.71	0.96	0.89	1.18		
	(0.53–0.94)	(0.71–1.30)	(0.76–1.03)	(1.01–1.39)		
Duration of	exposure in Yard	l (minutes/year)				
No	1	1	1	1		
\leq median	0.73	1.00	0.81	1.09		
	(0.51–1.05)	(0.70–1.44)	(0.67–0.99)	(0.89–1.33)		
>median	0.66	0.89	0.02	1.35		
	(0.41–1.07)	(0.55–1.45)	(0.81–1.29)	(1.06–1.73)		
Use in Home	e					
No	1	1	1	1		
Yes	1.49	1.22	1.62	1.31		
	(1.24–1.80)	(1.02–1.48)	(1.46–1.80)	(1.17–1.46)		
Duration of	exposure at Hom	e (minutes/year)				
No	1	1	1	1		
\leq median	1.29	1.08	1.52	1.24		
	(1.05–1.59)	(0.87–1.34)	(1.35–1.70)	(1.10–1.40)		
>median	1.74	1.37	1.75	1.38		
	(1.42–2.14)	(1.11–1.70)	(1.55–1.97)	(1.22–1.57)		
Pesticide pro	eparation					
No	1	1	1	1		
Yes	0.44	0.81	0.67	1.20		
	(0.34–0.59)	(0.59–1.10)	(0.59–0.76)	(1.03–1.39)		
Duration of	exposure in Pest	icide preparation	(minutes/year)			
No	1	1	1	1		
\leq median	0.62	1.04	0.77	1.31		
	(0.45–0.85)	(0.74–1.46)	(0.65–0.91)	(1.09–1.57)		
>median	0.24	0.47	55	1.06		
	(0.14–0.41)	(0.27–0.82)	(0.45–0.67)	(0.85–1.31)		
Manage Spra	aying Pesticide					
No	1	1	1	1		
Yes	0.63	0.91	0.74	1.08		
	(0.48–0.81)	(0.69–1.19)	(0.65–0.85)	(0.93–1.24)		
Duration of	exposure in Man	age Spraying Pest	ticide (minutes/y	ear)		
No	1	1	1	1		
\leq median	0.63	0.79	0.75	0.95		
	(0.44-0.89)	(0.56–1.13)	(0.63-0.90)	(0.79–1.15)		
>median	0.63	1.09	0.73	1.28		
	(0.44–0.91)	(0.74–1.61)	(0.60–0.89)	(1.04–1.57)		
Total exposi	1705					

1

No

1

1

1

Table 3 (continued)

-						
Pesticide	CPH		EPH			
Use	Univarate	Multivariable	Univarate	Multivariable OR (95 %Ci) ^b		
	OR (95 %Ci) ^a	OR (95 %Ci) ^b	OR (95 %Ci) ^a			
Yes	1.11 (0.90–1.37)	1.09 (0.88–1.34)	1.21 (1.07–1.36)	1.18 (1.04–1.33)		
Total duratio	n of exposures (n	ninutes/year)				
No	1	1	1	1		
\leq median	1.21	1.04	1.34	1.12		
	(0.97 - 1.52)	(0.83–1.30)	(1.18–1.53)	(0.98–1.28)		
>median	1.01	1.15	1.08	1.25		
	(0.80–1.26)	(0.91–1.45)	(0.95–1.23)	(1.09–1.43)		

Abbreviations: Chronic primary headache (CPH); Episodic primary headache (EPH).

^a The Univariate model is stratified on the status of pesticide use.

^b The Multivariable is adjusted for confounding variables age (continuous variable), gender (male/female), education years (continuous variable), BMI (continuous variable), hypertension (yes/no), Family history of primary headache (yes/no), smoking (yes/no), opium consumption (yes/no), Alcohol consumption (yes/no) and physical activity (continuous variable).

4. Discussion

In this study, we examined the population of RCS for exposure to pesticides on the farm, in the yard, at home, and the duration of pesticide exposure with the rate of CPH and EPH. As shown in the results, the relationship between EPH in people using pesticides was stronger than CPH. On the other hand, the duration of pesticide exposure at home and pesticide preparation were associated with CPH. This result confirms that CPH require long-term contact with pesticides, to be more effective, especially indoors and in their place of residence. Because pesticide preparation has a shorter contact time, probably it couldn't play as a risk factor for CPH compared to other kinds of contact that have a longer contact period. While, EPH can be associated with the use of pesticides at any place of the activity, regardless of how long it lasts and whether it occurred indoors or outdoors. Duration of exposure in managing spraying pesticide > median was associated with EPH.

People are exposed to a combination of different pesticides daily (Dalvie, 2011; English, 2012). Organophosphates (OPs) are mostly used for outdoor spaces but are also used indoors (Fenske, 2000). OP's, especially Chlorifyros, acutely affect the brain and irreversibly prevent acetylcholinesterase (ACHE) from breaking down neurotransmitters, but at the same time, chronic exposure to this pesticide may also interfere with less intense structural processes in the brain (Li, 2019). In addition, the occurrence of headaches with occupational OP exposure suggests subsequent chronic CNS effects of pesticides (Rastogi et al., 2010). In line with our results, Shala Chetty-Mhlanga et al demonstrated long-term effects of pesticide exposure on headache and cognition (Chetty-Mhlanga, 2021).

Migraine may have evolved as an evolutionary protection mechanism, according to some experts (Jay, 2021). Similarly, increased odor sensitivity may serve as a warning indicator for numerous environmental contaminants. Martin et al. described the safe nature of low-level chemical exposure and the headache syndrome that was the result of chemical exposure (Martin and Becker, 1993). The high-level chemical exposures in their study could make the argument that chemical headaches may have a certain survival value and that severe exposure could lead to severe headaches (Jay, 2021; Martin and Becker, 1993; Blau and Common headaches: type, duration, 1990). Our results suggest using various pesticide-related activities and behaviors for long-term pesticide exposure, had an overall detrimental effect on CPH and EPH, which is mostly significant for the latter.

A recent study showed that short-term exposure to pesticides may trigger migraine. This relationship was especially pronounced on hightemperature days (Lee, 2018). Although limited studies have examined the relationship between pesticide exposure and migraine, the findings have been mixed in this regard. A study conducted in Edmonton, Canada reported a significantly increased risk of migraine associated with exposure to pesticides (Szyszkowicz et al., 2009). Hyewon Lee et al. found that short-term exposure to higher concentrations of PM2.5, PM10, NO2, O3, and CO immediately increased the risk of migraine (Lee, 2018). Our results also showed that the use of pesticides at home increased the types of headaches and this observation was more in women. Johnsen (2018) showed that there was no strong evidence that headaches were associated with smoking in smokers. The findings from this study do not support that there was a strong causal relationship between smoking intensity and any type of headache (Johnsen, 2018). Considering that in our study demographic table, a relationship was observed between headache and smoking, but after controlling the effect of smoking in the model, the relationship between headache and pesticide exposure was significant.

In another study ten trigger factors were examined and compared in migraine patients, but toxins and pesticides were not discussed as a trigger (Karsan, 2021). Schulte et al in another study looking at the connections between reported trigger factors and premonitory symptoms in migraine, discussed 24 triggers, but again no mention of toxins as a trigger in this study (Schulte et al., 2015). As we checked other previous studies, toxins were not common migraine triggers and were not described in many studies (Hoffmann and Recober, 2013; Hindiyeh, 2020). This may be because the use of pesticides was so widespread in our area therefore toxins have been specifically studied.

The main limitation of our study was its cross-sectional design which refrained us from deriving any causal inferences. One of the limitations of our study was the lack of measurement of pesticide level in the body of cases and lack of detail on the type of pesticides, since active ingredients may have very different effects depending on whether they were insecticides or herbicides, for example. Another limitation of our study was its dependence on the questionnaire, which reduced the accuracy of the results of this study. Another limitation of the study was the lack of a detailed questionnaire to determine the type of acute or chronic headache and the year of EPH onset, which has reduced the scope of discussion on the types of headaches and their relationship to pesticides. Since the occupation of most of the people is agriculture and they were exposed to agricultural pesticides and the use of pesticides is critical for farmers and their products and there is no other potent biological way for this problem, its use has continued permanently during the past 40 years, the exact year of using agricultural pesticides was not asked.

Our study advantages are the participants' number, the cohort–based study, a detailed questionnaire about the use of pesticides, and a trained cohort team that has improved the quality of the study. We recommend that follow-up of our cohort study cases with a high number of pesticide exposures for many years and collect more information about our participants and new events in them that could be related to pesticide exposure.

5. Conclusion

In general, it can be concluded that the use of pesticides outdoors is associated more with EPH, and indoors, such as those used at home, we can face a higher risk of CPH. CPH is less likely to occur when preparing pesticides is considered, which takes less time than using pesticides. The importance of our findings is due to the reference to specific activities related to pesticide exposure in adults.

6. Ethics approval and consent to participate

The ethics committee of RUMS approved this study (Ethical codes: ID: IR.RUMS.REC.1400.016). Participants entered the study with informed consent. The names of the participants were kept confidential and only the data were available to the study investigators.

Funding

This research was funded by the Iranian Ministry of Health and Medical Education and the PERSIAN Cohort (Grant no: 700/534).

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Alireza Vakilian: Writing – review & editing, Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation. Parvin Khalili: Writing – review & editing, Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation. Zahra Jamali: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. Amir Moghadam -Ahmadi: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. Nazanin Jalali: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Data curation. Movahedeh Mohamadi: Writing – review & editing, Methodology, Data curation. Seyed Hamid Pakzadmoghadam: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. Fatemeh Ayoobi: Writing – review & editing, Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

Acknowledgments

We thank the participants of RCS, its personnel, and members of RCS in Rafsanjan, Iran.

Author contributions

All authors contributed to the study's conception and design. Material preparation, data collection, and analysis were performed by Alireza Vakilian, Fatemeh Ayoobi, Parvin Khalili, Nazanin Jalali, and Movahedeh Mohamadi. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Fatemeh Ayoobi, Zahra Jamali, Seyed Hamid Pakzadmoghadam, and Amir Moghadam-Ahmadi, and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- Bendel, R.B., Afifi, A.A., 1977. Comparison of stopping rules in forward" stepwise" regression. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 46–53.
- Blau, J., 1990. Common headaches: type, duration frequency and implications. Headache: The Journal of Head and Face Pain 30 (11), 701–704.
- Buralli, R.J., et al., 2020. Occupational exposure to pesticides and health symptoms among family farmers in Brazil. Rev. Saude Publica 54.
- Chetty-Mhlanga, S., et al., 2018. A prospective cohort study of school-going children investigating reproductive and neurobehavioral health effects due to environmental pesticide exposure in the Western Cape, South Africa: study protocol. BMC Public Health 18 (1), 1–13.
- Chetty-Mhlanga, S., et al., 2021. Association of activities related to pesticide exposure on headache severity and neurodevelopment of school-children in the rural agricultural farmlands of the Western Cape of South Africa. Environ. Int. 146, 106237.
- Cottingham, P., Headache and migraine. Clinical Naturopathy: An evidence-based guide to practice, 2014; p. 328.
- Dalvie, M.A., et al., 2011. Urinary dialkyl phosphate levels before and after first season chlorpyrifos spraying amongst farm workers in the Western Cape, South Africa. J. Environ. Sci. Health B 46 (2), 163–172.
- Dehghani, R., et al., 2011. Surveying of Pesticides Commonly on the Markets of Iran in 2009. J. Environ. Prot. 2 (8), 1113.
- English, R.G., et al., 2012. Farm residence and reproductive health among boys in rural South Africa. Environ. Int. 47, 73–79.
- Fenske, R.A., et al., 2000. Strategies for assessing children's organophosphorus pesticide exposures in agricultural communities. J. Eposure Sci. Environ. Epidemiol. 10 (6), 662–671.
- Friedman, D.I., De Ver Dye, T., 2009. *Migraine and the environment*. Headache: The Journal of Head and Face. Pain 49 (6), 941–952.

A. Vakilian et al.

Hakimi, H., et al., 2021. The profile of Rafsanjan cohort study. Eur. J. Epidemiol. 36 (2), 243–252.

Hindiyeh, N.A., et al., 2020. The role of diet and nutrition in migraine triggers and treatment: a systematic literature review. Headache: The Journal of Head and Face Pain 60 (7), 1300–1316.

- Hoffmann, J., Recober, A., 2013. Migraine and triggers: post hoc ergo propter hoc? Curr. Pain Headache Rep. 17 (10), 1–7.
- Jay, G.W., The headache handbook: Diagnosis and treatment. 2021: CRC Press.
- Johnsen, M.B., et al., 2018. The causal role of smoking on the risk of headache. A Mendelian randomization analysis in the HUNT study. Eur. J. Neurol. 25 (9), 1148–e102.
- Karsan, N., et al., 2021. Are some patient-perceived migraine triggers simply early manifestations of the attack? J. Neurol. 268 (5), 1885–1893.
- Lee, H., et al., 2018. Ambient air pollution exposure and risk of migraine: synergistic effect with high temperature. Environ. Int. 121, 383–391.
- Li, Y., et al., 2019. Pesticide metabolite concentrations in Queensland preschoolers–exposure trends related to age and sex using urinary biomarkers. Environ.
- Res. 176, 108532. Lu, J.L., Cosca, K.Z., Del Mundo, J., 2010. Trends of pesticide exposure and related cases
- in the Philippines. J. Rural Med. 5 (2), 153–164.
- Martin, R.W., Becker, C., 1993. Headaches from chemical exposures. Headache: The Journal of Head and Face Pain 33 (10), 555–559.
- Mattiazzi, Â.L., et al., Pesticide exposure and association with physical and hearing symptoms of rural workers.

- Mesnil, M., et al., 2021. Brain disorders and chemical pollutants: A gap junction link? Biomolecules 11 (1), 51.
- Mickey, R.M., Greenland, S., 1989. The impact of confounder selection criteria on effect estimation. Am. J. Epidemiol. 129 (1), 125–137.
- Mwabulambo, S.G., et al., 2018. Health symptoms associated with pesticides exposure among flower and onion pesticide applicators in Arusha region. Ann. Glob. Health 84 (3), 369.
- Poustchi, H., et al., 2018. Prospective epidemiological research studies in Iran (the PERSIAN Cohort Study): rationale, objectives, and design. Am. J. Epidemiol. 187 (4), 647–655.
- Rastogi, S., Tripathi, S., Ravishanker, D., 2010. A study of neurologic symptoms on exposure to organophosphate pesticides in the children of agricultural workers. Indian J. Occup. Environ. Med. 14 (2), 54.
- Schulte, L.H., Jürgens, T.P., May, A., 2015. Photo-, osmo-and phonophobia in the premonitory phase of migraine: mistaking symptoms for triggers? J. Headache Pain 16 (1), 1–5.
- Szyszkowicz, M., D.M. Stieb, and B.H. Rowe, Air pollution and daily ED visits for migraine and headache in Edmonton, Canada. The Am. J. Emerg. Med., 2009. 27(4): p. 391-396.
- Tavakolian Ferdosieyeh, V., et al., 2012. Pesticide induced complications among pistachio farmers: In the rural area of Rafsanjan, Iran (2010). J. Occup. Health Epidemiol. 1 (2), 111–117.